

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY  
(ZENTRALARCHIV NOS. 635,636,637 -- ICPR NO. 7102)

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

MANFRED BERGER, WOLFGANG GIBOWSKI,  
MAX KAASE, DIETER ROTH,  
UWE SCHLETH, AND RUDOLF WILDENMANN

LEHRSTUHL FUER POLITISCHE WISSENSCHAFT  
UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM

FIRST PRE-ELECTION STUDY:  
SEPT. 23, 1972 - OCT. 11, 1972

SECOND PRE-ELECTION STUDY:  
OCT. 20, 1972 - NOV. 6, 1972

POST-ELECTION STUDY:  
DEC. 9, 1972 - DEC. 30, 1972

ICPR EDITION

FIRST PRINTING, 1974

INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH

P.O. BOX 1248

ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48106

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH (OR ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIAL-FORSCHUNG). THE DATA FOR THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY MANFRED BERGER, WOLFGANG GIBOWSKI, MAX KAASE, DIETER ROTH, UWE SCHLETH, AND RUDOLF WILDENMANN, LEHRSTUHL FUER POLITISCHE WISSENSCHAFT, UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM. THE DATA WERE MADE AVAILABLE FOR ANALYSIS AS A JOINT PROJECT OF THE CONSORTIUM, THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, AND THE ZENTRUM FUER UMFragen, METHODEN UND ANALYSEN, MANNHEIM. NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATION PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT OR THESIS ABSTRACT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

## SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
INTRODUCTION	
STUDY DESCRIPTION	I
PUBLICATIONS	II
SAMPLING INFORMATION	III
WEIGHTING INFORMATION	V
FREQUENCIES	V
VARIABLE CROSS REFERENCE LIST	VI
CODEBOOK INFORMATION	VIII
DATA PREPARATION AND FORMATTING	X
CONTENTS SECTION (LIST OF VARIABLES)	XII
CODEBOOK AND NOTES	1
FREQUENCY ADDENDUM	
QUESTIONNAIRE	
GLOSSARY OF GERMAN TERMS	

## STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WAS CONDUCTED BY MANFRED BERGER, WOLFGANG GIBOWSKI, MAX KAASE, DIETER ROTH, UWE SCHLETH, AND RUDOLF WILDENMANN, LEHRSTUHL FUER POLITISCHE WISSENSCHAFT, UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM. THE FIELDWORK WAS CARRIED OUT BY INFRATEST, MUNICH (INFRATEST NOS. 8567I,8567II,8640). THE STUDY WAS FINANCED BY THE SECOND GERMAN TELEVISION NETWORK (ZDF).

INTERVIEWING FOR THE STUDY WAS CONDUCTED IN THREE WAVES, TWO PRECEDING THE ELECTION AND ONE IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING. FOR THE FIRST PRE-ELECTION WAVE, INTERVIEWING BEGAN IN LATE SEPTEMBER, 1972 AND EXTENDED INTO EARLY OCTOBER. AN OVERALL RESPONSE RATE OF 75% YIELDED 2052 RESPONDENTS FOR THE FIRST WAVE. INTERVIEWING FOR THE SECOND PRE-ELECTION WAVE BEGAN IN LATE OCTOBER AND WAS COMPLETED SEVERAL DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION. THE SECOND WAVE PRODUCED 1603 COMPLETED INTERVIEWS FOR A REINTERVIEW RATE OF 81% OF THE FIRST WAVE RESPONDENTS. THE ELECTION TOOK PLACE ON NOVEMBER 19, 1972. THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWING BEGAN IN MID-DECEMBER AND CONTINUED UNTIL THE END OF THE MONTH. A TOTAL OF 1222 RESPONDENTS WERE REINTERVIEWED IN THIS FINAL WAVE, OR APPROXIMATELY 60% OF THE ORIGINAL SAMPLE.

BOTH PRE-ELECTION WAVES USED NEARLY IDENTICAL QUESTIONNAIRES. HENCE, THERE IS CONSIDERABLE CONTINUITY IN THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THESE TWO WAVES, AS WELL AS WITH THE THIRD, POST-ELECTION WAVE. BOTH PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWS BEGAN BY ASKING THE RESPONDENT TO ASSESS THE PRESENT AND FUTURE ECONOMIC SITUATION OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC, AS WELL AS THE ECONOMIC SITUATION OF R'S OWN FAMILY. CONSIDERABLE ATTENTION WAS THEN FOCUSED ON PARTISAN EVALUATIONS. THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED HIS/HER PARTY IDENTIFICATION, TO SEPARATELY EVALUATE EACH PARTY ON A FEELING SCALOMETER, AND TO RANK THE PARTIES IN A PREFERENCE ORDERING. ANOTHER PORTION OF THE TWO INTERVIEWS DEALT WITH THE RESPONDENT'S INTEREST IN A BROAD RANGE OF POLITICAL ISSUES DEALING WITH SUCH TOPICS AS RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPEAN NATIONS ("OSTPOLITIK"), PRICE STABILITY, BETTER HOUSING, TAX REFORM, OLD AGE SECURITY, AND OTHER FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC POLICY TOPICS. THEN, FOR EACH ISSUE WHICH WAS SALIENT TO THE RESPONDENT, THE INTERVIEWER ASKED WHICH PARTY COULD BEST DEAL WITH THE ISSUE. BOTH PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWS INQUIRED INTO THE VISIBILITY OF POLITICAL FIGURES IN BONN. ADDITIONAL INQUIRIES SOUGHT EVALUATIONS OF THE TWO CHANCELLERY CANDIDATES, WILLY BRANDT AND RANIER BARZEL, AND ALSO THE BASIS OF THESE EVALUATIONS. THE

FIRST TWO WAVES ALSO INVESTIGATED THE RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL INTEREST, VOTING BEHAVIOR IN THE 1969 ELECTION, ANTICIPATED BEHAVIOR IN THE 1972 ELECTION, AND EXPECTATIONS ABOUT THE ELECTION OUTCOME.

THE SECOND PRE-ELECTION SURVEY ALONE CARRIED A SERIES OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO MEASURE THE RESPONDENT'S SENSE OF POLITICAL EFFICACY.

FOLLOWING THE ELECTION, THE THIRD WAVE OF THE PANEL DEALT WITH THE RESPONDENT'S ACTUAL VOTING BEHAVIOR IN THE 1972 ELECTION. FURTHER QUESTIONS TAPPED THE REASONS THE RESPONDENT SAW FOR THE ELECTORAL OUTCOME FOR EACH PARTY, AND THE REASONS FOR THE RESPONDENT'S OWN VOTING DECISION. THE POST-ELECTION STUDY ALSO SOUGHT TO MEASURE THE NATURE AND THE EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY THAT THE RESPONDENT WAS INVOLVED IN DURING THE CAMPAIGN. ANOTHER SERIES OF QUESTIONS INQUIRED ABOUT THE PERCEIVED SOURCES OF CAMPAIGN FUNDING FOR EACH PARTY, AND PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT SAW IN THE METHODS OF FINANCING. AS IN THE FIRST TWO WAVES, THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED HIS/HER PARTY IDENTIFICATION, TO SEPARATELY EVALUATE EACH PARTY ON A FEELING SCALOMETER, AND TO RANK THE PARTIES IN A PREFERENCE ORDERING. A SERIES OF QUESTIONS TAPPING ISSUE SALIENCY WERE ALSO CONTINUED FROM THE PRE-ELECTION WAVES.

THE PERSONAL DATA SECTIONS OF THE THREE INTERVIEWS COMPILED INFORMATION ON THE EDUCATION, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS AND RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE OF THE RESPONDENT. THE OCCUPATIONS OF THE RESPONDENT, R'S FATHER, AND THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD WERE ASCERTAINED. THESE SECTIONS ALSO DETERMINED THE FAMILY INCOME, AND NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS CONTRIBUTING TO THAT INCOME. ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS INQUIRED ABOUT THE FAMILY COMPOSITION, AND WHETHER ANY FAMILY MEMBERS WERE REFUGEES, EXPELLEES, OR MEMBERS OF A LABOR UNION.

#### PUBLICATIONS

BERGER, MANFRED, "PARTEIENIDENTIFIKATION IN DER BUNDESREPUBLIK," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 215-226.

GIBOWSKI, WOLFGANG, "DER EFFEKT UNTERSCHIEDLICHER PLAZIERUNG DER WAHLABSICHTEN IM FRAGEBOGEN," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 275-294.

KAASE, MAX, "DIE BUNDESTAGSWAHL 1972: PROBLEME UND ANALYSEN," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 145-190.

ROTH, DIETER, "OEKONOMISCHE VARIABLEN UND WAHLVERHALTEN," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 257-274.

#### SAMPLING INFORMATION

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WAS DRAWN FROM THE UNIVERSE OF VOTING AGE CITIZENS, OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE, OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, EXCLUDING WEST BERLIN, WHO LIVE IN PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS. THIS EXCLUDES PERSONS LIVING IN GROUP QUARTERS, INSTITUTIONAL POPULATIONS, AND PERSONS WITH NO PLACE OF RESIDENCE.

THE STUDY UTILIZED A STRATIFIED, MULTISTAGE, RANDOM SAMPLING PROCEDURE WITH THREE STAGES OF SAMPLING. FIRST, THE SAMPLING POINTS WERE SELECTED, THEN HOUSEHOLDS WERE SELECTED WITHIN EACH SAMPLING POINT, FINALLY INDIVIDUALS WERE SELECTED WITHIN EACH HOUSEHOLD.

IN THE FIRST STAGE, THE APPROXIMATELY 24,000 COMMUNITIES ("GEMEINDE") IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC WERE STRATIFIED INTO 199 STRATA ON THE BASIS OF REGION AND POPULATION SIZE. THE REGIONAL STRATIFICATION GROUPED COMMUNITIES OF THE SAME STATE ("BUNDESLAND") AND SAME DISTRICT ("REGIERUNGSBEZIRK") INTO A SINGLE STRATUM. THE SECOND STRATIFICATION VARIABLE FURTHER GROUPED COMMUNITIES ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING EIGHT POPULATION RANGES:

1	-	1,999	INHABITANTS
2,000	-	4,999	
5,000	-	19,999	
20,000	-	49,999	
50,000	-	99,999	
100,000	-	299,999	
300,000	-	499,999	
500,000		AND MORE	

THUS, A SINGLE STRATUM WOULD INCLUDE ALL COMMUNITIES OF THE SAME POPULATION RANGE, IN ONE DISTRICT, OF ONE STATE.

IN THE NEXT STEP, 520 SAMPLING POINTS WERE SELECTED BY A RANDOM PROCEDURE FROM THE 199 STRATA. THE NUMBER OF SAMPLING POINTS DRAWN FROM EACH STRATUM WAS DETERMINED SO AS TO BE PROPORTIONAL TO THE POPULATION SIZE OF THE STRATUM. THE SAMPLING POINTS THEMSELVES WERE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS ("WAHLBEZIRK").

IN THE SECOND SAMPLING STAGE ALL STREETS WITHIN A ELECTORAL DISTRICT SELECTED AS A SAMPLING POINT WERE LISTED FROM THE ELECTORAL REGISTER. USING THIS LIST, THE INTERVIEWER BEGAN WITH THE FIRST STREET ON THE LIST AND AFTER COMPLETELY LISTING ALL HOUSEHOLDS ON THAT STREET, CONTINUED LISTING ON THE SECOND STREET, ETCETERA, UNTIL 200 HOUSEHOLDS WERE ENUMERATED. FROM THE ADDRESSES THAT WERE COLLECTED, A RANDOM SAMPLE OF 5-6 ADDRESSES WAS SELECTED FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT. THIS YIELDED A STARTING LIST OF 3030 HOUSEHOLDS FOR THE STUDY.

FOR THE THIRD STAGE, ALL ELIGIBLE MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED HOUSEHOLDS WERE LISTED IN A FIXED ORDER BY AGE. A RESPONDENT WAS THEN SELECTED FROM EACH HOUSEHOLD LIST USING A RANDOM NUMBER TABLE, WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF SUBSTITUTION.

OF THE 2872 STARTING ADDRESSES WITH ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS, A TOTAL OF 2052 WAVE ONE INTERVIEWS WERE COMPLETED, YIELDING A RESPONSE RATE OF 75%. THE SECOND WAVE PRODUCED 1603 SUCCESSFUL INTERVIEWS FOR A REINTERVIEW RATE OF 81%. IN THE THIRD, POST-ELECTION, WAVE 1222 RESPONDENTS WERE INTERVIEWED RESULTING IN AN OVERALL PANEL ATTRITION OF APPROXIMATELY 40%.

THE SAMPLING, FIELDWORK AND CODING FOR THE STUDY WAS CONDUCTED BY INFRATEST, MUNICH.

WEIGHTING INFORMATION

THIS STUDY CONTAINS A WEIGHT VARIABLE (V3) WHICH PRODUCES A REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE OF THE VOTING AGE CITIZENS OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC WHEN USED IN ANALYSIS. THE WEIGHT VARIABLE CORRECTS FOR SEX AND AGE BIASES OF THE SAMPLE, AND WAS CONSTRUCTED BY COMPARING THE AGE BY SEX DISTRIBUTION OF THE FIRST WAVE RESPONDENTS WITH THE COMPARABLE CENSUS DISTRIBUTION. THIS ONE WEIGHT VARIABLE SHOULD BE USED WHEN ANALYZING ANY OF THE THREE PANEL WAVES. THESE WEIGHTS REPLACE THE ORIGINAL INFRATEST WEIGHTS WHICH WERE CONSTRUCTED ON THE BASIS OF SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT CRITERIA.

FOR THE FIRST WAVE OF THE PANEL, THE UNWEIGHTED N = 2052, THE WEIGHTED N = 2024.5. THE UNWEIGHTED N OF THE SECOND WAVE = 1603, THE WEIGHTED N = 1583.5. THE THIRD WAVE CONTAINS 1222 UNWEIGHTED RESPONDENTS, 1210.1 WHEN USING THE WEIGHT VARIABLE. ALL FIRST WAVE RESPONDENTS WERE GIVEN WEIGHT VALUES TO ONE IMPLIED DECIMAL PLACE ON THE BASIS OF THE FOLLOWING TABLE:

AGE	MALE	FEMALE
18-24	1.7	1.4
25-29	1.2	.9
30-34	1.0	1.0
35-39	1.2	.9
40-44	1.0	1.0
45-49	.9	.8
50-59	.9	.9
60-69	.9	.8
70-	1.0	1.0

FREQUENCIES

THE FREQUENCIES IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK REFLECT THE USE OF THE DECIMAL WEIGHTS (V3) AND HAVE BEEN ROUNDED UP TO THE NEXT INTEGER BEFORE INSERTION INTO THE CODEBOOK. CONSEQUENTLY, THE FREQUENCIES SUBJECTED TO ROUNDING DO NOT ALWAYS ADD UP TO THE EXPECTED N.

CROSS REFERENCE TABLE OF OSIRIS VARIABLE NUMBERS  
 REPRESENTING COMPARABLE QUESTIONS ASKED IN  
 DIFFERENT WAVES OF THE PANEL

THE FOLLOWING CROSS REFERENCE LIST MAY BE USED TO TRACE  
 VARIABLES WHICH APPEAR IN MORE THAN A SINGLE WAVE OF THE  
 PANEL. THE PERSONAL DATA VARIABLES AND QUESTIONS ASKED  
 AT ONLY ONE TIME POINT ARE EXCLUDED FROM THIS LIST

WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.	!	WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.
12	134	258	!	50	165	290
13	135	259	!	51	166	291
14	136	260	!	53	167	265
15	137	261	!	54	168	266
16	138	-	!	-	169	267
17	139	-	!	55	170	-
18	140	350	!	56	171	-
19	141	351	!	57	172	367
20	142	352	!	58	173	-
21	143	353	!	59	174	-
22	144	354	!	60	175	-
23	145	355	!	61	176	-
24	146	-	!	62	177	-
25	147	-	!	63	178	-
26	148	-	!	64	179	-
35	150	283	!	65	180	-
36	151	-	!	66	181	-
37	152	-	!	67	182	-
38	153	-	!	68	183	-
39	154	-	!	69	184	292
40	155	-	!	70	185	293
41	156	-	!	71	186	-
42	157	-	!	72	188	-
43	158	-	!	73	189	297
44	159	-	!	74	190	298
45	160	-	!	75	191	-
46	161	286	!	76	192	303
47	162	287	!	77	193	304
48	163	288	!	78	194	302
49	164	289	!	79	195	-

CROSS REFERENCE TABLE OF OSIRIS VARIABLE NUMBERS

WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.	!	WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.
80	196	300	!	97	213	-
81	197	-	!	98	214	-
82	198	-	!	99	215	-
83	199	299	!	100	216	-
84	200	-	!	101	217	-
85	201	-	!	102	218	-
86	202	-	!	103	219	-
87	203	301	!	104	220	-
88	204	-	!	105	221	-
89	205	-	!	106	222	-
90	206	-	!	107	223	305
91	207	-	!	108	224	306
92	208	-	!	109	225	307
93	209	-	!	-	226	309
94	210	-	!	-	228	329
95	211	-	!	-	229	343
96	212	-	!	113	233	-
			!	114	241	-

CODEBOOK INFORMATION

THE EXAMPLE BELOW IS A REPRODUCTION (NOT ACTUAL SIZE) OF INFORMATION APPEARING IN THE MACHINE-READABLE CODEBOOK FOR A TYPICAL VARIABLE. THE NUMBERS IN CIRCLES DO NOT APPEAR IN THE CODEBOOK, BUT ARE REFERENCES TO THE DESCRIPTIONS WHICH FOLLOW THIS EXAMPLE.

.....  
(1) VAR 0026                    (1) REF 0026                    (2) DATA SET ID='7102'

(9) Q.9. AND WHEN YOU NOW THINK ABOUT THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK") OF THE BRANDT/SCHEEL GOVERNMENT, DO YOU AGREE WITH THIS POLICY OR DO YOU REJECT IT?  
.....

.....  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(12)    (13)                    (14)

1187	1.	AGREEMENT
373	2.	PART AGREEMENT/PART REJECTION
293	3.	REJECTION
3	7.	NA
158	8.	DK
11	9.	REFUSED

1. INDICATES THE VARIABLE AND REFERENCE NUMBERS. A VARIABLE AND A REFERENCE NUMBER ARE ASSIGNED TO EACH ITEM IN THE STUDY. IN THE PRESENT CODEBOOK, WHICH DOCUMENTS THE ARCHIVED DATASET, THESE NUMBERS ARE IDENTICAL. SHOULD THE DATA BE SUBSETTED OR REARRANGED BY AN OSIRIS PROGRAM (E.G., MMP TO INTERSPERSE DATA FROM ANOTHER SOURCE, OR TCOT TO PRODUCE AN ANALYSIS DECK), THE VARIABLE NUMBERS WOULD CHANGE TO REFLECT THE ORDER OF THE NEW DATASET, WHILE THE REFERENCE NUMBERS WOULD REMAIN UNCHANGED TO REFLECT THE VARIABLE NUMBER IN THE CODEBOOK DESCRIBING THE ARCHIVED DATASET.
2. INDICATES THE FOUR COLUMN ICPR STUDY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER UNIQUE TO THIS DATASET.

3. INDICATES THE ABBREVIATED (24 CHARACTERS MAXIMUM) VARIABLE NAME USED WITHIN THE OSIRIS SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY THE VARIABLE FOR THE USER. AN EXPANDED VERSION OF THESE NAMES CAN BE FOUND IN THE CONTENTS SECTION.
4. INDICATES THE DESIGNATION OF MISSING DATA. IN THIS EXAMPLE CODE VALUES GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO SEVEN ARE MISSING DATA (MD=GE 7). ALTERNATIVE STATEMENTS FOR OTHER VARIABLES ARE OF THE FORM "MD=9", "MD=0 OR GE 8", OR "NO MISSING DATA CODES". SOME ANALYSIS SOFTWARE PACKAGES (OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE), REQUIRE THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF DATA WHICH THE USER DESIRES TO EXCLUDE FROM ANALYSIS BE DESIGNATED AS "MISSING DATA", E.G. INAPPROPRIATE, NOT ASCERTAINED, OR AMBIGUOUS DATA CATEGORIES. ALTHOUGH THESE CATEGORIES ARE DEFINED AS MISSING DATA CATEGORIES, THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT A USER SHOULD NOT OR CANNOT USE THESE CODES IN A SUBSTANTIVE ROLE IF SO DESIRED.
5. A MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLE IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "MULT RESPS X", WHERE X IS THE NUMBER OF RESPONSES.
6. INDICATES THE STARTING LOCATION AND WIDTH OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE STORED ON A MAGNETIC TAPE IN THE OSIRIS FORMAT. THESE LOCATIONS MAY ALSO BE USED TO ACCESS THE DATA WITH SOFTWARE PACKAGES WHICH DO NOT USE THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY.
7. INDICATES THE LOCATION BY DECK AND COLUMN(S) OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE ON CARDS OR IN CARD IMAGE FORM (EITHER 80 OR 84 COLUMN FORMAT).
8. A VARIABLE CONTAINING DATA WITH IMPLIED DECIMALS IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE "XX DEC PLACE(S)", WHERE XX IS THE NUMBER OF DECIMAL PLACES IMPLIED (NOT PUNCHED) IN THE VARIABLE.
9. INDICATES THE FULL (QUESTION) TEXT SUPPLIED BY THE INVESTIGATOR TO DESCRIBE THE VARIABLE. PHRASES ENCLOSED IN "(" AND ")" USUALLY DENOTE GERMAN LANGUAGE TERMS WHICH HAVE A FURTHER DESCRIPTION IN THE GLOSSARY INCLUDED IN THIS CODEBOOK.

10. INDICATES AN ADDITIONAL COMMENT OR EXPLANATION APPENDED TO THE VARIABLE DESCRIPTION OR CODER INSTRUCTIONS.
11. A VARIABLE WHICH HAS A FOOTNOTE ASSOCIATED WITH IT IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "SEE NOTE(S) X", WHERE X IS THE NUMBER OF THE FOOTNOTE REFERENCED.
12. INDICATES THE FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF EACH CODE VALUE. FREQUENCIES FOR MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLES ARE CUMULATIVE.
13. INDICATES THE CODE VALUES OCCURRING IN THE DATA FOR THIS VARIABLE.
14. INDICATES THE TEXTUAL DEFINITIONS OF THE CODES.

#### DATA PREPARATION AND FORMATTING

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WAS MADE AVAILABLE FOR ANALYSIS AS A JOINT PROJECT OF THE ICPR, THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, AND THE ZENTRUM FUER UMFRAGEN, METHODEN UND ANALYSEN, MANNHEIM. WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OF THE CONFERENCE GROUP ON GERMAN POLITICS IN SUPPORT OF THE TRANSLATION AND PREPARATION OF THE DOCUMENTATION. THE DATA PROCESSING AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF OSIRIS DATASETS AND DOCUMENTATION WAS DONE AT THE ZENTRALARCHIV. A GERMAN LANGUAGE Z.A.R. CODEBOOK CONTAINING A STUDY DESCRIPTION, TECHNICAL INFORMATION, AND VARIABLE DOCUMENTATION PARALLELLING THE OSIRIS CARD IMAGE DATASET IS AVAILABLE FROM THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, D-5000 COLOGNE 41, BACHEMER STRASSE 40, WEST GERMANY.

THE DATA RECEIVED BY THE ARCHIVE WERE FIRST SORTED AND CHECKED FOR MISSING OR DUPLICATE CARDS. IF FOUND, DUPLICATE CARDS WERE VERIFIED THEN THE DUPLICATES REMOVED, MISSING CARDS WERE REPLACED BY MISSING DATA VALUES. THE DATA WERE THEN CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL (WILD) CODES AND INCONSISTENCIES WHICH, WHENEVER FOUND WERE ASSIGNED TO MISSING DATA VALUES. THE CODEBOOK WAS REORDERED TO PRESERVE THE ORDER OF THE ORIGINAL QUESTIONNAIRE. INDICES WERE INCLUDED AS CREATED BY THE ORIGINAL INVESTIGATORS. THROUGHOUT THE TEXT ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS WERE

INSERTED BY THE STUDY PROCESSOR IN THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE CODEBOOK IN ORDER TO FACILITATE NON-SEQUENTIAL REFERENCING AND TO PROVIDE FURTHER EXPLANATORY INFORMATION.

THE DATA ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE CONSORTIUM AND THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG IN TWO FORMATS: A CARD IMAGE FILE, OR AN OSIRIS DATASET. THE CARD IMAGE FILE CONTAINS 9 DECKS PER RESPONDENT IN A FORMAT BASED ON 80 COLUMN PUNCHED CARDS. THE DECKS FOR EACH RESPONDENT ARE SORTED IN ASCENDING ORDER. THERE ARE TWO COMPONENTS TO THE OSIRIS DATASET. THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY GIVES THE FORMAT AND OTHER INFORMATION FOR EACH VARIABLE IN THE OSIRIS DATA FILE. THE DICTIONARY OR DICTIONARY-CODEBOOK IS USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE. THE OSIRIS DATA FILE IS CONSTRUCTED WITH A SINGLE LOGICAL RECORD PER RESPONDENT. THE OSIRIS DATA FILE CAN BE ACCESSED DIRECTLY BY SOFTWARE PACKAGES WHICH DO NOT USE THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY BY SPECIFYING THE TAPE LOCATIONS OF THE DESIRED VARIABLES. THESE TAPE LOCATIONS ARE CONTAINED IN THE OSIRIS CODEBOOK.

THE QUESTIONNAIRE WAS TRANSLATED FROM GERMAN BY KAI HILDEBRANDT, ICPR. THE TRANSLATION ATTEMPTS TO RETAIN AS MUCH OF THE LITERAL PHRASING AS POSSIBLE, EXCEPT IN INSTANCES WHERE THE MEANING WOULD BE UNCLEAR IF PHRASING WERE NOT CHANGED. IN A FEW INSTANCES TERMS IN THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE ENCLOSED IN "(" AND ")" WERE INCLUDED AS AN INDICATOR OF A CLEARER SENSE OF THE TEXT. THE MORE ESSENTIAL OF THESE GERMAN TERMS ARE DESCRIBED IN THE GLOSSARY CONTAINED IN THIS CODEBOOK.

DATA PROCESSING AND CLEANING OF THIS EDITION OF THE STUDY WAS DONE BY ERWIN ROSE, ZENTRALARCHIV. RUSSELL DALTON, ICPR, WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF OSIRIS DATASETS AND OSIRIS DOCUMENTATION. MARIA WIEKEN-MAYSER, ZENTRALARCHIV, COORDINATED THE ZENTRALARCHIV WORKING GROUP.

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
1	ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102	1
2	INTERVIEW NUMBER	
3	WEIGHT	
4	PANEL WAVE FILTER	

SAMPLING AND INTERVIEW INFORMATION

5	FEDERAL STATE IN WHICH INTERVIEW WAS CONDUCTED	2
6	DISTRICT IN WHICH INTERVIEW WAS CONDUCTED	
7	SIZE OF TOWN	
8	DATE OF SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW	3
9	INTERVIEWER NUMBER -- WAVE 1	
10	INTERVIEWER NUMBER -- WAVE 2	
11	INTERVIEWER NUMBER -- WAVE 3	4

```
*****  
*                                     *  
*           WAVE 1                   *  
*                                     *  
*   PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW          *  
*                                     *  
*****
```

ECONOMIC SITUATION: PRESENT AND FUTURE

12	WHAT IS THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR	
13	WILL THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR BE BETTER IN A YEAR	
14	WHAT IS R'S PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION	5

POLITICAL INTEREST

15	IS R INTERESTED IN POLITICS	
16	MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINION - 1ST MENTION	
17	MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINION - 2ND MENTION	6

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING		
18	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE CDU/CSU	
19	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE SPD	7
20	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE FDP	
21	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE NPD	8
22	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE DKP	
23	R'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING	9
SCALOMETER - GOVERNMENT AND OPPOSITION		
24	R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT	
25	R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE CDU/CSU OPPOSITION	10
POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK")		
26	DOES R AGREE WITH THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST	
27	DOES R'S OPINION ON THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST HOLD FOR ALL TREATIES AND AGREEMENTS	11
28	DOES R AGREE WITH THE WARSAW TREATY	
29	INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE WARSAW TREATY	12
30	DOES R AGREE WITH THE MOSCOW TREATY	
31	INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE MOSCOW TREATY	
32	DOES R AGREE WITH THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR	13
33	INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR	
34	SUMMARY INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST	14
35	IS R SATISFIED WITH THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE GFR	
POLITICIANS KNOWN TO R		
36	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 1ST MENTION	
37	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 2ND MENTION	16
38	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 3RD MENTION	
39	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 4TH MENTION	
40	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 5TH MENTION	17
41	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 6TH MENTION	
42	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 7TH 7ENTION	
43	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 8TH MENTION	
44	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 9TH MENTION	
45	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 10TH MENTION	18

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
SCALOMETER - CHANCELLOR CANDIDATES		
46	WHAT DOES R THINK OF WILLY BRANDT	
47	WHAT DOES R THINK OF RAINER BARZEL	19
SCALOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES		
48	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE SPD	20
49	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CDU	
50	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CSU	21
51	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE FDP	
1972 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
52	QUESTIONNAIRE FORM -- WAVE 1	22
53	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
54	WHICH PARTY WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	23
1969 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
55	DID R VOTE IN THE 1969 ELECTION	24
56	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
57	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	25
1972 ELECTION: ELECTION OUTCOME		
58	WHICH PARTIES SHOULD FORM THE GOVERNMENT	
59	WHICH PARTY SHOULD BE CHOSEN AS A COALITION PARTNER IF A COALITION IS NECESSARY	26
60	INDEX OF PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION	
61	WHOM WOULD R LIKE BEST AS CHANCELLOR	27
62	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	
63	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	28
64	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	29
65	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	31
66	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	32
67	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	33
68	HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD STRAUSS HAVE IN A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT	34
69	WHO IS BEST QUALIFIED AS MINISTER OF ECONOMICS	

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE ELECTION		
70	WILL THE ELECTION HAVE AN IMPACT ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	36
71	WHICH PARTY GOVERNMENT WOULD IMPROVE R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	
PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- IMPORTANCE TO R		
72	IMPORTANCE OF IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	37
73	IMPORTANCE OF STABLE PRICES	
74	IMPORTANCE OF LAW AND ORDER	38
75	IMPORTANCE OF FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	
76	IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	39
77	IMPORTANCE OF EXTENDING EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES	
78	IMPORTANCE OF JUST TAXES	40
79	IMPORTANCE OF AGRICULTURAL REPRESENTATION	
80	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	41
81	IMPORTANCE OF FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST	
82	IMPORTANCE OF BETTER HOUSING	42
83	IMPORTANCE OF OLD AGE SECURITY	
84	IMPORTANCE OF EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	43
85	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	
86	IMPORTANCE OF MILITARY SECURITY	44
87	IMPORTANCE OF PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS	
MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE GFR		
88	FIRST MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	45
89	SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	
90	THIRD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	46

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
	PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE PROBLEM	
91	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	47
92	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH STABLE PRICES	48
93	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH LAW AND ORDER	
94	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	49
95	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
96	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM	50
97	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH JUSTLY DISTRIBUTING TAX BURDENS	
98	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS	51
99	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROVISION OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	
100	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH NOT NEGLECTING FRIENDLY WESTERN RELATIONS	52
101	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH BETTER HOUSING	53
102	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH OLD AGE SECURITY	
103	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	54
104	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	
105	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MILITARY SECURITY	55
106	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROTECTION AGAINST TERRORIST ATTACKS	
	R'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION	
107	DOES R LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY	56
108	STRENGTH OF R'S PARTISANSHIP	
109	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	57

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
-------------	--	-------------

PARTY PREFERENCE OF PERSONAL CONTACTS

110	FOR WHICH PARTY IS R'S FAMILY	
111	FOR WHICH PARTY ARE R'S FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES	58
112	FOR WHICH PARTY ARE R'S CO-WORKERS	
113	WHICH PARTY WILL WIN THE ELECTION	59
114	HOW INTERESTED IS R IN THE LABOR UNIONS	

PERSONAL DATA

115	R'S SEX	60
116	R'S MARITAL STATUS	
117	R'S AGE	
118	R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS	
119	R'S OCCUPATION	61
120	R'S EDUCATION	62
121	IS R THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD	63
122	EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	
123	OCCUPATION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	
124	HOW MANY PEOPLE LIVE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD	64
125	NUMBER OF PERSONS IN THE HOUSEHOLD OVER 18 YEARS OLD	65
126	NUMBER OF EMPLOYED PERSONS IN THE HOUSEHOLD	
127	WHAT IS THE MONTHLY INCOME OF THE FAMILY	66
128	IS SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD A REFUGEE OR EXPELLEE	67
129	IS SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD A MEMBER OF A UNION	
130	R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE	68
131	HOW FREQUENTLY DOES R ATTEND CHURCH	
132	TYPE OF TOWN	
133	DOES R LIVE IN AN AREA WITH NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS	70

CONTENTS

VAR. PAGE  
NO. NO.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*  
\* WAVE 2 \*  
\*  
\* PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW \*  
\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

ECONOMIC SITUATION: PRESENT AND FUTURE

134 WHAT IS THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR  
135 WILL THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR BE BETTER 71  
IN A YEAR  
136 WHAT IS R'S PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION

POLITICAL INTEREST

137 IS R INTERESTED IN POLITICS 72  
138 MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINIONS - 1ST MENTION  
139 MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINIONS - 2ND MENTION 73

PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING

140 PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE CDU/CSU  
141 PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE SPD 74  
142 PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE FDP  
143 PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE NPD  
144 PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE DKP 75  
145 R'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING

SCALOMETER - GOVERNMENT AND OPPOSITION

146 R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT 76  
147 R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE CDU/CSU OPPOSITION

POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK")

148 DOES R AGREE WITH THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST 77  
149 SHOULD THE BASIC AGREEMENT BE COMPLETED BEFORE  
THE ELECTION  
150 IS R SATISFIED WITH THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE GFR 78

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
POLITICIANS KNOWN TO R		
151	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 1ST MENTION	
152	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 2ND MENTION	80
153	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 3RD MENTION	
154	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 4TH MENTION	
155	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 5TH MENTION	81
156	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 6TH MENTION	
157	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 7TH MENTION	
158	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 8TH MENTION	
159	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 9TH MENTION	
160	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 10TH MENTION	82
SCALOMETER - CHANCELLOR CANDIDATES		
161	WHAT DOES R THINK OF WILLY BRANDT	
162	WHAT DOES R THINK OF RAINER BARZEL	83
SCALOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES		
163	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE SPD	
164	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CDU	84
165	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CSU	
166	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE FDP	85
1972 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
167	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
168	WHICH PARTY WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	86
169	WHEN DID R MAKE HIS VOTING DECISION	87
1969 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
170	DID R VOTE IN THE 1969 ELECTION	
171	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	88
172	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
1972 ELECTION: ELECTION OUTCOME		
173	WHICH PARTIES SHOULD FORM THE GOVERNMENT	89
174	WHICH PARTY SHOULD BE CHOSEN AS A COALITION PARTNER IF A COALITION IS NECESSARY	
175	INDEX OF PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION	90
176	WHOM WOULD R LIKE BEST AS CHANCELLOR	
177	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	91
178	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	92
179	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	93
180	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	95
181	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	96
182	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	97
183	HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD STRAUSS HAVE IN A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT	98
184	WHO IS BEST QUALIFIED AS MINISTER OF ECONOMICS	99
FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE ELECTION		
185	WILL THE ELECTION HAVE AN IMPACT ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	100
186	WHICH PARTY GOVERNMENT WOULD IMPROVE R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	101
187	SHOULD MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHO SWITCHED PARTIES KEEP THEIR SEATS	
PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- IMPORTANCE TO R		
188	IMPORTANCE OF IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	102
189	IMPORTANCE OF STABLE PRICES	103
190	IMPORTANCE OF LAW AND ORDER	
191	IMPORTANCE OF FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	104
192	IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
193	IMPORTANCE OF EXTENDING EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES	105
194	IMPORTANCE OF JUST TAXES	
195	IMPORTANCE OF AGRICULTURAL REPRESENTATION	106
196	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	
197	IMPORTANCE OF FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST	107
198	IMPORTANCE OF BETTER HOUSING	
199	IMPORTANCE OF OLD AGE SECURITY	108
200	IMPORTANCE OF EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	
201	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	109
202	IMPORTANCE OF MILITARY SECURITY	
203	IMPORTANCE OF PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS	110

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE GFR		
204	FIRST MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	
205	SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	111
206	THIRD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	112
PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE PROBLEM		
207	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	113
208	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH STABLE PRICES	
209	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH LAW AND ORDER	114
210	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	
211	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	115
212	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM	
213	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH JUSTLY DISTRIBUTING TAX BURDENS	116
214	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS	
215	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROVISION OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	117
216	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH NOT NEGLECTING FRIENDLY WESTERN RELATIONS	118
217	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH BETTER HOUSING	
218	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH OLD AGE SECURITY	119
219	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	
220	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	120
221	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MILITARY SECURITY	
222	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROTECTION AGAINST TERRORIST ATTACKS	121

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
R'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION		
223	DOES R LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY	
224	STRENGTH OF R'S PARTISANSHIP	122
225	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	
POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS		
226	HOW IMPORTANT ARE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS	123
227	DID R FOLLOW THE CAMPAIGN WITH INTEREST	
228	DID THE CAMPAIGN PROVIDE INFORMATION	
INFLUENCES ON R'S VOTING DECISION		
229	WAS THE CHANCELLOR CANDIDATE/GOVERNMENT TEAM MORE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTING DECISION	124
230	OTHER INFLUENCE ON R'S VOTING DECISION - 1ST MENTION	
231	OTHER INFLUENCE ON R'S VOTING DECISION - 2ND MENTION	
232	OTHER INFLUENCE ON R'S VOTING DECISION - 3RD MENTION	
233	WHICH PARTY WILL WIN THE ELECTION	126
234	WILL WINNING PARTY GET A CLEAR VICTORY	
POLITICAL EFFICACY		
235	ARE POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT TOO COMPLEX FOR R TO UNDERSTAND	
236	DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE A SAY IN GOVERNMENT	
237	IS VOTING THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE LIKE R CAN HAVE A SAY	128
238	DO OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK	
239	DOES POLITICS NEED ONLY GOOD POLITICIANS	
240	ARE TOO MANY INNOVATIONS INTRODUCED TODAY	129
241	HOW INTERESTED IS R IN THE LABOR UNIONS	

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
PERSONAL DATA		
242	R'S SEX	130
243	R'S MARITAL STATUS	
244	R'S AGE	131
245	R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS	
246	R'S OCCUPATION	132
247	R'S EDUCATION	133
248	IS R THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD	
249	EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	134
250	OCCUPATION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	
251	HOW MANY PEOPLE LIVE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD	135
252	WHAT IS THE MONTHLY INCOME OF THE FAMILY	136
253	IS R INTERESTED IN THE ACTIVITIES OF THE CHURCH	
254	R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE	137
255	HOW FREQUENTLY DOES R ATTEND CHURCH	
256	TYPE OF TOWN	138
257	DOES R LIVE IN AN AREA WITH NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS	

CONTENTS

VAR. PAGE  
NO. NO.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*  
\* WAVE 3 \*  
\*  
\* POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW \*  
\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

ECONOMIC SITUATION: PRESENT AND FUTURE

258	WHAT IS THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR	140
259	WILL THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR BE BETTER IN A YEAR	
260	WHAT IS R'S PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION	141

POLITICAL INTEREST

261	IS R INTERESTED IN POLITICS	
262	WERE R'S PARENTS INTERESTED IN POLITICS	

1972 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR

263	DID R VOTE IN THE 1972 ELECTION	142
264	DID R VOTE AT THE POLLING PLACE OR BY ABSENTEE BALLOT	
265	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
266	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	143
267	WHEN DID R MAKE HIS VOTING DECISION	144

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
1972 ELECTION: ELECTION OUTCOME		
268	WHY DID THE SPD WIN SO MANY VOTES - 1ST MENTION	
269	WHY DID THE SPD WIN SO MANY VOTES - 2ND MENTION	147
270	WHY DID THE SPD WIN SO MANY VOTES - 3RD MENTION	
271	WHY DID THE CDU/CSU NOT REACH ITS ELECTORAL GOAL - 1ST MENTION	
272	WHY DID THE CDU/CSU NOT REACH ITS ELECTORAL GOAL - 2ND MENTION	150
273	WHY DID THE CDU/CSU NOT REACH ITS ELECTORAL GOAL - 3RD MENTION	
274	WHY DID THE FDP DO SO WELL - 1ST MENTION	
275	WHY DID THE FDP DO SO WELL - 2ND MENTION	152
276	WHY DID THE FDP DO SO WELL - 3RD MENTION	
277	REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION - 1ST MENTION	
278	REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION - 2ND MENTION	155
279	REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION - 3RD MENTION	
280	OTHER REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION- 1ST MENTION	156
281	OTHER REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION- 2ND MENTION	159
282	OTHER REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION- 3RD MENTION	
SYSTEM SUPPORT		
283	IS R SATISFIED WITH THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE GFR	
284	ARE THE RIGHT PEOPLE IN LEADERSHIP POSITIONS	
285	DOES R FAVOR THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR	160
SCALOMETER - CHANCELLOR CANDIDATES		
286	WHAT DOES R THINK OF WILLY BRANDT	
287	WHAT DOES R THINK OF RAINER BARZEL	161
SCALOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES		
288	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE SPD	162
289	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CDU	
290	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CSU	163
291	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE FDP	
292	WHO IS BEST QUALIFIED AS MINISTER OF ECONOMICS	164
293	WILL THE ELECTION HAVE AN IMPACT ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	166

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
PROBLEMS FOR THE NEW GOVERNMENT		
294	WHICH PROBLEM SHOULD THE NEW GOVERNMENT CONCERN ITSELF WITH - 1ST MENTION	167
295	WHICH PROBLEM SHOULD THE NEW GOVERNMENT CONCERN ITSELF WITH - 2ND MENTION	168
296	WHICH PROBLEM SHOULD THE NEW GOVERNMENT CONCERN ITSELF WITH - 3RD MENTION	169
PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- IMPORTANCE TO R		
297	IMPORTANCE OF STABLE PRICES	170
298	IMPORTANCE OF LAW AND ORDER	171
299	IMPORTANCE OF OLD AGE SECURITY	172
300	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	
301	IMPORTANCE OF PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS	173
302	IMPORTANCE OF JUST TAXES	
303	IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	174
304	IMPORTANCE OF EXTENDING EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES	175
R'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION		
305	DOES R LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY	
306	R'S STRENGTH OF PARTISANSHIP	176
307	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	
308	DID R EXPECT A SPD/FDP VICTORY	177
309	HOW IMPORTANT ARE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS	
POLITICAL ACTIVITIES DURING THE CAMPAIGN		
310	DID R ATTEND ANY CAMPAIGN MEETINGS	
311	HOW MANY CAMPAIGN MEETINGS DID R ATTEND	178
312	DID R DISCUSS THE ELECTION WITH HIS FAMILY	
313	DID R DISCUSS THE ELECTION WITH HIS FRIENDS	179
314	DOES R APPROVE OF CAMPAIGN ADVERTISING	
315	DID R SHOW SUPPORT FOR A CANDIDATE OR PARTY	180
316	DID R WEAR A CAMPAIGN BUTTON	
317	DID R DISPLAY A CAMPAIGN STICKER	
318	DID R PERFORM ANOTHER CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY	181
319	WOULD R ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE IN A CAMPAIGN	

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
R'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS		
320	DID R CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO A CAMPAIGN	182
321	HOW MUCH DID R CONTRIBUTE TO THE CAMPAIGN	
322	WOULD R CONTRIBUTE TO A CAMPAIGN IN THE FUTURE	183
323	DID R NOTICE THE ACTIVITIES OF CITIZEN GROUPS	
324	SHOULD OTHER GROUPS BESIDES PARTIES PARTICIPATE IN CAMPAIGNS	
TELEVISION DEBATES		
325	DID R SEE THE TELEVISION DEBATES	184
326	DID R LIKE THE TELEVISION DEBATES	
327	WHICH POLITICIAN FARED BEST IN THE DEBATES	185
328	WHICH POLITICIAN FARED WORST IN THE DEBATES	
329	HAS THE CAMPAIGN INFORMED THE VOTERS	
CAMPAIGN FINANCING		
330	WHAT IS SOURCE OF CDU/CSU FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	186
331	WHAT IS SOURCE OF CDU/CSU FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	187
332	WHAT IS SOURCE OF CDU/CSU FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	189
333	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF SPD FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	190
334	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF SPD FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	191
335	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF SPD FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	193
336	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF FDP FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	194
337	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF FDP FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	195
338	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF FDP FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	197
339	DOES R APPROVE OF THE METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING	198
340	PROBLEMS R SEES IN METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	199
341	PROBLEMS R SEES IN METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	200
342	PROBLEMS R SEES IN METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	201
IMPORTANCE OF CHANCELLOR CANDIDATE		
343	WAS THE CHANCELLOR CANDIDATE/GOVERNMENT TEAM MORE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTING DECISION	203
344	WOULD THE SPD HAVE DONE AS WELL WITHOUT BRANDT	
345	WOULD THE CDU/CSU HAVE DONE BETTER WITHOUT BARZEL	204

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
INFLUENCE OF ELECTION CAMPAIGN		
346	DID THE CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE	
347	HOW DID CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE - 1ST MENTION	
348	HOW DID CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE - 2ND MENTION	206
349	HOW DID CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE - 3RD MENTION	207
PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING		
350	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE CDU/CSU	208
351	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE SPD	209
352	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE FDP	
353	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE NPD	
354	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE DKP	210
355	R'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING	211
PERSONAL DATA		
356	R'S SEX	
357	R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE	212
358	R'S DAY OF BIRTH	
359	R'S MONTH OF BIRTH	
360	R'S YEAR OF BIRTH	213
R'S CHILDHOOD ENVIRONMENT		
361	R'S HISTORICAL GENERATION	
362	R'S PARENTS' PARTISAN PREFERENCE	214
363	STRENGTH OF PARENTS' PARTISAN PREFERENCE	
364	WERE R'S PARENTS FOR HITLER AND THE NSDAP	215
365	FATHER'S OCCUPATION	
PAST PARTY SUPPORT		
366	IS R A PARTY MEMBER	216
367	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH 1969 SECOND VOTE	217
368	DID R VOTE FOR KIESINGER OR BRANDT IN 1969	
369	WILL R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION IMPROVE IN A YEAR	218
NOTES		
1	DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES	219



VAR 0005 REF 0005 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-FEDL STATE OF INTERVIEW NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 12 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 14-15

FEDERAL STATE  
 .....

- 77 01. SLESWIG-HOLSTEIN ("SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN")
- 83 02. HAMBURG
- 223 03. LOWER SAXONY ("NIEDERSACHEN")
- 29 04. BREMEN
- 600 05. NORTHRHINE-WESTPHALIA ("NORDRHEIN-WESTFALEN")
- 168 06. HESSE ("HESSEN")
- 142 07. RHINELAND-PALATINATE ("RHEINLAND-PFALZ")
- 275 08. BADEN-WUERTEMBERG
- 387 09. BAVARIA ("BAYERN")
- 40 10. SAARLAND

VAR 0006 REF 0006 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 14 WIDTH 3 DK 1 COL 16-18

DISTRICT ("REGIERUNGSBEZIRK") IN WHICH THE INTERVIEW  
 WAS CONDUCTED  
 .....

SEE NOTE(S) 1 FOR DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES

VAR 0007 REF 0007 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-SIZE OF TOWN NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 17 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 19

SIZE OF TOWN  
 .....

- 365 0. 500,000 INHABITANTS AND ABOVE
- 95 1. 300,000-499,999
- 232 2. 100,000-299,999
- 133 3. 50,000-99,999
- 204 4. 20,000-49,999
- 326 5. 5,000-19,999
- 237 6. 2,000-4,999
- 432 7. LESS THAN 2,000 INHABITANTS

VAR 0008 REF 0008 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-DATE - 2ND WAVE INTERVIEW MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 18 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 20-21

DATE OF INTERVIEW - SECOND PANEL WAVE  
 .....

- 2 01. OCTOBER 20, 1972
- 26 02. OCTOBER 21, 1972
- 4 03. OCTOBER 22, 1972
- 53 04. OCTOBER 23, 1972
- 129 05. OCTOBER 24, 1972
- 212 06. OCTOBER 25, 1972
- 257 07. OCTOBER 26, 1972
- 262 08. OCTOBER 27, 1972
- 293 09. OCTOBER 28, 1972
- 61 10. OCTOBER 29, 1972
- 149 11. OCTOBER 30, 1972
- 51 12. OCTOBER 31, 1972
- 11 13. NOVEMBER 1, 1972
- 22 14. NOVEMBER 2, 1972
- 14 15. NOVEMBER 3, 1972
- 29 16. NOVEMBER 4, 1972
- 7 17. NOVEMBER 5, 1972
- 2 18. NOVEMBER 6, 1972
- 99. NA
- 441 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0009 REF 0009 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-INTERVIEWER - WAVE 1 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 20 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 22-25

INTERVIEWER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER - FIRST PANEL WAVE  
 .....

VAR 0010 REF 0010 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-INTERVIEWER - WAVE 2 MD=0  
 LOC 24 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 26-29

INTERVIEWER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER - SECOND PANEL WAVE  
 .....

0000. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0011 REF 0011 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-INTERVIEWER - WAVE 3 MD=0  
 LOC 28 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 30-33

INTERVIEWER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER - THIRD PANEL WAVE  
 .....

0000. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0012 REF 0012 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- PRSNT ECON SIT GFR MD=GE 8  
 LOC 32 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 34

Q.1. HOW WOULD YOU, QUITE GENERALLY, EVALUATE THE PRESENT  
 ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC? VERY GOOD,  
 GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD, OR BAD?  
 .....

53	1. VERY GOOD
752	2. GOOD
902	3. PART GOOD/PART BAD
174	4. MORE BAD
81	5. BAD
61	8. DK
2	9. NA

VAR 0013 REF 0013 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- FUTURE ECON SIT GFR MD=GE 8  
 LOC 33 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 35

Q.2. AND HOW WILL IT BE IN A YEAR? DO YOU EXPECT  
 THAT THE GENERAL ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC  
 WILL THEN BE SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, THE  
 SAME, SOMEWHAT WORSE, OR SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE?  
 .....

24	1. SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER
359	2. SOMEWHAT BETTER
1034	3. THE SAME
279	4. SOMEWHAT WORSE
35	5. SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE
285	8. DK

(CONTINUED)

.....

9 9. NA

VAR 0014 REF 0014 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S PRSNT ECON SIT MD=GE 8  
 LOC 34 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 36

Q.3. HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR OWN ECONOMIC SITUATION TODAY?  
 VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD OR BAD?

.....

86	1. VERY GOOD
1154	2. GOOD
597	3. PART GOOD/PART BAD
125	4. MORE BAD
50	5. BAD
6	8. DK
7	9. NA

VAR 0015 REF 0015 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S POLTTL INTEREST MD=9  
 LOC 35 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 37

Q.4. QUITE GENERALLY, ARE YOU INTERESTED IN POLITICS?

.....

930	1. YES
688	2. NOT PARTICULARLY
404	3. NOT AT ALL
3	9. NA

VAR 0016 REF 0016 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- 1ST SOURCE POLTTL INFO MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 36 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 38

Q.5. (IF R HAS ANY INTEREST IN POLITICS)  
 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT FOR YOU WHEN YOU WANT TO FORM A  
 POLITICAL OPINION: TELEVISION, RADIO, NEWSPAPERS, PERSONAL  
 DISCUSSIONS OR WHAT ELSE?

(CONTINUED)

.....

<INTERVIEWER: IF POSSIBLE, ADMIT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.>

Q.5(A). FIRST MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION

.....

- 930 1. TELEVISION
- 69 2. RADIO
- 358 3. NEWSPAPERS
- 240 4. PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
- 6 5. OTHER
  
- 14 9. NA
- 407 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4

VAR 0017 REF 0017 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- 2ND SOURCE POLTL INFO MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 37 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 39

Q.5(B). SECOND MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION

.....

<SEE Q.5 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 12 1. TELEVISION
- 56 2. RADIO
- 113 3. NEWSPAPERS
- 38 4. PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
- 6 5. OTHER
  
- 1393 9. NA; NO SECOND MENTION
- 407 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4

VAR 0018 REF 0018 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- CDU/CSU- PRFRC RANK MD=9  
 LOC 38 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 40

Q.6. HERE ARE FIVE CARDS WITH THE NAMES OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC. WOULD YOU PLEASE RANK THESE CARDS ACCORDING TO HOW WELL YOU LIKE THE PARTIES? ON TOP SHOULD BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE BEST, AT THE BOTTOM THEN WILL BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE LEAST.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE WHITE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND TO

(CONTINUED)

.....

RESPONDENT.>

Q.6(A). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO CDU/CSU

.....

- 780 1. FIRST RANK
- 362 2. SECOND RANK
- 635 3. THIRD RANK
- 86 4. FOURTH RANK
- 41 5. FIFTH RANK
  
- 122 9. NA

VAR 0019 REF 0019 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- SPD- PREFERENCE RANK MD=9  
 LOC 39 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 41

Q.6(B). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO SPD

.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 1014 1. FIRST RANK
- 577 2. SECOND RANK
- 285 3. THIRD RANK
- 31 4. FOURTH RANK
- 7 5. FIFTH RANK
  
- 110 9. NA

VAR 0020 REF 0020 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- FDP- PREFERENCE RANK MD=9  
 LOC 40 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 42

Q.6(C). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO FDP

.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 124 1. FIRST RANK
- 920 2. SECOND RANK
- 812 3. THIRD RANK
- 32 4. FOURTH RANK
- 8 5. FIFTH RANK

(CONTINUED)

.....

129 9. NA

VAR 0021 REF 0021 DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- NPD- PREFERENCE RANK MD=9

LOC 41 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 43

Q.6(D). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO NPD

.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 7 1. FIRST RANK
- 31 2. SECOND RANK
- 72 3. THIRD RANK
- 1138 4. FOURTH RANK
- 562 5. FIFTH RANK
- 216 9. NA

VAR 0022 REF 0022 DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- DKP- PREFERENCE RANK MD=9

LOC 42 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 44

Q.6(E). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO DKP

.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 7 1. FIRST RANK
- 16 2. SECOND RANK
- 73 3. THIRD RANK
- 519 4. FOURTH RANK
- 1190 5. FIFTH RANK
- 221 9. NA

VAR 0023 REF 0023 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- 1ST PTY PRFRC RANK MD=GE 8  
 LOC 43 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 45

RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING  
 .....

THIS VARIABLE EXTRACTS THE RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE FROM THE PARTY RANK ORDERING (Q.6(A)-6(E)).

- 780 1. R RANKS CDU/CSU FIRST
- 1014 2. R RANKS SPD FIRST
- 124 3. R RANKS FDP FIRST
- 7 4. R RANKS NPD FIRST
- 7 5. R RANKS DKP FIRST
  
- 5 8. NO PARTY RANKED FIRST
- 88 9. NA FOR ALL FIVE PARTY RANKINGS

VAR 0024 REF 0024 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- STSFCTN SPD/FDP GOVT MD=99  
 LOC 44 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 46-47

Q.7. ARE YOU MORE SATISFIED OR MORE DISSATISFIED WITH WHAT THE PRESENT SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT IN BONN HAS ACCOMPLISHED SO FAR? PLEASE TELL ME WITH THE AID OF THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 1 TO RESPONDENT AND LEAVE IT UNTIL QUESTION NO. 8.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU ARE COMPLETELY SATISFIED WITH THE PRESENT GOVERNMENT IN BONN. -5 MEANS THAT YOU ARE NOT AT ALL SATISFIED WITH WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS ACCOMPLISHED SO FAR. USING THE VALUES IN BETWEEN YOU CAN EXPRESS YOUR OPINION MORE PRECISELY.  
 .....

- 89 01. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED
- 38 02. .
- 101 03. .
- 91 04. .
- 66 05. .
- 172 06. UNDECIDED
- 125 07. .
- 270 08. .
- 485 09. .
- 281 10. .



(CONTINUED)

.....

11 9. REFUSED

VAR 0027 REF 0027 DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- OPIN FR AL ESTRN PLCY MD=0 OR GE 9

LOC 49 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 51

Q.10. (IF R EXPRESSES AGREEMENT OR REJECTION OF THE POLICIES TOWARDS THE EAST) IMPORTANT RESULTS OF THIS POLICY WERE THE TREATIES WITH MOSCOW AND WARSAW AND THE ACCORDS WITH THE GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC (GDR), CONCERNING E.G. THE EASING OF RESTRICTIONS ON TRAVEL AND VISITS <BETWEEN THE GDR AND GFR>. DOES THE OPINION YOU EXPRESSED HOLD FOR ALL THESE TREATIES AND ACCORDS OR DO YOU DRAW DISTINCTIONS?

.....

1206 1. OPINION HOLDS FOR ALL TREATIES

268 2. DRAW DISTINCTIONS

6 9. NA

545 0. INAP., CODED 2 OR 7-9 IN Q.9

VAR 0028 REF 0028 DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- AGRMNT WARSAW TREATY MD=0 OR GE 7

LOC 50 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 52

Q.12. (IF R DRAWS DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE POLICIES TOWARDS THE EAST) WHAT ABOUT THE WARSAW TREATY? DO YOU AGREE WITH IT OR DO YOU REJECT IT?

.....

247 1. AGREEMENT

189 2. REJECTION

22 7. NA

343 8. DK

18 9. REFUSED

1206 0. INAP., CODED 1 OR 3 IN Q.9, AND CODED 1 IN Q.10

VAR 0029 REF 0029 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IDX RJTN WARSAW TRTY MD=GE 7  
 LOC 51 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 53

INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE WARSAW TREATY  
 .....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.9,10 AND 12 INTO A  
 SINGLE MEASURE OF REJECTION OF THE WARSAW TREATY

- 1306 0. AGREEMENT <Q.9 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.12 CODED 1>
- 336 1. REJECTION <Q.9 CODED 3 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.12 CODED 2>
  
- 22 7. NA
- 343 8. DK
- 18 9. REFUSED

VAR 0030 REF 0030 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- AGRMNT MOSCOW TREATY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 52 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 54

Q.13. (IF R DRAWS DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE POLICIES TOWARDS  
 THE EAST) AND WHAT ABOUT THE MOSCOW TREATY? DO YOU AGREE  
 WITH IT OR DO YOU REJECT IT?  
 .....

- 258 1. AGREEMENT
- 161 2. REJECTION
  
- 24 7. NA
- 363 8. DK
- 13 9. REFUSED
- 1206 0. INAP., CODED 1 OR 3 IN Q.9, AND CODED 1 IN Q.10

VAR 0031 REF 0031 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IDX RJTN MOSCOW TRTY MD=GE 7  
 LOC 53 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 55

INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE MOSCOW TREATY  
 .....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.9,10 AND 13 INTO A  
 SINGLE MEASURE OF REJECTION OF THE MOSCOW TREATY

(CONTINUED)

.....

1317	0.	AGREEMENT	<Q.9 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.13 CODED 1>
308	1.	REJECTION	<Q.9 CODED 3 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.13 CODED 2>
24	7.	NA	
363	8.	DK	
13	9.	REFUSED	

VAR 0032		REF 0032	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-	AGRMNT GDR ACCORDS	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC	54 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 56	

Q.14. (IF R DRAWS DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE POLICIES TOWARDS THE EAST) AND WHAT ABOUT THE ACCORDS WITH THE GRD? DO YOU AGREE WITH THEM OR DO YOU REJECT THEM?

.....

416	1.	AGREEMENT	
143	2.	REJECTION	
34	7.	NA	
218	8.	DK	
8	9.	REFUSED	
1206	0.	INAP., CODED 1 OR 3 IN Q.9, AND CODED 1 IN Q.10	

VAR 0033		REF 0033	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-	IDX RJTN GDR ACCORDS	MD=GE 7	
LOC	55 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 57	

INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.9,10 AND 14 INTO A SINGLE MEASURE OF REJECTION OF THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR

1475	0.	AGREEMENT	<Q.9 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.14 CODED 1>
290	1.	REJECTION	<Q.9 CODED 3 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.14 CODED 2>
34	7.	NA	
218	8.	DK	
8	9.	REFUSED	

VAR 0034 REF 0034 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IDX RTJN ESTRN PLCIES MD=9  
 LOC 56 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 58

SUMMARY INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST  
 .....

THIS SUMMARY INDEX IS A SIMPLE ADDITION OF SCORES FOR  
 REF. NOS. 29,31, AND 33. IF THE RESPONDENT HAD MISSING  
 DATA (CODED 7,8, OR 9) ON ANY OF THE INDIVIDUAL INDICES  
 HE WAS ASSIGNED AS MISSING DATA ON THIS SUMMARY INDEX.

- 1149 0. COMPLETE AGREEMENT
- 119 1. .
- 82 2. .
- 174 3. COMPLETE REJECTION
  
- 501 9. NA, CODED 7,8, OR 9 IN REF. NOS. 29,31 OR 33

VAR 0035 REF 0035 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- STSFCTN POLTL SYSTEM MD=GE 7  
 LOC 57 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 59

Q.15. WHAT WOULD YOU GENERALLY SAY ABOUT DEMOCRACY IN THE  
 FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, THAT IS, ABOUT OUR POLITICAL  
 PARTIES AND OUR ENTIRE POLITICAL SYSTEM? ARE YOU VERY  
 SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, OR NOT SATISFIED WITH IT?  
 .....

- 388 1. VERY SATISFIED
- 1369 2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 128 3. NOT SATISFIED
  
- 13 7. NA
- 114 8. DK
- 13 9. REFUSED

VAR 0036 REF 0036 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- POLTN KNWN R- 1ST MNT MD=GE 98  
 LOC 58 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 60-61

Q.16. AND WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT POLITICIANS IN THE FEDERAL  
 REPUBLIC, WHICH NAMES OCCUR TO YOU?

(CONTINUED)

.....

Q.16(A) . FIRST MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

.....

31	01.	ADENAUER (CDU)
1	02.	AHLERS (SPD)
2	03.	ARENDT (SPD)
	04.	ARNDT (SPD)
2	05.	BAHR (SPD)
282	06.	BARZEL (CDU)
1196	07.	BRANDT (SPD)
	08.	VON DOHNANY (SPD)
	09.	DOLLINGER (CSU)
	10.	DREGGER (CDU)
2	11.	EHMKE (SPD)
	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
15	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
	15.	ERTL (FDP)
2	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
3	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
2	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
6	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
32	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)
1	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
	25.	JAHN (SPD)
6	26.	KATZER (CDU)
18	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
5	28.	KOHL (CDU)
2	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
1	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
4	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	34.	MENDE (CDU)
1	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
87	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
37	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
5	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
64	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
1	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
35	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
14	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
122	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)



VAR 0040 REF 0040 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- POLTN KNWN R- 5TH MNT MD=GE 98  
 LOC 66 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 68-69

Q.16(E). FIFTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0041 REF 0041 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- POLTN KNWN R- 6TH MNT MD=GE 98  
 LOC 68 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 70-71

Q.16(F). SIXTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0042 REF 0042 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- POLTN KNWN R- 7TH MNT MD=GE 98  
 LOC 70 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 72-73

Q.16(G). SEVENTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0043 REF 0043 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- POLTN KNWN R- 8TN MNT MD=GE 98  
 LOC 72 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 74-75

Q.16(H). EIGHTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0044 REF 0044 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- POLTN KNWN R- 9TN MNT MD=GE 98  
 LOC 74 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 76-77

Q.16(I). NINTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

(CONTINUED)

.....

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0045		REF 0045	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-	POLTN KNWN R-10TH MNT	MD=GE 98	
LOC	76 WIDTH 2	DK 1 COL 78-79	

Q.16 (J). TENTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

.....

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0001		REF 0001	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-ICPR	STUDY NUMBER - 7102	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC	1 WIDTH 4	DK 2 COL 1- 4	

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)

.....

'02'

DK 2 COL 5- 6

....

VAR 0002		REF 0002	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER		NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC	5 WIDTH 4	DK 2 COL 7-10	

RESPONDENT NUMBER

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0046		REF 0046	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-	SCALOMETER- BRANDT	MD=99	
LOC	78 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 11-12	

Q.17. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR, WILLY BRANDT AND RAINER BARZEL? PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 2 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTICULAR

(CONTINUED)

.....

CANDIDATE. -5 MEANS YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL.

Q.17(A). WHAT DO YOU THINK OF WILLY BRANDT?

.....

123	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
51	02.	.
79	03.	.
66	04.	.
40	05.	.
148	06.	UNDECIDED
117	07.	.
174	08.	.
327	09.	.
296	10.	.
567	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
37	99.	NA

VAR 0047		REF 0047	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- BARZEL		MD=99	
LOC 80 WIDTH 2		DK 2 COL 13-14	

Q.17(B). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF RAINER BARZEL?

.....

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

388	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
104	02.	.
147	03.	.
113	04.	.
89	05.	.
193	06.	UNDECIDED
183	07.	.
212	08.	.
270	09.	.
156	10.	.
127	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
43	99.	NA

VAR 0048 REF 0048 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- SCALOMETER- SPD MD=99  
 LOC 82 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 15-16

Q.18. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE  
 POLITICAL PARTIES? AGAIN, PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS  
 SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 3 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY.  
 -5 MEANS THAT YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF IT AT ALL.  
 USING THE VALUES IN BETWEEN YOU CAN EXPRESS YOUR OPINION  
 MORE PRECISELY.

Q.18 (A). WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE SPD?

- .....
- 61 01. DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
  - 52 02. .
  - 81 03. .
  - 79 04. .
  - 64 05. .
  - 124 06. UNDECIDED
  - 154 07. .
  - 242 08. .
  - 401 09. .
  - 350 10. .
  - 376 11. THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
  
  - 42 99. NA

VAR 0049 REF 0049 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- SCALOMETER- CDU MD=99  
 LOC 84 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 17-18

Q.18 (B). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CDU?

.....

<SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 152 01. DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
- 90 02. .
- 131 03. .
- 107 04. .
- 91 05. .
- 156 06. UNDECIDED

(CONTINUED)

.....

245	07.	.
284	08.	.
324	09.	.
238	10.	.
160	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
47	99.	NA

VAR 0050		REF 0050	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- CSU		MD=99	
LOC 86 WIDTH 2		DK 2 COL 19-20	

Q.18(C). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CSU?

.....

<SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

297	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
89	02.	.
145	03.	.
118	04.	.
111	05.	.
214	06.	UNDECIDED
226	07.	.
244	08.	.
248	09.	.
164	10.	.
114	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
55	99.	NA

VAR 0051		REF 0051	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- FDP		MD=99	
LOC 88 WIDTH 2		DK 2 COL 21-22	

Q.18(D). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE FDP?

.....

<SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

93	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
45	02.	.
89	03.	.
78	04.	.



(CONTINUED)

.....

FINISHED TAKE THE SEALED ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.19(A),58(A). RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE IN 1972)

.....

<SEE REF. NO. 52 FOR QUESTIONNAIRE FORM.>

- 958 1. SPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 654 2. CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
- 95 3. FDP <-CANDIDATE>
- 8 4. NPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 1 5. DKP <-CANDIDATE>
- 30 6. WILL NOT VOTE
  
- 113 7. NA
- 43 8. DK
- 124 9. REFUSED

VAR 0054

REF 0054

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- PARTY 2ND VOTE 1972

MD=GE 7

LOC 92 WIDTH 1

DK 2 COL 25

Q.19(B),58(B). RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR PARTY LIST IN 1972)

.....

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 938 1. SPD
- 654 2. CDU/CSU
- 123 3. FDP
- 10 4. NPD
- 5 5. DKP
- 30 6. WILL NOT VOTE
  
- 98 7. NA
- 43 8. DK
- 124 9. REFUSED

VAR 0055 REF 0055 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- DID R VOTE IN 1969 MD=GE 7  
 LOC 93 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 26

Q.20. HOW WAS IT THREE YEARS AGO AT THE LAST FEDERAL ELECTION <1969>: DID YOU VOTE AT THAT TIME?  
 .....

- 1696 1. YES
- 160 2. NO
- 8 7. NA
- 26 8. REFUSED
- 135 9. NOT YET ELIGIBLE TO VOTE

VAR 0056 REF 0056 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- CAND 1ST VOTE 1969 MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 94 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 27

Q.21. (IF R VOTED IN 1969) HERE IS A VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU RECEIVED THREE YEARS AGO FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION OF 1969. PLEASE MARK, IN SECRET, HOW YOU VOTED THEN. AT THAT TIME, YOU HAD TWO VOTES. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PUT THE BALLOT BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE AND SEAL IT.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND YELLOW ENVELOPE WITH ELECTION BALLOT TO RESPONDENT, TURN AWAY FROM RESPONDENT, WALK TO THE WINDOW, ETC. WHEN R IS FINISHED, TAKE THE SEALED ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.21(A). RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE IN 1969)  
 .....

- 828 1. SPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 558 2. CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
- 71 3. FDP <-CANDIDATE>
- 10 4. NPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 5. DKP <-CANDIDATE>
- 100 7. NA
- 29 8. DO NOT REMEMBER
- 102 9. REFUSED
- 329 0. INAP., CODED 2, OR 7-9 IN Q.20

VAR 0057 REF 0057 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- CAND 2ND VOTE 1969 MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 95 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 28

Q.21(B). RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR THE PARTY LIST IN 1969)  
 .....

<SEE Q.21 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 816 1. SPD
- 575 2. CDU/CSU
- 88 3. FDP
- 9 4. NPD
- 4 5. DKP
  
- 74 7. NA
- 29 8. DO NOT REMEMBER
- 102 9. REFUSED
- 329 0. INAP., CODED 2, OR 7-9 IN Q.20

VAR 0058 REF 0058 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- PREFERED GOVT COALTN MD=GE 7  
 LOC 96 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 29

Q.22. WE HAVE NOTED HERE SOME OF THE POSSIBLE FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS. WHICH OF THESE WOULD YOU MOST LIKE TO SEE IN BONN AFTER THE ELECTION?

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE THE RED DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT TO THE RESPONDENT.>

JUST TELL ME THE LETTER <WHICH CORRESPONDS TO YOUR CHIOCE>.  
 .....

- 331 1. GRAND COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND SPD
- 346 2. GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE
- 167 3. COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND FDP
- 416 4. GOVERNMENT OF THE CDU/CSU ALONE
- 584 5. COALITION OF SPD AND FDP
  
- 17 7. NA
- 131 8. DK
- 33 9. REFUSED

VAR 0059 REF 0059 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- COALTN PARTNER F NESC MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 97 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 30

Q.23. (IF R PREFERS A GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE OR  
 CDU/CSU ALONE) LET US ASSUME THAT THE... (PARTY MENTIONED IN  
 QUESTION NO. 22) WILL NOT RECEIVE ENOUGH VOTES IN THE COMING  
 FEDERAL ELECTION TO FORM THE GOVERNMENT ALONE. WHICH  
 PARTY SHOULD THE... (PARTY MENTIONED IN QUESTION NO. 22)  
 FORM A GOVERNMENT WITH IN THAT CASE?

- .....
- 99 1. WITH THE SPD
  - 32 2. WITH THE CDU/CSU
  - 411 3. WITH THE FDP
  - 122 4. WITH NO OTHER PARTY
  
  - 13 7. NA
  - 79 8. DK
  - 5 9. REFUSED
  - 1263 0. INAP., CODED 1,3,5 OR 7-9 IN Q.22

VAR 0060 REF 0060 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- SMRY PRFR GOVT COALTN MD=GE 6  
 LOC 98 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 31

R'S PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION

.....  
 THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.22 AND Q.23  
 TO PROVIDE ONE SUMMARY VARIABLE.

- 462 1. GRAND COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND SPD
- 25 2. GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE
- 316 3. COALITION OF THE CDU/CSU AND FDP
- 97 4. GOVERNMENT OF THE CDU/CSU ALONE
- 846 5. COALITION OF SPD AND FDP
  
- 97 6. GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD OR CDU/CSU, COALITION  
 PARTNER NA
- 17 7. NA
- 131 8. DK
- 33 9. REFUSED

VAR 0061 REF 0061 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- WHOM PRFRD AS CHNCLLR MD=GE 8  
 LOC 99 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 32

Q.24. WHOM WOULD YOU PREFER AS CHANCELLOR: WILLY BRANDT OR RAINER BARZEL?

- 1113 1. WILLY BRANDT
- 243 2. NEITHER
- 505 3. RAINER BARZEL
  
- 161 8. DK
- 3 9. NA

VAR 0062 REF 0062 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- WHY PRFR BRANDT-1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 100 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 33-34

Q.25. (IF R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR) AND WHY DO YOU PREFER WILLY BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR?

Q.25(A). FIRST MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR

GENERAL REASON

- 247 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 177 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON), E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY
- 106 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE, COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE
- 68 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL), E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT, OPPORTUNIST

SPECIFIC REASON

- 198 21. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR

(CONTINUED)

.....

- 114 22. POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT, WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY
- 58 23. FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS, POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR, POLAND
- 29 24. SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE: EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE
- 29 25. PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE
- 1 26. ECONOMIC POLICY
- 1 26. EUROPEAN POLICY

OTHER REASON

- 72 31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT, E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I AM PARTY SUPPORTER
- 6 32. STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE), E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)
- 29 33. OTHER REASON
- 1 98. DK
- 7 99. NA
- 912 00. INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.24

VAR 0063 REF 0063 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- WHY PRFR BRANDT-2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 102 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 35-36

Q.25(B). SECOND MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

<SEE Q.25 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

GENERAL REASON

- 40 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 58 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON), E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY
- 79 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE, COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS



(CONTINUED)

.....

## GENERAL REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 12 | 11. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER  |
| 11 | 12. | DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON), E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY  |
| 13 | 13. | DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE, COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE |
| 12 | 14. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL), E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT, OPPORTUNIST  |

## SPECIFIC REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 21 | 21. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT, WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY |
| 17 | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS, POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR, POLAND  |
| 19 | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE: EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE   |
| 12 | 24. | PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE   |
| 1  | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY   |
| 1  | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY   |

## OTHER REASON

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 7   | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT, E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I AM PARTY SUPPORTER                      |
| 6   | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE), E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>) |
| 2   | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 400 | 96. | NO THIRD MENTION   |
| 571 | 97. | NO SECOND AND THIRD MENTION  |
| 1   | 98. | DK   |
| 7   | 99. | NA   |
| 912 | 00. | INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.24  |

VAR 0065 REF 0065 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- WHY PRFR BARZEL-1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 106 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 39-40

Q.26. (IF R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR) AND WHY DO YOU  
 PREFER RAINER BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR?

Q.26(A). FIRST MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING RAINER  
 BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

GENERAL REASON

- 86 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,  
 E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 25 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),  
 E.G., HONEST
- 112 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A  
 POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY  
 AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC
- 46 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),  
 E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,  
 BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

SPECIFIC REASON

- 27 21. SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,  
 GOOD PARTY LEADER
- 8 22. FOREIGN POLICY
- 8 23. SOCIAL POLICY
- 24. PEACE POLICY
- 11 25. ECONOMIC POLICY
- 26. EUROPEAN POLICY

OTHER REASON

- 109 31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,  
 E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,  
 I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE  
 CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE  
 CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF
- 29 32. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),  
 E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT
- 39 33. OTHER REASON
- 2 98. DK
- 3 99. NA
- 1519 00. INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.24

VAR 0066 REF 0066 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- WHY PRFR BARZEL-2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 108 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 41-42

Q.26 (B). SECOND MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING  
 RAINER BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

GENERAL REASON

- 7 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,  
E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 17 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),  
E.G., HONEST
- 31 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A  
POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY  
AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC
- 15 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),  
E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,  
BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

SPECIFIC REASON

- 9 21. SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,  
GOOD PARTY LEADER
- 5 22. FOREIGN POLICY
- 7 23. SOCIAL POLICY
- 24. PEACE POLICY
- 5 25. ECONOMIC POLICY
- 26. EUROPEAN POLICY

OTHER REASON

- 24 31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,  
E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,  
I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE  
CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE  
CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF
- 5 32. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),  
E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT
- 8 33. OTHER REASON
- 367 97. NO SECOND MENTION
- 2 98. DK
- 3 99. NA
- 1519 00. INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.24

VAR 0067

REF 0067

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- WHY PRFR BARZEL-3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 96  
LOC 110 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 43-44

Q.26 (C). THIRD MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING RAINER  
BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

GENERAL REASON

- 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON), E.G., HONEST
- 6 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC
- 8 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL), E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER, BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

SPECIFIC REASON

- 1 21. SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE, GOOD PARTY LEADER
- 2 22. FOREIGN POLICY
- 1 23. SOCIAL POLICY
- 24. PEACE POLICY
- 3 25. ECONOMIC POLICY
- 26. EUROPEAN POLICY

OTHER REASON

- 1 31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL, E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY, I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF
- 1 32. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE), E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT
- 1 33. OTHER REASON
- 111 96. NO THIRD MENTION
- 367 97. NO SECOND AND THIRD MENTION
- 2 98. DK
- 3 99. NA

(CONTINUED)

.....

1519 00. INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.24

VAR 0068 REF 0068 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- STRAUSS' INFLUENCE MD=GE 7  
 LOC 112 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 45

Q.27. ONE FREQUENTLY HEARS THE OPINION THAT IN THE EVENT OF AN ELECTION VICTORY OF THE CDU/CSU, FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS WOULD HAVE ESPECIALLY GREAT INFLUENCE ON THE POLICY OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HOW DO YOU PERSONALLY FEEL ABOUT THIS: SHOULD FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS, IN A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT, HAVE GREAT INFLUENCE, APPROPRIATE INFLUENCE OR AS LITTLE INFLUENCE AS POSSIBLE?

.....

- 319 1. GREAT INFLUENCE
- 651 2. APPROPRIATE INFLUENCE
- 808 3. AS LITTLE INFLUENCE AS POSSIBLE
  
- 3 7. NA
- 226 8. DK
- 17 9. REFUSED

VAR 0069 REF 0069 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- WHO BST ECON MINISTER MD=GE 97  
 LOC 113 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 46-47

Q.28. IN YOUR OPINION, WHICH POLITICIAN WOULD BE BEST QUALIFIED TO BE MINISTER OF ECONOMICS?

<INTERVIEWER: ADMIT ONLY ONE NAME.>

.....

- 01. ADENAUER (CDU)
- 02. AHLERS (SPD)
- 4 03. ARENDT (SPD)
- 1 04. ARNDT (SPD)
- 05. BAHR (SPD)
- 5 06. BARZEL (CDU)
- 3 07. BRANDT (SPD)
- 08. VON DOHNANY (SPD)
- 09. DOLLINGER (CSU)
- 10. DREGGER (CDU)
- 1 11. EHMKE (SPD)

(CONTINUED)

.....

3	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
75	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
2	15.	ERTL (FDP)
	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
9	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
1	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
1	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)
	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
	25.	JAHN (SPD)
5	26.	KATZER (CDU)
1	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
2	28.	KOHL (CDU)
	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
9	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	34.	MENDE (CDU)
2	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
20	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
12	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
1	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
262	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
4	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
346	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
13	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
33	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
238	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)
	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
1	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
1	53.	WEYER (FDP)
	54.	WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
15	55.	NARJES (CDU)
	56.	WEHNER (SPD)
3	90.	OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
4	91.	OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
1	92.	OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
	93.	OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY

(CONTINUED)

.....

11	97.	NA
920	98.	DK
20	99.	REFUSED

VAR 0070	REF 0070	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- FIN EFFECT OF ELCTN	MD=GE 7	
LOC 115 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 48	

Q.29. NOW PLEASE THINK ABOUT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION OR THAT OF YOUR FAMILY. DOES IT MAKE A GREAT DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE OR PRACTICALLY NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL, WHETHER AFTER THE ELECTION THE SPD AND THE FDP AGAIN FORM THE GOVERNMENT TOGETHER, OR WHETHER THE CDU/CSU FORMS THE GOVERNMENT?

.....

193	1.	GREAT DIFFERENCE
481	2.	SOME DIFFERENCE
1057	3.	NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL
9	7.	NA
276	8.	DK
8	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0071	REF 0071	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- PTY TO IMPRV FIN SIT	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 116 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 49	

Q.30. (IF R THINKS THE ELECTION OUTCOME WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE) AND WHICH OF THE TWO GOVERNMENTS WOULD BE MOST LIKELY TO IMPROVE YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION, A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT OR A SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT?

.....

278	1.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
336	2.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
7	7.	NA
51	8.	DK
3	9.	REFUSED
1350	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 7-9 IN Q.29

VAR 0072 REF 0072 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- RLTNS EAST EUR MD=GE 7  
 LOC 117 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 50

Q.31. HERE WE HAVE PUT TOGETHER SEVERAL PROBLEMS WHICH ARE TALKED ABOUT IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC, AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW IMPORTANT EACH ONE IS FOR YOU PERSONALLY. THESE CARDS CONTAIN THE PROBLEMS. USING THIS LIST, PLEASE TELL ME FOR EACH PROBLEM HOW IMPORTANT YOU CONSIDER IT TO BE, AND GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTERS ON THE CARDS AS WELL.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE THE BLUE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT TO THE RESPONDENT. ALSO HAND LIST A TO RESPONDENT. TAKE THE CARDS BACK AFTER THE CLASSIFICATION IS COMPLETED.>

Q.31(A). PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

- 556 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 979 2. IMPORTANT
- 312 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 44 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 24 7. NA
- 92 8. DK
- 18 9. REFUSED

VAR 0073 REF 0073 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- STABLE PRICES MD=GE 7  
 LOC 118 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 51

Q.31(B). PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 1640 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 309 2. IMPORTANT
- 24 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 4 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 14 7. NA



VAR 0076 REF 0076 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- ENVRNMNTL PRTCT MD=GE 7  
 LOC 121 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 54

Q.31(E). PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 874 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 873 2. IMPORTANT
- 161 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 19 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 20 7. NA
- 61 8. DK
- 17 9. REFUSED

VAR 0077 REF 0077 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- EXTND EDUCATION MD=GE 7  
 LOC 122 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 55

Q.31(F). PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL  
 SYSTEM--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 726 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 909 2. IMPORTANT
- 229 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 39 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 26 7. NA
- 77 8. DK
- 19 9. REFUSED



VAR 0080 REF 0080 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- HLTH INSURANCE MD=GE 7  
 LOC 125 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 58

Q.31(J). PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- |      |    |                        |
|------|----|------------------------|
| 1128 | 1. | VERY IMPORTANT         |
| 766  | 2. | IMPORTANT              |
| 68   | 3. | NOT SO IMPORTANT       |
| 4    | 4. | COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT |
| 21   | 7. | NA                     |
| 21   | 8. | DK                     |
| 17   | 9. | REFUSED                |

VAR 0081 REF 0081 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- RLTNS W WEST MD=GE 7  
 LOC 126 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 59

Q.31(K). PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS  
 WITH THE WEST--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- |      |    |                        |
|------|----|------------------------|
| 548  | 1. | VERY IMPORTANT         |
| 1084 | 2. | IMPORTANT              |
| 249  | 3. | NOT SO IMPORTANT       |
| 25   | 4. | COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT |
| 25   | 7. | NA                     |
| 75   | 8. | DK                     |
| 19   | 9. | REFUSED                |

VAR 0082 REF 0082 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- BETTER HOUSING MD=GE 7  
 LOC 127 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 60

Q.31(L) . PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 435 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 994 2. IMPORTANT
- 428 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 69 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 22 7. NA
- 59 8. DK
- 19 9. REFUSED

VAR 0083 REF 0083 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- OLD AGE SCRTRY MD=GE 7  
 LOC 128 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 61

Q.31(M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 1232 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 643 2. IMPORTANT
- 88 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 8 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 14 7. NA
- 23 8. DK
- 16 9. REFUSED

VAR 0084 REF 0084 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- RGTS FRGN WRKRS MD=GE 7  
 LOC 129 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 62

Q.31(N). PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS  
 ("GASTARBEITER")--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 127 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 587 2. IMPORTANT
- 749 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 379 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 33 7. NA
- 124 8. DK
- 27 9. REFUSED

VAR 0085 REF 0085 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- RLTNS W CHINA MD=GE 7  
 LOC 130 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 63

Q.31(O). PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
 REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 199 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 814 2. IMPORTANT
- 622 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 158 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 35 7. NA
- 177 8. DK
- 20 9. REFUSED

VAR 0086 REF 0086 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- MLTRY SECURITY MD=GE 7  
 LOC 131 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 64

Q.31(P). PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 362 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 952 2. IMPORTANT
- 454 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 108 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 28 7. NA
- 101 8. DK
- 19 9. REFUSED

VAR 0087 REF 0087 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- PRTCTN FRM RDCL MD=GE 7  
 LOC 132 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 65

Q.31(Q). PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR  
 ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 1208 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 603 2. IMPORTANT
- 112 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 18 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 24 7. NA
- 41 8. DK
- 18 9. REFUSED



(CONTINUED)

.....

<SEE Q.32 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 56 01. PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
- 302 02. PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
- 313 03. PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
- 46 04. PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
- 137 05. PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 112 06. PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
- 158 07. PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS
- 30 08. PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS
- 207 09. PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY
- 35 10. PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST
- 28 11. PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING
- 257 12. PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY
- 3 13. PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")
- 7 14. PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
- 22 15. PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY
- 121 16. PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS
- 190 99. NA; NO SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

VAR 0090 REF 0090 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- 3RD MOST IMP PROBLEM MD=99  
 LOC 137 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 70-71

Q.32(C). PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATES AS THIRD MOST IMPORTANT

.....

<SEE Q.32 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 47 01. PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
- 156 02. PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
- 187 03. PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
- 49 04. PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
- 143 05. PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 88 06. PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
- 162 07. PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS



VAR 0092 REF 0092 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- STABLE PRICES MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 140 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 73

Q.33(B). PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 619 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 674 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 302 3. BOTH
- 133 4. NEITHER
  
- 42 7. NA
- 166 8. DK
- 14 9. REFUSED
- 76 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(B)

VAR 0093 REF 0093 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- LAW AND ORDER MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 141 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 74

Q.33(C). PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 576 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 539 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 508 3. BOTH
- 55 4. NEITHER
  
- 49 7. NA
- 145 8. DK
- 14 9. REFUSED
- 139 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(C)

VAR 0094 REF 0094 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- ABORTION MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 142 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 75

Q.33(D). PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 672 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 132 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 134 3. BOTH
- 20 4. NEITHER
  
- 60 7. NA
- 97 8. DK
- 6 9. REFUSED
- 903 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(D)

VAR 0095 REF 0095 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY-ENVRNMNTL PRTC MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 143 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 76

Q.33(E). PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL  
 PROTECTION--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 619 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 284 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 575 3. BOTH
- 52 4. NEITHER
  
- 60 7. NA
- 146 8. DK
- 11 9. REFUSED
- 278 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(E)

VAR 0096 REF 0096 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- EXTND EDUC TN MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 144 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 77

Q.33(F). PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 680 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 359 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 389 3. BOTH
- 25 4. NEITHER
  
- 55 7. NA
- 119 8. DK
- 9 9. REFUSED
- 390 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(F)

VAR 0097 REF 0097 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- JUST TAXES MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 145 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 78

Q.33(G). PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 819 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 369 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 281 3. BOTH
- 102 4. NEITHER
  
- 61 7. NA
- 149 8. DK
- 16 9. REFUSED
- 227 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(G)



VAR 0001 REF 0001 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 3 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)  
 .....

'03' DK 3 COL 5- 6  
 ....

VAR 0002 REF 0002 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 5 WIDTH 4 DK 3 COL 7-10

RESPONDENT NUMBER  
 .....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0100 REF 0100 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- RLTNS W WEST MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 148 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 11

Q.33(K). PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS  
 WITH THE WEST--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....  
 <SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 522 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 518 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 419 3. BOTH
- 8 4. NEITHER
  
- 60 7. NA
- 94 8. DK
- 11 9. REFUSED
- 392 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(K)

VAR 0101 REF 0101 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- BTTR HOUSING MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 149 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 12

Q.33(L) . PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 557 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 261 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 400 3. BOTH
- 25 4. NEITHER
  
- 49 7. NA
- 127 8. DK
- 8 9. REFUSED
- 596 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(L)

VAR 0102 REF 0102 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- OLD AGE SCRTRY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 150 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 13

Q.33(M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 789 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 465 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 406 3. BOTH
- 27 4. NEITHER
  
- 49 7. NA
- 127 8. DK
- 12 9. REFUSED
- 149 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(M)

VAR 0103 REF 0103 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY-RGTS FRN WRKRS MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 151 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 14

Q.33(N). PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS  
 ("GASTARBEITER")--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 279 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 93 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 209 3. BOTH
- 24 4. NEITHER
  
- 26 7. NA
- 78 8. DK
- 4 9. REFUSED
- 1311 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(N)

VAR 0104 REF 0104 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- RLTNS W CHINA MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 152 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 15

Q.33(O). PROBLEMS O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
 REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 471 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 204 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 209 3. BOTH
- 5 4. NEITHER
  
- 46 7. NA
- 72 8. DK
- 6 9. REFUSED
- 1012 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(O)

VAR 0105 REF 0105 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- MLTRY SCRTY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 153 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 16

Q.33(P). PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 385 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 338 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 402 3. BOTH
- 13 4. NEITHER
  
- 50 7. NA
- 116 8. DK
- 9 9. REFUSED
- 710 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(P)

VAR 0106 REF 0106 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY-PRCTN FR RDCL MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 154 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 17

Q.33(Q). PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 516 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 472 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 527 3. BOTH
- 72 4. NEITHER
  
- 66 7. NA
- 146 8. DK
- 14 9. REFUSED
- 213 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(Q)

VAR 0107 REF 0107 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S PARTISAN PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 155 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 18

Q.34. MANY PEOPLE IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC LEAN TOWARDS  
 A PARTICULAR PARTY FOR A LONG TIME, ALTHOUGH THEY MAY  
 OCCASIONALLY VOTE FOR A DIFFERENT PARTY. HOW ABOUT YOU:  
 DO YOU IN GENERAL LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY? IF SO,  
 WHICH ONE?

- .....
- 824 1. SPD
  - 555 2. CDU/CSU
  - 72 3. FDP
  - 1 4. NDP
  - 2 5. DKP
  - 415 6. OTHER
  - 7. NO PARTY LEANING
  
  - 80 8. DK
  - 68 9. REFUSED
  - 9 0. NA

VAR 0108 REF 0108 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- STRGNTH PRTSN PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 156 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 19

Q.35. (IF R LEANS TOWARDS A PARTY) TAKEN ALTOGETHER, HOW  
 STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DO YOU LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY: VERY  
 STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY OR  
 VERY WEAKLY?

- .....
- 343 1. VERY STRONGLY
  - 674 2. FAIRLY STRONGLY
  - 393 3. MODERATELY
  - 13 4. FAIRLY WEAKLY
  - 8 5. VERY WEAKLY
  
  - 9 7. NA
  - 11 8. DK
  - 3 9. REFUSED
  - 571 0. INAP., CODED 0 OR 7-9 IN Q.34

VAR 0109 REF 0109 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S PARTY IDENTICTN MD=GE 6  
 LOC 157 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 20

RESPONDENT'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION  
 .....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES Q.34 AND Q.35 INTO A SINGLE  
 MEASURE OF THE INTENSITY OF SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU PREFERENCE.

Q.35 CODED

- 649 1. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP 1 - 2
- 235 2. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP 3 - 5
- 415 3. NO PARTY PREFERENCE
- 179 4. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU 3 - 5
- 365 5. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU 1 - 2
- 25 6. OTHER PARTY PREFERENCE COMBINATION
- 9 7. NA
- 80 8. DK
- 68 9. REFUSED

VAR 0110 REF 0110 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- PTY PREF FAMILY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 158 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 21

Q.36. HOW ABOUT YOUR FAMILY? GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO THEY  
 LEAN PREDOMINATELY TOWARDS A PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY, OR  
 ARE THERE DIFFERENCES WITHIN YOUR FAMILY? IF SO, WHICH  
 PARTY?

.....

- 775 1. SPD
- 525 2. CDU/CSU
- 36 3. FDP
- 7 4. OTHER
- 248 5. THERE ARE DIFFERENCES; R MENTIONS MORE THAN ONE  
 PARTY
- 109 6. NO PARTY LEANING
- 137 7. DK
- 53 8. REFUSED
- 27 9. NA
- 108 0. R DOES NOT HAVE ANY FAMILY



(CONTINUED)

.....

64	9.	NA
395	0.	R DOES NOT HAVE ANY COLLEAGUES

VAR 0113	REF 0113	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- WHO WILL WIN ELECTION	MD=GE 97	
LOC 161 WIDTH 2	DK 3 COL 24-25	

Q.39. WHAT DO YOU PERSONALLY THINK: WHO WILL WIN THE UP-COMING ELECTION?

.....

508	01.	SPD
167	02.	SPD/FDP
801	03.	CDU/CSU
2	04.	CDU/CSU/FDP
7	05.	BRANDT
4	06.	BARZEL
6	07.	NO WINNER; SPD (OR SPD/FDP) AND CDU/CSU WILL WIN THE SAME NUMBER OF VOTES
1	08.	OTHER RESPONSE
9	97.	NA
514	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED

VAR 0114	REF 0114	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- R'S INTRST LABOR UNS	MD=GE 7	
LOC 163 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 26	

Q.40. AND HOW ABOUT THE LABOR UNIONS AND THEIR POINTS OF VIEW: ARE YOU INTERESTED IN THEM REGULARLY, FREQUENTLY, OCCASIONALLY, RARELY OR NEVER?

.....

295	1.	REGULARLY
226	2.	FREQUENTLY
487	3.	OCCASIONALLY
341	4.	RARELY
599	5.	NEVER
8	7.	NA
64	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED



(CONTINUED)

.....

LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST B TO RESPONDENT.>

.....

- 938 1. FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS)
- 101 2. PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS
- 35 3. PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS
- 4 4. TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED
- 337 5. NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.
- 22 6. IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)
- 42 7. IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)
- 540 8. NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION
- 6 9. NA

VAR 0119 REF 0119 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S OCCUPATION MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 169 WIDTH 2 DK 3 COL 32-33

Q.45. (IF R IS EMPLOYED FULL OR PART TIME OR IS RETIRED) TO WHICH OF THESE OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DO YOU (OR DID YOU) BELONG?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST C TO RESPONDENT.>

.....

- 27 11. PROFESSIONAL
- 2 21. OWNER OR EXECUTIVE OF A LARGE BUSINESS
- 35 22. SELF-EMPLOYED - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., PROPRIETOR OF A LARGER STORE, CHIEF SALES REPRESENTATIVE)
- 97 23. SELF-EMPLOYED - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SMALL SHOP-KEEPER, CRAFTSMAN)
- 80 31. SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE IN A MANAGERIAL POSITION (E.G., DEPARTMENT HEAD, DIRECTOR)
- 219 32. SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., ACCOUNTANT, CASHIER)
- 168 33. SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SALES CLERK, SECRETARY)
- 23 41. CIVIL SERVANT - HIGHEST LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES HOEHEREN DIENSTES)
- 19 42. CIVIL SERVANT - HIGH LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES GEHOBENEN DIENSTES)
- 41 43. CIVIL SERVANT - MEDIUM LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES MITTLEREN DIENSTES" UP TO "AMTMANN" AND "ASSESSOR")

(CONTINUED)

.....

33	44.	CIVIL SERVANT - LOW LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES EINFACHEN DIENSTES" UP TO "OBERSEKRETAER")
316	51.	SKILLED WORKER
217	61.	SEMI-SKILLED OR UNSKILLED WORKER
4	71.	FARMER, LARGE FARM
35	72.	FARMER, MEDIUM SIZE FARM
23	73.	FARMER, SMALL FARM
5	81.	FARM WORKER
31	91.	HOUSEWIFE
	92.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING
31	93.	RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION AND NO PRIOR OCCUPATION ASCERTAINED
9	99.	NA
611	00.	INAP., CODED 6-9 IN Q.44

VAR 0120

NAME-1- R'S EDUCATION  
LOC 171 WIDTH 2

REF 0120

MD=99

DK 3 COL 34-35

DATA SET ID-'7102'

Q.46. WHAT KIND OF SCHOOL DID YOU LAST ATTEND, I MEAN  
IN WHICH KIND OF SCHOOL DID YOU COMPLETE YOUR EDUCATION?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST D TO RESPONDENT.&gt;

.....

635	11.	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WITHOUT COMPLETED APPRENTICESHIP OR VOCATIONAL TRAINING
841	21.	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WITH COMPLETED APPRENTICESHIP OR VOCATIONAL TRAINING
145	22.	SECRETARIAL-, TRADE- OR COMMERCIAL SCHOOL ("HANDELSSCHULE") WITHOUT DIPLOMA
14	23.	SOME SECONDARY SCHOOL ("HOEHERE SCHULE") BUT WITHOUT 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA
161	31.	SECONDARY SCHOOL WITH 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA ("MITTLERE REIFE")
23	32.	SECONDARY SCHOOL BEYOND 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA BUT WITHOUT "ABITUR"
62	41.	HIGHER SPECIALIZED OR TECHNICAL SCHOOL, WITH DIPLOMA ("HOEHERE FACHSCHULE MIT ABSCHLUSS")
38	42.	SECONDARY SCHOOL THROUGH 13TH GRADE ("ABITUR")
15	43.	UNIVERSITY, WITHOUT DEGREE
62	51.	UNIVERSITY, WITH DEGREE
15	99.	NA

VAR 0121 REF 0121 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IS R HEAD OF HSHLD MD=9  
 LOC 173 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 36

Q.47. ARE YOU THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD?

.....

- 1142 1. YES
- 883 2. NO
- 9. NA

VAR 0122 REF 0122 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- H.H'S EMLMNT STATUS MD=9  
 LOC 174 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 37

Q.48. IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD EMPLOYED? WHICH OF THE CATEGORIES ON THIS LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT LIST B AGAIN.>

.....

IF R IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD HIS EMPLOYMENT STATUS IS RECODED HERE.

- 1395 1. FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS)
- 29 2. PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS
- 18 3. PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS
- 5 4. TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED
- 426 5. NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.
- 7 6. IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)
- 13 7. IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)
- 123 8. NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION
- 10 9. NA

VAR 0123 REF 0123 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- H.H'S OCCUPATION MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 175 WIDTH 2 DK 3 COL 38-39

Q.49. TO WHICH OF THESE OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DOES (DID) THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD BELONG?





(CONTINUED)

.....

141	3.	.
37	4.	.
4	5.	.
	6.	.
2	7.	.
	8.	.
	9.	9 OR MORE PERSONS
371	0.	INAP., CODED 1 Q.50

VAR 0127	REF 0127	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- FAMILY'S NET INCOME	MD=99	
LOC 180 WIDTH 2	DK 3 COL 43-44	

Q.53. NOW WHEN YOU ADD EVERYTHING TOGETHER, WHAT IS THE MONTHLY NET INCOME FOR ALL OF YOU AFTER DEDUCTING TAXES AND SOCIAL SECURITY? PLEASE GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTER FROM THIS LIST WHICH IS APPROPRIATE FOR YOU.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST E TO RESPONDENT. IF AFTER PROBING, YOU RECEIVE NO ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION, MAKE YOUR OWN ESTIMATE.>

.....

DOLLAR EQUIVALENTS FOR 1972 WERE COMPUTED ON AN EXCHANGE RATE OF \$31.03 = 100 DM. THIS EXCHANGE RATE WAS OBTAINED FROM THE "MONATSBERICHTE DER DEUTSCHEN BUNDESBANK."

67	01.	UP TO 399 DM	<UP TO \$124>
128	02.	400-599 DM	<\$125-185>
159	03.	600-799 DM	<\$186-248>
237	04.	800-999 DM	<\$249-309>
285	05.	1,000-1,199 DM	<\$310-371>
359	06.	1,200-1,499 DM	<\$372-464>
383	07.	1,500-1,999 DM	<\$465-619>
214	08.	2,000-2,499 DM	<\$620-774>
87	09.	2,500-3,499 DM	<\$775-1084>
63	10.	3,500 DM AND ABOVE	<\$1085 AND ABOVE>
44	99.	NA	

VAR 0128 REF 0128 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- REFUGEE/EXPEL IN HSLD MD=GE 97  
 LOC 182 WIDTH 2 DK 3 COL 45-46

Q.54. ARE YOU OR A MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD A REFUGEE FROM THE GDR OR AN EXPELLEE <FROM THE FORMER GERMAN TERRITORIES>?  
 .....

- 57 10. ONLY R IS A REFUGEE
- 14 11. R AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD ARE REFUGEES
- 1 12. R IS A REFUGEE AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS AN EXPELLEE
- 24 13. ONLY SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS A REFUGEE
- 239 20. ONLY R IS AN EXPELLEE
- 24 21. R AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD ARE EXPELLEES
- 2 22. R IS AN EXPELLEE AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS A REFUGEE
- 102 23. ONLY SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS AN EXPELLEE
- 1548 30. NO ONE IN THE FAMILY IS A REFUGEE OR EXPELLEE
- 14 97. NA
- 98. DK
- 1 99. REFUSED

VAR 0129 REF 0129 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- UNION MBR IN HSLD MD=GE 7  
 LOC 184 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 47

Q.55. ARE YOU OR IS SOMEONE ELSE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION?  
 .....

- 353 1. ONLY R IS A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION
- 13 2. R AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD ARE MEMBERS OF A LABOR UNION
- 282 3. ONLY SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION
- 1341 4. NO ONE IS A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION
- 7 7. NA
- 20 8. DK
- 9 9. REFUSED

VAR 0130 REF 0130 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S RELIGION MD=GE 8  
 LOC 185 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 48

Q.56. WHAT IS YOUR RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE?

.....

- 969 1. CATHOLIC
- 937 2. PROTESTANT/LUTHERAN
- 19 3. OTHER
- 85 4. NONE
  
- 2 8. NA
- 13 9. REFUSED

VAR 0131 REF 0131 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- FREQ CHURCH ATTEND MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 186 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 49

Q.57. (IF R HAS A RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE) GENERALLY, HOW FREQUENTLY DO YOU ATTEND CHURCH? PLEASE GIVE JUST THE APPROPRIATE ALPHABETIC LETTER ON THIS LIST.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST F TO RESPONDENT.>

.....

- 326 1. EVERY SUNDAY
- 175 2. ALMOST EVERY SUNDAY
- 585 3. NOW AND THEN
- 191 4. ONCE A YEAR
- 342 5. LESS OFTEN
- 291 6. NEVER
  
- 4 8. NA
- 12 9. REFUSED
- 100 0. INAP., CODED 4,8 OR 9 IN Q.56

VAR 0132 REF 0132 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- TYPE OF TOWN MD=99  
 LOC 187 WIDTH 2 DK 3 COL 50-51

Q.59. TYPE OF TOWN

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

## VILLAGE

- 347           10. VILLAGE IN ENTIRELY RURAL AREA  
307           11. VILLAGE NEAR A MIDDLE SIZED OR LARGE CITY

## SMALL RURAL TOWN

- 116           20. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL RURAL  
TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)  
113           21. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA  
OF A SMALL RURAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

## SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN

- 65           30. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL IN-  
DUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)  
50           31. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
A SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

## MEDIUM SIZED NON-INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 63           40. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED  
CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH LITTLE IN-  
DUSTRY  
82           41. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS)  
WITH LITTLE INDUSTRY

## MEDIUM SIZED INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 70           50. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED  
CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH A LOT OF  
INDUSTRY  
89           51. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS)  
WITH A LOT OF INDUSTRY

## LARGE CITY

- 252           60. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A LARGE CITY  
187           61. BETWEEN THE CENTER AND THE SUBURBS OF A LARGE CITY  
180           62. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY  
URBAN CHARACTER  
63           63. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY RU-  
RAL CHARACTER

(CONTINUED)

.....

41 99. NA

VAR 0133 REF 0133 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R LIVE DVLPMNT AREA MD=9  
 LOC 189 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 52

Q.60. DOES R LIVE IN AN AREA WITH NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS?

.....

494 1. YES  
 1447 2. NO  
 84 9. NA

VAR 0001 REF 0001 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 4 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)

.....

'04' DK 4 COL 5- 6  
 ....

VAR 0002 REF 0002 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 5 WIDTH 4 DK 4 COL 7-10

RESPONDENT NUMBER

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0134 REF 0134 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- PRSNT ECON SIT GFR MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 190 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 11

Q.1. HOW WOULD YOU, QUITE GENERALLY, EVALUATE THE PRESENT

(CONTINUED)

.....  
ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC? VERY GOOD,  
GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD, OR BAD?  
.....

- 36 1. VERY GOOD
- 816 2. GOOD
- 587 3. PART GOOD/PART BAD
- 98 4. MORE BAD
- 25 5. BAD
  
- 20 8. DK
- 1 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0135 REF 0135 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- FUTURE ECON SIT GFR MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 191 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 12

.....  
Q.2. AND HOW WILL IT BE IN A YEAR? DO YOU EXPECT  
THAT THE GENERAL ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC  
WILL THEN BE SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, THE  
SAME, SOMEWHAT WORSE, OR SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE?  
.....

- 15 1. SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER
- 306 2. SOMEWHAT BETTER
- 965 3. THE SAME
- 120 4. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 7 5. SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE
  
- 169 8. DK
- 2 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0136 REF 0136 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- R'S PRSNT ECON SIT MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 192 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 13

.....  
Q.3. HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR OWN ECONOMIC SITUATION TODAY?  
VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD OR BAD?  
.....

- 49 1. VERY GOOD
- 1022 2. GOOD

(CONTINUED)

.....

403	3.	PART GOOD/PART BAD
80	4.	MORE BAD
24	5.	BAD
4	8.	DK
2	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0137	REF 0137	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R'S POLTTL INTEREST	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 193 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 14	

Q.4. QUITE GENERALLY, ARE YOU INTERESTED IN POLITICS?

.....

817	1.	YES
538	2.	NOT PARTICULARLY
226	3.	NOT AT ALL
2	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0138	REF 0138	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 1ST SOURCE POLTTL INFO	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 194 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 15	

Q.5. (IF R HAS ANY INTEREST IN POLITICS)  
 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT FOR YOU WHEN YOU WANT TO FORM A  
 POLITICAL OPINION: TELEVISION, RADIO, NEWSPAPERS, PERSONAL  
 DISCUSSIONS OR WHAT ELSE?

<INTERVIEWER: IF POSSIBLE, ADMIT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.>

Q.5 (A). FIRST MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION

.....

834	1.	TELEVISION
57	2.	RADIO
285	3.	NEWSPAPERS
171	4.	PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
1	5.	OTHER
7	9.	NA
670	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0139 REF 0139 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- 2ND SOURCE POLTL INFO MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 195 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 16

Q.5(B). SECOND MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION  
 .....

<SEE Q.5 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 21 1. TELEVISION
- 53 2. RADIO
- 111 3. NEWSPAPERS
- 28 4. PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
- 1 5. OTHER
  
- 1142 9. NA; NO SECOND MENTION
- 670 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0140 REF 0140 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- CDU/CSU- PRFRC RANK MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 196 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 17

Q.6. HERE ARE FIVE CARDS WITH THE NAMES OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC. WOULD YOU PLEASE RANK THESE CARDS ACCORDING TO HOW WELL YOU LIKE THE PARTIES? ON TOP SHOULD BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE BEST, AT THE BOTTOM THEN WILL BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE LEAST.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE WHITE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND TO RESPONDENT.>

Q.6(A). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO CDU/CSU  
 .....

- 575 1. FIRST RANK
- 242 2. SECOND RANK
- 586 3. THIRD RANK
- 71 4. FOURTH RANK
- 30 5. FIFTH RANK
  
- 79 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW



(CONTINUED)

.....

- 5 1. FIRST RANK
- 13 2. SECOND RANK
- 51 3. THIRD RANK
- 911 4. FOURTH RANK
- 484 5. FIFTH RANK
  
- 121 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0144 REF 0144 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- DKP- PREFERENCE RANK MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 200 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 21

Q.6(E). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO DKP  
 .....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 3 1. FIRST RANK
- 7 2. SECOND RANK
- 67 3. THIRD RANK
- 439 4. FOURTH RANK
- 936 5. FIFTH RANK
  
- 131 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0145 REF 0145 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- 1ST PTY PRFRC RANK MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 201 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 22

RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING  
 .....

THIS VARIABLE EXTRACTS THE RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY  
 PREFERENCE FROM THE PARTY RANK ORDERING (Q.6(A)-6(E)).

- 575 1. R RANKS CDU/CSU FIRST
- 851 2. R RANKS SPD FIRST
- 82 3. R RANKS FDP FIRST
- 5 4. R RANKS NPD FIRST
- 3 5. R RANKS DKP FIRST







(CONTINUED)

.....

	04.	ARNDT (SPD)
	05.	BAHR (SPD)
272	06.	BARZEL (CDU)
957	07.	BRANDT (SPD)
	08.	VON DOHNANY (SPD)
	09.	DOLLINGER (CSU)
	10.	DREGGER (CDU)
	11.	EHMKE (SPD)
	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
7	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
3	15.	ERTL (FDP)
	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
6	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
1	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
21	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)
	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
1	25.	JAHN (SPD)
	26.	KATZER (CDU)
8	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
6	28.	KOHL (CDU)
1	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
3	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
1	34.	MENDE (CDU)
	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
1	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
85	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
11	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
36	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
30	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
8	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
92	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)
	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
	53.	WEYER (FDP)

(CONTINUED)

.....

- 54. WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
- 55. NARJES (CDU)
- 6 56. WEHNER (SPD)
  
- 90. OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
- 91. OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
- 92. OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
- 2 93. OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY
  
- 16 98. NO POLITICIAN MENTIONED; NA
- 441 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0152 REF 0152 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 2ND MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 211 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 32-33

Q.12(B). SECOND MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0153 REF 0153 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 3RD MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 213 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 34-35

Q.12(C). THIRD MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0154 REF 0154 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 4TH MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 215 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 36-37

Q.12(D). FOURTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0155 REF 0155 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 5TH MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 217 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 38-39

Q.12(E). FIFTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0156 REF 0156 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 6TH MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 219 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 40-41

Q.12(F). SIXTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0157 REF 0157 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 7TH MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 221 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 42-43

Q.12(G). SEVENTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0158 REF 0158 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 8TH MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 223 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 44-45

Q.12(H). EIGHTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0159 REF 0159 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 9TH MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 225 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 46-47

Q.12(I). NINTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....

(CONTINUED)

.....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0160		REF 0160	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	POLTN KNWN R-10TH MNT	MD=0 OR GE 98	
LOC 227	WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 48-49	

Q.12 (J). TENTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

.....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0161		REF 0161	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	SCALOMETER- BRANDT	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 229	WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 50-51	

Q.13. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR, WILLY BRANDT AND RAINER BARZEL? PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 2 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTICULAR CANDIDATE. -5 MEANS YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL.

Q.13 (A). WHAT DO YOU THINK OF WILLY BRANDT?

.....

- 77            01. DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
- 45            02.            .
- 68            03.            .
- 38            04.            .
- 44            05.            .
- 89            06. UNDECIDED
- 86            07.            .
- 130           08.            .
- 239           09.            .
- 276           10.            .
- 479           11. THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
  
- 14            99. NA
- 441           00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0162 REF 0162 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- SCALOMETER- BARZEL MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 231 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 52-53

Q.13 (B) . AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF RAINER BARZEL?  
 .....

<SEE Q.13 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 246 01. DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
- 105 02. .
- 131 03. .
- 79 04. .
- 74 05. .
- 138 06. UNDECIDED
- 138 07. .
- 190 08. .
- 201 09. .
- 148 10. .
- 120 11. THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
  
- 13 99. NA
- 441 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0163 REF 0163 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- SCALOMETER- SPD MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 233 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 54-55

Q.14. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE  
 POLITICAL PARTIES? AGAIN, PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS  
 SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 3 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY.  
 -5 MEANS THAT YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF IT AT ALL.  
 USING THE VALUES IN BETWEEN YOU CAN EXPRESS YOUR OPINION  
 MORE PRECISELY.

Q.14 (A) . WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE SPD?  
 .....

- 49 01. DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
- 39 02. .
- 66 03. .
- 50 04. .
- 39 05. .

(CONTINUED)

.....

70	06.	UNDECIDED
111	07.	.
159	08.	.
276	09.	.
318	10.	.
391	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
17	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0164		REF 0164	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	SCALOMETER-	CDU	MD=0 OR GE 99
LOC 235	WIDTH 2		DK 4 COL 56-57

Q.14(B). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CDU?  
.....

<SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

113	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
76	02.	.
118	03.	.
66	04.	.
82	05.	.
120	06.	UNDECIDED
188	07.	.
201	08.	.
246	09.	.
190	10.	.
166	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
17	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0165		REF 0165	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	SCALOMETER-	CSU	MD=0 OR GE 99
LOC 237	WIDTH 2		DK 4 COL 58-59

Q.14(C). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CSU?  
.....

<SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

243	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
-----	-----	---------------------------------------

(CONTINUED)

.....

91	02.	.
118	03.	.
75	04.	.
101	05.	.
134	06.	UNDECIDED
171	07.	.
186	08.	.
189	09.	.
133	10.	.
125	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
18	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0166	REF 0166	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- SCALOMETER- FDP	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 239 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 60-61	

Q.14 (D) . AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE FDP?

.....

<SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

67	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
39	02.	.
64	03.	.
46	04.	.
84	05.	.
155	06.	UNDECIDED
208	07.	.
313	08.	.
325	09.	.
180	10.	.
79	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
23	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0167	REF 0167	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- CAND 1ST VOTE 1972	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 241 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 62	

Q.15. THIS ENVELOPE CONTAINS A VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU WILL RECEIVE FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION. PLEASE

(CONTINUED)

.....

TAKE OUT THE BALLOT AND MARK, IN SECRET, HOW YOU WILL VOTE IN THE UPCOMING FEDERAL ELECTION (ON NOVEMBER 19, 1972). AS YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE TWO VOTES: THE FIRST IS FOR A CANDIDATE IN THE LOCAL CONSTITUENCY, WHILE THE SECOND VOTE IS FOR A PARTY. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PLEASE PUT THE BALLOT INTO THE ENVELOPE AND SEAL IT WITH THE STAMP.

<INTERVIEWER: AFTER READING THE QUESTION, HAND WHITE ENVELOPE WITH THE BALLOT TO THE RESPONDENT, THEN TURN AWAY FROM RESPONDENT, GO TO THE WINDOW, ETC. WHEN THE RESPONDENT IS FINISHED TAKE THE SEALED ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.15 (A). RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE IN 1972)

.....

- 803 1. SPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 514 2. CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
- 69 3. FDP <-CANDIDATE>
- 4 4. NPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 3 5. DKP <-CANDIDATE>
- 18 6. WILL NOT VOTE
  
- 54 7. NA
- 23 8. DK
- 95 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0168 REF 0168 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- PARTY 2ND VOTE 1972 MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 242 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 63

Q.15 (B). RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR PARTY LIST IN 1972)

.....

<SEE Q.15 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 751 1. SPD
- 522 2. CDU/CSU
- 115 3. FDP
- 9 4. NPD
- 4 5. DKP
- 18 6. WILL NOT VOTE



VAR 0171 REF 0171 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- CAND 1ST VOTE 1969 MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 245 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 66

Q.18. (IF R VOTED IN 1969) HERE IS A VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU RECEIVED THREE YEARS AGO FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION OF 1969. PLEASE MARK, IN SECRET, HOW YOU VOTED THEN. AT THAT TIME, YOU HAD TWO VOTES. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PUT THE BALLOT BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE AND SEAL IT.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND YELLOW ENVELOPE WITH ELECTION BALLOT TO RESPONDENT, TURN AWAY FROM RESPONDENT, WALK TO THE WINDOW, ETC. WHEN R IS FINISHED, TAKE THE SEALED ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.18(A). RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE IN 1969)

.....

- 696 1. SPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 461 2. CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
- 58 3. FDP <-CANDIDATE>
- 6 4. NPD <-CANDIDATE>
- 2 5. DKP <-CANDIDATE>
  
- 41 7. NA
- 22 8. DO NOT REMEMBER
- 70 9. REFUSED
- 670 0. INAP., CODED 2, OR 7-9 IN Q.17; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0172 REF 0172 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- PARTY 2ND VOTE 1969 MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 246 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 67

Q.18(B). RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR THE PARTY LIST IN 1969)

.....

<SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 672 1. SPD
- 467 2. CDU/CSU
- 80 3. FDP
- 7 4. NPD



(CONTINUED)

.....

- 81 1. WITH THE SPD
- 19 2. WITH THE CDU/CSU
- 331 3. WITH THE FDP
- 113 4. WITH NO OTHER PARTY
  
- 11 7. NA
- 61 8. DK
- 9. REFUSED
- 1410 0. INAP., CODED 1,3,5 OR 7-9 IN Q.19; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0175 REF 0175 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- SMRY PRFR GOVT COALTN MD=0 OR GE 6  
 LOC 249 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 70

R'S PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.19 AND Q.20 TO PROVIDE ONE SUMMARY VARIABLE.

- 312 1. GRAND COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND SPD
- 21 2. GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE
- 214 3. COALITION OF THE CDU/CSU AND FDP
- 92 4. GOVERNMENT OF THE CDU/CSU ALONE
- 761 5. COALITION OF SPD AND FDP
  
- 72 6. GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD OR CDU/CSU, COALITION PARTNER NA
- 4 7. NA
- 89 8. DK
- 20 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0176 REF 0176 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- WHOM PRFRD AS CHNCLLR MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 250 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 71

Q.21. WHOM WOULD YOU PREFER AS CHANCELLOR: WILLY BRANDT OR RAINER BARZEL?

.....

- 924 1. WILLY BRANDT







(CONTINUED)

.....

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 8  | 13. | CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE, COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE |
| 17 | 14. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL), E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT, OPPORTUNIST  |

## SPECIFIC REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 16 | 21. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT, WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY |
| 19 | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS, POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR, POLAND  |
| 27 | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE: EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE   |
| 19 | 24. | PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE   |
| 3  | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY   |
| 4  | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY   |

## OTHER REASON

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 5    | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT, E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I AM PARTY SUPPORTER                      |
|      | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE), E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>) |
| 5    | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 333  | 96. | NO THIRD MENTION   |
| 445  | 97. | NO SECOND AND THIRD MENTION  |
| 1    | 98. | DK   |
| 7    | 99. | NA   |
| 1101 | 00. | INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW  |

VAR 0180 REF 0180 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- WHY PRFR BARZEL-1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 257 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 78-79

Q.23. (IF R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR) AND WHY DO YOU  
 PREFER RAINER BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR?

Q.23(A). FIRST MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING RAINER  
 BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

GENERAL REASON

- 58 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,  
 E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 36 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),  
 E.G., HONEST
- 88 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A  
 POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY  
 AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC
- 24 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),  
 E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,  
 BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

SPECIFIC REASON

- 36 21. SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,  
 GOOD PARTY LEADER
- 5 22. FOREIGN POLICY
- 5 23. SOCIAL POLICY
- 1 24. PEACE POLICY
- 18 25. ECONOMIC POLICY
- 26. EUROPEAN POLICY

OTHER REASON

- 103 31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,  
 E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,  
 I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE  
 CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE  
 CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF
- 7 32. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),  
 E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT
- 22 33. OTHER REASON
- 5 98. DK
- 3 99. NA
- 1615 00. INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE









(CONTINUED)

.....

- 28. KOHL (CDU)
- 29. KUEHN (SPD)
- 30. KUBEL (SPD)
- 31. LAURITZEN (SPD)
- 6 32. LEBER (SPD)
- 33. LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
- 34. MENDE (CDU)
- 35. MISCHNIK (FDP)
- 16 36. MOELLER (SPD)
- 8 37. SCHEEL (FDP)
- 38. SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
- 176 39. SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
- 1 40. SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
- 355 41. SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
- 1 42. SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
- 9 43. SCHROEDER (CDU)
- 44. SCHUETZ (SPD)
- 45. STEFFEN (SPD)
- 17 46. STOLTENBERG (CDU)
- 201 47. STRAUSS (CDU)
- 48. STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
- 49. STUECKLEN (CSU)
- 50. VON THADDEN (NPD)
- 1 51. VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
- 1 52. VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
- 53. WEYER (FDP)
- 54. WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
- 31 55. NARJES (CDU)
- 56. WEHNER (SPD)
  
- 3 90. OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
- 1 91. OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
- 1 92. OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
- 2 93. OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY
  
- 12 97. NA
- 631 98. DK
- 8 99. REFUSED
- 441 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0185

REF 0185

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- FIN EFFECT OF ELCTN MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 266 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 18

Q.26. NOW PLEASE THINK ABOUT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION OR THAT OF YOUR FAMILY. DOES IT MAKE A GREAT DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE OR PRACTICALLY NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL, WHETHER









VAR 0193 REF 0193 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- EXTND EDUCATION MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 274 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 26

Q.28(F). PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 479 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 787 2. IMPORTANT
- 224 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 30 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 11 7. NA
- 46 8. DK
- 6 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0194 REF 0194 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- JUST TAXES MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 275 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 27

Q.28(G). PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 711 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 696 2. IMPORTANT
- 98 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 5 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 18 7. NA
- 49 8. DK
- 7 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0195 REF 0195 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- RPRSNT AGRCLTRL MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 276 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 28

Q.28(H). PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 193 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 700 2. IMPORTANT
- 513 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 92 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 16 7. NA
- 61 8. DK
- 9 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0196 REF 0196 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- HLTH INSURANCE MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 277 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 29

Q.28(J). PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 842 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 640 2. IMPORTANT
- 60 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 5 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 10 7. NA
- 18 8. DK
- 9 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0197 REF 0197 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- RLTNS W WEST MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 278 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 30

Q.28(K). PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS  
 WITH THE WEST--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 342 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 943 2. IMPORTANT
- 209 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 18 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 14 7. NA
- 50 8. DK
- 9 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0198 REF 0198 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- BETTER HOUSING MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 279 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 31

Q.28(L). PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 312 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 796 2. IMPORTANT
- 379 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 45 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 11 7. NA
- 34 8. DK
- 7 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0199 REF 0199 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- OLD AGE SCRTY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 280 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 32

Q.28 (M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 977 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 519 2. IMPORTANT
- 45 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 7 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 13 7. NA
- 15 8. DK
- 8 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0200 REF 0200 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- RGTS FRGN WRKRS MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 281 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 33

Q.28 (N) . PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS  
 ("GASTARBEITER")--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 70 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 492 2. IMPORTANT
- 614 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 296 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 19 7. NA
- 82 8. DK
- 12 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0201 REF 0201 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- RLTNS W CHINA MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 282 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 34

Q.28(O). PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
 REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 143 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 691 2. IMPORTANT
- 492 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 104 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 17 7. NA
- 126 8. DK
- 11 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0202 REF 0202 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- MLTRY SECURITY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 283 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 35

Q.28(P). PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 273 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 792 2. IMPORTANT
- 374 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 68 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 12 7. NA
- 57 8. DK
- 9 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0203 REF 0203 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- PRTCTN FRM RDCL MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 284 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 36

Q.28(Q). PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR  
 ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 804 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 625 2. IMPORTANT
- 91 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 18 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 11 7. NA
- 29 8. DK
- 6 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0204 REF 0204 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- MOST IMP PROBLEM MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 285 WIDTH 2 DK 5 COL 37-38

Q.29. (ASKED ONLY FOR PROBLEMS DESIGNATED AS "VERY  
 IMPORTANT" IN Q.28) WHICH OF THESE PROBLEMS ARE THE THREE  
 MOST IMPORTANT ONES?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT THOSE CARDS WHICH CONTAIN THE  
 PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT HAS DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPOR-  
 TANT" IN Q.28.>

Q.29(A). PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATED AS FIRST MOST  
 IMPORTANT  
 .....

- 78 01. PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH  
 THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
- 793 02. PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
- 137 03. PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
- 20 04. PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
- 64 05. PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 48 06. PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL  
 SYSTEM
- 49 07. PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS





VAR 0207 REF 0207 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-2-BST PTY-RLTNS EAST EUR MD=0 OR GE 7  
LOC 291 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 43

Q.30. (ASKED ONLY FOR PROBLEMS DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPOR-  
TANT" OR "IMPORTANT" IN Q.28) WHO WOULD BE MORE QUALIFIED  
TO SOLVE EACH RESPECTIVE PROBLEM TO YOUR SATISFACTION,  
AN SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT OR A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT? PLEASE  
INDICATE AGAIN THE ALPHABETIC LETTER OF THE CARD.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT THE SET OF CARDS WHICH CONTAIN  
THE PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPORTANT"  
OR "IMPORTANT" IN Q.28.>

Q.30(A). PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH  
THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

- 888 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 132 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 132 3. BOTH
- 7 4. NEITHER
  
- 19 7. NA
- 34 8. DK
- 5 9. REFUSED
- 808 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(A); NO SECOND WAVE  
INTERVIEW

VAR 0208 REF 0208 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-2-BST PTY- STABLE PRICES MD=0 OR GE 7  
LOC 292 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 44

Q.30(B). PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 522 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 548 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 249 3. BOTH











VAR 0218 REF 0218 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2-BST PTY- OLD AGE SCRTY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 302 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 54

Q.30 (M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 705 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 353 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 348 3. BOTH
- 9 4. NEITHER
  
- 17 7. NA
- 60 8. DK
- 4 9. REFUSED
- 528 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28 (M); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0219 REF 0219 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2-BST PTY-RGTS FRN WRKRS MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 303 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 55

Q.30 (N) . PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 272 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 83 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 142 3. BOTH
- 13 4. NEITHER
  
- 8 7. NA
- 42 8. DK
- 1 9. REFUSED
- 1463 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28 (N); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0220 REF 0220 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2-BST PTY- RLTNS W CHINA MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 304 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 56

Q.30(O). PROBLEMS O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
 REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 412 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 171 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 201 3. BOTH
- 5 4. NEITHER
  
- 14 7. NA
- 28 8. DK
- 3 9. REFUSED
- 1191 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(O); NO SECOND WAVE  
 INTERVIEW

VAR 0221 REF 0221 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2-BST PTY- MLTRY SCRTRY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 305 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 57

Q.30(P). PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 342 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 288 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 348 3. BOTH
- 16 4. NEITHER
  
- 19 7. NA
- 48 8. DK
- 4 9. REFUSED
- 959 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(P); NO SECOND WAVE  
 INTERVIEW

VAR 0222 REF 0222 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2-BST PTY-PRTCTN FR RDCL MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 306 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 58

Q.30(Q). PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR  
 ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 455 1. SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
- 359 2. CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
- 413 3. BOTH
- 60 4. NEITHER
  
- 39 7. NA
- 98 8. DK
- 6 9. REFUSED
- 596 0. INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(Q); NO SECOND WAVE  
INTERVIEW

VAR 0223 REF 0223 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- R'S PARTISAN PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 307 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 59

Q.31. MANY PEOPLE IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC LEAN TOWARDS  
 A PARTICULAR PARTY FOR A LONG TIME, ALTHOUGH THEY MAY  
 OCCASIONALLY VOTE FOR A DIFFERENT PARTY. HOW ABOUT YOU:  
 DO YOU IN GENERAL LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY? IF SO,  
 WHICH ONE?

.....

- 734 1. SPD
- 433 2. CDU/CSU
- 51 3. FDP
- 2 4. NDP
- 1 5. DKP
- 6. OTHER
- 271 7. NO PARTY LEANING
  
- 34 8. DK
- 53 9. REFUSED
- 445 0. NA; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0224 REF 0224 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- STRGNTH PRTSN PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 308 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 60

Q.32. (IF R LEANS TOWARDS A PARTY) TAKEN ALTOGETHER, HOW STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DO YOU LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY: VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY OR VERY WEAKLY?

- .....
- 287 1. VERY STRONGLY
  - 615 2. FAIRLY STRONGLY
  - 294 3. MODERATELY
  - 13 4. FAIRLY WEAKLY
  - 4 5. VERY WEAKLY
  
  - 3 7. NA
  - 5 8. DK
  - 9. REFUSED
  - 803 0. INAP., CODED 0 OR 7-9 IN Q.31; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0225 REF 0225 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- R'S PARTY IDENTIFICTN MD=0 OR GE 6  
 LOC 309 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 61

RESPONDENT'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION  
 .....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES Q.31 AND Q.32 INTO A SINGLE MEASURE OF THE INTENSITY OF SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU PREFERENCE.

Q.32 CODED

- 598 1. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP 1 - 2
- 182 2. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP 3 - 5
- 271 3. NO PARTY PREFERENCE
- 130 4. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU 3 - 5
- 301 5. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU 1 - 2
  
- 11 6. OTHER PARTY PREFERENCE COMBINATION
- 4 7. NA
- 34 8. DK
- 53 9. REFUSED
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0226 REF 0226 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC ELEC CAMPAIGNS MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 310 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 62

Q.33. THERE ARE DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN. THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO THINK IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, OTHERS THINK IT IS QUITE USEFUL, AND STILL OTHERS THINK IT IS VERY HARMFUL. WHAT DO YOU THINK? DO YOU REGARD THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN AS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, QUITE USEFUL, FAIRLY SUPERFLUOUS, OR AS VERY HARMFUL?  
 .....

- 339 1. ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL
- 748 2. QUITE USEFUL
- 447 3. FAIRLY SUPERFLUOUS
- 40 4. VERY HARMFUL
  
- 10 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0227 REF 0227 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- INTEREST IN CAMPAIGN MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 311 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 63

Q.34. HAVE YOU FOLLOWED THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN WITH INTEREST IN THE LAST WEEKS OR HAVE YOU NOT BEEN PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN IT?  
 .....

- 942 1. FOLLOWED WITH INTEREST
- 624 2. HAVE NOT BEEN PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN IT
  
- 5 8. DK
- 13 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0228 REF 0228 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- CAMPAIGN PRVD INFO MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 312 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 64

Q.35. (IF R FOLLOWED THE CAMPAIGN WITH INTEREST) AN ELECTION CAMPAIGN SHOULD INFORM ONE ABOUT THE CANDIDATES AND PROGRAMS OF THE VARIOUS PARTIES, IN ORDER TO HELP









VAR 0237 REF 0237 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- VTG EFCV POLTL WEAPON MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 322 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 74

Q.40(C). VOTING IS THE ONLY WAY THAT PEOPLE LIKE ME  
 CAN HAVE ANY SAY ABOUT HOW THE GOVERNMENT RUNS THINGS.  
 .....

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 963 1. AGREE
- 435 2. REJECT
  
- 154 8. DK
- 31 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0238 REF 0238 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- PUB OFCLS DON'T CARE MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 323 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 75

Q.40(D). I DO NOT THINK PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE MUCH WHAT  
 PEOPLE LIKE ME THINK.  
 .....

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 723 1. AGREE
- 641 2. REJECT
  
- 181 8. DK
- 39 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0239 REF 0239 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- NEED NLY FEW GD POLTN MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 324 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 76

Q.40(E). BASICALLY, ALL WE NEED IN POLITICS IS A  
 FEW GOOD POLITICIANS AT THE TOP. THE PARTIES AND THEIR  
 PROGRAMS MATTER LESS.  
 .....

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>





(CONTINUED)

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0244		REF 0244	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- RESPONDENT'S AGE		MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 329 WIDTH 2		DK 6 COL 11-12	

Q.44. RESPONDENT'S AGE IS:

.....

ACTUAL AGE CODED IN TWO DIGITS

- 99. NA
- 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0245		REF 0245	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R'S EMPLMNT STATUS		MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 331 WIDTH 1		DK 6 COL 13	

Q.45. ARE YOU EMPLOYED? WHICH OF THE CATEGORIES ON THIS LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST B TO RESPONDENT.>

.....

- |     |    |  |
|-----|----|--|
| 722 | 1. | FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS) |
| 89  | 2. | PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS                                    |
| 31  | 3. | PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS   |
| 4   | 4. | TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED   |
| 236 | 5. | NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.   |
| 13  | 6. | IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)                     |
| 40  | 7. | IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)  |
| 446 | 8. | NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION                                      |
| 3   | 9. | NA   |
| 441 | 0. | NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW   |





VAR 0249 REF 0249 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- H.H'S EPLMNT STATUS MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 337 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 19

Q.49. IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD EMPLOYED? WHICH OF THE CATEGORIES ON THIS LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT LIST B AGAIN.>  
 .....

IF R IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD HIS EMPLOYMENT STATUS IS RECODED HERE.

- 1109 1. FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS)
- 13 2. PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS
- 12 3. PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS
- 3 4. TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED
- 312 5. NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.
- 3 6. IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)
- 14 7. IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)
- 108 8. NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION
- 10 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0250 REF 0250 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- H.H'S OCCUPATION MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 338 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 20-21

Q.50. TO WHICH OF THESE OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DOES (DID) THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD BELONG?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT LIST C AGAIN.>  
 .....

IF R IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD HIS OCCUPATION IS RECODED HERE.

- 25 11. PROFESSIONAL
- 3 21. OWNER OR EXECUTIVE OF A LARGE BUSINESS
- 29 22. SELF-EMPLOYED - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., PROPRIETOR OF A LARGER STORE, CHIEF SALES REPRESENTATIVE)
- 103 23. SELF-EMPLOYED - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SMALL SHOP-KEEPER, CRAFTSMAN)
- 96 31. SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE IN A MANAGERIAL



VAR 0252 REF 0252 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- FAMILY NET INCOME MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 341 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 23-24

Q.52. NOW WHEN YOU ADD EVERYTHING TOGETHER, WHAT IS THE MONTHLY NET INCOME FOR ALL OF YOU AFTER DEDUCTING TAXES AND SOCIAL SECURITY? PLEASE GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTER FROM THIS LIST WHICH IS APPROPRIATE FOR YOU.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST E TO RESPONDENT. IF AFTER PROBING, YOU RECEIVE NO ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION, MAKE YOUR OWN ESTIMATE.>

.....  
 DOLLAR EQUIVALENTS FOR 1972 WERE COMPUTED ON AN EXCHANGE RATE OF \$31.03 = 100 DM. THIS EXCHANGE RATE WAS OBTAINED FROM THE "MONATSBERICHTE DER DEUTSCHEN BUNDESBANK."

- |     |     |                          |                    |
|-----|-----|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 46  | 01. | UP TO 399 DM             | <UP TO \$124>      |
| 86  | 02. | 400-599 DM               | <\$125-185>        |
| 130 | 03. | 600-799 DM               | <\$186-248>        |
| 182 | 04. | 800-999 DM               | <\$249-309>        |
| 239 | 05. | 1,000-1,199 DM           | <\$310-371>        |
| 295 | 06. | 1,200-1,499 DM           | <\$372-464>        |
| 287 | 07. | 1,500-1,999 DM           | <\$465-619>        |
| 157 | 08. | 2,000-2,499 DM           | <\$620-774>        |
| 68  | 09. | 2,500-3,499 DM           | <\$775-1084>       |
| 42  | 10. | 3,500 DM AND ABOVE       | <\$1085 AND ABOVE> |
| 50  | 99. | NA                       |                    |
| 441 | 00. | NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW |                    |

VAR 0253 REF 0253 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- INTEREST IN CHRCH ACT MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 343 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 25

Q.53. ARE YOU PERSONALLY INTERESTED IN THE ACTIVITIES OF THE CHURCH AND ITS OPINIONS ON CONTEMPORARY QUESTIONS? PLEASE TELL ME USING THIS LIST.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST G TO THE RESPONDENT.>

- .....
- |     |    |                            |
|-----|----|----------------------------|
| 123 | 1. | VERY STRONGLY INTERESTED   |
| 295 | 2. | FAIRLY STRONGLY INTERESTED |
| 531 | 3. | OCCASIONALLY INTERESTED    |
| 356 | 4. | HARDLY INTERESTED          |



VAR 0256

REF 0256

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- TYPE OF TOWN

MD=0 OR GE 99

LOC 346 WIDTH 2

DK 6 COL 28-29

Q.56. TYPE OF TOWN

.....

VILLAGE

- 264 10. VILLAGE IN ENTIRELY RURAL AREA
- 246 11. VILLAGE NEAR A MIDDLE SIZED OR LARGE CITY

SMALL RURAL TOWN

- 91 20. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL RURAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)
- 77 21. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF A SMALL RURAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN

- 50 30. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)
- 53 31. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF A SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

MEDIUM SIZED NON-INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 48 40. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH LITTLE INDUSTRY
- 68 41. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH LITTLE INDUSTRY

MEDIUM SIZED INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 59 50. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH A LOT OF INDUSTRY
- 94 51. IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH A LOT OF INDUSTRY

LARGE CITY

(CONTINUED)

.....

- 164 60. IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A LARGE CITY
- 146 61. BETWEEN THE CENTER AND THE SUBURBS OF A LARGE CITY
- 146 62. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY URBAN CHARACTER
- 61 63. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY RURAL CHARACTER
- 19 99. NA
- 441 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0257 REF 0257 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- R LIVE DVLPMENT AREA MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 348 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 30

Q.57. DOES R LIVE IN AN AREA WITH NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS?  
 .....

- 370 1. YES
- 1170 2. NO
- 43 9. NA
- 441 0. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0001 REF 0001 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 7 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)  
 .....

'07' DK 7 COL 5- 6  
 ....

VAR 0002 REF 0002 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 5 WIDTH 4 DK 7 COL 7-10

RESPONDENT NUMBER  
 .....

(CONTINUED)

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0258		REF 0258	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	PRSNT ECON SIT GFR	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 349	WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 11	

Q.1. HOW WOULD YOU, QUITE GENERALLY, EVALUATE THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC? VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD, OR BAD?

.....

- |     |                            |
|-----|----------------------------|
| 28  | 1. VERY GOOD               |
| 715 | 2. GOOD                    |
| 383 | 3. PART GOOD/PART BAD      |
| 60  | 4. MORE BAD                |
| 12  | 5. BAD                     |
| 10  | 8. DK                      |
| 3   | 9. NA                      |
| 814 | 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW |

VAR 0259		REF 0259	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	FUTURE ECON SIT GFR	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 350	WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 12	

Q.2. AND HOW WILL IT BE IN A YEAR? DO YOU EXPECT THAT THE GENERAL ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC WILL THEN BE SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, THE SAME, SOMEWHAT WORSE, OR SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE?

.....

- |     |                            |
|-----|----------------------------|
| 15  | 1. SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER    |
| 232 | 2. SOMEWHAT BETTER         |
| 702 | 3. THE SAME                |
| 166 | 4. SOMEWHAT WORSE          |
| 16  | 5. SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE     |
| 75  | 8. DK                      |
| 4   | 9. NA                      |
| 814 | 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW |

VAR 0260 REF 0260 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R'S PRSNT ECON SIT MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 351 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 13

Q.3. HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR OWN ECONOMIC SITUATION TODAY?  
 VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD OR BAD?

- .....
- 35 1. VERY GOOD
  - 796 2. GOOD
  - 311 3. PART GOOD/PART BAD
  - 49 4. MORE BAD
  - 13 5. BAD
  
  - 4 8. DK
  - 2 9. NA
  - 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0261 REF 0261 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R'S POLTTL INTEREST MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 352 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 14

Q.4. QUITE GENERALLY, ARE YOU INTERESTED IN POLITICS?

- .....
- 691 1. YES
  - 391 2. NOT PARTICULARLY
  - 124 3. NOT AT ALL
  
  - 4 9. NA
  - 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0262 REF 0262 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- POTL INTRST R'S FMLY MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 353 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 15

Q.5. AND HOW WAS IT IN YOUR HOME OR THE FAMILY IN WHICH YOU  
 GREW UP: WERE THEY INTERESTED IN POLITICS?

- .....
- 522 1. YES
  - 460 2. NOT PARTICULARLY
  - 155 3. NOT AT ALL

(CONTINUED)

.....

65	8.	DK
8	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0263	REF 0263	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DID R VOTE	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 354 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 16	

Q.6. THERE WERE MANY CITIZENS WHO, FOR GOOD REASONS, EITHER DID NOT WANT TO OR COULD NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION. HOW ABOUT YOU? DID YOU VOTE OR DID YOU NOT VOTE?

.....

1176	1.	VOTED
33	2.	DID NOT VOTE
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0264	REF 0264	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- R VTD PLLNG PLC/ABSNT	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 355 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 17	

Q.7. (IF R VOTED) DID YOU VOTE AT THE LOCAL POLLING PLACE OR BY ABSENTEE BALLOT?

.....

1113	1.	POLLING PLACE
56	2.	ABSENTEE BALLOT
8	9.	NA
848	0.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.6; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0265	REF 0265	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- CAND 1ST VOTE 1972	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 356 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 18	

Q.8. (IF R VOTED) AS IN THE SURVEYS BEFORE THE ELECTION WE HAVE HERE ANOTHER VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU RECEIVED FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION. PLEASE TAKE THE



VAR 0267 REF 0267 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHEN MADE VT DECISION MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 358 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 20

Q.9. (IF R VOTED) CAN YOU TELL ME WHEN YOU REACHED THIS  
 DECISION? DID YOU MAKE IT A LONG TIME AGO, ONLY A FEW  
 MONTHS AGO, OR HAVE YOU MADE THIS DECISION IN THE LAST  
 FEW WEEKS BEFORE THE ELECTION?

- .....
- 928 1. A LONG TIME AGO
  - 124 2. A FEW MONTHS AGO
  - 109 3. IN THE LAST FEW WEEKS
  
  - 4 7. NA
  - 3 8. DK
  - 10 9. REFUSED
  - 848 0. INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.6; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0268 REF 0268 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHY SPD WON - 1ST MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 359 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 21-22

Q.10. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT WERE THE MAIN REASONS THAT  
 THE SPD WON SO MANY VOTES?

Q.10(A). FIRST MENTION OF WHY THE SPD WON SO MANY VOTES  
 .....

IF PERSONAL AND SUBSTANTIVE REASONS WERE GIVEN TOGETHER,  
 E.G., BRANDT HAS A GOOD PROGRAM, THE RESPONSE WAS CODED  
 UNDER PERSONAL REASONS.

PERSONAL REASON FAVORABLE TO THE SPD OR TO THE GOVERNMENT

- 138 01. BRANDT; GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFIC EXPLANATION:  
 LIKING, ETC.
- 50 02. BRANDT; WITH POLITICAL EXPLANATION: BETTER  
 CHANCELLOR, HAS PROVEN THAT HE CAN DO IT,  
 TRUST IN BRANDT, MADE PEACE
- 9 03. BRANDT; WITH NON-POLITICAL EXPLANATION:  
 NOBEL PEACE PRIZE, AS A PERSON, FATHER OF THE  
 COUNTRY
- 2 04. BRANDT AND SCHEEL
- 1 05. OTHER INDIVIDUALS IN THE GOVERNMENT OR THE  
 COALITION
- 3 06. THE GOVERNMENT TEAM

(CONTINUED)

.....

## PERSONAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 14 | 10. | BARZEL; BAD CANDIDATE, NOT WELL LIKED, NOT THE RIGHT MAN, DISLIKED, OTHER CANDIDATE WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER (ALSO NAMING STRAUSS) |
| 6  | 11. | STRAUSS; SCARES AWAY, DRIVES THE VOTERS AWAY, BLUSTERS   |
| 3  | 12. | BARZEL AND STRAUSS, BARZEL IS DEPENDENT ON STRAUSS   |
|    | 13. | OTHER CDU OR CSU POLITICIAN  |
| 8  | 14. | GOVERNMENT TEAM; THE TEAM WAS BAD, THE CDU DOES NOT HAVE GOOD LEADERSHIP   |

## SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON FAVORABLE TO THE SPD OR TO THE GOVERNMENT

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 65  | 20. | GENERALLY GOOD WORK   |
| 217 | 21. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), BASIC AGREEMENT <WITH THE GDR> ("GRUNDVERTRAG") |
| 13  | 22. | ECONOMIC POLICY; GOOD ECONOMIC SITUATION, WE ARE WELL OFF                               |
| 19  | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY; RENTS, OLD AGE SECURITY, HEALTH INSURANCE                                |
| 39  | 24. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON  |

## SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 8 | 30. | GENERALLY BAD WORK OF THE OPPOSITION   |
| 8 | 31. | REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), INDECISION ON THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST |
| 3 | 32. | ECONOMIC POLICY; NO ALTERNATIVE, NO SUBSTANTIVE SOLUTIONS FOR STABILITY AND OTHER PROBLEMS         |
| 3 | 34. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON   |

## GROUP SUPPORT FAVORABLE TO THE SPD

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 184 | 40. | YOUNG VOTERS TENDED TOWARDS THE SPD                       |
| 4   | 41. | CATHOLICS VOTED MORE STRONGLY FOR THE SPD THAN PREVIOUSLY |
| 30  | 42. | WORKERS   |
| 4   | 43. | MORE WOMEN THAN PREVIOUSLY VOTED FOR THE SPD              |

## GROUP SUPPORT UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

(CONTINUED)

.....

- |   |     |   |
|---|-----|---|
| 5 | 50. | BIG BUSINESS; CDU IS THE EMPLOYERS' PARTY,<br>THE BUSINESSMEN'S PARTY |
| 1 | 51. | CDU LOST CATHOLIC (RELIGIOUS) VOTE                                    |
|   | 52. | YOUNG VOTERS REJECTED THE CDU   |
|   | 53. | CDU WAS UNSUCCESSFUL AMONG WORKERS                                    |
| 1 | 54. | CDU LOST FORMER WOMEN VOTERS  |
|   | 55. | CDU LOST VOTERS IN RURAL AREAS  |

## ELECTION CAMPAIGN

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 60 | 60. | GOOD ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD;<br>GOOD ADVERTISING, FAIRED WELL ON TV, BELIEVABLE<br>STATEMENTS, CITIZEN GROUPS ("WAEHLERINITIATIVEN"),<br>MOBILIZATION OF SUPPORTERS |
| 4  | 61. | UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD, AGITATION<br>AGAINST BARZEL   |
| 45 | 62. | BAD, INAPT CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; EMPTY<br>PROMISES, BAD EFFECT ON TV   |
| 16 | 63. | UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; TOO HARD,<br>AGITATION  |

## PARTY PLATFORM FAVORABLE FOR THE SPD

- |    |     |                               |
|----|-----|-------------------------------|
| 40 | 70. | GOOD SUBSTANTIVE PROGRAM      |
| 3  | 71. | REPRESENTS MULTIPLE INTERESTS |
| 5  | 72. | COALITION WITH THE FDP        |

## PARTY PLATFORM UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 14  | 80. | BAD PROGRAM, WRONG POLICIES  |
| 5   | 81. | DIFFERENCES OF OPINION WITHIN THE CDU/CSU                                  |
| 8   | 82. | VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE ("KONSTRUCTIVE<br>MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>) |
|     | 83. | CDU IS NOT THE PARTY OF THE LITTLE MAN                                     |
|     | 84. | CSU IS A RADICAL RIGHT PARTY, CONSERVATIVE                                 |
| 11  | 90. | OTHER REASON   |
|     | 94. | NO THIRD MENTION <Q.10(C)>   |
|     | 95. | NO SECOND MENTION <Q.10(B)>  |
| 7   | 97. | NA   |
| 156 | 98. | DK   |
|     | 99. | REFUSED  |
| 814 | 00. | NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW  |

VAR 0269 REF 0269 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHY SPD WON - 2ND MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 361 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 23-24

Q.10(B). SECOND MENTION OF WHY THE SPD WON SO MANY VOTES  
 .....

<SEE Q.10 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0270 REF 0270 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHY SPD WON - 3RD MNT MD=0 OR GE 94  
 LOC 363 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 25-26

Q.10(C). THIRD MENTION OF WHY THE SPD WON SO MANY VOTES  
 .....

<SEE Q.10 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0271 REF 0271 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHY CDU LOST - 1 MNTN MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 365 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 27-28

Q.11. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT WERE THE MAIN REASONS THAT  
 THE CDU/CSU DID NOT REACH ITS GOAL OF TAKING OVER THE  
 GOVERNMENT?

Q.11(A). FIRST MENTION OF WHY THE CDU/CSU DID NOT REACH  
 ITS ELECTORAL GOAL  
 .....

PERSONAL REASON FAVORABLE TO THE SPD OR TO THE GOVERNMENT

- 4 01. BRANDT; GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFIC EXPLANATION:  
 LIKING, ETC.
- 2 02. BRANDT; WITH POLITICAL EXPLANATION: BETTER  
 CHANCELLOR, HAS PROVEN THAT HE CAN DO IT,  
 TRUST IN BRANDT, MADE PEACE
- 03. BRANDT; WITH NON-POLITICAL EXPLANATION:  
 NOBEL PEACE PRIZE, AS A PERSON, FATHER OF THE  
 COUNTRY
- 1 04. BRANDT AND SCHEEL
- 05. OTHER INDIVIDUALS IN THE GOVERNMENT OR THE  
 COALITION
- 2 06. THE GOVERNMENT TEAM

(CONTINUED)

.....

## PERSONAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 211 | 10. | BARZEL; BAD CANDIDATE, NOT WELL LIKED,<br>NOT THE RIGHT MAN, DISLIKED, OTHER CANDIDATE<br>WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER (ALSO NAMING STRAUSS) |
| 44  | 11. | STRAUSS; SCARES AWAY, DRIVES THE VOTERS<br>AWAY, BLUSTERS  |
| 45  | 12. | BARZEL AND STRAUSS, BARZEL IS DEPENDENT<br>ON STRAUSS  |
| 2   | 13. | OTHER CDU OR CSU POLITICIAN  |
| 30  | 14. | GOVERNMENT TEAM; THE TEAM WAS BAD, THE<br>CDU DOES NOT HAVE GOOD LEADERSHIP  |

SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON FAVORABLE TO THE SPD OR TO THE  
GOVERNMENT

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 1 | 20. | GENERALLY GOOD WORK  |
| 8 | 21. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"),<br>BASIC AGREEMENT <WITH THE GDR> ("GRUNDVERTRAG") |
| 2 | 22. | ECONOMIC POLICY; GOOD ECONOMIC SITUATION, WE ARE<br>WELL OFF                               |
| 1 | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY; RENTS, OLD AGE SECURITY, HEALTH<br>INSURANCE                                |
| 5 | 24. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON   |

## SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 43 | 30. | GENERALLY BAD WORK OF THE OPPOSITION   |
| 63 | 31. | REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST<br>("OSTPOLITIK"), INDECISION ON THE POLICY TOWARDS<br>THE EAST |
| 15 | 32. | ECONOMIC POLICY; NO ALTERNATIVE, NO SUBSTANTIVE<br>SOLUTIONS FOR STABILITY AND OTHER PROBLEMS            |
| 25 | 34. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON   |

## GROUP SUPPORT FAVORABLE TO THE SPD

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 34 | 40. | YOUNG VOTERS TENDED TOWARDS THE SPD                          |
|    | 41. | CATHOLICS VOTED MORE STRONGLY FOR THE<br>SPD THAN PREVIOUSLY |
| 4  | 42. | WORKERS  |
| 1  | 43. | MORE WOMEN THAN PREVIOUSLY VOTED FOR THE SPD                 |

## GROUP SUPPORT UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 10 | 50. | BIG BUSINESS; CDU IS THE EMPLOYERS' PARTY, |
|----|-----|--|

(CONTINUED)

.....

THE BUSINESSMEN'S PARTY

2	51.	CDU LOST CATHOLIC (RELIGIOUS) VOTE
24	52.	YOUNG VOTERS REJECTED THE CDU
5	53.	CDU WAS UNSUCCESSFUL AMONG WORKERS
	54.	CDU LOST FORMER WOMEN VOTERS
	55.	CDU LOST VOTERS IN RURAL AREAS

## ELECTION CAMPAIGN

2	60.	GOOD ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD; GOOD ADVERTISING, FAIRED WELL ON TV, BELIEVABLE STATEMENTS, CITIZEN GROUPS ("WAEHLERINITIATIVEN"), MOBILIZATION OF SUPPORTERS
3	61.	UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD, AGITATION AGAINST BARZEL
212	62.	BAD, INAPT CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; EMPTY PROMISES, BAD EFFECT ON TV
53	63.	UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; TOO HARD, AGITATION

## PARTY PLATFORM FAVORABLE FOR THE SPD

2	70.	GOOD SUBSTANTIVE PROGRAM
1	71.	REPRESENTS MULTIPLE INTERESTS
	72.	COALITION WITH THE FDP

## PARTY PLATFORM UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

73	80.	BAD PROGRAM, WRONG POLICIES
7	81.	DIFFERENCES OF OPINION WITHIN THE CDU/CSU
13	82.	VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE ("KONSTRUCTIVE MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)
1	83.	CDU IS NOT THE PARTY OF THE LITTLE MAN
5	84.	CSU IS A RADICAL RIGHT PARTY, CONSERVATIVE
15	90.	OTHER REASON
	94.	NO THIRD MENTION <Q.11(C)>
	95.	NO SECOND MENTION <Q.11(B)>
11	97.	NA
235	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0272 REF 0272 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHY CDU LOST - 2 MNTN MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 367 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 29-30

Q.11(B). SECOND MENTION OF WHY THE CDU/CSU DID NOT REACH  
 ITS ELECTORAL GOAL

.....

<SEE Q.11 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0273 REF 0273 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHY CDU LOST - 3 MNTN MD=0 OR GE 94  
 LOC 369 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 31-32

Q.11(C). THIRD MENTION OF WHY THE CDU/CSU DID NOT REACH  
 ITS ELECTORAL GOAL

.....

<SEE Q.11 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0274 REF 0274 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WHY FDP DID WELL-1 MN MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 371 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 33-34

Q.12. IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT WERE THE MAIN REASONS FOR THE  
 GOOD SHOWING OF THE FDP?

Q.12(A). FIRST MENTION OF WHY THE FDP MADE SUCH A GOOD  
 SHOWING

.....

IF PERSONAL AND SUBSTANTIVE REASONS WERE GIVEN TOGETHER,  
 THE RESPONSE WAS CODED UNDER PERSONAL REASONS.

PERSONAL REASONS

- 163 01. SCHEEL; GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFIC EXPLANATION
- 88 02. SCHEEL; WITH POLITICAL EXPLANATION
- 6 03. GENSCHER
- 8 04. BRANDT AND SCHEEL; GOOD COOPERATION BETWEEN  
 BRANDT AND SCHEEL, CLOSE TIES
- 7 05. OTHER FDP POLITICIAN

SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON

(CONTINUED)

.....

43	20.	GENERALLY GOOD WORK
159	21.	GOOD SUBSTANTIVE COOPERATION WITH THE SPD
40	22.	FOREIGN POLICY
1	23.	EDUCATIONAL POLICY, GOOD EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM
6	24.	SOCIAL ISSUES; REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS, CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
	25.	AGRICULTURAL POLICY

## GROUP SUPPORT

58	40.	UNDECIDED VOTE FOR FDP
14	41.	THE MIDDLE CLASS HAS FOUND ITS WAY BACK TO THE FDP
80	42.	SECOND VOTES ("ZWEITSTIMMEN") OF SPD VOTERS; TICKET SPLITTING, ADVOCACY OF SECOND VOTES FOR THE FDP

## ELECTION CAMPAIGN

31	60.	GOOD ELECTION CAMPAIGN; GOOD ARGUMENTS, FAIR ELECTION CAMPAIGN
----	-----	---

## PARTY PLATFORM

36	70.	CLEAR, GOOD PROGRAM
48	71.	FDP CORRECTIVE FOR THE SPD
13	72.	PARTY IS STRENGTHENED

## THIRD PARTY

29	80.	THIRD PARTY (FORCE) IS NECESSARY, TWO PARTY SYSTEM REJECTED, TWO PARTIES ARE NOT ENOUGH
31	90.	OTHER REASON
	94.	NO THIRD MENTION <Q.12(C)>
	95.	NO SECOND MENTION <Q.12(B)>
9	97.	NA
340	98.	DK
1	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW



(CONTINUED)

.....

## GENERAL REASON WITHOUT DIRECT POLITICAL REFERENCE

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 156 | 11. | BASED ON TRADITION; ALWAYS VOTED THIS WAY, I AM FOR THE ...<PARTY>, I AM A MEMBER OF THE ... <PARTY>, CHOICE OF PARTY WAS ALWAYS CLEAR |
| 31  | 12. | WANTED VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>   |
| 5   | 13. | WANTED TO PREVENT VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>  |
| 15  | 14. | FOR THE COALITION, FAVORABLE TO THE COALITION  |
| 2   | 15. | AGAINST THE COALITION  |
| 11  | 19. | FOLLOWED MY DUTY AS A VOTER  |

## GENERAL POLITICAL REASON

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 49 | 21. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS WITHOUT REFERENCE TO A PARTY       |
| 39 | 22. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE SPD                         |
| 3  | 23. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE FDP                         |
| 31 | 24. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT       |
| 7  | 25. | THE GOOD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION, WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT     |
| 15 | 26. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION         |
| 4  | 27. | FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE SPD, FDP, COALITION GOVERNMENT |
|    | 28. | THE BAD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT       |
| 5  | 29. | FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OF THE OPPOSITION     |
| 22 | 31. | PROGRAM, WITHOUT REFERENCE TO A PARTICULAR PARTY                     |
| 26 | 32. | PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE SPD   |
| 2  | 33. | PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE FDP   |
| 6  | 34. | PROGRAM OF THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT                                 |
| 16 | 35. | PROGRAM OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION                                   |
| 1  | 36. | LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE SPD, FDP, COALITION            |
|    | 37. | LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION            |

## FOREIGN POLICY

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 23  | 41. | FOREIGN POLICY  |
| 34  | 42. | PEACE POLICY  |
| 113 | 43. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), AGAINST OR IN FAVOR, TREATIES WITH THE EAST |
| 2   | 44. | IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT ("GRUNDVERTRAG") WITH THE GDR                        |
|     | 45. | IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT, TREATIES WITH THE                                   |

(CONTINUED)

.....

EAST SHOULD HAVE BEEN CONCLUDED ONLY UNDER BETTER  
CONDITIONS, TOO MANY CONCESSIONS

## ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL POLICY

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 2  | 51. | FINANCIAL POLICIES   |
| 23 | 52. | ECONOMIC POLICIES  |
| 83 | 53. | ECONOMIC SITUATION, STABILITY, STABLE PRICES   |
| 25 | 54. | IN PARTICULAR: RETURN TO STABILITY, KEEP PRICES<br>STABLE, FEAR OF INFLATION, BETTER GUARANTEE OF<br>STABILITY |
| 8  | 55. | JOB SECURITY   |

## OTHER DOMESTIC AND SOCIAL POLICIES

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 18 | 61. | DOMESTIC POLICY                                    |
| 23 | 62. | SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL IMPROVEMENTS                 |
| 3  | 63. | EDUCATIONAL POLICY                                 |
| 4  | 64. | IN PARTICULAR: POLLUTION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION |
| 4  | 65. | IN PARTICULAR: PENSION LAWS, OLD AGE SECURITY      |
|    | 66. | IN PARTICULAR: POLICIES PERTAINING TO PROPERTY     |

## GROUP INTERESTS AND IDEOLOGY

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 14 | 71. | CHRISTIAN PARTY, AS CHRISTIAN, AS CATHOLIC   |
| 2  | 72. | REPRESENTS CATHOLIC INTERESTS  |
|    | 73. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF BUSINESSMEN, OF<br>INDUSTRY  |
| 1  | 74. | SO THAT INDUSTRY, CAPITALISTS DO NOT COME TO POWER   |
| 37 | 75. | REPRESENTS THE WORKERS' INTERESTS  |
| 8  | 76. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF THE LITTLE MAN, MORE<br>ASSISTANCE FOR THE LITTLE MAN, MORE SOCIAL JUSTICE |
| 18 | 77. | TOO FAR LEFT, AGAINST YOUNG SOCIALISTS, FEAR OF<br>SOCIALISM   |
| 8  | 78. | IS FUTURE ORIENTED   |
| 5  | 79. | RETAINS THAT WHICH EXISTS  |

## OTHER REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 17 | 81. | TRUSTWORTHINESS, GOOD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL QUALITIES   |
| 6  | 82. | UNTRUSTWORTHINESS, BAD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL WEAKNESSES |
| 2  | 83. | IMPRESSION FROM THE TELEVISION DISCUSSIONS OF THE<br>PARTY LEADERS                      |
| 4  | 84. | FOR TWO PARTY GOVERNMENT, AGAINST ONE PARTY   |



VAR 0280 REF 0280 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- OTR RSN R'S VT- 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 96  
 LOC 383 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 45-46

Q.14. (IF R MENTIONED SOME REASON FOR HIS VOTING DECISION)  
 WERE THERE ANY OTHER REASONS

Q.14(A). FIRST ADDITIONAL MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID  
 .....

POLITICIAN

- 35 01. FOR WILLY BRANDT; STRAIGHT CHARACTER, GOOD CHANCEL-  
 LOR, LIKEABLE, SHOULD STAY IN THE GOVERNMENT
- 2 02. AGAINST WILLY BRANDT, DISLIKE HIM
- 2 03. FOR RAINER BARZEL
- 5 04. AGAINST RAINER BARZEL; TOO ARROGANT, MISLEADS THE  
 VOTERS
- 1 05. FOR WALTER SCHEEL
- 06. AGAINST WALTER SCHEEL
- 3 07. FOR FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS
- 1 08. AGAINST FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS
- 6 09. OTHER POLITICIAN, TEAM IN GENERAL, BETTER  
 LEADERSHIP, CANDIDATES

GENERAL REASON WITHOUT DIRECT POLITICAL REFERENCE

- 17 11. BASED ON TRADITION; ALWAYS VOTED THIS WAY, I AM  
 FOR THE ...<PARTY>, I AM A MEMBER OF THE ...  
 <PARTY>, CHOICE OF PARTY WAS ALWAYS CLEAR
- 3 12. WANTED VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>
- 3 13. WANTED TO PREVENT VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>
- 2 14. FOR THE COALITION, FAVORABLE TO THE COALITION
- 15. AGAINST THE COALITION
- 1 19. FOLLOWED MY DUTY AS A VOTER

GENERAL POLITICAL REASON

- 6 21. ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS WITHOUT  
 REFERENCE TO A PARTY
- 7 22. ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE SPD
- 1 23. ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE FDP
- 3 24. ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF  
 THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT
- 25. THE GOOD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION,  
 WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT
- 6 26. ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU,  
 OPPOSITION

(CONTINUED)

.....

- 2 27. FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE SPD, FDP,  
COALITION GOVERNMENT
28. THE BAD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION WITHIN THE  
GOVERNMENT
- 3 29. FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OF  
THE OPPOSITION
- 5 31. PROGRAM, WITHOUT REFERENCE TO A PARTICULAR PARTY
- 11 32. PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE SPD
- 2 33. PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE FDP
- 2 34. PROGRAM OF THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT
- 1 35. PROGRAM OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION
36. LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE SPD, FDP,  
COALITION
37. LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE CDU/CSU,  
OPPOSITION

## FOREIGN POLICY

- 5 41. FOREIGN POLICY
- 8 42. PEACE POLICY
- 31 43. POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), AGAINST OR  
IN FAVOR, TREATIES WITH THE EAST
- 2 44. IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT ("GRUNDVERTRAG")  
WITH THE GDR
- 2 45. IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT, TREATIES WITH THE  
EAST SHOULD HAVE BEEN CONCLUDED ONLY UNDER BETTER  
CONDITIONS, TOO MANY CONCESSIONS

## ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL POLICY

- 4 51. FINANCIAL POLICIES
- 3 52. ECONOMIC POLICIES
- 8 53. ECONOMIC SITUATION, STABILITY, STABLE PRICES
- 2 54. IN PARTICULAR: RETURN TO STABILITY, KEEP PRICES  
STABLE, FEAR OF INFLATION, BETTER GUARANTEE OF  
STABILITY
- 2 55. JOB SECURITY

## OTHER DOMESTIC AND SOCIAL POLICIES

- 7 61. DOMESTIC POLICY
- 5 62. SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL IMPROVEMENTS
- 1 63. EDUCATIONAL POLICY
- 1 64. IN PARTICULAR: POLLUTION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 3 65. IN PARTICULAR: PENSION LAWS, OLD AGE SECURITY
- 2 66. IN PARTICULAR: POLICIES PERTAINING TO PROPERTY

(CONTINUED)

.....

## GROUP INTERESTS AND IDEOLOGY

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 2  | 71. | CHRISTIAN PARTY, AS CHRISTIAN, AS CATHOLIC   |
| 1  | 72. | REPRESENTS CATHOLIC INTERESTS  |
| 1  | 73. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF BUSINESSMEN, OF<br>INDUSTRY  |
| 1  | 74. | SO THAT INDUSTRY, CAPITALISTS DO NOT COME TO POWER   |
| 11 | 75. | REPRESENTS THE WORKERS' INTERESTS  |
| 6  | 76. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF THE LITTLE MAN, MORE<br>ASSISTANCE FOR THE LITTLE MAN, MORE SOCIAL JUSTICE |
| 6  | 77. | TOO FAR LEFT, AGAINST YOUNG SOCIALISTS, FEAR OF<br>SOCIALISM   |
|    | 78. | IS FUTURE ORIENTED   |
|    | 79. | RETAINS THAT WHICH EXISTS  |

## OTHER REASON

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 3   | 81. | TRUSTWORTHINESS, GOOD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL QUALITIES                               |
| 2   | 82. | UNTRUSTWORTHINESS, BAD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL WEAKNESSES                             |
|     | 83. | IMPRESSION FROM THE TELEVISION DISCUSSIONS OF THE<br>PARTY LEADERS  |
| 1   | 84. | FOR TWO PARTY GOVERNMENT, AGAINST ONE PARTY<br>GOVERNMENT   |
|     | 85. | TO BE ABLE TO CONTROL THE SPD, TO PREVENT A SLIDE<br>TO LEFT OF THE SPD   |
|     | 86. | BECAUSE OF THE NO CONFIDENCE VOTE<br>("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSSTIMMUNG" <OF APRIL 1972>)                          |
| 3   | 87. | BECAUSE OF THE CONVERSION, OF THE CHANGE OF SEATS<br>BY SOME DEPUTIES   |
| 4   | 88. | CONVINCED DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, CONVINCED<br>BY STRANGERS, BY FAMILY, COLLEAGUES                            |
| 4   | 89. | SO THAT I PERSONALLY WILL FARE BETTER, I<br>PERSONALLY FEEL DISADVANTAGED RIGHT NOW, TO REAP<br>ECONOMIC ADVANTAGES |
| 6   | 90. | OTHER REASON  |
|     | 94. | NO THIRD MENTION <Q.14 (C) >  |
|     | 95. | NO SECOND MENTION <Q.14 (B) >   |
| 773 | 96. | NO REASON   |
| 17  | 97. | NA  |
| 2   | 98. | DK  |
| 1   | 99. | REFUSED   |
| 969 | 00. | INAP., CODED 96-99 IN Q.13; NO THIRD WAVE<br>INTERVIEW  |

VAR 0281 REF 0281 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- OTR RSN R'S VT- 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 385 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 47-48

Q.14 (B) . SECOND ADDITIONAL MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID  
 .....

<SEE Q.14 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0282 REF 0282 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- OTR RSN R'S VT- 3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 94  
 LOC 387 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 49-50

Q.14 (C) . THIRD ADDITIONAL MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID  
 .....

<SEE Q.14 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0283 REF 0283 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- STSFCTN POLTL SYSTEM MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 389 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 51

Q.15. WHAT WOULD YOU GENERALLY SAY ABOUT DEMOCRACY IN THE  
 FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, THAT IS, ABOUT OUR POLITICAL  
 PARTIES AND OUR ENTIRE POLITICAL SYSTEM? ARE YOU VERY  
 SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, OR NOT SATISFIED WITH IT?  
 .....

- |     |    |                         |
|-----|----|-------------------------|
| 313 | 1. | VERY SATISFIED          |
| 772 | 2. | SOMEWHAT SATISFIED      |
| 81  | 3. | NOT SATISFIED           |
| 3   | 7. | NA                      |
| 35  | 8. | DK                      |
| 6   | 9. | REFUSED                 |
| 814 | 0. | NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW |

VAR 0284 REF 0284 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- RGHT PEOPLE IN LDRSHP MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 390 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 52

Q.16. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT AT THE PRESENT TIME THE RIGHT  
 PEOPLE ARE, BY AND LARGE, IN THE LEADERSHIP POSITIONS HERE



(CONTINUED)

.....

51	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
29	02.	.
38	03.	.
30	04.	.
18	05.	.
53	06.	UNDECIDED
70	07.	.
91	08.	.
181	09.	.
211	10.	.
431	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
6	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0287		REF 0287	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	SCALOMETER-	BARZEL	MD=0 OR GE 99
LOC 394	WIDTH 2		DK 7 COL 56-57

Q.18(B). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF RAINER BARZEL?  
 .....

<SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

184	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
70	02.	.
133	03.	.
85	04.	.
68	05.	.
85	06.	UNDECIDED
97	07.	.
143	08.	.
173	09.	.
87	10.	.
81	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
6	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW



(CONTINUED)

.....

77	06.	UNDECIDED
126	07.	.
136	08.	.
197	09.	.
107	10.	.
133	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
19	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0290		REF 0290	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	SCALOMETER-	CSU	MD=0 OR GE 99
LOC 400	WIDTH 2		DK 7 COL 62-63

Q.19(C). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CSU?  
.....

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

200	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
90	02.	.
113	03.	.
84	04.	.
73	05.	.
63	06.	UNDECIDED
117	07.	.
142	08.	.
122	09.	.
104	10.	.
84	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
19	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0291		REF 0291	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	SCALOMETER-	FDP	MD=0 OR GE 99
LOC 402	WIDTH 2		DK 7 COL 64-65

Q.19(D). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE FDP?  
.....

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

31	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
----	-----	---------------------------------------

(CONTINUED)

.....

15	02.	.
39	03.	.
27	04.	.
36	05.	.
94	06.	UNDECIDED
138	07.	.
226	08.	.
301	09.	.
202	10.	.
80	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
22	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0292	REF 0292	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- WHO BST ECON MINISTER	MD=0 OR GE 97	
LOC 404 WIDTH 2	DK 7 COL 66-67	

Q.20. QUITE APART FROM THE OUTCOME OF THE ELECTION, WHICH POLITICIAN DO YOU THINK WOULD BE BEST QUALIFIED TO BE MINISTER OF ECONOMICS?

<INTERVIEWER: ADMIT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.>

.....

	01.	ADENAUER (CDU)
	02.	AHLERS (SPD)
	03.	ARENDT (SPD)
1	04.	ARNDT (SPD)
	05.	BAHR (SPD)
	06.	BARZEL (CDU)
2	07.	BRANDT (SPD)
1	08.	VON DOHNANY (SPD)
	09.	DOLLINGER (CSU)
	10.	DREGGER (CDU)
1	11.	EHMKE (SPD)
3	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
39	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
2	15.	ERTL (FDP)
	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
23	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
1	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
2	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)

(CONTINUED)

.....

	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
	25.	JAHN (SPD)
2	26.	KATZER (CDU)
	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
2	28.	KOHL (CDU)
	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
2	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	34.	MENDE (CDU)
1	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
8	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
11	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
1	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
129	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
290	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
8	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
15	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
118	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)
	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
2	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
1	53.	WEYER (FDP)
	54.	WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
19	55.	NARJES (CDU)
1	56.	WEHNER (SPD)
2	90.	OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
4	91.	OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
2	92.	OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
1	93.	OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY
7	97.	NA
505	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0293 REF 0293 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- FIN EFFECT OF ELCTN MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 406 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 68

Q.21. NOW PLEASE THINK ABOUT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION OR THAT OF YOUR FAMILY. DOES IT MAKE A GREAT DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE OR PRACTICALLY NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL, WHETHER AFTER THE ELECTION THE SPD AND THE FDP AGAIN FORM THE GOVERNMENT TOGETHER, OR WHETHER THE CDU/CSU FORMS THE GOVERNMENT?

- .....
- 109 1. GREAT DIFFERENCE
  - 348 2. SOME DIFFERENCE
  - 654 3. NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL
  
  - 4 7. NA
  - 91 8. DK
  - 4 9. REFUSED
  - 814 0. NA; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0294 REF 0294 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- PROB FOR GOVT - 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 407 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 69-70

Q.22. WITH WHICH ISSUES AND PROBLEMS SHOULD, IN YOUR OPINION, THE NEW GOVERNMENT CONCERN ITSELF RIGHT AWAY?

Q.22(A). FIRST MENTION OF IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR THE NEW GOVERNMENT.

- .....
- 52 01. DOMESTIC POLICY IN GENERAL
  - 59 02. ECONOMIC POLICY, ECONOMIC SITUATION IN GENERAL
  - 116 03. POLICIES TO INSURE ECONOMIC STABILITY
  - 387 04. PRICE STABILITY, NO INFLATION, PRICE FREEZE, THE INCREASE IN PRICES
  
  - 22 05. JOB SECURITY, FULL EMPLOYMENT, NO UNEMPLOYED
  - 5 06. OTHER ECONOMIC POLICY ISSUE
  - 20 07. FINANCIAL POLICIES
  - 16 10. TAX ISSUES IN GENERAL
  - 3 11. NO TAX INCREASE
  - 4 12. LOWER TAXES (ALSO SPECIFIC TAXES)
  - 4 13. OTHER TAX ISSUE
  - 15 20. SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL SECURITY IN GENERAL
  - 6 21. HOUSING, LOWER RENTS
  - 72 22. PENSION REFORM, DO SOMETHING FOR THE OLD, BUILD

(CONTINUED)

.....

		OLD AGE HOMES
4	23.	DAY CARE CENTERS, KINDERGARTEN
14	24.	OTHER SOCIAL POLICY ISSUE
46	30.	EDUCATION IN GENERAL
4	31.	CONSTRUCTION OF SCHOOLS, MORE TEACHERS
2	32.	COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
	33.	VOCATIONAL EDUCATION
2	34.	OTHER EDUCATIONAL ISSUE
7	40.	SOCIAL ISSUES ("GESELLSCHAFTSPOLITIK")
3	41.	CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
3	42.	ACCUMULATION OF PROPERTY FOR EMPLOYEES
6	43.	REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS
4	44.	OTHER SOCIAL ISSUE
10	45.	AGRICULTURAL POLICIES
	46.	TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SAFER ROADS
23	47.	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
59	50.	INTERNAL, PUBLIC SECURITY IN GENERAL, LAW AND ORDER
14	51.	MORE EFFECTIVE FIGHT AGAINST CRIME, STIFFER PENALTIES
4	52.	AGAINST FOREIGN TERRORISTS, THE PROBLEM WITH FOREIGNERS
3	53.	PREVENTION OF TERRORIST ATTACKS
2	54.	OTHER PROBLEM OF INTERNAL SECURITY
20	60.	FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL
30	61.	PEACE POLICY
43	62.	EASTERN POLICY ("OSTPOLITIK") IN GENERAL
9	63.	CONCLUDE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
4	64.	IMPROVE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
	65.	ARRANGEMENT WITH CHINA
4	66.	OTHER ISSUE OF POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST
	67.	EUROPEAN POLICY
1	68.	RELATIONS WITH THE USA
3	69.	OTHER ISSUE IN FOREIGN POLICY
5	90.	OTHER ISSUE OR PROBLEM
10	97.	NA
93	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0295 REF 0295 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- PROB FOR GOVT - 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 409 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 71-72

Q.22 (B) . SECOND MENTION OF IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR THE NEW GOVERNMENT

.....

<SEE Q.22 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 22 01. DOMESTIC POLICY IN GENERAL
- 40 02. ECONOMIC POLICY, ECONOMIC SITUATION IN GENERAL
- 46 03. POLICIES TO INSURE ECONOMIC STABILITY
- 131 04. PRICE STABILITY, NO INFLATION, PRICE FREEZE, THE INCREASE IN PRICES
- 39 05. JOB SECURITY, FULL EMPLOYMENT, NO UNEMPLOYED
- 5 06. OTHER ECONOMIC POLICY ISSUE
- 16 07. FINANCIAL POLICIES
- 18 10. TAX ISSUES IN GENERAL
- 9 11. NO TAX INCREASE
- 3 12. LOWER TAXES (ALSO SPECIFIC TAXES)
- 2 13. OTHER TAX ISSUE
- 25 20. SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL SECURITY IN GENERAL
- 20 21. HOUSING, LOWER RENTS
- 50 22. PENSION REFORM, DO SOMETHING FOR THE OLD, BUILD OLD AGE HOMES
- 3 23. DAY CARE CENTERS, KINDERGARTEN
- 25 24. OTHER SOCIAL POLICY ISSUE
- 37 30. EDUCATION IN GENERAL
- 3 31. CONSTRUCTION OF SCHOOLS, MORE TEACHERS
- 2 32. COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
- 2 33. VOCATIONAL EDUCATION
- 6 34. OTHER EDUCATIONAL ISSUE
- 3 40. SOCIAL ISSUES ("GESELLSCHAFTSPOLITIK")
- 5 41. CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
- 7 42. ACCUMULATION OF PROPERTY FOR EMPLOYEES
- 5 43. REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS
- 7 44. OTHER SOCIAL ISSUE
- 5 45. AGRICULTURAL POLICIES
- 2 46. TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SAFER ROADS
- 30 47. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 89 50. INTERNAL, PUBLIC SECURITY IN GENERAL, LAW AND ORDER
- 32 51. MORE EFFECTIVE FIGHT AGAINST CRIME, STIFFER PENALTIES
- 2 52. AGAINST FOREIGN TERRORISTS, THE PROBLEM WITH FOREIGNERS
- 5 53. PREVENTION OF TERRORIST ATTACKS
- 5 54. OTHER PROBLEM OF INTERNAL SECURITY
- 22 60. FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL
- 28 61. PEACE POLICY



(CONTINUED)

.....

- 39 30. EDUCATION IN GENERAL
- 3 31. CONSTRUCTION OF SCHOOLS, MORE TEACHERS
- 6 32. COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
- 3 33. VOCATIONAL EDUCATION
- 3 34. OTHER EDUCATIONAL ISSUE
- 2 40. SOCIAL ISSUES ("GESELLSCHAFTSPOLITIK")
- 2 41. CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
- 1 42. ACCUMULATION OF PROPERTY FOR EMPLOYEES
- 5 43. REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS
- 4 44. OTHER SOCIAL ISSUE
- 1 45. AGRICULTURAL POLICIES
- 1 46. TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SAFER ROADS
- 25 47. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 34 50. INTERNAL, PUBLIC SECURITY IN GENERAL, LAW AND ORDER
- 16 51. MORE EFFECTIVE FIGHT AGAINST CRIME, STIFFER PENALTIES
- 4 52. AGAINST FOREIGN TERRORISTS, THE PROBLEM WITH FOREIGNERS
- 9 53. PREVENTION OF TERRORIST ATTACKS
- 2 54. OTHER PROBLEM OF INTERNAL SECURITY
- 9 60. FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL
- 30 61. PEACE POLICY
- 22 62. EASTERN POLICY ("OSTPOLITIK") IN GENERAL
- 2 63. CONCLUDE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
- 64. IMPROVE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
- 2 65. ARRANGEMENT WITH CHINA
- 2 66. OTHER ISSUE OF POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST
- 5 67. EUROPEAN POLICY
- 1 68. RELATIONS WITH THE USA
- 69. OTHER ISSUE IN FOREIGN POLICY
- 1 90. OTHER ISSUE OR PROBLEM
- 661 94. NO THIRD MENTION
- 10 97. NA
- 93 98. DK
- 6 99. REFUSED
- 814 00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0297

REF 0297

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- IMPC- STABLE PRICES MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 413 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 75

Q.23. HERE WE HAVE PUT TOGETHER AGAIN SEVERAL PROBLEMS WHICH ARE TALKED ABOUT, AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW



VAR 0299 REF 0299 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IMPC- OLD AGE SCRTRY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 415 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 77

Q.23 (C) . PROBLEM C: OLD AGE SECURITY--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 649 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 500 2. IMPORTANT
- 42 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 3 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 10 7. NA
- 6 8. DK
- 2 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0300 REF 0300 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IMPC- HLTH INSURANCE MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 416 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 78

Q.23 (D) . PROBLEM D: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY--  
 R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 571 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 586 2. IMPORTANT
- 36 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 4 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 6 7. NA
- 7 8. DK
- 2 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0301 REF 0301 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IMPC- PRTCTN FRM RDCL MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 417 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 79

Q.23(E). PROBLEM E: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR  
 ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 623 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 486 2. IMPORTANT
- 73 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 8 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
- 5 7. NA
- 14 8. DK
- 2 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0302 REF 0302 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IMPC- JUST TAXES MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 418 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 80

Q.23(F). PROBLEM F: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
 .....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 553 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 564 2. IMPORTANT
- 57 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 11 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
- 7 7. NA
- 16 8. DK
- 2 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0001 REF 0001 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 8 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)

.....

'08'

DK 8 COL 5- 6

....

VAR 0002 REF 0002 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 5 WIDTH 4 DK 8 COL 7-10

RESPONDENT NUMBER

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0303 REF 0303 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IMPC- ENVRNMNTL PRTCT MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 419 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 11

Q.23(G). PROBLEM G: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 537 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 582 2. IMPORTANT
- 62 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 9 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
  
- 8 7. NA
- 11 8. DK
- 2 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0304 REF 0304 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IMPC- EXTND EDUCATION MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 420 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 12

Q.23 (H) . PROBLEM H: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 403 1. VERY IMPORTANT
- 626 2. IMPORTANT
- 123 3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
- 28 4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
- 7 7. NA
- 22 8. DK
- 2 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0305 REF 0305 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R'S PARTISAN PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 421 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 13

Q.24. MANY PEOPLE IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY FOR A LONG TIME, ALTHOUGH THEY MAY OCCASIONALLY VOTE FOR A DIFFERENT PARTY. HOW ABOUT YOU: DO YOU IN GENERAL LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY? IF SO, WHICH ONE?

.....

- 576 1. SPD
- 325 2. CDU/CSU
- 38 3. FDP
- 1 4. NDP
- 5. DKP
- 6. OTHER
- 201 7. NO PARTY LEANING
- 20 8. DK
- 47 9. REFUSED
- 817 0. NA; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0306 REF 0306 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- STRGNTH PRTSN PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 422 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 14

Q.25. (IF R LEANS TOWARDS A PARTY) TAKEN ALTOGETHER, HOW STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DO YOU LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY: VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY OR VERY WEAKLY?

- 205 1. VERY STRONGLY
- 490 2. FAIRLY STRONGLY
- 220 3. MODERATELY
- 11 4. FAIRLY WEAKLY
- 9 5. VERY WEAKLY
  
- 2 7. NA
- 3 8. DK
- 9. REFUSED
- 1085 0. INAP., CODED 0 OR 7-9 IN Q.24; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0307 REF 0307 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R'S PARTY IDENTIFCTN MD=0 OR GE 6  
 LOC 423 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 15

RESPONDENT'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES Q.24 AND Q.25 INTO A SINGLE MEASURE OF THE INTENSITY OF SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU PREFERENCE.

Q.25 CODED

- 465 1. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP 1 - 2
- 147 2. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP 3 - 5
- 201 3. NO PARTY PREFERENCE
- 94 4. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU 3 - 5
- 228 5. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU 1 - 2
  
- 6 6. OTHER PARTY PREFERENCE COMBINATION
- 3 7. NA
- 20 8. DK
- 47 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0308 REF 0308 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- EXPECTED SPD/FDP VICT MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 424 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 16

Q.26. DID YOU EXPECT A VICTORY FOR THE SPD/FDP  
 COALITION BEFORE THE ELECTION?

.....

646	1.	YES
479	2.	NO
79	8.	DK
6	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0309 REF 0309 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IMPC- ELEC CAMPAIGNS MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 425 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 17

Q.27. THERE ARE DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE SIGNIFICANCE  
 OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN. THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO THINK  
 IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, OTHERS THINK IT IS QUITE USEFUL,  
 AND STILL OTHERS THINK IT IS VERY HARMFUL. WHAT DO YOU  
 THINK? DO YOU REGARD THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN AS  
 ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, QUITE USEFUL, FAIRLY SUPERFLUOUS,  
 OR AS VERY HARMFUL?

.....

248	1.	ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL
593	2.	QUITE USEFUL
295	3.	FAIRLY SUPERFLUOUS
24	4.	VERY HARMFUL
43	8.	DK
7	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0310 REF 0310 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- ATTND POLTL MEETINGS MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 426 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 18

Q.28. DID YOU ATTEND ANY CAMPAIGN MEETINGS OR SIMILAR  
 EVENTS BEFORE THE ELECTION?

.....



(CONTINUED)

.....

3	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0313	REF 0313	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DISC POLT W FRIENDS	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 430 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 22	

Q.32,33. AND WHAT ABOUT YOUR FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES?  
 WERE THE PARTIES, THE POLITICIANS AND THE ELECTION  
 DISCUSSED IN THESE CIRCLES? (IF YES) WAS THAT FREQUENTLY,  
 OCCASIONALLY, OR RARELY?

.....

479	1.	FREQUENTLY
376	2.	OCCASIONALLY
67	3.	RARELY
226	4.	NOT DISCUSSED
61	8.	DK
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0314	REF 0314	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- APPRV CMPN ADVRTSNG	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 431 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 23	

Q.34. DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN MANY PEOPLE OPENLY  
 SHOWED THEIR SYMPATHY FOR ONE PARTY OR ANOTHER THROUGH  
 BUTTONS, STICKERS OR BY HANDING OUT INFORMATION.  
 WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THIS? DO YOU FIND THIS GOOD OR NOT  
 GOOD?

.....

602	1.	GOOD
586	2.	NOT GOOD
22	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0315 REF 0315 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- SHOW SPRT FOR PTY MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 432 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 24

Q.35. DID YOU PERSONALLY ALSO OPENLY SHOW FOR WHICH PARTY  
 OR CANDIDATE YOU ARE?  
 .....

355 1. YES  
 853 2. NO  
 2 9. NA  
 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0316 REF 0316 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R WEAR CMPN BUTTON MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 433 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 25

Q.36. (IF R SHOWED HIS SUPPORT FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE)  
 WHAT DID YOU DO? DID YOU WEAR A CAMPAIGN BUTTON, THAT IS,  
 A BUTTON WITH A MESSAGE IN FAVOR OF YOUR CANDIDATE OR YOUR  
 PARTY, DID YOU PUT A STICKER ON YOUR CAR, OR WHAT ELSE  
 DID YOU DO?

Q.36 (A) . CAMPAIGN BUTTON--

USED BY R DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN TO SUPPORT HIS PARTY  
 .....

67 1. USED  
 272 2. NOT USED  
 16 9. NA  
 1670 0. INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.35; NO THIRD WAVE  
 INTERVIEW

VAR 0317 REF 0317 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R DISPLAY STICKER MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 434 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 26

Q.36 (B) . STICKERS--

USED BY R DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN TO SUPPORT HIS PARTY  
 .....





VAR 0322 REF 0322 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WOULD R GIVE MONEY MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 441 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 33

Q.40. (IF R DID NOT GIVE A FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTION)  
 WOULD YOU BE WILLING UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES IN THE  
 FUTURE TO SUPPORT THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF THE PARTY YOU  
 PREFER BY GIVING MONEY TO IT?  
 .....

145 1. YES  
 1011 2. NO  
  
 12 9. NA  
 857 0. INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.38; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0323 REF 0323 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R NOTICED CTZN GRPS MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 442 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 34

Q.41. IN THIS ELECTION CAMPAIGN, NON-PARTISAN CITIZENS ALSO  
 DEMONSTRATED THEIR SUPPORT FOR PARTICULAR PARTIES AND CANDI-  
 DATES THROUGH SO-CALLED CITIZEN GROUPS ("WAEHLERINITIATIVEN")  
 BY PLACING ADVERTISEMENTS IN NEWSPAPERS OR BY SETTING UP  
 CAMPAIGN RALLIES FOR PARTICULAR CANDIDATES AND PARTIES.  
 DID YOU NOTICE ANY OF THIS OR DID YOU NOT NOTICE IT?  
 .....

805 1. YES, NOTICED IT  
 405 2. NO, DID NOT NOTICE IT  
  
 1 9. NA  
 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0324 REF 0324 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- SHLD OTRS PRTCPT CMPN MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 443 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 35

Q.42. DO YOU THINK IT IS PROPER THAT OTHER GROUPS BESIDES  
 THE PARTIES PARTICIPATE IN THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN IN THIS  
 WAY, OR DO YOU THINK THIS IS NOT PROPER?  
 .....

578 1. PROPER

(CONTINUED)

.....

613	2.	NOT PROPER
20	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0325	REF 0325	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SAW TV DEBATES	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 444 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 36	

Q.43. BEFORE THE ELECTION, THERE WERE SEVERAL DEBATES ON TELEVISION BETWEEN THE PARTY LEADER-- BRANDT, BARZEL, SCHEEL AND STRAUSS. DID YOU WATCH, AT LEAST PARTIALLY, ONE, TWO OR ALL THREE OF THESE PROGRAMS?

.....

479	1.	SAW ALL THREE DEBATES
350	2.	SAW TWO DEBATES
190	3.	SAW ONE DEBATE
190	4.	DID NOT SEE ANY OF THE DEBATES
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0326	REF 0326	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DID R LIKE TV DEBATES	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 445 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 37	

Q.44. (IF R WATCHED THE DEBATES) IN GENERAL, DID YOU LIKE THESE PROGRAMS VERY MUCH, MUCH, NOT MUCH, OR NOT AT ALL?

.....

185	1.	VERY MUCH
560	2.	MUCH
225	3.	NOT MUCH
48	4.	NOT AT ALL
1	9.	NA
1005	0.	INAP., CODED 4 OR 9 IN Q.43; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0327 REF 0327 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- BST POLTN IN DEBATES MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 446 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 38

Q.45. (IF R WATCHED THE DEBATES) IN YOUR OPINION, WHO OF THE FOUR POLITICIANS OVERALL FARED BEST IN THESE PROGRAMS?  
 .....

- 127 1. BARZEL
- 376 2. BRANDT
- 282 3. SCHEEL
- 78 4. STRAUSS
- 84 5. ALL FARED EQUALLY WELL
  
- 58 8. DK
- 13 9. NA
- 1005 0. INAP., CODED 4 OR 9 IN Q.43; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0328 REF 0328 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- WRST POLTN IN DEBATES MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 447 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 39

Q.46. (IF R WATCHED THE DEBATES) AND WHO OF THE FOUR POLITICIANS, IN YOUR VIEW, FARED THE WORST <IN THESE PROGRAMS>?  
 .....

- 278 1. BARZEL
- 111 2. BRANDT
- 49 3. SCHEEL
- 385 4. STRAUSS
- 53 5. ALL FARED EQUALLY BADLY
  
- 138 8. DK
- 6 9. NA
- 1005 0. INAP., CODED 4 OR 9 IN Q.43; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0329 REF 0329 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- CAMPAIN PRVD INFO MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 448 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 40

Q.47. AN ELECTION CAMPAIGN SHOULD INFORM ONE ABOUT THE CANDIDATES AND PROGRAMS OF THE VARIOUS PARTIES IN ORDER TO HELP THE VOTER MAKE UP HIS MIND. DO YOU THINK THIS

(CONTINUED)

.....

ELECTION CAMPAIGN, AS IT HAS BEEN CONDUCTED BY THE PARTIES,  
HAS FULFILLED THIS PURPOSE OR DON'T YOU THINK SO?

.....

- 461 1. YES
- 349 2. PARTLY YES/PARTLY NO
- 290 3. NO
  
- 7 7. NA
- 100 8. DK
- 4 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0330 REF 0330 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- SOURCE CDU MNY- 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 449 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 41-42

Q.48. TOGETHER, THE PARTIES SPENT MORE MONEY FOR THE ELEC-  
TION CAMPAIGN THIS YEAR THAN EVER BEFORE. WHAT DO YOU THINK:  
WHERE DID THE PARTIES GET THE MONEY FOR THE ELECTION  
CAMPAIGN?

<INTERVIEWER: WRITE DOWN ALL COMMENTS AND PROBE.>

Q.48A. WHAT ABOUT THE CDU/CSU, WHERE DID IT GET THE MONEY?

Q.48A(1). FIRST MENTION OF SOURCES OF CDU/CSU CAMPAIGN  
MONEY

.....

- 176 01. INDUSTRY
- 62 02. BUSINESS
- 1 03. THE TRADING SECTOR
- 108 04. BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
- 35 05. LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
- 6 06. BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
- 47 07. CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
- 6 08. ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY,  
BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
- 3 09. MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS  
ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
- 7 10. SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE  
TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
- 11. CATHOLIC CHURCH
- 2 12. THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
- 13. LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN  
FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER



(CONTINUED)

.....

57	01.	INDUSTRY
24	02.	BUSINESS
5	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
31	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
12	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
6	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
20	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
5	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
1	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
9	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
2	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
10	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
1	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
1	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
6	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
22	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
35	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
10	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
1	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
43	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
34	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
47	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
7	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
17	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
2	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
408	95.	NO SECOND MENTION

(CONTINUED)

.....

2	97.	NA
393	98.	DK
1	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0332	REF 0332	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SOURCE CDU MNY- 3 MNT	MD=0 OR GE 94	
LOC 453 WIDTH 2	DK 8 COL 45-46	

Q.48A(3). THIRD MENTION OF SOURCES OF CDU/CSU CAMPAIGN MONEY

.....

<SEE Q.48A FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

9	01.	INDUSTRY
4	02.	BUSINESS
	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
6	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
3	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
3	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
5	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
3	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
2	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
1	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
5	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
16	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
2	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS



(CONTINUED)

.....

- 119 12. THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
- 13. LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
- 11 14. WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
- 15. ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
- 9 16. INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
- 17. TELEVISION
- 18. INSTITUTIONS
- 82 19. TAX MONEY, TAXES
- 69 20. THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
- 19 21. GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
- 16 22. GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
- 56 23. CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
- 67 24. FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
- 148 25. PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
- 45 26. PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
- 51 27. PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
- 3 28. DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
- 1 29. OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
- 90. OTHER RESPONSE
- 3 97. NA
- 431 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 814 00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0334 REF 0334 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- SOURCE SPD MNY- 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 457 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 49-50

Q.48B(2). SECOND MENTION OF SOURCES OF SPD CAMPAIGN MONEY  
 .....

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;SEE Q.48B FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 27 | 01. | INDUSTRY  |
| 4  | 02. | BUSINESS  |
|    | 03. | THE TRADING SECTOR  |
| 9  | 04. | BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS  |
| 1  | 05. | LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS   |
| 1  | 06. | BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE  |
| 2  | 07. | CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL  |
| 2  | 08. | ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY,<br>BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR   |
|    | 09. | MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS<br>ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS  |
|    | 10. | SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE<br>TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES   |
|    | 11. | CATHOLIC CHURCH   |
|    | 12. | THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL   |
| 55 | 13. | LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN<br>FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER<br>GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS  |
|    | 14. | WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN  |
| 1  | 15. | ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO<br>SPECIFICATION  |
| 3  | 16. | INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS  |
| 1  | 17. | TELEVISION  |
|    | 18. | INSTITUTIONS  |
| 21 | 19. | TAX MONEY, TAXES  |
| 43 | 20. | THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL<br>BUDGET  |
| 6  | 21. | GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE<br>PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS<br>FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE<br>FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED<br>TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS |
| 7  | 22. | GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE<br>GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT,<br>PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT   |
| 69 | 23. | CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED<br>FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION  |
| 53 | 24. | FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE<br>PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL<br>CONTRIBUTIONS  |
| 60 | 25. | PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES   |
| 20 | 26. | PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS   |
| 27 | 27. | PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED<br>BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS  |
| 2  | 28. | DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT  |
|    | 29. | OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES  |
|    | 90. | OTHER RESPONSE  |

(CONTINUED)

.....

- 363 95. NO SECOND MENTION
- 3 97. NA
- 431 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 814 00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0335 REF 0335 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- SOURCE SPD MNY- 3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 94  
 LOC 459 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 51-52

Q.48B(3). THIRD MENTION OF SOURCES OF SPD CAMPAIGN MONEY

.....

<SEE Q.48B FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 8 01. INDUSTRY
- 2 02. BUSINESS
- 03. THE TRADING SECTOR
- 2 04. BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
- 2 05. LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
- 6 06. BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
- 2 07. CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
- 08. ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY,  
BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
- 1 09. MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS  
ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
- 10. SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE  
TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
- 11. CATHOLIC CHURCH
- 12. THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
- 15 13. LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN  
FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER  
GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
- 14. WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
- 15. ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO  
SPECIFICATION
- 1 16. INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
- 17. TELEVISION
- 18. INSTITUTIONS
- 6 19. TAX MONEY, TAXES
- 12 20. THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL  
BUDGET
- 3 21. GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE  
PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS  
FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE  
FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED



(CONTINUED)

.....

- 11. CATHOLIC CHURCH
- 12. THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
- 5 13. LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
- 3 14. WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
- 15. ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
- 7 16. INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
- 17. TELEVISION
- 18. INSTITUTIONS
- 76 19. TAX MONEY, TAXES
- 59 20. THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
- 17 21. GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
- 13 22. GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
- 69 23. CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
- 71 24. FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
- 81 25. PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
- 36 26. PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
- 37 27. PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
- 3 28. DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
- 1 29. OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
- 3 90. OTHER RESPONSE
- 3 97. NA
- 557 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 814 00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0337 REF 0337 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- SOURCE FDP MNY- 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 463 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 55-56

Q.48C(2). SECOND MENTION OF SOURCES OF FDP CAMPAIGN MONEY  
 .....

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;SEE Q.48C FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 25 | 01. | INDUSTRY  |
| 17 | 02. | BUSINESS  |
| 6  | 03. | THE TRADING SECTOR  |
| 16 | 04. | BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS  |
| 2  | 05. | LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS   |
| 1  | 06. | BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE  |
| 2  | 07. | CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL  |
| 1  | 08. | ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY,<br>BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR   |
| 8  | 09. | MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS<br>ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS  |
|    | 10. | SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE<br>TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES   |
|    | 11. | CATHOLIC CHURCH   |
|    | 12. | THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL   |
| 2  | 13. | LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN<br>FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER<br>GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS  |
| 1  | 14. | WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN  |
|    | 15. | ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO<br>SPECIFICATION  |
| 2  | 16. | INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS  |
| 1  | 17. | TELEVISION  |
|    | 18. | INSTITUTIONS  |
| 18 | 19. | TAX MONEY, TAXES  |
| 31 | 20. | THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL<br>BUDGET  |
| 6  | 21. | GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE<br>PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS<br>FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE<br>FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED<br>TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS |
| 5  | 22. | GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE<br>GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT,<br>PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT   |
| 47 | 23. | CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED<br>FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION  |
| 53 | 24. | FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE<br>PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL<br>CONTRIBUTIONS  |
| 39 | 25. | PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES   |
| 12 | 26. | PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS   |
| 17 | 27. | PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED<br>BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS  |
| 1  | 28. | DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT  |
|    | 29. | OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES  |



(CONTINUED)

.....

- FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
- 22. GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
- 14 23. CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
- 13 24. FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
- 6 25. PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
- 4 26. PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
- 3 27. PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
- 4 28. DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
- 29. OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
- 90. OTHER RESPONSE
- 579 94. NO THIRD MENTION
- 3 97. NA
- 557 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 814 00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0339 REF 0339 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- APRV METHOD CMPN FIN MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 467 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 59

Q.49. IS THE WAY IN WHICH THE PARTIES FINANCED THEIR CAMPAIGNS BY AND LARGE ALRIGHT, OR DO YOU TAKE EXCEPTION TO IT?

.....

- 633 1. BY AND LARGE ALRIGHT
- 540 2. TAKE EXCEPTION TO IT
- 37 9. NA
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0340 REF 0340 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- PROB CMPN FIN - 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 468 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 60-61

Q.50. (IF R DOES NOT APPROVE OF THE METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING) WHAT DO YOU THINK OF IN THIS CONTEXT?

Q.50(A). FIRST MENTION OF PROBLEMS R SEES IN PARTY FINANCING

- .....
- 246 01. CRITICISM OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING; EXPENDITURES TOO HIGH, WASTE, SQUANDERING, SENSELESS EXPENDITURES, TOO MUCH, TOO EXPENSIVE, SPENT TOO MUCH, EXAGGERATED, HIGH EXPENDITURES ARE USELESS, ALL PARTIES SHOULD SPEND LESS, COULD MAKE IT LESS EXPENSIVE, LESS WOULD BE MORE
  - 76 02. MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN SPENT BETTER, MORE SENSIBLY, MORE USEFULLY, SHOULD HAVE BEEN SPENT FOR DAYCARE CENTERS, SCHOOLS, ROADS, OLD AGE HOMES, HOSPITALS, THE RETIRED
  - 32 03. PARTIES SHOULD PAY FOR THE CAMPAIGN THEMSELVES, PARTIES SHOULD PAY ALONE, ONLY FROM THEIR OWN RESOURCES
  - 17 04. CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: INDUSTRY, BUSINESS, BUSINESSMEN, CAPITALISTS
  - 3 05. CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: LABOR UNIONS, UNION MONEY, SHOULD NOT BE SPENT ONLY FOR ONE PARTY
  - 59 06. NO PUBLIC FUNDS FOR THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, TAX MONEY, FUNDS OF THE STATE, GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED
  - 27 07. FINANCING IS NON-TRANSPARENT, LAY OPEN THE SOURCES OF THE MONEY, BACKERS REMAIN IN THE DARK, POLITICAL DEALS
  - 8 08. NOT BALANCED ENOUGH; POORER PARTIES ARE DISADVANTAGED, EXPENDITURES TOO VARIED, ALL PARTIES SHOULD HAVE EQUAL FUNDS, EQUAL FINANCIAL AID
  - 1 09. MORE PUBLIC SUBSIDIES; ALL PARTIES SHOULD RECEIVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT, THE STATE, FROM TAX SOURCES; BETTER BALANCE THROUGH MORE AND/OR MORE EQUAL PUBLIC SUBSIDIES, CAMPAIGN COSTS SHOULD BE COVERED BY THE STATE, BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
  - 25 10. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: CDU/CSU
  - 11. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: SPD
  - 12. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: FDP
  - 4 13. BEGGING, APPEAL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE PARTIES



(CONTINUED)

.....

- SOURCES OF THE MONEY, BACKERS REMAIN IN THE DARK, POLITICAL DEALS
- 08. NOT BALANCED ENOUGH; POORER PARTIES ARE DISADVANTAGED, EXPENDITURES TOO VARIED, ALL PARTIES SHOULD HAVE EQUAL FUNDS, EQUAL FINANCIAL AID
- 1 09. MORE PUBLIC SUBSIDIES; ALL PARTIES SHOULD RECEIVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT, THE STATE, FROM TAX SOURCES; BETTER BALANCE THROUGH MORE AND/OR MORE EQUAL PUBLIC SUBSIDIES, CAMPAIGN COSTS SHOULD BE COVERED BY THE STATE, BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
- 7 10. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: CDU/CSU
- 11. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: SPD
- 12. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: FDP
- 1 13. BEGGING, APPEAL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE PARTIES
- 5 14. TOO MUCH ADVERTISING, TOO MANY ADS (IN NEWSPAPERS, ETC.)
- 15. CRITICISM OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, OF THE METHOD OF CAMPAIGNING OF ONE PARTY AND/OR IN GENERAL E.G., DESTROY OR COVER POSTERS OF THE OTHER PARTY
- 16. ADVERTISING, ADVERTISING BUSINESS, THE NEWSPAPERS AND OTHERS PROFIT FROM THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN
- 90. OTHER PROBLEM
- 458 95. NO SECOND MENTION
- 55 97. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 1448 00. INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.49; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0342 REF 0342 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- PROB CMPN FIN - 3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 94  
 LOC 472 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 64-65

Q.50 (C). THIRD MENTION OF PROBLEMS R SEES IN PARTY FINANCING

.....

<SEE Q.50 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 01. CRITICISM OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING; EXPENDITURES TOO HIGH, WASTE, SQUANDERING, SENSELESS EXPENDITURES,

(CONTINUED)

.....

- TOO MUCH, TOO EXPENSIVE, SPENT TOO MUCH, EXAGGERATED, HIGH EXPENDITURES ARE USELESS, ALL PARTIES SHOULD SPEND LESS, COULD MAKE IT LESS EXPENSIVE, LESS WOULD BE MORE
- 02. MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN SPENT BETTER, MORE SENSIBLY, MORE USEFULLY, SHOULD HAVE BEEN SPENT FOR DAYCARE CENTERS, SCHOOLS, ROADS, OLD AGE HOMES, HOSPITALS, THE RETIRED
- 03. PARTIES SHOULD PAY FOR THE CAMPAIGN THEMSELVES, PARTIES SHOULD PAY ALONE, ONLY FROM THEIR OWN RESOURCES
- 04. CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: INDUSTRY, BUSINESS, BUSINESSMEN, CAPITALISTS
- 05. CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: LABOR UNIONS, UNION MONEY, SHOULD NOT BE SPENT ONLY FOR ONE PARTY
- 06. NO PUBLIC FUNDS FOR THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, TAX MONEY, FUNDS OF THE STATE, GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED
- 1 07. FINANCING IS NON-TRANSPARENT, LAY OPEN THE SOURCES OF THE MONEY, BACKERS REMAIN IN THE DARK, POLITICAL DEALS
- 08. NOT BALANCED ENOUGH; POORER PARTIES ARE DISADVANTAGED, EXPENDITURES TOO VARIED, ALL PARTIES SHOULD HAVE EQUAL FUNDS, EQUAL FINANCIAL AID
- 09. MORE PUBLIC SUBSIDIES; ALL PARTIES SHOULD RECEIVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT, THE STATE, FROM TAX SOURCES; BETTER BALANCE THROUGH MORE AND/OR MORE EQUAL PUBLIC SUBSIDIES, CAMPAIGN COSTS SHOULD BE COVERED BY THE STATE, BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
- 10. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: CDU/CSU
- 11. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: SPD
- 12. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: FDP
- 13. BEGGING, APPEAL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE PARTIES
- 14. TOO MUCH ADVERTISING, TOO MANY ADS (IN NEWSPAPERS, ETC.)
- 15. CRITICISM OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, OF THE METHOD OF CAMPAIGNING OF ONE PARTY AND/OR IN GENERAL E.G., DESTROY OR COVER POSTERS OF THE OTHER PARTY
- 16. ADVERTISING, ADVERTISING BUSINESS, THE NEWSPAPERS AND OTHERS PROFIT FROM THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN
- 90. OTHER PROBLEM
- 521 94. NO THIRD MENTION
- 55 97. NA
- 98. DK

(CONTINUED)

.....

	99.	REFUSED
1448	00.	INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.49; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0343		REF 0343	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	CHNCLR/GVT IMP T DCSN	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 474	WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 66	

Q.51. WHAT WAS MORE IMPORTANT TO YOU IN MAKING YOUR VOTING DECISION, THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR OR THE GOVERNMENT TEAMS OF THE PARTIES?

.....

209	1.	THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR
486	2.	BOTH WERE EQUALLY IMPORTANT
459	3.	THE GOVERNMENT TEAMS
10	7.	NA
41	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0344		REF 0344	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	SPD VOTE W/O BRANDT	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 475	WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 67	

Q.52. DO YOU THINK THE SPD WOULD HAVE DONE AS WELL IN THIS ELECTION IF IT HAD HAD A CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OTHER THAN WILLY BRANDT?

.....

280	1.	YES
888	2.	NO
42	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0345 REF 0345 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- CDU VOTE W/O BARZEL MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 476 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 68

Q.53. DO YOU THINK THAT THE CDU/CSU WOULD HAVE DONE BETTER  
 IF IT HAD HAD A CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OTHER THAN  
 RAINER BARZEL?

.....  
 778 1. YES  
 398 2. NO  
  
 34 9. NA  
 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0346 REF 0346 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- DID CMPN INFL VOTE MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 477 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 69

Q.54. IF YOU THINK BACK ON THE WHOLE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, DO  
 YOU THINK THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN INFLUENCED YOUR OWN VOTING  
 DECISION STRONGLY, SOME OR NOT AT ALL?

.....  
 47 1. STRONGLY  
 192 2. SOME  
 970 3. NOT AT ALL  
  
 1 9. NA  
 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0347 REF 0347 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- HOW CMPN INFL VT-1 MN MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 478 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 70-71

Q.55. (IF R WAS INFLUENCED BY THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN) IN  
 WHAT WAYS WERE YOU INFLUENCED?

Q.55(A). FIRST MENTION OF WAYS IN WHICH R WAS INFLUENCED BY  
 THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN

.....  
 PERSONAL CONTACTS

(CONTINUED)

.....

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 3 | 01. | BY THE FAMILY, DISCUSSIONS AND TALKS WITHIN THE FAMILY                     |
| 3 | 02. | BY FRIENDS, DISCUSSIONS WITH FRIENDS                                       |
| 2 | 03. | BY NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES, DISCUSSIONS WITH NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES |
| 1 | 04. | BY COLLEAGUES, DISCUSSIONS WITH COLLEAGUES                                 |

## MASS MEDIA

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 1  | 10. | BY NEWSPAPER REPORTS, NEWSPAPER COMMENTARY                              |
| 6  | 11. | BY NEWSPAPER ADS  |
| 27 | 12. | BY THE TELEVISION DEBATES OF THE LEADING CANDIDATES <THE PARTY LEADERS> |
| 12 | 13. | BY THE TELEVISION SPOTS ("PARTEIEN ZUR WAHL")                           |
| 16 | 14. | BY OTHER TELEVISION PROGRAMS  |
| 7  | 15. | OTHER <BY POSTERS, RADIO ETC.>  |

## POLITICAL PROBLEMS

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 5  | 20. | PRICE STABILITY                                 |
| 15 | 21. | OTHER POLITICAL PROBLEM                         |
| 6  | 22. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST, TREATIES WITH THE EAST |
| 21 | 30. | BY CAMPAIGN PROPAGANDA, UNSPECIFIED             |

## STYLE OF THE CAMPAIGN

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 26 | 40. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, FAVORABLE   |
| 3  | 41. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, FAVORABLE          |
| 3  | 50. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, UNFAVORABLE |
| 20 | 51. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, UNFAVORABLE        |

## RESULTS OF INFLUENCE

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 22   | 60. | WAS STRENGTHENED IN MY ORIGINAL INTENTION            |
| 21   | 61. | FOUND THE WAY TO MY FINAL DECISION                   |
| 8    | 90. | OTHER FACTOR   |
| 9    | 97. | NA   |
| 2    | 98. | DK   |
|      | 99. | REFUSED  |
| 1786 | 00. | INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.54; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW |

VAR 0348

REF 0348

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- HOW CMPN INFL VT-2 MN MD=0 OR GE 95  
LOC 480 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 72-73

Q.55(B). SECOND MENTION OF WAYS IN WHICH R WAS INFLUENCED  
BY THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN

.....

<SEE Q.55 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

PERSONAL CONTACTS

- 01. BY THE FAMILY, DISCUSSIONS AND TALKS WITHIN THE FAMILY
- 02. BY FRIENDS, DISCUSSIONS WITH FRIENDS
- 1 03. BY NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES, DISCUSSIONS WITH NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES
- 1 04. BY COLLEAGUES, DISCUSSIONS WITH COLLEAGUES

MASS MEDIA

- 8 10. BY NEWSPAPER REPORTS, NEWSPAPER COMMENTARY
- 2 11. BY NEWSPAPER ADS
- 4 12. BY THE TELEVISION DEBATES OF THE LEADING CANDIDATES <THE PARTY LEADERS>
- 13. BY THE TELEVISION SPOTS ("PARTEIEN ZUR WAHL")
- 5 14. BY OTHER TELEVISION PROGRAMS
- 3 15. OTHER <BY POSTERS, RADIO ETC.>

POLITICAL PROBLEMS

- 1 20. PRICE STABILITY
- 21. OTHER POLITICAL PROBLEM
- 3 22. POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST, TREATIES WITH THE EAST
- 30. BY CAMPAIGN PROPAGANDA, UNSPECIFIED

STYLE OF THE CAMPAIGN

- 3 40. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, FAVORABLE
- 41. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, FAVORABLE
- 2 50. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, UNFAVORABLE
- 2 51. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, UNFAVORABLE

RESULTS OF INFLUENCE

- 1 60. WAS STRENGTHENED IN MY ORIGINAL INTENTION

(CONTINUED)

.....

- 61. FOUND THE WAY TO MY FINAL DECISION
- 90. OTHER FACTOR
- 191 95. NO SECOND MENTION
- 9 97. NA
- 2 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 1786 00. INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.54; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0349 REF 0349 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- HOW CMPN INFL VT-3 MN MD=0 OR GE 94  
 LOC 482 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 74-75

Q.55(C). THIRD MENTION OF WAYS IN WHICH R WAS INFLUENCED BY THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN.

.....

<SEE Q.55 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

PERSONAL CONTACTS

- 01. BY THE FAMILY, DISCUSSIONS AND TALKS WITHIN THE FAMILY
- 02. BY FRIENDS, DISCUSSIONS WITH FRIENDS
- 1 03. BY NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES, DISCUSSIONS WITH NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES
- 1 04. BY COLLEAGUES, DISCUSSIONS WITH COLLEAGUES

MASS MEDIA

- 1 10. BY NEWSPAPER REPORTS, NEWSPAPER COMMENTARY
- 11. BY NEWSPAPER ADS
- 12. BY THE TELEVISION DEBATES OF THE LEADING CANDIDATES <THE PARTY LEADERS>
- 13. BY THE TELEVISION SPOTS ("PARTEIEN ZUR WAHL")
- 14. BY OTHER TELEVISION PROGRAMS
- 3 15. OTHER <BY POSTERS, RADIO ETC.>

POLITICAL PROBLEMS

- 20. PRICE STABILITY
- 21. OTHER POLITICAL PROBLEM
- 1 22. POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST, TREATIES WITH THE EAST

(CONTINUED)

.....

30. BY CAMPAIGN PROPAGANDA, UNSPECIFIED

STYLE OF THE CAMPAIGN

- 40. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, FAVORABLE
- 41. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, FAVORABLE
- 50. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, UNFAVORABLE
- 51. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, UNFAVORABLE

RESULTS OF INFLUENCE

- 60. WAS STRENGTHENED IN MY ORIGINAL INTENTION
- 61. FOUND THE WAY TO MY FINAL DECISION
- 90. OTHER FACTOR
- 220 94. NO THIRD MENTION
- 9 97. NA
- 2 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 1786 00. INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.54; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0350 REF 0350 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- CDU/CSU- PRFRC RANK MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 484 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 76

Q.56. HERE ARE FIVE CARDS WITH THE NAMES OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC. WOULD YOU PLEASE RANK THESE CARDS ACCORDING TO HOW WELL YOU LIKE THE PARTIES? ON TOP SHOULD BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE BEST, AT THE BOTTOM THEN WILL BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE LEAST.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE WHITE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND TO RESPONDENT.>

Q.56(A). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO CDU/CSU  
 .....

- 405 1. FIRST RANK
- 90 2. SECOND RANK
- 599 3. THIRD RANK
- 55 4. FOURTH RANK
- 12 5. FIFTH RANK

(CONTINUED)

.....

49	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0351	REF 0351	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SPD- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 485 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 77	

Q.56(B) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO SPD

.....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

674	1.	FIRST RANK
262	2.	SECOND RANK
210	3.	THIRD RANK
14	4.	FOURTH RANK
1	5.	FIFTH RANK
49	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0352	REF 0352	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- FDP- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 486 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 78	

Q.56(C) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO FDP

.....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

80	1.	FIRST RANK
801	2.	SECOND RANK
270	3.	THIRD RANK
6	4.	FOURTH RANK
3	5.	FIFTH RANK
50	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0353 REF 0353 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- NPD- PREFERENCE RANK MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 487 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 79

Q.56 (D) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO NPD  
 .....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 3 1. FIRST RANK
- 4 2. SECOND RANK
- 32 3. THIRD RANK
- 672 4. FOURTH RANK
- 416 5. FIFTH RANK
  
- 83 9. NA
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0354 REF 0354 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- DKP- PREFERENCE RANK MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 488 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 80

Q.56 (E) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO DKP  
 .....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

- 2 1. FIRST RANK
- 5 2. SECOND RANK
- 45 3. THIRD RANK
- 381 4. FOURTH RANK
- 694 5. FIFTH RANK
  
- 83 9. NA
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0001 REF 0001 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 9 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)  
 .....

'09'

DK 9 COL 5- 6





(CONTINUED)

.....

12. DECEMBER

99. NA

00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0360		REF 0360	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	R'S YEAR OF BIRTH	MD=66	
LOC 496	WIDTH 2	DK 9 COL 18-19	

Q.3(C). THE YEAR OF R'S BIRTH

.....

<SEE Q.3 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

THE LAST TWO DIGITS OF THE ACTUAL YEAR OF R'S BIRTH ARE CODED HERE.

66. NA; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0361		REF 0361	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	R'S GENERATION	MD=0	
LOC 498	WIDTH 1	DK 9 COL 20	

Q.4. GENERATION OF R'S BIRTH

.....

THIS VARIABLE WAS AN INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT USED AS A FILTER FOR LATER QUESTIONS.

- 427 1. BORN AFTER 1935
- 433 2. BORN BETWEEN 1915 AND 1935
- 350 3. BORN BEFORE 1915
  
- 9. NA
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0362 REF 0362 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R'S PARENTS' PTY PRFR MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 499 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 21-22

Q.5 (IF R WAS BORN AFTER 1935 OR BEFORE 1915)  
 HOW WAS IT IN YOUR HOME OR THE FAMILY IN WHICH YOU GREW UP:  
 GENERALLY SPEAKING, DID THEY LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR POLI-  
 TICAL PARTY? IF SO WHICH ONE?  
 .....

- 178 01. SPD
- 134 02. CDU/CSU
- 8 03. FDP
- 1 04. NPD
- 2 05. DKP
- 34 06. "ZENTRUMSPARTEI"
- 11 07. NSDAP ("NAZIS")
- 2 08. MONARCHIST ("MONARCHISTEN")
- 3 09. BP ("BAYERNPARTEI")
- 13 10. OTHER PARTY
- 273 11. DID NOT LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY
  
- 11 97. NA
- 106 98. DO NOT REMEMBER
- 2 99. REFUSED
- 1248 00. INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.4; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0363 REF 0363 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- STR PRNTS' PTY PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 501 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 23

Q.6 (IF R PARENTS LEANED TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY)  
 HOW STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DID THEY LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY?  
 VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY  
 OR VERY WEAKLY?  
 .....

- 103 1. VERY STRONGLY
- 155 2. FAIRLY STRONGLY
- 116 3. MODERATELY
- 4 4. FAIRLY WEAKLY
- 5 5. VERY WEAKLY
  
- 5 8. DK
- 3 9. NA
- 1639 0. INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.4; CODED 11 OR 97-99



(CONTINUED)

.....

- (E.G., SALES CLERK, SECRETARY)
- 11 41. CIVIL SERVANT - HIGHEST LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES HOEHEREN DIENSTES)
- 23 42. CIVIL SERVANT - HIGH LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES GEHOBENEN DIENSTES)
- 49 43. CIVIL SERVANT - MEDIUM LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES MITTLEREN DIENSTES" UP TO "AMTMANN" AND "ASSESSOR")
- 41 44. CIVIL SERVANT - LOW LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES EINFACHEN DIENSTES" UP TO "OBERSEKRETAER")
- 317 51. SKILLED WORKER
- 183 61. SEMI-SKILLED OR UNSKILLED WORKER
- 8 71. FARMER, LARGE FARM
- 81 72. FARMER, MEDIUM SIZE FARM
- 61 73. FARMER, SMALL FARM
- 16 81. FARM WORKER
- 1 92. IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING
- 3 93. RETIRED, RECEIVED PENSION AND NO PRIOR OCCUPATION ASCERTAINED
  
- 12 99. NA
- 814 00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0366 REF 0366 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- IS R A PARTY MEMBER MD=0 OR GE 8  
 LOC 505 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 27

Q.9. ARE YOU A MEMBER OF A PARTY? IF SO, WHICH ONE?

.....

- 29 1. SPD
- 25 2. CDU/CSU
- 2 3. FDP
- 4. NPD
- 5. DKP
- 6. OTHER
- 1125 7. NOT A MEMBER OF ANY PARTY
  
- 29 8. NA
- 9. REFUSED
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0367 REF 0367 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- PARTY 2ND VOTE 1969 MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 506 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 28

Q.10. CAN YOU STILL REMEMBER WHICH PARTY YOU VOTED FOR WITH YOUR SECOND VOTE ("ZWEITSTIMME"), THAT IS YOUR PARTY VOTE, IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION THREE YEARS AGO IN SEPTEMBER 1969? WHICH PARTY WAS THAT? OR CAN'T YOU REMEMBER THAT EXACTLY?

- .....
- 523 1. SPD
  - 364 2. CDU/CSU
  - 63 3. FDP
  - 5 4. NPD
  - 5. ADF
  - 95 6. WAS NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE
  - 69 7. DID NOT VOTE
  - 77 8. DO NOT REMEMBER
  
  - 829 0. NA; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0368 REF 0368 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- 69 VT: BRANDT/KIESNGR MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 507 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 29

Q.11. (IF R DOES NOT REMEMBER WHICH PARTY HE VOTED FOR IN 1969) THREE YEARS AGO, THE CDU/CSU HAD NOMINATED KURT GEORG KIESINGER, THE SPD WILLY BRANDT AND THE FDP WALTER SCHEEL FOR CHANCELLOR, OR AS THE TOP CANDIDATE. CAN YOU POSSIBLY REMEMBER NOW WHICH OF THESE CANDIDATES YOU VOTED FOR THEN?

- .....
- 29 1. KIESINGER, CDU/CSU
  - 22 2. BRANDT, SPD
  - 4 3. SCHEEL, FDP
  - 1 4. NPD
  - 5. ADF
  
  - 36 9. NA
  - 1933 0. INAP., CODED 1-7 IN Q.10; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0369

REF 0369

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- R'S FIN SIT IN 1 YEAR MD=0 OR GE 8

LOC 508 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 30

Q.12. (AND NOW ONE LAST QUESTION:) WHAT DO YOU THINK YOUR OWN FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE A YEAR FROM NOW? DO YOU EXPECT THAT YOUR OWN FINANCIAL SITUATION THEN WILL BE SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, THE SAME, SOMEWHAT WORSE, OR SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE?

.....

- 28 1. SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER
- 275 2. SOMEWHAT BETTER
- 726 3. THE SAME
- 109 4. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 16 5. SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE
  
- 53 8. DK
- 4 9. NA
- 814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

## APPENDIX

## NOTE 1- DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES

THE FOLLOWING CODES CAN BE USED TO IDENTIFY THE LOCATION OF EACH INTERVIEW IN TERMS OF STATE ("BUNDESLAND") AND DISTRICT ("REGIERUNGSBEZIRK"). THE FIRST AND SECOND DIGITS OF THE COMMUNITY CODE IDENTIFY THE STATE OF THE INTERVIEW. THE THIRD DIGIT OF THE DISTRICT CODE IDENTIFIES THE DISTRICT WITHIN EACH STATE.

THE ASSIGNMENT OF DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES FOLLOWS THE STANDARD USED IN THE "AMTLICHES GEMEINDEVERZEICHNIS FUER DIE BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND, 1961".

DISTRICT ID CODE	DISTRICT NAME	DISTRICT ID CODE	DISTRICT NAME
01	S L E S W I G - H O L S T E I N		
01 0	SLESWIG HOLSTEIN		
02	H A M B U R G		
02 0	HAMBURG		
03	L O W E R S A X O N Y		
03 1	HANNOVER	03 5	OSNABRUECK
03 2	HILDESHEIM	03 6	AURICH
03 3	LUENEBURG	03 7	BRAUNSCHWEIG
03 4	STADE	03 8	OLDENBURG
04	B R E M E N		
04 0	BREMEN		
05	N O R T H R H I N E - W E S T P H A L I A		
05 1	DUESSELDORF (NON-RUHR)	05 6	MUENSTER (RUHR)
05 2	DUESSELDORF (RUHR)	05 7	DETMOLD
05 3	KOELN	05 8	ARNSBERG (NON-RUHR)
05 4	AACHEN	05 9	ARNSBERG (RUHR)
05 5	MUENSTER (NON-RUHR)		
06	H E S S E		
06 1	DARMSTADT	06 3	WIESBADEN
06 2	KASSEL		
07	R H I N E L A N D - P A L A T I N A T E		

07 1	KOBLENZ	07 4	RHEINHESSEN
07 2	TRIER	07 5	PFALZ
07 3	MONTABAUER		
08	B A D E N - W U E R T T E M B E R G		
08 1	NORDWUERTTEMBERG	08 3	SUEDBADEN
08 2	NORDBADEN	08 4	SUDWUERTTEMBERG-HOHEN.
09	B A V A R I A		
09 1	OBERBAYERN	09 5	MITTELFRAKEN
09 2	NIEDERBAYERN	09 6	UNTERFRAKEN
09 3	OBERPFALZ	09 7	SCHWABEN
09 4	OBERFRAKEN		
10	S A A R L A N D		
10 0	SAARLAND		