

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY  
(ZENTRALARCHIV NOS. 635,636,637 -- ICPR NO. 7102)

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

MANFRED BERGER, WOLFGANG GIBOWSKI,  
MAX KAASE, DIETER ROTH,  
UWE SCHLETH, AND RUDOLF WILDENMANN

LEHRSTUHL FUER POLITISCHE WISSENSCHAFT  
UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM

FIRST PRE-ELECTION STUDY:  
SEPT. 23, 1972 - OCT. 11, 1972

SECOND PRE-ELECTION STUDY:  
OCT. 20, 1972 - NOV. 6, 1972

POST-ELECTION STUDY:  
DEC. 9, 1972 - DEC. 30, 1972

ICPR EDITION

FIRST PRINTING, 1974

INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH

P.O. BOX 1248

ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48106

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH (OR ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIAL-FORSCHUNG). THE DATA FOR THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY MANFRED BERGER, WOLFGANG GIBOWSKI, MAX KAASE, DIETER ROTH, UWE SCHLETH, AND RUDOLF WILDENMANN, LEHRSTUHL FUER POLITISCHE WISSENSCHAFT, UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM. THE DATA WERE MADE AVAILABLE FOR ANALYSIS AS A JOINT PROJECT OF THE CONSORTIUM, THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, AND THE ZENTRUM FUER UMFRAGEN, METHODEN UND ANALYSEN, MANNHEIM. NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATION PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT OR THESIS ABSTRACT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

## SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
INTRODUCTION	
STUDY DESCRIPTION	I
PUBLICATIONS	II
SAMPLING INFORMATION	III
WEIGHTING INFORMATION	V
FREQUENCIES	V
VARIABLE CROSS REFERENCE LIST	VI
CODEBOOK INFORMATION	VIII
DATA PREPARATION AND FORMATTING	X
CONTENTS SECTION (LIST OF VARIABLES)	XII
CODEBOOK AND NOTES	1
FREQUENCY ADDENDUM	
QUESTIONNAIRE	
GLOSSARY OF GERMAN TERMS	

## STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WAS CONDUCTED BY MANFRED BERGER, WOLFGANG GIBOWSKI, MAX KAASE, DIETER ROTH, UWE SCHLETH, AND RUDOLF WILDENMANN, LEHRSTUHL FUER POLITISCHE WISSENSCHAFT, UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM. THE FIELDWORK WAS CARRIED OUT BY INFRATEST, MUNICH (INFRATEST NOS. 8567I, 8567II, 8640). THE STUDY WAS FINANCED BY THE SECOND GERMAN TELEVISION NETWORK (ZDF).

INTERVIEWING FOR THE STUDY WAS CONDUCTED IN THREE WAVES, TWO PRECEDING THE ELECTION AND ONE IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING. FOR THE FIRST PRE-ELECTION WAVE, INTERVIEWING BEGAN IN LATE SEPTEMBER, 1972 AND EXTENDED INTO EARLY OCTOBER. AN OVERALL RESPONSE RATE OF 75% YIELDED 2052 RESPONDENTS FOR THE FIRST WAVE. INTERVIEWING FOR THE SECOND PRE-ELECTION WAVE BEGAN IN LATE OCTOBER AND WAS COMPLETED SEVERAL DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION. THE SECOND WAVE PRODUCED 1603 COMPLETED INTERVIEWS FOR A REINTERVIEW RATE OF 81% OF THE FIRST WAVE RESPONDENTS. THE ELECTION TOOK PLACE ON NOVEMBER 19, 1972. THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWING BEGAN IN MID-DECEMBER AND CONTINUED UNTIL THE END OF THE MONTH. A TOTAL OF 1222 RESPONDENTS WERE REINTERVIEWED IN THIS FINAL WAVE, OR APPROXIMATELY 60% OF THE ORIGINAL SAMPLE.

BOTH PRE-ELECTION WAVES USED NEARLY IDENTICAL QUESTIONNAIRES. HENCE, THERE IS CONSIDERABLE CONTINUITY IN THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THESE TWO WAVES, AS WELL AS WITH THE THIRD, POST-ELECTION WAVE. BOTH PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWS BEGAN BY ASKING THE RESPONDENT TO ASSESS THE PRESENT AND FUTURE ECONOMIC SITUATION OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC, AS WELL AS THE ECONOMIC SITUATION OF R'S OWN FAMILY. CONSIDERABLE ATTENTION WAS THEN FOCUSED ON PARTISAN EVALUATIONS. THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED HIS/HER PARTY IDENTIFICATION, TO SEPARATELY EVALUATE EACH PARTY ON A FEELING SCALOMETER, AND TO RANK THE PARTIES IN A PREFERENCE ORDERING. ANOTHER PORTION OF THE TWO INTERVIEWS DEALT WITH THE RESPONDENT'S INTEREST IN A BROAD RANGE OF POLITICAL ISSUES DEALING WITH SUCH TOPICS AS RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPEAN NATIONS ("OSTPOLITIK"), PRICE STABILITY, BETTER HOUSING, TAX REFORM, OLD AGE SECURITY, AND OTHER FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC POLICY TOPICS. THEN, FOR EACH ISSUE WHICH WAS SALIENT TO THE RESPONDENT, THE INTERVIEWER ASKED WHICH PARTY COULD BEST DEAL WITH THE ISSUE. BOTH PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWS INQUIRED INTO THE VISIBILITY OF POLITICAL FIGURES IN BONN. ADDITIONAL INQUIRIES SOUGHT EVALUATIONS OF THE TWO CHANCELLERY CANDIDATES, WILLY BRANDT AND RANIER BARZEL, AND ALSO THE BASIS OF THESE EVALUATIONS. THE

FIRST TWO WAVES ALSO INVESTIGATED THE RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL INTEREST, VOTING BEHAVIOR IN THE 1969 ELECTION, ANTICIPATED BEHAVIOR IN THE 1972 ELECTION, AND EXPECTATIONS ABOUT THE ELECTION OUTCOME.

THE SECOND PRE-ELECTION SURVEY ALONE CARRIED A SERIES OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO MEASURE THE RESPONDENT'S SENSE OF POLITICAL EFFICACY.

FOLLOWING THE ELECTION, THE THIRD WAVE OF THE PANEL DEALT WITH THE RESPONDENT'S ACTUAL VOTING BEHAVIOR IN THE 1972 ELECTION. FURTHER QUESTIONS TAPPED THE REASONS THE RESPONDENT SAW FOR THE ELECTORAL OUTCOME FOR EACH PARTY, AND THE REASONS FOR THE RESPONDENT'S OWN VOTING DECISION. THE POST-ELECTION STUDY ALSO SOUGHT TO MEASURE THE NATURE AND THE EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY THAT THE RESPONDENT WAS INVOLVED IN DURING THE CAMPAIGN. ANOTHER SERIES OF QUESTIONS INQUIRED ABOUT THE PERCEIVED SOURCES OF CAMPAIGN FUNDING FOR EACH PARTY, AND PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT SAW IN THE METHODS OF FINANCING. AS IN THE FIRST TWO WAVES, THE RESPONDENT WAS ASKED HIS/HER PARTY IDENTIFICATION, TO SEPARATELY EVALUATE EACH PARTY ON A FEELING SCALOMETER, AND TO RANK THE PARTIES IN A PREFERENCE ORDERING. A SERIES OF QUESTIONS TAPPING ISSUE SALIENCY WERE ALSO CONTINUED FROM THE PRE-ELECTION WAVES.

THE PERSONAL DATA SECTIONS OF THE THREE INTERVIEWS COMPILED INFORMATION ON THE EDUCATION, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS AND RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE OF THE RESPONDENT. THE OCCUPATIONS OF THE RESPONDENT, R'S FATHER, AND THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD WERE ASCERTAINED. THESE SECTIONS ALSO DETERMINED THE FAMILY INCOME, AND NUMBER OF FAMILY MEMBERS CONTRIBUTING TO THAT INCOME. ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS INQUIRED ABOUT THE FAMILY COMPOSITION, AND WHETHER ANY FAMILY MEMBERS WERE REFUGEES, EXPELLEES, OR MEMBERS OF A LABOR UNION.

#### PUBLICATIONS

BERGER, MANFRED, "PARTEIENIDENTIFIKATION IN DER BUNDESREPUBLIK," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 215-226.

GIBOWSKI, WOLFGANG, "DER EFFEKT UNTERSCHIEDLICHER PLAZIERUNG DER WAHLABSICHTEN IM FRAGEBOGEN," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 275-294.

KAASE, MAX, "DIE BUNDESTAGSWAHL 1972: PROBLEME UND ANALYSEN," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 145-190.

ROTH, DIETER, "OEKONOMISCHE VARIABLEN UND WAHLVERHALTEN," POLITISCHE VIERTELJAHRESSCHRIFT, 14. JAHRGANG, JUNI 1973, HEFT 2, S. 257-274.

#### SAMPLING INFORMATION

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WAS DRAWN FROM THE UNIVERSE OF VOTING AGE CITIZENS, OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE, OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, EXCLUDING WEST BERLIN, WHO LIVE IN PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS. THIS EXCLUDES PERSONS LIVING IN GROUP QUARTERS, INSTITUTIONAL POPULATIONS, AND PERSONS WITH NO PLACE OF RESIDENCE.

THE STUDY UTILIZED A STRATIFIED, MULTISTAGE, RANDOM SAMPLING PROCEDURE WITH THREE STAGES OF SAMPLING. FIRST, THE SAMPLING POINTS WERE SELECTED, THEN HOUSEHOLDS WERE SELECTED WITHIN EACH SAMPLING POINT, FINALLY INDIVIDUALS WERE SELECTED WITHIN EACH HOUSEHOLD.

IN THE FIRST STAGE, THE APPROXIMATELY 24,000 COMMUNITIES ("GEMEINDE") IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC WERE STRATIFIED INTO 199 STRATA ON THE BASIS OF REGION AND POPULATION SIZE. THE REGIONAL STRATIFICATION GROUPED COMMUNITIES OF THE SAME STATE ("BUNDESLAND") AND SAME DISTRICT ("REGIERUNGSBEZIRK") INTO A SINGLE STRATUM. THE SECOND STRATIFICATION VARIABLE FURTHER GROUPED COMMUNITIES ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING EIGHT POPULATION RANGES:

1	-	1,999	INHABITANTS
2,000	-	4,999	
5,000	-	19,999	
20,000	-	49,999	
50,000	-	99,999	
100,000	-	299,999	
300,000	-	499,999	
500,000	-	AND MORE	

THUS, A SINGLE STRATUM WOULD INCLUDE ALL COMMUNITIES OF THE SAME POPULATION RANGE, IN ONE DISTRICT, OF ONE STATE.

IN THE NEXT STEP, 520 SAMPLING POINTS WERE SELECTED BY A RANDOM PROCEDURE FROM THE 199 STRATA. THE NUMBER OF SAMPLING POINTS DRAWN FROM EACH STRATUM WAS DETERMINED SO AS TO BE PROPORTIONAL TO THE POPULATION SIZE OF THE STRATUM. THE SAMPLING POINTS THEMSELVES WERE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS ("WAHLBEZIRK").

IN THE SECOND SAMPLING STAGE ALL STREETS WITHIN A ELECTORAL DISTRICT SELECTED AS A SAMPLING POINT WERE LISTED FROM THE ELECTORAL REGISTER. USING THIS LIST, THE INTERVIEWER BEGAN WITH THE FIRST STREET ON THE LIST AND AFTER COMPLETELY LISTING ALL HOUSEHOLDS ON THAT STREET, CONTINUED LISTING ON THE SECOND STREET, ETCETERA, UNTIL 200 HOUSEHOLDS WERE ENUMERATED. FROM THE ADDRESSES THAT WERE COLLECTED, A RANDOM SAMPLE OF 5-6 ADDRESSES WAS SELECTED FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT. THIS YIELDED A STARTING LIST OF 3030 HOUSEHOLDS FOR THE STUDY.

FOR THE THIRD STAGE, ALL ELIGIBLE MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED HOUSEHOLDS WERE LISTED IN A FIXED ORDER BY AGE. A RESPONDENT WAS THEN SELECTED FROM EACH HOUSEHOLD LIST USING A RANDOM NUMBER TABLE, WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF SUBSTITUTION.

OF THE 2872 STARTING ADDRESSES WITH ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS, A TOTAL OF 2052 WAVE ONE INTERVIEWS WERE COMPLETED, YIELDING A RESPONSE RATE OF 75%. THE SECOND WAVE PRODUCED 1603 SUCCESSFUL INTERVIEWS FOR A REINTERVIEW RATE OF 81%. IN THE THIRD, POST-ELECTION, WAVE 1222 RESPONDENTS WERE INTERVIEWED RESULTING IN AN OVERALL PANEL ATTRITION OF APPROXIMATELY 40%.

THE SAMPLING, FIELDWORK AND CODING FOR THE STUDY WAS CONDUCTED BY INFRATEST, MUNICH.

# WEIGHTING INFORMATION

THIS STUDY CONTAINS A WEIGHT VARIABLE (V3) WHICH PRODUCES A REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE OF THE VOTING AGE CITIZENS OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC WHEN USED IN ANALYSIS. THE WEIGHT VARIABLE CORRECTS FOR SEX AND AGE BIASES OF THE SAMPLE, AND WAS CONSTRUCTED BY COMPARING THE AGE BY SEX DISTRIBUTION OF THE FIRST WAVE RESPONDENTS WITH THE COMPARABLE CENSUS DISTRIBUTION. THIS ONE WEIGHT VARIABLE SHOULD BE USED WHEN ANALYZING ANY OF THE THREE PANEL WAVES. THESE WEIGHTS REPLACE THE ORIGINAL INFRATEST WEIGHTS WHICH WERE CONSTRUCTED ON THE BASIS OF SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT CRITERIA.

FOR THE FIRST WAVE OF THE PANEL, THE UNWEIGHTED N = 2052, THE WEIGHTED N = 2024.5. THE UNWEIGHTED N OF THE SECOND WAVE = 1603, THE WEIGHTED N = 1583.5. THE THIRD WAVE CONTAINS 1222 UNWEIGHTED RESPONDENTS, 1210.1 WHEN USING THE WEIGHT VARIABLE. ALL FIRST WAVE RESPONDENTS WERE GIVEN WEIGHT VALUES TO ONE IMPLIED DECIMAL PLACE ON THE BASIS OF THE FOLLOWING TABLE:

AGE	MALE	FEMALE
18-24	1.7	1.4
25-29	1.2	.9
30-34	1.0	1.0
35-39	1.2	.9
40-44	1.0	1.0
45-49	.9	.8
50-59	.9	.9
60-69	.9	.8
70-	1.0	1.0

# FREQUENCIES

THE FREQUENCIES IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK REFLECT THE USE OF THE DECIMAL WEIGHTS (V3) AND HAVE BEEN ROUNDED UP TO THE NEXT INTEGER BEFORE INSERTION INTO THE CODEBOOK. CONSEQUENTLY, THE FREQUENCIES SUBJECTED TO ROUNDING DO NOT ALWAYS ADD UP TO THE EXPECTED N.

CROSS REFERENCE TABLE OF OSIRIS VARIABLE NUMBERS  
REPRESENTING COMPARABLE QUESTIONS ASKED IN  
DIFFERENT WAVES OF THE PANEL

THE FOLLOWING CROSS REFERENCE LIST MAY BE USED TO TRACE  
VARIABLES WHICH APPEAR IN MORE THAN A SINGLE WAVE OF THE  
PANEL. THE PERSONAL DATA VARIABLES AND QUESTIONS ASKED  
AT ONLY ONE TIME POINT ARE EXCLUDED FROM THIS LIST

WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.	!	WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.
12	134	258	!	50	165	290
13	135	259	!	51	166	291
14	136	260	!	53	167	265
15	137	261	!	54	168	266
16	138	-	!	-	169	267
17	139	-	!	55	170	-
18	140	350	!	56	171	-
19	141	351	!	57	172	367
20	142	352	!	58	173	-
21	143	353	!	59	174	-
22	144	354	!	60	175	-
23	145	355	!	61	176	-
24	146	-	!	62	177	-
25	147	-	!	63	178	-
26	148	-	!	64	179	-
35	150	283	!	65	180	-
36	151	-	!	66	181	-
37	152	-	!	67	182	-
38	153	-	!	68	183	-
39	154	-	!	69	184	292
40	155	-	!	70	185	293
41	156	-	!	71	186	-
42	157	-	!	72	188	-
43	158	-	!	73	189	297
44	159	-	!	74	190	298
45	160	-	!	75	191	-
46	161	286	!	76	192	303
47	162	287	!	77	193	304
48	163	288	!	78	194	302
49	164	289	!	79	195	-

CROSS REFERENCE TABLE OF OSIRIS VARIABLE NUMBERS

WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.	!	WAVE 1 VAR. NO.	WAVE 2 VAR. NO.	WAVE 3 VAR. NO.
80	196	300	!	97	213	-
81	197	-	!	98	214	-
82	198	-	!	99	215	-
83	199	299	!	100	216	-
84	200	-	!	101	217	-
85	201	-	!	102	218	-
86	202	-	!	103	219	-
87	203	301	!	104	220	-
88	204	-	!	105	221	-
89	205	-	!	106	222	-
90	206	-	!	107	223	305
91	207	-	!	108	224	306
92	208	-	!	109	225	307
93	209	-	!	-	226	309
94	210	-	!	-	228	329
95	211	-	!	-	229	343
96	212	-	!	113	233	-
			!	114	241	-

CODEBOOK INFORMATION

THE EXAMPLE BELOW IS A REPRODUCTION (NOT ACTUAL SIZE) OF INFORMATION APPEARING IN THE MACHINE-READABLE CODEBOOK FOR A TYPICAL VARIABLE. THE NUMBERS IN CIRCLES DO NOT APPEAR IN THE CODEBOOK, BUT ARE REFERENCES TO THE DESCRIPTIONS WHICH FOLLOW THIS EXAMPLE.

.....  
(1) VAR 0026                      (1) REF 0026                      (2) DATA SET ID='7102'

(9) Q.9. AND WHEN YOU NOW THINK ABOUT THE POLICY  
TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK") OF THE BRANDT/  
SCHEEL GOVERNMENT, DO YOU AGREE WITH THIS  
POLICY OR DO YOU REJECT IT?

.....

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(12)      (13)                      (14)

1187	1.	AGREEMENT
373	2.	PART AGREEMENT/PART REJECTION
293	3.	REJECTION
3	7.	NA
158	8.	DK
11	9.	REFUSED

1. INDICATES THE VARIABLE AND REFERENCE NUMBERS. A VARIABLE AND A REFERENCE NUMBER ARE ASSIGNED TO EACH ITEM IN THE STUDY. IN THE PRESENT CODEBOOK, WHICH DOCUMENTS THE ARCHIVED DATASET, THESE NUMBERS ARE IDENTICAL. SHOULD THE DATA BE SUBSETTED OR REARRANGED BY AN OSIRIS PROGRAM (E.G., MMP TO INTERSPERSE DATA FROM ANOTHER SOURCE, OR TCOT TO PRODUCE AN ANALYSIS DECK), THE VARIABLE NUMBERS WOULD CHANGE TO REFLECT THE ORDER OF THE NEW DATASET, WHILE THE REFERENCE NUMBERS WOULD REMAIN UNCHANGED TO REFLECT THE VARIABLE NUMBER IN THE CODEBOOK DESCRIBING THE ARCHIVED DATASET.
2. INDICATES THE FOUR COLUMN ICPR STUDY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER UNIQUE TO THIS DATASET.

3. INDICATES THE ABBREVIATED (24 CHARACTERS MAXIMUM) VARIABLE NAME USED WITHIN THE OSIRIS SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY THE VARIABLE FOR THE USER. AN EXPANDED VERSION OF THESE NAMES CAN BE FOUND IN THE CONTENTS SECTION.
4. INDICATES THE DESIGNATION OF MISSING DATA. IN THIS EXAMPLE CODE VALUES GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO SEVEN ARE MISSING DATA (MD=GE 7). ALTERNATIVE STATEMENTS FOR OTHER VARIABLES ARE OF THE FORM "MD=9", "MD=0 OR GE 8", OR "NO MISSING DATA CODES". SOME ANALYSIS SOFTWARE PACKAGES (OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE), REQUIRE THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF DATA WHICH THE USER DESIRES TO EXCLUDE FROM ANALYSIS BE DESIGNATED AS "MISSING DATA", E.G. INAPPROPRIATE, NOT ASCERTAINED, OR AMBIGUOUS DATA CATEGORIES. ALTHOUGH THESE CATEGORIES ARE DEFINED AS MISSING DATA CATEGORIES, THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT A USER SHOULD NOT OR CANNOT USE THESE CODES IN A SUBSTANTIVE ROLE IF SO DESIRED.
5. A MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLE IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "MULT RESPS X", WHERE X IS THE NUMBER OF RESPONSES.
6. INDICATES THE STARTING LOCATION AND WIDTH OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE STORED ON A MAGNETIC TAPE IN THE OSIRIS FORMAT. THESE LOCATIONS MAY ALSO BE USED TO ACCESS THE DATA WITH SOFTWARE PACKAGES WHICH DO NOT USE THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY.
7. INDICATES THE LOCATION BY DECK AND COLUMN(S) OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE ON CARDS OR IN CARD IMAGE FORM (EITHER 80 OR 84 COLUMN FORMAT).
8. A VARIABLE CONTAINING DATA WITH IMPLIED DECIMALS IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE "XX DEC PLACE(S)", WHERE XX IS THE NUMBER OF DECIMAL PLACES IMPLIED (NOT PUNCHED) IN THE VARIABLE.
9. INDICATES THE FULL (QUESTION) TEXT SUPPLIED BY THE INVESTIGATOR TO DESCRIBE THE VARIABLE. PHRASES ENCLOSED IN "(" AND ")" USUALLY DENOTE GERMAN LANGUAGE TERMS WHICH HAVE A FURTHER DESCRIPTION IN THE GLOSSARY INCLUDED IN THIS CODEBOOK.

10. INDICATES AN ADDITIONAL COMMENT OR EXPLANATION APPENDED TO THE VARIABLE DESCRIPTION OR CODER INSTRUCTIONS.
11. A VARIABLE WHICH HAS A FOOTNOTE ASSOCIATED WITH IT IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "SEE NOTE(S) X", WHERE X IS THE NUMBER OF THE FOOTNOTE REFERENCED.
12. INDICATES THE FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF EACH CODE VALUE. FREQUENCIES FOR MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLES ARE CUMULATIVE.
13. INDICATES THE CODE VALUES OCCURRING IN THE DATA FOR THIS VARIABLE.
14. INDICATES THE TEXTUAL DEFINITIONS OF THE CODES.

#### DATA PREPARATION AND FORMATTING

THE 1972 GERMAN ELECTION PANEL STUDY WAS MADE AVAILABLE FOR ANALYSIS AS A JOINT PROJECT OF THE ICPR, THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, AND THE ZENTRUM FUER UMFRAGEN, METHODEN UND ANALYSEN, MANNHEIM. WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OF THE CONFERENCE GROUP ON GERMAN POLITICS IN SUPPORT OF THE TRANSLATION AND PREPARATION OF THE DOCUMENTATION. THE DATA PROCESSING AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF OSIRIS DATASETS AND DOCUMENTATION WAS DONE AT THE ZENTRALARCHIV. A GERMAN LANGUAGE Z.A.R. CODEBOOK CONTAINING A STUDY DESCRIPTION, TECHNICAL INFORMATION, AND VARIABLE DOCUMENTATION PARALLELLING THE OSIRIS CARD IMAGE DATASET IS AVAILABLE FROM THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, D-5000 COLOGNE 41, BACHEMER STRASSE 40, WEST GERMANY.

THE DATA RECEIVED BY THE ARCHIVE WERE FIRST SORTED AND CHECKED FOR MISSING OR DUPLICATE CARDS. IF FOUND, DUPLICATE CARDS WERE VERIFIED THEN THE DUPLICATES REMOVED, MISSING CARDS WERE REPLACED BY MISSING DATA VALUES. THE DATA WERE THEN CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL (WILD) CODES AND INCONSISTENCIES WHICH, WHENEVER FOUND WERE ASSIGNED TO MISSING DATA VALUES. THE CODEBOOK WAS REORDERED TO PRESERVE THE ORDER OF THE ORIGINAL QUESTIONNAIRE. INDICES WERE INCLUDED AS CREATED BY THE ORIGINAL INVESTIGATORS. THROUGHOUT THE TEXT ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS WERE

INSERTED BY THE STUDY PROCESSOR IN THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE CODEBOOK IN ORDER TO FACILITATE NON-SEQUENTIAL REFERENCING AND TO PROVIDE FURTHER EXPLANATORY INFORMATION.

THE DATA ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE CONSORTIUM AND THE ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG IN TWO FORMATS: A CARD IMAGE FILE, OR AN OSIRIS DATASET. THE CARD IMAGE FILE CONTAINS 9 DECKS PER RESPONDENT IN A FORMAT BASED ON 80 COLUMN PUNCHED CARDS. THE DECKS FOR EACH RESPONDENT ARE SORTED IN ASCENDING ORDER. THERE ARE TWO COMPONENTS TO THE OSIRIS DATASET. THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY GIVES THE FORMAT AND OTHER INFORMATION FOR EACH VARIABLE IN THE OSIRIS DATA FILE. THE DICTIONARY OR DICTIONARY-CODEBOOK IS USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE. THE OSIRIS DATA FILE IS CONSTRUCTED WITH A SINGLE LOGICAL RECORD PER RESPONDENT. THE OSIRIS DATA FILE CAN BE ACCESSED DIRECTLY BY SOFTWARE PACKAGES WHICH DO NOT USE THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY BY SPECIFYING THE TAPE LOCATIONS OF THE DESIRED VARIABLES. THESE TAPE LOCATIONS ARE CONTAINED IN THE OSIRIS CODEBOOK.

THE QUESTIONNAIRE WAS TRANSLATED FROM GERMAN BY KAI HILDEBRANDT, ICPR. THE TRANSLATION ATTEMPTS TO RETAIN AS MUCH OF THE LITERAL PHRASING AS POSSIBLE, EXCEPT IN INSTANCES WHERE THE MEANING WOULD BE UNCLEAR IF PHRASING WERE NOT CHANGED. IN A FEW INSTANCES TERMS IN THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE ENCLOSED IN "(" AND ")" WERE INCLUDED AS AN INDICATOR OF A CLEARER SENSE OF THE TEXT. THE MORE ESSENTIAL OF THESE GERMAN TERMS ARE DESCRIBED IN THE GLOSSARY CONTAINED IN THIS CODEBOOK.

DATA PROCESSING AND CLEANING OF THIS EDITION OF THE STUDY WAS DONE BY ERWIN ROSE, ZENTRALARCHIV. RUSSELL DALTON, ICPR, WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF OSIRIS DATASETS AND OSIRIS DOCUMENTATION. MARIA WIEKEN-MAYSER, ZENTRALARCHIV, COORDINATED THE ZENTRALARCHIV WORKING GROUP.

CONTENTS

VAR. NO.	PAGE NO.
-------------	-------------

1	ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102	1
2	INTERVIEW NUMBER	
3	WEIGHT	
4	PANEL WAVE FILTER	

SAMPLING AND INTERVIEW INFORMATION

5	FEDERAL STATE IN WHICH INTERVIEW WAS CONDUCTED	2
6	DISTRICT IN WHICH INTERVIEW WAS CONDUCTED	
7	SIZE OF TOWN	
8	DATE OF SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW	3
9	INTERVIEWER NUMBER -- WAVE 1	
10	INTERVIEWER NUMBER -- WAVE 2	
11	INTERVIEWER NUMBER -- WAVE 3	4

```
*****  
*                                     *  
*           WAVE 1                   *  
*                                     *  
*   PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW          *  
*                                     *  
*****
```

ECONOMIC SITUATION: PRESENT AND FUTURE

12	WHAT IS THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR	
13	WILL THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR BE BETTER IN A YEAR	
14	WHAT IS R'S PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION	5

POLITICAL INTEREST

15	IS R INTERESTED IN POLITICS	
16	MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINION - 1ST MENTION	
17	MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINION - 2ND MENTION	6

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING		
18	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE CDU/CSU	
19	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE SPD	7
20	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE FDP	
21	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE NPD	8
22	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE DKP	
23	R'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING	9
SCALOMETER - GOVERNMENT AND OPPOSITION		
24	R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT	
25	R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE CDU/CSU OPPOSITION	10
POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK")		
26	DOES R AGREE WITH THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST	
27	DOES R'S OPINION ON THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST HOLD FOR ALL TREATIES AND AGREEMENTS	11
28	DOES R AGREE WITH THE WARSAW TREATY	
29	INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE WARSAW TREATY	12
30	DOES R AGREE WITH THE MOSCOW TREATY	
31	INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE MOSCOW TREATY	
32	DOES R AGREE WITH THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR	13
33	INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR	
34	SUMMARY INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST	14
35	IS R SATISFIED WITH THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE GFR	
POLITICIANS KNOWN TO R		
36	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 1ST MENTION	
37	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 2ND MENTION	16
38	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 3RD MENTION	
39	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 4TH MENTION	
40	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 5TH MENTION	17
41	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 6TH MENTION	
42	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 7TH 7ENTION	
43	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 8TH MENTION	
44	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 9TH MENTION	
45	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 10TH MENTION	18

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
SCALOMETER - CHANCELLOR CANDIDATES		
46	WHAT DOES R THINK OF WILLY BRANDT	
47	WHAT DOES R THINK OF RAINER BARZEL	19
SCALOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES		
48	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE SPD	20
49	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CDU	
50	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CSU	21
51	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE FDP	
1972 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
52	QUESTIONNAIRE FORM -- WAVE 1	22
53	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
54	WHICH PARTY WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	23
1969 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
55	DID R VOTE IN THE 1969 ELECTION	24
56	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
57	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	25
1972 ELECTION: ELECTION OUTCOME		
58	WHICH PARTIES SHOULD FORM THE GOVERNMENT	
59	WHICH PARTY SHOULD BE CHOSEN AS A COALITION PARTNER IF A COALITION IS NECESSARY	26
60	INDEX OF PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION	
61	WHOM WOULD R LIKE BEST AS CHANCELLOR	27
62	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	
63	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	28
64	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	29
65	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	31
66	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	32
67	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	33
68	HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD STRAUSS HAVE IN A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT	34
69	WHO IS BEST QUALIFIED AS MINISTER OF ECONOMICS	

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
-------------	--	-------------

## FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE ELECTION

70	WILL THE ELECTION HAVE AN IMPACT ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	36
71	WHICH PARTY GOVERNMENT WOULD IMPROVE R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	

## PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- IMPORTANCE TO R

72	IMPORTANCE OF IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	37
73	IMPORTANCE OF STABLE PRICES	
74	IMPORTANCE OF LAW AND ORDER	38
75	IMPORTANCE OF FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	
76	IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	39
77	IMPORTANCE OF EXTENDING EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES	
78	IMPORTANCE OF JUST TAXES	40
79	IMPORTANCE OF AGRICULTURAL REPRESENTATION	
80	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	41
81	IMPORTANCE OF FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST	
82	IMPORTANCE OF BETTER HOUSING	42
83	IMPORTANCE OF OLD AGE SECURITY	
84	IMPORTANCE OF EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	43
85	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	
86	IMPORTANCE OF MILITARY SECURITY	44
87	IMPORTANCE OF PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS	

## MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE GFR

88	FIRST MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	45
89	SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	
90	THIRD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	46

## CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE PROBLEM		
91	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	47
92	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH STABLE PRICES	48
93	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH LAW AND ORDER	
94	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	49
95	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
96	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM	50
97	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH JUSTLY DISTRIBUTING TAX BURDENS	
98	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS	51
99	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROVISION OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	
100	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH NOT NEGLECTING FRIENDLY WESTERN RELATIONS	52
101	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH BETTER HOUSING	53
102	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH OLD AGE SECURITY	
103	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	54
104	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	
105	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MILITARY SECURITY	55
106	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROTECTION AGAINST TERRORIST ATTACKS	
R'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION		
107	DOES R LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY	56
108	STRENGTH OF R'S PARTISANSHIP	
109	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	57

## CONTENTS

VAR. NO.	PAGE NO.
-------------	-------------

### PARTY PREFERENCE OF PERSONAL CONTACTS

110	FOR WHICH PARTY IS R'S FAMILY	
111	FOR WHICH PARTY ARE R'S FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES	58
112	FOR WHICH PARTY ARE R'S CO-WORKERS	
113	WHICH PARTY WILL WIN THE ELECTION	59
114	HOW INTERESTED IS R IN THE LABOR UNIONS	

### PERSONAL DATA

115	R'S SEX	60
116	R'S MARITAL STATUS	
117	R'S AGE	
118	R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS	
119	R'S OCCUPATION	61
120	R'S EDUCATION	62
121	IS R THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD	63
122	EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	
123	OCCUPATION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	
124	HOW MANY PEOPLE LIVE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD	64
125	NUMBER OF PERSONS IN THE HOUSEHOLD OVER 18 YEARS OLD	65
126	NUMBER OF EMPLOYED PERSONS IN THE HOUSEHOLD	
127	WHAT IS THE MONTHLY INCOME OF THE FAMILY	66
128	IS SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD A REFUGEE OR EXPELLEE	67
129	IS SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD A MEMBER OF A UNION	
130	R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE	68
131	HOW FREQUENTLY DOES R ATTEND CHURCH	
132	TYPE OF TOWN	
133	DOES R LIVE IN AN AREA WITH NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS	70

## CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
	***** * * WAVE 2 * * * PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW * * *****	
	ECONOMIC SITUATION: PRESENT AND FUTURE	
134	WHAT IS THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR	
135	WILL THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR BE BETTER IN A YEAR	71
136	WHAT IS R'S PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION	
	POLITICAL INTEREST	
137	IS R INTERESTED IN POLITICS	72
138	MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINIONS - 1ST MENTION	
139	MEANS R USES TO FORM OPINIONS - 2ND MENTION	73
	PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING	
140	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE CDU/CSU	
141	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE SPD	74
142	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE FDP	
143	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE NPD	
144	PREFERENCE RANK R GIVES TO THE DKP	75
145	R'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING	
	SCALOMETER - GOVERNMENT AND OPPOSITION	
146	R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT	76
147	R'S SATISFACTION WITH THE CDU/CSU OPPOSITION	
	POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK")	
148	DOES R AGREE WITH THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST	77
149	SHOULD THE BASIC AGREEMENT BE COMPLETED BEFORE THE ELECTION	
150	IS R SATISFIED WITH THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE GFR	78

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
POLITICIANS KNOWN TO R		
151	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 1ST MENTION	
152	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 2ND MENTION	80
153	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 3RD MENTION	
154	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 4TH MENTION	
155	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 5TH MENTION	81
156	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 6TH MENTION	
157	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 7TH MENTION	
158	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 8TH MENTION	
159	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 9TH MENTION	
160	POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R - 10TH MENTION	82
SCALOMETER - CHANCELLOR CANDIDATES		
161	WHAT DOES R THINK OF WILLY BRANDT	
162	WHAT DOES R THINK OF RAINER BARZEL	83
SCALOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES		
163	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE SPD	
164	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CDU	84
165	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CSU	
166	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE FDP	85
1972 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
167	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
168	WHICH PARTY WILL R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	86
169	WHEN DID R MAKE HIS VOTING DECISION	87
1969 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR		
170	DID R VOTE IN THE 1969 ELECTION	
171	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	88
172	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	

## CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
	1972 ELECTION: ELECTION OUTCOME	
173	WHICH PARTIES SHOULD FORM THE GOVERNMENT	89
174	WHICH PARTY SHOULD BE CHOSEN AS A COALITION PARTNER IF A COALITION IS NECESSARY	
175	INDEX OF PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION	90
176	WHOM WOULD R LIKE BEST AS CHANCELLOR	
177	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	91
178	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	92
179	WHY R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	93
180	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 1ST MENTION	95
181	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 2ND MENTION	96
182	WHY R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR - 3RD MENTION	97
183	HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD STRAUSS HAVE IN A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT	98
184	WHO IS BEST QUALIFIED AS MINISTER OF ECONOMICS	99
	FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE ELECTION	
185	WILL THE ELECTION HAVE AN IMPACT ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	100
186	WHICH PARTY GOVERNMENT WOULD IMPROVE R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	101
187	SHOULD MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHO SWITCHED PARTIES KEEP THEIR SEATS	
	PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- IMPORTANCE TO R	
188	IMPORTANCE OF IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	102
189	IMPORTANCE OF STABLE PRICES	103
190	IMPORTANCE OF LAW AND ORDER	
191	IMPORTANCE OF FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	104
192	IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
193	IMPORTANCE OF EXTENDING EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES	105
194	IMPORTANCE OF JUST TAXES	
195	IMPORTANCE OF AGRICULTURAL REPRESENTATION	106
196	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	
197	IMPORTANCE OF FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST	107
198	IMPORTANCE OF BETTER HOUSING	
199	IMPORTANCE OF OLD AGE SECURITY	108
200	IMPORTANCE OF EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	
201	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	109
202	IMPORTANCE OF MILITARY SECURITY	
203	IMPORTANCE OF PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS	110

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
-------------	--	-------------

## MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE GFR

204	FIRST MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	
205	SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	111
206	THIRD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FACING THE GFR	112

## PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE PROBLEM

207	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH IMPROVING RELATIONS WITH EAST EUROPE	113
208	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH STABLE PRICES	
209	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH LAW AND ORDER	114
210	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND	
211	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	115
212	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM	
213	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH JUSTLY DISTRIBUTING TAX BURDENS	116
214	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS	
215	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROVISION OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	117
216	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH NOT NEGLECTING FRIENDLY WESTERN RELATIONS	118
217	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH BETTER HOUSING	
218	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH OLD AGE SECURITY	119
219	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS	
220	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH GOOD RELATIONS WITH CHINA	120
221	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH MILITARY SECURITY	
222	IS THE SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU MORE QUALIFIED TO DEAL WITH PROTECTION AGAINST TERRORIST ATTACKS	121

## CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
R'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION		
223	DOES R LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY	
224	STRENGTH OF R'S PARTISANSHIP	122
225	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	
POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS		
226	HOW IMPORTANT ARE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS	123
227	DID R FOLLOW THE CAMPAIGN WITH INTEREST	
228	DID THE CAMPAIGN PROVIDE INFORMATION	
INFLUENCES ON R'S VOTING DECISION		
229	WAS THE CHANCELLOR CANDIDATE/GOVERNMENT TEAM MORE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTING DECISION	124
230	OTHER INFLUENCE ON R'S VOTING DECISION - 1ST MENTION	
231	OTHER INFLUENCE ON R'S VOTING DECISION - 2ND MENTION	
232	OTHER INFLUENCE ON R'S VOTING DECISION - 3RD MENTION	
233	WHICH PARTY WILL WIN THE ELECTION	126
234	WILL WINNING PARTY GET A CLEAR VICTORY	
POLITICAL EFFICACY		
235	ARE POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT TOO COMPLEX FOR R TO UNDERSTAND	
236	DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE A SAY IN GOVERNMENT	
237	IS VOTING THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE LIKE R CAN HAVE A SAY	128
238	DO OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK	
239	DOES POLITICS NEED ONLY GOOD POLITICIANS	
240	ARE TOO MANY INNOVATIONS INTRODUCED TODAY	129
241	HOW INTERESTED IS R IN THE LABOR UNIONS	

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.	PAGE NO.
-------------	-------------

## PERSONAL DATA

242	R'S SEX	130
243	R'S MARITAL STATUS	
244	R'S AGE	131
245	R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS	
246	R'S OCCUPATION	132
247	R'S EDUCATION	133
248	IS R THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD	
249	EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	134
250	OCCUPATION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	
251	HOW MANY PEOPLE LIVE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD	135
252	WHAT IS THE MONTHLY INCOME OF THE FAMILY	136
253	IS R INTERESTED IN THE ACTIVITIES OF THE CHURCH	
254	R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE	137
255	HOW FREQUENTLY DOES R ATTEND CHURCH	
256	TYPE OF TOWN	138
257	DOES R LIVE IN AN AREA WITH NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS	

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.	PAGE NO.
-------------	-------------

```

*****
*                                     *
*                               WAVE 3                               *
*                                     *
*   POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW   *
*                                     *
*****

```

## ECONOMIC SITUATION: PRESENT AND FUTURE

258	WHAT IS THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR	140
259	WILL THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE GFR BE BETTER IN A YEAR	
260	WHAT IS R'S PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION	141

## POLITICAL INTEREST

261	IS R INTERESTED IN POLITICS
262	WERE R'S PARENTS INTERESTED IN POLITICS

## 1972 ELECTION: VOTING BEHAVIOR

263	DID R VOTE IN THE 1972 ELECTION	142
264	DID R VOTE AT THE POLLING PLACE OR BY ABSENTEE BALLOT	
265	WHICH PARTY'S CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S FIRST VOTE	
266	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH R'S SECOND VOTE	143
267	WHEN DID R MAKE HIS VOTING DECISION	144

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
	1972 ELECTION: ELECTION OUTCOME	
268	WHY DID THE SPD WIN SO MANY VOTES - 1ST MENTION	
269	WHY DID THE SPD WIN SO MANY VOTES - 2ND MENTION	147
270	WHY DID THE SPD WIN SO MANY VOTES - 3RD MENTION	
271	WHY DID THE CDU/CSU NOT REACH ITS ELECTORAL GOAL - 1ST MENTION	
272	WHY DID THE CDU/CSU NOT REACH ITS ELECTORAL GOAL - 2ND MENTION	150
273	WHY DID THE CDU/CSU NOT REACH ITS ELECTORAL GOAL - 3RD MENTION	
274	WHY DID THE FDP DO SO WELL - 1ST MENTION	
275	WHY DID THE FDP DO SO WELL - 2ND MENTION	152
276	WHY DID THE FDP DO SO WELL - 3RD MENTION	
277	REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION - 1ST MENTION	
278	REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION - 2ND MENTION	155
279	REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION - 3RD MENTION	
280	OTHER REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION- 1ST MENTION	156
281	OTHER REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION- 2ND MENTION	159
282	OTHER REASON FOR R'S VOTING DECISION- 3RD MENTION	
	SYSTEM SUPPORT	
283	IS R SATISFIED WITH THE POLITICAL SYSTEM OF THE GFR	
284	ARE THE RIGHT PEOPLE IN LEADERSHIP POSITIONS	
285	DOES R FAVOR THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR	160
	SCALOMETER - CHANCELLOR CANDIDATES	
286	WHAT DOES R THINK OF WILLY BRANDT	
287	WHAT DOES R THINK OF RAINER BARZEL	161
	SCALOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES	
288	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE SPD	162
289	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CDU	
290	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE CSU	163
291	WHAT DOES R THINK OF THE FDP	
292	WHO IS BEST QUALIFIED AS MINISTER OF ECONOMICS	164
293	WILL THE ELECTION HAVE AN IMPACT ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION	166

## CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
PROBLEMS FOR THE NEW GOVERNMENT		
294	WHICH PROBLEM SHOULD THE NEW GOVERNMENT CONCERN ITSELF WITH - 1ST MENTION	167
295	WHICH PROBLEM SHOULD THE NEW GOVERNMENT CONCERN ITSELF WITH - 2ND MENTION	168
296	WHICH PROBLEM SHOULD THE NEW GOVERNMENT CONCERN ITSELF WITH - 3RD MENTION	169
PROBLEMS FACING THE GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC-- IMPORTANCE TO R		
297	IMPORTANCE OF STABLE PRICES	170
298	IMPORTANCE OF LAW AND ORDER	171
299	IMPORTANCE OF OLD AGE SECURITY	172
300	IMPORTANCE OF GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE	
301	IMPORTANCE OF PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS	173
302	IMPORTANCE OF JUST TAXES	
303	IMPORTANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	174
304	IMPORTANCE OF EXTENDING EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES	175
R'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION		
305	DOES R LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY	
306	R'S STRENGTH OF PARTISANSHIP	176
307	R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION	
308	DID R EXPECT A SPD/FDP VICTORY	177
309	HOW IMPORTANT ARE ELECTION CAMPAIGNS	
POLITICAL ACTIVITIES DURING THE CAMPAIGN		
310	DID R ATTEND ANY CAMPAIGN MEETINGS	
311	HOW MANY CAMPAIGN MEETINGS DID R ATTEND	178
312	DID R DISCUSS THE ELECTION WITH HIS FAMILY	
313	DID R DISCUSS THE ELECTION WITH HIS FRIENDS	179
314	DOES R APPROVE OF CAMPAIGN ADVERTISING	
315	DID R SHOW SUPPORT FOR A CANDIDATE OR PARTY	180
316	DID R WEAR A CAMPAIGN BUTTON	
317	DID R DISPLAY A CAMPAIGN STICKER	
318	DID R PERFORM ANOTHER CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY	181
319	WOULD R ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE IN A CAMPAIGN	

## CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
R'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS		
320	DID R CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO A CAMPAIGN	182
321	HOW MUCH DID R CONTRIBUTE TO THE CAMPAIGN	
322	WOULD R CONTRIBUTE TO A CAMPAIGN IN THE FUTURE	183
323	DID R NOTICE THE ACTIVITIES OF CITIZEN GROUPS	
324	SHOULD OTHER GROUPS BESIDES PARTIES PARTICIPATE IN CAMPAIGNS	
TELEVISION DEBATES		
325	DID R SEE THE TELEVISION DEBATES	184
326	DID R LIKE THE TELEVISION DEBATES	
327	WHICH POLITICIAN FARED BEST IN THE DEBATES	185
328	WHICH POLITICIAN FARED WORST IN THE DEBATES	
329	HAS THE CAMPAIGN INFORMED THE VOTERS	
CAMPAIGN FINANCING		
330	WHAT IS SOURCE OF CDU/CSU FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	186
331	WHAT IS SOURCE OF CDU/CSU FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	187
332	WHAT IS SOURCE OF CDU/CSU FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	189
333	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF SPD FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	190
334	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF SPD FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	191
335	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF SPD FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	193
336	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF FDP FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	194
337	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF FDP FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	195
338	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF FDP FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	197
339	DOES R APPROVE OF THE METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING	198
340	PROBLEMS R SEES IN METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING - 1ST MENTION	199
341	PROBLEMS R SEES IN METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING - 2ND MENTION	200
342	PROBLEMS R SEES IN METHOD OF PARTY FINANCING - 3RD MENTION	201
IMPORTANCE OF CHANCELLOR CANDIDATE		
343	WAS THE CHANCELLOR CANDIDATE/GOVERNMENT TEAM MORE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTING DECISION	203
344	WOULD THE SPD HAVE DONE AS WELL WITHOUT BRANDT	
345	WOULD THE CDU/CSU HAVE DONE BETTER WITHOUT BARZEL	204

# CONTENTS

VAR. NO.		PAGE NO.
INFLUENCE OF ELECTION CAMPAIGN		
346	DID THE CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE	
347	HOW DID CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE - 1ST MENTION	
348	HOW DID CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE - 2ND MENTION	206
349	HOW DID CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE R'S VOTE - 3RD MENTION	207
PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING		
350	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE CDU/CSU	208
351	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE SPD	209
352	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE FDP	
353	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE NPD	
354	PREFERENCE RANKING R GIVES TO THE DKP	210
355	R'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING	211
PERSONAL DATA		
356	R'S SEX	
357	R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE	212
358	R'S DAY OF BIRTH	
359	R'S MONTH OF BIRTH	
360	R'S YEAR OF BIRTH	213
R'S CHILDHOOD ENVIRONMENT		
361	R'S HISTORICAL GENERATION	
362	R'S PARENTS' PARTISAN PREFERENCE	214
363	STRENGTH OF PARENTS' PARTISAN PREFERENCE	
364	WERE R'S PARENTS FOR HITLER AND THE NSDAP	215
365	FATHER'S OCCUPATION	
PAST PARTY SUPPORT		
366	IS R A PARTY MEMBER	216
367	WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE FOR WITH 1969 SECOND VOTE	217
368	DID R VOTE FOR KIESINGER OR BRANDT IN 1969	
369	WILL R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION IMPROVE IN A YEAR	218
NOTES		
1	DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES	219

' 01 ' DK 1 COL 5- 6

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

THIS WEIGHT VARIABLE CORRECTS FOR SEX AND AGE BIASES IN THE DATA AND PRODUCES A SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE VOTING AGE POPULATION OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC.

441	1.	INTERVIEWED AT FIRST WAVE ONLY
373	2.	INTERVIEWED AT FIRST AND SECOND WAVE ONLY
1210	3.	INTERVIEWED AT ALL THREE WAVES

VAR 0005 REF 0005 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-FEDL STATE OF INTERVIEW NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 12 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 14-15

## FEDERAL STATE

.....

77	01.	SLESWIG-HOLSTEIN ("SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN")
83	02.	HAMBURG
223	03.	LOWER SAXONY ("NIEDERSACHEN")
29	04.	BREMEN
600	05.	NORTHRHINE-WESTPHALIA ("NORDRHEIN-WESTFALEN")
168	06.	HESSE ("HESSEN")
142	07.	RHINELAND-PALATINATE ("RHEINLAND-PFALZ")
275	08.	BADEN-WUERTEMBERG
387	09.	BAVARIA ("BAYERN")
40	10.	SAARLAND

VAR 0006 REF 0006 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 14 WIDTH 3 DK 1 COL 16-18

DISTRICT ("REGIERUNGSBEZIRK") IN WHICH THE INTERVIEW  
 WAS CONDUCTED

.....

SEE NOTE(S) 1 FOR DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES

VAR 0007 REF 0007 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-SIZE OF TOWN NO MISSING DATA CODES  
 LOC 17 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 19

## SIZE OF TOWN

.....

365	0.	500,000 INHABITANTS AND ABOVE
95	1.	300,000-499,999
232	2.	100,000-299,999
133	3.	50,000-99,999
204	4.	20,000-49,999
326	5.	5,000-19,999
237	6.	2,000-4,999
432	7.	LESS THAN 2,000 INHABITANTS

DATE OF INTERVIEW - SECOND PANEL WAVE  
.....

INTERVIEWER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER - FIRST PANEL WAVE  
.....

INTERVIEWER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER - SECOND PANEL WAVE  
.....

0000. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0011	REF 0011	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-INTERVIEWER - WAVE 3	MD=0	
LOC 28 WIDTH 4	DK 1 COL 30-33	

INTERVIEWER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER - THIRD PANEL WAVE  
.....

0000. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0012	REF 0012	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- PRSNT ECON SIT GFR	MD=GE 8	
LOC 32 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 34	

Q.1. HOW WOULD YOU, QUITE GENERALLY, EVALUATE THE PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC? VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD, OR BAD?

53	1.	VERY GOOD
752	2.	GOOD
902	3.	PART GOOD/PART BAD
174	4.	MORE BAD
81	5.	BAD
61	8.	DK
2	9.	NA

```
VAR 0013                                REF 0013                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

NAME-1-	FUTURE	ECON	SIT	GFR	MD=GE	8
LOC	33	WIDTH	1	DK	1	COL 35

Q.2. AND HOW WILL IT BE IN A YEAR? DO YOU EXPECT THAT THE GENERAL ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC WILL THEN BE SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, THE SAME, SOMEWHAT WORSE, OR SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE?

24	1.	SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER
359	2.	SOMEWHAT BETTER
1034	3.	THE SAME
279	4.	SOMEWHAT WORSE
35	5.	SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE
285	8.	DK

(CONTINUED)

.....

9 9. NA

VAR 0014 REF 0014 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S PRSNT ECON SIT MD=GE 8  
 LOC 34 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 36

Q.3. HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR OWN ECONOMIC SITUATION TODAY?  
 VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD OR BAD?

.....

86	1. VERY GOOD
1154	2. GOOD
597	3. PART GOOD/PART BAD
125	4. MORE BAD
50	5. BAD
6	8. DK
7	9. NA

VAR 0015 REF 0015 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S POLTTL INTEREST MD=9  
 LOC 35 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 37

Q.4. QUITE GENERALLY, ARE YOU INTERESTED IN POLITICS?

.....

930	1. YES
688	2. NOT PARTICULARLY
404	3. NOT AT ALL
3	9. NA

VAR 0016 REF 0016 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- 1ST SOURCE POLTTL INFO MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 36 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 38

Q.5. (IF R HAS ANY INTEREST IN POLITICS)  
 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT FOR YOU WHEN YOU WANT TO FORM A  
 POLITICAL OPINION: TELEVISION, RADIO, NEWSPAPERS, PERSONAL  
 DISCUSSIONS OR WHAT ELSE?

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;INTERVIEWER: IF POSSIBLE, ADMIT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.&gt;

Q.5(A). FIRST MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION

.....

930	1.	TELEVISION
69	2.	RADIO
358	3.	NEWSPAPERS
240	4.	PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
6	5.	OTHER
14	9.	NA
407	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4

VAR 0017	REF 0017	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- 2ND SOURCE POLTL INFO	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 37 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 39	

Q.5(B). SECOND MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION

.....

&lt;SEE Q.5 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

12	1.	TELEVISION
56	2.	RADIO
113	3.	NEWSPAPERS
38	4.	PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
6	5.	OTHER
1393	9.	NA; NO SECOND MENTION
407	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4

VAR 0018	REF 0018	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- CDU/CSU- PRFRC RANK	MD=9	
LOC 38 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 40	

Q.6. HERE ARE FIVE CARDS WITH THE NAMES OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC. WOULD YOU PLEASE RANK THESE CARDS ACCORDING TO HOW WELL YOU LIKE THE PARTIES? ON TOP SHOULD BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE BEST, AT THE BOTTOM THEN WILL BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE LEAST.

&lt;INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE WHITE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND TO

(CONTINUED)

.....

RESPONDENT.&gt;

Q.6(A). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO CDU/CSU

.....

780	1.	FIRST RANK
362	2.	SECOND RANK
635	3.	THIRD RANK
86	4.	FOURTH RANK
41	5.	FIFTH RANK
122	9.	NA

VAR 0019	REF 0019	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SPD- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=9	
LOC 39 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 41	

Q.6(B). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO SPD

.....

&lt;SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

1014	1.	FIRST RANK
577	2.	SECOND RANK
285	3.	THIRD RANK
31	4.	FOURTH RANK
7	5.	FIFTH RANK
110	9.	NA

VAR 0020	REF 0020	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- FDP- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=9	
LOC 40 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 42	

Q.6(C). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO FDP

.....

&lt;SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

124	1.	FIRST RANK
920	2.	SECOND RANK
812	3.	THIRD RANK
32	4.	FOURTH RANK
8	5.	FIFTH RANK

(CONTINUED)

.....

129 9. NA

VAR 0021 REF 0021 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-1- NPD- PREFERENCE RANK MD=9  
LOC 41 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 43

Q.6(D). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO NPD  
.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

7	1. FIRST RANK
31	2. SECOND RANK
72	3. THIRD RANK
1138	4. FOURTH RANK
562	5. FIFTH RANK
216	9. NA

VAR 0022 REF 0022 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-1- DKP- PREFERENCE RANK MD=9  
LOC 42 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 44

Q.6(E). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO DKP  
.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

7	1. FIRST RANK
16	2. SECOND RANK
73	3. THIRD RANK
519	4. FOURTH RANK
1190	5. FIFTH RANK
221	9. NA

VAR 0023	REF 0023	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- 1ST PTY PRFRC RANK	MD=GE 8	
LOC 43 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 45	

## RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING

.....

THIS VARIABLE EXTRACTS THE RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE FROM THE PARTY RANK ORDERING (Q.6 (A) - 6 (E)).

780	1.	R RANKS	CDU/CSU FIRST
1014	2.	R RANKS	SPD FIRST
124	3.	R RANKS	FDP FIRST
7	4.	R RANKS	NPD FIRST
7	5.	R RANKS	DKP FIRST
5	8.	NO PARTY RANKED	FIRST
88	9.	NA FOR ALL FIVE PARTY RANKINGS	

VAR 0024	REF 0024	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- STSFCTN SPD/FDP GOVT	MD=99	
LOC 44 WIDTH 2	DK 1 COL 46-47	

Q.7. ARE YOU MORE SATISFIED OR MORE DISSATISFIED WITH WHAT THE PRESENT SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT IN BONN HAS ACCOMPLISHED SO FAR? PLEASE TELL ME WITH THE AID OF THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 1 TO RESPONDENT AND LEAVE IT UNTIL QUESTION NO. 8.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU ARE COMPLETELY SATISFIED WITH THE PRESENT GOVERNMENT IN BONN. -5 MEANS THAT YOU ARE NOT AT ALL SATISFIED WITH WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS ACCOMPLISHED SO FAR. USING THE VALUES IN BETWEEN YOU CAN EXPRESS YOUR OPINION MORE PRECISELY.

89	01.	NOT AT ALL SATISFIED
38	02.	.
101	03.	.
91	04.	.
66	05.	.
172	06.	UNDECIDED
125	07.	.
270	08.	.
485	09.	.
281	10.	.

(CONTINUED)

.....

285 11. COMPLETELY SATISFIED

24 99. NA

VAR 0025

REF 0025

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- STSFCTN CDU/CSU OPSTN MD=99

LOC 46 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 48-49

Q.8. AND HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF THE  
PRESENT CDU/CSU OPPOSITION IN BONN? PLEASE TELL ME AGAIN  
WITH THE AID OF THIS SCALE.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.7 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

213 01. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

96 02. .

151 03. .

127 04. .

97 05. .

247 06. UNDECIDED

238 07. .

287 08. .

288 09. .

143 10. .

102 11. COMPLETELY SATISFIED

34 99. NA

VAR 0026

REF 0026

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- AGRMNT W EASTRN PLCY MD=GE 7

LOC 48 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 50

Q.9. AND WHEN YOU NOW THINK ABOUT THE POLICY TOWARDS THE  
EAST ("OSTPOLITIK") OF THE BRANDT/SCHEEL GOVERNMENT, DO YOU  
AGREE WITH THIS POLICY OR DO YOU REJECT IT?

.....

1187 1. AGREEMENT

373 2. PART AGREEMENT/PART REJECTION

293 3. REJECTION

3 7. NA

158 8. DK

(CONTINUED)

.....

11 9. REFUSED

VAR 0027 REF 0027 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- OPIN FR AL ESTRN PLCY MD=0 OR GE 9  
 LOC 49 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 51

Q.10. (IF R EXPRESSES AGREEMENT OR REJECTION OF THE  
 POLICIES TOWARDS THE EAST) IMPORTANT RESULTS OF THIS  
 POLICY WERE THE TREATIES WITH MOSCOW AND WARSAW AND THE  
 ACCORDS WITH THE GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC (GDR),  
 CONCERNING E.G. THE EASING OF RESTRICTIONS ON TRAVEL AND  
 VISITS <BETWEEN THE GDR AND GFR>. DOES THE OPINION YOU  
 EXPRESSED HOLD FOR ALL THESE TREATIES AND ACCORDS OR DO  
 YOU DRAW DISTINCTIONS?

.....

1206 1. OPINION HOLDS FOR ALL TREATIES  
 268 2. DRAW DISTINCTIONS  
  
 6 9. NA  
 545 0. INAP., CODED 2 OR 7-9 IN Q.9

VAR 0028 REF 0028 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- AGRMNT WARSAW TREATY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 50 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 52

Q.12. (IF R DRAWS DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE POLICIES TOWARDS  
 THE EAST) WHAT ABOUT THE WARSAW TREATY? DO YOU AGREE WITH IT  
 OR DO YOU REJECT IT?

.....

247 1. AGREEMENT  
 189 2. REJECTION  
  
 22 7. NA  
 343 8. DK  
 18 9. REFUSED  
 1206 0. INAP., CODED 1 OR 3 IN Q.9, AND CODED 1 IN Q.10

```
VAR 0029                                REF 0029                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-  IDX RJTN WARSAW TRTY          MD=GE 7
LOC      51 WIDTH  1                   DK  1 COL 53
```

## INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE WARSAW TREATY

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.9,10 AND 12 INTO A SINGLE MEASURE OF REJECTION OF THE WARSAW TREATY

1306	0.	AGREEMENT	<Q.9 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.12 CODED 1>
336	1.	REJECTION	<Q.9 CODED 3 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.12 CODED 2>
22	7.	NA	
343	8.	DK	
18	9.	REFUSED	

```
VAR 0030                                REF 0030                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q. 13. (IF R DRAWS DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE POLICIES TOWARDS THE EAST) AND WHAT ABOUT THE MOSCOW TREATY? DO YOU AGREE WITH IT OR DO YOU REJECT IT?

.....

258	1.	AGREEMENT
161	2.	REJECTION
24	7.	NA
363	8.	DK
13	9.	REFUSED
1206	0.	INAP., CODED 1 OR 3 IN Q.9, AND CODED 1 IN Q.10

```
VAR 0031                                REF 0031                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

NAME-1-	IDX	RJTN	MOSCOW	TRTY	MD=GE	7	
LOC	53	WIDTH	1	DK	1	COL	55

## INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE MOSCOW TREATY

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.9,10 AND 13 INTO A SINGLE MEASURE OF REJECTION OF THE MOSCOW TREATY

(CONTINUED)

.....

1317	0.	AGREEMENT	<Q.9 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.13 CODED 1>
308	1.	REJECTION	<Q.9 CODED 3 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.13 CODED 2>
24	7.	NA	
363	8.	DK	
13	9.	REFUSED	

VAR 0032	REF 0032	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- AGRMNT GDR ACCORDS	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 54 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 56	

Q.14. (IF R DRAWS DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE POLICIES  
TOWARDS THE EAST) AND WHAT ABOUT THE ACCORDS WITH THE  
GRD? DO YOU AGREE WITH THEM OR DO YOU REJECT THEM?

.....

416	1.	AGREEMENT
143	2.	REJECTION
34	7.	NA
218	8.	DK
8	9.	REFUSED
1206	0.	INAP., CODED 1 OR 3 IN Q.9, AND CODED 1 IN Q.10

VAR 0033	REF 0033	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- IDX RJTN GDR ACCORDS	MD=GE 7	
LOC 55 WIDTH 1	DK 1 COL 57	

INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.9,10 AND 14 INTO A  
SINGLE MEASURE OF REJECTION OF THE ACCORDS WITH THE GDR

1475	0.	AGREEMENT	<Q.9 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.14 CODED 1>
290	1.	REJECTION	<Q.9 CODED 3 AND Q.10 CODED 1, OR Q.14 CODED 2>
34	7.	NA	
218	8.	DK	
8	9.	REFUSED	

```
VAR 0034                                REF 0034                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-  IDX RTJN  ESTRN  PLCIES      MD=9
      LOC   56 WIDTH  1                DK  1 COL 58
```

SUMMARY INDEX OF REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST

THIS SUMMARY INDEX IS A SIMPLE ADDITION OF SCORES FOR REF. NOS. 29,31, AND 33. IF THE RESPONDENT HAD MISSING DATA (CODED 7,8, OR 9) ON ANY OF THE INDIVIDUAL INDICES HE WAS ASSIGNED AS MISSING DATA ON THIS SUMMARY INDEX.

1149	0.	COMPLETE AGREEMENT
119	1.	.
82	2.	.
174	3.	COMPLETE REJECTION
501	9.	NA, CODED 7,8, OR 9 IN REF. NOS. 29,31 OR 33

```
VAR 0035                                REF 0035                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.15. WHAT WOULD YOU GENERALLY SAY ABOUT DEMOCRACY IN THE  
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, THAT IS, ABOUT OUR POLITICAL  
PARTIES AND OUR ENTIRE POLITICAL SYSTEM? ARE YOU VERY  
SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, OR NOT SATISFIED WITH IT?

388	1.	VERY SATISFIED
1369	2.	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
128	3.	NOT SATISFIED
13	7.	NA
114	8.	DK
13	9.	REFUSED

```
VAR 0036                                REF 0036                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.16. AND WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT POLITICIANS IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC, WHICH NAMES OCCUR TO YOU?

(CONTINUED)

.....

Q.16(A). FIRST MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

.....

31	01.	ADENAUER (CDU)
1	02.	AHLERS (SPD)
2	03.	ARENDT (SPD)
	04.	ARNDT (SPD)
2	05.	BAHR (SPD)
282	06.	BARZEL (CDU)
1196	07.	BRANDT (SPD)
	08.	VON DOHNANY (SPD)
	09.	DOLLINGER (CSU)
	10.	DREGGER (CDU)
2	11.	EHMKE (SPD)
	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
15	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
	15.	ERTL (FDP)
2	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
3	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
2	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
6	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
32	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)
1	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
	25.	JAHN (SPD)
6	26.	KATZER (CDU)
18	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
5	28.	KOHL (CDU)
2	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
1	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
4	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	34.	MENDE (CDU)
1	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
87	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
37	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
5	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
64	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
1	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
35	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
14	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
122	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)

(CONTINUED)

• • • • •

1	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
2	53.	WEYER (FDP)
	54.	WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
	55.	NARJES (CDU)
7	56.	WEHNER (SPD)
4	90.	OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
2	91.	OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
	92.	OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
	93.	OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY
35	98.	NO POLITICIAN MENTIONED; NA

```
VAR 0037                                REF 0037                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.16 (B) . SECOND MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

```
VAR 0038                                REF 0038                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.16 (C). THIRD MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
.....  
<SEE 0.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

```
VAR 0039                                REF 0039                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.16 (D) . FOURTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.16(E). FIFTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.16(F). SIXTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
.....  
<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.16 (G). SEVENTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
.....  
<SEE 0.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.16(H) . EIGHTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.16 (I). NINTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

(CONTINUED)

• • • • •

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

```
VAR 0045                                REF 0045                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.16 (J) . TENTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

.....

<SEE Q.16 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0001	REF 0001	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-ICPR	STUDY NUMBER - 7102	NO MISSING DATA CODES
LOC 1	WIDTH 4	DK 2 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)

.....

' 02 '

DK 2 COL 5- 6

• • •

VAR 0002	REF 0002	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 5 WIDTH 4	DK 2 COL 7-10	

RESPONDENT NUMBER

• • • • •

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0046	REF 0046	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- BRANDT	MD=99	
LOC 78 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 11-12	

Q.17. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR, WILLY BRANDT AND RAINER BARZEL? PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 2 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTICULAR

(CONTINUED)

.....

CANDIDATE. -5 MEANS YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL.

Q.17(A). WHAT DO YOU THINK OF WILLY BRANDT?

.....

123	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
51	02.	.
79	03.	.
66	04.	.
40	05.	.
148	06.	UNDECIDED
117	07.	.
174	08.	.
327	09.	.
296	10.	.
567	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
37	99.	NA

VAR 0047

REF 0047

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- SCALOMETER- BARZEL

MD=99

LOC 80 WIDTH 2

DK 2 COL 13-14

Q.17(B). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF RAINER BARZEL?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

388	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
104	02.	.
147	03.	.
113	04.	.
89	05.	.
193	06.	UNDECIDED
183	07.	.
212	08.	.
270	09.	.
156	10.	.
127	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
43	99.	NA

VAR 0048	REF 0048	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- SPD	MD=99	
LOC 82 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 15-16	

Q.18. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE  
POLITICAL PARTIES? AGAIN, PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS  
SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 3 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY.  
-5 MEANS THAT YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF IT AT ALL.  
USING THE VALUES IN BETWEEN YOU CAN EXPRESS YOUR OPINION  
MORE PRECISELY.

Q.18 (A) . WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE SPD?

61	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
52	02.	.
81	03.	.
79	04.	.
64	05.	.
124	06.	UNDECIDED
154	07.	.
242	08.	.
401	09.	.
350	10.	.
376	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
42	99.	NA

VAR 0049	REF 0049	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- CDU	MD=99	
LOC 84 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 17-18	

Q.18(B) . AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CDU?

<SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

152	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
90	02.	.
131	03.	.
107	04.	.
91	05.	.
156	06.	UNDECIDED

(CONTINUED)

.....

245	07.	.
284	08.	.
324	09.	.
238	10.	.
160	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
47	99.	NA

VAR 0050	REF 0050	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- CSU	MD=99	
LOC 86 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 19-20	

Q.18(C). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CSU?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

297	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
89	02.	.
145	03.	.
118	04.	.
111	05.	.
214	06.	UNDECIDED
226	07.	.
244	08.	.
248	09.	.
164	10.	.
114	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
55	99.	NA

VAR 0051	REF 0051	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SCALOMETER- FDP	MD=99	
LOC 88 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 21-22	

Q.18(D). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE FDP?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

93	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
45	02.	.
89	03.	.
78	04.	.

(CONTINUED)

.....

114	05.	.
284	06.	UNDECIDED
329	07.	.
327	08.	.
324	09.	.
197	10.	.
87	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
57	99.	NA

VAR 0052	REF 0052	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- QNAIRE FORM - WAVE 1	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 90 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 23	

## QUESTIONNAIRE FORM - FIRST PANEL WAVE

.....

THERE WERE TWO QUESTIONNAIRE FORMS FOR THE FIRST PRE-ELECTION WAVE WHICH DIFFERED ONLY IN THE PLACEMENT OF THE QUESTION ON 1972 VOTING INTENTIONS. QUESTIONNAIRE VERSION A PLACED THE VOTE INTENTION QUESTION EARLY IN THE INTERVIEW (Q.19), WHILE QUESTIONNAIRE VERSION B ASKED FOR VOTE INTENTION LATE IN THE INTERVIEW (Q.58).

1030	1.	QUESTIONNAIRE FORM A - PARTY VOTE QUESTION 19
994	2.	QUESTIONNAIRE FORM B - PARTY VOTE QUESTION 58

VAR 0053	REF 0053	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- CAND 1ST VOTE 1972	MD=GE 7	
LOC 91 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 24	

Q.19. <QUESTIONNAIRE VERSION B: QUESTION NO. 58>  
 THIS ENVELOPE CONTAINS A VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU WILL RECEIVE FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION. PLEASE TAKE OUT THE BALLOT AND MARK, IN SECRET, HOW YOU WILL VOTE IN THE UPCOMING FEDERAL ELECTION (ON NOVEMBER 19, 1972). AS YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE TWO VOTES: THE FIRST IS FOR A CANDIDATE IN THE LOCAL CONSTITUENCY, WHILE THE SECOND VOTE IS FOR A PARTY. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PLEASE PUT THE BALLOT INTO THE ENVELOPE AND SEAL IT WITH THE STAMP.

<INTERVIEWER: AFTER READING THE QUESTION, HAND WHITE ENVELOPE WITH THE BALLOT TO THE RESPONDENT, THEN TURN AWAY FROM RESPONDENT, GO TO THE WINDOW, ETC. WHEN THE RESPONDENT IS

(CONTINUED)

.....

FINISHED TAKE THE SEALED ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.19(A),58(A). RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE IN 1972)

.....

<SEE REF. NO. 52 FOR QUESTIONNAIRE FORM.>

958	1.	SPD <-CANDIDATE>
654	2.	CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
95	3.	FDP <-CANDIDATE>
8	4.	NPD <-CANDIDATE>
1	5.	DKP <-CANDIDATE>
30	6.	WILL NOT VOTE
113	7.	NA
43	8.	DK
124	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0054

REF 0054

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- PARTY 2ND VOTE 1972

MD=GE 7

LOC 92 WIDTH 1

DK 2 COL 25

Q.19(B),58(B). RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR PARTY LIST IN 1972)

.....

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

938	1.	SPD
654	2.	CDU/CSU
123	3.	FDP
10	4.	NPD
5	5.	DKP
30	6.	WILL NOT VOTE
98	7.	NA
43	8.	DK
124	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0055	REF 0055	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- DID R VOTE IN 1969	MD=GE 7	
LOC 93 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 26	

Q.20. HOW WAS IT THREE YEARS AGO AT THE LAST FEDERAL ELECTION <1969>: DID YOU VOTE AT THAT TIME?

1696	1.	YES
160	2.	NO
8	7.	NA
26	8.	REFUSED
135	9.	NOT YET ELIGIBLE TO VOTE

VAR 0056	REF 0056	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- CAND 1ST VOTE 1969	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 94 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 27	

Q.21. (IF R VOTED IN 1969) HERE IS A VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU RECEIVED THREE YEARS AGO FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION OF 1969. PLEASE MARK, IN SECRET, HOW YOU VOTED THEN. AT THAT TIME, YOU HAD TWO VOTES. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PUT THE BALLOT BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE AND SEAL IT.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND YELLOW ENVELOPE WITH ELECTION BALLOT TO RESPONDENT, TURN AWAY FROM RESPONDENT, WALK TO THE WINDOW, ETC. WHEN R IS FINISHED, TAKE THE SEALED ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.21 (A) . RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY  
CANDIDATE IN 1969)

```

828      1. SPD <-CANDIDATE>
558      2. CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
  71      3. FDP <-CANDIDATE>
  10      4. NPD <-CANDIDATE>
      5. DKP <-CANDIDATE>

100      7. NA
  29      8. DO NOT REMEMBER
102      9. REFUSED
329      0. INAP., CODED 2, OR 7-9 IN Q.20

```

VAR 0057	REF 0057	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- CAND 2ND VOTE 1969	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 95 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 28	

Q.21 (B) . RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR THE PARTY LIST IN 1969)

<SEE Q.21 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

816	1.	SPD
575	2.	CDU/CSU
88	3.	FDP
9	4.	NPD
4	5.	DKP
74	7.	NA
29	8.	DO NOT REMEMBER
102	9.	REFUSED
329	0.	INAP., CODED 2, OR 7-9 IN Q.20

```
VAR 0058                                REF 0058                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- PREFERRED GOVT COALTN          MD=GE 7
LOC 96 WIDTH 1                          DK 2 COL 29
```

Q.22. WE HAVE NOTED HERE SOME OF THE POSSIBLE FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS. WHICH OF THESE WOULD YOU MOST LIKE TO SEE IN BONN AFTER THE ELECTION?

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE THE RED DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT TO THE RESPONDENT.>

JUST TELL ME THE LETTER <WHICH CORRESPONDS TO YOUR CHIOCE>.

331	1.	GRAND COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND SPD
346	2.	GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE
167	3.	COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND FDP
416	4.	GOVERNMENT OF THE CDU/CSU ALONE
584	5.	COALITION OF SPD AND FDP
17	7.	NA
131	8.	DK
33	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0059 REF 0059 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- COALTN PARTNER F NESC MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 97 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 30

Q.23. (IF R PREFERS A GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE OR  
 CDU/CSU ALONE) LET US ASSUME THAT THE... (PARTY MENTIONED IN  
 QUESTION NO. 22) WILL NOT RECEIVE ENOUGH VOTES IN THE COMING  
 FEDERAL ELECTION TO FORM THE GOVERNMENT ALONE. WHICH  
 PARTY SHOULD THE... (PARTY MENTIONED IN QUESTION NO. 22)  
 FORM A GOVERNMENT WITH IN THAT CASE?

.....  
 99 1. WITH THE SPD  
 32 2. WITH THE CDU/CSU  
 411 3. WITH THE FDP  
 122 4. WITH NO OTHER PARTY  
  
 13 7. NA  
 79 8. DK  
 5 9. REFUSED  
 1263 0. INAP., CODED 1,3,5 OR 7-9 IN Q.22

VAR 0060 REF 0060 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- SMRY PRFR GOVT COALTN MD=GE 6  
 LOC 98 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 31

R'S PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.22 AND Q.23  
 TO PROVIDE ONE SUMMARY VARIABLE.

462 1. GRAND COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND SPD  
 25 2. GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE  
 316 3. COALITION OF THE CDU/CSU AND FDP  
 97 4. GOVERNMENT OF THE CDU/CSU ALONE  
 846 5. COALITION OF SPD AND FDP  
  
 97 6. GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD OR CDU/CSU, COALITION  
 PARTNER NA  
 17 7. NA  
 131 8. DK  
 33 9. REFUSED

```
VAR 0061                                REF 0061                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.24. WHOM WOULD YOU PREFER AS CHANCELLOR: WILLY BRANDT OR RAINER BARZEL?

1113	1.	WILLY BRANDT
243	2.	NEITHER
505	3.	RAINER BARZEL
161	8.	DK
3	9.	NA

```
VAR 0062                                REF 0062                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.25. (IF R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR) AND WHY DO YOU PREFER WILLY BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR?

Q.25 (A) . FIRST MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY  
BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR

GENERAL REASON

247 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,  
E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER

177 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON),  
E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER  
CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY

106 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A  
POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD  
APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE,  
COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS  
MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE

68 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL),  
E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT,  
OPPORTUNIST

SPECIFIC REASON

198            21.    GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST  
                     ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR

(CONTINUED)

.....

		POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT, WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY
114	22.	FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS, POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR, POLAND
58	23.	SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE: EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE
29	24.	PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE
	25.	ECONOMIC POLICY
1	26.	EUROPEAN POLICY

## OTHER REASON

72	31.	STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT, E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I AM PARTY SUPPORTER
6	32.	STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE), E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)
29	33.	OTHER REASON
1	98.	DK
7	99.	NA
912	00.	INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.24

VAR 0063

REF 0063

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- WHY PRFR BRANDT-2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
LOC 102 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 35-36

Q.25(B). SECOND MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY  
BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.25 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

## GENERAL REASON

40	11.	GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
58	12.	DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON), E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY
79	13.	DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE, COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS

(CONTINUED)

.....

57           14.       MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE  
STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL),  
E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT,  
OPPORTUNIST

## SPECIFIC REASON

64           21.       GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST  
ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR  
POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT,  
WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY  
102          22.       FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS,  
POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR,  
POLAND  
60           23.       SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE:  
EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE  
33           24.       PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE  
25.       ECONOMIC POLICY  
2            26.       EUROPEAN POLICY

## OTHER REASON

19           31.       STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT,  
E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I  
AM PARTY SUPPORTER  
11           32.       STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE),  
E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE  
("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)  
12           33.       OTHER REASON  
571          97.       NO SECOND MENTION  
1            98.       DK  
7            99.       NA  
912          00.       INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.24

VAR 0064

REF 0064

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- WHY PRFR BRANDT-3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 96  
LOC 104 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 37-38

Q.25(C). THIRD MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY  
BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.25 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

(CONTINUED)

.....

## GENERAL REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 12 | 11. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER  |
| 11 | 12. | DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON), E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY  |
| 13 | 13. | DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE, COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE |
| 12 | 14. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL), E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT, OPPORTUNIST  |

## SPECIFIC REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 21 | 21. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT, WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY |
| 17 | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS, POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR, POLAND  |
| 19 | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE: EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE   |
| 12 | 24. | PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE   |
| 1  | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY   |
| 1  | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY   |

## OTHER REASON

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 7   | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT, E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I AM PARTY SUPPORTER                      |
| 6   | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE), E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>) |
| 2   | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 400 | 96. | NO THIRD MENTION   |
| 571 | 97. | NO SECOND AND THIRD MENTION  |
| 1   | 98. | DK   |
| 7   | 99. | NA   |
| 912 | 00. | INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.24  |

VAR 0065

REF 0065

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- WHY PRFR BARZEL-1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 106 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 39-40

Q.26. (IF R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR) AND WHY DO YOU  
 PREFER RAINER BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR?

Q.26(A). FIRST MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING RAINER  
 BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

#### GENERAL REASON

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 86  | 11. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,<br>E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER  |
| 25  | 12. | DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),<br>E.G., HONEST   |
| 112 | 13. | DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A<br>POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY<br>AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC |
| 46  | 14. | STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),<br>E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,<br>BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES         |

#### SPECIFIC REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 27 | 21. | SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,<br>GOOD PARTY LEADER |
| 8  | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY  |
| 8  | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY   |
|    | 24. | PEACE POLICY  |
| 11 | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY   |
|    | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY   |

#### OTHER REASON

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 109  | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,<br>E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,<br>I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE<br>CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE<br>CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF |
| 29   | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),<br>E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT   |
| 39   | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 2    | 98. | DK   |
| 3    | 99. | NA   |
| 1519 | 00. | INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.24  |

VAR 0066

REF 0066

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- WHY PRFR BARZEL-2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 108 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 41-42

Q.26(B). SECOND MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING  
 RAINER BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

#### GENERAL REASON

- |    |  |
|----|--|
| 7  | 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,<br>E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER  |
| 17 | 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),<br>E.G., HONEST   |
| 31 | 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A<br>POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY<br>AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC |
| 15 | 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),<br>E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,<br>BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES         |

#### SPECIFIC REASON

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 9 | 21. SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,<br>GOOD PARTY LEADER |
| 5 | 22. FOREIGN POLICY  |
| 7 | 23. SOCIAL POLICY   |
|   | 24. PEACE POLICY  |
| 5 | 25. ECONOMIC POLICY   |
|   | 26. EUROPEAN POLICY   |

#### OTHER REASON

- |      |  |
|------|--|
| 24   | 31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,<br>E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,<br>I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE<br>CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE<br>CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF |
| 5    | 32. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),<br>E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT   |
| 8    | 33. OTHER REASON   |
| 367  | 97. NO SECOND MENTION  |
| 2    | 98. DK   |
| 3    | 99. NA   |
| 1519 | 00. INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.24  |

VAR 0067

REF 0067

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- WHY PRFR BARZEL-3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 96  
 LOC 110 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 43-44

Q.26(C). THIRD MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING RAINER  
 BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

#### GENERAL REASON

- 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,  
E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),  
E.G., HONEST
- 6 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A  
POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY  
AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC
- 8 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),  
E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,  
BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

#### SPECIFIC REASON

- 1 21. SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,  
GOOD PARTY LEADER
- 2 22. FOREIGN POLICY
- 1 23. SOCIAL POLICY
- 24. PEACE POLICY
- 3 25. ECONOMIC POLICY
- 26. EUROPEAN POLICY

#### OTHER REASON

- 1 31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,  
E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,  
I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE  
CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE  
CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF
- 1 32. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),  
E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT
- 1 33. OTHER REASON
- 111 96. NO THIRD MENTION
- 367 97. NO SECOND AND THIRD MENTION
- 2 98. DK
- 3 99. NA

(CONTINUED)

.....

1519 00. INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.24

VAR 0068 REF 0068 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-1- STRAUSS' INFLUENCE MD=GE 7  
LOC 112 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 45

Q.27. ONE FREQUENTLY HEARS THE OPINION THAT IN THE EVENT  
OF AN ELECTION VICTORY OF THE CDU/CSU, FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS  
WOULD HAVE ESPECIALLY GREAT INFLUENCE ON THE POLICY OF THE  
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HOW DO YOU PERSONALLY FEEL ABOUT THIS:  
SHOULD FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS, IN A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT, HAVE  
GREAT INFLUENCE, APPROPRIATE INFLUENCE OR AS LITTLE  
INFLUENCE AS POSSIBLE?

.....

319 1. GREAT INFLUENCE  
651 2. APPROPRIATE INFLUENCE  
808 3. AS LITTLE INFLUENCE AS POSSIBLE  
  
3 7. NA  
226 8. DK  
17 9. REFUSED

VAR 0069 REF 0069 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-1- WHO BST ECON MINISTER MD=GE 97  
LOC 113 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 46-47

Q.28. IN YOUR OPINION, WHICH POLITICIAN WOULD BE BEST  
QUALIFIED TO BE MINISTER OF ECONOMICS?

<INTERVIEWER: ADMIT ONLY ONE NAME.>

.....

01. ADENAUER (CDU)  
02. AHLERS (SPD)  
4 03. ARENDT (SPD)  
1 04. ARNDT (SPD)  
05. BAHR (SPD)  
5 06. BARZEL (CDU)  
3 07. BRANDT (SPD)  
08. VON DOHNANY (SPD)  
09. DOLLINGER (CSU)  
10. DREGGER (CDU)  
1 11. EHMKE (SPD)

(CONTINUED)

.....

3	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
75	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
2	15.	ERTL (FDP)
	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
9	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
1	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
1	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)
	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
	25.	JAHN (SPD)
5	26.	KATZER (CDU)
1	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
2	28.	KOHL (CDU)
	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
9	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	34.	MENDE (CDU)
2	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
20	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
12	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
1	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
262	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
4	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
346	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
13	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
33	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
238	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)
	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
1	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
1	53.	WEYER (FDP)
	54.	WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
15	55.	NARJES (CDU)
	56.	WEHNER (SPD)
3	90.	OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
4	91.	OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
1	92.	OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
	93.	OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY

(CONTINUED)

.....

11	97.	NA
920	98.	DK
20	99.	REFUSED

VAR 0070	REF 0070	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- FIN EFFECT OF ELCTN	MD=GE 7	
LOC 115 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 48	

Q.29. NOW PLEASE THINK ABOUT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION OR THAT OF YOUR FAMILY. DOES IT MAKE A GREAT DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE OR PRACTICALLY NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL, WHETHER AFTER THE ELECTION THE SPD AND THE FDP AGAIN FORM THE GOVERNMENT TOGETHER, OR WHETHER THE CDU/CSU FORMS THE GOVERNMENT?

.....

193	1.	GREAT DIFFERENCE
481	2.	SOME DIFFERENCE
1057	3.	NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL
9	7.	NA
276	8.	DK
8	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0071	REF 0071	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- PTY TO IMPRV FIN SIT	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 116 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 49	

Q.30. (IF R THINKS THE ELECTION OUTCOME WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE) AND WHICH OF THE TWO GOVERNMENTS WOULD BE MOST LIKELY TO IMPROVE YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION, A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT OR A SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT?

.....

278	1.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
336	2.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
7	7.	NA
51	8.	DK
3	9.	REFUSED
1350	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 7-9 IN Q.29

VAR 0072 REF 0072 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- RLTNS EAST EUR MD=GE 7  
 LOC 117 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 50

Q.31. HERE WE HAVE PUT TOGETHER SEVERAL PROBLEMS WHICH ARE TALKED ABOUT IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC, AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW IMPORTANT EACH ONE IS FOR YOU PERSONALLY. THESE CARDS CONTAIN THE PROBLEMS. USING THIS LIST, PLEASE TELL ME FOR EACH PROBLEM HOW IMPORTANT YOU CONSIDER IT TO BE, AND GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTERS ON THE CARDS AS WELL.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE THE BLUE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT TO THE RESPONDENT. ALSO HAND LIST A TO RESPONDENT. TAKE THE CARDS BACK AFTER THE CLASSIFICATION IS COMPLETED.>

Q.31(A). PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

556	1. VERY IMPORTANT
979	2. IMPORTANT
312	3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
44	4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
24	7. NA
92	8. DK
18	9. REFUSED

VAR 0073 REF 0073 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- IMPC- STABLE PRICES MD=GE 7  
 LOC 118 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 51

Q.31(B). PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

1640	1. VERY IMPORTANT
309	2. IMPORTANT
24	3. NOT SO IMPORTANT
4	4. COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
14	7. NA

(CONTINUED)

.....

17	8.	DK
18	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0074	REF 0074	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- IMPC- LAW AND ORDER	MD=GE 7	
LOC 119 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 52	

Q.31(C) . PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

1241	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
645	2.	IMPORTANT
85	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
4	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
17	7.	NA
16	8.	DK
17	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0075	REF 0075	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- IMPC- ABORTION	MD=GE 7	
LOC 120 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 53	

Q.31(D) . PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

388	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
734	2.	IMPORTANT
515	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
216	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
29	7.	NA
113	8.	DK
31	9.	REFUSED

```
VAR 0076                                REF 0076                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.31(E). PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

874	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
873	2.	IMPORTANT
161	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
19	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
20	7.	NA
61	8.	DK
17	9.	REFUSED

```
VAR 0077                                REF 0077                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.31(F) . PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL  
SYSTEM--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

726	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
909	2.	IMPORTANT
229	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
39	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
26	7.	NA
77	8.	DK
19	9.	REFUSED

Q.31(G) . PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....  
<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.31(H) . PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL  
INTERESTS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

282	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
833	2.	IMPORTANT
618	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
123	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
31	7.	NA
117	8.	DK
21	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0080 REF 0080 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-1- IMPC- HLTH INSURANCE MD=GE 7  
LOC 125 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 58

Q.31(J). PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

1128	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
766	2.	IMPORTANT
68	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
4	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
21	7.	NA
21	8.	DK
17	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0081 REF 0081 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-1- IMPC- RLTNS W WEST MD=GE 7  
LOC 126 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 59

Q.31(K). PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS  
WITH THE WEST--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

548	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
1084	2.	IMPORTANT
249	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
25	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
25	7.	NA
75	8.	DK
19	9.	REFUSED

```
VAR 0082                                REF 0082                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

NAME-1-	IMPC-	BETTER HOUSING	MD=GE 7
LOC 127	WIDTH 1	DK 2	COL 60

Q.31 (L) . PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

435	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
994	2.	IMPORTANT
428	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
69	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT

22	7.	NA
59	8.	DK
19	9.	REFUSED

```
VAR 0083                                REF 0083                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- IMPC- OLD AGE SCRTY           MD=GE 7
LOC 128 WIDTH 1                       DK 2 COL 61
```

Q.31 (M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

1232	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
643	2.	IMPORTANT
88	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
8	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT

```
14      7.  NA
23      8.  DK
16      9.  REFUSED
```

```
VAR 0084                                REF 0084                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.31 (N) . PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS  
("GASTARBEITER") --

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

127	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
587	2.	IMPORTANT
749	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
379	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
33	7.	NA
124	8.	DK
27	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0085	REF 0085	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- IMPC- RLTNS W CHINA	MD=GE 7	
LOC 130 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 63	

Q.31(O). PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

199	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
814	2.	IMPORTANT
622	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
158	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
35	7.	NA
177	8.	DK
20	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0086	REF 0086	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- IMPC- MLTRY SECURITY	MD=GE 7	
LOC 131 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 64	

Q.31 (P) . PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

362	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
952	2.	IMPORTANT
454	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
108	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT

28	7.	NA
101	8.	DK
19	9.	REFUSED

```
VAR 0087                                REF 0087                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.31(Q). PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR  
ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

1208	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
603	2.	IMPORTANT
112	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
18	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT

24	7.	NA
41	8.	DK
18	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0088	REF 0088	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- MOST IMP PROBLEM	MD=99	
LOC 133 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 66-67	

Q.32. (ASKED ONLY FOR PROBLEMS DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPORTANT" IN Q.31) WHICH OF THESE PROBLEMS ARE THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT ONES?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT THOSE CARDS WHICH CONTAIN THE PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT HAS DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPORTANT" IN Q.31.>

Q.32 (A) . PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATED AS FIRST MOST IMPORTANT

109	01.	PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
904	02.	PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
229	03.	PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
26	04.	PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
86	05.	PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
52	06.	PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
71	07.	PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS
28	08.	PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS
63	09.	PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY
28	10.	PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST
19	11.	PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING
178	12.	PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY
3	13.	PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")
5	14.	PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
15	15.	PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY
88	16.	PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS
122	99.	NA

VAR 0089	REF 0089	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- 2ND MOST IMP PROBLEM	MD=99	
LOC 135 WIDTH 2	DK 2 COL 68-69	

Q.32 (B) . PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATES AS SECOND MOST IMPORTANT

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;SEE Q.32 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

56	01.	PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
302	02.	PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
313	03.	PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
46	04.	PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
137	05.	PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
112	06.	PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
158	07.	PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS
30	08.	PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS
207	09.	PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY
35	10.	PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST
28	11.	PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING
257	12.	PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY
3	13.	PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")
7	14.	PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
22	15.	PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY
121	16.	PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS
190	99.	NA; NO SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

VAR 0090

REF 0090

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- 3RD MOST IMP PROBLEM

MD=99

LOC 137 WIDTH 2

DK 2 COL 70-71

Q.32(C). PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATES AS THIRD MOST  
IMPORTANT

.....

&lt;SEE Q.32 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

47	01.	PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
156	02.	PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
187	03.	PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
49	04.	PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
143	05.	PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
88	06.	PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
162	07.	PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS

(CONTINUED)

.....

31	08.	PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS
197	09.	PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY
42	10.	PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST
43	11.	PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING
277	12.	PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY
7	13.	PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")
13	14.	PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
34	15.	PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY
229	16.	PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS
320	99.	NA; NO THIRD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

VAR 0091

REF 0091

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1-BST PTY-RLTNS EAST EUR MD=0 OR GE 7  
LOC 139 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 72

Q.33. (ASKED ONLY FOR PROBLEMS DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPOR-  
TANT" OR "IMPORTANT" IN Q.31) WHO WOULD BE MORE QUALIFIED  
TO SOLVE EACH RESPECTIVE PROBLEM TO YOUR SATISFACTION,  
AN SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT OR A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT? PLEASE  
INDICATE AGAIN THE ALPHABETIC LETTER OF THE CARD.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT THE SET OF CARDS WHICH CONTAIN  
THE PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPORTANT"  
OR "IMPORTANT" IN Q.31.>

Q.33(A). PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH  
THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

1029	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
179	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
175	3.	BOTH
10	4.	NEITHER
57	7.	NA
78	8.	DK
8	9.	REFUSED
490	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(A)

```
VAR 0092                                REF 0092                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.33 (B) . PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

619	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
674	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
302	3.	BOTH
133	4.	NEITHER
42	7.	NA
166	8.	DK
14	9.	REFUSED
76	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(B)

```
VAR 0093                                REF 0093                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

O.33 (C) . PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

576	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
539	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
508	3.	BOTH
55	4.	NEITHER
49	7.	NA
145	8.	DK
14	9.	REFUSED
139	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(C)

VAR 0094	REF 0094	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-BST PTY- ABORTION	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 142 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 75	

Q.33 (D) . PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

672	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
132	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
134	3.	BOTH
20	4.	NEITHER
60	7.	NA
97	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED
903	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(D)

```
VAR 0095                                REF 0095                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.33 (E). PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

619	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
284	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
575	3.	BOTH
52	4.	NEITHER
60	7.	NA
146	8.	DK
11	9.	REFUSED
278	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(E)

VAR 0096	REF 0096	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-BST PTY- EXTND EDUC TN	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 144 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 77	

Q.33 (F) . PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL  
SYSTEM--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

680	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
359	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
389	3.	BOTH
25	4.	NEITHER
55	7.	NA
119	8.	DK
9	9.	REFUSED
390	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(F)

VAR 0097	REF 0097	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-BST PTY- JUST TAXES	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 145 WIDTH 1	DK 2 COL 78	

Q.33 (G) . PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

819	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
369	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
281	3.	BOTH
102	4.	NEITHER
61	7.	NA
149	8.	DK
16	9.	REFUSED
227	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(G)

```
VAR 0098                                REF 0098                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.33 (H). PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

343	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
373	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
225	3.	BOTH
18	4.	NEITHER
39	7.	NA
109	8.	DK
8	9.	REFUSED
909	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(H)

```
VAR 0099                                REF 0099                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.33 (J) . PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

836	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
358	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
460	3.	BOTH
17	4.	NEITHER
62	7.	NA
148	8.	DK
13	9.	REFUSED
130	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(J)

VAR 0001	REF 0001	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-ICPR	STUDY NUMBER - 7102	NO MISSING DATA CODES
LOC 1	WIDTH 4	DK 3 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)

.....

' 03 '

• • •

DK 3 COL 5-6

VAR 0002	REF 0002	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 5 WIDTH 4	DK 3 COL 7-10	

RESPONDENT NUMBER

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

```
VAR 0100                                REF 0100                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-BST PTY- RLTNS W WEST          MD=0 OR GE 7
LOC 148 WIDTH 1                        DK 3 COL 11
```

Q.33 (K) . PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS  
WITH THE WEST--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

522	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
518	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
419	3.	BOTH
8	4.	NEITHER

60	7.	NA
94	8.	DK
11	9.	REFUSED
392	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(K)

VAR 0101	REF 0101	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-BST PTY- BTTR HOUSING	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 149 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 12	

Q.33 (L) . PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

557	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
261	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
400	3.	BOTH
25	4.	NEITHER
49	7.	NA
127	8.	DK
8	9.	REFUSED
596	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(L)

```
VAR 0102                                REF 0102                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.33 (M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

789	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
465	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
406	3.	BOTH
27	4.	NEITHER
49	7.	NA
127	8.	DK
12	9.	REFUSED
149	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(M)

VAR 0103 REF 0103 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY-RGTS FRN WRKRS MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 151 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 14

Q.33(N). PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS  
 ("GASTARBEITER")--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

279	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
93	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
209	3.	BOTH
24	4.	NEITHER
26	7.	NA
78	8.	DK
4	9.	REFUSED
1311	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(N)

VAR 0104 REF 0104 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1-BST PTY- RLTNS W CHINA MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 152 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 15

Q.33(O). PROBLEMS O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
 REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

471	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
204	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
209	3.	BOTH
5	4.	NEITHER
46	7.	NA
72	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED
1012	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(O)

VAR 0105	REF 0105	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-BST PTY- MLTRY SCRTY	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 153 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 16	

Q.33 (P) . PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

385	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
338	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
402	3.	BOTH
13	4.	NEITHER
50	7.	NA
116	8.	DK
9	9.	REFUSED
710	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(P)

```
VAR 0106                                REF 0106                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.33 (Q). PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR  
ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.33 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

516	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
472	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
527	3.	BOTH
72	4.	NEITHER
66	7.	NA
146	8.	DK
14	9.	REFUSED
213	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.31(Q)

VAR 0107	REF 0107	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- R'S PARTISAN PRFRNC	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 155 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 18	

Q.34. MANY PEOPLE IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY FOR A LONG TIME, ALTHOUGH THEY MAY OCCASIONALLY VOTE FOR A DIFFERENT PARTY. HOW ABOUT YOU: DO YOU IN GENERAL LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY? IF SO, WHICH ONE?

824	1.	SPD
555	2.	CDU/CSU
72	3.	FDP
1	4.	NDP
2	5.	DKP
	6.	OTHER
415	7.	NO PARTY LEANING
80	8.	DK
68	9.	REFUSED
9	0.	NA

```
VAR 0108                                REF 0108                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.35. (IF R LEANS TOWARDS A PARTY) TAKEN ALTOGETHER, HOW STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DO YOU LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY: VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY OR VERY WEAKLY?

343	1.	VERY STRONGLY
674	2.	FAIRLY STRONGLY
393	3.	MODERATELY
13	4.	FAIRLY WEAKLY
8	5.	VERY WEAKLY
9	7.	NA
11	8.	DK
3	9.	REFUSED
571	0.	INAP., CODED 0 OR 7-9 IN Q.34

VAR 0109 REF 0109 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- R'S PARTY IDENTIFICTN MD=GE 6  
 LOC 157 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 20

## RESPONDENT'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES Q.34 AND Q.35 INTO A SINGLE  
 MEASURE OF THE INTENSITY OF SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU PREFERENCE.

Q.35 CODED

649	1. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP	1 - 2
235	2. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP	3 - 5
415	3. NO PARTY PREFERENCE	
179	4. WEAK PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU	3 - 5
365	5. STRONG PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU	1 - 2
25	6. OTHER PARTY PREFERENCE COMBINATION	
9	7. NA	
80	8. DK	
68	9. REFUSED	

VAR 0110 REF 0110 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-1- PTY PREF FAMILY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 158 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 21

Q.36. HOW ABOUT YOUR FAMILY? GENERALLY SPEAKING, DO THEY  
 LEAN PREDOMINATELY TOWARDS A PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY, OR  
 ARE THERE DIFFERENCES WITHIN YOUR FAMILY? IF SO, WHICH  
 PARTY?

.....

775	1. SPD
525	2. CDU/CSU
36	3. FDP
7	4. OTHER
248	5. THERE ARE DIFFERENCES; R MENTIONS MORE THAN ONE PARTY
109	6. NO PARTY LEANING
137	7. DK
53	8. REFUSED
27	9. NA
108	0. R DOES NOT HAVE ANY FAMILY

VAR 0111	REF 0111	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- PTY PREF FRIENDS	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 159 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 22	

Q.37. AND HOW ABOUT YOUR GOOD FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES WITH WHOM YOU HAVE FREQUENT CONTACT? DO THEY LEAN PREDOMINATELY TOWARDS A PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY, OR ARE THERE DIFFERENCES AMONG YOUR FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES? IF SO, WHICH PARTY?

600	1.	SPD
327	2.	CDU/CSU
26	3.	FDP
5	4.	OTHER
585	5.	THERE ARE DIFFERENCES; R MENTIONS MORE THAN ONE PARTY
25	6.	NO PARTY LEANING
371	7.	DK
32	8.	REFUSED
23	9.	NA
30	0.	R DOES NOT HAVE ANY FRIENDS OR ACQUAINTANCES

```
VAR 0112                                REF 0112                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.38. AND HOW ABOUT THOSE COLLEAGUES WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A GOOD RELATIONSHIP? DO THEY LEAN PREDOMINATELY TOWARDS A PARTICULAR POLITICAL PARTY, OR ARE THERE DIFFERENCES AMONG YOUR COLLEAGUES? IF SO, WHICH PARTY?

<INTERVIEWER: SCHOOLMATES AND COLLEGE CLASSMATES CAN BE REGARDED AS COLLEAGUES.>

469	1.	SPD
181	2.	CDU/CSU
10	3.	FDP
6	4.	OTHER
482	5.	THERE ARE DIFFERENCES; R MENTIONS MORE THAN ONE PARTY
16	6.	NO PARTY LEANING
380	7.	DK
22	8.	REFUSED

(CONTINUED)

.....

64	9.	NA
395	0.	R DOES NOT HAVE ANY COLLEAGUES

VAR 0113	REF 0113	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- WHO WILL WIN ELECTION MD=GE 97		
LOC 161 WIDTH 2	DK 3 COL 24-25	

Q.39. WHAT DO YOU PERSONALLY THINK: WHO WILL WIN THE UP-  
COMING ELECTION?

.....

508	01.	SPD
167	02.	SPD/FDP
801	03.	CDU/CSU
2	04.	CDU/CSU/FDP
7	05.	BRANDT
4	06.	BARZEL
6	07.	NO WINNER; SPD (OR SPD/FDP) AND CDU/CSU WILL WIN THE SAME NUMBER OF VOTES
1	08.	OTHER RESPONSE
9	97.	NA
514	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED

VAR 0114	REF 0114	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- R'S INTRST LABOR UNS MD=GE 7		
LOC 163 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 26	

Q.40. AND HOW ABOUT THE LABOR UNIONS AND THEIR POINTS  
OF VIEW: ARE YOU INTERESTED IN THEM REGULARLY, FREQUENTLY,  
OCCASIONALLY, RARELY OR NEVER?

.....

295	1.	REGULARLY
226	2.	FREQUENTLY
487	3.	OCCASIONALLY
341	4.	RARELY
599	5.	NEVER
8	7.	NA
64	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED

VAR 0115	REF 0115	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- RESPONDENT'S SEX	MD=9	
LOC 164 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 27	

Q.41. RESPONDENT'S SEX IS:  
.....

```

  920      1.  MALE
1105      2.  FEMALE

          9.  NA

```

VAR 0116	REF 0116	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- R'S MARITAL STATUS	MD=9	
LOC 165 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 28	

Q.42. RESPONDENT'S MARITAL STATUS IS:  
.....

1393	1.	MARRIED
327	2.	SINGLE
291	3.	DIVORCED, SEPARATED
14	9.	NA

VAR 0117	REF 0117	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- RESPONDENT'S AGE	MD=99	
LOC 166 WIDTH 2	DK 3 COL 29-30	

Q.43. RESPONDENT'S AGE IS:  
.....

ACTUAL AGE CODED IN TWO DIGITS

99. NA

VAR 0118	REF 0118	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- R'S EMPLMNT STATUS	MD=9	
LOC 168 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 31	

0.44. ARE YOU EMPLOYED? WHICH OF THE CATEGORIES ON THIS

(CONTINUED)

.....

LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST B TO RESPONDENT.&gt;

.....

- |     |    |  |
|-----|----|--|
| 938 | 1. | FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS) |
| 101 | 2. | PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS                                    |
| 35  | 3. | PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS   |
| 4   | 4. | TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED   |
| 337 | 5. | NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.   |
| 22  | 6. | IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)                     |
| 42  | 7. | IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)  |
| 540 | 8. | NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION                                      |
| 6   | 9. | NA   |

VAR 0119

REF 0119

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-1- R'S OCCUPATION

MD=0 OR GE 99

LOC 169 WIDTH 2

DK 3 COL 32-33

Q.45. (IF R IS EMPLOYED FULL OR PART TIME OR IS RETIRED) TO WHICH OF THESE OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DO YOU (OR DID YOU) BELONG?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST C TO RESPONDENT.&gt;

.....

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 27  | 11. | PROFESSIONAL  |
| 2   | 21. | OWNER OR EXECUTIVE OF A LARGE BUSINESS  |
| 35  | 22. | SELF-EMPLOYED - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., PROPRIETOR OF A LARGER STORE, CHIEF SALES REPRESENTATIVE) |
| 97  | 23. | SELF-EMPLOYED - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SMALL SHOP-KEEPER, CRAFTSMAN)                              |
| 80  | 31. | SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE IN A MANAGERIAL POSITION (E.G., DEPARTMENT HEAD, DIRECTOR)     |
| 219 | 32. | SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., ACCOUNTANT, CASHIER)                     |
| 168 | 33. | SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SALES CLERK, SECRETARY)                   |
| 23  | 41. | CIVIL SERVANT - HIGHEST LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES HOEHEREN DIENSTES")                                |
| 19  | 42. | CIVIL SERVANT - HIGH LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES GEHOBENEN DIENSTES")                                  |
| 41  | 43. | CIVIL SERVANT - MEDIUM LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES MITTLEREN DIENSTES" UP TO "AMTMANN" AND "ASSESSOR") |

(CONTINUED)

.....

33	44.	CIVIL SERVANT - LOW LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES EINFACHEN DIENSTES" UP TO "OBERSEKRETAER")
316	51.	SKILLED WORKER
217	61.	SEMI-SKILLED OR UNSKILLED WORKER
4	71.	FARMER, LARGE FARM
35	72.	FARMER, MEDIUM SIZE FARM
23	73.	FARMER, SMALL FARM
5	81.	FARM WORKER
31	91.	HOUSEWIFE
	92.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING
31	93.	RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION AND NO PRIOR OCCUPATION ASCERTAINED
9	99.	NA
611	00.	INAP., CODED 6-9 IN Q.44

VAR 0120

 NAME-1- R'S EDUCATION  
 LOC 171 WIDTH 2

REF 0120

MD=99

DK 3 COL 34-35

DATA SET ID-'7102'

Q.46. WHAT KIND OF SCHOOL DID YOU LAST ATTEND, I MEAN  
 IN WHICH KIND OF SCHOOL DID YOU COMPLETE YOUR EDUCATION?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST D TO RESPONDENT.&gt;

.....

635	11.	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WITHOUT COMPLETED APPRENTICESHIP OR VOCATIONAL TRAINING
841	21.	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WITH COMPLETED APPRENTICESHIP OR VOCATIONAL TRAINING
145	22.	SECRETARIAL-, TRADE- OR COMMERCIAL SCHOOL ("HANDELSSCHULE") WITHOUT DIPLOMA
14	23.	SOME SECONDARY SCHOOL ("HOEHERE SCHULE") BUT WITHOUT 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA
161	31.	SECONDARY SCHOOL WITH 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA ("MITTLERE REIFE")
23	32.	SECONDARY SCHOOL BEYOND 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA BUT WITHOUT "ABITUR"
62	41.	HIGHER SPECIALIZED OR TECHNICAL SCHOOL, WITH DIPLOMA ("HOEHERE FACHSCHULE MIT ABSCHLUSS")
38	42.	SECONDARY SCHOOL THROUGH 13TH GRADE ("ABITUR")
15	43.	UNIVERSITY, WITHOUT DEGREE
62	51.	UNIVERSITY, WITH DEGREE
15	99.	NA

VAR 0121	REF 0121	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- IS R HEAD OF HSHLD	MD=9	
LOC 173 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 36	

Q.47. ARE YOU THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD?

1142	1.	YES
883	2.	NO
	9.	NA

VAR 0122	REF 0122	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- H.H'S EMPLMNT STATUS	MD=9	
LOC 174 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 37	

Q.48. IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD EMPLOYED? WHICH OF THE CATEGORIES ON THIS LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT LIST B AGAIN.>

IF R IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD HIS EMPLOYMENT STATUS  
IS RECODED HERE.

1395	1.	FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS)
29	2.	PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS
18	3.	PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS
5	4.	TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED
426	5.	NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.
7	6.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)
13	7.	IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)
123	8.	NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION
10	9.	NA

VAR 0123	REF 0123	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- H.H'S OCCUPATION	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 175 WIDTH 2	DK 3 COL 38-39	

Q.49. TO WHICH OF THESE OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DOES (DID) THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD BELONG?

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT LIST C AGAIN.&gt;

.....

IF R IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD HIS OCCUPATION IS RECODED  
HERE.

33	11.	PROFESSIONAL
4	21.	OWNER OR EXECUTIVE OF A LARGE BUSINESS
52	22.	SELF-EMPLOYED - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., PROPRIETOR OF A LARGER STORE, CHIEF SALES REPRESENTATIVE)
130	23.	SELF-EMPLOYED - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SMALL SHOP- KEEPER, CRAFTSMAN)
136	31.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE IN A MANAGERIAL POSITION (E.G., DEPARTMENT HEAD, DIRECTOR)
261	32.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., ACCOUNTANT, CASHIER)
110	33.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SALES CLERK, SECRETARY)
31	41.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGHEST LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES HOEHEREN DIENSTES)
30	42.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGH LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES GEHOBENEN DIENSTES)
82	43.	CIVIL SERVANT - MEDIUM LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES MITTLEREN DIENSTES" UP TO "AMTMANN" AND "ASSESSOR")
51	44.	CIVIL SERVANT - LOW LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES EINFACHEN DIENSTES" UP TO "OBERSEKRETAER")
516	51.	SKILLED WORKER
268	61.	SEMI-SKILLED OR UNSKILLED WORKER
5	71.	FARMER, LARGE FARM
53	72.	FARMER, MEDIUM SIZE FARM
28	73.	FARMER, SMALL FARM
5	81.	FARM WORKER
33	91.	HOUSEWIFE
1	92.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING
29	93.	RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION AND NO PRIOR OCCUPATION ASCERTAINED
14	99.	NA
153	00.	INAP., CODED 6-9 IN Q.48

VAR 0124	REF 0124	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- SIZE OF HOUSEHOLD	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 177 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 40	

Q.50. HOW MANY PERSONS PERMANENTLY LIVE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD,  
INCLUDING YOURSELF?

.....

• • • • •

371	1.	1 PERSON
568	2.	.
413	3.	.
346	4.	.
184	5.	.
85	6.	.
29	7.	.
20	8.	.
10	9.	9 OR MORE PERSONS

```
VAR 0125 REF 0125 DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- NO OF PRSNS 18 OR OVR MD=0
LOC 178 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 41
```

Q.51. (IF MORE THAN ONE PERSON IN THE HOUSEHOLD) HOW MANY  
 <OF THE PERSONS IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD> ARE 18 YEARS OLD OR  
 OLDER?

36	1.	1 PERSON
1178	2.	.
298	3.	.
107	4.	.
29	5.	.
2	6.	.
1	7.	.
1	8.	.
	9.	9 OR MORE PERSONS
371	0.	INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.50

```
VAR 0126                                REF 0126                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- NO PRSNS INDPNDNT INC      MD=0
LOC 179 WIDTH 1                      DK 3 COL 42
```

Q.52. (IF MORE THAN ONE PERSON IN THE HOUSEHOLD)  
HOW MANY PERSONS IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD HAVE THEIR OWN INCOME,  
I MEAN, HOW MANY PERSONS EARN THEIR OWN INCOME OR RECEIVE  
INCOME FROM RETIREMENT, PENSIONS, INTERESTS, RENTS, ETC.?

826	1.	1 PERSON
643	2.	.

(CONTINUED)

.....

141	3.	.
37	4.	.
4	5.	.
	6.	.
2	7.	.
	8.	.
	9.	9 OR MORE PERSONS
371	0.	INAP., CODED 1 Q.50

VAR 0127	REF 0127	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- FAMILY'S NET INCOME	MD=99	
LOC 180 WIDTH 2	DK 3 COL 43-44	

Q.53. NOW WHEN YOU ADD EVERYTHING TOGETHER, WHAT IS THE MONTHLY NET INCOME FOR ALL OF YOU AFTER DEDUCTING TAXES AND SOCIAL SECURITY? PLEASE GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTER FROM THIS LIST WHICH IS APPROPRIATE FOR YOU.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST E TO RESPONDENT. IF AFTER PROBING, YOU RECEIVE NO ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION, MAKE YOUR OWN ESTIMATE.>

.....

DOLLAR EQUIVALENTS FOR 1972 WERE COMPUTED ON AN EXCHANGE RATE OF \$31.03 = 100 DM. THIS EXCHANGE RATE WAS OBTAINED FROM THE "MONATSBERICHTE DER DEUTSCHEN BUNDESBANK."

67	01.	UP TO 399 DM	<UP TO \$124>
128	02.	400-599 DM	<\$125-185>
159	03.	600-799 DM	<\$186-248>
237	04.	800-999 DM	<\$249-309>
285	05.	1,000-1,199 DM	<\$310-371>
359	06.	1,200-1,499 DM	<\$372-464>
383	07.	1,500-1,999 DM	<\$465-619>
214	08.	2,000-2,499 DM	<\$620-774>
87	09.	2,500-3,499 DM	<\$775-1084>
63	10.	3,500 DM AND ABOVE	<\$1085 AND ABOVE>
44	99.	NA	

VAR 0128	REF 0128	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- REFUGEE/EXPEL IN HSLD	MD=GE 97	
LOC 182 WIDTH 2	DK 3 COL 45-46	

Q.54. ARE YOU OR A MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD A REFUGEE FROM THE GDR OR AN EXPELLEE <FROM THE FORMER GERMAN TERRITORIES>?

.....

57	10.	ONLY R IS A REFUGEE
14	11.	R AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD ARE REFUGEES
1	12.	R IS A REFUGEE AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS AN EXPELLEE
24	13.	ONLY SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS A REFUGEE
239	20.	ONLY R IS AN EXPELLEE
24	21.	R AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD ARE EXPELLEES
2	22.	R IS AN EXPELLEE AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS A REFUGEE
102	23.	ONLY SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS AN EXPELLEE
1548	30.	NO ONE IN THE FAMILY IS A REFUGEE OR EXPELLEE
14	97.	NA
	98.	DK
1	99.	REFUSED

VAR	0129	REF	0129	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1-	UNION MBR IN HSLD	MD=GE	7	
LOC	184 WIDTH 1	DK	3 COL 47	

Q.55. ARE YOU OR IS SOMEONE ELSE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION?

.....

353	1.	ONLY R IS A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION
13	2.	R AND SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD ARE MEMBERS OF A LABOR UNION
282	3.	ONLY SOMEONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD IS A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION
1341	4.	NO ONE IS A MEMBER OF A LABOR UNION
7	7.	NA
20	8.	DK
9	9.	REFUSED

Q.56. WHAT IS YOUR RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST F TO RESPONDENT.>

Q.59. TYPE OF TOWN  
.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

## VILLAGE

- 347           10.   VILLAGE IN ENTIRELY RURAL AREA  
307           11.   VILLAGE NEAR A MIDDLE SIZED OR LARGE CITY

## SMALL RURAL TOWN

- 116           20.   IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL RURAL  
              TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)  
113           21.   IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA  
              OF A SMALL RURAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

## SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN

- 65           30.   IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL IN-  
              DUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)  
50           31.   IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
              A SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

## MEDIUM SIZED NON-INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 63           40.   IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED  
              CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH LITTLE IN-  
              DUSTRY  
82           41.   IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
              A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS)  
              WITH LITTLE INDUSTRY

## MEDIUM SIZED INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 70           50.   IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED  
              CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH A LOT OF  
              INDUSTRY  
89           51.   IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
              A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS)  
              WITH A LOT OF INDUSTRY

## LARGE CITY

- 252           60.   IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A LARGE CITY  
187           61.   BETWEEN THE CENTER AND THE SUBURBS OF A LARGE CITY  
180           62.   IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY  
              URBAN CHARACTER  
63           63.   IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY RU-  
              RAL CHARACTER

• • • • •

VAR 0133	REF 0133	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-1- R LIVE DVLPMNT AREA	MD=9	
LOC 189 WIDTH 1	DK 3 COL 52	

.....

VAR 0001	REF 0001	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-ICPR	STUDY NUMBER - 7102	NO MISSING DATA CODES
LOC 1	WIDTH 4	DK 4 COL 1- 4

.....

DK 4 COL 5-6

• • • •

VAR 0002	REF 0002	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 5 WIDTH 4	DK 4 COL 7-10	

• • • • •

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0134	REF 0134	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- PRSNT ECON SIT GFR	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 190 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 11	

Q.1. HOW WOULD YOU, QUITE GENERALLY, EVALUATE THE PRESENT

(CONTINUED)

.....

ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC? VERY GOOD,  
GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD, OR BAD?

.....

36	1.	VERY GOOD
816	2.	GOOD
587	3.	PART GOOD/PART BAD
98	4.	MORE BAD
25	5.	BAD
20	8.	DK
1	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0135	REF 0135	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- FUTURE ECON SIT GFR	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 191 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 12	

Q.2. AND HOW WILL IT BE IN A YEAR? DO YOU EXPECT  
THAT THE GENERAL ECONOMIC SITUATION IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC  
WILL THEN BE SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, THE  
SAME, SOMEWHAT WORSE, OR SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE?

.....

15	1.	SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER
306	2.	SOMEWHAT BETTER
965	3.	THE SAME
120	4.	SOMEWHAT WORSE
7	5.	SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE
169	8.	DK
2	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0136	REF 0136	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R'S PRSNT ECON SIT	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 192 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 13	

Q.3. HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR OWN ECONOMIC SITUATION TODAY?  
VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD OR BAD?

.....

49	1.	VERY GOOD
1022	2.	GOOD

(CONTINUED)

.....

403	3.	PART GOOD/PART BAD
80	4.	MORE BAD
24	5.	BAD
4	8.	DK
2	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0137	REF 0137	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R'S POLTTL INTEREST	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 193 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 14	

Q.4. QUITE GENERALLY, ARE YOU INTERESTED IN POLITICS?

.....

817	1.	YES
538	2.	NOT PARTICULARLY
226	3.	NOT AT ALL
2	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0138	REF 0138	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 1ST SOURCE POLTTL INFO	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 194 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 15	

Q.5. (IF R HAS ANY INTEREST IN POLITICS)  
 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT FOR YOU WHEN YOU WANT TO FORM A  
 POLITICAL OPINION: TELEVISION, RADIO, NEWSPAPERS, PERSONAL  
 DISCUSSIONS OR WHAT ELSE?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: IF POSSIBLE, ADMIT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.&gt;

Q.5 (A). FIRST MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION

.....

834	1.	TELEVISION
57	2.	RADIO
285	3.	NEWSPAPERS
171	4.	PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
1	5.	OTHER
7	9.	NA
670	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR	0139	REF	0139	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	2ND SOURCE	POLTL INFO	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC	195 WIDTH	1	DK 4 COL 16	

Q.5(B). SECOND MENTION OF MEANS R USES TO FORM HIS OPINION

<SEE Q.5 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

21	1.	TELEVISION
53	2.	RADIO
111	3.	NEWSPAPERS
28	4.	PERSONAL DISCUSSIONS
1	5.	OTHER
1142	9.	NA; NO SECOND MENTION
670	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.4; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0140	REF 0140	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- CDU/CSU- PRFRC RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 196 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 17	

Q.6. HERE ARE FIVE CARDS WITH THE NAMES OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC. WOULD YOU PLEASE RANK THESE CARDS ACCORDING TO HOW WELL YOU LIKE THE PARTIES? ON TOP SHOULD BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE BEST, AT THE BOTTOM THEN WILL BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE LEAST.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE WHITE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND TO RESPONDENT.>

Q.6 (A). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO CDU/CSU

575	1.	FIRST RANK
242	2.	SECOND RANK
586	3.	THIRD RANK
71	4.	FOURTH RANK
30	5.	FIFTH RANK
79	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0141	REF 0141	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- SPD- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 197 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 18	

Q.6 (B). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO SPD  
.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

851	1.	FIRST RANK
426	2.	SECOND RANK
209	3.	THIRD RANK
23	4.	FOURTH RANK
3	5.	FIFTH RANK
72	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0142	REF 0142	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- FDP- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 198 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 19	

Q.6 (C) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO FDP  
.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

82	1.	FIRST RANK
822	2.	SECOND RANK
578	3.	THIRD RANK
15	4.	FOURTH RANK
8	5.	FIFTH RANK
79	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR	0143	REF	0143	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	NPD-	PREFERENCE	RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9
LOC	199	WIDTH	1	DK 4 COL 20

Q.6 (D) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO NPD  
.....

<SEE 0.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

(CONTINUED)

• • • • •

5	1.	FIRST RANK
13	2.	SECOND RANK
51	3.	THIRD RANK
911	4.	FOURTH RANK
484	5.	FIFTH RANK
121	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

```
VAR 0144                                REF 0144                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.6 (E). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO DKP  
.....

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

3	1.	FIRST RANK
7	2.	SECOND RANK
67	3.	THIRD RANK
439	4.	FOURTH RANK
936	5.	FIFTH RANK
131	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0145	REF 0145	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 1ST PTY PRFRC RANK	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 201 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 22	

RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE RANKING  
.....

THIS VARIABLE EXTRACTS THE RESPONDENT'S FIRST PARTY PREFERENCE FROM THE PARTY RANK ORDERING (Q.6 (A) - 6 (E)).

575	1.	R	RANKS	CDU/CSU	FIRST
851	2.	R	RANKS	SPD	FIRST
82	3.	R	RANKS	FDP	FIRST
5	4.	R	RANKS	NPd	FIRST
3	5.	R	RANKS	DKP	FIRST

(CONTINUED)

.....

6	8.	NO PARTY RANKED FIRST
61	9.	NA FOR ALL FIVE PARTY RANKINGS
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0146	REF 0146	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- STSFCTN SPD/FDP GOVT	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 202 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 23-24	

Q.7. ARE YOU MORE SATISFIED OR MORE DISSATISFIED WITH WHAT THE PRESENT SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT IN BONN HAS ACCOMPLISHED SO FAR? PLEASE TELL ME WITH THE AID OF THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 1 TO RESPONDENT AND LEAVE IT UNTIL QUESTION NO. 8.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU ARE COMPLETELY SATISFIED WITH THE PRESENT GOVERNMENT IN BONN. -5 MEANS THAT YOU ARE NOT AT ALL SATISFIED WITH WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS ACCOMPLISHED SO FAR. USING THE VALUES IN BETWEEN YOU CAN EXPRESS YOUR OPINION MORE PRECISELY.

.....

54	01.	NOT AT ALL SATISFIED
32	02.	.
63	03.	.
65	04.	.
46	05.	.
92	06.	UNDECIDED
99	07.	.
196	08.	.
375	09.	.
285	10.	.
266	11.	COMPLETELY SATISFIED
11	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0147	REF 0147	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- STSFCTN CDU/CSU OPSTN	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 204 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 25-26	

Q.8. AND HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PRESENT CDU/CSU OPPOSITION IN BONN? PLEASE TELL ME AGAIN WITH THE AID OF THIS SCALE.

.....

(CONTINUED)

• • • • •

<SEE Q.7 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

130	01.	NOT AT ALL SATISFIED
79	02.	.
129	03.	.
85	04.	.
103	05.	.
157	06.	UNDECIDED
199	07.	.
237	08.	.
229	09.	.
133	10.	.
91	11.	COMPLETELY SATISFIED
14	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

```
VAR 0148                                REF 0148                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- AGRMNT W EASTRN PLCY          MD=0 OR GE 7
LOC 206 WIDTH 1                        DK 4 COL 27
```

Q.9. AND WHEN YOU NOW THINK ABOUT THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK") OF THE BRANDT/SCHEEL GOVERNMENT, DO YOU AGREE WITH THIS POLICY OR DO YOU REJECT IT?

.....

975	1.	AGREEMENT
332	2.	PART AGREEMENT/PART REJECTION
191	3.	REJECTION
1	7.	NA
79	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR	0149	REF	0149	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	GDR AGRMNT BFR/AFT EL	MD=0	OR GE 7	
LOC	207 WIDTH 1	DK 4	COL 28	

Q.10. AT THE PRESENT TIME THERE ARE NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC AND THE GDR CONCERNING THE COMPLETION OF A BASIC AGREEMENT ("GRUNDVERTAG") WHICH IS SUPPOSED TO REGULATE RELATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO. DO YOU THINK

(CONTINUED)

.....

THIS TREATY SHOULD BE COMPLETED BEFORE OR AFTER THE  
ELECTION?

.....

629	1.	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION
581	2.	AFTER THE FEDERAL ELECTION
7	7.	NA
341	8.	DK
27	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0150	REF 0150	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- STSFCTN POLTL SYSTEM	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 208 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 29	

Q.11. WHAT WOULD YOU GENERALLY SAY ABOUT DEMOCRACY IN THE  
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, THAT IS, ABOUT OUR POLITICAL  
PARTIES AND OUR ENTIRE POLITICAL SYSTEM? ARE YOU VERY  
SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, OR NOT SATISFIED WITH IT?

.....

334	1.	VERY SATISFIED
1095	2.	SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
77	3.	NOT SATISFIED
9	7.	NA
64	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0151	REF 0151	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- POLTN KNWN R- 1ST MNT	MD=0 OR GE 98	
LOC 209 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 30-31	

Q.12. AND WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT POLITICIANS IN THE FEDERAL  
REPUBLIC, WHICH NAMES OCCUR TO YOU?

Q.12(A). FIRST MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

.....

10	01.	ADENAUER (CDU)
2	02.	AHLERS (SPD)
	03.	ARENDT (SPD)

(CONTINUED)

.....

	04.	ARNDT (SPD)
	05.	BAHR (SPD)
272	06.	BARZEL (CDU)
957	07.	BRANDT (SPD)
	08.	VON DOHNANY (SPD)
	09.	DOLLINGER (CSU)
	10.	DREGGER (CDU)
	11.	EHMKE (SPD)
	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
7	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
3	15.	ERTL (FDP)
	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
6	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
1	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
21	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)
	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
1	25.	JAHN (SPD)
	26.	KATZER (CDU)
8	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
6	28.	KOHL (CDU)
1	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
3	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
1	34.	MENDE (CDU)
	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
1	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
85	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
11	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
36	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
30	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
8	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
92	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)
	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
	53.	WEYER (FDP)

• • • • •

16 98. NO POLITICIAN MENTIONED; NA  
441 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR	0152	REF	0152	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	POLTN KNWN R- 2ND MNT	MD=0	OR GE 98	
LOC	211 WIDTH 2	DK 4	COL 32-33	

Q.12 (B) . SECOND MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
.....  
<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0153	REF 0153	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- POLTNN KNWN R- 3RD MNT	MD=0 OR GE 98	
LOC 213 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 34-35	

Q.12(C). THIRD MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

```
VAR 0154                                REF 0154                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.12 (D) . FOURTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R  
 .....  
 <SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

<SEE 0.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.12 (I). NINTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

(CONTINUED)

• • • • •

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0160	REF 0160	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- POLTNN KNWN R-10TH MNT	MD=0 OR GE 98	
LOC 227 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 48-49	

Q.12 (J) . TENTH MENTION OF A POLITICIAN KNOWN TO R

.....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

VAR 0161	REF 0161	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- SCALOMETER- BRANDT	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 229 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 50-51	

Q.13. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR, WILLY BRANDT AND RAINER BARZEL? PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 2 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTICULAR  
CANDIDATE. -5 MEANS YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL.

0.13 (A) . WHAT DO YOU THINK OF WILLY BRANDT?

.....

77	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
45	02.	.
68	03.	.
38	04.	.
44	05.	.
89	06.	UNDECIDED
86	07.	.
130	08.	.
239	09.	.
276	10.	.
479	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
14	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

49	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
39	02.	.
66	03.	.
50	04.	.
39	05.	.

(CONTINUED)

.....

70	06.	UNDECIDED
111	07.	.
159	08.	.
276	09.	.
318	10.	.
391	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
17	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0164	REF 0164	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- SCALOMETER- CDU	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 235 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 56-57	

Q.14(B). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CDU?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

113	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
76	02.	.
118	03.	.
66	04.	.
82	05.	.
120	06.	UNDECIDED
188	07.	.
201	08.	.
246	09.	.
190	10.	.
166	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
17	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0165	REF 0165	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- SCALOMETER- CSU	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 237 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 58-59	

Q.14(C). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CSU?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

243	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
-----	-----	---------------------------------------

(CONTINUED)

.....

91	02.	.
118	03.	.
75	04.	.
101	05.	.
134	06.	UNDECIDED
171	07.	.
186	08.	.
189	09.	.
133	10.	.
125	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
18	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0166	REF 0166	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- SCALOMETER- FDP	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 239 WIDTH 2	DK 4 COL 60-61	

Q.14 (D) . AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE FDP?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

67	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
39	02.	.
64	03.	.
46	04.	.
84	05.	.
155	06.	UNDECIDED
208	07.	.
313	08.	.
325	09.	.
180	10.	.
79	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
23	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0167	REF 0167	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- CAND 1ST VOTE 1972	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 241 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 62	

Q.15. THIS ENVELOPE CONTAINS A VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO  
THE ONE YOU WILL RECEIVE FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION. PLEASE

(CONTINUED)

.....

TAKE OUT THE BALLOT AND MARK, IN SECRET, HOW YOU WILL VOTE IN THE UPCOMING FEDERAL ELECTION (ON NOVEMBER 19, 1972). AS YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE TWO VOTES: THE FIRST IS FOR A CANDIDATE IN THE LOCAL CONSTITUENCY, WHILE THE SECOND VOTE IS FOR A PARTY. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PLEASE PUT THE BALLOT INTO THE ENVELOPE AND SEAL IT WITH THE STAMP.

<INTERVIEWER: AFTER READING THE QUESTION, HAND WHITE ENVELOPE WITH THE BALLOT TO THE RESPONDENT, THEN TURN AWAY FROM RESPONDENT, GO TO THE WINDOW, ETC. WHEN THE RESPONDENT IS FINISHED TAKE THE SEALED ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.15(A). RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE IN 1972)

.....

803	1.	SPD <-CANDIDATE>
514	2.	CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
69	3.	FDP <-CANDIDATE>
4	4.	NPD <-CANDIDATE>
3	5.	DKP <-CANDIDATE>
18	6.	WILL NOT VOTE
54	7.	NA
23	8.	DK
95	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0168

REF 0168

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- PARTY 2ND VOTE 1972

MD=0 OR GE 7

LOC 242 WIDTH 1

DK 4 COL 63

Q.15(B). RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR PARTY LIST IN 1972)

.....

<SEE Q.15 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

751	1.	SPD
522	2.	CDU/CSU
115	3.	FDP
9	4.	NPD
4	5.	DKP
18	6.	WILL NOT VOTE

(CONTINUED)

.....

48	7.	NA
23	8.	DK
94	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0169	REF 0169	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- WHEN MADE VT DECISION MD=0 OR GE 7		
LOC 243 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 64		

Q.16. CAN YOU PLEASE TELL ME WHEN YOU REACHED THIS  
DECISION? DID YOU MAKE IT A LONG TIME AGO, ONLY A FEW  
MONTHS AGO, OR HAVE YOU MADE THIS DECISION IN THE LAST  
FEW WEEKS?

.....

1202	1.	A LONG TIME AGO
148	2.	A FEW MONTHS AGO
117	3.	IN THE LAST FEW WEEKS
21	7.	NA
49	8.	DK
47	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0170	REF 0170	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- DID R VOTE IN 1969 MD=0 OR GE 7		
LOC 244 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 65		

Q.17. HOW WAS IT THREE YEARS AGO AT THE LAST FEDERAL ELEC-  
TION <1969>: DID YOU VOTE AT THAT TIME?

.....

1355	1.	YES
88	2.	NO
4	7.	NA
29	8.	REFUSED
107	9.	NOT YET ELIGIBLE TO VOTE
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.18. (IF R VOTED IN 1969) HERE IS A VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU RECEIVED THREE YEARS AGO FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION OF 1969. PLEASE MARK, IN SECRET, HOW YOU VOTED THEN. AT THAT TIME, YOU HAD TWO VOTES. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PUT THE BALLOT BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE AND SEAL IT.

Q.18 (A) . RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY  
CANDIDATE IN 1969)

Q.18 (B) .    RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR THE PARTY LIST IN 1969)

672	1.	SPD
467	2.	CDU/CSU
80	3.	FDP
7	4.	NPD

(CONTINUED)

.....

4	5.	DKP
34	7.	NA
22	8.	DO NOT REMEMBER
69	9.	REFUSED
670	0.	INAP., CODED 2, OR 7-9 IN Q.17; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0173	REF 0173	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- PREFERED GOVT COALTN	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 247 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 68	

Q.19. WE HAVE NOTED HERE SOME OF THE POSSIBLE FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS. WHICH OF THESE WOULD YOU MOST LIKE TO SEE IN BONN AFTER THE ELECTION?

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE THE RED DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT TO THE RESPONDENT.>

JUST TELL ME THE LETTER <WHICH CORRESPONDS TO YOUR CHIOCE>.

.....

212	1.	GRAND COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND SPD
267	2.	GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE
102	3.	COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND FDP
348	4.	GOVERNMENT OF THE CDU/CSU ALONE
541	5.	COALITION OF SPD AND FDP
4	7.	NA
89	8.	DK
20	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0174	REF 0174	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- COALTN PARTNER F NESC	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 248 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 69	

Q.20. (IF R PREFERS A GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE OR CDU/CSU ALONE) LET US ASSUME THAT THE... (PARTY MENTIONED IN QUESTION NO. 19) WILL NOT RECEIVE ENOUGH VOTES IN THE COMING FEDERAL ELECTION TO FORM THE GOVERNMENT ALONE. WHICH PARTY SHOULD THE... (PARTY MENTIONED IN QUESTION NO. 19) FORM A GOVERNMENT WITH IN THAT CASE?

.....

(CONTINUED)

• • • • •

81	1.	WITH THE SPD
19	2.	WITH THE CDU/CSU
331	3.	WITH THE FDP
113	4.	WITH NO OTHER PARTY
11	7.	NA
61	8.	DK
	9.	REFUSED
1410	0.	INAP., CODED 1,3,5 OR 7-9 IN Q.19; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0175	REF 0175	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- SMRY PRFR GOVT COALTN	MD=0 OR GE 6	
LOC 249 WIDTH 1	DK 4 COL 70	

## R'S PREFERRED GOVERNMENT COALITION

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES RESPONSES TO Q.19 AND Q.20  
TO PROVIDE ONE SUMMARY VARIABLE.

312	1.	GRAND COALITION OF CDU/CSU AND SPD
21	2.	GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD ALONE
214	3.	COALITION OF THE CDU/CSU AND FDP
92	4.	GOVERNMENT OF THE CDU/CSU ALONE
761	5.	COALITION OF SPD AND FDP
72	6.	GOVERNMENT OF THE SPD OR CDU/CSU, COALITION PARTNER NA
4	7.	NA
89	8.	DK
20	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

```
VAR 0176                                REF 0176                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- WHOM PRFRD AS CHNCLLR      MD=0 OR GE 8
      LOC 250 WIDTH 1              DK 4 COL 71
```

Q.21. WHOM WOULD YOU PREFER AS CHANCELLOR: WILLY BRANDT OR  
RAINER BARZEL?

.....

924            1.    WILLY BRANDT

(CONTINUED)

.....

155	2.	NEITHER
410	3.	RAINER BARZEL
93	8.	DK
2	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0177

REF 0177

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2-	WHY PRFR BRANDT-1	MNT	MD=0	OR GE 98
LOC	251	WIDTH	2	DK 4 COL 72-73

Q.22. (IF R PREFERS BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR) AND WHY DO YOU  
PREFER WILLY BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR?

Q.22(A). FIRST MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY  
BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR

.....

## GENERAL REASON

227	11.	GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
156	12.	DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON), E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY
81	13.	DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE, COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE
28	14.	STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL), E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT, OPPORTUNIST

## SPECIFIC REASON

195	21.	GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT, WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY
75	22.	FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS, POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR, POLAND
45	23.	SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE: EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE
38	24.	PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE

(CONTINUED)

.....

2        25. ECONOMIC POLICY  
1        26. EUROPEAN POLICY

## OTHER REASON

52        31. STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT,  
          E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I  
          AM PARTY SUPPORTER  
4        32. STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE),  
          E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE  
          ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)  
11        33. OTHER REASON  
  
1        98. DK  
7        99. NA  
1101      00. INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE  
          INTERVIEW

VAR 0178

REF 0178

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- WHY PRFR BRANDT-2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
LOC 253 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 74-75

Q.22(B). SECOND MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY  
BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.22 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

## GENERAL REASON

32        11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,  
          E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER  
66        12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON),  
          E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER  
          CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY  
56        13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A  
          POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD  
          APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE,  
          COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS  
          MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE  
35        14. STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL),  
          E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT,  
          OPPORTUNIST

## SPECIFIC REASON

(CONTINUED)

.....

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 79 | 21. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT, WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY |
| 71 | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS, POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR, POLAND  |
| 40 | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE: EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE   |
| 46 | 24. | PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE   |
| 3  | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY   |
| 5  | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY   |

## OTHER REASON

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 27   | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT, E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I AM PARTY SUPPORTER                      |
| 2    | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE), E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>) |
| 9    | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 445  | 97. | NO SECOND MENTION  |
| 1    | 98. | DK   |
| 7    | 99. | NA   |
| 1101 | 00. | INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW  |

VAR 0179

REF 0179

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- WHY PRFR BRANDT-3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 96

LOC 255 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 76-77

Q.22(C). THIRD MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING WILLY BRANDT AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.22 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

## GENERAL REASON

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 8 | 11. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION, E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER                         |
| 9 | 12. | DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BRANDT AS A PERSON), E.G., OPEN, HONEST, SINCERE, FAIR, JUST, BETTER |

(CONTINUED)

.....

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 8  | 13. | CHARACTER, BETTER PERSONALITY, HUMAN, TRUSTWORTHY<br>DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BRANDT AS A<br>POLITICIAN) E.G., ENGAGES HIMSELF, WORKS HARD, GOOD<br>APPEARANCE, OPEN, SURE APPEARANCE, RESPONSIBLE,<br>COURTEOUS, BALANCED, FLEXIBLE, KEEPS PROMISES, IS<br>MORE CAPABLE, IS MORE DEMOCRATIC, SENSIBLE |
| 17 | 14. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (PERSONAL),<br>E.G., TOO SMOOTH, DISHONEST, MALICIOUS, IMPUDENT,<br>OPPORTUNIST   |

## SPECIFIC REASON

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 16 | 21. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, E.G., LIKED ALL PAST<br>ACHIEVEMENTS, GUARANTEES BALANCED POLICY, CLEAR<br>POLITICAL IDEAS, GOOD ARGUMENTS, HAS DONE A LOT,<br>WANTS MORE DEMOCRACY |
| 19 | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY, TREATIES WITH THE EAST, SUCCESS,<br>POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), GDR,<br>POLAND   |
| 27 | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY, DOMESTIC POLICIES, FOR INSTANCE:<br>EFFORT FOR THE SMALL PEOPLE   |
| 19 | 24. | PEACE POLICY, NOBEL PEACE PRIZE  |
| 3  | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY  |
| 4  | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY  |

## OTHER REASON

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 5    | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BRANDT,<br>E.G., SPD PROGRAM IS BETTER, AFRAID OF CHANGE, I<br>AM PARTY SUPPORTER                      |
|      | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BARZEL (SUBSTANTIVE),<br>E.G., UNCLEAR VIEWS, NO CONFIDENCE VOTE<br>("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>) |
| 5    | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 333  | 96. | NO THIRD MENTION   |
| 445  | 97. | NO SECOND AND THIRD MENTION  |
| 1    | 98. | DK   |
| 7    | 99. | NA   |
| 1101 | 00. | INAP., CODED 2,3,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE<br>INTERVIEW   |

VAR 0180

REF 0180

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- WHY PRFR BARZEL-1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 98  
 LOC 257 WIDTH 2 DK 4 COL 78-79

Q.23. (IF R PREFERS BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR) AND WHY DO YOU  
 PREFER RAINER BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR?

Q.23(A). FIRST MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING RAINER  
 BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

#### GENERAL REASON

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 58 | 11. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,<br>E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER  |
| 36 | 12. | DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),<br>E.G., HONEST   |
| 88 | 13. | DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A<br>POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY<br>AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC |
| 24 | 14. | STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),<br>E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,<br>BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES         |

#### SPECIFIC REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 36 | 21. | SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,<br>GOOD PARTY LEADER |
| 5  | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY  |
| 5  | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY   |
| 1  | 24. | PEACE POLICY  |
| 18 | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY   |
|    | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY   |

#### OTHER REASON

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 103  | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,<br>E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,<br>I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE<br>CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE<br>CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF |
| 7    | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),<br>E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT   |
| 22   | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 5    | 98. | DK   |
| 3    | 99. | NA   |
| 1615 | 00. | INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE  |

(CONTINUED)

• • • • •

## INTERVIEW

VAR	0001	REF	0001	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-ICPR	STUDY NUMBER - 7102	NO MISSING DATA	CODES	
LOC	1 WIDTH 4	DK	5 COL 1- 4	

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)

.....

' 05 '

• • • •

DK 5 COL 5- 6

VAR 0002	REF 0002	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 5 WIDTH 4	DK 5 COL 7-10	

RESPONDENT NUMBER

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR	0181	REF	0181	DATA SET	ID-'7102'
NAME-2-	WHY PRFR BARZEL-2 MNT	MD=0	OR GE 97		
LOC	259 WIDTH 2	DK 5	COL 11-12		

Q.23 (B). SECOND MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING  
RAINER BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

## GENERAL REASON

- 11 11. GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,  
E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER
- 13 12. DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),  
E.G., HONEST
- 27 13. DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A  
POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY  
AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC
- 19 14. STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),  
E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,  
BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

(CONTINUED)

.....

## SPECIFIC REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 13 | 21. | SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,<br>GOOD PARTY LEADER |
| 7  | 22. | FOREIGN POLICY  |
| 3  | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY   |
| 2  | 24. | PEACE POLICY  |
| 13 | 25. | ECONOMIC POLICY   |
|    | 26. | EUROPEAN POLICY   |

## OTHER REASON

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 13   | 31. | STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,<br>E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,<br>I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE<br>CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE<br>CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF |
| 8    | 32. | STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),<br>E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT   |
| 5    | 33. | OTHER REASON   |
| 269  | 97. | NO SECOND MENTION  |
| 5    | 98. | DK   |
| 3    | 99. | NA   |
| 1615 | 00. | INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE<br>INTERVIEW   |

VAR 0182

REF 0182

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- WHY PRFR BARZEL-3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 96  
LOC 261 WIDTH 2 DK 5 COL 13-14

Q.23(C). THIRD MENTION OF REASON FOR PREFERRING RAINER  
BARZEL AS CHANCELLOR.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

## GENERAL REASON

- |   |     |   |
|---|-----|---|
| 4 | 11. | GENERALLY FAVORABLE, NO FURTHER DIFFERENTIATION,<br>E.G., LIKE HIM BETTER                             |
| 1 | 12. | DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER (BARZEL AS A PERSON),<br>E.G., HONEST  |
| 5 | 13. | DESCRIPTION OF APPEARANCE, ACTION (BARZEL AS A<br>POLITICIAN) E.G., TALKS WELL, CAPABLE, ABLE, ENERGY |

(CONTINUED)

.....

- 1            14.        AND VERVE, MORE REALISTIC  
STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (PERSONAL),  
E.G., BRANDT'S PAST, BRANDT HAS NO CHARACTER,  
BRANDT LACKS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

## SPECIFIC REASON

- 1            21.        SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT, E.G., GREATER EXPERIENCE,  
GOOD PARTY LEADER  
2            22.        FOREIGN POLICY  
2            23.        SOCIAL POLICY  
2            24.        PEACE POLICY  
5            25.        ECONOMIC POLICY  
5            26.        EUROPEAN POLICY

## OTHER REASON

- 4            31.        STATEMENT NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO BARZEL,  
E.G., BECAUSE HE BELONGS TO A CHRISTIAN PARTY,  
I AM CLOSE TO THE CDU, BELONG TO THE PARTY OF THE  
CENTER, HE IS THE CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OF THE  
CDU/CSU, BETTER STAFF  
3            32.        STATEMENT AGAINST BRANDT (SUBSTANTIVE),  
E.G., WRONG ECONOMIC POLICY OF BRANDT  
4            33.        OTHER REASON  
  
104          96.        NO THIRD MENTION  
269          97.        NO SECOND AND THIRD MENTION  
5            98.        DK  
3            99.        NA  
1615        00.        INAP., CODED 1,2,8 OR 9 IN Q.21; NO SECOND WAVE  
INTERVIEW

VAR 0183

NAME-2- STRAUSS' INFLUENCE  
LOC 263 WIDTH 1

REF 0183

MD=0 OR GE 7  
DK 5 COL 15

DATA SET ID-'7102'

Q.24. ONE FREQUENTLY HEARS THE OPINION THAT IN THE EVENT  
OF AN ELECTION VICTORY OF THE CDU/CSU, FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS  
WOULD HAVE ESPECIALLY GREAT INFLUENCE ON THE POLICY OF THE  
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HOW DO YOU PERSONALLY FEEL ABOUT THIS:  
SHOULD FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS, IN A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT, HAVE  
GREAT INFLUENCE, APPROPRIATE INFLUENCE OR AS LITTLE  
INFLUENCE AS POSSIBLE?

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

199	1.	GREAT INFLUENCE
526	2.	APPROPRIATE INFLUENCE
693	3.	AS LITTLE INFLUENCE AS POSSIBLE
5	7.	NA
150	8.	DK
10	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0184

REF 0184

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2-	WHO	BST	ECON	MINISTER	MD=0	OR	GE	97
LOC	264	WIDTH	2		DK	5	COL	16-17

Q.25. IN YOUR OPINION, WHICH POLITICIAN WOULD BE BEST  
QUALIFIED TO BE MINISTER OF ECONOMICS?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: ADMIT ONLY ONE NAME.&gt;

.....

	01.	ADENAUER (CDU)
	02.	AHLERS (SPD)
2	03.	ARENDT (SPD)
1	04.	ARNDT (SPD)
	05.	BAHR (SPD)
3	06.	BARZEL (CDU)
3	07.	BRANDT (SPD)
	08.	VON DOHNANY (SPD)
	09.	DOLLINGER (CSU)
	10.	DREGGER (CDU)
	11.	EHMKE (SPD)
5	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
72	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
3	15.	ERTL (FDP)
	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
10	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
2	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)
	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
1	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
	25.	JAHN (SPD)
1	26.	KATZER (CDU)
1	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)

(CONTINUED)

.....

	28.	KOHL (CDU)
	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
6	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	34.	MENDE (CDU)
	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
16	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
8	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
176	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
1	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
355	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
1	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
9	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
17	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
201	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)
	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
1	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
1	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
	53.	WEYER (FDP)
	54.	WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
31	55.	NARJES (CDU)
	56.	WEHNER (SPD)
3	90.	OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
1	91.	OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
1	92.	OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
2	93.	OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY
12	97.	NA
631	98.	DK
8	99.	REFUSED
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0185

REF 0185

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- FIN EFFECT OF ELCTN MD=0 OR GE 7

LOC 266 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 18

Q.26. NOW PLEASE THINK ABOUT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION OR  
THAT OF YOUR FAMILY. DOES IT MAKE A GREAT DIFFERENCE, SOME  
DIFFERENCE OR PRACTICALLY NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL, WHETHER

(CONTINUED)

.....

AFTER THE ELECTION THE SPD AND THE FDP AGAIN FORM THE  
GOVERNMENT TOGETHER, OR WHETHER THE CDU/CSU FORMS THE  
GOVERNMENT?

.....

171	1.	GREAT DIFFERENCE
426	2.	SOME DIFFERENCE
798	3.	NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL
7	7.	NA
175	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0186	REF 0186	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- PTY TO IMPRV FIN SIT	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 267 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 19	

Q.27. (IF R THINKS THE ELECTION OUTCOME WILL MAKE A  
DIFFERENCE) AND WHICH OF THE TWO GOVERNMENTS WOULD BE MOST  
LIKELY TO IMPROVE YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION, A CDU/CSU  
GOVERNMENT OR A SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT?

.....

211	1.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
347	2.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
11	7.	NA
27	8.	DK
2	9.	REFUSED
1427	0.	INAP., CODED 3 OR 7-9 IN Q.26; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0187	REF 0187	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- CNG PTY:LS SEAT PRLMT	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 268 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 20	

Q.27A. YOU KNOW, PERHAPS, THAT IN THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR  
VARIOUS MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT ("BUNDESTAGSABGEORDNETE")  
OF THE SPD AND THE FDP HAVE SWITCHED PARTIES AND CONVERTED  
TO THE CDU/CSU. SHOULD SUCH MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, IN THE  
CASE OF CONVERSION TO OTHER PARTIES, KEEP THEIR SEATS OR  
OR SHOULD THEY GIVE THEIR SEATS BACK TO THEIR PARTIES IN  
THE CASE OF CONVERSION SINCE THEY WERE ELECTED AS

(CONTINUED)

.....

CANDIDATES OF A PARTICULAR PARTY? WHAT DO YOU THINK?

.....

177	1.	KEEP THEIR SEATS
1136	2.	GIVE THEIR SEATS BACK
260	8.	DK
10	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0188

REF 0188

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- IMPC- RLTNS EAST EUR MD=0 OR GE 7

LOC 269 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 21

Q.28. HERE WE HAVE PUT TOGETHER SEVERAL PROBLEMS WHICH ARE TALKED ABOUT IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC, AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW IMPORTANT EACH ONE IS FOR YOU PERSONALLY. THESE CARDS CONTAIN THE PROBLEMS. USING THIS LIST, PLEASE TELL ME FOR EACH PROBLEM HOW IMPORTANT YOU CONSIDER IT TO BE, AND GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTERS ON THE CARDS AS WELL.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE THE BLUE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT TO THE RESPONDENT. ALSO HAND LIST A TO RESPONDENT. TAKE THE CARDS BACK AFTER THE CLASSIFICATION IS COMPLETED.>

Q.28(A). PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

415	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
801	2.	IMPORTANT
251	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
26	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
15	7.	NA
67	8.	DK
8	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

939	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
540	2.	IMPORTANT
69	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
4	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
9	7.	NA
15	8.	DK
9	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.28(D). PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....  
<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

```
VAR 0192                                REF 0192                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.28(E) . PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

700	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
724	2.	IMPORTANT
105	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
9	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
8	7.	NA
32	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.28 (F) . PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL  
SYSTEM--

.....

479	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
787	2.	IMPORTANT
224	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
30	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
11	7.	NA
46	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

O.28 (G) . PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS--

.....

711	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
696	2.	IMPORTANT
98	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
5	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
18	7.	NA
49	8.	DK
7	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

193	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
700	2.	IMPORTANT
513	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
92	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
16	7.	NA
61	8.	DK
9	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

842	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
640	2.	IMPORTANT
60	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
5	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
10	7.	NA
18	8.	DK
9	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

312	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
796	2.	IMPORTANT
379	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
45	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
11	7.	NA
34	8.	DK
7	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.28 (M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....  
<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.28(N). PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS  
("GASTARBEITER") --

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

70	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
492	2.	IMPORTANT
614	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
296	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
19	7.	NA
82	8.	DK
12	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0201	REF 0201	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- IMPC- RLTNS W CHINA	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 282 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 34	

Q.28 (O). PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

143	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
691	2.	IMPORTANT
492	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
104	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
17	7.	NA
126	8.	DK
11	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0202	REF 0202	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- IMPC- MLTRY SECURITY	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 283 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 35	

O.28 (P) . PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

273	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
792	2.	IMPORTANT
374	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
68	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
12	7.	NA
57	8.	DK
9	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0203 REF 0203 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- IMPC- PRTCTN FRM RDCL MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 284 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 36

Q.28(Q) . PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR  
 ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.28 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

804	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
625	2.	IMPORTANT
91	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
18	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
11	7.	NA
29	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0204 REF 0204 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2- MOST IMP PROBLEM MD=0 OR GE 99  
 LOC 285 WIDTH 2 DK 5 COL 37-38

Q.29. (ASKED ONLY FOR PROBLEMS DESIGNATED AS "VERY  
 IMPORTANT" IN Q.28) WHICH OF THESE PROBLEMS ARE THE THREE  
 MOST IMPORTANT ONES?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT THOSE CARDS WHICH CONTAIN THE  
 PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT HAS DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPOR-  
 TANT" IN Q.28.>

Q.29(A) . PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATED AS FIRST MOST  
 IMPORTANT

.....

78	01.	PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
793	02.	PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
137	03.	PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
20	04.	PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
64	05.	PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
48	06.	PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
49	07.	PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS

(CONTINUED)

.....

21	08.	PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS
48	09.	PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY
21	10.	PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST
12	11.	PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING
149	12.	PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY
3	13.	PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")
2	14.	PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
7	15.	PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY
55	16.	PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS
76	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0205	REF 0205	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 2ND MOST IMP PROBLEM	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 287 WIDTH 2	DK 5 COL 39-40	

Q.29(B). PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATES AS SECOND MOST  
IMPORTANT

.....

&lt;SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

41	01.	PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
254	02.	PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
264	03.	PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
26	04.	PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
132	05.	PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
70	06.	PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
122	07.	PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS
31	08.	PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS
153	09.	PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY
27	10.	PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST
25	11.	PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING
225	12.	PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY
4	13.	PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")
4	14.	PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
17	15.	PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY

(CONTINUED)

.....

75	16.	PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS
112	99.	NA; NO SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0206	REF 0206	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 3RD MOST IMP PROBLEM	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 289 WIDTH 2	DK 5 COL 41-42	

Q.29(C). PROBLEM WHICH RESPONDENT DESIGNATES AS THIRD MOST  
IMPORTANT

.....

&lt;SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

39	01.	PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES
112	02.	PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES
159	03.	PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC
40	04.	PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND
121	05.	PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
72	06.	PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM
129	07.	PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS
24	08.	PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS
167	09.	PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY
43	10.	PROBLEM K: NOT NEGLECTING OUR FRIENDLY RELATIONS WITH THE WEST
34	11.	PROBLEM L: BETTER HOUSING
218	12.	PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY
8	13.	PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS ("GASTARBEITER")
15	14.	PROBLEM O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
36	15.	PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY
170	16.	PROBLEM Q: EFFECTIVE PROTECTION AGAINST TERROR ATTACKS BY RADICAL FOREIGN GROUPS
197	99.	NA; NO THIRD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

```
VAR 0207                                REF 0207                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.30. (ASKED ONLY FOR PROBLEMS DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPORTANT" OR "IMPORTANT" IN Q.28) WHO WOULD BE MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE EACH RESPECTIVE PROBLEM TO YOUR SATISFACTION, AN SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT OR A CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT? PLEASE INDICATE AGAIN THE ALPHABETIC LETTER OF THE CARD.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT THE SET OF CARDS WHICH CONTAIN THE PROBLEMS THE RESPONDENT DESIGNATED AS "VERY IMPORTANT" OR "IMPORTANT" IN Q.28.>

Q.30(A) . PROBLEM A: FURTHER IMPROVEMENT IN RELATIONS WITH  
THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

888	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
132	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
132	3.	BOTH
7	4.	NEITHER
19	7.	NA
34	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
808	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(A); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

```
VAR 0208                                REF 0208                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY- STABLE PRICES      MD=0 OR GE 7
LOC 292 WIDTH 1                      DK 5 COL 44
```

Q.30 (B) . PROBLEM B: STABLE PRICES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

<SEE 0.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

522	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
548	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
249	3.	BOTH

(CONTINUED)

.....

109	4.	NEITHER
14	7.	NA
88	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
490	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(B); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0209	REF 0209	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY- LAW AND ORDER	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 293 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 45	

Q.30(C). PROBLEM C: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

493	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
405	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
458	3.	BOTH
30	4.	NEITHER
13	7.	NA
75	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
546	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(C); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0210	REF 0210	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY- ABORTION	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 294 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 46	

Q.30(D). PROBLEM D: FACILITATION OF ABORTION ON DEMAND--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

560	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
-----	----	--------------------

(CONTINUED)

.....

98	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
129	3.	BOTH
10	4.	NEITHER
13	7.	NA
46	8.	DK
2	9.	REFUSED
1167	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(D); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0211	REF 0211	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY-ENVRNMNTL PRTC	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 295 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 47	

Q.30(E). PROBLEM E: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

525	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
246	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
539	3.	BOTH
28	4.	NEITHER
15	7.	NA
67	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
600	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(E); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0212	REF 0212	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY- EXTND EDUC TN	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 296 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 48	

Q.30(F). PROBLEM F: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL  
SYSTEM--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

589	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
284	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
302	3.	BOTH
17	4.	NEITHER
14	7.	NA
56	8.	DK
4	9.	REFUSED
758	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(F); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0213	REF 0213	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY- JUST TAXES	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 297 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 49	

Q.30(G). PROBLEM G: JUST DISTRIBUTION OF TAX BURDENS--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

735	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
314	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
200	3.	BOTH
57	4.	NEITHER
15	7.	NA
83	8.	DK
3	9.	REFUSED
617	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(G); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0214	REF 0214	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY-RPRSNT AGRCLTR	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 298 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 50	

Q.30(H). PROBLEM H: REPRESENTATION OF AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS--

(CONTINUED)

.....

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

284	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
333	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
200	3.	BOTH
15	4.	NEITHER
13	7.	NA
47	8.	DK
2	9.	REFUSED
1132	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28 (H) ; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0215	REF 0215	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2-BST PTY-HLTH INSURANCE	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 299 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 51	

Q.30 (J) . PROBLEM J: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
PROBLEM.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

721	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
300	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
354	3.	BOTH
14	4.	NEITHER
18	7.	NA
71	8.	DK
4	9.	REFUSED
543	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28 (J) ; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

<SEE 0.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

474	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
220	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
325	3.	BOTH
18	4.	NEITHER
12	7.	NA
56	8.	DK
3	9.	REFUSED
917	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(L); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0218 REF 0218 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2-BST PTY- OLD AGE SCRTY MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 302 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 54

Q.30 (M) . PROBLEM M: OLD AGE SECURITY--

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

705	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
353	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
348	3.	BOTH
9	4.	NEITHER
17	7.	NA
60	8.	DK
4	9.	REFUSED
528	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28 (M) ; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0219 REF 0219 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-2-BST PTY-RGTS FRN WRKRS MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 303 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 55

Q.30 (N) . PROBLEM N: EQUAL RIGHTS FOR FOREIGN WORKERS  
 ("GASTARBEITER") --

R'S PERCEPTION OF WHO IS MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS  
 PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.30 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

272	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
83	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
142	3.	BOTH
13	4.	NEITHER
8	7.	NA
42	8.	DK
1	9.	REFUSED
1463	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28 (N) ; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.30 (O) . PROBLEMS O: GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE'S  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA--

.....

412	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
171	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
201	3.	BOTH
5	4.	NEITHER
14	7.	NA
28	8.	DK
3	9.	REFUSED
1191	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(O) ; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

O.30 (P) . PROBLEM P: MILITARY SECURITY--

.....

342	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
288	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
348	3.	BOTH
16	4.	NEITHER
19	7.	NA
48	8.	DK
4	9.	REFUSED
959	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(P); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

455	1.	SPD/FDP GOVERNMENT
359	2.	CDU/CSU GOVERNMENT
413	3.	BOTH
60	4.	NEITHER
39	7.	NA
98	8.	DK
6	9.	REFUSED
596	0.	INAP., CODED 3,4 OR 7-9 IN Q.28(Q); NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

.....

734	1.	SPD
433	2.	CDU/CSU
51	3.	FDP
2	4.	NDP
1	5.	DKP
	6.	OTHER
271	7.	NO PARTY LEANING
34	8.	DK
53	9.	REFUSED
445	0.	NA; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.32. (IF R LEANS TOWARDS A PARTY) TAKEN ALTOGETHER, HOW STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DO YOU LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY: VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY OR VERY WEAKLY?

RESPONDENT'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION  
.....

Q.32 CODED

598	1.	STRONG PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP	1 - 2
182	2.	WEAK PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP	3 - 5
271	3.	NO PARTY PREFERENCE	
130	4.	WEAK PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU	3 - 5
301	5.	STRONG PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU	1 - 2
11	6.	OTHER PARTY PREFERENCE COMBINATION	
4	7.	NA	
34	8.	DK	
53	9.	REFUSED	
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW	

Q.33. THERE ARE DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN. THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO THINK IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, OTHERS THINK IT IS QUITE USEFUL, AND STILL OTHERS THINK IT IS VERY HARMFUL. WHAT DO YOU THINK? DO YOU REGARD THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN AS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, QUITE USEFUL, FAIRLY SUPERFLUOUS, OR AS VERY HARMFUL?

Q.34. HAVE YOU FOLLOWED THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN WITH INTEREST IN THE LAST WEEKS OR HAVE YOU NOT BEEN PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN IT?

Q.35. (IF R FOLLOWED THE CAMPAIGN WITH INTEREST) AN ELECTION CAMPAIGN SHOULD INFORM ONE ABOUT THE CANDIDATES AND PROGRAMS OF THE VARIOUS PARTIES, IN ORDER TO HELP

(CONTINUED)

.....

THE VOTER MAKE UP HIS MIND. DO YOU THINK THIS ELECTION  
CAMPAIGN, AS IT HAS BEEN CONDUCTED BY THE PARTIES SO FAR,  
HAS FULFILLED THIS PURPOSE OR DO YOU NOT THINK SO?

.....

475	1.	YES
339	2.	NO
115	8.	DK
13	9.	NA
1083	0.	INAP., CODED 2,8 OR 9 IN Q.34; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0229	REF 0229	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- CHNCLR/GVT IMP T DCSN	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 313 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 65	

Q.36. WHAT IS MORE IMPORTANT TO YOU IN MAKING YOUR VOTING  
DECISION: THE BETTER <CANDIDATE FOR> CHANCELLOR OR THE  
BETTER GOVERNMENT TEAM?

.....

118	1.	BETTER <CANDIDATE FOR> CHANCELLOR
704	2.	BOTH ARE EQUALLY IMPORTANT
694	3.	BETTER GOVERNMENT TEAM
6	4.	OTHER THINGS ARE IMPORTANT
45	8.	DK
16	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0230	REF 0230	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 1 OTR FCTR IMP T DCSN	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 314 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 66	

Q.37. (IF R MENTIONED OTHER THINGS AS IMPORTANT  
FOR HIS VOTING DECISION) AND WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU?

<INTERVIEWER: PLEASE PROBE.>

Q.37(A). FIRST MENTION OF ANOTHER IMPORTANT FACTOR IN  
R'S VOTING DECISION

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

1	1.	ELECTION CAMPAIGN NOT RIGOROUS
	2.	INEXPENSIVE HOUSING
	3.	OLD AGE SECURITY
1	4.	THE FORM OF THE PRESENT GOVERNMENT IS MOST IMPORTANT TO ME
2	5.	THE PARTY
2	6.	BETTER PROGRAM
	9.	NA
2019	0.	INAP., CODED 1-3,8 OR 9 IN Q.36; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0231	REF 0231	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 2 OTR FCTR IMP T DCSN	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 315 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 67	

Q.37(B). SECOND MENTION OF ANOTHER IMPORTANT FACTOR IN R'S VOTING DECISION

.....

&lt;SEE Q.37 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

1	1.	ELECTION CAMPAIGN NOT RIGOROUS
	2.	INEXPENSIVE HOUSING
	3.	OLD AGE SECURITY
	4.	THE FORM OF THE PRESENT GOVERNMENT IS MOST IMPORTANT TO ME
	5.	THE PARTY
	6.	BETTER PROGRAM
5	9.	NA; NO SECOND MENTION
2019	0.	INAP., CODED 1-3,8 OR 9 IN Q.36; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0232	REF 0232	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- 3 OTR FCTR IMP T DCSN	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 316 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 68	

Q.37(C). THIRD MENTION OF ANOTHER IMPORTANT FACTOR IN R'S VOTING DECISION

.....

&lt;SEE Q.37 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

(CONTINUED)

.....

	1.	ELECTION CAMPAIGN NOT RIGOROUS
	2.	INEXPENSIVE HOUSING
1	3.	OLD AGE SECURITY
	4.	THE FORM OF THE PRESENT GOVERNMENT IS MOST IMPORTANT TO ME
	5.	THE PARTY
	6.	BETTER PROGRAM
5	9.	NA; NO THIRD MENTION
2019	0.	INAP., CODED 1-3,8 OR 9 IN Q.36; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0233	REF 0233	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- WHO WILL WIN ELECTION	MD=0 OR GE 97	
LOC 317 WIDTH 2	DK 5 COL 69-70	

Q.38. WHAT DO YOU PERSONALLY THINK: WHO WILL WIN THE UP-  
COMING ELECTION?

.....

546	01.	SPD
165	02.	SPD/FDP
428	03.	CDU/CSU
1	04.	CDU/CSU/FDP
8	05.	BRANDT
1	06.	BARZEL
9	07.	NO WINNER; SPD (OR SPD/FDP) AND CDU/CSU WILL WIN THE SAME NUMBER OF VOTES
	08.	OTHER RESPONSE
29	97.	NA
396	98.	DK
2	99.	REFUSED
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0234	REF 0234	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- CLOSE ELECTION OR NOT	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 319 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 71	

Q.39. DO YOU THINK THE ELECTION WILL BE CLOSE OR WILL IT  
BE A CLEAR VICTORY FOR ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER?

.....

1331	1.	ELECTION WILL BE CLOSE
------	----	------------------------

(CONTINUED)

.....

117	2.	CLEAR VICTORY
121	8.	DK
14	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0235	REF 0235	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- POLT CMLCD FR PEOPLE	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 320 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 72	

Q.40. COULD YOU PLEASE TELL ME WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH  
THE FOLLOWING OPINIONS OR WHETHER YOU REJECT THEM?

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE GREEN DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT  
TO THE RESPONDENT.>

Q.40(A). SOMETIMES POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT SEEM SO  
COMPLICATED THAT A PERSON LIKE ME CAN NOT REALLY UNDERSTAND  
WHAT IS GOING ON.

.....

891	1.	AGREE
572	2.	REJECT
81	8.	DK
40	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0236	REF 0236	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- PPL LK ME NO POLT PWR	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 321 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 73	

Q.40(B). PEOPLE LIKE ME DO NOT HAVE ANY SAY ABOUT WHAT  
THE GOVERNMENT DOES.

.....

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

874	1.	AGREE
578	2.	REJECT
97	8.	DK
34	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.40(C) . VOTING IS THE ONLY WAY THAT PEOPLE LIKE ME  
CAN HAVE ANY SAY ABOUT HOW THE GOVERNMENT RUNS THINGS.

963	1.	AGREE
435	2.	REJECT
154	8.	DK
31	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.40(D). I DO NOT THINK PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE MUCH WHAT  
PEOPLE LIKE ME THINK.

723	1.	AGREE
641	2.	REJECT
181	8.	DK
39	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.40(E). BASICALLY, ALL WE NEED IN POLITICS IS A FEW GOOD POLITICIANS AT THE TOP. THE PARTIES AND THEIR PROGRAMS MATTER LESS.

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

(CONTINUED)

.....

645	1.	AGREE
742	2.	REJECT
156	8.	DK
41	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0240	REF 0240	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- TOO MUCH ATTN NEW THG	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 325 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 77	

Q.40(F). TODAY TOO MANY INNOVATIONS ARE INTRODUCED. ONE  
SHOULD RATHER BE GUIDED BY HOW THINGS USED TO BE.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

413	1.	AGREE
970	2.	REJECT
143	8.	DK
58	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0241	REF 0241	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- INTEREST IN UNIONS	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 326 WIDTH 1	DK 5 COL 78	

Q.41. ARE YOU PERSONALLY INTERESTED IN THE LABOR UNIONS  
AND THEIR POINTS OF VIEW? PLEASE TELL ME, USING THIS LIST.

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST G TO RESPONDENT.&gt;

.....

113	1.	VERY STRONGLY INTERESTED
264	2.	FAIRLY STRONGLY INTERESTED
444	3.	OCCASIONALLY INTERESTED
317	4.	HARDLY INTERESTED
421	5.	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL
11	8.	DK
13	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.42. RESPONDENT'S SEX IS:  
.....

Q.43. RESPONDENT'S MARITAL STATUS IS:  
.....

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)  
.....RESPONDENT NUMBER  
.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

VAR 0244	REF 0244	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- RESPONDENT'S AGE	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 329 WIDTH 2	DK 6 COL 11-12	

Q.44. RESPONDENT'S AGE IS:

.....

ACTUAL AGE CODED IN TWO DIGITS

99. NA  
 00. NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0245	REF 0245	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R'S EMPLMNT STATUS	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 331 WIDTH 1	DK 6 COL 13	

Q.45. ARE YOU EMPLOYED? WHICH OF THE CATEGORIES ON THIS LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST B TO RESPONDENT.&gt;

.....

722	1.	FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS)
89	2.	PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS
31	3.	PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS
4	4.	TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED
236	5.	NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.
13	6.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)
40	7.	IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)
446	8.	NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION
3	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0246

REF 0246

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- R'S OCCUPATION

MD=0 OR GE 99

LOC 332 WIDTH 2

DK 6 COL 14-15

Q.46. (IF R IS EMPLOYED FULL OR PART TIME OR IS  
 RETIRED) TO WHICH OF THESE OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DO YOU (OR  
 DID YOU) BELONG?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST C TO RESPONDENT.>

.....

21	11.	PROFESSIONAL
2	21.	OWNER OR EXECUTIVE OF A LARGE BUSINESS
22	22.	SELF-EMPLOYED - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., PROPRIETOR OF A LARGER STORE, CHIEF SALES REPRESENTATIVE)
72	23.	SELF-EMPLOYED - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SMALL SHOP- KEEPER, CRAFTSMAN)
51	31.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE IN A MANAGERIAL POSITION (E.G., DEPARTMENT HEAD, DIRECTOR)
157	32.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., ACCOUNTANT, CASHIER)
156	33.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SALES CLERK, SECRETARY)
12	41.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGHEST LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES HOEHEREN DIENSTES)
10	42.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGH LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES GEHOBENEN DIENSTES)
40	43.	CIVIL SERVANT - MEDIUM LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES MITTLEREN DIENSTES" UP TO "AMTMANN" AND "ASSESSOR")
24	44.	CIVIL SERVANT - LOW LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES EINFACHEN DIENSTES" UP TO "OBERSEKRETAER")
248	51.	SKILLED WORKER
159	61.	SEMI-SKILLED OR UNSKILLED WORKER
6	71.	FARMER, LARGE FARM
32	72.	FARMER, MEDIUM SIZE FARM
18	73.	FARMER, SMALL FARM
7	81.	FARM WORKER
20	91.	HOUSEWIFE
	92.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING
20	93.	RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION AND NO PRIOR OCCUPATION ASCERTAINED
4	99.	NA
943	00.	INAP., CODED 6-9 IN Q.45; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0247	REF 0247	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R'S EDUCATION	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 334 WIDTH 2	DK 6 COL 16-17	

Q.47. WHAT KIND OF SCHOOL DID YOU LAST ATTEND, I MEAN  
IN WHICH KIND OF SCHOOL DID YOU COMPLETE YOUR EDUCATION?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST D TO RESPONDENT.>

502	11.	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WITHOUT COMPLETED APPRENTICESHIP OR VOCATIONAL TRAINING
682	21.	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WITH COMPLETED APPRENTICESHIP OR VOCATIONAL TRAINING
114	22.	SECRETARIAL-, TRADE- OR COMMERCIAL SCHOOL ("HANDELSCHULE") WITHOUT DIPLOMA
12	23.	SOME SECONDARY SCHOOL ("HOEHERE SCHULE") BUT WITHOUT 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA
106	31.	SECONDARY SCHOOL WITH 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA ("MITTLERE REIFE")
17	32.	SECONDARY SCHOOL BEYOND 10TH GRADE DIPLOMA BUT WITHOUT "ABITUR"
44	41.	HIGHER SPECIALIZED OR TECHNICAL SCHOOL, WITH DIPLOMA ("HOEHERE FACHSCHULE MIT ABSCHLUSS")
38	42.	SECONDARY SCHOOL THROUGH 13TH GRADE ("ABITUR")
15	43.	UNIVERSITY, WITHOUT DEGREE
39	51.	UNIVERSITY, WITH DEGREE
13	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0248	REF 0248	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- IS R HEAD OF HSHLD	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 336 WIDTH 1	DK 6 COL 18	

0.48. ARE YOU THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD?

876	1.	YES
703	2.	NO
5	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0249	REF 0249	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- H.H'S EMPLMNT STATUS	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 337 WIDTH 1	DK 6 COL 19	

Q.49. IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD EMPLOYED? WHICH OF THE CATEGORIES ON THIS LIST IS APPROPRIATE?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT LIST B AGAIN.>

IF R IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD HIS EMPLOYMENT STATUS  
IS RECODED HERE.

1109	1.	FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING FAMILY DEPENDENTS WHO HELP IN A FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS)
13	2.	PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN A NON FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESS
12	3.	PART TIME EMPLOYMENT IN OWN BUSINESS
3	4.	TEMPORARILY UNEMPLOYED
312	5.	NOT EMPLOYED: RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION, ETC.
3	6.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING, (INCLUDING SPECIALIZED INDUSTRIAL SCHOOLS)
14	7.	IN SCHOOL (INCLUDING UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE, ETC.)
108	8.	NOT EMPLOYED, E.G., HOUSEWIVES WITHOUT OCCUPATION
10	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0250	REF 0250	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- H.H'S OCCUPATION	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 338 WIDTH 2	DK 6 COL 20-21	

Q.50. TO WHICH OF THESE OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS DOES (DID) THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD BELONG?

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT LIST C AGAIN.>

IF R IS THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD HIS OCCUPATION IS RECODED  
HERE.

25	11.	PROFESSIONAL
3	21.	OWNER OR EXECUTIVE OF A LARGE BUSINESS
29	22.	SELF-EMPLOYED - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., PROPRIETOR OF A LARGER STORE, CHIEF SALES REPRESENTATIVE)
103	23.	SELF-EMPLOYED - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SMALL SHOP- KEEPER, CRAFTSMAN)
96	31.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE IN A MANAGERIAL

(CONTINUED)

.....

		POSITION (E.G., DEPARTMENT HEAD, DIRECTOR)
192	32.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., ACCOUNTANT, CASHIER)
112	33.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SALES CLERK, SECRETARY)
18	41.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGHEST LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES HOEHEREN DIENSTES)
20	42.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGH LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES GEHOBENEN DIENSTES)
66	43.	CIVIL SERVANT - MEDIUM LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES MITTLEREN DIENSTES" UP TO "AMTMANN" AND "ASSESSOR")
38	44.	CIVIL SERVANT - LOW LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES EINFACHEN DIENSTES" UP TO "OBERSEKRETAER")
416	51.	SKILLED WORKER
212	61.	SEMI-SKILLED OR UNSKILLED WORKER
6	71.	FARMER, LARGE FARM
46	72.	FARMER, MEDIUM SIZE FARM
22	73.	FARMER, SMALL FARM
6	81.	FARM WORKER
16	91.	HOUSEWIFE
	92.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING
19	93.	RETIRED, RECEIVING PENSION AND NO PRIOR OCCUPATION ASCERTAINED
6	99.	NA
576	00.	INAP., CODED 6-9 IN Q.49; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0251

REF 0251

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- SIZE OF HOUSEHOLD

MD=0

LOC 340 WIDTH 1

DK 6 COL 22

Q.51. HOW MANY PERSONS PERMANENTLY LIVE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD,  
INCLUDING YOURSELF?

.....

276	1.	1 PERSON
448	2.	.
325	3.	.
264	4.	.
157	5.	.
66	6.	.
20	7.	.
19	8.	.
9	9.	9 OR MORE PERSONS
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.52. NOW WHEN YOU ADD EVERYTHING TOGETHER, WHAT IS THE MONTHLY NET INCOME FOR ALL OF YOU AFTER DEDUCTING TAXES AND SOCIAL SECURITY? PLEASE GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTER FROM THIS LIST WHICH IS APPROPRIATE FOR YOU.

DOLLAR EQUIVALENTS FOR 1972 WERE COMPUTED ON AN EXCHANGE RATE OF \$31.03 = 100 DM. THIS EXCHANGE RATE WAS OBTAINED FROM THE "MONATSBERICHTE DER DEUTSCHEN BUNDESBANK."

VAR 0253	REF 0253	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- INTEREST IN CHRCH ACT	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 343 WIDTH 1	DK 6 COL 25	

Q.53. ARE YOU PERSONALLY INTERESTED IN THE ACTIVITIES OF THE CHURCH AND ITS OPINIONS ON CONTEMPORARY QUESTIONS? PLEASE TELL ME USING THIS LIST.

123	1.	VERY STRONGLY INTERESTED
295	2.	FAIRLY STRONGLY INTERESTED
531	3.	OCCASIONALLY INTERESTED
356	4.	HARDLY INTERESTED

(CONTINUED)

.....

244	5.	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL
10	8.	DK
25	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0254	REF 0254	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R'S RELIGION	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 344 WIDTH 1	DK 6 COL 26	

Q.54. WHAT IS YOUR RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE?

.....

760	1.	CATHOLIC
724	2.	PROTESTANT/LUTHERAN
15	3.	OTHER
62	4.	NONE
11	8.	NA
13	9.	REFUSED
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0255	REF 0255	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- FREQ CHURCH ATTEND	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 345 WIDTH 1	DK 6 COL 27	

Q.55. (IF R HAS A RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE) GENERALLY, HOW  
FREQUENTLY DO YOU ATTEND CHURCH? PLEASE GIVE JUST THE APPRO-  
PRIATE ALPHABETIC LETTER ON THIS LIST.

&lt;INTERVIEWER: HAND LIST F TO THE RESPONDENT.&gt;

.....

244	1.	EVERY SUNDAY
137	2.	ALMOST EVERY SUNDAY
486	3.	NOW AND THEN
142	4.	ONCE A YEAR
275	5.	LESS OFTEN
202	6.	NEVER
5	8.	NA
9	9.	REFUSED
526	0.	INAP., CODED 4,8 OR 9 IN Q.54; NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0256

REF 0256

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-2- TYPE OF TOWN

MD=0 OR GE 99

LOC 346 WIDTH 2

DK 6 COL 28-29

## Q.56. TYPE OF TOWN

.....

## VILLAGE

- 264            10.    VILLAGE IN ENTIRELY RURAL AREA  
246            11.    VILLAGE NEAR A MIDDLE SIZED OR LARGE CITY

## SMALL RURAL TOWN

- 91            20.    IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL RURAL  
                 TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)  
77            21.    IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA  
                 OF A SMALL RURAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

## SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN

- 50            30.    IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A SMALL IN-  
                 DUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)  
53            31.    IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
                 A SMALL INDUSTRIAL TOWN (UP TO 30,000 INHABITANTS)

## MEDIUM SIZED NON-INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 48            40.    IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED  
                 CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH LITTLE IN-  
                 DUSTRY  
68            41.    IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
                 A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS)  
                 WITH LITTLE INDUSTRY

## MEDIUM SIZED INDUSTRIAL CITY

- 59            50.    IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A MIDDLE SIZED  
                 CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS) WITH A LOT OF  
                 INDUSTRY  
94            51.    IN THE CITY LIMITS, SUBURBS OR SURROUNDING AREA OF  
                 A MIDDLE SIZED CITY (UP TO 100,000 INHABITANTS)  
                 WITH A LOT OF INDUSTRY

## LARGE CITY

(CONTINUED)

.....

164	60.	IN THE CENTER OR NEAR THE CENTER OF A LARGE CITY
146	61.	BETWEEN THE CENTER AND THE SUBURBS OF A LARGE CITY
146	62.	IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY
		URBAN CHARACTER
61	63.	IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY, WITH PREDOMINATELY RU-
		RAL CHARACTER
19	99.	NA
441	00.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0257	REF 0257	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-2- R LIVE DVLPMENT AREA	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 348 WIDTH 1	DK 6 COL 30	

Q.57. DOES R LIVE IN AN AREA WITH NEW HOUSING  
DEVELOPMENTS?

.....

370	1.	YES
1170	2.	NO
43	9.	NA
441	0.	NO SECOND WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0001	REF 0001	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 1 WIDTH 4	DK 7 COL 1- 4	

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)

.....

'07'

DK 7 COL 5- 6

....

VAR 0002	REF 0002	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-INTERVIEW NUMBER	NO MISSING DATA CODES	
LOC 5 WIDTH 4	DK 7 COL 7-10	

RESPONDENT NUMBER

.....

• • • • •

VAR 0258	REF 0258	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- PRSNT ECON SIT GFR	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 349 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 11	

.....

28	1.	VERY GOOD
715	2.	GOOD
383	3.	PART GOOD/PART BAD
60	4.	MORE BAD
12	5.	BAD
10	8.	DK
3	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0259	REF 0259	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- FUTURE ECON SIT GFR	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 350 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 12	

.....

15	1.	SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER
232	2.	SOMEWHAT BETTER
702	3.	THE SAME
166	4.	SOMEWHAT WORSE
16	5.	SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE
75	8.	DK
4	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.3. HOW DO YOU EVALUATE YOUR OWN ECONOMIC SITUATION TODAY?  
VERY GOOD, GOOD, PART GOOD/PART BAD, MORE BAD OR BAD?

Q.5. AND HOW WAS IT IN YOUR HOME OR THE FAMILY IN WHICH YOU GREW UP: WERE THEY INTERESTED IN POLITICS?

522	1.	YES
460	2.	NOT PARTICULARLY
155	3.	NOT AT ALL

(CONTINUED)

.....

65	8.	DK
8	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0263	REF 0263	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DID R VOTE	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 354 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 16	

Q.6. THERE WERE MANY CITIZENS WHO, FOR GOOD REASONS,  
EITHER DID NOT WANT TO OR COULD NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE  
FEDERAL ELECTION. HOW ABOUT YOU? DID YOU VOTE OR DID  
YOU NOT VOTE?

.....

1176	1.	VOTED
33	2.	DID NOT VOTE
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0264	REF 0264	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- R VTD PLLNG PLC/ABSNT	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 355 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 17	

Q.7. (IF R VOTED) DID YOU VOTE AT THE LOCAL POLLING PLACE  
OR BY ABSENTEE BALLOT?

.....

1113	1.	POLLING PLACE
56	2.	ABSENTEE BALLOT
8	9.	NA
848	0.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.6; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0265	REF 0265	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- CAND 1ST VOTE 1972	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 356 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 18	

Q.8. (IF R VOTED) AS IN THE SURVEYS BEFORE THE ELECTION  
WE HAVE HERE ANOTHER VOTING BALLOT SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU  
RECEIVED FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTION. PLEASE TAKE THE

(CONTINUED)

.....

BALLOT AND MARK HOW YOU VOTED IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 19, 1972. AS YOU KNOW, YOU HAD TWO VOTES: THE FIRST VOTE WAS FOR A CANDIDATE IN THE LOCAL CONSTITUENCY, WHILE THE SECOND VOTE WAS FOR A PARTY. WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, PLEASE PUT THE BALLOT BACK INTO THE ENVELOPE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND RESPONDENT THE ENVELOPE WITH THE BALLOT; WHEN THE RESPONDENT IS FINISHED TAKE THE ENVELOPE BACK AND CONTINUE WITH THE INTERVIEW. AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE ENTIRE INTERVIEW, THAT IS, AFTER THE PERSONAL STATISTICS, STAPLE THE ENVELOPE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE.>

Q.8(A). RESPONDENT'S FIRST VOTE (FOR CONSTITUENCY CANDIDATE IN 1972)

.....

652	1.	SPD <-CANDIDATE>
404	2.	CDU/CSU <-CANDIDATE>
52	3.	FDP <-CANDIDATE>
2	4.	NPD <-CANDIDATE>
2	5.	DKP <-CANDIDATE>
	6.	OTHER PARTY
28	8.	NA
37	9.	REFUSED
848	0.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.6; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0266

REF 0266

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- PARTY 2ND VOTE 1972

MD=0 OR GE 8

LOC 357 WIDTH 1

DK 7 COL 19

Q.8(B). RESPONDENT'S SECOND VOTE (FOR PARTY LIST IN 1972)

.....

<SEE Q.8 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

604	1.	SPD
398	2.	CDU/CSU
107	3.	FDP
5	4.	NPD
1	5.	DKP
	6.	OTHER PARTY
24	8.	NA
37	9.	REFUSED
848	0.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.6; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.9. (IF R VOTED) CAN YOU TELL ME WHEN YOU REACHED THIS DECISION? DID YOU MAKE IT A LONG TIME AGO, ONLY A FEW MONTHS AGO, OR HAVE YOU MADE THIS DECISION IN THE LAST FEW WEEKS BEFORE THE ELECTION?

138	01.	BRANDT; GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFIC EXPLANATION: LIKING, ETC.
50	02.	BRANDT; WITH POLITICAL EXPLANATION: BETTER CHANCELLOR, HAS PROVEN THAT HE CAN DO IT, TRUST IN BRANDT, MADE PEACE
9	03.	BRANDT; WITH NON-POLITICAL EXPLANATION: NOBEL PEACE PRIZE, AS A PERSON, FATHER OF THE COUNTRY
2	04.	BRANDT AND SCHEEL
1	05.	OTHER INDIVIDUALS IN THE GOVERNMENT OR THE COALITION
3	06.	THE GOVERNMENT TEAM

(CONTINUED)

.....

## PERSONAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 14 | 10. | BARZEL; BAD CANDIDATE, NOT WELL LIKED,<br>NOT THE RIGHT MAN, DISLIKED, OTHER CANDIDATE<br>WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER (ALSO NAMING STRAUSS) |
| 6  | 11. | STRAUSS; SCARES AWAY, DRIVES THE VOTERS<br>AWAY, BLUSTERS  |
| 3  | 12. | BARZEL AND STRAUSS, BARZEL IS DEPENDENT<br>ON STRAUSS  |
|    | 13. | OTHER CDU OR CSU POLITICIAN  |
| 8  | 14. | GOVERNMENT TEAM; THE TEAM WAS BAD, THE<br>CDU DOES NOT HAVE GOOD LEADERSHIP  |

## SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON FAVORABLE TO THE SPD OR TO THE GOVERNMENT

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 65  | 20. | GENERALLY GOOD WORK  |
| 217 | 21. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"),<br>BASIC AGREEMENT <WITH THE GDR> ("GRUNDVERTRAG") |
| 13  | 22. | ECONOMIC POLICY; GOOD ECONOMIC SITUATION, WE ARE<br>WELL OFF                               |
| 19  | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY; RENTS, OLD AGE SECURITY, HEALTH<br>INSURANCE                                |
| 39  | 24. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON   |

## SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 8 | 30. | GENERALLY BAD WORK OF THE OPPOSITION   |
| 8 | 31. | REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST<br>("OSTPOLITIK"), INDECISION ON THE POLICY TOWARDS<br>THE EAST |
| 3 | 32. | ECONOMIC POLICY; NO ALTERNATIVE, NO SUBSTANTIVE<br>SOLUTIONS FOR STABILITY AND OTHER PROBLEMS            |
| 3 | 34. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON   |

## GROUP SUPPORT FAVORABLE TO THE SPD

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 184 | 40. | YOUNG VOTERS TENDED TOWARDS THE SPD                          |
| 4   | 41. | CATHOLICS VOTED MORE STRONGLY FOR THE<br>SPD THAN PREVIOUSLY |
| 30  | 42. | WORKERS  |
| 4   | 43. | MORE WOMEN THAN PREVIOUSLY VOTED FOR THE SPD                 |

## GROUP SUPPORT UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

(CONTINUED)

.....

- |   |     |   |
|---|-----|---|
| 5 | 50. | BIG BUSINESS; CDU IS THE EMPLOYERS' PARTY,<br>THE BUSINESSMEN'S PARTY |
| 1 | 51. | CDU LOST CATHOLIC (RELIGIOUS) VOTE                                    |
|   | 52. | YOUNG VOTERS REJECTED THE CDU   |
|   | 53. | CDU WAS UNSUCCESSFUL AMONG WORKERS                                    |
| 1 | 54. | CDU LOST FORMER WOMEN VOTERS  |
|   | 55. | CDU LOST VOTERS IN RURAL AREAS  |

## ELECTION CAMPAIGN

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 60 | 60. | GOOD ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD;<br>GOOD ADVERTISING, FAIRED WELL ON TV, BELIEVABLE<br>STATEMENTS, CITIZEN GROUPS ("WAEHLERINITIATIVEN"),<br>MOBILIZATION OF SUPPORTERS |
| 4  | 61. | UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD, AGITATION<br>AGAINST BARZEL   |
| 45 | 62. | BAD, INAPT CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; EMPTY<br>PROMISES, BAD EFFECT ON TV   |
| 16 | 63. | UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; TOO HARD,<br>AGITATION  |

## PARTY PLATFORM FAVORABLE FOR THE SPD

- |    |     |                               |
|----|-----|-------------------------------|
| 40 | 70. | GOOD SUBSTANTIVE PROGRAM      |
| 3  | 71. | REPRESENTS MULTIPLE INTERESTS |
| 5  | 72. | COALITION WITH THE FDP        |

## PARTY PLATFORM UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 14  | 80. | BAD PROGRAM, WRONG POLICIES  |
| 5   | 81. | DIFFERENCES OF OPINION WITHIN THE CDU/CSU                                  |
| 8   | 82. | VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE ("KONSTRUCTIVE<br>MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>) |
|     | 83. | CDU IS NOT THE PARTY OF THE LITTLE MAN                                     |
|     | 84. | CSU IS A RADICAL RIGHT PARTY, CONSERVATIVE                                 |
| 11  | 90. | OTHER REASON   |
|     | 94. | NO THIRD MENTION <Q.10(C)>   |
|     | 95. | NO SECOND MENTION <Q.10(B)>  |
| 7   | 97. | NA   |
| 156 | 98. | DK   |
|     | 99. | REFUSED  |
| 814 | 00. | NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW  |

<SEE Q.10 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

<SEE Q.10 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

PERSONAL REASON FAVORABLE TO THE SPD OR TO THE GOVERNMENT

- 4 01. BRANDT; GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFIC EXPLANATION:  
LIKING, ETC.
- 2 02. BRANDT; WITH POLITICAL EXPLANATION: BETTER  
CHANCELLOR, HAS PROVEN THAT HE CAN DO IT,  
TRUST IN BRANDT, MADE PEACE
03. BRANDT; WITH NON-POLITICAL EXPLANATION:  
NOBEL PEACE PRIZE, AS A PERSON, FATHER OF THE  
COUNTRY
- 1 04. BRANDT AND SCHEEL
05. OTHER INDIVIDUALS IN THE GOVERNMENT OR THE  
COALITION
- 2 06. THE GOVERNMENT TEAM

(CONTINUED)

.....

## PERSONAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 211 | 10. | BARZEL; BAD CANDIDATE, NOT WELL LIKED,<br>NOT THE RIGHT MAN, DISLIKED, OTHER CANDIDATE<br>WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER (ALSO NAMING STRAUSS) |
| 44  | 11. | STRAUSS; SCARES AWAY, DRIVES THE VOTERS<br>AWAY, BLUSTERS  |
| 45  | 12. | BARZEL AND STRAUSS, BARZEL IS DEPENDENT<br>ON STRAUSS  |
| 2   | 13. | OTHER CDU OR CSU POLITICIAN  |
| 30  | 14. | GOVERNMENT TEAM; THE TEAM WAS BAD, THE<br>CDU DOES NOT HAVE GOOD LEADERSHIP  |

## SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON FAVORABLE TO THE SPD OR TO THE GOVERNMENT

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 1 | 20. | GENERALLY GOOD WORK  |
| 8 | 21. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"),<br>BASIC AGREEMENT <WITH THE GDR> ("GRUNDVERTRAG") |
| 2 | 22. | ECONOMIC POLICY; GOOD ECONOMIC SITUATION, WE ARE<br>WELL OFF                               |
| 1 | 23. | SOCIAL POLICY; RENTS, OLD AGE SECURITY, HEALTH<br>INSURANCE                                |
| 5 | 24. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON   |

## SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 43 | 30. | GENERALLY BAD WORK OF THE OPPOSITION   |
| 63 | 31. | REJECTION OF THE POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST<br>("OSTPOLITIK"), INDECISION ON THE POLICY TOWARDS<br>THE EAST |
| 15 | 32. | ECONOMIC POLICY; NO ALTERNATIVE, NO SUBSTANTIVE<br>SOLUTIONS FOR STABILITY AND OTHER PROBLEMS            |
| 25 | 34. | OTHER SUBSTANTIVE REASON   |

## GROUP SUPPORT FAVORABLE TO THE SPD

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 34 | 40. | YOUNG VOTERS TENDED TOWARDS THE SPD                          |
|    | 41. | CATHOLICS VOTED MORE STRONGLY FOR THE<br>SPD THAN PREVIOUSLY |
| 4  | 42. | WORKERS  |
| 1  | 43. | MORE WOMEN THAN PREVIOUSLY VOTED FOR THE SPD                 |

## GROUP SUPPORT UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 10 | 50. | BIG BUSINESS; CDU IS THE EMPLOYERS' PARTY, |
|----|-----|--|

(CONTINUED)

.....

- THE BUSINESSMEN'S PARTY
- 2 51. CDU LOST CATHOLIC (RELIGIOUS) VOTE
  - 24 52. YOUNG VOTERS REJECTED THE CDU
  - 5 53. CDU WAS UNSUCCESSFUL AMONG WORKERS
  - 54. CDU LOST FORMER WOMEN VOTERS
  - 55. CDU LOST VOTERS IN RURAL AREAS

## ELECTION CAMPAIGN

- 2 60. GOOD ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD;  
GOOD ADVERTISING, FAIRED WELL ON TV, BELIEVABLE  
STATEMENTS, CITIZEN GROUPS ("WAEHLERINITIATIVEN"),  
MOBILIZATION OF SUPPORTERS
- 3 61. UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE SPD, AGITATION  
AGAINST BARZEL
- 212 62. BAD, INAPT CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; EMPTY  
PROMISES, BAD EFFECT ON TV
- 53 63. UNFAIR CAMPAIGN OF THE CDU; TOO HARD,  
AGITATION

## PARTY PLATFORM FAVORABLE FOR THE SPD

- 2 70. GOOD SUBSTANTIVE PROGRAM
- 1 71. REPRESENTS MULTIPLE INTERESTS
- 72. COALITION WITH THE FDP

## PARTY PLATFORM UNFAVORABLE TO THE CDU/CSU

- 73 80. BAD PROGRAM, WRONG POLICIES
- 7 81. DIFFERENCES OF OPINION WITHIN THE CDU/CSU
- 13 82. VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE ("KONSTRUCTIVE  
MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)
- 1 83. CDU IS NOT THE PARTY OF THE LITTLE MAN
- 5 84. CSU IS A RADICAL RIGHT PARTY, CONSERVATIVE
- 15 90. OTHER REASON
- 94. NO THIRD MENTION <Q.11(C)>
- 95. NO SECOND MENTION <Q.11(B)>
- 11 97. NA
- 235 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 814 00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

.....

<SEE Q.11 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

.....

<SEE 0.11 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

.....

PERSONAL REASONS

SUBSTANTIVE POLITICAL REASON

(CONTINUED)

.....

43	20.	GENERALLY GOOD WORK
159	21.	GOOD SUBSTANTIVE COOPERATION WITH THE SPD
40	22.	FOREIGN POLICY
1	23.	EDUCATIONAL POLICY, GOOD EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM
6	24.	SOCIAL ISSUES; REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS, CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
	25.	AGRICULTURAL POLICY

## GROUP SUPPORT

58	40.	UNDECIDED VOTE FOR FDP
14	41.	THE MIDDLE CLASS HAS FOUND ITS WAY BACK TO THE FDP
80	42.	SECOND VOTES ("ZWEITSTIMMEN") OF SPD VOTERS; TICKET SPLITTING, ADVOCACY OF SECOND VOTES FOR THE FDP

## ELECTION CAMPAIGN

31	60.	GOOD ELECTION CAMPAIGN; GOOD ARGUMENTS, FAIR ELECTION CAMPAIGN
----	-----	---

## PARTY PLATFORM

36	70.	CLEAR, GOOD PROGRAM
48	71.	FDP CORRECTIVE FOR THE SPD
13	72.	PARTY IS STRENGTHENED

## THIRD PARTY

29	80.	THIRD PARTY (FORCE) IS NECESSARY, TWO PARTY SYSTEM REJECTED, TWO PARTIES ARE NOT ENOUGH
31	90.	OTHER REASON
	94.	NO THIRD MENTION <Q.12(C)>
	95.	NO SECOND MENTION <Q.12(B)>
9	97.	NA
340	98.	DK
1	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.12 (B) . SECOND MENTION OF WHY THE FDP MADE SUCH A GOOD  
SHOWING

.....

<SEE Q.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.12 (C). THIRD MENTION OF WHY THE FDP MADE SUCH A  
GOOD SHOWING

.....

<SEE 0.12 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.13. WHAT WERE THE MOST IMPORTANT REASONS FOR YOUR OWN VOTING DECISION IN THIS ELECTION?

O.13 (A) . FIRST MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID

.....

POLITICIAN

72	01.	FOR WILLY BRANDT; STRAIGHT CHARACTER, GOOD CHANCE-
		LOR, LIKEABLE, SHOULD STAY IN THE GOVERNMENT
5	02.	AGAINST WILLY BRANDT, DISLIKE HIM
4	03.	FOR RAINER BARZEL
2	04.	AGAINST RAINER BARZEL; TOO ARROGANT, MISLEADS THE
		VOTERS
3	05.	FOR WALTER SCHEEL
1	06.	AGAINST WALTER SCHEEL
	07.	FOR FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS
1	08.	AGAINST FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS
17	09.	OTHER POLITICIAN, TEAM IN GENERAL, BETTER
		LEADERSHIP, CANDIDATES

(CONTINUED)

.....

## GENERAL REASON WITHOUT DIRECT POLITICAL REFERENCE

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 156 | 11. | BASED ON TRADITION; ALWAYS VOTED THIS WAY, I AM FOR THE ...<PARTY>, I AM A MEMBER OF THE ...<PARTY>, CHOICE OF PARTY WAS ALWAYS CLEAR |
| 31  | 12. | WANTED VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>  |
| 5   | 13. | WANTED TO PREVENT VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>   |
| 15  | 14. | FOR THE COALITION, FAVORABLE TO THE COALITION   |
| 2   | 15. | AGAINST THE COALITION   |
| 11  | 19. | FOLLOWED MY DUTY AS A VOTER   |

## GENERAL POLITICAL REASON

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 49 | 21. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS WITHOUT REFERENCE TO A PARTY       |
| 39 | 22. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE SPD                         |
| 3  | 23. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE FDP                         |
| 31 | 24. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT       |
| 7  | 25. | THE GOOD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION, WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT     |
| 15 | 26. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION         |
| 4  | 27. | FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE SPD, FDP, COALITION GOVERNMENT |
|    | 28. | THE BAD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT       |
| 5  | 29. | FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OF THE OPPOSITION     |
| 22 | 31. | PROGRAM, WITHOUT REFERENCE TO A PARTICULAR PARTY                     |
| 26 | 32. | PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE SPD   |
| 2  | 33. | PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE FDP   |
| 6  | 34. | PROGRAM OF THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT                                 |
| 16 | 35. | PROGRAM OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION                                   |
| 1  | 36. | LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE SPD, FDP, COALITION            |
|    | 37. | LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION            |

## FOREIGN POLICY

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 23  | 41. | FOREIGN POLICY  |
| 34  | 42. | PEACE POLICY  |
| 113 | 43. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), AGAINST OR IN FAVOR, TREATIES WITH THE EAST |
| 2   | 44. | IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT ("GRUNDVERTRAG") WITH THE GDR                        |
|     | 45. | IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT, TREATIES WITH THE                                   |

(CONTINUED)

.....

EAST SHOULD HAVE BEEN CONCLUDED ONLY UNDER BETTER  
CONDITIONS, TOO MANY CONCESSIONS

## ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL POLICY

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 2  | 51. | FINANCIAL POLICIES   |
| 23 | 52. | ECONOMIC POLICIES  |
| 83 | 53. | ECONOMIC SITUATION, STABILITY, STABLE PRICES   |
| 25 | 54. | IN PARTICULAR: RETURN TO STABILITY, KEEP PRICES<br>STABLE, FEAR OF INFLATION, BETTER GUARANTEE OF<br>STABILITY |
| 8  | 55. | JOB SECURITY   |

## OTHER DOMESTIC AND SOCIAL POLICIES

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 18 | 61. | DOMESTIC POLICY                                    |
| 23 | 62. | SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL IMPROVEMENTS                 |
| 3  | 63. | EDUCATIONAL POLICY                                 |
|    | 64. | IN PARTICULAR: POLLUTION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION |
| 4  | 65. | IN PARTICULAR: PENSION LAWS, OLD AGE SECURITY      |
|    | 66. | IN PARTICULAR: POLICIES PERTAINING TO PROPERTY     |

## GROUP INTERESTS AND IDEOLOGY

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 14 | 71. | CHRISTIAN PARTY, AS CHRISTIAN, AS CATHOLIC   |
| 2  | 72. | REPRESENTS CATHOLIC INTERESTS  |
|    | 73. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF BUSINESSMEN, OF<br>INDUSTRY  |
| 1  | 74. | SO THAT INDUSTRY, CAPITALISTS DO NOT COME TO POWER   |
| 37 | 75. | REPRESENTS THE WORKERS' INTERESTS  |
| 8  | 76. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF THE LITTLE MAN, MORE<br>ASSISTANCE FOR THE LITTLE MAN, MORE SOCIAL JUSTICE |
| 18 | 77. | TOO FAR LEFT, AGAINST YOUNG SOCIALISTS, FEAR OF<br>SOCIALISM   |
| 8  | 78. | IS FUTURE ORIENTED   |
| 5  | 79. | RETAINS THAT WHICH EXISTS  |

## OTHER REASON

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 17 | 81. | TRUSTWORTHINESS, GOOD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL QUALITIES   |
| 6  | 82. | UNTRUSTWORTHINESS, BAD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL WEAKNESSES |
| 2  | 83. | IMPRESSION FROM THE TELEVISION DISCUSSIONS OF THE<br>PARTY LEADERS                      |
| 4  | 84. | FOR TWO PARTY GOVERNMENT, AGAINST ONE PARTY   |

(CONTINUED)

.....

		GOVERNMENT
1	85.	TO BE ABLE TO CONTROL THE SPD, TO PREVENT A SLIDE TO THE LEFT BY THE SPD
1	86.	BECAUSE OF THE NO CONFIDENCE VOTE ("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)
1	87.	BECAUSE OF THE CONVERSION, OF THE CHANGE OF SEATS BY SOME DEPUTIES
5	88.	CONVINCED DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, CONVINCED BY STRANGERS, BY FAMILY, COLLEAGUES
11	89.	SO THAT I PERSONALLY WILL FARE BETTER, I PERSONALLY FEEL DISADVANTAGED RIGHT NOW, TO REAP ECONOMIC ADVANTAGES
9	90.	OTHER REASON
	94.	NO THIRD MENTION <Q.13 (C)>
	95.	NO SECOND MENTION <Q.13 (B)>
	96.	NO REASON
28	97.	NA
82	98.	DK
45	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0278	REF 0278	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- REASON R'S VT - 2 MNT	MD=0 OR GE 95	
LOC 379 WIDTH 2	DK 7 COL 41-42	

Q.13 (B) . SECOND MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID

.....

&lt;SEE Q.13 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

VAR 0279	REF 0279	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- REASON R'S VT - 3 MNT	MD=0 OR GE 94	
LOC 381 WIDTH 2	DK 7 COL 43-44	

Q.13 (C) . THIRD MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID

.....

&lt;SEE Q.13 FOR CODES AND COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

VAR 0280

REF 0280

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- OTR RSN R'S VT- 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 96  
 LOC 383 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 45-46

Q.14. (IF R MENTIONED SOME REASON FOR HIS VOTING DECISION)  
 WERE THERE ANY OTHER REASONS

Q.14(A). FIRST ADDITIONAL MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID  
 .....

POLITICIAN

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 35 | 01. | FOR WILLY BRANDT; STRAIGHT CHARACTER, GOOD CHANCEL-<br>LOR, LIKEABLE, SHOULD STAY IN THE GOVERNMENT |
| 2  | 02. | AGAINST WILLY BRANDT, DISLIKE HIM   |
| 2  | 03. | FOR RAINER BARZEL   |
| 5  | 04. | AGAINST RAINER BARZEL; TOO ARROGANT, MISLEADS THE<br>VOTERS   |
| 1  | 05. | FOR WALTER SCHEEL   |
|    | 06. | AGAINST WALTER SCHEEL   |
| 3  | 07. | FOR FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS   |
| 1  | 08. | AGAINST FRANZ JOSEF STRAUSS   |
| 6  | 09. | OTHER POLITICIAN, TEAM IN GENERAL, BETTER<br>LEADERSHIP, CANDIDATES                                 |

GENERAL REASON WITHOUT DIRECT POLITICAL REFERENCE

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 17 | 11. | BASED ON TRADITION; ALWAYS VOTED THIS WAY, I AM<br>FOR THE ...<PARTY>, I AM A MEMBER OF THE ...<br><PARTY>, CHOICE OF PARTY WAS ALWAYS CLEAR |
| 3  | 12. | WANTED VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>   |
| 3  | 13. | WANTED TO PREVENT VICTORY OF THE ...<PARTY>  |
| 2  | 14. | FOR THE COALITION, FAVORABLE TO THE COALITION  |
|    | 15. | AGAINST THE COALITION  |
| 1  | 19. | FOLLOWED MY DUTY AS A VOTER  |

GENERAL POLITICAL REASON

- |   |     |   |
|---|-----|---|
| 6 | 21. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS WITHOUT<br>REFERENCE TO A PARTY   |
| 7 | 22. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE SPD                        |
| 1 | 23. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE FDP                        |
| 3 | 24. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF<br>THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT   |
|   | 25. | THE GOOD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION,<br>WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT |
| 6 | 26. | ACHIEVEMENTS, POLICIES, ARGUMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU,<br>OPPOSITION     |

(CONTINUED)

.....

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 2  | 27. | FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE SPD, FDP, COALITION GOVERNMENT |
|    | 28. | THE BAD COOPERATION WITHIN THE COALITION WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT       |
| 3  | 29. | FAILURES, LACKING ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OF THE OPPOSITION     |
| 5  | 31. | PROGRAM, WITHOUT REFERENCE TO A PARTICULAR PARTY                     |
| 11 | 32. | PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE SPD   |
| 2  | 33. | PROGRAM, CONCEPTS OF THE FDP   |
| 2  | 34. | PROGRAM OF THE COALITION, GOVERNMENT                                 |
| 1  | 35. | PROGRAM OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION                                   |
|    | 36. | LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE SPD, FDP, COALITION            |
|    | 37. | LACK OF A PROGRAM, OF CONCEPTS OF THE CDU/CSU, OPPOSITION            |

## FOREIGN POLICY

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 5  | 41. | FOREIGN POLICY   |
| 8  | 42. | PEACE POLICY   |
| 31 | 43. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST ("OSTPOLITIK"), AGAINST OR IN FAVOR, TREATIES WITH THE EAST  |
| 2  | 44. | IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT ("GRUNDVERTRAG") WITH THE GDR   |
| 2  | 45. | IN PARTICULAR: BASIC AGREEMENT, TREATIES WITH THE EAST SHOULD HAVE BEEN CONCLUDED ONLY UNDER BETTER CONDITIONS, TOO MANY CONCESSIONS |

## ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL POLICY

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 4 | 51. | FINANCIAL POLICIES   |
| 3 | 52. | ECONOMIC POLICIES  |
| 8 | 53. | ECONOMIC SITUATION, STABILITY, STABLE PRICES   |
| 2 | 54. | IN PARTICULAR: RETURN TO STABILITY, KEEP PRICES STABLE, FEAR OF INFLATION, BETTER GUARANTEE OF STABILITY |
| 2 | 55. | JOB SECURITY   |

## OTHER DOMESTIC AND SOCIAL POLICIES

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 7 | 61. | DOMESTIC POLICY                                    |
| 5 | 62. | SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL IMPROVEMENTS                 |
| 1 | 63. | EDUCATIONAL POLICY                                 |
| 1 | 64. | IN PARTICULAR: POLLUTION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION |
| 3 | 65. | IN PARTICULAR: PENSION LAWS, OLD AGE SECURITY      |
| 2 | 66. | IN PARTICULAR: POLICIES PERTAINING TO PROPERTY     |

(CONTINUED)

.....

## GROUP INTERESTS AND IDEOLOGY

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 2  | 71. | CHRISTIAN PARTY, AS CHRISTIAN, AS CATHOLIC   |
| 1  | 72. | REPRESENTS CATHOLIC INTERESTS  |
| 1  | 73. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF BUSINESSMEN, OF<br>INDUSTRY  |
| 1  | 74. | SO THAT INDUSTRY, CAPITALISTS DO NOT COME TO POWER   |
| 11 | 75. | REPRESENTS THE WORKERS' INTERESTS  |
| 6  | 76. | REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF THE LITTLE MAN, MORE<br>ASSISTANCE FOR THE LITTLE MAN, MORE SOCIAL JUSTICE |
| 6  | 77. | TOO FAR LEFT, AGAINST YOUNG SOCIALISTS, FEAR OF<br>SOCIALISM   |
|    | 78. | IS FUTURE ORIENTED   |
|    | 79. | RETAINS THAT WHICH EXISTS  |

## OTHER REASON

- |     |     |   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 3   | 81. | TRUSTWORTHINESS, GOOD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL QUALITIES                               |
| 2   | 82. | UNTRUSTWORTHINESS, BAD BEHAVIOR DURING THE ELECTION<br>CAMPAIGN, OTHER MORAL WEAKNESSES                             |
|     | 83. | IMPRESSION FROM THE TELEVISION DISCUSSIONS OF THE<br>PARTY LEADERS  |
| 1   | 84. | FOR TWO PARTY GOVERNMENT, AGAINST ONE PARTY<br>GOVERNMENT   |
|     | 85. | TO BE ABLE TO CONTROL THE SPD, TO PREVENT A SLIDE<br>TO LEFT OF THE SPD   |
|     | 86. | BECAUSE OF THE NO CONFIDENCE VOTE<br>("KONSTRUKTIVES MISSTRAUENSVOTUM" <OF APRIL 1972>)                             |
| 3   | 87. | BECAUSE OF THE CONVERSION, OF THE CHANGE OF SEATS<br>BY SOME DEPUTIES   |
| 4   | 88. | CONVINCED DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, CONVINCED<br>BY STRANGERS, BY FAMILY, COLLEAGUES                            |
| 4   | 89. | SO THAT I PERSONALLY WILL FARE BETTER, I<br>PERSONALLY FEEL DISADVANTAGED RIGHT NOW, TO REAP<br>ECONOMIC ADVANTAGES |
| 6   | 90. | OTHER REASON  |
|     | 94. | NO THIRD MENTION <Q.14 (C)>   |
|     | 95. | NO SECOND MENTION <Q.14 (B)>  |
| 773 | 96. | NO REASON   |
| 17  | 97. | NA  |
| 2   | 98. | DK  |
| 1   | 99. | REFUSED   |
| 969 | 00. | INAP., CODED 96-99 IN Q.13; NO THIRD WAVE<br>INTERVIEW  |

Q.14 (B) . SECOND ADDITIONAL MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID

Q.14 (C). THIRD ADDITIONAL MENTION OF WHY R VOTED AS HE DID

Q.15. WHAT WOULD YOU GENERALLY SAY ABOUT DEMOCRACY IN THE  
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY, THAT IS, ABOUT OUR POLITICAL  
PARTIES AND OUR ENTIRE POLITICAL SYSTEM? ARE YOU VERY  
SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, OR NOT SATISFIED WITH IT?

Q.16. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT AT THE PRESENT TIME THE RIGHT PEOPLE ARE, BY AND LARGE, IN THE LEADERSHIP POSITIONS HERE

(CONTINUED)

.....

IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC, OR DON'T YOU THINK SO?

.....

683	1.	YES, I THINK SO
297	2.	NO, I DON'T THINK SO
11	7.	NA
219	8.	DK
	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0285	REF 0285	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- FVR BASIC AGRMT W GDR	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 391 WIDTH 1	DK 7 COL 53	

Q.17. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL SOON SIGN THE BASIC AGREEMENT ("GRUNDVERTRAG") WITH THE GDR. ARE YOU PERSONALLY MORE IN FAVOR OR MORE AGAINST THE SIGNING OF THE BASIC AGREEMENT?

.....

793	1.	MORE IN FAVOR
246	2.	MORE AGAINST
9	7.	NA
152	8.	DK
11	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0286	REF 0286	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SCALOMETER- BRANDT	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 392 WIDTH 2	DK 7 COL 54-55	

Q.18. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR, WILLY BRANDT AND RAINER BARZEL? PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS SCALE.

<INTERVIEWER: HAND SCALE NO. 1 TO RESPONDENT.>

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTICULAR CANDIDATE. -5 MEANS YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL.

Q.18(A). WHAT DO YOU THINK OF WILLY BRANDT?

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

51	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
29	02.	.
38	03.	.
30	04.	.
18	05.	.
53	06.	UNDECIDED
70	07.	.
91	08.	.
181	09.	.
211	10.	.
431	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
6	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0287

REF 0287

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- SCALOMETER- BARZEL  
LOC 394 WIDTH 2

MD=0 OR GE 99  
DK 7 COL 56-57

Q.18(B). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF RAINER BARZEL?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

184	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF HIM AT ALL
70	02.	.
133	03.	.
85	04.	.
68	05.	.
85	06.	UNDECIDED
97	07.	.
143	08.	.
173	09.	.
87	10.	.
81	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF HIM
6	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.19. AND WHAT DO YOU THINK, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE  
POLITICAL PARTIES? AGAIN, PLEASE TELL ME BY USING THIS  
SCALE.

+5 MEANS THAT YOU THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY.  
-5 MEANS THAT YOU DO NOT THINK MUCH OF IT AT ALL.  
USING THE VALUES IN BETWEEN YOU CAN EXPRESS YOUR OPINION  
MORE PRECISELY.

.....

.....

95	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
65	02.	.
116	03.	.
71	04.	.
70	05.	.

(CONTINUED)

.....

77	06.	UNDECIDED
126	07.	.
136	08.	.
197	09.	.
107	10.	.
133	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
19	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0290	REF 0290	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SCALOMETER- CSU	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 400 WIDTH 2	DK 7 COL 62-63	

Q.19(C). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE CSU?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

200	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
90	02.	.
113	03.	.
84	04.	.
73	05.	.
63	06.	UNDECIDED
117	07.	.
142	08.	.
122	09.	.
104	10.	.
84	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
19	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0291	REF 0291	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SCALOMETER- FDP	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 402 WIDTH 2	DK 7 COL 64-65	

Q.19(D). AND WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE FDP?

.....

&lt;SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

31	01.	DO NOT THINK MUCH OF THE PARTY AT ALL
----	-----	---------------------------------------

(CONTINUED)

.....

15	02.	.
39	03.	.
27	04.	.
36	05.	.
94	06.	UNDECIDED
138	07.	.
226	08.	.
301	09.	.
202	10.	.
80	11.	THINK A GREAT DEAL OF THE PARTY
22	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0292

REF 0292

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3-	WHO BST ECON MINISTER	MD=0 OR GE 97
LOC	404 WIDTH 2	DK 7 COL 66-67

Q.20. QUITE APART FROM THE OUTCOME OF THE ELECTION, WHICH  
POLITICIAN DO YOU THINK WOULD BE BEST QUALIFIED TO BE  
MINISTER OF ECONOMICS?

&lt;INTERVIEWER: ADMIT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.&gt;

.....

	01.	ADENAUER (CDU)
	02.	AHLERS (SPD)
	03.	ARENDT (SPD)
1	04.	ARNDT (SPD)
	05.	BAHR (SPD)
	06.	BARZEL (CDU)
2	07.	BRANDT (SPD)
1	08.	VON DOHNANY (SPD)
	09.	DOLLINGER (CSU)
	10.	DREGGER (CDU)
1	11.	EHMKE (SPD)
3	12.	EPPLER (SPD)
39	13.	ERHARD (CDU)
	14.	ERLER (SPD)
2	15.	ERTL (FDP)
	16.	FILBINGER (CDU)
	17.	FRANKE (SPD)
23	18.	GENSCHER (FDP)
1	19.	GOPPEL (CDU)
	20.	HAMM-BRUECHER (FDP)
2	21.	VON HASSEL (CDU)
	22.	HEINEMANN (SPD)

(CONTINUED)

.....

	23.	HEUSS (FDP)
	24.	HOECHERL (CSU)
	25.	JAHN (SPD)
2	26.	KATZER (CDU)
	27.	KIESINGER (CDU)
2	28.	KOHL (CDU)
	29.	KUEHN (SPD)
	30.	KUBEL (SPD)
	31.	LAURITZEN (SPD)
2	32.	LEBER (SPD)
	33.	LEUSSINK (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	34.	MENDE (CDU)
1	35.	MISCHNIK (FDP)
8	36.	MOELLER (SPD)
11	37.	SCHEEL (FDP)
1	38.	SCHELLENBERG (SPD)
129	39.	SCHILLER (NO PARTY AFFILIATION)
	40.	SCHMID, CARLO (SPD)
290	41.	SCHMIDT, HELMUT (SPD)
	42.	SCHMITT-VOCKENHAUSEN (SPD)
8	43.	SCHROEDER (CDU)
	44.	SCHUETZ (SPD)
	45.	STEFFEN (SPD)
15	46.	STOLTENBERG (CDU)
118	47.	STRAUSS (CDU)
	48.	STROBEL, KAETHE (SPD)
	49.	STUECKLEN (CSU)
	50.	VON THADDEN (NPD)
2	51.	VOGEL, H.J. (SPD)
	52.	VON WEIZAECKER (CDU)
1	53.	WEYER (FDP)
	54.	WISCHNEWSKI (SPD)
19	55.	NARJES (CDU)
1	56.	WEHNER (SPD)
2	90.	OTHER SPD POLITICIAN
4	91.	OTHER CDU/CSU POLITICIAN
2	92.	OTHER FDP POLITICIAN
1	93.	OTHER POLITICIAN OF OTHER PARTY
7	97.	NA
505	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.21. NOW PLEASE THINK ABOUT YOUR FINANCIAL SITUATION OR THAT OF YOUR FAMILY. DOES IT MAKE A GREAT DIFFERENCE, SOME DIFFERENCE OR PRACTICALLY NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL, WHETHER AFTER THE ELECTION THE SPD AND THE FDP AGAIN FORM THE GOVERNMENT TOGETHER, OR WHETHER THE CDU/CSU FORMS THE GOVERNMENT?

Q.22 (A) . FIRST MENTION OF IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR THE NEW GOVERNMENT .

52	01.	DOMESTIC POLICY IN GENERAL
59	02.	ECONOMIC POLICY, ECONOMIC SITUATION IN GENERAL
116	03.	POLICIES TO INSURE ECONOMIC STABILITY
387	04.	PRICE STABILITY, NO INFLATION, PRICE FREEZE, THE INCREASE IN PRICES
22	05.	JOB SECURITY, FULL EMPLOYMENT, NO UNEMPLOYED
5	06.	OTHER ECONOMIC POLICY ISSUE
20	07.	FINANCIAL POLICIES
16	10.	TAX ISSUES IN GENERAL
3	11.	NO TAX INCREASE
	12.	LOWER TAXES (ALSO SPECIFIC TAXES)
4	13.	OTHER TAX ISSUE
15	20.	SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL SECURITY IN GENERAL
6	21.	HOUSING, LOWER RENTS
72	22.	PENSION REFORM, DO SOMETHING FOR THE OLD, BUILD

(CONTINUED)

.....

		OLD AGE HOMES
4	23.	DAY CARE CENTERS, KINDERGARTEN
14	24.	OTHER SOCIAL POLICY ISSUE
46	30.	EDUCATION IN GENERAL
4	31.	CONSTRUCTION OF SCHOOLS, MORE TEACHERS
2	32.	COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
	33.	VOCATIONAL EDUCATION
2	34.	OTHER EDUCATIONAL ISSUE
7	40.	SOCIAL ISSUES ("GESELLSCHAFTSPOLITIK")
3	41.	CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
3	42.	ACCUMULATION OF PROPERTY FOR EMPLOYEES
6	43.	REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS
4	44.	OTHER SOCIAL ISSUE
10	45.	AGRICULTURAL POLICIES
	46.	TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SAFER ROADS
23	47.	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
59	50.	INTERNAL, PUBLIC SECURITY IN GENERAL, LAW AND ORDER
14	51.	MORE EFFECTIVE FIGHT AGAINST CRIME, STIFFER PENALTIES
4	52.	AGAINST FOREIGN TERRORISTS, THE PROBLEM WITH FOREIGNERS
3	53.	PREVENTION OF TERRORIST ATTACKS
2	54.	OTHER PROBLEM OF INTERNAL SECURITY
20	60.	FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL
30	61.	PEACE POLICY
43	62.	EASTERN POLICY ("OSTPOLITIK") IN GENERAL
9	63.	CONCLUDE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
4	64.	IMPROVE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
	65.	ARRANGEMENT WITH CHINA
4	66.	OTHER ISSUE OF POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST
	67.	EUROPEAN POLICY
1	68.	RELATIONS WITH THE USA
3	69.	OTHER ISSUE IN FOREIGN POLICY
5	90.	OTHER ISSUE OR PROBLEM
10	97.	NA
93	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0295

REF 0295

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- PROB FOR GOVT - 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 409 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 71-72

Q.22(B). SECOND MENTION OF IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR THE NEW  
 GOVERNMENT

.....

<SEE Q.22 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

22	01.	DOMESTIC POLICY IN GENERAL
40	02.	ECONOMIC POLICY, ECONOMIC SITUATION IN GENERAL
46	03.	POLICIES TO INSURE ECONOMIC STABILITY
131	04.	PRICE STABILITY, NO INFLATION, PRICE FREEZE, THE INCREASE IN PRICES
39	05.	JOB SECURITY, FULL EMPLOYMENT, NO UNEMPLOYED
5	06.	OTHER ECONOMIC POLICY ISSUE
16	07.	FINANCIAL POLICIES
18	10.	TAX ISSUES IN GENERAL
9	11.	NO TAX INCREASE
3	12.	LOWER TAXES (ALSO SPECIFIC TAXES)
2	13.	OTHER TAX ISSUE
25	20.	SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL SECURITY IN GENERAL
20	21.	HOUSING, LOWER RENTS
50	22.	PENSION REFORM, DO SOMETHING FOR THE OLD, BUILD OLD AGE HOMES
3	23.	DAY CARE CENTERS, KINDERGARTEN
25	24.	OTHER SOCIAL POLICY ISSUE
37	30.	EDUCATION IN GENERAL
3	31.	CONSTRUCTION OF SCHOOLS, MORE TEACHERS
2	32.	COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
2	33.	VOCATIONAL EDUCATION
6	34.	OTHER EDUCATIONAL ISSUE
3	40.	SOCIAL ISSUES ("GESELLSCHAFTSPOLITIK")
5	41.	CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
7	42.	ACCUMULATION OF PROPERTY FOR EMPLOYEES
5	43.	REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS
7	44.	OTHER SOCIAL ISSUE
5	45.	AGRICULTURAL POLICIES
2	46.	TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SAFER ROADS
30	47.	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
89	50.	INTERNAL, PUBLIC SECURITY IN GENERAL, LAW AND ORDER
32	51.	MORE EFFECTIVE FIGHT AGAINST CRIME, STIFFER PENALTIES
2	52.	AGAINST FOREIGN TERRORISTS, THE PROBLEM WITH FOREIGNERS
5	53.	PREVENTION OF TERRORIST ATTACKS
5	54.	OTHER PROBLEM OF INTERNAL SECURITY
22	60.	FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL
28	61.	PEACE POLICY

(CONTINUED)

.....

50	62.	EASTERN POLICY ("OSTPOLITIK") IN GENERAL
4	63.	CONCLUDE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
1	64.	IMPROVE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
1	65.	ARRANGEMENT WITH CHINA
3	66.	OTHER ISSUE OF POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST
4	67.	EUROPEAN POLICY
	68.	RELATIONS WITH THE USA
	69.	OTHER ISSUE IN FOREIGN POLICY
3	90.	OTHER ISSUE OR PROBLEM
288	95.	NO SECOND MENTION
10	97.	NA
93	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0296

REF 0296

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- PROB FOR GOVT - 3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 94  
 LOC 411 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 73-74

Q.22(C). THIRD MENTION OF IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR THE NEW  
 GOVERNMENT

.....

&lt;SEE Q.22 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

6	01.	DOMESTIC POLICY IN GENERAL
19	02.	ECONOMIC POLICY, ECONOMIC SITUATION IN GENERAL
17	03.	POLICIES TO INSURE ECONOMIC STABILITY
37	04.	PRICE STABILITY, NO INFLATION, PRICE FREEZE, THE INCREASE IN PRICES
20	05.	JOB SECURITY, FULL EMPLOYMENT, NO UNEMPLOYED
	06.	OTHER ECONOMIC POLICY ISSUE
5	07.	FINANCIAL POLICIES
12	10.	TAX ISSUES IN GENERAL
8	11.	NO TAX INCREASE
	12.	LOWER TAXES (ALSO SPECIFIC TAXES)
1	13.	OTHER TAX ISSUE
20	20.	SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIAL SECURITY IN GENERAL
5	21.	HOUSING, LOWER RENTS
44	22.	PENSION REFORM, DO SOMETHING FOR THE OLD, BUILD OLD AGE HOMES
5	23.	DAY CARE CENTERS, KINDERGARTEN
11	24.	OTHER SOCIAL POLICY ISSUE

(CONTINUED)

.....

39	30.	EDUCATION IN GENERAL
3	31.	CONSTRUCTION OF SCHOOLS, MORE TEACHERS
6	32.	COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
3	33.	VOCATIONAL EDUCATION
3	34.	OTHER EDUCATIONAL ISSUE
2	40.	SOCIAL ISSUES ("GESELLSCHAFTSPOLITIK")
2	41.	CO-DETERMINATION ("MITBESTIMMUNG")
1	42.	ACCUMULATION OF PROPERTY FOR EMPLOYEES
5	43.	REFORM OF ABORTION LAWS
4	44.	OTHER SOCIAL ISSUE
1	45.	AGRICULTURAL POLICIES
1	46.	TRANSPORTATION ISSUES, SAFER ROADS
25	47.	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
34	50.	INTERNAL, PUBLIC SECURITY IN GENERAL, LAW AND ORDER
16	51.	MORE EFFECTIVE FIGHT AGAINST CRIME, STIFFER PENALTIES
4	52.	AGAINST FOREIGN TERRORISTS, THE PROBLEM WITH FOREIGNERS
9	53.	PREVENTION OF TERRORIST ATTACKS
2	54.	OTHER PROBLEM OF INTERNAL SECURITY
9	60.	FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL
30	61.	PEACE POLICY
22	62.	EASTERN POLICY ("OSTPOLITIK") IN GENERAL
2	63.	CONCLUDE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
	64.	IMPROVE THE BASIC AGREEMENT WITH THE GDR ("GRUNDVERTRAG")
2	65.	ARRANGEMENT WITH CHINA
2	66.	OTHER ISSUE OF POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST
5	67.	EUROPEAN POLICY
1	68.	RELATIONS WITH THE USA
	69.	OTHER ISSUE IN FOREIGN POLICY
1	90.	OTHER ISSUE OR PROBLEM
661	94.	NO THIRD MENTION
10	97.	NA
93	98.	DK
6	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0297

REF 0297

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- IMPC- STABLE PRICES MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 413 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 75

Q.23. HERE WE HAVE PUT TOGETHER AGAIN SEVERAL PROBLEMS  
 WHICH ARE TALKED ABOUT, AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW

(CONTINUED)

.....

IMPORTANT EACH ONE IS FOR YOU PERSONALLY. THESE CARDS  
CONTAIN THE PROBLEMS. USING THIS LIST, PLEASE TELL ME  
FOR EACH PROBLEM HOW IMPORTANT YOU CONSIDER IT TO BE, AND  
GIVE ME THE ALPHABETIC LETTERS ON THE CARDS AS WELL.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE THE BLUE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND IT  
TO THE RESPONDENT. ALSO HAND LIST A TO THE RESPONDENT.>

Q.23(A). PROBLEM A: STABLE PRICES--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

1012	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
168	2.	IMPORTANT
15	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
1	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
5	7.	NA
7	8.	DK
2	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0298

REF 0298

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- IMPC- LAW AND ORDER MD=0 OR GE 7  
LOC 414 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 76

Q.23(B). PROBLEM B: LAW AND ORDER IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC--

R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.

.....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

632	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
499	2.	IMPORTANT
58	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
9	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
5	7.	NA
4	8.	DK
3	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.23(C). PROBLEM C: OLD AGE SECURITY--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....  
<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

Q.23(D) . PROBLEM D: GOOD HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EVERYBODY--  
R'S PERCEPTION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PROBLEM.  
.....  
<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

571	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
586	2.	IMPORTANT
36	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
4	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
6	7.	NA
7	8.	DK
2	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW



DK 8 COL 5- 6

• • • •

• • • • •

THIS FIELD UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH RESPONDENT.

Q.23 (G) . PROBLEM G: MORE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION--

.....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

537	1.	VERY IMPORTANT
582	2.	IMPORTANT
62	3.	NOT SO IMPORTANT
9	4.	COMPLETELY UNIMPORTANT
8	7.	NA
11	8.	DK
2	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.23 (H) . PROBLEM H: EXTENDING FACILITIES OF THE EDUCATIONAL  
SYSTEM--

.....

<SEE Q.23 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

7	7.	NA
22	8.	DK
2	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.24. MANY PEOPLE IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY FOR A LONG TIME, ALTHOUGH THEY MAY OCCASIONALLY VOTE FOR A DIFFERENT PARTY. HOW ABOUT YOU: DO YOU IN GENERAL LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY? IF SO, WHICH ONE?

.....

576	1.	SPD
325	2.	CDU/CSU
38	3.	FDP
1	4.	NDP
	5.	DKP
	6.	OTHER
201	7.	NO PARTY LEANING
20	8.	DK
47	9.	REFUSED
817	0.	NA; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0306 REF 0306 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- STRGNTH PRTSN PRFRNC MD=0 OR GE 7  
 LOC 422 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 14

Q.25. (IF R LEANS TOWARDS A PARTY) TAKEN ALTOGETHER, HOW  
 STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DO YOU LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY: VERY  
 STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY OR  
 VERY WEAKLY?

.....

205	1.	VERY STRONGLY
490	2.	FAIRLY STRONGLY
220	3.	MODERATELY
11	4.	FAIRLY WEAKLY
9	5.	VERY WEAKLY
2	7.	NA
3	8.	DK
	9.	REFUSED
1085	0.	INAP., CODED 0 OR 7-9 IN Q.24; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0307 REF 0307 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
 NAME-3- R'S PARTY IDENTIFCTN MD=0 OR GE 6  
 LOC 423 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 15

RESPONDENT'S PARTISAN IDENTIFICATION

.....

THIS VARIABLE COMBINES Q.24 AND Q.25 INTO A SINGLE  
 MEASURE OF THE INTENSITY OF SPD/FDP OR CDU/CSU PREFERENCE.

Q.25 CODED

465	1.	STRONG PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP	1 - 2
147	2.	WEAK PREFERENCE FOR SPD OR FDP	3 - 5
201	3.	NO PARTY PREFERENCE	
94	4.	WEAK PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU	3 - 5
228	5.	STRONG PREFERENCE FOR CDU/CSU	1 - 2
6	6.	OTHER PARTY PREFERENCE COMBINATION	
3	7.	NA	
20	8.	DK	
47	9.	REFUSED	
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW	

VAR 0308 REF 0308 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-3- EXPECTED SPD/FDP VICT MD=0 OR GE 8  
LOC 424 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 16

Q.26. DID YOU EXPECT A VICTORY FOR THE SPD/FDP  
COALITION BEFORE THE ELECTION?

.....

646	1.	YES
479	2.	NO
79	8.	DK
6	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0309 REF 0309 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-3- IMPC- ELEC CAMPAIGNS MD=0 OR GE 8  
LOC 425 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 17

Q.27. THERE ARE DIFFERENT OPINIONS ABOUT THE SIGNIFICANCE  
OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN. THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO THINK  
IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, OTHERS THINK IT IS QUITE USEFUL,  
AND STILL OTHERS THINK IT IS VERY HARMFUL. WHAT DO YOU  
THINK? DO YOU REGARD THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN AS  
ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL, QUITE USEFUL, FAIRLY SUPERFLUOUS,  
OR AS VERY HARMFUL?

.....

248	1.	ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL
593	2.	QUITE USEFUL
295	3.	FAIRLY SUPERFLUOUS
24	4.	VERY HARMFUL
43	8.	DK
7	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0310 REF 0310 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-3- ATTND POLTTL MEETINGS MD=0 OR GE 9  
LOC 426 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 18

Q.28. DID YOU ATTEND ANY CAMPAIGN MEETINGS OR SIMILAR  
EVENTS BEFORE THE ELECTION?

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

150	1.	YES
1059	2.	NO
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0311	REF 0311	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- NUMBER MEETINGS ATTND	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 427 WIDTH 2	DK 8 COL 19-20	

Q.29 (IF R ATTENDED ANY CAMPAIGN MEETINGS) ALTOGETHER  
ABOUT HOW MANY SUCH MEETINGS DID YOU ATTEND?

.....

50	01.	1 MEETING
40	02.	.
33	03.	.
12	04.	.
9	05.	.
	06.	.
	07.	.
1	08.	.
5	09.	9 OR MORE MEETINGS
	99.	NA
1874	00.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.28; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0312	REF 0312	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DISC POLT W FAMILY	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 429 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 21	

Q.30,31. WERE THE PARTIES, THE POLITICIANS AND THE ELECTION  
DISCUSSED IN YOUR FAMILY PRIOR TO THE ELECTION? (IF YES)  
WAS THAT FREQUENTLY, OCCASIONALLY, OR RARELY?

.....

473	1.	FREQUENTLY
431	2.	OCCASIONALLY
54	3.	RARELY
249	4.	NOT DISCUSSED
	8.	DK

(CONTINUED)

.....

3	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0313	REF 0313	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DISC POLT W FRIENDS	MD=0 OR GE 8	
LOC 430 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 22	

Q.32,33. AND WHAT ABOUT YOUR FRIENDS AND ACQUAINTANCES?  
WERE THE PARTIES, THE POLITICIANS AND THE ELECTION  
DISCUSSED IN THESE CIRCLES? (IF YES) WAS THAT FREQUENTLY,  
OCCASIONALLY, OR RARELY?

.....

479	1.	FREQUENTLY
376	2.	OCCASIONALLY
67	3.	RARELY
226	4.	NOT DISCUSSED
61	8.	DK
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0314	REF 0314	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- APPRV CMPN ADVRTSNG	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 431 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 23	

Q.34. DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN MANY PEOPLE OPENLY  
SHOWED THEIR SYMPATHY FOR ONE PARTY OR ANOTHER THROUGH  
BUTTONS, STICKERS OR BY HANDING OUT INFORMATION.  
WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THIS? DO YOU FIND THIS GOOD OR NOT  
GOOD?

.....

602	1.	GOOD
586	2.	NOT GOOD
22	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.35. DID YOU PERSONALLY ALSO OPENLY SHOW FOR WHICH PARTY OR CANDIDATE YOU ARE?

Q.36. (IF R SHOWED HIS SUPPORT FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE) WHAT DID YOU DO? DID YOU WEAR A CAMPAIGN BUTTON, THAT IS, A BUTTON WITH A MESSAGE IN FAVOR OF YOUR CANDIDATE OR YOUR PARTY, DID YOU PUT A STICKER ON YOUR CAR, OR WHAT ELSE DID YOU DO?

USED BY R DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN TO SUPPORT HIS PARTY

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;SEE Q.36 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

42	1.	USED
296	2.	NOT USED
16	9.	NA
1670	0.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.35; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0318	REF 0318	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- R PRFRM OTR CMPN ACTV	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 435 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 27	

Q.36(C). OTHER MEANS--

USED BY R DURING THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN TO SUPPORT HIS PARTY  
 .....

&lt;SEE Q.36 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

201	1.	GAVE MY OPINION IN TALKS AND DISCUSSIONS IN GENERAL
26	2.	GAVE MY OPINION IN TALKS WITH FRIENDS, ACQUAINTANCES, FAMILY, IN THE CLUB
9	3.	GAVE MY OPINION IN TALKS AT THE JOB, WITH COLLEAGUES, AT THE UNIVERSITY
5	4.	DISTRIBUTED CAMPAIGN INFORMATION MATERIALS
4	5.	STICKER, POSTER ON THE CAR
2	6.	STICKER OR POSTER ON THE HOUSE OR ON THE DOOR
2	7.	OTHER
16	9.	NA
90	8.	NO OTHER MEANS USED <CODED 1 IN Q.36(A) OR Q.36(B)>
1670	0.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.35; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0319	REF 0319	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- WOULD R ACTVLY PRTCPT	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 436 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 28	

Q.37. (IF R DID NOT OPENLY SHOW HIS SUPPORT FOR A PARTY)  
 IS THIS <KIND OF PARTICIPATION> COMPLETELY OUT OF THE  
 QUESTION FOR YOU OR WOULD YOU BE WILLING UNDER CERTAIN  
 CIRCUMSTANCES TO PARTICIPATE THIS WAY IN AN ELECTION CAM-

(CONTINUED)

.....

PAIGN?

.....

641	1.	OUT OF THE QUESTION
212	2.	WOULD BE WILLING TO DO SO UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES
2	9.	NA
1169	0.	INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.35; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0320	REF 0320	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DID R GIVE MONEY	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 437 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 29	

Q.38. ALL PARTIES ASKED FOR CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS IN THIS ELECTION CAMPAIGN. DID YOU SUPPORT A PARTY OR CANDIDATE WITH A SUM OF MONEY?

.....

42	1.	YES
1167	2.	NO
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0321	REF 0321	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- HOW MANY DM R CNTRBT	MD=0 OR GE 999	
LOC 438 WIDTH 3	DK 8 COL 30-32	

Q.39. (IF R GAVE A FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTION) HOW MUCH DID YOU CONTRIBUTE ALTOGETHER?

.....

ACTUAL AMOUNT IN MARKS (DM) CODED IN THREE DIGITS

999.	NA
000.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.38; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.40. (IF R DID NOT GIVE A FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTION)  
WOULD YOU BE WILLING UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES IN THE  
FUTURE TO SUPPORT THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN OF THE PARTY YOU  
PREFER BY GIVING MONEY TO IT?

Q.41. IN THIS ELECTION CAMPAIGN, NON-PARTISAN CITIZENS ALSO DEMONSTRATED THEIR SUPPORT FOR PARTICULAR PARTIES AND CANDIDATES THROUGH SO-CALLED CITIZEN GROUPS ("WAEHLERINITIATIVEN") BY PLACING ADVERTISEMENTS IN NEWSPAPERS OR BY SETTING UP CAMPAIGN RALLIES FOR PARTICULAR CANDIDATES AND PARTIES. DID YOU NOTICE ANY OF THIS OR DID YOU NOT NOTICE IT?

Q.42. DO YOU THINK IT IS PROPER THAT OTHER GROUPS BESIDES  
THE PARTIES PARTICIPATE IN THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN IN THIS  
WAY, OR DO YOU THINK THIS IS NOT PROPER?

.....

578 1. PROPER

(CONTINUED)

.....

613	2.	NOT PROPER
20	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0325	REF 0325	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SAW TV DEBATES	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 444 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 36	

Q.43. BEFORE THE ELECTION, THERE WERE SEVERAL DEBATES ON TELEVISION BETWEEN THE PARTY LEADER-- BRANDT, BARZEL, SCHEEL AND STRAUSS. DID YOU WATCH, AT LEAST PARTIALLY, ONE, TWO OR ALL THREE OF THESE PROGRAMS?

.....

479	1.	SAW ALL THREE DEBATES
350	2.	SAW TWO DEBATES
190	3.	SAW ONE DEBATE
190	4.	DID NOT SEE ANY OF THE DEBATES
1	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0326	REF 0326	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- DID R LIKE TV DEBATES	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 445 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 37	

Q.44. (IF R WATCHED THE DEBATES) IN GENERAL, DID YOU LIKE THESE PROGRAMS VERY MUCH, MUCH, NOT MUCH, OR NOT AT ALL?

.....

185	1.	VERY MUCH
560	2.	MUCH
225	3.	NOT MUCH
48	4.	NOT AT ALL
1	9.	NA
1005	0.	INAP., CODED 4 OR 9 IN Q.43; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.45. (IF R WATCHED THE DEBATES) IN YOUR OPINION, WHO OF THE FOUR POLITICIANS OVERALL FARED BEST IN THESE PROGRAMS?

Q.46. (IF R WATCHED THE DEBATES) AND WHO OF THE FOUR POLITICIANS, IN YOUR VIEW, FARED THE WORST <IN THESE PROGRAMS>?

Q.47. AN ELECTION CAMPAIGN SHOULD INFORM ONE ABOUT THE CANDIDATES AND PROGRAMS OF THE VARIOUS PARTIES IN ORDER TO HELP THE VOTER MAKE UP HIS MIND. DO YOU THINK THIS

(CONTINUED)

.....

ELECTION CAMPAIGN, AS IT HAS BEEN CONDUCTED BY THE PARTIES,  
HAS FULFILLED THIS PURPOSE OR DON'T YOU THINK SO?

.....

461	1.	YES
349	2.	PARTLY YES/PARTLY NO
290	3.	NO
7	7.	NA
100	8.	DK
4	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0330

REF 0330

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- SOURCE CDU MNY- 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
LOC 449 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 41-42

Q.48. TOGETHER, THE PARTIES SPENT MORE MONEY FOR THE ELEC-  
TION CAMPAIGN THIS YEAR THAN EVER BEFORE. WHAT DO YOU THINK:  
WHERE DID THE PARTIES GET THE MONEY FOR THE ELECTION  
CAMPAIGN?

<INTERVIEWER: WRITE DOWN ALL COMMENTS AND PROBE.>

Q.48A. WHAT ABOUT THE CDU/CSU, WHERE DID IT GET THE MONEY?

Q.48A(1). FIRST MENTION OF SOURCES OF CDU/CSU CAMPAIGN  
MONEY

.....

176	01.	INDUSTRY
62	02.	BUSINESS
1	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
108	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
35	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
6	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
47	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
6	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
3	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
7	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
2	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER

(CONTINUED)

.....

		GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
2	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
7	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
73	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
36	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
12	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESSETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
7	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
60	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
59	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
56	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
19	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
25	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
3	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
2	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
2	97.	NA
393	98.	DK
1	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0331

REF 0331

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- SOURCE CDU MNY- 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 451 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 43-44

Q.48A(2). SECOND MENTION OF SOURCES OF CDU/CSU CAMPAIGN MONEY

.....

&lt;SEE Q.48A FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

(CONTINUED)

.....

57	01.	INDUSTRY
24	02.	BUSINESS
5	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
31	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
12	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
6	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
20	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
5	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
1	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
9	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
2	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
10	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
1	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
1	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
6	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
22	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
35	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
10	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
1	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
43	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
34	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
47	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
7	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
17	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
2	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
408	95.	NO SECOND MENTION

(CONTINUED)

.....

2	97.	NA
393	98.	DK
1	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0332

REF 0332

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3-	SOURCE	CDU	MNY-	3	MNT	MD=0	OR	GE	94
LOC	453	WIDTH	2			DK	8	COL	45-46

Q.48A(3). THIRD MENTION OF SOURCES OF CDU/CSU CAMPAIGN  
MONEY

.....

&lt;SEE Q.48A FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

9	01.	INDUSTRY
4	02.	BUSINESS
	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
6	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
3	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
3	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
5	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
3	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
2	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
1	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
5	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
16	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
2	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS

(CONTINUED)

.....

2	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
9	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
17	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
12	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
5	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
3	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
4	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
706	94.	NO THIRD MENTION
2	97.	NA
393	98.	DK
1	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0333

REF 0333

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- SOURCE SPD MNY- 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 455 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 47-48

Q.48B. AND WHAT ABOUT THE SPD, WHERE DID IT GET THE MONEY  
 <FOR THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN>?

Q.48B(1). FIRST MENTION OF SOURCES OF SPD CAMPAIGN MONEY

.....

39	01.	INDUSTRY
17	02.	BUSINESS
	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
15	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
5	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
3	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
1	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
2	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH

(CONTINUED)

.....

	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
119	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
11	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
9	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
82	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
69	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
19	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESSETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
16	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
56	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
67	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
148	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
45	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
51	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
3	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
1	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
3	97.	NA
431	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0334

REF 0334

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- SOURCE SPD MNY- 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
LOC 457 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 49-50

Q.48B(2). SECOND MENTION OF SOURCES OF SPD CAMPAIGN MONEY

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;SEE Q.48B FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 27 | 01. | INDUSTRY   |
| 4  | 02. | BUSINESS   |
|    | 03. | THE TRADING SECTOR   |
| 9  | 04. | BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS   |
| 1  | 05. | LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS  |
| 1  | 06. | BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE   |
| 2  | 07. | CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL   |
| 2  | 08. | ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY,<br>BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR  |
|    | 09. | MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS<br>ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS   |
|    | 10. | SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE<br>TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES  |
|    | 11. | CATHOLIC CHURCH  |
|    | 12. | THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL  |
| 55 | 13. | LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN<br>FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER<br>GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS   |
|    | 14. | WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN   |
| 1  | 15. | ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO<br>SPECIFICATION   |
| 3  | 16. | INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS   |
| 1  | 17. | TELEVISION   |
|    | 18. | INSTITUTIONS   |
| 21 | 19. | TAX MONEY, TAXES   |
| 43 | 20. | THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL<br>BUDGET   |
| 6  | 21. | GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE<br>PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESSETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS<br>FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE<br>FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED<br>TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS |
| 7  | 22. | GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE<br>GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT,<br>PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT  |
| 69 | 23. | CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED<br>FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION   |
| 53 | 24. | FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE<br>PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL<br>CONTRIBUTIONS   |
| 60 | 25. | PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES  |
| 20 | 26. | PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS  |
| 27 | 27. | PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED<br>BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS   |
| 2  | 28. | DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT   |
|    | 29. | OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES   |
|    | 90. | OTHER RESPONSE   |

(CONTINUED)

.....

363	95.	NO SECOND MENTION
3	97.	NA
431	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0335

REF 0335

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3-	SOURCE SPD	MNY- 3	MNT	MD=0	OR GE 94
LOC 459	WIDTH 2			DK 8	COL 51-52

Q.48B(3). THIRD MENTION OF SOURCES OF SPD CAMPAIGN MONEY

.....

&lt;SEE Q.48B FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

8	01.	INDUSTRY
2	02.	BUSINESS
	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
2	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
2	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
6	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
2	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
1	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
15	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
1	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
6	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
12	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
3	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESSETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED

(CONTINUED)

.....

		TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
3	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE
		GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT,
		PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
14	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED
		FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
21	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE
		PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL
		CONTRIBUTIONS
6	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
5	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
1	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED
		BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
3	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
1	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
665	94.	NO THIRD MENTION
3	97.	NA
431	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0336

REF 0336

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- SOURCE FDP MNY- 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97  
 LOC 461 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 53-54

Q.48C. AND WHAT ABOUT THE FDP? <WHERE DID IT GET THE MONEY  
 FOR THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN>?

Q.48C(1). FIRST MENTION OF SOURCES OF FDP CAMPAIGN MONEY.

.....

63	01.	INDUSTRY
27	02.	BUSINESS
2	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
31	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
2	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
11	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
3	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY,
		BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
34	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS
		ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE
		TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES

(CONTINUED)

.....

	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
5	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
3	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
7	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
76	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
59	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
17	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESSETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
13	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
69	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
71	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
81	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
36	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
37	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
3	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
1	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
3	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
3	97.	NA
557	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0337

REF 0337

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- SOURCE FDP MNY- 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
LOC 463 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 55-56

Q.48C(2). SECOND MENTION OF SOURCES OF FDP CAMPAIGN MONEY

.....

(CONTINUED)

.....

&lt;SEE Q.48C FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

- |    |     |  |
|----|-----|--|
| 25 | 01. | INDUSTRY   |
| 17 | 02. | BUSINESS   |
| 6  | 03. | THE TRADING SECTOR   |
| 16 | 04. | BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS   |
| 2  | 05. | LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS  |
| 1  | 06. | BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE   |
| 2  | 07. | CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL   |
| 1  | 08. | ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY,<br>BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR  |
| 8  | 09. | MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS<br>ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS   |
|    | 10. | SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE<br>TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES  |
|    | 11. | CATHOLIC CHURCH  |
|    | 12. | THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL  |
| 2  | 13. | LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN<br>FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER<br>GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS   |
| 1  | 14. | WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN   |
|    | 15. | ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO<br>SPECIFICATION   |
| 2  | 16. | INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS   |
| 1  | 17. | TELEVISION   |
|    | 18. | INSTITUTIONS   |
| 18 | 19. | TAX MONEY, TAXES   |
| 31 | 20. | THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL<br>BUDGET   |
| 6  | 21. | GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE<br>PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESSETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS<br>FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE<br>FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED<br>TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS |
| 5  | 22. | GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE<br>GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT,<br>PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT  |
| 47 | 23. | CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED<br>FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION   |
| 53 | 24. | FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE<br>PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL<br>CONTRIBUTIONS   |
| 39 | 25. | PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES  |
| 12 | 26. | PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS  |
| 17 | 27. | PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED<br>BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS   |
| 1  | 28. | DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT   |
|    | 29. | OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES   |

(CONTINUED)

.....

	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
338	95.	NO SECOND MENTION
3	97.	NA
557	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0338	REF 0338	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SOURCE FDP MNY- 3 MNT	MD=0 OR GE 94	
LOC 465 WIDTH 2	DK 8 COL 57-58	

Q.48C(3). THIRD MENTION OF SOURCES OF FDP CAMPAIGN MONEY  
 .....

<SEE Q.48C FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

6	01.	INDUSTRY
2	02.	BUSINESS
2	03.	THE TRADING SECTOR
3	04.	BUSINESSMEN, EMPLOYERS
2	05.	LARGE COMPANIES, LARGE CORPORATIONS
	06.	BANKS, FINANCIAL CIRCLES, HIGH FINANCE
	07.	CAPITALISTS, BIG CAPITAL
1	08.	ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF INDUSTRY, BUSINESSMEN AND THE TRADING SECTOR
2	09.	MIDDLE CLASS, FARMERS, CRAFTSMEN, AS WELL AS ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS OF THE MIDDLE CLASS
	10.	SPRINGER, BAUER, "BILD ZEITUNG", OTHER REFERENCE TO THESE LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANIES
	11.	CATHOLIC CHURCH
	12.	THE CHURCH, CHURCHES IN GENERAL
	13.	LABOR UNIONS, ORGANIZATIONS OF EMPLOYEES, GERMAN FEDERATION OF LABOR UNIONS ("DEUTSCHER GEWERKSCHAFTSBUND"), INDIVIDUAL UNIONS
	14.	WORKERS, EMPLOYEES, THE LITTLE MAN
	15.	ASSOCIATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN GENERAL, NO SPECIFICATION
	16.	INTEREST GROUPS, INTEREST ASSOCIATIONS
	17.	TELEVISION
	18.	INSTITUTIONS
3	19.	TAX MONEY, TAXES
7	20.	THE STATE, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL BUDGET
	21.	GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES, SUBSIDIES ACCORDING TO THE PARTY STATUTE ("PARTEIENGESSETZ"), REIMBURSEMENTS FOR CAMPAIGN COSTS AND OTHER REFERENCES TO THE

(CONTINUED)

.....

		FINANCIAL RESOURCES WHICH ARE OFFICIALLY ALLOCATED TO THE PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE BUDGETS
	22.	GOVERNMENT, FUNDS OF THE GOVERNMENT, THE GOVERNMENT'S BUDGET, MONEY OF THE GOVERNMENT, PRESS AND INFORMATION OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT
14	23.	CONTRIBUTIONS, COLLECTIONS, COLLECTED A LOT, ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS, IN GENERAL, WITHOUT SPECIFICATION
13	24.	FRIENDS, FOLLOWERS, ADHERENTS, PEOPLE CLOSE TO THE PARTY, PERSONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS
6	25.	PARTY MEMBERSHIP DUES
4	26.	PARTY MEMBERS, CONTRIBUTIONS BY PARTY MEMBERS
3	27.	PARTY FUNDS, FROM THE FUNDS OF THE PARTIES, RAISED BY THE PARTIES THEMSELVES, OWN FUNDS
4	28.	DEBTS, LOANS, WENT INTO DEBT
	29.	OTHER, VARIOUS, ALL KINDS OF SOURCES
	90.	OTHER RESPONSE
579	94.	NO THIRD MENTION
3	97.	NA
557	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0339

REF 0339

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3-	APRV	METHOD	CMPN	FIN	MD=0	OR	GE	9
LOC	467	WIDTH	1		DK	8	COL	59

Q.49. IS THE WAY IN WHICH THE PARTIES FINANCED THEIR  
CAMPAIGNS BY AND LARGE ALRIGHT, OR DO YOU TAKE EXCEPTION TO  
IT?

.....

633	1.	BY AND LARGE ALRIGHT
540	2.	TAKE EXCEPTION TO IT
37	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0340

REF 0340

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- PROB CMPN FIN - 1 MNT MD=0 OR GE 97

LOC 468 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 60-61

Q.50. (IF R DOES NOT APPROVE OF THE METHOD OF PARTY  
FINANCING) WHAT DO YOU THINK OF IN THIS CONTEXT?

Q.50(A). FIRST MENTION OF PROBLEMS R SEES IN PARTY  
FINANCING

- .....
- |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 246 | 01. | CRITICISM OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING; EXPENDITURES TOO HIGH, WASTE, SQUANDERING, SENSELESS EXPENDITURES, TOO MUCH, TOO EXPENSIVE, SPENT TOO MUCH, EXAGGERATED, HIGH EXPENDITURES ARE USELESS, ALL PARTIES SHOULD SPEND LESS, COULD MAKE IT LESS EXPENSIVE, LESS WOULD BE MORE |
| 76  | 02. | MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN SPENT BETTER, MORE SENSIBLY, MORE USEFULLY, SHOULD HAVE BEEN SPENT FOR DAYCARE CENTERS, SCHOOLS, ROADS, OLD AGE HOMES, HOSPITALS, THE RETIRED  |
| 32  | 03. | PARTIES SHOULD PAY FOR THE CAMPAIGN THEMSELVES, PARTIES SHOULD PAY ALONE, ONLY FROM THEIR OWN RESOURCES  |
| 17  | 04. | CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: INDUSTRY, BUSINESS, BUSINESSMEN, CAPITALISTS  |
| 3   | 05. | CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: LABOR UNIONS, UNION MONEY, SHOULD NOT BE SPENT ONLY FOR ONE PARTY   |
| 59  | 06. | NO PUBLIC FUNDS FOR THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, TAX MONEY, FUNDS OF THE STATE, GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED  |
| 27  | 07. | FINANCING IS NON-TRANSPARENT, LAY OPEN THE SOURCES OF THE MONEY, BACKERS REMAIN IN THE DARK, POLITICAL DEALS   |
| 8   | 08. | NOT BALANCED ENOUGH; POORER PARTIES ARE DISADVANTAGED, EXPENDITURES TOO VARIED, ALL PARTIES SHOULD HAVE EQUAL FUNDS, EQUAL FINANCIAL AID   |
| 1   | 09. | MORE PUBLIC SUBSIDIES; ALL PARTIES SHOULD RECEIVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT, THE STATE, FROM TAX SOURCES; BETTER BALANCE THROUGH MORE AND/OR MORE EQUAL PUBLIC SUBSIDIES, CAMPAIGN COSTS SHOULD BE COVERED BY THE STATE, BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT    |
| 25  | 10. | ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: CDU/CSU   |
|     | 11. | ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: SPD   |
|     | 12. | ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: FDP   |
| 4   | 13. | BEGGING, APPEAL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE PARTIES   |

(CONTINUED)

.....

16	14.	TOO MUCH ADVERTISING, TOO MANY ADS (IN NEWSPAPERS, ETC.)
5	15.	CRITICISM OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, OF THE METHOD OF CAMPAIGNING OF ONE PARTY AND/OR IN GENERAL E.G., DESTROY OR COVER POSTERS OF THE OTHER PARTY
	16.	ADVERTISING, ADVERTISING BUSINESS, THE NEWSPAPERS AND OTHERS PROFIT FROM THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN
3	90.	OTHER PROBLEM
55	97.	NA
	98.	DK
	99.	REFUSED
1448	00.	INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.49; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0341

REF 0341

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- PROB CMPN FIN - 2 MNT MD=0 OR GE 95  
 LOC 470 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 62-63

Q.50(B). SECOND MENTION OF PROBLEMS R SEES IN PARTY FINANCING  
 .....

&lt;SEE Q.50 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

10	01.	CRITICISM OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING; EXPENDITURES TOO HIGH, WASTE, SQUANDERING, SENSELESS EXPENDITURES, TOO MUCH, TOO EXPENSIVE, SPENT TOO MUCH, EXAGGERATED, HIGH EXPENDITURES ARE USELESS, ALL PARTIES SHOULD SPEND LESS, COULD MAKE IT LESS EXPENSIVE, LESS WOULD BE MORE
23	02.	MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN SPENT BETTER, MORE SENSIBLY, MORE USEFULLY, SHOULD HAVE BEEN SPENT FOR DAYCARE CENTERS, SCHOOLS, ROADS, OLD AGE HOMES, HOSPITALS, THE RETIRED
4	03.	PARTIES SHOULD PAY FOR THE CAMPAIGN THEMSELVES, PARTIES SHOULD PAY ALONE, ONLY FROM THEIR OWN RESOURCES
1	04.	CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: INDUSTRY, BUSINESS, BUSINESSMEN, CAPITALISTS
	05.	CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: LABOR UNIONS, UNION MONEY, SHOULD NOT BE SPENT ONLY FOR ONE PARTY
10	06.	NO PUBLIC FUNDS FOR THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, TAX MONEY, FUNDS OF THE STATE, GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED
2	07.	FINANCING IS NON-TRANSPARENT, LAY OPEN THE

(CONTINUED)

.....

SOURCES OF THE MONEY, BACKERS REMAIN IN THE DARK,  
POLITICAL DEALS

08. NOT BALANCED ENOUGH; POORER PARTIES ARE  
DISADVANTAGED, EXPENDITURES TOO VARIED, ALL PARTIES  
SHOULD HAVE EQUAL FUNDS, EQUAL FINANCIAL AID

1 09. MORE PUBLIC SUBSIDIES; ALL PARTIES SHOULD RECEIVE  
THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT, THE  
STATE, FROM TAX SOURCES; BETTER BALANCE THROUGH  
MORE AND/OR MORE EQUAL PUBLIC SUBSIDIES, CAMPAIGN  
COSTS SHOULD BE COVERED BY THE STATE, BY THE  
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

7 10. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF  
FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: CDU/CSU

11. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF  
FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: SPD

12. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF  
FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: FDP

1 13. BEGGING, APPEAL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE PARTIES

5 14. TOO MUCH ADVERTISING, TOO MANY ADS (IN NEWSPAPERS,  
ETC.)

15. CRITICISM OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, OF THE METHOD  
OF CAMPAIGNING OF ONE PARTY AND/OR IN GENERAL E.G.,  
DESTROY OR COVER POSTERS OF THE OTHER PARTY

16. ADVERTISING, ADVERTISING BUSINESS, THE NEWSPAPERS  
AND OTHERS PROFIT FROM THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN

90. OTHER PROBLEM

458 95. NO SECOND MENTION

55 97. NA

98. DK

99. REFUSED

1448 00. INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.49; NO THIRD WAVE  
INTERVIEW

VAR 0342

REF 0342

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- PROB CMPN FIN - 3 MNT MD=0 OR GE 94  
LOC 472 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 64-65

Q.50(C). THIRD MENTION OF PROBLEMS R SEES IN PARTY  
FINANCING

.....

&lt;SEE Q.50 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

01. CRITICISM OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING; EXPENDITURES TOO  
HIGH, WASTE, SQUANDERING, SENSELESS EXPENDITURES,

(CONTINUED)

.....

- TOO MUCH, TOO EXPENSIVE, SPENT TOO MUCH,  
EXAGGERATED, HIGH EXPENDITURES ARE USELESS, ALL  
PARTIES SHOULD SPEND LESS, COULD MAKE IT LESS  
EXPENSIVE, LESS WOULD BE MORE
02. MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN SPENT BETTER, MORE SENSIBLY,  
MORE USEFULLY, SHOULD HAVE BEEN SPENT FOR DAYCARE  
CENTERS, SCHOOLS, ROADS, OLD AGE HOMES, HOSPITALS,  
THE RETIRED
03. PARTIES SHOULD PAY FOR THE CAMPAIGN THEMSELVES,  
PARTIES SHOULD PAY ALONE, ONLY FROM THEIR OWN  
RESOURCES
04. CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: INDUSTRY,  
BUSINESS, BUSINESSMEN, CAPITALISTS
05. CONTRIBUTIONS, SUBSIDIES, HELP FROM: LABOR UNIONS,  
UNION MONEY, SHOULD NOT BE SPENT ONLY FOR ONE PARTY
06. NO PUBLIC FUNDS FOR THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, TAX  
MONEY, FUNDS OF THE STATE, GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE  
USED
- 1 07. FINANCING IS NON-TRANSPARENT, LAY OPEN THE  
SOURCES OF THE MONEY, BACKERS REMAIN IN THE DARK,  
POLITICAL DEALS
08. NOT BALANCED ENOUGH; POORER PARTIES ARE  
DISADVANTAGED, EXPENDITURES TOO VARIED, ALL PARTIES  
SHOULD HAVE EQUAL FUNDS, EQUAL FINANCIAL AID
09. MORE PUBLIC SUBSIDIES; ALL PARTIES SHOULD RECEIVE  
THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT, THE  
STATE, FROM TAX SOURCES; BETTER BALANCE THROUGH  
MORE AND/OR MORE EQUAL PUBLIC SUBSIDIES, CAMPAIGN  
COSTS SHOULD BE COVERED BY THE STATE, BY THE  
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
10. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF  
FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: CDU/CSU
11. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF  
FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: SPD
12. ONE SPECIFIC PARTY MENTIONED, WHOSE METHOD OF  
FINANCING APPEARS PROBLEMATIC: FDP
13. BEGGING, APPEAL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE PARTIES
14. TOO MUCH ADVERTISING, TOO MANY ADS (IN NEWSPAPERS,  
ETC.)
15. CRITICISM OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, OF THE METHOD  
OF CAMPAIGNING OF ONE PARTY AND/OR IN GENERAL E.G.,  
DESTROY OR COVER POSTERS OF THE OTHER PARTY
16. ADVERTISING, ADVERTISING BUSINESS, THE NEWSPAPERS  
AND OTHERS PROFIT FROM THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN
90. OTHER PROBLEM
- 521 94. NO THIRD MENTION
- 55 97. NA
98. DK

• • • • •

1448            99.    REFUSED  
              00.    INAP., CODED 1 IN Q.49; NO THIRD WAVE  
                      INTERVIEW

VAR 0343	REF 0343	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- CHNCLR/GVT IMP T DCSN	MD=0 OR GE 7	
LOC 474 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 66	

Q.51. WHAT WAS MORE IMPORTANT TO YOU IN MAKING YOUR VOTING DECISION, THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR OR THE GOVERNMENT TEAMS OF THE PARTIES?

.....

209	1.	THE CANDIDATES FOR CHANCELLOR
486	2.	BOTH WERE EQUALLY IMPORTANT
459	3.	THE GOVERNMENT TEAMS
10	7.	NA
41	8.	DK
5	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0344	REF 0344	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SPD VOTE W/O BRANDT	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 475 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 67	

Q.52. DO YOU THINK THE SPD WOULD HAVE DONE AS WELL IN THIS ELECTION IF IT HAD HAD A CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OTHER THAN WILLY BRANDT?

.....

280	1.	YES
888	2.	NO
42	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.53. DO YOU THINK THAT THE CDU/CSU WOULD HAVE DONE BETTER IF IT HAD HAD A CANDIDATE FOR CHANCELLOR OTHER THAN RAINER BARZEL?

Q.54. IF YOU THINK BACK ON THE WHOLE ELECTION CAMPAIGN, DO YOU THINK THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN INFLUENCED YOUR OWN VOTING DECISION STRONGLY, SOME OR NOT AT ALL?

Q.55. (IF R WAS INFLUENCED BY THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN) IN WHAT WAYS WERE YOU INFLUENCED?

Q.55 (A) . FIRST MENTION OF WAYS IN WHICH R WAS INFLUENCED BY THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN

## PERSONAL CONTACTS

(CONTINUED)

.....

- |   |     |  |
|---|-----|--|
| 3 | 01. | BY THE FAMILY, DISCUSSIONS AND TALKS WITHIN THE FAMILY                     |
| 3 | 02. | BY FRIENDS, DISCUSSIONS WITH FRIENDS                                       |
| 2 | 03. | BY NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES, DISCUSSIONS WITH NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES |
| 1 | 04. | BY COLLEAGUES, DISCUSSIONS WITH COLLEAGUES                                 |

## MASS MEDIA

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 1  | 10. | BY NEWSPAPER REPORTS, NEWSPAPER COMMENTARY                              |
| 6  | 11. | BY NEWSPAPER ADS  |
| 27 | 12. | BY THE TELEVISION DEBATES OF THE LEADING CANDIDATES <THE PARTY LEADERS> |
| 12 | 13. | BY THE TELEVISION SPOTS ("PARTEIEN ZUR WAHL")                           |
| 16 | 14. | BY OTHER TELEVISION PROGRAMS  |
| 7  | 15. | OTHER <BY POSTERS, RADIO ETC.>  |

## POLITICAL PROBLEMS

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 5  | 20. | PRICE STABILITY                                 |
| 15 | 21. | OTHER POLITICAL PROBLEM                         |
| 6  | 22. | POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST, TREATIES WITH THE EAST |
| 21 | 30. | BY CAMPAIGN PROPAGANDA, UNSPECIFIED             |

## STYLE OF THE CAMPAIGN

- |    |     |   |
|----|-----|---|
| 26 | 40. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, FAVORABLE   |
| 3  | 41. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, FAVORABLE          |
| 3  | 50. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, UNFAVORABLE |
| 20 | 51. | CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, UNFAVORABLE        |

## RESULTS OF INFLUENCE

- |      |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| 22   | 60. | WAS STRENGTHENED IN MY ORIGINAL INTENTION            |
| 21   | 61. | FOUND THE WAY TO MY FINAL DECISION                   |
| 8    | 90. | OTHER FACTOR   |
| 9    | 97. | NA   |
| 2    | 98. | DK   |
|      | 99. | REFUSED  |
| 1786 | 00. | INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.54; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW |

VAR 0348

REF 0348

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- HOW CMPN INFL VT-2 MN MD=0 OR GE 95  
LOC 480 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 72-73

Q.55(B). SECOND MENTION OF WAYS IN WHICH R WAS INFLUENCED  
BY THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN

.....

<SEE Q.55 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

PERSONAL CONTACTS

- 01. BY THE FAMILY, DISCUSSIONS AND TALKS WITHIN THE FAMILY
- 02. BY FRIENDS, DISCUSSIONS WITH FRIENDS
- 1 03. BY NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES, DISCUSSIONS WITH NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES
- 1 04. BY COLLEAGUES, DISCUSSIONS WITH COLLEAGUES

MASS MEDIA

- 8 10. BY NEWSPAPER REPORTS, NEWSPAPER COMMENTARY
- 2 11. BY NEWSPAPER ADS
- 4 12. BY THE TELEVISION DEBATES OF THE LEADING CANDIDATES <THE PARTY LEADERS>
- 13. BY THE TELEVISION SPOTS ("PARTEIEN ZUR WAHL")
- 5 14. BY OTHER TELEVISION PROGRAMS
- 3 15. OTHER <BY POSTERS, RADIO ETC.>

POLITICAL PROBLEMS

- 1 20. PRICE STABILITY
- 21. OTHER POLITICAL PROBLEM
- 3 22. POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST, TREATIES WITH THE EAST
- 30. BY CAMPAIGN PROPAGANDA, UNSPECIFIED

STYLE OF THE CAMPAIGN

- 3 40. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, FAVORABLE
- 41. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, FAVORABLE
- 2 50. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, UNFAVORABLE
- 2 51. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, UNFAVORABLE

RESULTS OF INFLUENCE

- 1 60. WAS STRENGTHENED IN MY ORIGINAL INTENTION

(CONTINUED)

.....

61. FOUND THE WAY TO MY FINAL DECISION

90. OTHER FACTOR

191 95. NO SECOND MENTION

9 97. NA

2 98. DK

99. REFUSED

1786 00. INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.54; NO THIRD WAVE  
INTERVIEW

VAR 0349

REF 0349

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- HOW CMPN INFL VT-3 MN MD=0 OR GE 94

LOC 482 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 74-75

Q.55(C). THIRD MENTION OF WAYS IN WHICH R WAS INFLUENCED BY  
THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN.

.....

&lt;SEE Q.55 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.&gt;

## PERSONAL CONTACTS

01. BY THE FAMILY, DISCUSSIONS AND TALKS WITHIN THE  
FAMILY

02. BY FRIENDS, DISCUSSIONS WITH FRIENDS

1 03. BY NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES, DISCUSSIONS WITH  
NEIGHBORS OR ACQUAINTANCES

1 04. BY COLLEAGUES, DISCUSSIONS WITH COLLEAGUES

## MASS MEDIA

1 10. BY NEWSPAPER REPORTS, NEWSPAPER COMMENTARY

11. BY NEWSPAPER ADS

12. BY THE TELEVISION DEBATES OF THE LEADING  
CANDIDATES <THE PARTY LEADERS>

13. BY THE TELEVISION SPOTS ("PARTEIEN ZUR WAHL")

14. BY OTHER TELEVISION PROGRAMS

3 15. OTHER <BY POSTERS, RADIO ETC.>

## POLITICAL PROBLEMS

20. PRICE STABILITY

21. OTHER POLITICAL PROBLEM

1 22. POLICY TOWARDS THE EAST, TREATIES WITH THE EAST

(CONTINUED)

.....

30. BY CAMPAIGN PROPAGANDA, UNSPECIFIED

## STYLE OF THE CAMPAIGN

- 40. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, FAVORABLE
- 41. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, FAVORABLE
- 50. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE SPD AND/OR FDP, UNFAVORABLE
- 51. CAMPAIGN STYLE OF THE CDU/CSU, UNFAVORABLE

## RESULTS OF INFLUENCE

- 60. WAS STRENGTHENED IN MY ORIGINAL INTENTION
- 61. FOUND THE WAY TO MY FINAL DECISION
- 90. OTHER FACTOR
- 220 94. NO THIRD MENTION
- 9 97. NA
- 2 98. DK
- 99. REFUSED
- 1786 00. INAP., CODED 3 OR 9 IN Q.54; NO THIRD WAVE  
INTERVIEW

VAR 0350

REF 0350

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- CDU/CSU- PRFRC RANK

MD=0 OR GE 9

LOC 484 WIDTH 1

DK 8 COL 76

Q.56. HERE ARE FIVE CARDS WITH THE NAMES OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC. WOULD YOU PLEASE RANK THESE CARDS ACCORDING TO HOW WELL YOU LIKE THE PARTIES? ON TOP SHOULD BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE BEST, AT THE BOTTOM THEN WILL BE THE PARTY WHICH YOU LIKE LEAST.

<INTERVIEWER: SHUFFLE WHITE DECK OF CARDS AND HAND TO RESPONDENT.>

Q.56(A). PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO CDU/CSU

.....

- 405 1. FIRST RANK
- 90 2. SECOND RANK
- 599 3. THIRD RANK
- 55 4. FOURTH RANK
- 12 5. FIFTH RANK

(CONTINUED)

.....

49	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0351	REF 0351	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- SPD- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 485 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 77	

Q.56(B) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO SPD  
.....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

674	1.	FIRST RANK
262	2.	SECOND RANK
210	3.	THIRD RANK
14	4.	FOURTH RANK
1	5.	FIFTH RANK
49	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0352	REF 0352	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- FDP- PREFERENCE RANK	MD=0 OR GE 9	
LOC 486 WIDTH 1	DK 8 COL 78	

Q.56(C) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO FDP  
.....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

80	1.	FIRST RANK
801	2.	SECOND RANK
270	3.	THIRD RANK
6	4.	FOURTH RANK
3	5.	FIFTH RANK
50	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0353 REF 0353 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-3- NPD- PREFERENCE RANK MD=0 OR GE 9  
LOC 487 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 79

Q.56(D) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO NPD  
.....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

3	1.	FIRST RANK
4	2.	SECOND RANK
32	3.	THIRD RANK
672	4.	FOURTH RANK
416	5.	FIFTH RANK
83	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0354 REF 0354 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-3- DKP- PREFERENCE RANK MD=0 OR GE 9  
LOC 488 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 80

Q.56(E) . PREFERENCE RANK WHICH R GIVES TO DKP  
.....

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

2	1.	FIRST RANK
5	2.	SECOND RANK
45	3.	THIRD RANK
381	4.	FOURTH RANK
694	5.	FIFTH RANK
83	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0001 REF 0001 DATA SET ID-'7102'  
NAME-ICPR STUDY NUMBER - 7102 NO MISSING DATA CODES  
LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 9 COL 1- 4

ICPR STUDY NUMBER (7102)  
.....

'09'

DK 9 COL 5- 6

• • • •

.....

.....

.....

553	1.	MALE
657	2.	FEMALE
	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.2. WHAT IS YOUR RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE?

.

• • • • •

00. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

.....

66. NA; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

.....

814 0. NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

Q.5 (IF R WAS BORN AFTER 1935 OR BEFORE 1915)  
HOW WAS IT IN YOUR HOME OR THE FAMILY IN WHICH YOU GREW UP:  
GENERALLY SPEAKING, DID THEY LEAN TOWARDS A PARTICULAR POLI-  
TICAL PARTY? IF SO WHICH ONE?

Q.6 (IF R PARENTS LEANED TOWARDS A PARTICULAR PARTY)  
HOW STRONGLY OR WEAKLY DID THEY LEAN TOWARDS THIS PARTY?  
VERY STRONGLY, FAIRLY STRONGLY, MODERATELY, FAIRLY WEAKLY  
OR VERY WEAKLY?

103	1.	VERY STRONGLY
155	2.	FAIRLY STRONGLY
116	3.	MODERATELY
4	4.	FAIRLY WEAKLY
	5.	VERY WEAKLY
5	8.	DK
3	9.	NA
1639	0.	INAP., CODED 2 OR 9 IN Q.4; CODED 11 OR 97-99

• • • • •

```
VAR 0364                                REF 0364                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

.....

VAR 0365	REF 0365	DATA SET ID-'7102'
NAME-3- FATHER'S OCCUPATION	MD=0 OR GE 99	
LOC 503 WIDTH 2	DK 9 COL 25-26	

.....

19	11.	PROFESSIONAL
6	21.	OWNER OR EXECUTIVE OF A LARGE BUSINESS
47	22.	SELF-EMPLOYED - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., PROPRIETOR OF A LARGER STORE, CHIEF SALES REPRESENTATIVE)
125	23.	SELF-EMPLOYED - LOWER LEVEL (E.G., SMALL SHOP- KEEPER, CRAFTSMAN)
43	31.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE IN A MANAGERIAL POSITION (E.G., DEPARTMENT HEAD, DIRECTOR)
104	32.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - MEDIUM LEVEL (E.G., ACCOUNTANT, CASHIER)
60	33.	SALARIED WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEE - LOWER LEVEL

(CONTINUED)

.....

		(E.G., SALES CLERK, SECRETARY)
11	41.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGHEST LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES HOEHEREN DIENSTES")
23	42.	CIVIL SERVANT - HIGH LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES GEHOBENEN DIENSTES")
49	43.	CIVIL SERVANT - MEDIUM LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES MITTLEREN DIENSTES" UP TO "AMTMANN" AND "ASSESSOR")
41	44.	CIVIL SERVANT - LOW LEVEL ("BEAMTE DES EINFACHEN DIENSTES" UP TO "OBERSEKRETAER")
317	51.	SKILLED WORKER
183	61.	SEMI-SKILLED OR UNSKILLED WORKER
8	71.	FARMER, LARGE FARM
81	72.	FARMER, MEDIUM SIZE FARM
61	73.	FARMER, SMALL FARM
16	81.	FARM WORKER
1	92.	IN VOCATIONAL TRAINING
3	93.	RETIRED, RECEIVED PENSION AND NO PRIOR OCCUPATION ASCERTAINED
12	99.	NA
814	00.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0366

REF 0366

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- IS R A PARTY MEMBER

MD=0 OR GE 8

LOC 505 WIDTH 1

DK 9 COL 27

Q.9. ARE YOU A MEMBER OF A PARTY? IF SO, WHICH ONE?

.....

29	1.	SPD
25	2.	CDU/CSU
2	3.	FDP
	4.	NPD
	5.	DKP
	6.	OTHER
1125	7.	NOT A MEMBER OF ANY PARTY
29	8.	NA
	9.	REFUSED
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR	0367	REF	0367	DATA SET	ID-'7102'
NAME-3-	PARTY 2ND VOTE 1969	MD=0	OR GE 9		
LOC	506 WIDTH 1	DK 9	COL 28		

Q.10. CAN YOU STILL REMEMBER WHICH PARTY YOU VOTED FOR WITH YOUR SECOND VOTE ("ZWEITSTIMME"), THAT IS YOUR PARTY VOTE, IN THE FEDERAL ELECTION THREE YEARS AGO IN SEPTEMBER 1969? WHICH PARTY WAS THAT? OR CAN'T YOU REMEMBER THAT EXACTLY?

.....

523	1.	SPD
364	2.	CDU/CSU
63	3.	FDP
5	4.	NPD
	5.	ADF
95	6.	WAS NOT ELIGIBLE TO VOTE
69	7.	DID NOT VOTE
77	8.	DO NOT REMEMBER
829	0.	NA; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

```
VAR 0368                                REF 0368                                DATA SET ID-'7102'
```

Q.11. (IF R DOES NOT REMEMBER WHICH PARTY HE VOTED FOR IN 1969) THREE YEARS AGO, THE CDU/CSU HAD NOMINATED KURT GEORG KIESINGER, THE SPD WILLY BRANDT AND THE FDP WALTER SCHEEL FOR CHANCELLOR, OR AS THE TOP CANDIDATE. CAN YOU POSSIBLY REMEMBER NOW WHICH OF THESE CANDIDATES YOU VOTED FOR THEN?

.....

29	1.	KIESINGER, CDU/CSU
22	2.	BRANDT, SPD
4	3.	SCHEEL, FDP
1	4.	NPD
	5.	ADF
36	9.	NA
1933	0.	INAP., CODED 1-7 IN Q.10; NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

VAR 0369

REF 0369

DATA SET ID-'7102'

NAME-3- R'S FIN SIT IN 1 YEAR MD=0 OR GE 8

LOC 508 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 30

Q.12. (AND NOW ONE LAST QUESTION:) WHAT DO YOU THINK  
YOUR OWN FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE A YEAR FROM NOW? DO  
YOU EXPECT THAT YOUR OWN FINANCIAL SITUATION THEN WILL BE  
SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER, SOMEWHAT BETTER, THE SAME, SOMEWHAT  
WORSE, OR SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE?

.....

28	1.	SUBSTANTIALLY BETTER
275	2.	SOMEWHAT BETTER
726	3.	THE SAME
109	4.	SOMEWHAT WORSE
16	5.	SUBSTANTIALLY WORSE
53	8.	DK
4	9.	NA
814	0.	NO THIRD WAVE INTERVIEW

## APPENDIX

## NOTE 1- DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES

THE FOLLOWING CODES CAN BE USED TO IDENTIFY THE LOCATION OF EACH INTERVIEW IN TERMS OF STATE ("BUNDESLAND") AND DISTRICT ("REGIERUNGSBEZIRK"). THE FIRST AND SECOND DIGITS OF THE COMMUNITY CODE IDENTIFY THE STATE OF THE INTERVIEW. THE THIRD DIGIT OF THE DISTRICT CODE IDENTIFIES THE DISTRICT WITHIN EACH STATE.

THE ASSIGNMENT OF DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION CODES FOLLOWS THE STANDARD USED IN THE "AMTLICHES GEMEINDEVERZEICHNIS FUER DIE BUNDESREPUBLIK DEUTSCHLAND, 1961".

DISTRICT ID CODE	DISTRICT NAME	DISTRICT ID CODE	DISTRICT NAME
01	S L E S W I G - H O L S T E I N		
01 0	SLESWIG HOLSTEIN		
02	H A M B U R G		
02 0	HAMBURG		
03	L O W E R S A X O N Y		
03 1	HANNOVER	03 5	OSNABRUECK
03 2	HILDESHEIM	03 6	AURICH
03 3	LUENEBURG	03 7	BRAUNSCHWEIG
03 4	STADE	03 8	OLDENBURG
04	B R E M E N		
04 0	BREMEN		
05	N O R T H R H I N E - W E S T P H A L I A		
05 1	DUESSELDORF (NON-RUHR)	05 6	MUENSTER (RUHR)
05 2	DUESSELDORF (RUHR)	05 7	DETMOLD
05 3	KOELN	05 8	ARNSBERG (NON-RUHR)
05 4	AACHEN	05 9	ARNSBERG (RUHR)
05 5	MUENSTER (NON-RUHR)		
06	H E S S E		
06 1	DARMSTADT	06 3	WIESBADEN
06 2	KASSEL		
07	R H I N E L A N D - P A L A T I N A T E		

07 1	KOBLENZ	07 4	RHEINHESSEN
07 2	TRIER	07 5	PFALZ
07 3	MONTABAUER		
08	B A D E N - W U E R T T E M B E R G		
08 1	NORDWUERTTEMBERG	08 3	SUEDBADEN
08 2	NORDBADEN	08 4	SUDWUERTTEMBERG-HOHEN.
09	B A V A R I A		
09 1	OBERBAYERN	09 5	MITTELFRAKEN
09 2	NIEDERBAYERN	09 6	UNTERFRAKEN
09 3	OBERPFALZ	09 7	SCHWABEN
09 4	OBERFRAKEN		
10	S A A R L A N D		
10 0	SAARLAND		