

Codebook for The European Voter

Prepared after the meeting of principal investigators and team members: Cologne, 21-25 January 2002

This codebook identifies those measures that are requested for each participating country in The European Voter project.

Participants are asked to supply one or more variables as appropriate for each measure that is identified here.

In selecting and constructing variables, participants are asked to bear in mind that the most important requirement for this project is that measures should be as consistent as possible over time within each country. This principle should guide both the selection and the construction of variables. Thus:

1. Where more than one operationalisation may be available, variables that have been asked in the same way over a long period of time are always to be preferred over those that have changed.
2. Where the number of response categories to a variable has been changed, please recode so comparability over time is maximised. In the case of variables with a small number of categories (normally less than five), we would anticipate that this will normally be best achieved by recoding to collapse the number of categories. In the case of those variables with a larger number of categories we would normally anticipate that this would best be achieved by standardising the data. In the case of the latter, the range of the scale should be that which was actually used in most years.

It is appreciated that in some cases a consistent operationalisation across time cannot be provided. There may be one or two major breaks that cannot be bridged by any of the above procedures. In that event please provide the best possible time series, on either side of the break. But please signify the existence of a break by assigning different variable names to the different operationalisations.

Otherwise, please normally adopt the variable name conventions indicated in this document as far as possible. Please also ensure that the variables are as far as possible in the order indicated in this document.

It is appreciated that on occasions a measure will either be unavailable at all, or only available for one election or two or three proximate elections. In that event, please omit. The study has no interest in variables from which no possible long-term time series can be constructed.

In the case of those variables where the response codes are the names of parties, please use the same coding scheme throughout.

Participants may either provide one combined file for their whole country or separate files for each election, as appears most efficient in their case.

Documentation

Please provide a file in a spreadsheet format that indicates which variables are available for which year. Please provide on the left hand side of this spreadsheet sufficient description of the variable that the nature of its contents are likely to be apparent to the user.

Please arrange this documentation in the same order as the file. Where more than one indicator is provided of a measure (perhaps because of a break in a time series) please put each indicator on a separate (but adjacent) row.

Minor discrepancies in question wording or coding between years for a variable (i.e. discrepancies that are not serious enough to require separate variable names) should also be documented here.

Please ensure that the file has adequate variable and value label descriptions.

Please adopt the following missing data codes.

7, 97, 997 = inappropriate

8, 98, 998 = DK

9, 99, 999 = NA

1. Variables to identify the study and respondents

COUNTRY Britain, Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden

YEAR Election year : year in four digits

WEIGHT1

WEIGHT2

Please provide any weights required to ensure that results are representative of those eligible to vote at the election in question. In the case of multi-wave panels where different weights are required for different waves please provide all appropriate weights and document for which variables each applies.

STUDY Study number

RESP Respondent identification number

2. General background variables

SEX Respondents sex

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	Male
---	------

2	Female
---	--------

BORN Year of birth (4 digits)

AGE Age in the year election takes place (2 digits)

Please provide at least one or the other, preferably both.

MARRIED Civil status

The crucial distinctions here are married/living as married, previously married, and never married.

URBAN Urbanisation

Please provide a categorisation based on either subjective or objective criteria. We would normally anticipate no more than 5 categories.

REGION Region where respondent is living

Please supply what you consider to be the most politically relevant categorisation. If region is not thought to be relevant please supply a categorisation that will fairly demonstrate that is the case!

EDU Highest completed education level

Please provide if possible a variable that distinguishes between higher, secondary and primary levels of education.

RELIGION Religion

Respondents' self-assigned religious denomination

CHURCH ATTENDANCE

Frequency of attendance at religious service.

3. Occupation and class

WHOHEAD WHO IS HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD, OR MAIN INCOME EARNER

Whether respondent is head of household, or not.

- (1) Respondent is head of household
- (2) Spouse is head of household
- (3) Parent of respondent is head of household
- (4) Other person is head of household
- (5) Respondent is not head of household and no information available about who else is head of household

EGPCLASS

Please provide if possible a classification of respondents based on the Erikson-Goldthorpe-Portocarero class schema, using as elaborate a version of that schema as you are able to operationalise. The classification may be based on either respondent's or head of household class – or some variant thereof.

If you are unable to operationalise this schema for all or some years please provide the most elaborate class schema that you can construct consistently across all or most elections.

PUBLIC R.

This variable should identify whether the HoH or respondent is employed in the public or private sectors.

SUBCLASS subjective social class

Respondent's self class assignment/identification.

INCOME Gross income

This may be based on either the respondent or the household. A division into quartiles or quintiles will be acceptable.

UNION Respondent member of trade union

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	Yes
---	-----

2	No
---	----

OWNHOUSE DOES RESPONDENT, OR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD OWN A HOUSE

(1) No, not a house owner

(2) Yes, a house owner

4. Measures of political interest and involvement

The aim of this section is to create a number of indicators of the respondent's involvement in politics. It is not designed, for example, to permit the analysis of media impact.

POLINTR Indicator of subjective political interest.

CAREWON. How much respondent cared about the outcome of the election

MEDIAUSE

One or more variables that measure frequency of use of or reported attention to news in the media (e.g. TV news, newspapers) either in general or during the campaign.

DISCUSSION Discussed politics

One or more variables, as available, reporting involvement in political discussions, either in general or during the campaign.

WHEN Reported timing of when decision was made how to vote

EFFICACY1 to EFFICACYn

Please provide any time series that may be available for your country of any measures (such as those first administered in the ANES/The Civic Culture) of political efficacy. These measures may be of either system/external or personal/internal – and where both are available please provide both.

5. Measures of party attachment

MEMBER member of a party

Value	Label
-------	-------

1	Yes
---	-----

2	No
---	----

PID Party identification, direction

Please use code 97 to indicate no identification

STRENGTH Party identification, strength

6. Party and party leader sympathy

Please provide a measure of the respondent's overall sympathy/likeing for each party. We would anticipate that this would normally be the product of a 'theremometer' score, but in the absence of such a score please supply the most similar alternative.

Use same order of parties as in the coding scheme for parties.

SYMP1 sympathy score party 1

SYMP2

SYMP3

SYMP4

SYMP5

SYMP6

SYMP7

SYMP8

SYMP9

SYMP10

SYMP11

SYMP12

Please provide a similar measure for the leader of each of the above parties.

SYMPL1 sympathy score for leader party 1

SYMPL2

SYMPL3

SYMPL4

SYMPL5

SYMPL6

SYMPL7

SYMP8L

SYMP9L

SYMP10L

SYMP11L

SYMP12L

7. Left – right positions

LRSP

Respondent's left-right self placement.

LRP1

LRP2

LRP3

LRP4

LRP5

LRP6

LRP7

LRP8

LRP9

LRP10

LRP11

LRP12

Respondent's left-right placement for each party (in the same order as above)

In the event that the classic left-right scale was not administered please provide a functional equivalent where possible.

8. Economic and Non-economic evaluations.

Please provide one or more indicators of the following, where available:-

ECONOMY1 Retrospective socio-tropic economic evaluations

ECONOMY2 Retrospective egocentric economic evaluations

ECONOMY3 Prospective socio-tropic economic evaluations

ECONOMY4 Prospective egocentric economic evaluations

Questions that ask about the situation 'now' may be substituted for retrospective evaluations.

BLAME1 to BLAME2

Who does the respondent blame/credit for the retrospective/current situation.

NONECON1

Please supply any non-economic retrospective evaluations for which a time series is available.

9. Value Dimensions

Please provide at least two indicators for each year of the following value dimensions. The indicators should be capable of being combined into an additive scale. Examples are given of the kinds of items that it is anticipated might be reliable and valid indicators but these should be regarded as illustrative rather than definitive.

Each of the following strategies is acceptable:-

For each value dimension, the inclusion of two indicators for both of which there is a good individual time series.

The inclusion of a larger number of items, on each of which the time series may be broken, but where in most pairs of years two or more items that comprise the scale are available in common.

Participants are welcome to construct a value scale for each dimension, but this is not essential. They should however ensure that which indicator belongs to which dimension is adequately documented.

MORAL1 MORAL CONSERVATISM / RELIGIOUS VALUES

- Role of Christian values in society
- Attitudes towards the position of the family
- Attitudes towards abortion
- Attitudes towards Pornography
- Attitudes towards homosexuality
- The role of religious teaching in schools
- Traditional values vs. modern values in society

MORAL2, etc.

From the same list

STATE1 STATE INTERVENTION / ECONOMIC LEFT-RIGHT / STATE vs. MARKET

- regulation of the market economy
- size of the welfare state / public sector
- privatisation (“privatise health care” etc)
- socialisation of private enterprise

- regulation of private property
- level of public social security and benefits (replacement rates)
- income equality versus the need for incentives
- tax level / tax progression
- economic democracy (influence of employees/workers in firms)

STATE2 etc.

From the same list

AUTHOR1 AUTHORITARIAN/LIBERTARIAN

- emphasis on law and order
- strong measures against crime and criminals
- size of the defence forces
- attitudes towards increasing political and social participation and involvement in decision making versus the need for efficient decisions without much involvement.
- attitudes towards respect for authorities

AUTHOR2, etc.

From the same list

GROWTH1, GROWTH-ECOLOGY

- attitudes towards environmental protection in general
- environmental protection versus economic growth
- attitudes towards economic growth/high productivity
- attitudes towards nuclear power
- attitudes towards private motoring
- speed in development of energy sources (waterfalls, oil, etc.)

GROWTH2, etc.

INGLHART MATERIALISM-POSTMATERIALISM

Classification of respondents as follows based on the four item Inglehart battery

Materialist

Mixed

Postmaterialist

NATISSUE1

NATISSUE2

Please include any other politically relevant indicators of respondents' issue or value orientations for which you have a good time series.

10. Issue Voting

We are interested here in indicators of two concepts.

1. The importance that respondents attach to issues. This may come in the form of rankings of issues (such as a response to a question, 'what is the most important problem ...') or of ratings of individual issues (such as a response to a question how important is issue x).
2. Which party respondents think is best able to deal with/is more likely to give priority to such an issue.

IMP1 Most important issue/rating of issue 1

COMP1 Most competent party on this issue. (Codes should be for a single party where possible, though combination/coalition of parties acceptable as a second best)

IMP2 Second most important issue/rating of issue 2

COMP2 Most competent party on this issue. Same list of parties as before

Etc.

TOPICAL.

If there were any issues that were particularly important at an individual election for which an issue position variable has not been provided in section 9, please include here. This is the one exceptional occasion when the data set may contain variables that do not comprise part of a time series.

11. Voting behaviour

TURNOUT Turnout,

Value	Label
-------	-------

- | | |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | Voted |
| 2 | Did not vote |

PARTY CHOICE Party choice,
Same list as above

RECALL TURNOUT Voted previous national election

Value	Label
-------	-------

- | | |
|---|--------------|
| 1 | Voted |
| 2 | Did not vote |

RECALL CHOICE Party choice in previous national elections

Same list as above

The European Voter Data Set Sweden

Swedish Election Studies from 1956 to 1998

Contents

- | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Study Description | page 3 |
| 2. Variable correspondence list | page 5 |

ZA-Nr. 3911: The European Voter Database. Continuity File of National Election studies in Sweden

Dates of Data Collection:

1956 (SSD 0020), 1960 (SSD 0001), 1964 (SSD 0007), 1968 (SSD 0039), 1970 (SSD 0047), 1973 (SSD 0040), 1976 (SSD 0008), 1979 (SSD 0089), 1982 (SSD 0157), 1985 (SSD 0217), 1988 (SSD 0227), 1991 (SSD 0391), 1994 (SSD 0570), 1998 (SSD 0750).

Documentation:

At the end of this document a variable correspondence list gives information about the original variable names and reports which variable was asked in which years.

Publications:

Thomassen, Jacques (ed.): The European Voter, Oxford University Press, 2005.

Principal Investigators:

See original study descriptions

Data Collectors:

See original study descriptions

Abstract:

This database includes subsets containing a selection of variables from the national election studies in Sweden listed above. Subsets of every election are included as well as an integrated file covering all subsets from 1956 until 1998. The subsets and the integrated file cover variables from the following areas: General background variables, Occupation and class, others related to the cleavage model, political interest and involvement, variables regarding parties, party and party leaders sympathy, political participation, left right positions, economy, values and ideology, national issues and voting.

Sample:

National Election Studies with representative samples.

Data Set:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1956	1131	3.3	3.3	3.3
1960	1466	4.2	4.2	7.5
1964	2849	8.3	8.3	15.8
1968	2943	8.5	8.5	24.3
1970	1403	4.1	4.1	28.4
1973	2596	7.5	7.5	35.9
1976	2686	7.8	7.8	43.7
1979	2905	8.4	8.4	52.1
1982	2980	8.6	8.6	60.8
1985	2944	8.5	8.5	69.3
1988	2845	8.2	8.2	77.5
1991	2730	7.9	7.9	85.5
1994	2657	7.7	7.7	93.2
1998	2361	6.8	6.8	100.0
Total	34496	100.0	100.0	

Format: SPSS Files

Weights:

Advised not to apply weights

Relevant parties:

Left Party (Vänsterpartiet)

Social Democrats (Socialdemokraterna)

Center Party (Centerpartiet)

People's Party Liberals (Folkpartiet Liberalerna)

Moderate Party (Moderata Samlingspartiet)

Christian Democrats (Kristdemokraterna)

Green Party (Miljöpartiet de Gröna)

New Democracy (Ny Demokrati)

European Voter - Swedish Variable Correspondence List

YEAR OF STUDY	1956	1960	1964	1968	1970	1973	1976	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1998
VARIABLE DESCRIPTION														
1. Variables to identify the study and respondents														
Country	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY	COUNTRY
Year of election	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR
Weight														
Study number														
R identification	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID	ID
2. General background variables														
Sex	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX	SEX
Year of birth	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2	BORN2
Age	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE	AGE
Age 3-cut	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3	AGE3
Age 7-cut	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7	AGE7
Civil status	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED	MARRIED
Urbanisation	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN				URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Education 3-cut (one comparable 3-cut educational variable will be built)	EDU	EDU	EDU	EDU	EDU	EDU	EDU	EDU	EDU3 EDUNEW EDUOLD	EDU3 EDUNEW EDUOLD	EDU3 EDUNEW EDUOLD	EDU3 EDUNEW EDUOLD	EDU3 EDUNEW EDUOLD	EDU3 EDUNEW EDUOLD
Region (it must be a region variabel in all files?)					LAEN				LAEN	LAEN	LAEN	LAEN	LAEN	LAEN
Religion														
Church attendance	CHURCH		CHURCH	CHURCH						CHURCH	CHURCH	CHURCH	CHURCH	CHURCH
3. Occupation and class														
Head of household														
Occupation (7-cut) (there will be a class variable from 1956-1998)							EGPCCLASS	EGPCCLASS	EGPCCLASS	EGPCCLASS	EGPCCLASS	EGPCCLASS	EGPCCLASS	EGPCCLASS
Working	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK	WORK
Sector							SEKTOR	SEKTOR	SEKTOR	SEKTOR	SEKTOR	SEKTOR	SEKTOR	SEKTOR
Subjective social class (There will be a sub class variable from 1956-1998)		SUBCLASS		SUBCLASS		SUBCLASS	SUBCLASS		(SUBCL2)	(SUBCL2)	SUBCL (SUBCL2)	(SUBCL2) SUBCL3	SUBCL (SUBCL2) SUBCL3	(SUBCL2) SUBCL3
Income, own Income, household Income, own 5-cut Income, household 5-cut		INCOME0 INCOMEH INCOME05 INCOMEH5	INCOME0 INCOMEH INCOME05 INCOMEH5	INCOME0 INCOMEH INCOME05	INCOME0 INCOME05	INCL0ME0 INCOMEH INCOME05 INCOMEH5	INCOMEH INCOMEH5	INCOMEH INCOMEH5	INCOMEH INCOMEH5	INCOMEH INCOMEH5	INCOME0 INCOMEH INCOME05 INCOMEH5	INCOME0 INCOME05	INCOME0 INCOME05	INCOME0 INCOME05
Member of trade union (with codes for blue collar and white collar union)	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION	UNION
Own house/apartment	OWNHOUSE						OWNHOUSE	OWNHOUSE	OWNHOUSE	OWNHOUSE	OWNHOUSE	OWNHOUSE	OWNHOUSE	OWNHOUSE

YEAR OF STUDY	1956	1960	1964	1968	1970	1973	1976	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1998
4. Measures of political interest and involvement														
Political interest	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR	POLINTR
Care of outcome of election														
Read about politics in newspapers				MEDIAPOL		MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL	MEDIAPOL
Watch TV-news								MEDIAEXP	MEDIAEXP	MEDIAEXP	MEDIAEXP	MEDIAEXP	MEDIAEXP	MEDIAEXP
Read brochure or other printed material from any party									PARTIIC13	PARTIIC13	PARTIIC13	PARTIIC13	PARTIIC13	PARTIIC13
How often do r discuss politics (among friends at work in family; variation of the question)		DISC1 DISC2 DISC3	DISC1 DISC2 DISC3	DISC3		DISC5				DISC10	DISC10	DISC10	DISC10	DISC10
Own behaviour along people discussing politics			DISC	DISC		DISC	DISC	DISC						DISC
When decided to vote			WHEN	WHEN		WHEN	WHEN	WHEN	WHEN	WHEN	WHEN	WHEN	WHEN	WHEN
5. Efficacy														
People like me can vote 1						ISSD3	ISSD3				ISSD3			ISSD3
People like me can vote 2			ISSD7											
Politics are complex 1			ISSD8											
Politics are complex 2	ISSD12													
Politicians don't care about what ordinary people think 1						ISSD2	ISSD2	ISSD2	ISSD2	ISSD2	ISSD2	ISSD2	ISSD2	ISSD2
Politicians don't care about what ordinary people think 2			ISSD11											
Parties only interested in votes						ISSD1	ISSD1	ISSD1	ISSD1	ISSD1	ISSD1	ISSD1	ISSD1	ISSD1
6. Measures of party attachment														
Member of party								MEMBER		MEMBER	MEMBER	MEMBER	MEMBER	MEMBER
Party identification, direction				PID	PID	PID	PID	PID	PID	PID	PID	PID	PID	PID
Party identification, strength	PIS		PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS	PIS

YEAR OF STUDY	1956	1960	1964	1968	1970	1973	1976	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1998
6. Party and party leader sympathy														
Score Left Party								SYMP1	SYMP1	SYMP1	SYMP1	SYMP1	SYMP1	SYMP1
Score Social Democrats								SYMP2	SYMP2	SYMP2	SYMP2	SYMP2	SYMP2	SYMP2
Score Center Party								SYMP3	SYMP3	SYMP3	SYMP3	SYMP3	SYMP3	SYMP3
Score Liberals								SYMP4	SYMP4	SYMP4	SYMP4	SYMP4	SYMP4	SYMP4
Score Moderate Party								SYMP5	SYMP5	SYMP5	SYMP5	SYMP5	SYMP5	SYMP5
Score Christian Democrats								SYMP6	SYMP6	SYMP6	SYMP6	SYMP6	SYMP6	SYMP6
Score Green Party								SYMP7	SYMP7	SYMP7	SYMP7	SYMP7	SYMP7	SYMP7
Score New Democracy												SYMP8	SYMP8	
Score Left Party, PL									SYMPL1	SYMPL1	SYMPL1	SYMPL1	SYMPL1	SYMPL1
Score Social Democrats, PL									SYMPL2	SYMPL2	SYMPL2	SYMPL2	SYMPL2	SYMPL2
Score Center Party, PL									SYMPL3	SYMPL3	SYMPL3	SYMPL3	SYMPL3	SYMPL3
Score Liberals, PL									SYMPL4	SYMPL4	SYMPL4	SYMPL4	SYMPL4	SYMPL4
Score Moderate Party, PL									SYMPL5	SYMPL5	SYMPL5	SYMPL5	SYMPL5	SYMPL5
Score Christian Democrats, PL										SYMPL6		SYMPL6	SYMPL6	SYMPL6
Score Green Party, PL												SYMPL7	SYMPL7	SYMPL7
Score New Democracy, PL 1												SYMPL8A	SYMPL8A	
Score New Democracy, PL 1												SYMPL8B	SYMPL8B	
7. Left – Right Postion														
Left-Right Left Party								LRP1	LRP1	LRP1	LRP1	LRP1	LRP1	LRP1
Left-Right Social Democrats								LRP2	LRP2	LRP2	LRP2	LRP2	LRP2	LRP2
Left-Right Center Party								LRP3	LRP3	LRP3	LRP3	LRP3	LRP3	LRP3
Left-Right Liberals								LRP4	LRP4	LRP4	LRP4	LRP4	LRP4	LRP4
Left-Right Moderate Party								LRP5	LRP5	LRP5	LRP5	LRP5	LRP5	LRP5
Left-Right Christian Democrats									LRP6	LRP6	LRP6	LRP6	LRP6	LRP6
Left-Right Green Party									LRP7	LRP7	LRP7	LRP7	LRP7	LRP7
Left-Right New Democracy												LRP8	LRP8	
Left-Right, own position				LRPOWN		LRPOWN	LRPOWN	LRPOWN	LRPOWN	LRPOWN	LRPOWN	LRPOWN	LRPOWN	LRPOWN
8. Economic and non-economic evaluation														
Retrospective socio-tropic evaluations									ECON12	ECON12	ECON12	ECON12	ECON12	ECON12
Retrospective egocentric evaluations							ECON22		ECON22	ECON22	ECON22	ECON22	ECON22	ECON22
Prospective socio-tropic evaluations						ECON11	ECON11		ECON11			ECON11	ECON11	ECON11
Prospective egocentric evaluations							ECON21						ECON21	ECON21
Blame unemployment					BLAME1	BLAME1	BLAME1							
Blame inflation	BLAME2	BLAME2	BLAME2				BLAME2							
Parties with good policy, issue 1														
Parties with bad policy, issue 1														
Parties with good policy, issue 2														
Parties with bad policy, issue 2														
Parties with good policy, issue 3														
Parties with bad policy, issue 3														

In later studies we have some party evaluation variables in specific issue, which perhaps could suite here.

YEAR OF STUDY	1956	1960	1964	1968	1970	1973	1976	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1998
9. Value Dimensions														
A. State														
Work toward a society with more market economy									ISSB1	ISSB1	ISSB1	ISSB1	ISSB1	ISSB1
Work toward a socialist society									ISSB2	ISSB2	ISSB2	ISSB2	ISSB2	ISSB2
Socialize large companies							ISS143	ISS143	ISS143	ISS143				
State influence over bank & industry			ISSC1	ISSC1	ISSC1	ISSC1	ISSC1	ISSC1		ISSC1	ISSC1	ISSC1	ISSC1	ISSC1
State influence over bank & industry		ISSC16												
State influence over bank & industry				ISS403	ISS403									
Reduce benefits & support			ISSC2	ISSC2	ISSC2	ISSC2	ISSC2	ISSC2		ISSC2	ISSC2	ISSC2	ISSC2	ISSC2
Reduce benefits & support		ISSC17												
Reduce benefits & support	ISSC23													
Reduce social benefits									ISS102	ISS102	ISS102	ISS102	ISS102	ISS102
Reduce the public sector									ISS100	ISS100	ISS100	ISS100	ISS100	ISS100
Sell state owned businesses											ISS140	ISS140	ISS140	ISS140
More health care run in private interest										ISS147	ISS147	ISS147	ISS147	ISS147
Reduce government influence over private enterprise											ISS141	ISS141	ISS141	ISS141
Reduce government control over free enterprise							ISS141B							
Better business if less state interfere				ISSC5	ISSC5	ISSC5	ISSC5							
Better conditions for private enterprise			ISSB12											
Better conditions for private enterprise		ISSC19												
Reduce income differences											ISS120	ISS120	ISS120	ISS120
Increase equality: income/work							ISS121							
Work toward a society with less income gap											ISSB4			
More income equality 1				ISSC9										
More income equality 2			ISSC10											
More income equality 3		ISSC20												
Equality has gone to far				ISSC3								ISSC3		

YEAR OF STUDY	1956	1960	1964	1968	1970	1973	1976	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1998
Cut taxes on high income							ISS124	ISS124		ISS124	ISS124			
Reduce taxes on low income							ISS125							
Cut taxes														ISS122
Tax reduction?				ISS405										
Tax reduction?					ISS418									
More of the income to the individuals		ISSC22	ISSC14											
Increase taxes on high income													ISS123	
Social security before tax cut		ISSC21	ISSC13											
Build more day care centers							ISS104	ISS104	ISS104	ISS104	ISS104	ISS104		
B. Moral														
Prohibit pornography				ISS409				ISS222	ISS222	ISS222	ISS222	ISS222	ISS222	ISS222
Restrict free abortion								ISS223				ISS223	ISS223	ISS223
Higher prices on alcohol								ISS220	ISS220				ISS220	ISS220
Prohibit beer in grocery shops							ISS221							
A society where Christian values play a more important role									ISSB1	ISSB1		ISSB1	ISSB1	ISSB1
Strengthen family													ISS13	ISSB13
Traditional Swedish Values													ISSB12	ISSB12
Film censorship				ISS408										
C. Authoritarian/Libertarian														
Reduce defence spending							ISS161	ISS161	ISS161	ISS161	ISS161	ISS161	ISS161	ISS161
Defense spending				ISS412										
Increase economic support to immigrants								ISS240	ISS240	ISS240	ISS240	ISS240	ISS240	ISS240
Accept fewer refugees in Sweden											ISS241	ISS241	ISS241	ISS241
Stop immigration							ISS242							
Work for multi cultural society													ISSB14	ISSB14
Work for a society: more internationalism, less borders between countries														ISSB15
												ISS251		
Reduce foreign aid									ISS160	ISS160	ISS160	ISS160	ISS160	
Increase aid				ISS411	ISS411	ISS411								
Aid to Africa and Asia 1				ISS410	ISS410	ISS410								
Aid to Africa and Asia 2			ISSC11											
Aid to Africa and Asia 3		ISSC15												
Stop aid to Vietnam								ISS169						
Increase time in prison														
Work for a society with more law and order												ISSB10	ISSB10	ISSB10
The role of Christianity teaching in school				ISS407	ISS407									

YEAR OF STUDY	1956	1960	1964	1968	1970	1973	1976	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1998
D. Growth/Ecology														
Keep nuclear power even after 2010										ISS181B	ISS181B	ISS181B	ISS181B	
Abolish nuclear power in the long run														ISS181A
Start closing down nuclear power								ISS182						
Stop the expansion of nuclear power							ISS183							
Expand nuclear power							ISS184							
Nuclear power, build more – close down 1							ISS415							
Nuclear power, build more – close down 2											ISS419			
Nuclear power, for or against								ISSUE17A	ISSUE17A	ISSUE17A	ISSUE17A	ISSUE17A	ISSUE17A	ISSUE17A
Stop private driving in the inner city										ISS185	ISS185	ISS185	SS185	ISS185
Exploit untouched rivers for waterpower							ISS191					ISS191		
Work for a society with econ growth, high productivity									ISSB3	ISSB3	ISSB3	ISSB3	ISSB3	ISSB3
Work for an environmentally society									ISSB7	ISSB7	ISSB7	ISSB7	ISSB7	ISSB7
Work for a society with advanced techniques									ISSB8	ISSB8	ISSB8	ISSB8	ISSB8	ISSB8
10. Inglehart														
Materialism - post materialism										INGLHPM	INGLHPM	INGLHPM	INGLHPM	INGLHPM
11. National issues														
<i>Wage earners' funds:</i>														
Transfer parts of business profits to Wage earners' funds							ISS144	ISS144	ISS144					
Abolish Wage earners' funds										ISS145	ISS145	ISS145		
Wage earners' funds: for or against								ISSUE18A	ISSUE18A	ISSUE18A	ISSUE18A			
<i>EU/EEC/EMU:</i>														
Sweden should join EMU														ISS162
Sweden should leave EU														ISS163
Apply for membership to EU											ISS164			
Membership in EEC				ISS413	ISS413									
Membership in Common Market						ISS413								
Membership in EU: for or against												ISSUE19A	ISSUE19A	ISSUE19A

YEAR OF STUDY	1956	1960	1964	1968	1970	1973	1976	1979	1982	1985	1988	1991	1994	1998
12. Issue Voting														
Important issue for choice of party in the election														
Important issue 1														
Most competent party, issue 1														
Important issue 2														
Most competent party, issue 2														
Important issue 3														
Most competent party, issue 3														
Important issue 4						Most important issue for party choice in the election will be in the file.								
Most competent party, issue 4														
Important issue 5														
Most competent party, issue 5														
Important issue 6														
Most competent party, issue 6														
Important issue 7														
Most competent party, issue 7														
Important issue 8														
Most competent party, issue 8														
Important issue 9														
Most competent party, issue 9														
Important issue 10														
Most competent party, issue 10														
13. Voting behavior														
Turnout	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE	VOTE
Party choice	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY	PARTY
Recall turnout				VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER	VOTER
Recall party choice		PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR	PARTYR

EUROPEAN VOTER

ELECTION STUDIES IN SWEDEN
1956 – 1998

Variables to identify the study and respondents

COUNTRY 1956-1998	COUNTRY
YEAR 1956-1998	YEAR OF ELECTION
WEIGHT70 1970	WEIGHT VARIABLE 1970 Weight-variabel for electionstudy 1970
WEIGHT76 1976	WEIGHT VARIABLE 1976 Weight-variabel for electionstudy 1976
ID 1956-1998	IDENTIFICATION

General background variables

SEX		SEX
1956-1998	1	man
	2	woman
AGE		AGE
1970 - 1998		Age, year of election
AGE3		AGE 3-CUT
1956-1968	1	21 - 30
	2	31 - 60
	3	61 - 80
1970 - 1998	1	18 - 30
	2	31 - 60
	3	61 - 80
AGE7		AGE 7-CUT
1956-1968	1	21 - 25
	2	25 - 30
	3	31 - 40
	4	41 - 50
	5	51 - 60
	6	61 - 70
	7	71 - 80
1970 - 1994	1	18 - 21
	2	22 - 30
	3	31 - 40
	4	41 - 50
	5	51 - 60
	6	61 - 70
	7	71 - 80
1998	1	18 - 22
	2	23 - 30
	3	31 - 40
	4	41 - 50
	5	51 - 60
	6	61 - 70
	7	71 - 80
MARRIED		CIVIL STATUS
1956-1973	1	married
	2	widowed
	3	divorced
	4	not married
1976-1982	1	married/living with partner
	2	widowed
	4	not married
1985-1994	1	married/living with partner
	2	widowed
	4	single/not married/divorced

1998	1	married/living with partner
	2	single: widowed
	3	single: divorced
	4	single: never married
URBAN		URBANIZATION
1956-1964	1	countryside
1976-1998	2	town or village
	3	large town or city
	4	Stockholm, Göteborg, Malmö
EDU		EDUCATION 3-CUT
1956-1998	1	low
	2	middle
	3	high
LAEN		REGION
1968-1973	1	Stockholms län
1982-1994	3	Uppsala län
	4	Södermanlands län
	5	Östergötlands län
	6	Jönköpings län
	7	Kronobergs län
	8	Kalmar län
	9	Gotlands län
	10	Blekinge län
	11	Kristanstads län
	12	Malmöhus län
	13	Hallands län
	14	Göteborg och Bohus län
	15	Älvsborgs län
	16	Skaraborgs län
	17	Värmlands län
	18	Örebro län
	19	Västmanlands län
	20	Kopparbergs län
	21	Gävleborgs län
	22	Västernorrlands län
	23	Jämtlands län
	24	Västerbottens län
	25	Norrbottens län

1968-1973	1	Stockholms kommun
1982-1994	2	Stockholms län
	3	Uppsala län
	4	Södermanlands län
	5	Östergötlands län
	6	Jönköpings län
	7	Kronobergs län
	8	Kalmar län
	9	Gotlands län
	10	Blekinge län
	11	Kristanstads län
	12	Fyrstadskretsen
	13	Malmöhus län
	14	Hallands län
	15	Göteborgs kommun
	16	Göteborgs och Bohus län
	17	Älvsborgs län södra
	18	Älvsborgs län norra
	19	Skaraborgs län
	20	Värmlands län
	21	Örebro län
	22	Västmanlands län
	23	Kopparbergs län
	24	Gävleborgs län
	25	Västernorrlands län
	26	Jämtlands län
	27	Västerbottens län
	28	Norrbottnens län

1998	1	Stockholms län
	3	Uppsala län
	4	Södermanlands län
	5	Östergötlands län
	6	Jönköpings län
	7	Kronobergs län
	8	Kalmar län
	9	Gotlands län
	10	Blekinge län
	12	Skåne län
	13	Hallands län
	14	Västra Götalands län
	17	Värmlands län
	18	Örebro län
	19	Västmanlands län
	20	Kopparbergs län
	21	Gävleborgs län
	22	Västernorrlands län
	23	Jämtlands län
	24	Västerbottens län
	25	Norrbottnens län

RELIGION

Not in swedish data

CHURCH		CHURCH ATTENDANCE
1956, 1964	1	at least once a month
1968	2	a few times a year
1985-1994	3	more seldom
	4	never
	8	don't know/refuse to answer
	9	missing
	99	did not get the question
1998	1	every week
	2	2 or more times a month
	3	once a month
	4	2-11 times a year
	5	once a year
	6	never
	8	don't know/refuse to answer
	9	missing
	99	did not get the question

Occupation and class

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD

Not in swedish data

EGPCCLASS

OCCUPATION

1976-1998	1	industrial worker
	2	other worker
	3	white collar, low
	4	white collar, middle
	5	white collar, high/big enterprises
	6	small enterprisers
	7	farmer
	8	student

WORK

WORKING

1	work
5	do not work

1956	Are you gainfully employed? (yes or no)
1964-1982	

1982-1994	To which of the groups on this card do you belong?
1	gainfully employed
2	in relief work/youth training/unemployment program
3	in labour market training course
4	unemployed
5	old age pensioner
6	pre-retirement age pensioner
7	housewife
8	student

Here recoded 1 (1-2) 5 (3-8)

1998	To which of the groups on this card do you belong?
1	gainfully employed
2	in relief work/youth training/unemployment program
3	in labour market training course
4	in education supported by the so called "competence boost" (kunskapslyftet)
5	unemployed
6	old age pensioner
7	pre-retirement age pensioner
8	housewife
9	student

Here recoded 1 (1-2) 5 (3-9)

SEKTOR

SECTOR PUBLIC – PRIVATE

1976	Are/were you employed by central government (state), a commune (subnational government) or private company?
------	---

1	central government , commune (local or regional)
4	private company

1979-1994	Are/were you employed by central government (state), a commune (subnational government) or private company?
	1 central government 2 commune (local or regional) 4 private company
1998	Are/were you employed by the state, local or regional government or by a private company?
	1 central government 2 local government 3 regional government 4 private company
SUBCLASS	SUBJECTIVE CLASS
1960	One sometimes speaks about social classes and similar groups in society. Which social class do you consider yourself a member of? (Openended question)
	1 working class (low wage group, social group 3) 3 middle class 4 employee, white-collar 5 farmer 6 self-employed 7 upper class (high wage group, social group 1)
1968	As you know, references is sometimes made to the existence of different classes and societal groups. Is there any class or societal group that you usually think you belong to? Which societal class or societal group are you thinking of ?
1973	
1976	
1988	
1994	
	1 working class 2 middle class 3 none of these two
SUBCL2	OCCUPATIONAL GROUP
1982-1998	Could you tell me to which of these occupational groups you belong/belonged? (Not put to persons that never been gainfully employed)
	1 salaried employee (white-collar worker) 2 salaried employee (white-collar worker) with supervisory responsibilities 3 salaried employee (white-collar worker) at managerial level 4 worker 5 worker with supervisory responsibilities 6 self-employed worker 7 farmer 8 farmer: one or more employees 9 self-employed: no employees 10 self-employed: 1-9 employees 11 self-employed: 10 or more employees

SUBCL3	CURRENT FAMILY	
1991-1998	If you were describing your current family, that is the household to which you belong, which of the following categories do you think is the most appropriate?	
	1	working class family
	2	salieried employee family
	3	well-off salieried employee family/university scholar family
	4	farming family
	5	business family
INCOMEH	EVSU INCOME, HOUSHOLD	
1960	Income, household. 1968 data refer to wife's/husbands income.	
1964		
1968		
1973		
1976		
1979		
1982		
1985		
1988		
INCOME0	EVSU INCOME, OWN	
1960	Own income	
1964		
1968		
1970		
1973		
1988		
1991		
1994		
1998		
INCOME05	EVSU INCO 5 CAT. 15-20-30-20-15	
1960	1	low income
1964	2	fairly low income
1968	3	neither low nor high income
1970	4	fairly high income
1973	5	high income
1988	9	no data about income
1991		
1994		
1998		
INCOMEH5	INCH 5 CAT. 15-20-30-20-15	
1960	1	low income
1964	2	fairly low income
1973	3	neither low nor high income
1976	4	fairly high income
1979	5	high income
1982	9	no data about income
1985		

UNION	MEMBER OF TRADE UNION
-------	-----------------------

1956-1998	1	MEMBER OF LO
	2	MEMBER OF TCO
	3	MEMBER OF SACO
	4	MEMBER OF OTHER WORKERS UNION
	5	MEMBER OF OTHER ORGANISATION (BUSINESS, FARMERS...)
	6	NOT MEMBER

Note in 1960-19 the question was only asked to persons who was employed.
In 1956, 1960 and 1964 the second category includes both white-collar organisations TCO and SACO.

OWNHOUSE	EVSU OWN HOUSE/APARTMENT
----------	--------------------------

1956	Do you rent or own your current home?
1976-1998	
	1 rent home
	2 own home
	3 no current own home
	8 don't know
	9 answer missing
	99 did not get the question

Measures of political interest and involvement

POLINTR	EVSW POLITICAN INTEREST
1960-1998	In general, how interested in politics are you? Which of the answers on this card describes you most accurate? Are you ver interested, fairly interested, not very interested or not at all interested?
	1 very interested 2 fairly interested 3 not very interested 4 not at all interested 8 don't know 9 answer missing 99 did not got the question
1956	Would you describe yourself as very, moderately or not at all intrested in politics?
	1 very intrested 3 moderately intrested 5 not at all intrested 8 don't know 9 answer missing 99 did not got the question
MEDIAPOL	EVSW READ ABOUT POLITICS
1968 1973-1998	How often do you read news and articles about politics in the daily press?
	1 never reads news and articles about politics 2 occasionally reads news and articles about politics in the daily press 3 often reads news and articles about politics 4 reads what there is in then newspaper of news and articles about politics 8 don't know 9 answer missing 99 did not got the question/not working
MEDIAEXP	EVSW SEE TV-NEWS
1979-1998	Concerning news programs on radio and television: How often do you watch Rapport (TV, national channel 2)?
	1 6-7 days a week 2 3-5 days a week 3 1-2 days a week 4 more seldom 5 never 8 don't know 9 answer missing 99 did not got the question/not working

PARTIC13	EVSW PARTICIPATION, PRINTED MATERIAL
1982-1998	Before this year's election, did you read any election brochure or other printed material from any party?
1	yes
5	no
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question/not working
DISC1	EVSW DISCUSSION AT WORK
1960 1964	How often does it happen that there are discussions or talks about politics among those with whom you have most to do with at your place of work, that is your fellow-workers (collaborators, colleagues)? Does it happen often, only occasionally or not at all?
1	often
3	only occasionally
5	not at all
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question
DISC2	EVSW DISCUSSION IN FAMILY
1960 1964 1968	How often does it happen that you talk about politics in your family? Does it happen often, only occasionally or not at all?
1	often
3	only occasionally
5	not at all
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question
DISC3	EVSW DISCUSSION AMONG FRIENDS
1960 1964	If you think of the (3-4, 1960) acquaintances outside your family and your place of work with whom you are mostly involved, how often does it happen that there are discussions about politics going on? Does it happen often, only occasionally or not at all?
1968	How often does it happen that you discuss politics with your acquaintances outside the family? Does it happen often, only occasionally or not at all?
1	often
3	only occasionally
5	not at all
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

DISC5	EVSW DISCUSSION FAMILY/FRIENDS	
1973	How often does it happen that you discuss politics in your family or among acquaintances? Does it happen often, only occasionally or not at all?	
	1	often
	3	only occasionally
	5	not at all
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question
DISC10	EVSW DISCUSSION ENVIROMENT	
1979	How often do discussions about politics take place among your friends outside the family? Does it happen often or rarely or not at all?	
	1	often
	3	rarely
	4	not at all
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question
1985-1998	How often are there discussion about politics in your nearest surroundings – in your family, among friends at work and other friends? Does it happens very often fairly often not very often or not at all?	
	1	very often
	2	fairly often
	3	not very often
	4	not at all
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question
DISC	EVSW OWN DISCUSSION BEHAVIOR	
1956	Have you discussed the election, or politics in general, during the last month? Was it with someone in your family, someone at work or some other friend?	
	1	someone in the family
	2	someone at work
	3	some other friend
	4	someone in the family and with friends
	6	someone at work at with friends
	7	someone in the family, someone at work and with friends
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question
1964	How do you usually behave yourself when you are among people and they start talking about politics? Would you say which of these descriptions that you think is most appropriate in your case?	
1968		
1973		
1976		
1979		
1998	1	I don't care to listen when people start talking about politics
	2	I usually listen but I never join in the discussion
	3	it happens sometimes, but not often, that I express my opinion
	4	I usually participate in the discussion and express my opinion
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question

WHEN

EVSW WHEN DID R VOTE

When did you decide which party to vote for in this year's Riksdag election? Was it during the last week before the election or earlier during the autumn and summer or did you know all along how to vote?

- 1 last week before the election
- 2 or earlier during the autumn and summer
- 3 r did know all along which party to vote for
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not get the question

Efficacy

We have also put together some opinions concerning parties and politics. Some are rather critical. I will read out these opinions one by one, and ask you to chose one of the answers on the card. Do you agree with this opinion or do you think it is wrong?

- | | |
|----|--------------------------|
| 1 | agree completely |
| 2 | agree on the whole |
| 3 | disagree on the whole |
| 4 | disagree completely |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSD1 EVSW PARTIES ONLY INTERESTED IN VOTES

1998 The parties are only interested in people's votes, not in what they think.
 1994
 1991
 1988
 1985
 1982
 1979
 1976
 1973

ISSD2 EVSW NO ATTENTION TO ORDINARY PEOPLE 1

1998 Those who sit in the Riksdag making decisions do not pay much intention to the thoughts
 1994 and views of ordinary people.
 1991
 1988
 1985
 1982
 1979
 1976
 1973

ISSD3 EVSW VOTING 1: PARTICIPATE AND DECIDE

1998 By voting one can really participate in deciding how this country is run.
 1991
 1976
 1973

Now I will ask you about some different opinions about politics which one sometimes hears that people have. I will read one such opinion at a time and ask you to tell me whether you agree or not. Do you agree or don't you agree with this opinions?

- | | |
|----|--------------------------|
| 1 | agree partly |
| 2 | don't agree |
| 3 | agree |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSD11	EVSW NO ATTENTION TO ORDINARY PEOPLE 2
1964	Those who sit in the Riksdag and make decisions usually don't know anything about what ordinary people want and think
ISSD7	EVSW VOTING 2: PARTICIPATE AND DECIDE
1964	It is important to vote as a way of taking part in deciding how things shall be run in this country

Measures of party attachment

MEMBER1	EVSW PARTY MEMBER	
	Are you a member of any political party or any other political organization? (Answer defined as member in political party, party women's organization or party youth organization.)	
	1	yes
	5	no
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question/not working
PID	EVSW PARTYIDENTIFICATION, DIRECTION	
1968-1998	1	Left Party (Vänsterpartiet)
	2	Social Democrats (Socialdemokraterna)
	3	Center Party (Centerpartiet)
	4	People's Party Liberals (Folkpartiet Liberalerna)
	5	Moderate Party (Moderata Samlingspartiet)
	10	Christian Democrats (Kristdemokraterna)
	11	Green Party (Miljöpartiet de Gröna)
	12	New Democracy (Ny Demokrati)
	98	don't know
	99	answer missing
	999	did not got the question
PIS	EVSW PARTYIDENTIFICATION, STRENGTH	
1968-1998	1	strongly convinced adherent
	2	adherent
	3	party preference
	4	no party preference
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question/not working
1956, 1964	1	yes, consider him/herself as adherent
	5	no, does not consider him/herself as adherent
	8	don't know
	9	answer missing
	99	did not got the question

Party and party leader sympathy

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures if you like the different parties. The more you like a party, the higher the 'plus' figure. The 'minus' figures are to be used for the parties you dislike. The more you dislike a party, the higher 'minus' figure. The zero point on the scale means that you neither like or dislike a party.

CSES-version 1998: I would like to know what you think of each of the parties. Could you place the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party while 10 means that you strongly like a party. If i get to a party you don't know, or do not know enough about to be able to be judged, just tell me so.

The scores in the CSES-version has been corrected for the different scaling.

-5	strongly dislike
-4	
-3	
-2	
-1	
±0	neither like or dislike
+1	
+2	
+3	
+4	
+5	
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question/not working

SYMP1	EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE V
1979-1998	Where would you place the Left Party
SYMP2	EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE S
1979-1998	Where would you place the Social Democrats
SYMP3	EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE C
1979-1998	Where would you place the Center Party
SYMP4	EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE FP
1979-1998	Where would you place the Peoples' Party Liberals
SYMP5	EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE M
1979-1998	Where would you place the Moderate Party
SYMP6	EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE KD
1982-1998	Where would you place the Christian Democrats
SYMP7	EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE MP
1979-1998	Where would you place the Green Party

SYMP8 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE NYD

1991-1994 Where would you place the New Democracy

SYMPL1 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER V

1982-1998 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader, Left Party)

SYMPL2 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER S

1982-1998 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader, Social Democrats)

SYMPL3 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER C

1982-1998 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader, Center Party)

SYMPL4 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER FP

1982-1998 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader, Peoples' Party Liberals)

SYMPL5 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER M

1982-1998 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader, Moderate Party)

SYMPL6 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER KD

1985-1998 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader, Christian Democrats)

SYMPL7 EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER MP

1991-1998 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader, Green Party)

SYMPL8A EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER NYD 1

1991-1994 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader 1, New Democracy)

SYMPL8B EVSW LIKE/DISLIKE LEADER NYD 2

1991 Where would you place /..name../ (party leader 2, New Democracy)

Left-right position

1979-1998 The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale. The further to the left you think a party is, the lower the figure. The further to the right you think a party is, the higher the figure.

0 far to the left
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5 neither left nor right
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10 far to the right
 98 don't know
 99 answer missing
 999 did not got the question/not working

In 1998 election study half of the sample (interviewed after the election) got the CSES-version of the question.

- LRP1 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS V
 Left right position – Left Party (Vänsterpartiet)
- LRP2 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS S
 Left right position – Social Democrats (Socialdemokraterna)
- LRP3 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS C
 Left right position – Center Party (Centerpartiet)
- LRP4 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS FP
 Left right position – People's Party Liberals (Folkpartiet Liberalerna)
- LRP5 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS M
 Left right position – Moderate Party (Moderata Samlingspartiet)
- LRP6 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS KD
 Left right position – Christian Democrats (Kristdemokraterna)
- LRP7 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS MP
 Left right position – Green Party (Miljöpartiet de Gröna)
- LRP8 EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS NYD
 Left right position – New Democracy (Ny Demokrati)
- LRPOWN EVSW LEFT/RIGHT POS OWN
 1968 Left right position – own position
 1973-1998

Economic and non-economic evaluation

ECON11 NATIONAL ECONOMY, FORWARD

1973 How do you think economic condition in Sweden will develop in the next years? Do you
1976 think economic conditions will be much better, a little better, no change, a little worse or
much worse?

- 1 much better
- 2 a little better
- 3 no change
- 4 little worse
- 5 much worse
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

1982 How do you think that the economic conditions in Sweden will develop in the next few
1991 (two to three years, 12 months) years? Do you think it will improve a lot, improve a bit,
that there will be no change, that it will become a bit worse or that it will become a lot
worse?

- 1 improve a lot
- 2 improve a bit
- 3 no change,
- 4 a bit worse
- 5 a lot worse
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

1994 1 improve
1998 3 remain about the same
5 get worse
8 don't know
9 answer missing
99 did not got the question

ECON12 NATIONAL ECONOMY, BACKWARD

1985 How has, in your opinion, the Swedish economy changed in the last two or three years
1988 (12 months, 1998)? Has it improved, remained about the same or has it got worse?
1991

1994 1 improved
1998 3 remained about the same
5 got worse
8 don't know
9 answer missing
99 did not got the question

ECON21 OWN ECONOMY, FORWARD

1976 If you try to guess one year forward in time, do you think that your income will decrease compared to price development, keep up with the price development or rise compared to the price development?

- 1 rise compared to the price development
- 3 keep up with the price development
- 5 decrease compared to price development
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

1982 Looking forward two or three years (12 months, 1998), do you believe that your personal
1994 economic situation will improve, remain about the same or that it will get worse?
1998

- 1 improve
- 3 remain about the same
- 5 get worse
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

ECON22 OWN ECONOMY, BACKWARD

1976 Looking back on the past year, do you feel that your own income has decreased compared to price development, kept up with the price development or risen compared to the price development?

- 1 risen compared to the price development
- 3 kept up with the price development
- 5 decreased compared to price development
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

1982 Comparing your own current economic situation now to what it was two or three years
1985 ago (12 months 1998) has it improved, remained about the same or has it got worse?
1988

- 1991 1 improved
- 1994 3 remained about the same
- 1998 5 got worse
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

Evaluation of party policy in important issues

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about policies on various issues. On this card we have put together some issues which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years. I begin with employment. Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on (ISSUE)? Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on (ISSUE)?

-1 bad policy
 0 nor good or bad (party not mentioned.
 +1 good policy
 8 don't know
 9 answer missing
 99 did not got the question

LEFT PARTY

EVV1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, V
EVV2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, V
EVV3	EVSW EV, TAXES, V
EVV4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, V
EVV5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, V
EVV6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, V
EVV7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, V
EVV8	EVSW EV, CARE OF ELDERLY, V
EVV91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, V
EVV92	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EMU, V
EVV10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, V
EVV11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, V
EVV12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETW MEN/WOMEN, V
EVV13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, V
EVV14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, V
EVV15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, V

SOCIAL DEMOCRATS

EVS1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, S
EVS2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, S
EVS3	EVSW EV, TAXES, S
EVS4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, S
EVS5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, S
EVS6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, S
EVS7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, S
EVS8	EVSW EV, CARE OF ELDERLY, S
EVS91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, S
EVS92	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EMU, S
EVS10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, S
EVS11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, S
EVS12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETW MEN/WOMEN, S
EVS13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, S
EVS14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, S
EVS15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, S

CENTRE PARTY

EVC1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, C
EVC2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, C
EVC3	EVSW EV, TAXES, C
EVC4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, C
EVC5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, C
EVC6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, C
EVC7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, C
EVC8	EVSW EV, CARE OF ELDERLY, C
EVC91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, C'
EVC92	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EMU, C
EVC10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, C
EVC11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, C
EVC12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETW MEN/WOMEN, C
EVC13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, C
EVC14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, C
EVC15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, C

PEOPLE'S PARTY LIBERALS

EVFP1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, FP
EVFP2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, FP
EVFP3	EVSW EV, TAXES, FP
EVFP4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, FP
EVFP5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, FP
EVFP6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, FP
EVFP7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, FP
EVFP8	EVSW EV, CARE OF ELDERLY, FP
EVFP91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, FP
EVFP92	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EMU, FP
EVFP10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, FP
EVFP11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, FP
EVFP12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETW MEN/WOMEN, FP
EVFP13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, FP
EVFP14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, FP
EVFP15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, FP

MODERATE PARTY

EVM1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, M
EVM2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, M
EVM3	EVSW EV, TAXES, M
EVM4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, M
EVM5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, M
EVM6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, M
EVM7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, M
EVM8	EVSW EV, CARE OF ELDERLY, M
EVM91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, M'
EVM92	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EMU, M
EVM10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, M
EVM11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, M
EVM12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETW MEN/WOMEN, M
EVM13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, M
EVM14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, M
EVM15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, M

GREEN PARTY

EVMP1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, MP
EVMP2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, MP
EVMP3	EVSW EV, TAXES, MP
EVMP4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, MP
EVMP5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, MP
EVMP6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, MP
EVMP7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, MP
EVMP8	EVSW EV, CARE OF ELDERLY, MP
EVMP91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, MP
EVMP92	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EMU, MP
EVMP10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, MP
EVMP11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, MP'
EVMP12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETW MEN/WOMEN, MP
EVMP13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, MP
EVMP14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, MP
EVMP15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, MP

CHRITIAN DEMOCRATS

EVKD1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, KD
EVKD2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, KD
EVKD3	EVSW EV, TAXES, KD
EVKD4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, KD
EVKD5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, KD
EVKD6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, KD
EVKD7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, KD
EVKD8	EVSW EV, CARE OF ELDERLY, KD
EVKD91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, KD
EVKD92	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EMU, KD
EVKD10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, KD
EVKD11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, KD'
EVKD12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN/WOMEN, KD
EVKD13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, KD
EVKD14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, KD
EVKD15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, KD

NEW DEMOCRACY

EVNYD1	EVSW EV, EMPLOYMENT, NYD'
EVNYD2	EVSW EV, ENVIRONMENT, NYD'
EVNYD3	EVSW EV, TAXES, NYD'
EVNYD4	EVSW EV, NATIONAL ECONOMY, NYD'
EVNYD5	EVSW EV, ENERGY AND NUCLEAR POWER, NYD'
EVNYD6	EVSW EV, FOREIGN, SECURITY POLICY, NYD'
EVNYD7	EVSW EV, SOCIAL SECURITY, NYD'
EVNYD91	EVSW EV, ISSEUES CONCERNING EU, NYD'
EVNYD10	EVSW EV, REFUGEE ISSUES, NYD'
EVNYD11	EVSW EV, LAW AND ORDER, NYD'
EVNYD12	EVSW EV, EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN/WOMEN, NYD'
EVNYD13	EVSW EV, SCHOOL AND EDUCATION, NYD'
EVNYD14	EVSW EV, CHILD CARE, NYD'
EVNYD15	EVSW EV, WAGE EARNERS FUNDS, NYD'

Important issues for party choice

Thinking of this year's election, is there any issue or issues which are important when it comes to which party you are going to vote for in the Riksdag election on (date) september?

0	the issue not mentioned
1	the issue mentioned
95	r did not vote
98	don't know
99	answer missing
999	did not got the question

IMP1	EVSW IMP ISSUE: EMPLOYMENT
IMP2	EVSW IMP ISSUE: ENVIRONMENT
IMP3	EVSW IMP ISSUE: TAXES
IMP4	EVSW IMP ISSUE: ECONOMY
IMP5	EVSW IMP ISSUE: ENERGY/NUCLEAR POWER
IMP6	EVSW IMP ISSUE: DEFENCE/FOREGIN POL
IMP7	EVSW IMP ISSUE: SOC WELFARE/HEALTH CARE
IMP8	EVSW IMP ISSUE: PENSIONS, ELDERLY CARE
IMP9	EVSW IMP ISSUE: EG,EU, EMU
IMP10	EVSW IMP ISSUE: IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEES
IMP11	EVSW IMP ISSUE: LAW AND ORDER
IMP12	EVSW IMP ISSUE: EQUALITY BETW MEN/WOMEN
IMP13	EVSW IMP ISSUE: EDUCATION
IMP14	EVSW IMP ISSUE: FAMILY POLICY
IMP15	EVSW IMP ISSUE: WAGE EARNERS FUNDS

Value dimensions

State

A.

On this card I have a list of suggestions of different kinds of society which some people think we in Sweden should work towards. I would like to know what you think of the proposals. You may answer with the help of the scale on the card. A high figure means that you think that a suggestion is good. A low figure means that you think that a suggestion is bad.

0	very bad suggestion
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	neither good nor bad suggestion
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	very good suggestion

ISSB1 ESW SOCIETY: MORE PRIVATE ENTERPRISES

1982-1998 Work towards a society with more private enterprises and market economy

ISSB2 EVSW SOCIETY: SOCIALIST SOCIETY

1982-1998 Work towards a socialist society

We have put together some opinions which appear in the political debate. I will read out this opinion one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with the opinion or do you think it is wrong?

1	agree completely
2	agree on the whole
3	disagree on the whole
4	disagree completely
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

ISSC1 EVSW LEADING BANK/SOCIETY CONTROL 1

1985-1998 Leading banking and industry people will get far too much influence unless society is
1964-1979 given the chance to control private business and industry.

ISSC16 EVSW LEADING BANK/SOCIETY CONTROL 2

1960 If the State doesn't have the possibility to control private enterprise, leaders of banks and
industries get much too much influence

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

-1985

- 1 very good proposal, very important that it is implemented
- 2 fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented
- 3 does not really matter
- 4 fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented
- 5 very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not get the question

1985-1998

- 1 very good proposal
- 2 fairly good proposal
- 3 neither good nor bad proposal
- 4 fairly bad proposal
- 5 very bad proposal
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not get the question

ISS143 EVSW SOCIALIZE LARGE COMPANIES

1985 Socialize big companies
 1982
 1979
 1976

B.

We have put together some opinions which appear in the political debate. I will read out this opinion one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with the opinion or do you think it is wrong?

- | | |
|----|--------------------------|
| 1 | agree completely |
| 2 | agree on the whole |
| 3 | disagree on the whole |
| 4 | disagree completely |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSC2 EVSW REDUCE SOCIAL BENEFITS 1

1985-1998 Social reforms in this country have gone so far that the state ought to reduce rather than
1964-1979 increase social benefits and support for people

ISSC17 EVSW REDUCE SOCIAL BENEFITS 2

1960 Social reforms in this country have gone so far that the state ought to reduce rather than
increase social benefits and support for people

ISS420 EVSW REDUCE SOCIAL BENEFITS 3

1956 Some people think that social reforms in this country have gone so far that the
government in the future ought to reduce rather than increase subsidies and allowances
to citizens. Do you agree with this opinion?

- | | |
|----|--------------------------|
| 1 | agree |
| 5 | disagree |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

-1985

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1 | very good proposal, very important that it is implemented |
| 2 | fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented |
| 3 | does not really matter |
| 4 | fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented |
| 5 | very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

1985-1998

- | | |
|----|-------------------------------|
| 1 | very good proposal |
| 2 | fairly good proposal |
| 3 | neither good nor bad proposal |
| 4 | fairly bad proposal |
| 5 | very bad proposal |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISS102 EVSW REDUCE SOCIAL BENEFITS

1982-1998 Reduce social spendings

ISS100 EVSW REDUCE THE PUBLIC SECTOR

1982-1998 Reduce then public sector

C.

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	
1	very good proposal, very important that it is implemented
2	fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented
3	does not really matter
4	fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented
5	very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not get the question

<u>1985-1998</u>	
1	very good proposal
2	fairly good proposal
3	neither good nor bad proposal
4	fairly bad proposal
5	very bad proposal
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not get the question

ISS140 EVSW SELL STATE OWN BUSINESSES

1988-1998 Sell of state owned business and public utilities to private interests

ISS147 EVSW HEALTH CARE IN PRIVATE INTERESTS

1985-1998 Increase proportion of health care run by private interests

ISS141 EVSW REDUCE INFLUENCE OVER PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

1979-1985 Reduce government influence on private enterprise
1991

ISS141b EVSW REDUCE CONTROL ON PRIVATE ENTERPRISES

1976 Reduce government control over free enterprise

We have put together some opinions which appear in the political debate. I will read out this opinion one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with the opinion or do you think it is wrong?

1	agree completely
2	agree on the whole
3	disagree on the whole
4	disagree completely
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not get the question

ISSC5 EVSW BETTER BUSINESS/LESS STATE INTERF

1976 Private business and industry fulfills its task better if central government does not
1973 interfere
1968

ISSC12 EVSW BETTER CONDITION PRIVATE ENTERPRISE 1

1964 What we need now is a policy which encourages people to save and offers better condition to private enterprises

ISSC19 EVSW BETTER CONDITION PRIVATE ENTERPRISE 2

1960 What we need now is a moderate policy which encourages people to save, make it profitable to work and offers better condition to private enterprises

D.

We have put together some opinions which appear in the political debate. I will read out this opinion one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with the opinion or do you think it is wrong?

- | | |
|----|--------------------------|
| 1 | agree completely |
| 2 | agree on the whole |
| 3 | disagree on the whole |
| 4 | disagree completely |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSC3 EVSW EQUALITY HAS GONE TO FAR

1991 In many ways, equality has gone to far in this country.
1968

ISSC9 EVSW MORE INCOME EQUALITY 1

1968 In our country one ought to strive for more equality in regard to things like income and working ours

ISSC10 EVSW MORE INCOME EQUALITY 2

1964 In our country one ought to strive fore more equality between entrepreneurs, salaried employees and workers also in regard to things like income and working hours

ISSC20 EVSW MORE INCOME EQUALITY 3

1960 In our country one ought to strive fore more equality between entrepreneurs, salaried employees and workers also in regard to things like income, working hours and vacation

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

-1985

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1 | very good proposal, very important that it is implemented |
| 2 | fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented |
| 3 | does not really matter |
| 4 | fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented |
| 5 | very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

1985-1998

- | | |
|----|-------------------------------|
| 1 | very good proposal |
| 2 | fairly good proposal |
| 3 | neither good nor bad proposal |
| 4 | fairly bad proposal |
| 5 | very bad proposal |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISS121 EVSW INCREASE EQUALITY: INCOME/WORKING

1976 Increase equality in income and working conditions

ISS120 EVSW REDUCE INCOME DIFFERENCES

1988-1998 Reduce the income differences in society

On this card I have a list of suggestions of different kinds of society which some people think we in Sweden should work towards. I would like to know what you think of the proposals. You may answer with the help of the scale on the card. A high figure means that you think that a suggestion is good. A low figure means that you think that a suggestion is bad.

0	very bad suggestion
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	neither good nor bad suggestion
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	very good suggestion

ISSB4 EVSW SOCIETY: SMALL INCOM DIFFERNCES

1991 Work towards a society where differences in income and working condition are small

E.

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	<u>1985-1998</u>
1 very good proposal, very important that it is implemented	1 very good proposal
2 fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented	2 fairly good proposal
3 does not really matter	3 neither good nor bad proposal
4 fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented	4 fairly bad proposal
5 very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented	5 very bad proposal
8 don't know	8 don't know
9 answer missing	9 answer missing
99 did not got the question	99 did not got the question

ISS124 EVSW CUT TAXES ON HIGH INCOME

1988 Reduce tax on high income
1985
1979
1976

ISS122 EVSW CUT TAXES

1998 Cut taxes

ISS123 EVSW INCREASE TAXES ON HIGH INCOME

1994 Increase rate of taxation on high incomes

ISS125 EVSW CUT TAXES ON LOW INCOME

1976 Reduce tax on low incomes

ISS405 EVSW TAX REDUCTION

1968 Do you think it would have been right or wrong to decide a reduction of the state income tax this year?

1 right
5 wrong
8 don't know
9 answer missing
99 did not got the question

ISS418 EVSW TAX REDUCTION

1970 Some people feel that a tax reduction belongs to the most important things to be implemented in the next few years. Do you agree with this opinion or do you think it is wrong?

1 right opinion
2 on the whole (but not entirely) right opinion
4 on the whole (but not entirely) wrong opinion
5 wrong opinion
8 don't know
9 answer missing
99 did not got the question

We have put together some opinions which appear in the political debate. I will read out this opinion one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with the opinion or do you think it is wrong?

- | | |
|----|--------------------------|
| 1 | agree completely |
| 2 | agree on the whole |
| 3 | disagree on the whole |
| 4 | disagree completely |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSC13 EVSW SOCIAL SECURITY BEFORE TAX CUT

1964 More important than tax reduction is that the State's resources are sufficient to give social security, full employment, good schools and several other things which the State can take better care of than individuals

ISSC14 EVSW MORE OF THE INCOME TO INDIVIDUALS

1964 The individual citizen should be allowed to keep more of his income to economize himself since he knows better then the State how his money should be spent

ISSC21 EVSW SOCIAL SECURITY BEFORE TAX CUT 2

1960 More important than tax reduction is that the State's resources are sufficient to give social security, full employment, good schools and several other things which the State can take better care of than individuals

ISSC22 EVSW MORE OF THE INCOME TO INDIVIDUALS 2

1960 The individual citizen should be allowed to keep more of his income to economize himself since he knows better then the State how his money should be spent

Value dimensions

Moral

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	
1	very good proposal, very important that it is implemented
2	fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented
3	does not really matter
4	fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented
5	very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

<u>1985-1998</u>	
1	very good proposal
2	fairly good proposal
3	neither good nor bad proposal
4	fairly bad proposal
5	very bad proposal
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

ISS222 EVSW PROHIBIT PORNOGRAPHY

1979-1998 Prohibit all forms of pornography

ISS223 EVSW RESTRICT FREE ABORTION

1991-1998 Restrict right to free abortion
1982

ISS409 EVSW ABORTION

1968 There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which of the opinions on this card is the best agreement with your viewpoint?

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1 | abortion should never be permitted |
| 2 | abortion should be permitted only if the life and health of the woman is in danger |
| 3 | abortion should also be permitted if due to personal condition the woman would have difficulties in taking care of the child |
| 4 | abortion should never be forbidden, since one can not request a woman to give birth to a child she doesn't want to have |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISS220 EVSW HIGHER PRICES ON ALCOHOL

1994 Substantially increase the price of wine and spirits in order to reduce alcohol abuse and
1991 social damage of alcohol
1982
1979

ISS221 EVSW PROHIBIT "MELLANBEER"

1976 Prohibit "mellanbeer" (beer sold in grocery shops)

On this card I have a list of suggestions of different kinds of society which some people think we in Sweden should work towards. I would like to know what you think of the proposals. You may answer with the help of the scale on the card. A high figure means that you think that a suggestion is good. A low figure means that you think that a suggestion is bad.

0	very bad suggestion
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	neither good nor bad suggestion
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	very good suggestion

ISSB9 EVSW SOCIETY: CHRISTIAN VALUE

1998 Work towards a society where Christian values play a more important role
 1994
 1991
 1985
 1982

ISSB13 EVSW SOCIETY: STRENGTHEN FAMILY

1998 Work towards a society which strengthens the position of the family
 1994

ISSB12 EVSW SOCIETY: TRAD SWEDISH VALUES

1998 Work towards a society which stands up for traditional Swedish values
 1994

ISS407 EVSW TEACHING OF CHRISTIANITY

1968 There are different opinions about the teaching of Christianity in schools. Some people
 1970 think that teaching of Christianity should only give the pupils knowledge about religion.
 Other think that teaching of Christianity should not only give knowledge, but should also
 aim at the nurturing of pupils in the Christian spirit. Which of this viewpoints is most in
 accord with your own opinion.

1	should only give knowledge
5	should both give knowledge and aim at the nurturing of pupils in the Christian spirit
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not get the question

ISS408 EVSW FILM CENSORSHIP

1968 Some people think that our present film censorship is too lenient in dealing with parts of films which they consider to be indecent. Others think that the film censorship is exercised in a satisfactory way and some believe films are unnecessarily censored. Which of these opinions do you agree with?

- 1 too lenient
- 2 too lenient, with reservation (coded)
- 3 is exercised in a satisfactory way
- 4 films are unnecessarily censored, with reservation (coded)
- 5 films are unnecessarily censored
- 6 other answer: censorship inconsequential – ambivalent answer (coded)
- 7 other answer: censorship needed in terms of violence and brutality (coded)
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not get the question

Value dimensions

Authoritarian/libertarian

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	<u>1985-1998</u>
1 very good proposal, very important that it is implemented	1 very good proposal
2 fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented	2 fairly good proposal
3 does not really matter	3 neither good nor bad proposal
4 fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented	4 fairly bad proposal
5 very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented	5 very bad proposal
8 don't know	8 don't know
9 answer missing	9 answer missing
99 did not got the question	99 did not got the question

ISS161 EVSW REDUCE DEFENCE SPENDINGS

1976-1998 Reduce defence spendings

ISS412 EVSW DEFENSE SPENDING

1968 What do you think of our spending for defense? Do you think Sweden provides too little money for defense, or do you think that the spending for defense is about right, or do you think that too much money is provided for defense, or don't you have any definite viewpoint on this matter?

- 1 provides to little money for defense
- 2 the spending for defense is about right
- 3 provides to much money for defense
- 4 no definite viewpoint on this matter
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	<u>1985-1998</u>
1 very good proposal, very important that it is implemented	1 very good proposal
2 fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented	2 fairly good proposal
3 does not really matter	3 neither good nor bad proposal
4 fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented	4 fairly bad proposal
5 very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented	5 very bad proposal
8 don't know	8 don't know
9 answer missing	9 answer missing
99 did not got the question	99 did not got the question

ISS240 EVSW ECON SUPPORT TO IMMIGRANTS

1979-1998 Increase economic support to immigrants so they can maintain their own culture

ISS241 EVSW FEWER REFURGEES IN SWEDEN

1988-1998 Accept fewer refugees into Sweden

ISS242 EVSW STOP IMMIGRATION

1976 Stop immigration of foreign work force

On this card I have a list of suggestions of different kinds of society which some people think we in Sweden should work towards. I would like to know what you think of the proposals. You may answer with the help of the scale on the card. A high figure means that you think that a suggestion is good. A low figure means that you think that a suggestion is bad.

0	very bad suggestion
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	neither good nor bad suggestion
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	very good suggestion

ISSB14 EVSW SOCIETY: MULTI CULTURAL SOCIETY

1998 Work towards multi cultural society which is tolerant of people from other countries with
1994 other religions and life style

ISSB15 EVSW SOCIETY: INTERNATIONALISM

1998 Work towards a society with more emphasis on internationalism and less of borders
between countries and nations

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	
1	very good proposal, very important that it is implemented
2	fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented
3	does not really matter
4	fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented
5	very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

<u>1985-1998</u>	
1	very good proposal
2	fairly good proposal
3	neither good nor bad proposal
4	fairly bad proposal
5	very bad proposal
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

ISS160 EVSW REDUCE FOREIGN AID'

1982-1994 Reduce foreign aid

ISS169 EVSW STOP AID TO VIETNAM

1979 Stop development aid to Vietnam

ISS411 EVSW INCREASE AID

1968 Some people think that the Government and Riksdag ought to put through a very great
1970 increase in out aid to the underdeveloped countries during the next few years. Do you
1973 agree with that opinion?

1	agree
2	agree, with reservation (coded)
3	ambivalent answer (coded)
5	do not agree
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

ISS410 EVSW AID TO AFRICA & ASIA

1968 some people think that Sweden shall give aid to underdeveloped countries, e g certain
 1970 countries in Asia and Africa. Other think that Sweden ought not to give any aid. What is
 1973 your view on this matter? Do you think that Sweden ought to give aid to underdeveloped
 countries?

- 1 yes
- 2 yes, with commentary (coded)
- 3 ambivalent answer
- 5 no
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

We have put together some opinions which appear in the political debate. I will read out this opinion one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with the opinion or do you think it is wrong?

- | | |
|----|--------------------------|
| 1 | agree completely |
| 2 | agree on the whole |
| 3 | disagree on the whole |
| 4 | disagree completely |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSC11 EVSW MORE AID TO AFRICA & ASIA

1964 We Swedes should give the poor peoples in Africa and Asia more aid then we have up to
 now, also if we have to level down somewhat our own demands for better standard of
 living

On this card are listed some different opinions which are often expressed in political debates. I would like to know with which of these opinions you agree and which you don't.

Alternative fore the respondent

- | | |
|---|---------------|
| 1 | agree |
| 2 | doesn't agree |
| 8 | don't know |

Coded answers

- | | |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 1 | agree |
| 2 | agree, with reservation |
| 3 | ambivalent |
| 4 | doesn't agree, with reservation |
| 5 | doesn,t agree |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSC15 EVSW MORE AID TO AFRICA & ASIA

1960 We Swedes should give the poor peoples in Africa and Asia more aid then we have up to
 now, also if we have to level down somewhat our own demands for better standard of
 living

On this card I have a list of suggestions of different kinds of society which some people think we in Sweden should work towards. I would like to know what you think of the proposals. You may answer with the help of the scale on the card. A high figure means that you think that a suggestion is good. A low figure means that you think that a suggestion is bad.

0	very bad suggestion
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	neither good nor bad suggestion
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	very good suggestion

ISSB10 EVSW SOCIETY: LAW AND ORDER

1998 Work towards a society with more law and order
1994
1991

Value dimensions

Growth/ecology

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	
1	very good proposal, very important that it is implemented
2	fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented
3	does not really matter
4	fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented
5	very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

<u>1985-1998</u>	
1	very good proposal
2	fairly good proposal
3	neither good nor bad proposal
4	fairly bad proposal
5	very bad proposal
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

ISS181b EVSW KEEP NUCLEAR POWER ALSO AFTER 2010

1985-1994 Keep nuclear power also after 2010

ISS181 EVSW IN THE LONG RUN ABOLISH NUCLEAR

1998 Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power

ISS182 EVSW START CLOSING DOWN NUCLEAR POWER

1979 Start closing down nuclear power immediately

ISS183 EVSW STOP EXPANSION OF NUCLEAR POWER

1976 Stop the expansion of nuclear power

ISS184 EVSW EXPAND NUCLEAR POWER

1976 Expand nuclear energy

ISS415 EVSW NUCLEAR POWER

1976 There are different views on the use of nuclear power. Which of the opinions on this card do most agree with you?

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1 | substantial expansion of nuclear power |
| 2 | moderate expansion of nuclear power |
| 3 | no nuclear power plants should be built, but those already in existence should be kept |
| 4 | no nuclear power plants should be built, and those already in existence should be closed down |
| 7 | no definite opinion on this issue |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISS419 EVSW NUCLEAR POWER

1988 In the 1980 we had a referendum about nuclear in Sweden. After the referendum it was decided in parliament that nuclear power should be abolished year 2010. What is your opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?

- 1 stop nuclear power immediately
- 2 abolish nuclear power sooner than 2010
- 3 abolish nuclear power by 2010
- 4 abolish nuclear power, but not as soon as 2010
- 5 keep using nuclear power, do not abolish it
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question

ISSUE17A EVSW OPINION NUCLEAR POWER A

1979-1998 There are varying views about nuclear power as energy source. What is your opinion? Are you, on the whole, for or against nuclear power, or do you have no definite opinion on the question?

- 1 on the whole for nuclear power
- 2 on the whole against nuclear power
- 3 no definite opinion on the issue
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question/not working

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	<u>1985-1998</u>
1 very good proposal, very important that it is implemented	1 very good proposal
2 fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented	2 fairly good proposal
3 does not really matter	3 neither good nor bad proposal
4 fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented	4 fairly bad proposal
5 very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented	5 very bad proposal
8 don't know	8 don't know
9 answer missing	9 answer missing
99 did not got the question	99 did not got the question

ISS185 EVSW STOP PRIVATE DRIVING IN THE INNER CITY

1985-1998 Stop private driving in the inner city

ISS191 EVSW EXPLOIT UNTOUCHED RIVERS FOR WATERP

1991 Exploit untouched rivers in order to increase the use of water as a power source
1976

On this card I have a list of suggestions of different kinds of society which some people think we in Sweden should work towards. I would like to know what you think of the proposals. You may answer with the help of the scale on the card. A high figure means that you think that a suggestion is good. A low figure means that you think that a suggestion is bad.

0	very bad suggestion
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	neither good nor bad suggestion
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	very good suggestion

ISSB3 EVSW SOCIETY: ECON GROWTH/HIGH PRODUC

1982-1998 Work towards a society with a high rate of economic growth and high productivity

ISSB7 EVSW SOCIETY: ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY

1982-1998 Work towards an environmentally friendly society, even if it means low or no economic growth

ISSB8 EVSW SOCIETY: ADVANCED TECHNIQUES

1982-1998 Work towards a society which utilites advanced techniques such as computers and industrial robots to make production effective

Inglehart

INGLPM EVSW MATERIALIST- POSTMATERIALIST

1998	1	materialists
1994	3	materialists and post-materialists mixed
1991	5	post-materialists
1988	8	not answered all questions
1985	9	no answer
	99	did not get the question

National Issues

Wage Earners' Funds

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	
1	very good proposal, very important that it is implemented
2	fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented
3	does not really matter
4	fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented
5	very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

<u>1985-1998</u>	
1	very good proposal
2	fairly good proposal
3	neither good nor bad proposal
4	fairly bad proposal
5	very bad proposal
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

ISS144 EVSW BUISNESS PROFITS TO WAGE EARNERS FU

1982 Transfer parts of business profits to Wage Earners' Funds which are run by the union
1979
1976

ISS145 EVSW ABOLICH WAGE EARNERS FUNDS

1991 Abolish Wage Earners Funds
1988
1985

ISSUE18A EVSW WORKER FUNDS A

1979 There are varying views on whether we should have collective wage
1982 earners' funds in Sweden. What is your opinion? Are you, on the
1985 whole, for or against wage earners' funds, or do you have no
1988 definite opinion on the question?

- 1 on the whole for wage earners' funds
- 2 on the whole against wage earners' funds
- 3 no definite opinion on the issue
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question/not working

National Issues

EU/EEC/EMU

I will now read to you a list of policies which people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. What is your opinion about the proposal to:

<u>-1985</u>	
1	very good proposal, very important that it is implemented
2	fairly good proposal, fairly important that it is implemented
3	does not really matter
4	fairly bad proposal, fairly important that it is not implemented
5	very bad proposal, very important that it is not implemented
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

<u>1985-1998</u>	
1	very good proposal
2	fairly good proposal
3	neither good nor bad proposal
4	fairly bad proposal
5	very bad proposal
8	don't know
9	answer missing
99	did not got the question

ISS162 EVSW SWEDEN SHOULD JOIN EMU

1998 Sweden should join the EMU (the economic and monetary union)

ISS163 EVSW LEAVE EU

1998 Sweden should leave the EU

ISS164 EVSW APPLY FOR MEMBERSHIP IN EEC

1988 Apply for Swedish membership to the EEC

ISS413 EVSW MEMBERSHIP IN EEC

1968 Sweden is not in the so called European Economic Community, that is, the organization
1970 usually called EEC. Do you think that Sweden shall try to become a part of EEC, or do you think it would be better if we stayed out of EEC also in the future or don't you have any definite viewpoint on this matter?

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1 | Sweden shall try to become a part of EEC |
| 2 | it would be better if Sweden stayed out of EEC |
| 3 | have no definite viewpoint on this matter |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISS414 EVSW MEMBERSHIP IN COMMON MARKET

1973 About a year ago, Sweden made a trade agreement with the Common Market. Some people think we should have been closer connected with the Common market, some think that the agreement is alright, while others think it would be better if Sweden reduced its cooperation with the Common Market. Others have no opinion about this. What is your opinion?

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Sweden ought to be closer connected with the Common Market |
| 2 | the relation with the Common Market is alright |
| 3 | Sweden ought to reduce its cooperation with the Common Market |
| 4 | no opinion in this matter |
| 8 | don't know |
| 9 | answer missing |
| 99 | did not got the question |

ISSUE19A EVSW EU A

1991 Are you, on the whole for or against the Swedish membership in EU/EC or do you have
1994 no definite opinion on the question?
1998

- 1 on the whole for (the) Swedish membership in EU/EC
- 2 on the whole against (the) Swedish membership in EU/EC
- 3 no definite opinion on the issue
- 8 don't know
- 9 answer missing
- 99 did not got the question/not working

Voting Behavior

VOTE EVSW TURNOUT IN ELECTION

Turnout in present election

- 0 did not vote
- 1 did vote
- 9 answer missing

VOTER EVSW TURNOUT IN RECENT ELECTION

Turnout in recent election

- 0 did not vote
- 1 did vote
- 9 answer missing
- 99 where not allowed to vote in recent election (to young.....)

PARTY EVSW PARTY

For which party did you vote in the Riksdag election?

- 1 Left Party (Vänsterpartiet)
- 2 Social Democrats (Socialdemokraterna)
- 3 Center Party (Centerpartiet)
- 4 People's Party Liberals (Folkpartiet Liberalerna)
- 5 Moderate Party (Moderata Samlingspartiet)
- 10 Christian Democrats (Kristdemokraterna)
- 11 Green Party (Miljöpartiet de Gröna)
- 12 New Democracy (Ny Demokrati)
- 95 did not vote
- 96 blank vote
- 98 don't know
- 99 answer missing

PARTYR EVSW PARTY IN RECENT ELECTION

Vote in recent election

- 1 Left Party (Vänsterpartiet)
- 2 Social Democrats (Socialdemokraterna)
- 3 Center Party (Centerpartiet)
- 4 People's Party Liberals (Folkpartiet Liberalerna)
- 5 Moderate Party (Moderata Samlingspartiet)
- 10 Christian Democrats (Kristdemokraterna)
- 11 Green Party (Miljöpartiet de Gröna)
- 12 New Democracy (Ny Demokrati)
- 95 did not vote
- 96 blank vote
- 97 not allowed to vote in recent election (to young....)
- 98 don't know
- 99 answer missing

BYPOST EVSW CAST VOTE IN POST OFFICE

0	did not vote in post office
1	did vote in post office
7	did not vote in the election
99	missing