

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS (CSES) - MODULE 4 (2011-2016)

CODEBOOK PART 1: INTRODUCTION

FULL RELEASE - MAY 29, 2018

CSES Secretariat
www.cses.org

=====

HOW TO CITE THE STUDY:

The Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (www.cses.org). CSES MODULE 4
FULL RELEASE [dataset and documentation]. May 29, 2018 version.
doi : 10.7804/cses.module4.2018-05-29

These materials are based on work supported by the American National
Science Foundation (www.nsf.gov) under grant numbers SES-0817701,
SES-1154687, and SES-1420973, the GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social
Sciences, the University of Michigan, in-kind support of participating
election studies, the many organizations that sponsor planning meetings
and conferences, and the numerous organizations that fund national
election studies by CSES collaborators.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions, or recommendations expressed
in these materials are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily
reflect the views of the funding organizations.

=====

TABLE OF CONTENTS

=====

))) IMPORTANT NOTE REGARDING FULL RELEASE

))) OVERVIEW OF "CODEBOOK PART 1: INTRODUCTION"

))) LIST OF TABLES IN CODEBOOK PART 1

))) THE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS (CSES) PROJECT OVERVIEW

>>> CSES PROJECT PROFILE
>>> CSES MODULE 4 STUDY DESCRIPTION - ABSTRACT
>>> CSES MODULE 4 PLANNING COMMITTEE
>>> CSES MODULE 5 PLANNING COMMITTEE
>>> CSES MODULE 4 COLLABORATORS
>>> CSES MODULE 4 SECRETARIAT

))) CSES MODULE 4 - HOW TO ACCESS?

>>> THE CSES CODEBOOK
>>> THE CSES DATA FILES

))) CSES MODULE 4 STUDY

>>> OVERVIEW OF CSES MODULE 4 DATA FILE PARTICULARS
>>> LIST OF ELECTION STUDIES INCLUDED IN CSES MODULE 4
>>> MICRO-LEVEL (SURVEY) COMPONENT
>>> CSES MODULE 4 COLLABORATOR INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE ADMINISTRATION
OF THE CSES QUESTIONNAIRE
>>> DISTRICT-LEVEL COMPONENT
>>> MACRO-LEVEL COMPONENT

))) CSES MODULE 4 DOCUMENTATION - WHAT'S AVAILABLE AND HOW TO USE?

>>> CSES CODEBOOK OVERVIEW
 >>> CSES CODEBOOK CONVENTIONS
 >>> CSES CODEBOOK - VARIABLE NOTES AND ELECTION STUDY NOTES
 >>> CSES ORIGINAL QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MODULE 4
 >>> CSES - ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION
 >>> HOW TO NAVIGATE THE CSES MODULE 4 CODEBOOK

))) CSES MODULE 4 STUDY DATA AND CODEBOOK: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

>>> IDENTIFICATION VARIABLES
 >>> MISSING DATA
 >>> WEIGHTS
 >>> FREEDOM STATUS OF ELECTIONS
 >>> CODING OF PARTIES/COALITIONS & LEADERS
 >>> PROCESSING CHECKS OF MODULE 4 DATASET BY THE CSES SECRETARIAT

))) CSES MODULE 4 BIBLIOGRAPHY

))) IMPORTANT NOTE REGARDING FULL RELEASE

This dataset and all accompanying documentation is the "Full Release" of CSES Module 4 (2011-2016).

Users of the Final Release may wish to monitor the errata for CSES Module 4 on the CSES website, to check for known errors which may impact their analyses. To view errata for CSES Module 4, go to the Data Center on the CSES website, navigate to the CSES Module 4 download page, and click on the Errata link in the gray box to the right of the page.

))) OVERVIEW OF "CODEBOOK PART 1: INTRODUCTION"

Part 1 of the CSES Codebook provides users with information about how to use the CSES Codebook and Data including the conventions adopted in the CSES Codebook and how to load the data into various programs. Moreover, overview of the national election studies included in CSES as well as CSES conventions concerning identification variables, missing data, weights, and coding of parties/coalitions and leaders is available here. Further, Part 1 of the Codebook provides users with an overview of the CSES project, the governing council (Planning Committee), and details of the national collaborators.

))) LIST OF TABLES IN CODEBOOK PART 1

Below, we list the Tables located in Codebook Part 1. Tables can be accessed in the electronic version of the CSES Codebook by searching for "+++".

- OVERVIEW OF ELECTION STUDIES INCLUDED IN MODULE 4 WITH NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS, MODE OF DATA COLLECTION, & FIELDWORK DATES

))) THE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS (CSES) PROJECT OVERVIEW

>>> CSES PROJECT PROFILE

The Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES) is a collaborative program of research among election study teams from around the world. Participating countries include a common module of survey questions in their post-election studies. The resulting data are deposited along with voting, demographic, district and macro variables. The studies are then merged into a single, free, public dataset for use in comparative study and cross-level analysis.

The CSES project focuses on respondents' behavior and attitudes during the time of a national election, with a particular emphasis on voting and turnout. Each CSES Module consists of a nationally-representative post-election survey and additional variables about the context of the overall country and electoral system within which the respondents find themselves. Every five years a new CSES Module is designed with a different substantive theme selected to address essential questions in electoral studies and social science.

An international committee of leading scholars of electoral politics and political science develop the research agenda, questionnaires, and study design. The design is implemented in each country by their foremost social scientists. By collaborating in this way, the CSES community hopes to forward scientific inquiry into the relationship between electoral institutions and political behavior.

The work of the CSES Secretariat is funded by the American National Science Foundation, the GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, and the University of Michigan's Center for Political Studies. Details of particular grants that provided funding for Module 4 are available under the "HOW TO CITE THE CSES MODULE 4 STUDY". The project also receives in-kind support from participating election studies, additional organizations that sponsor planning committee meetings and conferences, and the many organizations that fund national election studies that participate in CSES.

This is the fourth iteration of CSES known as CSES Module 4. The remainder of the project description relates specifically to CSES Module 4.

>>> CSES MODULE 4 STUDY DESCRIPTION - ABSTRACT

CSES Module 4 has two themes. The first focused on distributional politics and social protection. The goal of the module is to enhance knowledge of voter preferences for policies that affect income and wealth distribution, in a period of constrained growth, deficit reduction, and expenditure constraint and austerity. It draws upon the literature in comparative political economy and develops data that will enable scholars to understand how political institutions condition voters' reactions to the politics of budgetary restraint. The module is influenced by the economic crises of the years before its implementation. The second subtheme focused on mobilization with a battery of questions asking respondents about contacts by parties and candidates, and about personal contacts intended to influence vote choice.

CSES Module 4 data was collected from 2011 through 2016.

More information regarding the theme of Module 4 can be found in the CSES Module 4 Theoretical Statement available on the CSES website.

>>> CSES MODULE 4 PLANNING COMMITTEE

The CSES Module 4 Planning Committee was responsible for the design of CSES Module 4, and took initial responsibility for its implementation. Besides the Chair, Planning Committee Members are listed alphabetically by surname. The following persons were members of the CSES Module 4 Planning Committee:

ANDRE BLAIS (Module 4 Planning Committee Chair)

University of Montreal, Canada

BERNT AARDAL
Institute for Social Research, Norway

KEES AARTS
University of Twente, The Netherlands

JOHN ALDRICH
Duke University, United States

ULISES BELTRAN
Centro de Investigacion y Docencia Economica (CIDE), Mexico

GORAN CULAR
University of Zagreb, Croatia

RACHEL GIBSON
University of Manchester, United Kingdom

ELISABETH GIDENGL
McGill University, Canada

SARA B. HOBOLT
London School of Economics and Political Science, United Kingdom

DAVID A. HOWELL (CSES Director of Studies, Ex-officio member)
University of Michigan, United States

CHI HUANG
National Chengchi University, Taiwan R.O.C.

KEN'ICHI IKEDA
Doshisha University, Japan

PEDRO MAGALHAES
University of Lisbon, Portugal

RADOSLAW MARKOWSKI
Warsaw School of Social Sciences and Humanities, Poland

RACHEL MENEGUELLO
University of Campinas, Brazil

DAVID SANDERS
University of Essex, United Kingdom

NICOLAS SAUGER
Sciences Po, France

MICHAEL SHAMIR
Tel Aviv University, Israel

JACK VOWLES
Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

BERNHARD WESSELS
WZB (Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin fuer Sozialforschung), Germany

>>> CSES MODULE 5 PLANNING COMMITTEE

The CSES Module 5 Planning Committee took responsibility not only for the design and implementation of Module 5 but also the responsibility for the remainder of CSES Module 4 until its completion. Besides the Chair, Planning Committee Members are listed alphabetically by surname. The following persons were members of the CSES Module 5 Planning Committee:

JOHN ALDRICH (Module 5 Planning Committee Chair)
Duke University, United States

EVA ANDUIZA
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain

ALI ÇARKOĞLU
Koc University, Turkey

GORAN CULAR
University of Zagreb, Croatia

RACHEL GIBSON
University of Manchester, United Kingdom

ELISABETH GIDENGL
McGill University, Canada

SARA B. HOBOLT
London School of Economics and Political Science, United Kingdom

DAVID A. HOWELL (CSES Director of Studies, Ex-officio member)
University of Michigan, United States

CHI HUANG
National Chengchi University, Taiwan

AIDA JUST
Bilkent University, Turkey

ORIT KEDAR
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

GEORG LUTZ
University of Lausanne, Switzerland

PEDRO MAGALHAES
University of Lisbon, Portugal

RACHEL MENEGUELLO
Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brazil

HENRIK OSCARSSON
University of Gothenburg, Sweden

STEPHEN QUINLAN (CSES Project Manager, Ex-officio member)
GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences Mannheim, Germany

NICOLAS SAUGER
Sciences Po, France

RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK
University of Mannheim, Germany

CARLOS SHENGA
Higher Institute of Public Administration, Mozambique

ALBERTO SIMPSON
Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México (ITAM), Mexico

WOUTER VAN DER BRUG
University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

MARKUS WAGNER
University of Vienna, Austria

MASAHIRO YAMADA
Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan

ELIZABETH ZECHMEISTER
Vanderbilt University, United States

 >>> CSES MODULE 4 COLLABORATORS

The CSES project is incredibly grateful to our Module 4 collaborators, who raised their own funds to include CSES Module 4 in a nationally representative post-election study in their country or province.

Listed collaborators are those who appear in the Design Report for the respective study - they are not necessarily the parties who collected the data, and they are not necessarily the only investigators on each study. Most election studies benefited from the scientific input and data preparation skills of additional persons not listed here.

Within each election study, collaborators are presented in the order in which they are listed in the Design Report deposited by each collaborator team. The affiliations listed are current as of the date when each election study's Design Report was deposited with CSES. The politics are listed in alphabetical order.

- ARGENTINA (2015) NOAM LUPU
 Vanderbilt University, United States of America

 VIRGINIA OLIVEROS
 Tulane University, United States

 LUIS SCHIUMERINI
 University of Oxford, United Kingdom
- AUSTRALIA (2013) IAN MCALLISTER
 Australian National University, Australia

 JULIET PIETSCH
 Australian National University, Australia

 CLIVE BEAN
 Queensland University of Technology, Australia

 RACHEL GIBSON
 University of Manchester, United Kingdom
- AUSTRIA (2013) SYLVIA KRITZINGER
 University of Vienna, Austria

 WOLFGANG C. MUELLER
 University of Vienna, Austria

 KLAUS SCHOENBACH
 University of Vienna, Austria
- BRAZIL (2014) RACHEL MENEGUELLO
 Center for Studies on Public Opinion,
 Universidade de Campinas, Brazil
- BULGARIA (2014) ALINA DOBREVA
 European University Institute, Bulgaria
- CANADA (2011) PATRICK FOURNIER
 Universit  de Montreal, Canada

 FRED CUTLER
 University of British Columbia, Canada

- =====
- STUART SOROKA,
University of Michigan, United States
 - DIETLIND STOLLE
McGill University, Canada
 - CANADA (2015)
 - PATRICK FOURNIER
Université de Montréal, Canada
 - FRED CUTLER
University of British Columbia, Canada
 - STUART SOROKA,
University of Michigan, United States
 - DIETLIND STOLLE
McGill University, Canada
 - CZECH REPUBLIC (2013)
 - LUKAS LINEK
Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic
 - FINLAND (2015)
 - KIMMO GROENLUND
Åbo Akademi University, Finland
 - HANNA WASS
University of Helsinki, Finland
 - FRANCE (2012)
 - NICOLAS SAUGER
Sciences Po, France
 - GERMANY (2013)
 - BERNHARD WESSELS
Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin fuer Sozialforschung (WZB), Germany
 - HANS RATTINGER
University of Mannheim, Germany
 - SIGRID ROSSTEUTSCHER
Goethe University, Germany
 - RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK
University of Mannheim, Germany
 - CHRISTOF WOLF
GESIS Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences, Germany
 - GREAT BRITAIN (2015)
 - EDWARD FIELDHOUSE
University of Manchester, Great Britain
 - JANE GREEN
University of Manchester, Great Britain
 - GEOFFREY EVANS
University of Oxford, Great Britain
 - HERMANN SCHMITT
University of Manchester, Great Britain
 - CEES VAN DER EIJK
University of Nottingham, Great Britain
 - JONATHAN MELLON
University of Oxford, Great Britain

- =====
- CHRISTOPHER PROSSER
 University of Manchester, Great Britain
- GREECE (2012) THEODORE CHADJIPADELIS
 Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- IOANNIS ANDREADIS
 Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- GREECE (2015) IOANNIS ANDREADIS
 Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- THEODORE CHADJIPADELIS
 Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- EFTICHA TEPEROGLU
 Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- HONG KONG (2012) LI PANG-KWONG
 Lingnan University, Hong Kong
- ICELAND (2013) OLAFUR P. HARDARSON
 University of Iceland, Iceland
- HULDA PORSDOTTIR
 University of Iceland, Iceland
- EVA H. ONNUDOTTIR
 Centre for Doctoral Social and Behavioral Sciences
 University of Mannheim, Germany
- IRELAND (2011) MICHAEL MARSH
 Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
- ISRAEL (2013) MICHAL SHAMIR
 Tel Aviv University, Israel
- ORIT KEDAR
 Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
- JAPAN (2013) KEN'ICHI IKEDA
 Doshisha University, Japan
- MASAHIRO YAMADA
 Kwansei-Gakuin University, Japan
- YUKIO MAEDA
 University of Tokyo, Japan
- KENYA (2013) ROBERT MATTES
 University of Cape Town, South Africa
- WINNIE MITULLAH
 University of Nairobi, Kenya
- COLLETTE SHULZ-HERZENBERG
 Stellenbosch University, South Africa
- ABEL OYUKE
 University of Nairobi, Kenya
- LATVIA (2011) JANIS IKSTENS

- =====
- Uni versi ty of Latvi a, Latvi a
- LATVIA (2014) JANIS IKSTENS
Uni versi ty of Latvi a, Latvi a
 - MEXI CO (2012) ULISES BELTRAN
Centro de Investi gaci on y Docenci a Economi ca (CI DE),
Mexi co

ROSARIO AGUI LAR
Centro de Investi gaci on y Docenci a Economi ca (CI DE),
Mexi co
 - MEXI CO (2015) ULISES BELTRAN
Centro de Investi gaci on y Docenci a Economi ca (CI DE),
Mexi co

ROSARIO AGUI LAR
Centro de Investi gaci on y Docenci a Economi ca (CI DE),
Mexi co
 - MONTENEGRO (2012) OLIVERA KOMAR
De Facto Consul tancy, Montenegro

PAVLE GEGAJ
De Facto Consul tancy, Montenegro

MI LOS BESIC
De Facto Consul tancy, Montenegro
 - NEW ZEALAND (2011) JACK VOWLES
Victori a Uni versi ty of Well i ngton, New Zeal and
 - NEW ZEALAND (2014) JACK VOWLES
Victori a Uni versi ty of Well i ngton, New Zeal and

HI LDE COFFE
Victori a Uni versi ty of Well i ngton, New Zeal and

JENNI FER CURTI N
Uni versi ty of Auckl and, New Zeal and

GERARD COTTERELL
Uni versi ty of Auckl and, New Zeal and
 - NORWAY (2013) BERNT AARDAL
Uni versi ty of Osl o, Norway

JOHANNES BERGH
Insti tute for Soci al Research, Osl o, Norway
 - PERU (2016) DAVID SULMONT
Ponti fici a Uni versi dad Catol i ca del Peru, Peru

VANIA MARTINEZ
Insti tuto de Opini on Publ i ca, Ponti fi ci a Uni versi dad
Catol i ca del Peru, Peru
 - PHI LI PPINES (2016) LINDA LUZ GUERRERO
Soci al Weather Stati ons, Phi li ppi nes

VLADYMI R JOSEPH LICUDI NE

- =====
- Social Weather Stations, Philippines
- POLAND (2011)
 - RADOSLAW MARKOWSKI
University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Poland
 - MIKOLAJ CZESNIK
University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Poland
 - PAWEL GRZELAK
Institute of Political Studies, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
 - MICHAL KOTNAROWSKI
Institute of Political Studies, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
 - PORTUGAL (2015)
 - MARINA COSTA LOBO
University of Lisbon, Portugal
 - PEDRO MAGALHAES
University of Lisbon, Portugal
 - JOAO TIAGO GASPAR
University of Lisbon, Portugal
 - ROMANIA (2012)
 - MIRCEA COMSA
Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 - FLORIN N. FESNIC
Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 - ANDREI GHEORGHI TA
Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania
 - GABRIEL BADESCU
Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 - CLAUDIU D. TUFIS
University of Bucharest, Romania
 - CRISTINA STANUS
Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania
 - BOGDAN VOICU
Romanian Academy, Romania
 - CAMIL POSTELNICU
Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 - ROMANIA (2014)
 - MIRCEA COMSA
Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 - ANDREI GHEORGHI TA
Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania
 - CRISTINA STANUS
Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania
 - CAMIL POSTELNICU
Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 - CLAUDIU D. TUFIS
University of Bucharest, Romania
 - BOGDAN VOICU
Romanian Academy, Romania

- =====
- FLORIN N. FESNIC
 Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania

GABRIEL BADESCU
 Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
 - SERBIA (2012)

BOJAN TODOSIJEVIC
 Center for Political Studies and Public Opinion Research, Serbia

ZORAN PAVLOVIC
 University of Belgrade, Serbia

DAVID A. HOWELL
 University of Michigan, United States

ALTIN ILIRJANI
 USAID, United States
 - SLOVAKIA (2016)

OLGA GYARFASOVA
 Comenius University, Slovakia

MILOSLAV BAHNA
 Slovak Academy of Sciences (SAS), Slovakia
 - SLOVENIA (2012)

JANEZ STEBE
 Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
 - SOUTH AFRICA (2014)

ROBERT MATTES
 University of Cape Town, South Africa

COLLETTE SHULZ-HERZENBERG
 Stellenbosch University, South Africa
 - SOUTH KOREA (2012)

NAM YOUNG LEE
 Korean Social Science Data Center, South Korea

WOOK KIM
 Paichai University, South Korea
 - SWEDEN (2014)

HENRIK OSCARSSON
 University of Gothenburg, Sweden
 - SWITZERLAND (2011)

GEORG LUTZ
 FORS & University of Lausanne, Switzerland
 - TAIWAN (2012)

CHI HUANG
 National Chengchi University, Taiwan
 - THAILAND (2011)

THAWI LWADEE BUREEKUL
 King Prajadhipok's Institute, Thailand

ROBERT B. ALBITTON
 University of Mississippi, United States

RATCHAWADEE SANGMAHAMAD
 King Prajadhipok's Institute, Thailand
 - TURKEY (2015)

ALI CARKOGLU
 Koc University, Turkey

- UNITED STATES (2012)
DARRELL DONAKOWSKI
University of Michigan, United States
VINCENT HUTCHINGS
University of Michigan, United States
SIMON JACKMAN
Stanford University, United States
GARY M. SEGURA
Stanford University, United States

>>> CSES MODULE 4 SECRETARIAT

- (1) American National Science Foundation (NSF) grant SES-0817701, "The Dynamics of Political Choice: The Third Module of the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems" with Principal Investigators Nancy Burns (University of Michigan), Ian McAllister (Australian National University), and Andre Blais (University of Montreal), supported CSES Secretariat activities at the University of Michigan from 2008 through 2012.
- (2) American National Science Foundation (NSF) grant SES-1154687, "Distributional Politics and Social Protection: the Fourth Module of the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES)" with Principal Investigators Nancy Burns (University of Michigan) and Andre Blais (University of Montreal), supported CSES Secretariat activities at the University of Michigan from 2012 through 2014.
- (3) American National Science Foundation (NSF) grant SES-1420973, "The Fifth Module of the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems (CSES)" with Principal Investigators Nancy Burns (University of Michigan), Andre Blais (University of Montreal), and John Aldrich (Duke University) supported CSES Secretariat activities at the University of Michigan beginning in 2014.

- =====
- (4) The CSES Secretariat activities at the GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, Germany are funded by GESIS.
- (5) The Center for Political Studies at the University of Michigan provides additional financial support.

=====

))) CSES MODULE 4 - HOW TO ACCESS?

=====

>>> THE CSES CODEBOOK

Users are advised to first download the CSES codebook file:

cses4_codebook.zip Contains the six codebook files, including this one, in text format.

The codebook can also be navigated online the CSES Module 4 study page at:
<http://www.cses.org/datacenter/module4/module4.htm>

>>> THE CSES DATA FILES

The following ZIP files, which contain the CSES data are available to download from the CSES Module 4 study page at:
<http://www.cses.org/datacenter/module4/module4.htm>

Users can download the data in a variety of formats depending on which statistical packages) they intend to use with the data:

| | |
|------------------|---|
| cses4_csv.zip | Contains a CSV file with variables names as column headers but no additional metadata (for instance, no code labels are included). |
| cses4_syntax.zip | Contains a raw data file and syntax statements to read the dataset into SAS, SPSS, and STATA. The instructions for doing so are found in the headers of the syntax files for each statistical package: cses4.sas for SAS, cses4.sps for SPSS, and cses4.do for STATA. |
| cses4_r.zip | Contains a R Workplace system file (.rdata), with the dataset already prepared and ready to be loaded into R. Missing data statements are not applied. |
| cses4_sas.zip | Contains a SAS 7-8 system file (.sas7bdat), with the dataset already prepared and ready to be loaded into SAS. Missing data statements are not applied. |
| cses4_spss.zip | Contains a SPSS system file (.sav), with the dataset already prepared and ready to be loaded into SPSS. Missing data statements are not applied. |
| cses4_stata.zip | Contains a STATA 13 system file (.dta), with the dataset already prepared and ready to be loaded into STATA. Missing data statements are not applied. |

Please note that all of the above packages will need a File Extractor programme downloaded to their computer to be able to Unzip and open the above files.

We recommend that PC users create the following directory on their hard drive, and to download their files from this Module 4 release to that location:

"c:/cses/module4/20180529/"

The subdirectory value "20180529" represents the version (release date) of the dataset - this being 2018, and the 29 May version of CSES Module 4. This file structure is compatible with how the "cses4_syntax.zip" file (detailed above) is organized. The ,method allows users with multiple CSES datasets and/or versions to stay organized and not over-write their other files. Users of other computer types (Macs, Unix, etc.) are recommended to use a similar directory structure to organize their CSES files.

))) CSES MODULE 4 STUDY

>>> OVERVIEW OF CSES MODULE 4 DATA FILE PARTICULARS

Type of study: CROSS-SECTIONAL
 Kind of data: SURVEY DATA FUSED WITH CONTEXTUAL MACRO DATA
 Primary Unit of Analysis: INDIVIDUALS
 Universe: ALL PERSONS OF ELIGIBLE VOTING AGE AND ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THE NATIONAL ELECTION
 Geographic Coverage: GLOBAL (Europe, North America, parts of Asia, and South America, Australia & New Zealand)
 File Structure: RECTANGULAR
 Total Case Count: 75,558
 Total Variable Count: 484
 Total Politics: 39
 Total Election Studies: 45

>>> LIST OF ELECTION STUDIES INCLUDED IN CSES MODULE 4

The Final Release of CSES Module 4 contains data from the following 45 election studies in 39 countries. They are listed below in alphabetic order with an overview of some particulars of each election study.

+++ TABLE: OVERVIEW OF ELECTION STUDIES INCLUDED IN MODULE 4 WITH NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS AND MODE OF DATA COLLECTION AND FIELDWORK DATES

| POLITY (ELEC YEAR) | No of Observations | Mode of Interview | Dates of Fieldwork (Start-End date) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ARGENTINA (2015) | 1,406 | F2F | Nov 21, 2015-Dec 30, 2015 |
| AUSTRALIA (2013) | 3,953 | MX | Sep 6, 2013-Jan 6, 2014 |
| AUSTRIA (2013) | 1,000 | TP | Oct 1, 2013-Oct 29, 2013 |
| BRAZIL (2014) | 3,136 | F2F | Nov 1, 2014-Nov 19, 2014 |
| BULGARIA (2014) | 999 | F2F | Jan 23, 2015-Jan 31, 2015 |
| CANADA (2011) | 3,458 | MX | Multi-wave study*** |
| CANADA (2015) | 4,202 | MX | Multi-wave study*** |
| CZECH REPUBLIC (2013) | 1,653 | F2F | Oct 28, 2013-Nov 14, 2013 |
| FINLAND (2015) | 1,587 | MX | Apr 24, 2015-Jul 7, 2015 |
| FRANCE (2012) | 2,014 | F2F | May 9, 2012-Jun 9, 2015 |
| GERMANY (2013) | 1,889 | F2F | Sep 23, 2013-Dec 23, 2013 |
| GREAT BRITAIN (2015) | 1,567 | F2F | May 9, 2015-Sep 13, 2015 |
| GREECE (2012) | 1,029 | MX | Oct 19, 2012-Jan 5, 2013 |
| GREECE (2015) | 1,008 | MX | Jun 12, 2015-Sep 8, 2015 |
| HONG KONG (2012) | 1,044 | TP | Sep 13, 2012-Sep 22, 2013 |
| ICELAND (2013) | 1,479 | TP | May 4, 2013-Sep 30, 2013 |
| IRELAND (2011) | 1,853 | TP | Mar 6, 2011-Apr 10, 2011 |
| ISRAEL (2013) | 1,017 | TP | Feb 8, 2013-Mar 13, 2013 |
| JAPAN (2013) | 1,937 | F2F | Jul 22, 2013-Aug 25, 2013 |
| KENYA (2013) | 1,200 | F2F | Oct 21, 2013-Nov 28, 2013 |
| LATVIA (2011) | 1,004 | F2F | Oct 16, 2011-Nov 11, 2011 |

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----|---------------------------|
| LATVIA (2014) | 1,036 | F2F | Nov 7, 2014-Nov 20, 2014 |
| MEXICO (2012) | 2,400 | F2F | Jul 13, 2012-Jul 19, 2013 |
| MEXICO (2015) | 1,197 | F2F | Jun 20, 2015-Jun 28, 2015 |
| MONTENEGRO (2012) | 967 | F2F | Feb 2013-Mar 2013 |
| NEW ZEALAND (2011) | 1,374 | MX | Nov 30, 2011-Apr 4, 2012 |
| NEW ZEALAND (2014) | 1,224 | MX | Sep 23, 2014-Feb 6, 2015 |
| NORWAY (2013) | 1,727 | MX | Sep 12, 2013-Jan 6, 2014 |
| PERU (2016) | 1,572 | F2F | May 7, 2016-May 17, 2016 |
| PHILIPPINES (2016) | 1,200 | F2F | Jun 24, 2016-Jun 27, 2016 |
| POLAND (2011) | 1,919 | F2F | Oct 20, 2011-Nov 13, 2011 |
| PORTUGAL (2015) | 1,499 | F2F | Oct 7, 2015-Dec 9, 2015 |
| ROMANIA (2012) | 2,283 | F2F | Dec 15, 2012-Jan 30, 2013 |
| ROMANIA (2014) | 1,112 | F2F | Nov 20, 2014-Dec 8, 2014 |
| SERBIA (2012) | 1,568 | F2F | Dec 6, 2012-Feb 13, 2013 |
| SLOVAKIA (2016) | 1,150 | F2F | Oct 13, 2016-Nov 28, 2016 |
| SLOVENIA (2011) | 1,031 | F2F | Mar 29, 2012-May 28, 2012 |
| SOUTH AFRICA (2014) | 1,300 | F2F | Feb 2, 2015-Feb 26, 2015 |
| SOUTH KOREA (2012) | 1,000 | F2F | Apr 12, 2012-Apr 29, 2012 |
| SWEDEN (2014) | 832 | F2F | Sep 15, 2014-Nov 17, 2014 |
| SWITZERLAND (2011) | 4,391 | MX | Oct 24, 2011-Dec 12, 2011 |
| TAIWAN (2012) | 1,826 | F2F | Jan 15, 2012-Mar 6, 2012 |
| THAILAND (2011) | 1,500 | F2F | Jul 15, 2011-Aug 11, 2011 |
| TURKEY (2015) | 1,086 | F2F | Jul 18, 2015-Sep 10, 2015 |
| UNITED STATES (2012) | 1,929 | F2F | Nov 7, 2012-Jan 12, 2013 |
| ----- | | | |
| TOTAL | 75,558 | | |

Key: F2F=Face to Face; TP=Telephone, MB=Mail back; MX=Mixed.

*** = Study run across multiple waves. Please see variables D1026-D1028 for comprehensive details for dates of fieldwork for each wave.

For election studies that are embedded in multi-wave panel studies, only those respondents who participated in the wave of the survey that included CSES Module 4 are included in the CSES Module 4 dataset.

>>> MICRO-LEVEL (SURVEY) COMPONENT

The core questionnaire ("Module") of CSES Module 4 was intended to be administered as a single, uninterrupted block of questions in a nationally representative post-election survey in each country.

- (A) The question text is included in the variable documentation of this codebook. The questions are reported in the order in which they appear in the CSES questionnaire. For some questions, collaborator instructions for the administration of the CSES Questionnaire were important. These are reported in the next section.
- (B) Where there are known differences in the way a particular question was administered in an election study, this is noted in the "ELECTION STUDY NOTES" following the documentation of the corresponding variable.
- (C) There are several sets of party and leader evaluation items included in the module. These correspond to parties labeled A-F, in descending order of vote share, of the six most popular parties in the lower house elections (or presidential elections if legislative elections were not held). Where respondents were asked to evaluate other parties, these evaluations have been included where possible and are labeled parties G-I, regardless of their vote shares. The parties and leaders to which these evaluations apply are identified in Part 3 of the Codebook.
- (D) There are several questions (including the vote choice and party identification items) that ask the respondents to specify a political party. The codes for these items are also reported in Codebook Part 3.

>>> CSES MODULE 4 COLLABORATOR INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE CSES QUESTIONNAIRE

The following instructions appeared in the header to the questionnaire for CSES Module 4, as instructions to collaborators regarding the implementation of the questionnaire in their respective polity.

(1) CSES MODULE 4 QUESTIONNAIRE: ADMINISTRATIVE VARIABLES

The "Administrative Variables" section is a list of common administrative variables that, if possible, should be provided at the time data are deposited with the CSES Secretariat.

CSES MODULE 4 QUESTIONNAIRE: CSES MODULE

This is the CSES Module itself, a common module of survey questions for researchers to include in their national post-election survey. The CSES Module is intended to be administered exactly as it is specified in this document.

CSES MODULE 4 QUESTIONNAIRE: DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

Collaborators are asked to provide data on background (demographic) characteristics of respondents, coded to an agreed upon set of standards as indicated in this section. There is great international variation in the ways that collaborators will go about soliciting information on the background characteristics of their respondents. The objective here is not standardization of the way collaborators ask these background questions, but instead, standardization to a common, cross-national scheme for coding each variable.

- (2) The CSES Module is intended to be administered in its entirety as a single, uninterrupted block of questions, unless noted otherwise for particular questions. In most cases, the CSES Module is included as part of a larger study. For reliable comparisons to be made, it is important that any additional items investigators may wish to include do not interrupt the CSES Module.
- (3) The CSES module should be administered as a post-election interview.
- (4) Where the CSES module is included in a larger study, to ensure that question-ordering effects are minimized, it is most preferable for the CSES Module to be administered at the beginning of the survey instrument. Where this is not possible, collaborators should be sensitive to the effects questions asked immediately prior to the module may have.
- (5) NOTES often precede the question TEXT, and provide instructions for the administration of the item. Where no question TEXT is provided, collaborators should provide documentation of the question used.
- (6) Show cards may be helpful for the administration of some questions. For this reason, a Respondent Booklet is available for download from the CSES website. The Respondent Booklet contains show cards for select questions. It is indicated in the NOTES when a show card is available for a question.
- (7) The response options that should be read to the respondent are contained in the body of the question TEXT.
- (8) Where lower-case words appear in brackets [] collaborators should select the words that are most appropriate.

For example:

[party/presidential candidate]

...indicates that either the word "party" or the phrase "presidential candidate" should be read, but not both.

- (9) Where upper-case words appear in brackets [] collaborators should substitute the words that are most appropriate.

For example:

[COUNTRY]

...should be replaced with the name of the country where the election was held (perhaps "Canada" or "the Philippines").

Another example:

[NUMBER OF YEARS BETWEEN THE PREVIOUS AND THE PRESENT ELECTION OR CHANGE IN GOVERNMENT]

...should be replaced with a number that indicates the amount of years that have passed between the previous election and either the current election or recent change in government.

- (10) Phrases that appear in parentheses () contain words that are optional - that collaborators (or their interviewers) can decide to read or not read to respondents as needed.
- (11) Words in question text that are in upper-case but NOT within brackets [] should be emphasized by the interviewer when reading the question text.

For example, the word "COUNTRY" would be emphasized in the following question when the interviewer reads the question to the respondent:

"What COUNTRY do you live in?"

But in this next example, the interviewer does not emphasize the word "[COUNTRY]". Instead, this is an instruction for the collaborator to substitute the name of the respondent's country into the question text (for more information, see the eighth Collaborator Instruction above):

"How long have you lived in [COUNTRY]?"

- (12) Interviewer instructions are available for some questions. These interviewer instructions, labeled as HELP, are intended to provide advice to the interviewers to assist in administering the question. It is also useful to discuss the interviewer instructions as part of interviewer training. The interviewer instructions, where available, appear after the question TEXT. In interviewer-administered surveys, interviewer instructions should be available to the interviewer, but not to the respondent. For example, in a computer-assisted interview, the interviewer instructions might appear on the screen in a special color, and interviewers trained to make use of those instructions as necessary, but the interviewer should NOT read the interviewer instructions to the respondent.
- (13) Some response options are followed by an arrow (->) and a skip pattern instruction. If the respondent selects that response option, the skip pattern instruction after the arrow is to be executed.
- (14) Respondents who volunteer the response "DON'T KNOW" (or who have REFUSED to answer a question) should be coded as such. Interviewers should accept these responses and should NOT probe for additional information or force a respondent to use one of the response options provided in the text of the question.
- (15) Special care should be taken in the administration of the Vote Choice items (Q5 and Q6 question series).

Wording for the Q5 and Q6 question series, which is to record vote choice in the elections, should follow national standards. Collaborators are invited to compare their own national instrument with other instruments of countries that are part of the CSES and look for convergence where this is possible.

For Q6 (previous election), ask about the previous national election of the same type (whether legislative or presidential). For countries where more than one institution is being currently elected on the same day (e.g. president and legislature), please consider asking about the previous lower house election if votes have been recorded for the current lower house election.

For Q5 (current election), for countries where more than one institution is elected on the same day (e.g., president and legislature) using different votes, please ensure that all votes are supplied. Consider including all national elections having been held within three months before or after the study's data collection period.

Please ensure all vote choices are supplied as separate variables in the dataset that you deposit.

For countries where voters have two votes for the same institution (e.g. parallel and mixed member proportional systems; double ballot systems), please ensure that both/all votes are supplied. For countries using preferential systems (e.g., STV, AV) please provide first and second preference vote.

- (16) For questions asking about parties, collaborators should be advised that they may add one or several party blocs to a list of individual parties if they feel that it will be difficult for respondents to recognize individual parties.

- (17) Collaborators in the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems shall adhere to the following standards of data quality:

A) MODE OF INTERVIEWING:

Interviews should be conducted face-to-face, unless local circumstances dictate that telephone or mail surveys will produce higher quality data.

B) TIMING OF INTERVIEWING:

We strongly recommend that collaborators in the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems conduct their interviews in the weeks following their national election. Out of concern for data quality, data collection should be completed in as timely a fashion as possible. In the event of a runoff election, interviewing shall be conducted after the first round election. The date of interview shall be provided for each respondent.

C) PLACEMENT OF MODULE IN POST-ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE:

The questionnaire should be asked as a single, uninterrupted block of questions. We leave it to each collaborator to select an appropriate location for the module in their national survey instrument. Collaborators should take steps to ensure that questions asked immediately prior to the questionnaire module do not contaminate the initial questions in the module. Collaborators are also free to select an appropriate place in their survey instrument to ask the turnout, vote choice, and demographic questions.

D) POPULATION TO BE SAMPLED:

National samples should be drawn from all age-eligible citizens. When non-citizens (or other non-eligible respondents) are included in the sample, a variable should be provided to permit the identification of those non-eligible respondents. When a collaborator samples from those persons who appear on voter registration lists, the collaborator should quantify the estimated degree of discrepancy between this population and the population of all age-eligible citizens.

E) SAMPLING PROCEDURES:

We strongly encourage the use of random samples, with random sampling procedures used at all stages of the sampling process. Collaborators should provide detailed documentation of their sampling practices.

F) SAMPLE SIZE:

We strongly recommend that no fewer than 1,000 age-eligible respondents be interviewed.

G) INTERVIEWER TRAINING:

Collaborators should pre-test their survey instrument and should train interviewers in the administration of the questionnaire. The Planning Committee will provide each collaborator with documentation that clarifies the purposes and objectives of each item and with rules with respect to probing "don't know" responses.

H) FIELD PRACTICES:

Collaborators should make every effort to ensure a high response rate. Investigators should be diligent in their effort to reach respondents not interviewed on the initial contact with the household and should be diligent in their effort to convert respondents who initially refuse to participate in the study. Data on the number of contact attempts, the number of contacts with sample persons, and special persuasion or conversion efforts undertaken should be coded for each respondent.

I) TRANSLATION (AND BACK-TRANSLATION):

Each collaborator should translate the questionnaire module into their native language(s). To ensure the equivalence of the translation, collaborators shall perform an independent re-translation of the questionnaire back into English. Collaborators engaged in translation of the questionnaire module into the same language (e.g., Spanish, French, English, German, and Portuguese) should collaborate on the translation.

>>> DISTRICT-LEVEL COMPONENT

The district-level variables report the returns of the lower house (first segment) election for each respondent's district. Wherever possible, these data were collected from official electoral commissions (see Bibliography for details). In other cases, CSES has been grateful for the compilations of these data provided by third-party sources.

>>> MACRO-LEVEL COMPONENT

To supplement the micro (survey) data, the teams of researchers responsible for the collection of the public opinion data also compiled and deposited the following types of data: electoral legislation, political party platforms, and official electoral returns.

To facilitate this process, a detailed questionnaire was constructed to serve as a framework for the macro component of the project. The Macro Data Reports, completed by the CSES collaborators, can be found on the CSES website in the Module 4 section under the "Data Center".

Additional measures thought pertinent to the micro-district-macro design are also compiled and available in the CSES data files.

Sources consulted for the macro level component are listed as appropriate in the Bibliography at the end of this part of the CSES Codebook.

))) CSES MODULE 4 DOCUMENTATION - WHAT'S AVAILABLE AND HOW TO USE?

There are several components to the CSES documentation. We detail each in turn below:

>>> CSES CODEBOOK OVERVIEW

The primary component of documentation is the CSES Codebook. The

codebook consists of six components, namely:

- 1) PART 1: INTRODUCTION
(file name: cses4_codebook_part1_introduction.txt)
Part 1 (This file) overview of the CSES study and data, information about how to use the files, election study descriptions, information on the CSES datafile, the checks the CSES Secretariat conducts on the datafile and information on the national collaborators of the CSES project for each polity.
- 2) PART 2: CSES VARIABLES DESCRIPTION
(file name: cses4_codebook_part2_variables.txt)
Part 2 is the variable description file and includes the survey questions, code frames, general notes, election study notes, and details about sources for macro data.
- 3) PART 3: PARTIES AND LEADERS BY COUNTRY
(file name: cses4_codebook_part3_parties_and_leaders.txt)
Part 3 details the party/coalition and leader numeric and alphabetical coding for each polity included in the CSES Module 4 dataset.
- 4) PART 4: PRIMARY ELECTORAL DISTRICTS RESPONDENTS BY POLITY
(file name: cses4_codebook_part4_primary_electoral_districts.txt)
Part 4 details the primary electoral district by polity for each respondent included in the CSES Module 4 dataset.
- 5) PART 5: ELECTION SUMMARIES BY POLITY
(file name: cses4_codebook_part5_election_summaries.txt)
Part 5 contains short summaries of each election included in CSES Module 4. It also provides analysts with details of additional sources they may wish to consult to understand the elections included in CSES in greater detail.
- 6) PART 6: STUDY DESIGN AND WEIGHTS OVERVIEW BY POLITY
(file name: cses4_codebook_part6.txt)
Part 6 contains overviews of the design of each election study included in CSES Module 4. It also provides analysts with details regarding the polity weights provided by each election study.

The CSES Module 4 questionnaire is also available from the website or by referencing the corresponding variables in this codebook.

For all election studies included in CSES, collaborators have provided documentation to accompany their election studies. These documents, where available, can be found on the CSES Module 4 download page under "Data Center" on the CSES website (www.cses.org).

Analysts will also want to become familiar with the CSES Module 4 errata page. It is accessible from the CSES Module 4 download page under "Data Center" on the CSES website. Information, updates, and error notifications and corrections are posted there, in real time, as they become available. Please regularly check for errata notifications to keep up to date.

>>> CSES CODEBOOK CONVENTIONS

The CSES project uses American English language and date standards (MM-DD-YYYY). In the CSES Module 4 dataset, all variables begin with the letter "D" (D being the fourth letter of the English alphabet and thus signifying Module 4). This convention helps reduce the possibility of overwriting data when merging with other CSES datasets.

Variables are presented in five groupings:

- 1) D1001-D1999 Identification, weight, and election study variables
- 2) D2001-D2999 Demographic variables
- 3) D3001-D3999 Micro-level (survey) data (the CSES Module 4 questionnaire)

4) D4001-D4999 District-level data

5) D5001-D5999 Macro-level data

In the Variable Descriptions portion of the codebook, the headers for individual variables are surrounded by two lines of dashes. Variable names do not exceed eight characters in length.

>>> CSES CODEBOOK - VARIABLE NOTES AND ELECTION STUDY NOTES

<<<> VARIABLES NOTES

Variable notes provide information on the rationale of a variable as well as source information for that variable. It also details the polity's for which no data for that particular variable are available. VARIABLES NOTES are listed below the descriptive information for the said variable and can be navigated in the codebook by searching for "VARIABLES NOTES" in Part 2 of the CSES codebook.

<<<> ELECTION STUDY NOTES

A unique dimension of the CSES are the inclusion of ELECTION STUDY NOTES. They are notes which are attached to each variable included in the dataset and refer to case-specific information regarding a particular variable. Their purpose is to provide users with more detailed information on the case or explain essential deviations specific to cases from CSES conventions. They are also used to provide source data information for users. Where applicable, ELECTION STUDY NOTES are listed below a particular variable and any VARIABLES NOTES in part 2 and 3 of the CSES codebook. They can be navigated in the codebook by searching for "ELECTION STUDY NOTES" in Parts 2-4 of the CSES codebook.

>>> CSES ORIGINAL QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MODULE 4

The CSES Module 4 original questionnaire is available from the CSES Module 4 study page at:

<http://www.cses.org/datacenter/module4/module4.htm>

or by referencing the corresponding variable descriptions in the CSES Module 4 Codebook (see Part 2).

>>> CSES - ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION

All election studies included in CSES provide numerous source material. These documents include the following:

- Macro reports
- Design reports
- Original questionnaires including the language of origin

We describe each in turn below.

<<<> MACRO REPORT

Collaborators submit a macro report to the CSES Secretariat when depositing their national data. Its purpose is to provide a coherent link between national level specialists and data specific to the election and polity in question. It provides information on the election, the composition of cabinet before and after election, expert assessments of the parties, information on electoral rules operating in the polity, as well as original sources for the country level data. It aids the CSES Secretariat in collating some of the macro level data for each polity included in the country. Where available, macro reports can be found on the CSES Module 4

download page under "CSES Module 4 Election Study Archive" at:
<http://www.cses.org/datacenter/module4/module4.htm>

<<>> DESIGN REPORT

Collaborators also submit a design report to the CSES Secretariat when depositing their national data. It provides all information on the implementation of each individual election study including details regarding fieldwork dates, mode of interview, sampling procedures, sampling frame, response and refusal rates, information on translation procedures, and weights. Some of this data is included directly in the CSES data in variables D1001-D1034. Where available, design reports can be found on the CSES Module 4 download page under "CSES Module 4 Election Study Archive" at: <http://www.cses.org/datacenter/module4/module4.htm>. Further, Part 6 of the Codebook provides overviews of each polity's study design and polity level weights. It draws heavily on information from each polity's design report.

<<>> ORIGINAL QUESTIONNAIRES

Where available, CSES provides the original language questionnaires from each polity's national election study. Further, CSES requests that all studies included provide the English language questionnaire used as the basis for translation of the CSES questionnaire into a polity's native tongue(s). Where available, the questionnaires can be found on the CSES Module 4 download page under "CSES Module 4 Election Study Archive" at: <http://www.cses.org/datacenter/module4/module4.htm>

>>> HOW TO NAVIGATE THE CSES MODULE 4 CODEBOOK

CSES Codebook is produced in .txt format to allow for easy accessibility and as such the Codebook can be read into a variety of programs.

The CSES Codebook can be navigated quickly in the electronic files, with the following commands allowing for quick searching:

))) = Section Header

>>> = Sub-section Header 1

<<>> = Sub-section Header 2

+++ = Tables

VARIABLES NOTES = Notes for particular variables

ELECTION STUDY NOTES = Notes for a particular election study

=====

))) CSES MODULE 4 STUDY DATA AND CODEBOOK: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

=====

>>> IDENTIFICATION VARIABLES

There are several identification variables in CSES Module 4 which allow users to not only identify an individual respondent, but election studies, and polities.

<<>> ELECTION STUDY IDENTIFIERS

Each Election Study in CSES Module 4 is uniquely identified by two variables, namely:

- variable D1003 ID VARIABLE - ELECTION STUDY (NUMERIC POLITY)

=====

This variable is an eight-digit numerical code constructed from two components: the CSES polity code (variable D1006) and the year in which the election took place (D1008). The first three digits represent the country codes assigned by the United Nations Statistics Division. The fourth digit distinguishes between multiple election studies within a single country for the same election. The final four digits represent the year of the election. E.g., 03602013. AUSTRALIA (2013)

- variable D1004 ID VARIABLE - ELECTION STUDY (ALPHABETIC POLITY)
This variable is an alphanumeric code constructed from two components: the alpha-3 country codes assigned by the United Nations Statistics Division's. The remaining characters correspond to the year of the election.
E.g., USA_2012

<<>> POLITY IDENTIFIERS

Each Polity in CSES Module 4 is uniquely identified by two variables, namely:

- variable D1006_UN ID COMPONENT - POLITY UN CODE
This variable consists of the numeric country codes assigned by the United Nations Statistics Division to polities
E.g., 372. IRELAND (2011)
- variable D1006_NAM ID COMPONENT - POLITY NAME
This variable consists of polity names based on those used by the United Nations Statistics Division.
E.g., New Zealand

These polity identifiers allow for easy data bridging with other macro data sources such as the World Bank.

<<>> RESPONDENT IDENTIFIER

Respondents can be uniquely identified in the dataset by variable D1005. It is an 18-character identifier. The first three characters are the numeric version of the country codes assigned by the United Nations Statistics Division. If applicable, the fourth character distinguishes between multiple studies conducted within a single country, for the same election. If only one study is in place for the election, this digit is 0. The fifth through eighth characters correspond to the election year (see variable D1008). The last ten characters are the respondent identifier from D1009, which is unique within each election study.

>>> MISSING DATA

Multiple response categories can relate to missing data relating from not applicable to a respondent refusing to answer or failing to answer a question. Users should consult individual variables for the specific missing designations assigned to each variable.

For some election studies in which we could not distinguish among various answers, the code "missing" may include cases where respondents refused to answer the question, "don't know" responses, and cases where there a particular question went unanswered for other reasons. Moreover, while CSES guidelines request that the response categories "Refused" and "Don't Know" be volunteered responses, this was not always consistently applied. For instance, sometimes the options were offered explicitly to respondents in mail-back surveys, which do not have the benefit of an interviewer being present. To identify whether the response options were volunteered (or not) in a particular election study, users should refer to the original questionnaires of each country. These are available on the Module 4 page.

While there is no consistent CSES convention regarding the application of missing values, some commonalities exist, namely:

-
- Not applicable values are commonly designated as 7, 97, 997, 9997 etc...
 - Don't know values are commonly designated as 8, 98, 998, 9998 etc...
 - Missing values are commonly designated as 9, 99, 999, 9999 etc...

However, users are advised that the commonalities do not always hold and they are advised to consult individual variables for the specific missing designations assigned to the variable in question.

>>> WEIGHTS

CSES provides a number of weight measures in the CSES data (see variables D1010-D1014 inclusive). There is a strong degree of variance in the sample designs used in the national election studies included in CSES. Hence, the weights provided by collaborators vary significantly. Users are advised to read carefully about the different weights in CSES to ascertain whether their analyses should be subjected to weighting and if so which kind.

CSES provides users with up to three original weights from each national election study (see variable D1010_) namely:

- SAMPLE WEIGHT (variable D1010_1):
intended to correct for unequal selection probabilities resulting from booster samples procedures for selection within the household, non-response, or other sample design features
- DEMOGRAPHIC WEIGHT (variable D1010_2):
intended to adjust sample distributions of socio-demographic characteristics to more closely resemble the characteristics of the population
- POLITICAL WEIGHT (variable D1010_3):
intended to reconcile discrepancies in the reported electoral behavior of respondents vis-a-vis official electoral counts.

For more information on polity weights, users are advised to consult Part 6 of the CSES Codebook or the individual design reports of each study.

The remainder of the weight variables in the dataset are derivative variables, constructed from the original weights. They are:

- FACTOR WEIGHTS (variable D1011)
These variables report the mean weight of each type, within each polity. The resulting factors are then used to create the derivative Polity Weights (D1012 explained below)
- POLITY WEIGHTS (variable D1012)
These variables report standardized versions (with a mean 1 within the polity) of the original weights provided with the component election studies, described in D1010. They are the ratio of each weighting factor to the mean weight (D1011) of each type, calculated within each polity.
- SAMPLE SIZE ADJUSTMENT WEIGHT (variable D1013)
This variable reports the ratio of the average sample size to each election study sample. The resulting factor is then used to create the derivative Dataset Weights (D1014 explained below).
- DATASET WEIGHTS (variable D1014)
These variables are intended for micro-level analyses involving the entire CSES sample. Using the sample size adjustment (D1013), the centered weights (D1012) are corrected such that each election study component contributes equally to the analysis, regardless of the original sample size.

Details of the calculation of the above derivative weights, including the precise STATA code used to create the weights, can be found in the variable notes for variables D1011, D1012, D1013, and D1014.

Analysts are advised to read the weight documentation carefully to ensure that their analyses are weighted appropriately (if applicable). The CSES project does not provide advice as to which weights are appropriate to use in particular circumstances. This is best left to analysts to decide based on their detailed knowledge of the research question under investigation. We advise analysts to consult variable notes D1010-D1014 for more specific information on each politics weight and the derivative weights calculated for the Cross-National Dataset.

>>> FREEDOM STATUS OF ELECTIONS

The majority of studies that comprise CSES are collected in countries that have free or partly free elections. However, sometimes a collaborator will include the CSES module in a study of a polity that is a developing democracy or that is considered not free. If the data collection is judged to be of sufficiently high quality, the study is included in CSES datasets even if the country is considered to be not free. The decision regarding inclusion of particular polities in an analysis is thus left to users.

To assist users in making appropriate decisions concerning their analysis, CSES Module 4 includes two measures about the freedom and liberty of a polity in the year the election was held (and indeed the two preceding years), namely:

- FREEDOM HOUSE RATING (variables D5050)
Freedom House assigns a numerical rating of a polity on a scale of 1 to 7 providing an indication of freedom.
- POLITY IV DEMOCRACY-AUTOCRACY RATING (variables D5051)
Polity IV assigns a numerical rating to a polity on a scale of -10 to 10 indicating whether the country is strongly democratic or strongly autocratic.

Freedom House and Polity IV are not affiliated with the CSES project.

>>> CODING OF PARTIES/COALITIONS & LEADERS

CSES codes parties/coalitions in its dataset numerically and alphabetically. Below we provide explanations of both of these coding schemes. The details of each party/leader classification is available in Part 3 of the CSES Codebook.

<<>> CSES NUMERICAL PARTY/COALITION CODING

All parties/coalitions or presidential candidates, where applicable, participating in the election or the previous election receive a numerical code. These codes are used to identify the following:

- who a respondent's voted for in the current election (variable D3006).
- who the respondent voted for in the previous election (variable D3008).
- the respondent's party identification (variable D3018).
- the extent of institutional contact the respondent received from a party/coalition during the election campaign (variable D3021).

The numeric coding is also used to identify macro level information about the parties/coalitions, namely:

- which party/coalition held the presidency before and after the elections (variable D5007 and D5011).
- which party/coalition held the prime ministership before and after the elections (variable D5008 and D5012).

Where possible, the numeric codes assigned to parties/coalitions are consistent for the current and previous election. However, for the previous election (variable D3008) different codes might have been allocated for the same parties (for example a party in the current election might be standing

as party of a coalition but previously stood alone). Users are advised to consult the election study notes for variable D3008 for each country where details of deviations are noted.

<<>> CSES ALPHABETICAL PARTY/COALITION CODING

Parties A through F are the six most popular parties/coalitions, ordered in descending order of their share of the popular vote in the parliamentary election (unless otherwise stated). Thus Party A is the party/coalition that received the most votes in the election, party B the second most votes etc...

Parties/coalitions who achieve at least 1% of the vote nationally are eligible for an alphabetical A-F assignment. In countries with multiple electoral tiers and where one vote is cast, parties are ordered according to their vote share in tier 1 (the lowest tier), unless otherwise stated. In countries where voters have two votes (i.e.: a constituency and a list vote) simultaneously, for example Germany, parties are ordered by the national share of the party list vote (tier 2).

Parties G, H, and I are supplemental parties. They may, but do not have to, accord with how parties A-F are ordered, that is ordered on the popular share of the vote in a country. More often, they are codified in no particular order. These parties are voluntarily provided by each country's election study and often reflect important or notable parties within a country. They may also include data about individual parties within a coalition, where data about the coalition and the individual parties, or some of these parties that make it up, are provided. These codes are used to identify the following in the micro component of the CSES dataset:

- Respondent's likeability of the party/coalition (variable D3011).
- Respondent's left-right placement of the party/coalition (variable D3013).

These alphabetical codes are used to identify distinct and macro level information about these said parties/coalitions, namely:

- The said party/coalition's vote share in the respondent's electoral district (variable D4003).
- the said party/coalition's share of the vote in the election (variables D5001, D5002, & D5005).
- the said party/coalition's share of the seats in the election (variables D5003 & D5004).
- the said party/coalition's share of cabinet portfolios before and after the election (variable D5009 & D5013).
- expert judgements by the national collaborators of the said party/coalition's ideological family (variable D5016).
- expert judgements by the national collaborators of the said party/coalition's left-right placement (variable D5017).

<<>> CSES ALPHABETICAL LEADER CODING

Leaders A through F tend to be the leaders of the six most popular parties/coalitions or the presidential candidates of these parties. They correspond to parties A-F (i.e.: Leader A will be related to Party A in some way, Leader B will be related to Party B etc...)

Leaders G, H, and I are supplemental leaders. They may be related to parties G, H, I but they do not have to be. These leaders are voluntarily provided by each country's election study and often include data about additional personalities of interest. For example, in a parliamentary system, data about a President might be provided, even if the Presidency is not being contested. On many occasions, slots Leader G, H, and I will include additional data for parties/coalitions that have multiple leaders. These codes are used to identify the following in the micro and macro components of the CSES dataset:

- Respondent's likeability of the leader/personality in question (variable D3012).

>>> PROCESSING CHECKS OF MODULE 4 DATASET BY THE CSES SECRETARIAT

Besides processing Module 4 studies from individual polities to ensure they are fit for comparative analysis, which involves detailed checking of individual studies, a key role of the CSES Secretariat is to perform several checks on the Module 4 Dataset before it is released. These checks include (but are not confined to):

- CHECK OF DUPLICATE IDs
Identification of respondents with corresponding answers to all questions or respondent identification data that are similar
- INCONSISTENCY CHECKS
To identify sets of variables which are inconsistent, or could be perceived as inconsistent (e.g., strange skip pattern, incompatible answers to related questions). The CSES convention is not to change data that we receive from national collaborators. Instead, inconsistencies are noted in the CSES codebook under the appropriate variable and the data are left unchanged. This allows users to make the final determination on whether inconsistencies may affect their analyses.
- IRREGULAR AND EXTRAORDINARY CODE CHECKS
To identify irregular and extraordinary codes in the CSES Module 4 Dataset. Sometimes these irregular or extraordinary codes are legitimate in the sense that they may be accounted for by a polity deviation on a particular variable.
- THEORETICAL CHECKS
These checks explore expected relationships between variables that we might expect to occur (e.g., correlation between Political Efficacy and Satisfaction with Democracy). We do this by exploring distributions, correlation analysis, and regression analysis.
- VARIABLE AND VALUE LABEL CHECKS
Checking all variables in the CSES Module 4 Dataset to ensure they are appropriately assigned labels and documented in the CSES Codebook.

If you identify any potential issue with the CSES Module 4 data, please contact the CSES Secretariat by e-mail at: cses@umich.edu

))) CSES MODULE 4 BIBLIOGRAPHY

The below list constitutes a list of the primary sources that the CSES Secretariat has consulted in the development of CSES Module 4 Codebook and Data. The below list, while thorough, is not comprehensive. Instead, all sources not listed here are listed in the appropriate section of the Codebook that the information refers to.

ACE The Electoral Knowledge Network

http://aceproject.org/epic-en/CDTable?question=VR008&view=country&set_language=en

http://aceproject.org/epic-en/CDTable?question=VR004&view=country&set_language=en

(Date accessed: November 8, 2014)

ACE Electoral Knowledge Network - Electoral Systems Brazil

http://aceproject.org/ace-en/topics/es/esy/esy_br

(Date accessed: May 24, 2016)

Agpalo, R. (2004). Comments on the Omnibus Elections. Rex Printing Company, Inc, Quezon City.

Alles, S., Jones, M.P., & Tchintian, C. (2016). "The 2015 Argentine presidential and legislative elections" Electoral Studies, Vol. 43, 184-187. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2016.05.001

Available at: <http://www.bakerinstitute.org/files/10888/>

(Date accessed: 17 January 2017).

Altman, O. (n.d). "Argentina Electoral System" ACE Electoral Knowledge Network.
http://aceproject.org/regions-en/countries-and-territories/AR/case-studies/esy_ar (Date accessed: April 8, 2018).

American Federal Election Commission. Federal Elections 2012. Election Results for the U.S. President, the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House of Representatives.
<http://www.fec.gov/pubrec/fe2012/federal-elections2012.pdf>
 (Date accessed: December 4, 2014).

ANZSCO, Revision 1 and Version 1.2
<http://www.abs.gov.au/ANZSCO>
 (Date accessed: May 22, 2018)

Apfeld, B., & Branham, J.A. (2016). Campaign shocks and party support: evidence from Brazil's 2014 presidential election. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, Vol. 26(3), 336-353.
 doi: 10.1080/17457289.2016.1178647

Argentine Electoral Commission
http://www.elecciones.gob.ar/admin/ckfinder/userfiles/files/DN_DEFINITIVO%20x%20Distrito_GRALES_%202015.pdf
 (Date accessed: January 17, 2017)

Australian Electoral Commission
<http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseStateFirstPrefsByParty-17496-NAT.htm>
 (Date accessed: May 21, 2014)
<http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm>
 (Date accessed: June 30, 2014)

Austrian Minister of Interior, Electoral Results 2013 [in German].
<http://wahl13.bmi.gv.at/>
 (Date accessed: March 12, 2014)

Arter, D. (2015). A 'Pivotal Centre Party' Calls the Shots: The 2015 Finnish General Election. *West European Politics*, 38(6), 1345-1353.
 doi: 10.1080/01402382.2015.1058468

BBC News (2016). Brazil impeachment: Key questions.
<http://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-36028117>
 (Date accessed: May 30, 2016)

Berg, L., & Oscarsson, H. (2015). The Swedish general election 2014. *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 38, 91-93. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2014.11.001

Bernas, J. (1996). *The 1987 Constitution of the Republic of the Philippines: A Commentary*. Rex Printing Company, Inc, Quezon City.

Bulgarian Electoral Law [Izborni kodeks].
<https://www.cik.bg/bg/8>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Brazilian Election Commission.
<http://www.tse.jus.br/eleicoes/estatisticas/estatisticas-eleitorais-2014-resultado>
 (Date accessed: May 24, 2016)

Brazilian IBGE (government agency responsible for census and population estimates).
http://www.ibge.gov.br/english/estatistica/populacao/trabalhoerendimento/pnad2012/default_sintese.shtm
 (Date accessed: May 30, 2016)

British Office of National Statistics - Population Estimates Mid 2015:
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/file?uri=/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates/datasets/populationestimatesforukenglandandwalesandnorthernireland/mid2015/ukmye2015.zip>

(Date accessed: February 6, 2017)

Bulletin of the results of the election for National Deputies on October 5, 2014. Central Electoral Commission.
<https://results.cik.bg/pi2014/bulletin.html>

Bundeswahlleiter 2013 German Bundestag election results:
http://www.bundeswahlleiter.de/en/bundestagswahl/BTW_BUND_13/ergebnisse/bundesergebnisse/index.html (*)
 (Date accessed: November 8, 2014).

Bustanti, C. (2001). The 1998 Elections in Brazil. Electoral Studies, Vol. 20, 305-339.
 doi: 10.1016/S0261-3794(00)00035-4

Cabinet of Ministers official webpage (Latvia)
<http://mk.gov.lv/en>
 (Date accessed: January 4, 2018)

Camara de Diputados (Chamber of Deputies - The Lower Chamber of the Mexican parliament).
<http://www.diputados.gob.mx>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Camara de Senadores (Chamber of Senators - The Upper Chamber of the Mexican parliament)
<http://www.senado.gob.mx/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Canadian Election Law: Canada Election Act
<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/e-2.01/>
 (Date accessed: May 11, 2016)

Canadian Senate
<http://sen.parl.gc.ca/portal/about-senate-e.htm>
 (Date accessed: May 11, 2016)

Canadian Parliament: Canadian Federal Structure
<http://www.op.parl.gc.ca/About/Parliament/>
 (Date accessed: May 11, 2016)

Census and Statistics Department Hong Kong - Population Estimates
<http://www.censtatd.gov.hk/hkstat/sub/sp150.jsp?tableID=002&ID=0&productType=8>
 (Date accessed: September 27, 2016)

Central Electoral Commission of Bulgaria
<http://results.cik.bg/pi2014/rezultati/index.html>
 (Date accessed: December 2, 2014)

Central Election Commission of Latvia official webpage: The 11th Saeima Elections (Latvia)
<https://www.cvk.lv/pub/public/30182.html>
 (Date accessed: January 4, 2018)

Central Statistics Office of Ireland: <http://www.cso.ie/en/index.html>
 (Date accessed: August 12, 2013)

Cheesman, N., Lynch, G., & Willis, J. (2014). Democracy and its discontents: Understanding Kenya's 2013 elections. Journal of Eastern African studies, 8(1), 2-24. doi: 10.1080/17531055.2013.874105

Cheibub, J. A. (2007). Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, and Democracy. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Clarke, H. D., & et al. (2017). Like Father, Like Son: Justin Trudeau and Valence Voting in Canada's 2015 Federal Election. PS: Political Science & Politics, 50(3), 701-707. doi: 10.1017/S1049096517000452

Constituency-Level Elections Archive (CLEA)
<http://electiondataarchive.org/datacenter.html>

Date accessed: February 19, 2016

Constituency-Level Elections Archive (CLEA). 2014 Version.
<http://electiondataarchive.org/datacenter.html>
 (Date accessed: December 10, 2014)

Constitution of Ireland.
https://www.constitution.ie/Documents/Bhunreacht_na_hEireann_web.pdf
 (Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Constitutional Council of the French Republic
<http://www.conseil-constitutionnel.fr/conseil-constitutionnel/root/bank/download/cc2012listeCandidates.pdf>
 (Date accessed: April 5, 2018)

Congress of the Republic of Peru
<http://www.congreso.gob.pe>
 (Date accessed: January 7, 2018)

Constitution of the Republic of South Africa
<http://www.elections.org.za/content/WorkArea/LinkIt.aspx?LinkIdenti fier=i d&ItemID=948>
 (Date accessed: January 18, 2017)

Commission on Elections (Philippines) - List of Registered/Accredited Political Parties.
<http://www.comelec.gov.ph/?r=2016NLE/PoliticalParties>
 (Date accessed: May 15, 2018)

Cop, B. (2016). The June 2015 legislative election in Turkey. Electoral Studies, Vol. 41, 213-216. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2015.10.009

Courtney, M., & Gallagher, M. (2012). The parliamentary election in Ireland, February 2011. Electoral Studies 31, 222-242.
 doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2011.10.001

Czech Bureau of Statistics (2014). Age Distribution of the Population - 2013.
<https://www.czso.cz/csu/czso/age-distribution-of-the-population-2013-9pca2gng8n>
 (Date accessed: May 28, 2016).

Czech Statistical Office.
http://www.volby.cz/index_en.htm
 (Date accessed: April 20, 2016)

Czech Statistical Office. Election results 2013.
<http://volby.cz/pls/ps2013/ps61?xjazyk=EN&xv=1>
 (Date accessed: April 20, 2016)

Dezelan, T. (2012). The Early National Elections in Slovenia, 2011. Baltic Worlds. Available at: <http://balticworlds.com/in-slovenia-2011/>
 (Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Dinas, E., & Rori, L. (2013). The 2012 Greek Parliamentary Elections: Fear and Loathing in the Polls. West European Politics, 36(1), 270-282. doi: 10.1080/01402382.2013.742762

Dirección Nacional Electoral Argentina
http://www.elecciones.gob.ar/admin/ckfinder/userfiles/files/DN_DEFINITIVO%20x%20Distrito_GRALES_%202015.pdf
 Date accessed: March 7, 2017

Dirección Nacional Electoral Argentina (n.d.): Elecciones Generales – 25 de Octubre 2015. Available at:
http://www.elecciones.gob.ar/articulo_pri nc.php?secc=2&sub_secc=55
 (Date accessed: January 26, 2017)

Dirección Nacional Electoral Argentina (n.d.): Segunda Vuelta Presidencial , available at:
http://www.elecciones.gob.ar/admin/ckfinder/userfiles/files/2daVUELTA_Compa

raci on_Provi sori o-Defi ni ti vo. pdf
 (Date accessed: January 26, 2017)

Dis kin, A., & Hazan, R. Y. (2014). The parliamentary election in Israel, January 2013. *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 34, 373-376.
 doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.08.015

Dolezal, M. & Zeglovits, E. (2014). Almost an Earthquake: The Austrian Parliamentary Election of 2013. *West European Politics*, 37(3), 644-652.
 doi: 10.1080/01402382.2014.895524

Do Vale, H. F. (2016) Federal Political Fragmentation in Mexico's 2015 Elections. *Regional and Federal Studies*, 26(1), 121-138.
 doi: 10.1080/13597566.2015.1136928

Drzavna izborna komisija Crne Gore (Electoral Commission of Montenegro).
<http://www.dik.co.me/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Elections Canada
<http://www.elections.ca/res/rep/off/ovr2015app/home.html#1>
 Date accessed: January 28, 2018

Elections Canada (n.D). 2011 Election Results (Tables 3, 8, 9).
<http://www.elections.ca/scripts/ovr2011/default.html>
 (Date accessed: April 25, 2016)

Election Resources.org (n.D.). Iceland 2013 General Elections.
<http://www.electionresources.org/is/athing.php?election=2013&constituency=01>
 (Date accessed: January 14, 2015)

Election Resources.org (n.D.). Japan 2013 Upper House Elections.
<http://www.electionresources.org/jp/councillors.php?election=2013>
 (Date accessed: January 7, 2015)

Electoral Commission of Montenegro
<http://www.dik.co.me/>
 (Date accessed: November 14, 2013)

Electoral Commission of New Zealand.
<http://www.elections.org.nz/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Electoral Commission of New Zealand - Official election results 2011.
http://www.electionresults.govt.nz/electionresults_2011
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

ElectionResources on the Internet: Brazil 2014 Senate Elections
<http://www.electionresources.org/br/senators.php?election=2014>
 (Date accessed: May 24, 2016)

Election Resources on the Internet: Federal Elections in Mexico.
http://www.electionresources.org/mx/index_en.html
 (Date accessed: April 23, 2014)

Election Resources on the Internet: Greek Parliamentary Elections
<http://electionresources.org/gr/vouli.php?election=2012>
 (Date accessed: September 26, 2014)

Election Resources on the Internet: Greek Parliamentary Elections
 (Date accessed: February 23, 2015)

Election Resources on the Internet: Elections to the Icelandic Althing
<http://www.electionresources.org/is/athing.php?election=2013&constituency=01>
 (Date accessed: January 14, 2015)

Elections Ireland: Irish election results 2011.
<http://electionsireland.org/results/general/31dail.cfm>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Electoral Act 73 of 1998 including Regulations (South Africa)
<http://www.elections.org.za/content/Documents/Laws-and-regulations/Elections/Electoral-Act-73-of-1998-including-Regulations/>
 (Date accessed: January 18, 2017)

Electoral Commission of South Africa
<http://www.elections.org.za/content/>
 (Date accessed: January 18, 2017)

Endre, S. (2015). Election note on the 2013 Norwegian election.
 Electoral Studies, Vol. 38, 98-101. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2014.12.004

European Election Database (EED)
http://eed.nsd.uib.no/webview/index.jsp?study=http%3A%2F%2F129.177.90.166%3A80%2Fobj%2Fstudy%2FFRPR2012&mode=cube&v=2&cube=http%3A%2F%2F129.177.90.166%3A80%2Fobj%2Fcube%2FFRPR2012_C1&top=yes
 (Date accessed: January 29, 2018)

European Election Database (n.D.). Norway Parliamentary Election 2013.
http://eed.nsd.uib.no/webview/index.jsp?study=http%3A%2F%2F129.177.90.166%3A80%2Fobj%2Fstudy%2Fnopa2013&mode=cube&v=2&cube=http%3A%2F%2F129.177.90.166%3A80%2Fobj%2Fcube%2Fnopa2013_C1&top=yes
 (Date accessed: May 25, 2016)

European Election Database (n.D.). Poland Parliamentary Election 2011.
http://www.nsd.uib.no/european_election_database/country/poland/
 (Date accessed: March 12, 2015)

European Union Election Observation Mission to Kenya - General Elections 2013
http://www.eods.eu/library/eu-eom-kenya-2013-final-report_en.pdf
 (Date accessed: May 15, 2018)

Federal Election Commission USA (2012). Official General Election Results For United States President, November 6, 2012. Federal Election Commission.
<http://www.fec.gov/pubrec/fe2012/federal-elections2012.shtml>
 (Date accessed: February 18, 2015)

<http://www.fec.gov/pubrec/fe2012/2012pres.pdf>
 (Date accessed: May 22, 2018)

Finish Election Study Portal (n.D.). Available at:
<http://www.vaalitutkimus.fi/en/>
 (Date accessed: March 27, 2017)

Fink-Hafner, D. (2012). Slovenia. European Journal of Political Research
 Political Data Yearbook, Vol. 51(1), 288-296.
 doi: 10.1111/j.2047-8852.2012.00031.x

Finlex (2014). Government regulation of MPs.
<http://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/smur/2014/20140935>
 (Date accessed: October 25, 2016)

Fisher, J., Cutts, D., Fieldhouse, E., & Rottweiler, B. (2018). The Impact of Electoral Context on the Electoral Effectiveness of District-Level Campaigning: Popularity Equilibrium and the Case of the 2015 British General Election. Political Studies, 1-20.
 doi: 10.1177/0032321718764800

Freedom House (2018). Freedom in the World Comparative and Historical Data: Country and Territory Ratings and Statuses, 1973-2018.
 Available at: <https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/Country%20and%20Territory%20Ratings%20and%20Statuses%20FIW1973-2018.xlsx>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Freedom House - Freedom in the World 2015 - Kenya
<https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world/2015/kenya>
 (Date accessed: May 15, 2018)

Gallagher, M., & M. Marsh (eds.). 2011. How Ireland Voted 2011.

Basingstoke: Palgrave-Macmillan.

General Election for the National Parliament of the Republic of Ireland 2011.
http://www.oireachtas.ie/documents/publications/2011_Electoral_Handbookrev.pdf
 (Date accessed: August 12, 2013)

German Election Commission (Bundeswahlleiter)
http://www.bundeswahlleiter.de/en/bundestagswahlen/BTW_BUND_13/ergebnisse/bundesergebnisse/
 (Date accessed: November 8, 2014)

German Election Study
<http://www.gles.eu/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Gherghina, S. (2015). The Romanian presidential election, November 2014. Electoral Studies, 38, 109-114. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2015.01.008

Gherghina, S. & Jigla, G. (2012). Where does the mechanism collapse?: Understanding the 2008 Romanian Electoral System. Representation, Vol. 48(4), 445-59. doi: 10.1080/00344893.2012.720889

Golder, M. (n.d.). Democratic Electoral Systems Around the World (DES) dataset.
<http://homepages.nyu.edu/~mrg217/elections.html> (*)

Goulart, P., & Veiga, F.J. (2016). Portuguese 2015 legislative elections: How economic voting, the median voter and unemployment led to 'the times they are a'changin'?. Electoral Studies, Vol. 43, 197-200. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2016.05.004

Government of Hong Kong (n.d.). Legislative Council Election 2012.
http://www.elections.gov.hk/legco2012/eng/tt_gc_LC1.html
 (Date accessed: August 10, 2016)

Government of Hong Kong (2014). Legislative Council Election Report.
http://www.eac.gov.hk/en/legco/2012/legco_detail_report.htm
 (Date accessed: December 1, 2016)

Government of the Republic of Serbia.
<http://www.srbija.gov.rs/>
<http://www.arhiva.srbija.gov.rs/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Great Britain, Election Resources.org
<http://www.electionresources.org/uk/>
 (accessed: March 6, 2016)

Great Britain, Urban-Rural Classifications Scotland
<http://www.gov.scot/Topics/Statistics/About/Methodology/UrbanRuralClassification>
 (Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Great Britain, Urban-Rural Classifications England and Wales
https://www.ons.gov.uk/file?uri=/methodology/geography/geographicalproducts/ruralurbanclassification/2011ruralurbanclassification/rucoal_eafl_etmay2015tcm77406351.pdf
 (Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Greek iGraphics Electoral Results
<http://www.igraphics.gr/en/multimedia/2012/06/elections2012b>
 (Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Greek Ministry of Interior
<http://www.ekloges.ypes.gr/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Greek Ministry of Interior (n.d.). Parliamentary Elections June 2012.

[http://ekloges-prev.singulalogic.eu/v2012b/public/index.html?lang=en#{\"cls\":\"eps\",\"params\":{}}](http://ekloges-prev.singulalogic.eu/v2012b/public/index.html?lang=en#{\)
(Date accessed: September 8, 2014)

Greek Ministry of Interior (n.d.). Parliamentary Elections September 2015.
<http://www.ekloges.ypes.gr/current/v/public/index.html?lang=en#{>
(Date accessed: April 4, 2018)

Gronlund, K., & Wass, H. eds. (2016). Poliittisen osallistumisen erityymien. Eduskuntavaalitutkimus 2015. Selvityksiä ja ohjeita 28/2016. Helsinki: oikeusministerio. Available at:
http://www.fsd.uta.fi/~vaalit/Eduskuntavaalitutkimus_2015.pdf
(Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Hardman, H. (n.d.). Electoral System Change in Europe since 1945: Slovenia. ESCE Electoral system change in Europe since 1945. Available at:
<http://www.electoralsystemchanges.eu/Public/File.php?ID=171>
(Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Haughton, T., & Krasovec, A. (2013). The 2011 parliamentary elections in Slovenia, Electoral Studies, Vol. 32(1), 201-204.
doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2012.12.004.

Hawkins, O., Keen, R., & Nakatudde, N. (2015). General Election 2015. House of Commons Library, Briefing Paper Number CBP7186. Available at:
researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/CBP-7186/CBP-7186.pdf
(Date accessed: March 6, 2016)

Hewlett, N. (2012). Voting in the shadow of the crisis. The French presidential and parliamentary elections of 2012. Modern & Contemporary France, 20(4), 403-420. doi: 10.1080/09639489.2012.721184

Hlavac, M. (2016). Performance of political parties in the 2016 parliamentary election in Slovakia: regional comparisons and district-level determinants. Regional & Federal Studies, Vol. 26(3), 433-443.
doi: 10.1080/13597566.2016.1178114.

Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J. H.P., & Krebs, D. (2014): Different Methods of Survey Sampling in Germany. In Ferligoj, A. and Kranberger A. (eds.): Developments in Data Analysis. Metodoloski zvezki, 12, Ljubljana: FDV, 1996. Available at:
<http://dk.fdv.uni-lj.si/metodoloski/zvezki/Pdfs/Mz12HoffmeyerzlotnikKrebs.pdf>
(Date accessed: November 11, 2014)

Iceland Information on the Parliamentary Election 2013
<http://www.kosning.is/althingiskosningar/>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Icelandic Election Commission
<http://www.landskjor.is/kosningamal/althingiskosningar-2013/>
(Date accessed: January 12, 2015)

IDEA Voter Turnout Australia
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?id=15>
(Date accessed: May 21, 2014)

IDEA Voter Turnout Brazil
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?id=30>
(Date accessed: May 24, 2016)

IDEA Voter Turnout Canada
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?id=37>
(Date accessed: April 25, 2016)

IDEA Voter Turnout Germany
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?CountryCode=DE>
(Date accessed: September 8, 2014)

IDEA Voter Turnout Iceland
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?CountryCode=IS>
 (Date accessed: January 12, 2015)

IDEA Voter Turnout Israel
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?CountryCode=IL>
 (Date accessed: February 20, 2016)

IDEA Voter Turnout Norway
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?CountryCode=NO>
 (Date accessed: April 26, 2016)

IDEA Voter Turnout Portugal
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?id=184>
 (Date accessed: May 2, 2016)

IDEA Voter Turnout Sweden
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?CountryCode=SE>
 (Date accessed: January 13, 2016)

IDEA Voter Turnout United States
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?CountryCode=US/>
 (Date accessed: December 28, 2014)

IFE: Mexican electoral system
http://www.ife.org.mx/portal/sitio/fev2/The_Mexican_Electoral_System/
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

IFES Election Guide, Election for Argentinian Chamber of Deputies
 available at: <http://www.electinguide.org/elections/id/2471/>
 (Date accessed: April 8, 2018)

IGraphics.gr
<http://www.igraphics.gr/en/multimedia/2012/06/elections2012b>
 (Date accessed: September 8, 2014)

Ikstens, J. (2015). Latvia. *European Journal of Political Research*
Political Data Yearbook, 54, 181–189. doi : 10.1111/2047-8852.12096

Independent Electoral and Boundaries Commission
<https://www.iebc.or.ke/docs/4TH%20MARCH%202013%20GENERAL%20ELECTION%20DATA.pdf>
 (Date accessed: February 2, 2017)

Indridason, I. H., Öennudóttir, E. H., Dorisdóttir, H., & Hardarson, O. P.
 (2016). Re-electing the Culprits of the Crisis? Elections in the
 Aftermath of a Recession. *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 40(1), 28-60.
 doi : 10.1111/1467-9477.12081.

INFogob; Mapa Político Electoral del Perú
<http://www.infogob.com.pe/>
 (Date accessed: January 7, 2018)

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA)
<http://www.idea.int/vt/countryview.cfm?id=141>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA)
 (n. D.). Montenegro.
<http://www.idea.int/data-tools/country-view/203/40>
 Date accessed: March 15, 2017

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA)
 (n. D.). Philippines. Available at:
<http://www.idea.int/data-tools/country-view/240/40>
 (Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA)
 (n. D.). Taiwan. Available at:
<http://www.idea.int/data-tools/country-view/290/40>
 (Date accessed: March 23, 2017)

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA)
 (n.D.). Voter Turnout Database. Available at:
<http://www.idea.int/data-tools/data/voter-turnout>
 (Date accessed: May 14, 2018)

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA)
 (n.D.). What is compulsory voting? Available at:
<https://www.idea.int/data-tools/data/voter-turnout/compulsory-voting>
 (Date accessed: April 8, 2018)

International Labour Organization - ISCO - International Standard
 Classification of Occupations.
<http://www.ilo.org/public/english/bureau/stat/isco/>
 (Date accessed: May 14, 2018)

International Organization for Standardization - ISO 3166 - Codes for the
 representation of names of countries and their subdivisions.
<https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#search/code/>
 (Date accessed: May 14, 2018)

Inter Parliamentary Union Database
<http://www.ipu.org/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (2017): Argentina Chamber of Deputies.
 Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2011_E.htm
 (Date accessed: January 26, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Australia, House of Representatives.
 Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2016_13.htm
 (Date accessed: February 9, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Australia, Senate. Available at:
http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2016_13.htm
 (Date accessed: February 9, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Austria, Nationalrat (National Council)
 Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2017_E.htm
 (Date accessed: June 16, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Brazil Camara dos Deputados (Chamber of
 Deputies). Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2043_A.htm
 (Date accessed: November 14, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Brazil Senado Federal (Federal Senate).
 Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2044_E.htm
 (Date accessed: November 14, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Bulgaria Narodno sabranie (National
 Assembly). Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2045_E.htm
 (Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Canada House of Commons.
 Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2055_11.htm
 (Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Canada House of Commons.
 Available at: http://archive.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2055_A.htm
 (Date accessed: January 12, 2018)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Czech Republic Poslanecka Snemovna
 (Chamber of Deputies). Available at:
http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2083_A.htm
 (Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Finland. Eduskunta - Riiksdagen
 (Parliament). Available at:
http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2111_e.htm
 (Date accessed: October 26, 2016)

=====

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Greece, Vouli Ton Ellinon (Hellenic Parliament). Available at:
www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2125_12_June.htm
(Date accessed: February 9, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Greece, Hellenic Parliament. Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2125_15_Jan.htm,
(Date accessed: February 22, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Iceland, Althingi (Parliament). Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2143_13.htm
(Date accessed: February 9, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Ireland Dail Eireann (House of Representatives). Available at http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2153_11.htm
(Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Israel Knesset (Parliament). Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2155_A.htm
(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Japan, Sangiin (House of Councillors). Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2162_13.htm
(Date accessed: February 9, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.) Kenya National Assembly. Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2167_E.htm
(Date accessed: March 8, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.). Latvia Saeima (Parliament). Available at: http://archive.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2177_11.htm
(Date accessed: January 05, 2018)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.). Latvia, Parliament. Available at: http://archive.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2177_E.htm
(Date accessed: January 12, 2018)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Mexico Camara de Diputados (Chamber of Deputies). Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2211_E.htm
(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Montenegro Skupstina (Parliament). Available at http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2385_12.htm
(Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): New Zealand House of Representatives. Available at http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2233_11.htm
(Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): New Zealand House of Representatives. Available at: http://archive.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2233_14.htm
(Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Norway, Parliament. Available at: http://archive.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2239_13.htm
(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.). Peru, Congress of the Republic. Available at: http://archive.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2251_E.htm
(Date accessed: January 17, 2018)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Philippines, House of Representatives. Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2253_E.htm
(Date accessed: March 6, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Poland, Sejm (Sejm). Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2255_11.htm
(Date accessed: February 14, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Poland, Senat (Senate). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2256_11.htm

(Date accessed: February 14, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Portugal Assembleia da República (Assembly of the Republic). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2257_E.htm

(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Romania Camera Deputatilor (Chamber of Deputies). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2261_12.htm

(Date accessed: January 30, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Romania Senatul (Senate). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2262_12.htm

(Date accessed: January 30, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Serbia Narodna skupstina (National Assembly). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2355_12.htm

(Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Slovakia Narodna rada (National Council).

Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2285_E.htm

(Date accessed: January 20, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Slovenia Drzavni Zbor (National Assembly). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2287_11.htm

(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): South Africa National Assembly. Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2291_E.htm

(Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): South Korea Kuk Hoe (National Assembly).

Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2259_12.htm

(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Sweden Riksdagen (Parliament). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/2303_E.htm

(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Switzerland Nationalrat-Conseil national - Consiglio nazionale (National Council). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2305_11.htm

(Date accessed: March 23, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Thailand Saphaphuthan Ratsadon (House of Representatives). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2311_11.htm

(Date accessed: March 23, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): Turkey Turkiye Buyuk Millet Meclisi (T.B.M.M) (Grand National Assembly of Turkey). Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2323_15_June.htm

(Date accessed: November 15, 2016)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): United States Of America, House of Representatives. Available at:

http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2339_12.htm

(Date accessed: February 14, 2017)

Inter-Parliamentary Union (n.D.): United States Of America, Senate.

Available at: http://www.ipu.org/parline-e/reports/arc/2340_12.htm

(Date accessed: February 14, 2017)

Irish election - February 25, 2011

http://www.tcd.ie/Political_Science/staff/michael_gallagher/Election2011.ph

(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

ISCED 2011.

<http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/ISCEDMappings/Pages/default.aspx>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

ISO 3166-1 Country Codes

<https://www.iso.org/iso-3166-country-codes.html>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Israeli Election Commission

<http://www.votes-19.gov.il/nationalresults>
 (Date accessed: February 20, 2016)

Israeli Parliament (Knesset)

https://www.knesset.gov.il/description/eng/eng_mishal_beh.htm
 (Date accessed: February 22, 2016)

Israel Ministry of Foreign Affairs

http://mfa.gov.il/MFA/AboutIsrael/History/Pages/Elections_in_Israel_January_2013.aspx
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Jacobs, A. (2012): "President of Taiwan is re-elected, a result that is likely to please China". New York Times, January 14, 2012. Available at: http://www.nytimes.com/2012/01/15/world/asia/taiwan-presidential-election.html?_r=0
 (Date accessed: June 16, 2016)

Kernell, G. (2014). The 2013 parliamentary election in Australia. Electoral Studies, Vol. 34, 357-361. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.12.002

Kim, Y. (2014). The 2012 parliamentary and presidential elections in South Korea. Electoral Studies, Vol. 34, 326-330. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.08.013

King, R. F., & Marian, C. G. (2014). Antagonism and austerity: The December 2012 Romanian parliamentary elections. Electoral Studies, Vol. 34, 315-321. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.09.012

Kostadinova, P., & Popova, M. (2015). The 2014 parliamentary elections in Bulgaria. Electoral Studies, Vol. 38, 114-118. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2015.01.009

Krasovec, A., & Haughton, T. (2012). Election Briefing No 69. Europe and The Parliamentary Elections in Slovenia, December 2011, EPERN. Available at: <http://www.sussex.ac.uk/sei/research/europeanpartieselectionsreferendumsnetwork/epernellectionbriefings>
 (Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Krasovec, A., & Lajh, D. (2013). The Chameleonic Character of the Slovenian Presidents of the Republic, in Vit Hloušek et al. eds. Presidents above Parties? Masaryk University: Brno.

Landskjonstjorn Elections to the Althingi

<http://www.landskjon.is/media/fretti/Analysisellection2013.pdf>
 (Date accessed January 14, 2015).

Lazar, M.-I. (2015). Reinforcing Democracy through Internet and Social Networks Participation: Votes, Voters and Elected Behavioral Outcomes in Romanian Presidential Elections (2014). Revista de Stiinte Politice, 46, 63-72. ISSN: 1584224X.

LeDuc, L. (2012). The federal election in Canada, May 2011. Electoral Studies, Vol. 31(1), 239-242. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2011.12.002

Legislative Council Election 2012, Hong Kong

http://www.elections.gov.hk/legco2012/eng/introd_gc_hki.html
 (Date accessed: December 1, 2016)

Liao, D., Lin, C.-H., Boyu, C. (2012): The Effects of Electoral Rules Upon Legislators' Campaign Promises and Legislative Performance - A

Comparison of Taiwan Legislative Yuan between its Sixth Term (2005-2008) and Seventh Term (2008-2012). Available at:
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2137499
 (Date accessed: May 9, 2018)

Linek, L. (2013). The Czech Republic. European Journal of Political Research Political Data Yearbook 2012, 52(1), 50-55.
 doi: 10.1111/2047-8852.12006

Linek, L. (2014). The Czech Republic, European Journal of Political Research Political Data Yearbook 2013, 53(1), 92-103.
 doi: 10.1111/2047-8852.12043

L'Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques, France.
<http://www.insee.fr>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Lipicer, S.K., & Tos, N. (2013). An Analysis of Electoral Behaviour and Choice Of The First Early National Parliamentary Elections Held in Slovenia in 2011. Teorija in praksa, Vol. 1, 3-4, 503-529.

Lupu, N. (2016). The End of the Kirchner Era. Journal of Democracy, Vol. 27(2), 35-49. doi: 10.1353/jod.2016.0033

Mader, M. (2014). The German federal election, September 2013. Electoral Studies, Vol. 34, 353-356.
 doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.12.004

McNulty, S. (2017). Peru 2016: Continuity and change in an electoral year. Revista de Ciencia Política, 37, 563-587.
 doi: 10.4067/s0718-090x2017000200563

Merle, P., & Patterson, D. (2014). The French parliamentary and presidential elections of 2012. Electoral Studies 34, 291-379.
 doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.08.014

Ministère de l'Intérieur, France (n.d.). Résultats de l'élection présidentielle 2012.
[http://www.interieur.gouv.fr/fr/Elections/Les-resultats/Presidentielles/election_2012/PR2012/\(path\)/PR2012/index.html](http://www.interieur.gouv.fr/fr/Elections/Les-resultats/Presidentielles/election_2012/PR2012/(path)/PR2012/index.html)
<http://www.data.gouv.fr>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Ministry of Local Government and Modernisation, Norway.
<http://valgresultat.no/?type=st&ar=2013>
 (Date accessed: May 25, 2016)

Ministry of Justice Finland (2015). Parliament election 2015 - Result.
<http://tulospalvelu.vaalit.fi/E-2015/en/asktila.html>
 (Date accessed: October 25, 2016)

Mueller, S., & Dardanelli, P. (2013). The parliamentary and executive elections in Switzerland, 2011. Electoral Studies, 32(1), 197-201.
 doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2012.10.004

National Electoral Institute, Mexico.
<http://www.ife.org.mx/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

National Office of Electoral Processes, Peru.
<https://www.web.onpe.gob.pe/modElecciones/ecciones/ecciones/2016/PRPCP2016/Resumen-GeneralCongreso.html#posicion>
 (Date accessed: February 26, 2018)

National Statistical Office Serbia (2012): Elections of the representatives in the National Assembly of the Republic of Serbia and for the President of the Republic of Serbia: May 2012. Belgrade. [in Serbian].

National Statistical Office, Thailand.
<http://popcensus.nso.go.th/>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

New Zealand Electoral Commission
http://www.electionresults.govt.nz/electionresults_2011/
 (Date accessed: October 17, 2013)

New Zealand Electoral Commission
http://www.electionresults.govt.nz/electionresults_2014/electoratestatus.html
 (Date accessed: August 10, 2016)

New Zealand Electoral Commission, Wellington (2014). Official Count Results - Electorate Status.
 (Date accessed: August 10, 2016)

New Zealand Parliament (n.d.). Electorate Profiles.
<https://www.parliament.nz/en/mps-and-electoralates/electorate-profiles/>
 (Date accessed: December 14, 2016)

Nicolau, J. (2008). The presidential and congressional elections in Brazil, October 2006, *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 27, 170-175.
 doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2007.07.002

Nolledo, J. (1996). *The Omnibus Election Code of the Philippines* National Book Store, Inc, Metro Manila.

Northrup, D. (2012). *The 2011 Canadian Election Survey Technical Documentation*. York University: Institute for Social Research.
 Available at:
<http://groups.chass.utoronto.ca/pol242/2011%20CES%20Documentation%20geo.pdf>
 (Date accessed: May 14, 2018)

Norwegian Storting
<https://www.stortinget.no/en/In-English/About-the-Storting/Elections/>
 (Date accessed: April 28, 2016)

Nurmi, H., & Nurmi, L. (2015). The parliamentary election in Finland April 19, 2015. *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 40, 433-438.
 doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2015.07.001

Obradovic-Wochnik, J., & Wochnik, A. (2014). Invalid ballots and the "crisis of representative democracy": Re-inventing protest at the 2012 Serbian elections. *East European Politics and Societies and Cultures*, 28(4), 808-835. doi: 10.1177/0888325414547430

Office for National Statistics - Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) 2010 - SOC2010 to ISC08 mapping. Available at:
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards/standardoccupationalclassification/soc/soc2010>
 (Date accessed: May 14, 2018)

Office for National Statistics - The National Statistics Socio-economic classification (NS-SEC). Available at:
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards/otherclassifications/thenationalstatisticsocioeconomicclassification/nssec2010>
 (Date accessed: May 14, 2018)

Oficina Nacional de Procesos Electorales (ONPE), Perú
<http://www.onpe.gob.pe>
 (Date accessed: 7 January 2018)

Pammett, J. H., & Dornan, C. (2016). *The Canadian Federal Election of 2015*. Dundurn.

Paret, M. (2016). Contested ANC hegemony in the urban townships: Evidence from the 2014 South African election. *African Affairs*, Vol. 115 (460), 419-442. doi: 10.1093/afraf/adw025

Parliament of Canada.
<http://www.lop.parl.gc.ca>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Parliaments and Government Database (ParlGov)
<http://www.parlgov.org/#data>
 (Date accessed: April 4, 2018)

Pasimio, R. (1991). The Philippine Constitution (Its Evolution and Development) and Political Science. National Book Store, Inc, Metro Manila.

Pekkanen, R. J., Reed, S.R., & Smith, D.M. (2016). Japanese Politics Between the 2012 and 2014 Elections, in: Robert J. Pekkanen, Steven R. Reed, and Ethan Scheiner (eds.): Japan Decides 2014, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan, 9-21. doi: 10.1057/9781137552006_2.

Permanent Electoral Authority Romania
<http://alegeri.roatp.ro/>
 (Date accessed: January 26, 2018)

Plateforme française d'ouverture des données publiques (Open Data).
<http://www.data.gouv.fr>
 (Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Poland National Electoral Commission (Panstwowa Komisja Wyborcza).
<http://wybory2011.pkw.gov.pl/wsw/en/000000.html>
 (Date accessed: March 12, 2015)

Portuguese Ministry of Interior (n.D.). Election 2015.
<http://www.eleicoes.mai.gov.pt/legislativas2015/>
 (Date accessed: May 2, 2016)

Portuguese Ministry of Internal Administration
<http://www.eleicoes.mai.gov.pt/legislativas2015/>
 (Date accessed: May 2, 2016)

Portuguese Election Commission (n.D.). Election 2015 results.
<https://dre.pt/application/file/70722536>
 (Date accessed: May 2, 2016)

PRESS ASSOCIATION (PA) numbers
http://election.pressassociation.com/Constituencies/general_2015_constit_by_number.php
 (Date accessed: March 6, 2017)

Pryce, P. (2012). The 2011 parliamentary election in Latvia. Electoral Studies, 31(3), 613-616. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2012.05.006

Psephos Adam Carr: Greek 2012 (June) election.
<http://psephos.adam-carr.net/countries/g/greece/greece20123.txt>
 (Date accessed: September 8, 2014)

Psephos Adam Carr: 2011 Irish general election.
<http://psephos.adam-carr.net/countries/i/ireland/ireland20112.txt>
 (Date accessed: August 12, 2013)

Report by the House of Commons on the 2015 British General Election researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/CBP-7186/CBP-7186.pdf
 (Date accessed: March 6, 2016)

Republic of Serbia - Republican Electoral Commission
<http://www.rik.parlament.gov.rs>
 (Date accessed: August 10, 2013)

Republic of the Philippines - National Government Portal
<http://www.gov.ph/about/gov/>(*)

Ribando Seelke, Clare (2012). Mexico's 2012 Elections. Congressional Research Service Report for Congress. <http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/R42548.pdf>
 (Date accessed: April 2, 2014)

Rohrschneider, R., & Schmitt-Beck, R. (2017). Introduction:

Parties and Voters at the 2013 German Federal Election. *German Politics*, 26(1), 1-11. doi: 10.1080/09644008.2016.1182503

Saeima official webpage: Election of the Saeima and History of the Legislature (Latvia)

<http://www.saeima.lv/en/about-saeima/saeimas-velšanas-1>

<http://www.saeima.lv/en/about-saeima/history-of-the-legislature>

(Date accessed: 04 January 2018)

Schmidt, G. (2016). A split decision: The 2016 Peruvian general election and presidential runoff. *Electoral Studies*, (44), 451-454.

doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2016.08.010

Seelke, C. R. (2012). Mexico's 2012 Elections. Congressional Research Service, 7-5700, www.crs.gov, R42548. Available at:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/R42548.pdf>

(Date accessed: April 2, 2014)

Serbian Electoral Commission.

http://www.rik.parlament.gov.rs/index_l.htm

(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Serra, G. (2014). The 2012 elections in Mexico: Return of the dominant party. *Electoral Studies* 34, 349-353.

doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.08.010

Sinpeng, A., & Kuhonta, E.M. (2012). From the street to the ballot box: The July 2011 elections and the rise of social movements in Thailand. *Contemporary Southeast Asia* 34(3), 389-415. doi: 10.1355/cs34-3d

South China Morning Post (2012): DAB fares best, taking 13 seats, thanks for vote-splitting tactic. Available at:

<http://www.scmp.com/news/hong-kong/article/1033709/dab-fares-best-taking-13-seats-thanks-vote-splitting-tactic>

(Date accessed: December 1, 2016)

Slovenian State Election Commission: National Assembly of Slovenia 2014 Elections

<http://www.dvk-rs.si/index.php/en/elections/national-assembly-of-the-republic-of-slovenia>

(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia.

<http://webrzs.stat.gov.rs/>

(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Statistical Office of the Slovak Republic.

<http://volbysr.sk/en/parties.html>

(Date accessed: February 2, 2017)

Statistik Austria

http://www.statistik.at/web_en/statistics/population/demographic_forecasts/population_forecasts/index.html

(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Statistics Finland (2015). Appendix table 1 Number of candidates by party and constituency in Parliamentary elections 2015.

http://www.stat.fi/til/evaa/2015/01/evaa_2015_01_2015-04-10_tau_001_en.html

(Date accessed: October 25, 2016)

Statistics Finland (2015). Numbers of votes cast for the parties and voting turnout by municipality in Parliamentary elections 2015 and change from the previous elections.

http://pxnet2.stat.fi/PXWeb/pxweb/en/StatFin/StatFin__vaa__evaa__evaa_2015/140_evaa_tau_104.px/?rxid=c2f51671-c283-4b81-aa06-d14c9854e9bd

(accessed October 25, 2016)

Statistics Iceland

<http://www.statice.is>

(Date accessed: January 14, 2015)

Statistics Iceland

<http://www.statice.is/Statistics/Elections>
(Date accessed: January 14, 2015)

Statistics New Zealand (Stats NZ)

<https://www.stats.govt.nz/>
(Date accessed: November 2016)

Statistics Norway - Storting Election 9 September 2013

<https://www.ssb.no/en/valg/statistikker/stortingvalg/hvert-4-aar/2013-10-29?fane=tabelle&sort=nummer&tabelle=145249>
(Date accessed: April 26, 2016)

Statistics Norway - Persons entitled to vote Election 9 September 2013:

<https://www.ssb.no/en/valg/statistikker/stemmerettst>
(Date accessed: April 26, 2016)

Statistics Sweden

<http://www.val.se/val/val2014/slutresultat/R/rike/>
(Date accessed: April 30, 2018)

Stegmaier, M., & Linek, L. (2014). The parliamentary election in the Czech Republic, October 2013. *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 35, 385-388.
doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2014.03.001

Storli, E. (2015). Election note on the 2013 Norwegian election. *Electoral Studies*, Vol. 38, 98-101. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2014.12.004

Stortinget (Norwegian Parliament) - Parliamentary Elections

<https://www.stortinget.no/en/In-English/About-the-Storting/Elections/>
(Date accessed: April 28, 2016)

Superior Electoral Court (Brazil)

<http://www.tse.jus.br/eleicoes/estatisticas/estatisticas-candidaturas-2014/estatisticas-eleitorais-2014>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Swedish Election Commission

http://www.val.se/val/val2014/slutresultat/protokoll/protokoll_00R.pdf
(Date accessed: January 13, 2016)

Swiss election results

<http://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/portal/de/index/themen/17/02.html>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Swiss Statistics

<http://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/portal/en/index.html>
(Date accessed: September 30, 2013)
https://www.bk.admin.ch/ch/d/nrw/nrw11/list/kt_index.html
(Date accessed: April 7, 2018)
http://www.politik-stat.ch/nrw2011KT_de.html
(Date accessed: April 7, 2018)

Tan, A. C., Dawn, M.-C., & Borthwick, S. (2014). New Zealand parliamentary elections of 2011. *Electoral Studies* 34, 291-294.
doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2013.08.009

Taiwan: Ministry of Interior, Department of Statistics.

<http://www.moi.gov.tw/stat/english/interior.asp>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The Carter Center (n.D.). Observing Kenya's March 2013 National Elections - Final Report.

www.cartercenter.org/resources/pdfs/news/peace_publications/election_reports/kenya-final-101613.pdf
(Date accessed: May 15, 2018)

The Commission on Elections, Republic of the Philippines (COMELEC)

<http://www.comelec.gov.ph>
(Date accessed: February 27, 2017)

=====

The Electoral Act 1993 (with amendments) / New Zealand.

<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1993/0087/latest/DLM307519.html>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The Electoral Commission's Report on the 2011 election in New Zealand.

<http://www.elections.org.nz/events/past-events-0/2011-general-election/reports-and-surveys-2011-general-election/electoral-0>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The Federal Electoral Institute of Mexico - Electoral.

<http://siceef.ife.org.mx/pef2012/SICEEF2012.html>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The Federal Returning Officer: Endgültiges Ergebnis der Erst- und Zweitstimmen nach Wahlkreisen bei den Bundestagswahlen 2013 und 2009,

http://www.bundeswahlleiter.de/de/bundestagswahlen/BTW_BUND_13/veroeffentlichungen/ergebnisse/
(Date accessed: October 28, 2014)

The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

Electoral Affairs Commission Report

http://www.eac.gov.hk/en/legco/2012/ice_detailreport.htm
(Date accessed: December 1, 2016)

The Independent Electoral and Boundaries Commission (Kenya)

<https://www.iebc.or.ke/docs/4TH%20MARCH%202013%20GENERAL%20ELECTION%20DATA.pdf>. (*)
(Date accessed: February 16, 2017)

The Latvian Saeima Election Law.

<https://www.cvk.lv/pub/public/30067.html>
(Date accessed: January 4, 2018)

The Law on Electing the President of the Republic, Sluzbeni glasnik RS, No.'s 1/90, 79/92, 111/2007, 104/2009.

The Law on the Elections of Representatives, Sluzbeni glasnik Republike Srbije, No. 35/2000.

The National Assembly Elections Act (Zakon o volitvah v Drzavni zbor

(Ur.l. RS, St. 44/92, 60/95, 14/96-odl odba US, 67/97- odl oeba US, 70/2000).
<http://legislationonline.org/documents/action/popup/id/3825>.
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The National Assembly of the Republic of Slovenia (2014): Electoral System.

Available at: <https://www.dz-rs.si/wps/portal/en/Home/Politici/Sistem/VolitvelnVolilniSistem>
(Date accessed: June 16, 2016)

The National Assembly of the Republic of Serbia.

<http://www.parlament.gov.rs/national-assembly.467.html>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The National Electoral Commission of Slovenia.

http://www.dvk-rs.si/arhivi/dz2011/en/rezultati/rez_ka2.html#1
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The New Zealand Election Study.

<http://www.nzes.org>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

The Statistical Office of the Republic of Slovenia (2011). Elections to the National Assembly of the Republic of Slovenia, Slovenia, 4 December 2011.

http://www.stat.si/StatWeb/doc/letopis/2013/05_13/05-07-13.html
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

Todosijevic, B. (2013). Serbia. In: Sten Berglund, Joakim Ekman, Kevin Deegan-Krause and Terje Knutsen (eds.), The Handbook of Political Change in Eastern Europe, Third Edition. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Tsang, E. (2012). DAB fares best, taking 13 seats, thanks for vote-

splitting tactic. South China Morning Post. Available at:
<http://www.scmp.com/news/hong-kong/article/1033709/dab-fares-best-taking-13-seats-thanks-vote-splitting-tactic>
(Date accessed: December 1, 2016)

Tsirbas, Y. (2015). The January 2015 parliamentary election in Greece: Government change, partial punishment and hesitant stabilisation. *South European Society and Politics*, 1-20.
doi: 10.1080/13608746.2015.1088428

Turkish Statistical Institute
http://www.turkstat.gov.tr/VeriBilgi.do?alt_id=1061
<https://biruni.tuiik.gov.tr/secimdagitimapp/secim.zul>
(Date accessed: March 6, 2016)

Tworzecki, H. (2012). The Polish parliamentary elections of October 2011. *Electoral Studies*, 31(3), 617-621.
doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2012.04.007

United Nations Statistics Division - Countries or Areas, Codes and Abbreviations (revised 13 February 2002).
<http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49alpha.htm>
(Date accessed: May 14, 2018)

United Nations Statistics Division - Country or Area Numerical Codes added or changed since 1982 (revised 31 October 2013).
<https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49chang.htm>
(Date accessed: May 16, 2018)

United Nations Statistics Division - National Occupational Classification Canada.
<http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/ctryreg/ctrydetail.asp?id=1056>
(Date accessed: May 14, 2015)

United States Elections Project (McDonald, Michael): 2012 November General Election Turnout Rates.
<http://www.electproject.org/2012g>
(Date accessed: February 18, 2015)

United States Congressional Election Information Statistics of Elections 2012
http://clerk.house.gov/member_info/electioninfo/2012election.pdf
(Date accessed: December 28, 2014)

United States Federal Election Commission: <http://www.fec.gov/>
(Date accessed: December 28, 2014)

Valmyndigheten (2014): Val till riksdagen 2014-09-14 [in Swedish].
http://www.val.se/val/val2014/slutresultat/protokoll/protokoll_00R.pdf
(Date accessed: January 14, 2016)

Volken, A., Lehmann, P., Matthieß, T., Merz, N., Regel, S., and Wessels, B. (2017): The Mani festo Data Collection. Mani festo Project (MRG/CMP/MARPOR). Version 2017b. Berlin: Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB). doi: 10.25522/mani festo.mps.2017b
<https://manifestoproject.wzb.eu/datasets>
(Date accessed: May 22, 2018)

Vowels, J. (2015). The 2014 New Zealand general election: Varieties of political communication. *Political Science*, Vol. 67(2), 89-93.
doi: 10.1177/0032318715614731

Vuckovic, V. (2016). The Europeanization of Political Parties in Montenegro. *Romanian Journal Of European Affairs* 16(3), 36-55.
Available at:
http://rjea.iier.ro/sites/rjea.iier.ro/files/revista/RJEA_2016_vol16_no3_web_0.pdf#page=37
(Date accessed: March 21, 2017)

Weisberg, H. F. (2015): The decline in the white vote for Barack Obama in 2012: Racial attitudes or the economy?. *Electoral Studies*, 40,

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS – MODULE 4 (2011-2016)

CODEBOOK: INTRODUCTION

Original CSES file name: cses4_codebook_part1_introduction.txt (Full Release - May 29, 2018)

GESIS Data Archive for the Social Sciences Publication (pdf-version, May 2018)

=====

449-459. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2014.09.014

//END OF FILE