

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002 - Variable Report

Documentation release 2015/07/31

Related to dataset

Archive Study No. ZA3861, Version: 3.0.0, doi:10.4232/1.11967

GESIS-Variable Reports No. 2015|33

**Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in
Reunified Germany 2002 - Variable Report**

Documentation release 2015/07/31

Related to dataset

Archive Study No. ZA3861, Version: 3.0.0, doi:10.4232/1.11967

GESIS Data Archive for the Social Sciences

GESIS-Variable Reports

GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
50667 Köln
Unter Sachsenhausen 6-8
Germany

Telephone: +49/(0)221/47694-0
Telefax: +49/(0)221/47694-199
E-Mail: christina.eder@gesis.org

ISSN: 2190-6742 (Online)

Publisher: GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Unter Sachsenhausen 6-8, 50667 Köln
info@gesis.org, www.gesis.org

Content

Introduction and preliminary remarks.....	3
Data access	3
Usage requirements	4
Disclaimer	4
1 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002.....	5
1.1 Bibliographic information	5
1.1.1 Archive study number	5
1.1.2 Title and subtitle.....	5
1.1.3 Principal investigator.....	5
1.1.4 Bibliographic citation	5
1.2 Content.....	6
1.2.1 Abstract.....	6
1.2.2 Topic overview	7
1.3 Universe.....	7
1.4 Selection method	7
1.5 Date of collection.....	8
1.6 Mode of data collection.....	8
1.7 Weighting	8
1.8 Data processing.....	8
2 Correspondence List.....	10
3 International documentation standard	18
4 Explanation of the variable documentation	19
5 Variable Documentation.....	21

Table of figures and tables

Table 1: Variable correspondence list of the pre-election and post-election studies..... 10

Figure 1: Description of the variable documentation 20

Introduction and preliminary remarks

In 2002, the present study was carried out by Prof. Dr. Falter, Prof. Dr. Gabriel, and Prof. Dr. Rattinger accompanying the parliamentary election. It was financed by DFG. The study comprised a pre-electoral and a post-electoral cross section survey, each in East and West Germany. At the same time it served as third wave of the long-term panel regarding the parliamentary elections in 1994–1998–2002 (ZA4301). The questionnaire of the study has been developed in cooperation with the preparatory group 'Deutsche Nationale Wahlstudie' (DNW) founded on an informal base. It covers opinions and attitudes of the eligible population towards parties, politicians, the election and possible governing coalitions but also towards general social developments. It is part of the group 'German Election studies 1949–2009' in the GESIS Data Archive for the Social Sciences.

This report comprises five parts: part one presents a description of the study Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002, including bibliographic information, an abstract, methodological comments, notes about weighting and general information on the data processing. The second part includes a correspondence list of the variables. Part three offers general information on the documentation standard. Part four provides a short explanation of the variable documentation itself. Part five contains the variable documentation: the documentation of each variable including complete question text with all answer categories enriched with archival remarks. In addition, this Variable Report presents frequency distributions for almost all substantial and demographic variables. All frequency distributions are based on unweighted data.

Data access

Data for statistical analysis and related documentation (original questionnaires, Variable Reports) are made available by GESIS – Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences by the online platforms GESIS Data Catalogue (<https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/home.asp?db=e>) or by ZACAT (<http://zacat.gesis.org>), the GESIS Online Study Catalogue. Access to the Data Catalogue and ZACAT is free of charge; download of data sets requires registration.

The [GESIS Data Catalogue](https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/home.asp?db=e)¹ offers study descriptions of the German Election Studies 1949–2009, which comprise methodological information, version history and errata. In addition, the GESIS Data Catalogue provides datasets and related documentation for download.

[ZACAT](http://zacat.gesis.org)² offers data and documentation for full-text search as well as for online analysis.

¹ The German Election studies 1949–2009 in the GESIS Data Catalogue:
<https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/gdesc2.asp?no=0012&search=wahlstudien&search2=&db=e&tab=0¬abs=&tnf=1&taf=&tl=10>

² The German Election studies 1949–2009 in ZACAT – GESIS Online Study Catalogue.
<http://zacat.gesis.org/webview/main.jsp?object=http://zacat.gesis.org/obj/fCatalog/Catalog12>

Usage requirements

To get an overview over the use of the GESIS Data Archive, all users are requested to send bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstracts. Please explain in an accompanying letter, which data (study as well as respective variables) you have used.

Disclaimer

Neither the original collector of the data, nor GESIS bear any responsibility for the use of the data or any data analyses.

1 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002

1.1 Bibliographic information

1.1.1 Archive study number

GESIS Study number: ZA3861 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002

Current Version: 3.0.0, 2015-07-31, [doi:10.4232/1.11967](https://doi.org/10.4232/1.11967)

1.1.2 Title and subtitle

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002

1.1.3 Principal investigator

Jürgen W. Falter, Universität Mainz

Oscar W. Gabriel, Universität Stuttgart

Hans Rattinger, Universität Bamberg

1.1.4 Bibliographic citation

Publications based on data provided by GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations should appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

How to cite the data:

Falter, Jürgen W.; Gabriel, Oscar W.; Rattinger, Hans (2015): Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002. GESIS Data Archive, Cologne. ZA3861 Data file Version 3.0.0, doi:10.4232/1.11967

1.2 Content

1.2.1 Abstract

Political attitudes and political participation in reunified Germany.

Cross-section surveys at two different survey times in West and East Germany.

Topics: judgement on current general economic situation as well as economic situation in the previous year; expected economic situation; knowledge about the importance of first vote and second vote; satisfaction with democracy; interest in politics; certainty of one's own intent to participate in the election and one's own voting decision (pre); type of voting as absentee ballot or polling place (post); same voting decision after knowledge about results of the election (post); party preference (Sunday question, first vote and second vote, post); voting decision for candidate (pre) and recall (post) , voting decision for party (pre) and recall (post); respondent has answered the question regarding voting intent and voting decision; time of voting decision (post); most important reasons for one's own voting decision (post); certainty of one's own voting decision (pre); reasons for voting intent and not voting; eligible to vote 1998; estimated certainty of selected smaller parties taking seats in Federal Parliament (FDP, Bündnis90/Die Grünen, PDS, Republikaner, and DVU (pre); expected election winner in the Federal Parliament election (CDU/CSU or SPD, pre); evaluation of democracy as a conception of a state; personal financial situation; personal financial situation has improved and expectations for the next year; most important reasons for dissatisfaction with parties; coalition preference; satisfaction with result of Federal Parliament election (post); intensity of following the election campaign (post); responsivity: judgement on party politicians and their job as well as their relation with citizens (scale); most important problems of the country; issue ability of parties; external efficacy: possibilities of citizens to influence parties; internal efficacy: ability of citizens to influence parties and governments (scale); electoral duty; attitude towards democracy; assessment of the position of the most important parties on the topics nuclear energy, immigration, and European unification; personal opinion on these topics and importance of problem solution; importance of providing jobs, support of new technologies the promotion of equal opportunities for women (issue importance); state responsibility for these issues; satisfaction with task fulfillment of the government on these issues; place of residence in July 1989; retrospective judgement on personal standard of living, fairness of income distribution, social security, company of people, and crime protection in the FRG 1989 and in the GDR compared to today; knowledge of the number of federal states; sympathy scale for the parties CDU, CSU, SPD, FDP, Bündnis90/Die Grünen, Republikaner, PDS, and DVU; postmaterialism (Inglehart index); trust in institutions: trust in Federal Parliament, the Federal Constitutional Court, Federal Government, the judiciary, the police, administration, churches, parties, the Federal German Armed Forces, trade unions, ecological groups and in trade associations as well as employer's associations; preference for chancellor; sympathy scale for candidates for chancellor Kohl and Schroeder; assessment of characteristics of the two candidates for chancellor regarding sympathy, trustworthiness, energy and their concepts to improve the economy (economic skills); preference of political participation (scale); left-right self-placement; feeling of representation in selected associations, institutions and parties; assessment of closeness of trade unions, trade and employer's associations, ecological groups and churches to selected parties; social moral orientations (scale); satisfaction with the Federal Government; geographic connection: connected to the community, the city, the region, the federal state, old FRG / GDR, and Germany; left-right placement of parties; media usage: frequency of reading 'BILD-Zeitung', local, regional and national daily newspapers; frequency of reception of political news on television (ARD, ZDF and private TV); assessment of the fairness of the social system in the Federal Republic; belonging to the underprivileged or to the privileged section of population; behavior at the polls in the Federal Parliament election 1994; extremism scale: national pride, nationalization of important companies, courage to sense of nationality, group interests subordinated to public welfare, dictatorship as the better type of state, good aspects of National Socialism, without extermination of Jews, Hitler

as a great statesman, foreign infiltration of the Federal Republic, GDR with more good than bad aspects, foreigners should marry countrymen, influence of Jews still too big, socialism as good concept but badly executed, Jews don't fit in society and sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum.

Demography: sex; age (month of birth, year of birth); religious denomination; frequency of church attendance; memberships in citizen's initiative, party, trade association or trade union; party preference; party identification; reasons for party preference (scale); strength and length of this party preference; education and school degree; aimed form of graduation; completed apprenticeship; present or previous employment; previous employment: until when; involuntary change of job or unemployment since 1994; withdraw from working life since 1994; employment in the civil service; employment status; marital status; living together with a partner; information on partner: education and school degree; aimed form of graduation; completed apprenticeship; present or previous employment; previous employment: until when; involuntary change of job or unemployment since 1994; withdraw from working life since 1994; employment in the civil service, and employment status; household size; number of persons 16 years old or older in household; number of persons contributing to the income of the household; household's net income; self-assessment of social class (respondent's subjective strata); which part of strata; strata compared to the parents; telephone in the household; willingness to participate in a further interview 2002.

Additionally encoded was: respondent number, West-East split, date of Interview (pre/post); year of interview; date of interview; length of interview; interviewer-ID; size of town (politically and BIK (Boustedt)); district of the interview; federal state; weighting factors.

1.2.2 Topic overview

- Social behaviour and attitudes
- Mass media
- Mass political behaviour, attitudes / opinion
- Government, political systems and organisation
- Elections
- Economic systems and development

1.3 Universe

All German persons who were at least 16 years old at the time of survey and whose residence is in the Federal Republic Germany as well as living in private households

3263 persons were asked.

1.4 Selection method

The ADM Master Sample is a stratified, three-step random selection and is based on election precinct in Federal Parliament elections. Two nets of the ADM sample system were used such that in Eastern Germany a double net was used which means that for an over-sample this was doubled. The target households were selected according to the random route procedure, the target person in the household was determined by Sweden key.

1.5 Date of collection

The data collector is BASIS Research, Frankfurt:

Date of collection in all: August 2002 – February 2003

Date of collection in detail:

Pre-election: 12 August 2002 to 21 September 2002;

Post-election: 01 October 2002 to 08 November 2002;

Phone follow-up survey: 29 January 2003 to 12 February 2003

1.6 Mode of data collection

Telephone survey with standardized questionnaire

1.7 Weighting

All frequency distributions in this Variable Report are based on unweighted data.

1.8 Data processing

Generally, the variable structure of the dataset is based on the structure of the questionnaire. Preceding, there are some technical variables. These comprise archive identification variables (ZA-study number, version and DOI) as well as survey identification variables (respondent ID, federal state). At the bottom of the dataset, you find variables with information on the interview (date and length of the interview as well as interviewer identification), size of the municipality, and weighting variables (household, representative and overall).

In general, the variables adopt the codes of the questionnaire.

The affiliation of a question for the pre-electoral survey and the post-election survey is documented by the prefix ‚VW‘ (pre-election), or ‚NW‘ (post-election) to the question number. If a question number is without this prefix, it was raised in both surveys and had in both questionnaires the same question number. If a question was asked only in the pre-election survey or only in the post-election survey, one recognizes this by the interrogative number as well as an additional note below the actual question documentation.

For questions that allow for multiple responses a dummy variable is created for each answer category. E.g., a question with four answer categories in an item battery has four dummy variables as final data. In general, a value "1" means that the respondent chose the particular category as an answer (mentioned, yes, ...). In case of a value "0" the respondent did not choose the particular category as answer (not mentioned, no, ...). Dummy variables are also created for "none of these", "Don't know" or other residual responses.

The variables which are only asked to a split, receive a code for the respondents not asked. This code (in general zero) represents the cases to whom the respective question was not asked.

Number of variables: 334

2 Correspondence List

Correspondence list of the variables in the study 'Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002'

Table 1: Variable correspondence list of the pre-election and post-election studies

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
za_nr	ZA Study Number	x	x
version	GESIS Archive Version	x	x
doi	Digital Object Identifier	x	x
vvpid	Case number	x	x
vsplitwo	West-East identifier	x	x
vvornach	Pre-/post-election	x	x
vland	Federal state - Bundesland	x	x
v10	Economic situation today	x	x
v20	Economic situation improved	x	x
v30	Economic situation prospective	x	x
v31	Which vote more important?	x	x
v40	Democracy in Germany	x	x
v50	Interest in politics: strength	x	x
v60	Will Respondent vote in next election?	x	
v62	Vote: polling place/absentee		x
v63	Same vote decision as last election		x
v64	Vote next Sunday: party		x
v65	Vote next Sunday: candidate		x
v69	Candidate vote intention	x	x
v70	Party vote intention	x	x
v71	Answer vote intention yes/no	x	x
v72	Voting decision: when?		x
v73a	Most important: vote decision		x
v73b	2nd most important: vote decision		x
v80	Sure of voting decision	x	
v81a	1st reason for vote	x	x
v81b	2nd reason for vote	x	x
v81c	3rd reason for vote	x	x
v81d	4th reason for vote	x	x
v81e	5th reason for vote	x	x
v81f	6th reason for vote	x	x
v81g	7th reason for vote	x	x
v81h	8th reason for vote	x	x
v81j	9th reason for vote	x	x
v81k	10th reason for vote	x	x
v82	Eligible to vote 2002	x	
v83a	1st reason non-voter	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v83b	2nd reason non-voter	x	x
v83c	3rd reason non-voter	x	x
v83d	4th reason non-voter	x	x
v84a	Represent Bundestag: FDP	x	
v84b	Represent Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	
v84c	Represent Bundestag: PDS	x	
v84d	Represent Bundestag: Republikaner	x	
v85	Which party gets most votes?	x	
v86	Evaluation concept state democracy	x	x
v90	Personal financial situation	x	x
v100	Personal financial situation improved	x	x
v110	Personal financial situation in a year	x	x
v120a	Dissatisfied with parties: 1st	x	x
v120b	Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd	x	x
v120c	Dissatisfied with parties: 3rd	x	x
v120d	Dissatisfied with parties: 4th	x	x
v120e	Dissatisfied with parties: 5th	x	x
v120f	Dissatisfied with parties: 6th	x	x
v120g	Dissatisfied with parties: 7th	x	x
v121	Respondent: wanted government coalition	x	x
v121b	Respondent: 2nd choice government coalition	x	x
v122	Satisfied with election outcome		x
v123	Follow election campaign 2002	x	x
v124a	How often: discussions with friends about election	x	x
v124b	How often: attend election campaign events	x	x
v124c	How often: visited Internet pages on the election	x	x
v130a	Parties only want votes	x	x
v130b	Parties regard state as self-service shop	x	x
v130c	Party politicians trustworthy	x	x
v130d	Good relations to parties	x	x
v130e	Party members can produce their ideas	x	x
v130f	Parties are only interested in preservation of power	x	x
v130g	Objectives of parties are clearly different	x	x
v130h	Parties have too much influence in society	x	x
v130i	Government worse without professional politicians	x	x
v130k	Most parties and politicians are corrupt	x	x
v140aa	Important problems FRG: 1	x	x
v140ab	Important problems FRG: 2	x	x
v140ac	Important problems FRG: 3	x	x
v140ad	Important problems FRG: 4	x	x
v140ae	Important problems FRG: 5	x	x
v140af	Important problems FRG: 6	x	x
v140ag	Important problems FRG: 7	x	x
v140ah	Important problems FRG: 8	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
vwiprob1	Most important problem FRG	x	x
vwiprob2	2nd most import problem FRG	x	x
v150	Qualified: most important problem	x	x
v160	Qualified: 2nd most important problem	x	x
v170a	Politicians pay attention to people	x	x
v170b	People have no influence on government	x	x
v170d	Politics too complicated	x	x
v170f	Members of the Bundestag try to stay in contact with population	x	x
v170g	Citizens hardly influence politics	x	x
v170h	Right to stand up for own opinion	x	x
v170i	Respondent: active role in political group	x	x
v170k	No democracy possible without political opposition	x	x
v170l	Respondent: understands political issue	x	x
v170m	Duty of citizens: electoral participation	x	x
v170o	Chance for every democratic party to be in government	x	x
v171a	Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU	x	x
v171b	Nuclear energy: SPD	x	x
v171c	Nuclear energy: FDP	x	x
v171d	Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v171e	Nuclear energy: Republikaner	x	x
v171f	Nuclear energy: PDS	x	x
v172a	Immigration: CDU/CSU	x	x
v172b	Immigration: SPD	x	x
v172c	Immigration: FDP	x	x
v172d	Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v172e	Immigration: Republikaner	x	x
v172f	Immigration: PDS	x	x
v173a	European unification: CDU/CSU	x	x
v173b	European unification: SPD	x	x
v173c	European unification: FDP	x	x
v173d	European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v173e	European unification: Republikaner	x	x
v173f	European unification: PDS	x	x
v174a	Personal opinion: nuclear energy	x	x
v174b	Personal opinion: immigration	x	x
v174c	Personal opinion: European unification	x	x
v175a	Important: nuclear energy	x	x
v175b	Important: immigration	x	x
v175c	Important: European unification	x	x
v179	Respondent: lived where July 89	x	x
v180aspw	FRG 89: personal standard of living	x	x
v180bspw	FRG 89: fair distribution of income	x	x
v180cspw	FRG 89: social security	x	x
v180dspw	FRG 89: sticking together of the people among each other	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v180espw	FRG 89: protection against crime	x	x
v180aspo	GDR 89: personal standard of living	x	x
v180bspo	GDR 89: fair distribution of income	x	x
v180cspo	GDR 89: social security	x	x
v180dspo	GDR 89: sticking together of the people among each other	x	x
v180espo	GDR 89: protection against crime	x	x
v181	Know: number of federal states	x	x
v182a	ASKO: constant chance versus fixed circumstances	x	x
v182b	ASKO: new ideas versus well-trying views	x	x
v182c	ASKO: surprising situations versus clear circumstances	x	x
v182d	ASKO: law and order versus movement and innovation	x	x
v182e	ASKO: integration and subordination versus rebellion	x	x
v182f	ASKO: change versus tradition	x	x
v182g	ASKO: standing rules versus improvisations	x	x
v182h	ASKO: new unknown things versus known things	x	x
v182i	ASKO: preservation of long-established things versus reforms	x	x
v183a	Big 5: sociable versus introvert	x	x
v183b	Big 5: new experiences versus familiar things	x	x
v183c	Big 5: mentally unbalanced versus mentally balanced	x	x
v183d	Big 5: diligent versus casual	x	x
v183e	Big 5: accommodate versus prevail	x	x
v200a	Think of: CDU	x	x
v200b	Think of: CSU	x	x
v200c	Think of: SPD	x	x
v200d	Think of: FDP	x	x
v200e	Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v200f	Think of: Republikaner	x	x
v200g	Think of: PDS	x	x
v200i	Think of: Schill-Partei	x	x
v210a	Political goal: maintaining order in nation	x	x
v210b	Political goal: more say in government decisions	x	x
v210c	Political goal: fight against rising prices	x	x
v210d	Political goal: freedom of speech	x	x
v220a	Trust: Bundestag	x	x
v220b	Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht	x	x
v220c	Trust: federal government	x	x
v220d	Trust: courts	x	x
v220e	Trust: police	x	x
v220f	Trust: administration	x	x
v220g	Trust: churches	x	x
v220h	Trust: political parties	x	x
v220i	Trust: Bundeswehr	x	x
v220k	Trust: trade unions	x	x
v220l	Trust: trade and employers' associations	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v220m	Trust: ecological groups	x	x
v220n	Trust: members of the Bundestag	x	x
v230	Preferred chancellor	x	x
v231s	Scalometer: Schroeder	x	x
v231k	Scalometer: Stoiber	x	x
v232as	Schroeder: economic skills	x	x
v232ak	Stoiber: economic skills	x	x
v232bs	Schroeder: likeable human being	x	x
v232bk	Stoiber: likeable human being	x	x
v232cs	Schroeder: politically trustworthy	x	x
v232ck	Stoiber: politically trustworthy	x	x
v232ds	Schroeder: dynamic	x	x
v232dk	Stoiber: dynamic	x	x
v240a	Political participation: citizens' initiative	x	x
v240b	Political participation: legal demonstration	x	x
v240c	Political participation: violent demonstration	x	x
v240e	Political participation: blockade traffic	x	x
v240f	Political participation: get support from party	x	x
v250	Left-right self-placement	x	x
v260a	Interests: trade unions	x	x
v260b	Interests: trade and employers' associations	x	x
v260c	Interests: ecological groups	x	x
v260f	Interests: churches	x	x
v260g	Interests: CDU/CSU	x	x
v260h	Interests: SPD	x	x
v260i	Interests: FDP	x	x
v260j	Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v260k	Interests: Republikaner	x	x
v260m	Interests: PDS	x	x
v281a	Society: respect established things	x	x
v281b	Society: citizen participation	x	x
v281c	Society: achieve through merit	x	x
v281d	Society: respect law and order	x	x
v281e	Society: open to new ideas	x	x
v281f	Society: man more important than money	x	x
v282	Satisfaction with government (SPD + Buendnis90/Die Gruenen)	x	x
v283info	Follow-up survey	x	x
v283a	Connected to: community, city	x	x
v283b	Connected to: region	x	x
v283c	Connected to: federal state	x	x
v283d	Connected to: FRG/GDR	x	x
v283e	Connected to: Germany	x	x
v283fa	Connected to: European Union	x	x
v283fb	Connected to: Europe	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v283fz	Connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe	x	x
v283a1	Original: connected to: community	x	x
v283b1	Original: connected to: region	x	x
v283c1	Original: connected to: federal state	x	x
v283d1	Original: connected to: FRG/GDR	x	x
v283e1	Original: connected to: Germany	x	x
v283fa1	Original: connected to: European Union	x	x
v283fb1	Original: connected to: Europe	x	x
v283fz1	Original: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe	x	x
v283a2	Phone follow-up: connected to: community		
v283b2	Phone follow-up: connected to: region		
v283c2	Phone follow-up: connected to: state		
v283d2	Phone follow-up: connected to: FRG/GDR		
v283e2	Phone follow-up: connected to: Germany		
v283fa2	Phone follow-up: connected to European Union		
v283fb2	Phone follow-up: connected to: Europe		
v283fz2	Phone follow-up: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe		
v284a	Left-right: CDU	x	x
v284b	Left-right: SPD	x	x
v284c	Left-right: FDP	x	x
v284d	Left-right: CSU	x	x
v284e	Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	x	x
v284g	Left-right: PDS	x	x
v285a	How often: Bild-Zeitung	x	x
v285b	How often: national newspaper	x	x
v285bb	Which national newspaper	x	x
v285c	How often: local regional newspaper	x	x
v286a	How often: news ARD, ZDF	x	x
v286b	How often: news private TV	x	x
v291	Use Internet	x	x
v292	Use Internet: frequency	x	x
v293	Use Internet: since when	x	x
v294a	Use Internet: political information	x	x
v294b	Use Internet: political institutions	x	x
v294c	Use Internet: contact to political institutions	x	x
v295a	Online vote + absentee ballot	x	x
v295b	Online vote: permission	x	x
v301	2nd TV duel: watch debate on 8th September?	x	x
v302a	2nd TV duel: performance Schroeder	x	x
v302b	2nd TV duel: performance Stoiber	x	x
v303	1st TV duel: watch debate on 25th August	x	x
v304a	1st TV duel: performance Schroeder	x	x
v304b	1st TV duel: performance Stoiber	x	x
v310	Social order fair or unfair	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
v320	Underprivileged/privileged	x	x
v330	Recall: party vote 1994	x	x
v350a	Proud to be German	x	x
v350b	Nationalise most important companies	x	x
v350c	Courage to have sense of nationality	x	x
v350d	Group interests subordinate to public welfare	x	x
v350f	Dictatorship better type of state	x	x
v350g	National Socialism also positive aspects	x	x
v350k	Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman	x	x
v350l	FRG foreign-controlled	x	x
v350m	GDR: more good than bad aspects	x	x
v350n	Foreigners marry countrymen	x	x
v350o	Influence Jews still too big	x	x
v350p	Socialism good idea, badly executed	x	x
v350q	Jews don't fit in society	x	x
v350r	Sympathy with attacks on asylum-seekers	x	x
vsex	Sex of respondent	x	x
vmonat	Month of birth respondent	x	x
vjahr	Year of birth of respondent	x	x
veinba	German nationality from birth	x	x
veinbb	German nationality since when	x	x
veinbc	Previous nationality	x	x
veinbc2	Previous nationality (Original entry)	x	x
vrelig	Respondent's religious denomination	x	x
vkirchg	Frequency attend church	x	x
vbildga	Kind of school	x	x
vbildgb	Aimed form of graduation	x	x
vbildgc	Complete apprenticeship	x	x
vberuftg	Present employment	x	x
vfrbertg	Previous employment	x	x
vfrbejah	Previous employment: until when	x	x
vwechs94	Involuntary job change since 1998	x	x
varlos94	Unemployed since 1998	x	x
vbausg94	Withdraw from working life since 1998	x	x
voeff	Employed: public servant	x	x
vberuf	Employment status	x	x
vfamstdn	Respondent: marital status	x	x
vpartner	Live together with partner	x	x
vpbildga	Partner: kind of school	x	x
vpbildgb	Partner: aimed graduation	x	x
vpbildgc	Partner: complete apprenticeship	x	x
vpberuft	Partner: present employment	x	x
vpfbertg	Partner: previous employment	x	x
vpfrbeja	Partner: previous employment until when	x	x

Variable name	Variable label	Pre-election	Post-election
vpwech94	Partner: involuntary job change since 1998	x	x
vparlo94	Partner: unemployed since 1998	x	x
vpbaus94	Partner: withdraw from working life since 1998	x	x
vpoeff	Partner: employed: public servant	x	x
vpberuf	Partner: employment status	x	x
vinitmg	Member: citizens's initiative	x	x
vpartmg	Member: party	x	x
vberufmg	Member: trade association	x	x
vgewermg	Member: trade union	x	x
vpid	Party preference	x	x
vpidstrk	Strength of party preference	x	x
vpidzeit	Length of party preference	x	x
vpida	Party preference: party means a lot to me	x	x
vpidb	Party preference: better politicians	x	x
vpidc	Party preference: often voted for party	x	x
vpidd	Party preference: better policy	x	x
vhheink	How many contribute to income of household	x	x
vhheinko	Household's net income	x	x
vschicht	Respondent's subjective strata	x	x
vwoschi	Which part of strata	x	x
vschielt	Strata compared to parents	x	x
vhhpers	Household size	x	x
vhhper16	Household size, Germans over 16	x	x
vtelefon	Telephone in household	x	x
vdatum	Date of interview	x	x
vdatum2	Date of interview	x	x
vortsgrp	Size of town - politically	x	x
vgwow1	Abs East-West w., pre or post	x	x
vgwow2	Abs East-West w., pre + post	x	x
vgvwnw	R w. pre or post, East or West	x	x
vgges	R w East pr + p or West pr + p	x	x
vgvwnwow	Repr w. all-Germ pre or post	x	x
vggesow	Repr w. all-Germ pre + post	x	x

3 International documentation standard

The variable documentation is produced according to the international metadata specifications in the social sciences, which are defined by the Data Documentation Initiative <DDI>. The Variable Reports are based on the XML representation of DDI Version 2.

4 Explanation of the variable documentation

The variable documentation part describes each variable in the analysis data file in terms of relevant metadata and frequency distributions. It is set up by means of the GESIS Dataset Documentation Manager (DSDM) and in accordance with the international metadata specification for the social and behavioral sciences, established by the Data Documentation Initiative (DDI-Codebook/XML).

The documentation combines the variable description (variable name, values, and labels) of the dataset with the exact wording and sequence of question components (question text, interviewer instruction, response categories, etc.) from the questionnaire. The example explained below reproduces the information appearing in the variable documentation part for a typical substantive variable.

The frequency distributions of the substantive variables are based on unweighted data. Due to rounding, users may find slightly different figures if using other statistical packages, especially if the number of cases is very low.

Figure 1: Description of the variable documentation

<p>GESIS STUDY NUMBER: Header with study number, title and doi linked to the study description in the GESIS Data Catalogue.</p>	<p>ZA5633 ZA5633 Politbarometer West 2011 Variablen in Studie (Originalsprache)</p> 																																																																																																																																																											
<p>VARIABLE NAME (serial number from questionnaire or assigned by the archive and VARIABLE LABEL, as defined in the dataset.</p>	<p>Variable, Label Fragetext (Originalsprache)</p>																																																																																																																																																											
<p>QUESTION NUMBER and full QUESTION TEXT from the questionnaire, including interviewer instructions. The numbers or letters that may appear together with the variable name reflect the item order (multiple item or multiple response questions), if applicable filter information.</p>	<p>V120 - FDP-Krise: Hauptursache</p> <p>F.26 Und woran liegt die Krise der FDP hauptsächlich? An ...</p> <p>in Woche 32: Und woran liegt die Schwäche der FDP hauptsächlich? An ...</p> <p>0 in den Wochen 02 bis 13, 18 bis 28 und 36 bis 50 nicht erhoben 1 ihren führenden Politikern oder 2 an den Inhalten ihrer Politik? 9 keine Angabe</p>																																																																																																																																																											
<p>CODE VALUES occurring in the data for this variable and complete ANSWER TEXT from the questionnaire. Code values may differ from the questionnaire specification due to standardization and harmonization.</p>	<p>ZA5633, V120: FDP-Krise: Hauptursache nach V5: Erhebungswoche (N=1879) V5 nach V120, absolute Werte (Spaltenprozent)</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="691 1153 1460 1400"> <thead> <tr> <th>V5</th> <th>2</th> <th>4</th> <th>6</th> <th>8</th> <th>13</th> <th>15</th> <th>18</th> <th>21</th> <th>23</th> <th>28</th> <th>32</th> <th>36</th> <th>38</th> <th>41</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>V120</td> <td colspan="14">Mis:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 nicht erhoben</td> <td>X</td> <td>1003</td> <td>1077</td> <td>1014</td> <td>1053</td> <td>1034</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1069</td> <td>991</td> <td>1074</td> <td>1027</td> <td>1038</td> <td>991</td> <td>1012</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 führende Politiker</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>378 (41,5%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>544 (56,2%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Inhalte der Politik</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>533 (58,5%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>424 (43,8%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>9 KA</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>64</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Summe</td> <td></td> <td>1003</td> <td>1077</td> <td>1014</td> <td>1053</td> <td>1034</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1011</td> <td>1069</td> <td>991</td> <td>1074</td> <td>1027</td> <td>1032</td> <td>1038</td> <td>991</td> <td>1012</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100,0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100,0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>911</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>968</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	V5	2	4	6	8	13	15	18	21	23	28	32	36	38	41	V120	Mis:														0 nicht erhoben	X	1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1069	991	1074	1027	1038	991	1012	1 führende Politiker										378 (41,5%)				544 (56,2%)			2 Inhalte der Politik										533 (58,5%)				424 (43,8%)			9 KA	X									100				64			N Summe		1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1011	1069	991	1074	1027	1032	1038	991	1012	Gült. Summe										100,0%					100,0%				N Gült. Summe										911					968			
V5	2	4	6	8	13	15	18	21	23	28	32	36	38	41																																																																																																																																														
V120	Mis:																																																																																																																																																											
0 nicht erhoben	X	1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1069	991	1074	1027	1038	991	1012																																																																																																																																												
1 führende Politiker										378 (41,5%)				544 (56,2%)																																																																																																																																														
2 Inhalte der Politik										533 (58,5%)				424 (43,8%)																																																																																																																																														
9 KA	X									100				64																																																																																																																																														
N Summe		1003	1077	1014	1053	1034				1011	1069	991	1074	1027	1032	1038	991	1012																																																																																																																																										
Gült. Summe										100,0%					100,0%																																																																																																																																													
N Gült. Summe										911					968																																																																																																																																													
<p>FREQUENCY COUNTS: The frequencies of the occurrence of values (count) refer to the number of cases in the dataset (including missing data), which is not weighted.</p>	<table border="1" data-bbox="691 1444 1300 1691"> <thead> <tr> <th>V5 (Fortis.)</th> <th>43</th> <th>45</th> <th>47</th> <th>50</th> <th>N Summe</th> <th>Gült. Summe</th> <th>N Gült. Summe</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>V120</td> <td colspan="7"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 nicht erhoben</td> <td>989</td> <td>1030</td> <td>1028</td> <td>994</td> <td>16424</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 führende Politiker</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>922</td> <td>49,1%</td> <td>922</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Inhalte der Politik</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>957</td> <td>50,9%</td> <td>957</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9 KA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>164</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Summe</td> <td>989</td> <td>1030</td> <td>1028</td> <td>994</td> <td>18467</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100,0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N Gült. Summe</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1879</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	V5 (Fortis.)	43	45	47	50	N Summe	Gült. Summe	N Gült. Summe	V120								0 nicht erhoben	989	1030	1028	994	16424			1 führende Politiker					922	49,1%	922	2 Inhalte der Politik					957	50,9%	957	9 KA					164			N Summe	989	1030	1028	994	18467			Gült. Summe						100,0%		N Gült. Summe							1879																																																																																			
V5 (Fortis.)	43	45	47	50	N Summe	Gült. Summe	N Gült. Summe																																																																																																																																																					
V120																																																																																																																																																												
0 nicht erhoben	989	1030	1028	994	16424																																																																																																																																																							
1 führende Politiker					922	49,1%	922																																																																																																																																																					
2 Inhalte der Politik					957	50,9%	957																																																																																																																																																					
9 KA					164																																																																																																																																																							
N Summe	989	1030	1028	994	18467																																																																																																																																																							
Gült. Summe						100,0%																																																																																																																																																						
N Gült. Summe							1879																																																																																																																																																					

5 Variable Documentation

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

za_nr - ZA Study Number

ZA-Study Number 3861

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 2002

3861 GESIS Study ZA3861

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

version - GESIS Archive Version

Version and release date of the archive data set

This variable identifies the GESIS archive version number of this data set and the corresponding release date, recorded as a string.

The version number is composed of a sequence of three numbers. The major number is incremented when there are changes in the composition of the data set (e.g. additional variables or cases), the minor or second number is incremented when significant errors have been fixed (e.g. coding errors, misleading value labels), and the third or revision number is incremented when minor bugs are fixed (e.g. spelling errors in variable or value labels).

Version 3.0.0 (2015-07-31)

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

doi - Digital Object Identifier

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

This variable holds the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for the dataset at hand as registered via the data|ra registration agency for economic and social science data. Each dataset version (see variable 'version') has a unique persistent DOI.

For direct access to the complete archive dissemination package for this study, including new dataset versions, the DOI needs to be appended to the resolver service URL: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11967>

In terms of good scientific practice, the DOI is an important element for the correct citation of a dataset.

doi:10.4232/1.11967

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vvpnid - Case number

Respondent number

This variable uniquely identifies each respondent.

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vsplitwo - West-East identifier

Geographic coverage

<INT.: STATED BY INTERVIEWER:>

<If code 1, continue with question Q1a.>

<If code 2, continue with question Q1b.>

- 1 The interview takes place in the old federal states
- 2 The interview takes place in the new federal states

ZA3861, vsplitwo: West-East identifier (N=3263)

vsplitwo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	old federal states		2191	67,1	67,1	67,1
2	new federal states		1072	32,9	32,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vvornach - Pre-/post-election

Date of interview

- 1 before the federal election
- 2 after the federal election

ZA3861, vvornach: Pre-/post-election (N=3263)

vvornach

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	pre-election		1665	51,0	51,0	51,0
2	post-election		1598	49,0	49,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vland - Federal state - Bundesland

Federal state

- 1 Sleswig-Holstein
- 2 Hamburg
- 3 Lower Saxony
- 4 Bremen
- 5 Northrhine-Westphalia
- 6 Hesse
- 7 Rhineland-Palatinate
- 8 Baden-Wuerttemberg
- 9 Bavaria
- 10 Saarland
- 11 Berlin
- 12 Brandenburg
- 13 Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- 14 Saxony
- 15 Saxony-Anhalt
- 16 Thuringia

ZA3861, vland: Federal state - Bundesland (N=3263)

vland

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	Sleswig-Holstein		121	3,7	3,7	3,7
2	Hamburg		62	1,9	1,9	5,6
3	Lower Saxony		269	8,2	8,2	13,9
4	Bremen		37	1,1	1,1	15,0
5	North Rhine-Westphalia		522	16,0	16,0	31,0
6	Hesse		159	4,9	4,9	35,9
7	Rhineland-Palatinate		128	3,9	3,9	39,8
8	Baden-Wuerttemberg		338	10,4	10,4	50,1
9	Bavaria		453	13,9	13,9	64,0
10	Saarland		32	1,0	1,0	65,0
11	Berlin		162	5,0	5,0	70,0
12	Brandenburg		147	4,5	4,5	74,5
13	Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania		120	3,7	3,7	78,1
14	Saxony		304	9,3	9,3	87,5
15	Saxony-Anhalt		202	6,2	6,2	93,7
16	Thuringia		207	6,3	6,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v10 - Economic situation today

Q1a

SPLIT WEST

<INT.: Ask questions Q1a - Q3a only, if the interview takes place in the old federal states. If not, continue with question Q1b.>

How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the old federal states: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

Q1b

SPLIT EAST

<INT.: Ask questions Q1a - Q3a only, if the interview takes place in the old federal states. If not, continue with question Q4.>

How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the new federal states: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

- 1 very good
- 2 good
- 3 part good/part bad
- 4 bad
- 5 very bad
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v10: Economic situation today (N=3263)

v10

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very good		17	0,5	0,5	0,5
2	good		448	13,7	13,7	14,3
3	part good/part bad		1292	39,6	39,6	53,8
4	bad		1191	36,5	36,5	90,3
5	very bad		261	8,0	8,0	98,3
8	DK		22	0,7	0,7	99,0
9	NA		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v20 - Economic situation improved

Q2a

SPLIT WEST

Has the general economic situation in the old federal states in the last one to two years got substantially better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, substantially worse or is it the same?

Q2b

SPLIT EAST

Has the general economic situation in the new federal states in the last one to two years got substantially better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, substantially worse or is it the same?

- 1 substantially better
- 2 somewhat better
- 3 the same
- 4 somewhat worse
- 5 substantially worse
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v20: Economic situation improved (N=3263)

v20

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	substantially better		13	0,4	0,4	0,4
2	somewhat better		262	8,0	8,0	8,4
3	the same		1078	33,0	33,0	41,5
4	somewhat worse		1410	43,2	43,2	84,7
5	substantially worse		406	12,4	12,4	97,1
8	DK		58	1,8	1,8	98,9
9	NA		36	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v30 - Economic situation prospective

Q3a

SPLIT WEST

And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the old federal states will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

<INT.: Continue with question Q4!>

Q3b

SPLIT EAST

And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the in the new federal states will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

- 1 substantially better
- 2 somewhat better
- 3 the same
- 4 somewhat worse
- 5 substantially worse
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v30: Economic situation prospective (N=3263)

v30

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	substantially better		23	0,7	0,7	0,7
2	somewhat better		667	20,4	20,4	21,1
3	the same		1354	41,5	41,5	62,6
4	somewhat worse		767	23,5	23,5	86,1
5	substantially worse		179	5,5	5,5	91,6
8	DK		244	7,5	7,5	99,1
9	NA		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v31 - Which vote more important?

Q4

TO ALL

In the elections for the Bundestag each voter has two votes, a first vote and a second vote.

Which vote is more important and ultimately decides about the strength of the parties in the Bundestag: the first vote, the second vote or are the two votes equally important?

- 1 the first vote
- 2 the second vote
- 3 both equally important
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v31: Which vote more important? (N=3263)

v31

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	the first vote		668	20,5	20,5	20,5
2	the second vote		1559	47,8	47,8	68,3
3	both equally important		738	22,6	22,6	90,9
8	DK		277	8,5	8,5	99,4
9	NA		21	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v40 - Democracy in Germany

Q5

Taken all together, how satisfied are you with existing democracy in Germany? Are you...

- 1 very satisfied
- 2 fairly satisfied
- 3 part/part
- 4 fairly dissatisfied
- 5 very dissatisfied
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v40: Democracy in Germany (N=3263)

v40

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very satisfied,		252	7,7	7,7	7,7
2	fairly satisfied,		1079	33,1	33,1	40,8
3	part/part,		1209	37,1	37,1	77,8
4	fairly dissatisfied or		501	15,4	15,4	93,2
5	very dissatisfied?		171	5,2	5,2	98,4
8	DK		26	0,8	0,8	99,2
9	NA		25	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v50 - Interest in politics: strength

Q6

How strongly are you interested in politics? Would you say...

- 1 very strongly,
- 2 fairly strongly,
- 3 moderately,
- 4 less strongly or
- 5 not at all?
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v50: Interest in politics: strength (N=3263)

v50

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very strongly,		341	10,5	10,5	10,5
2	fairly strongly,		714	21,9	21,9	32,3
3	moderately,		1363	41,8	41,8	74,1
4	less strongly or		604	18,5	18,5	92,6
5	not at all?		212	6,5	6,5	99,1
8	DK		4	0,1	0,1	99,2
9	NA		25	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v60 - Will Respondent vote in next election?

VW Q10

<INT.: Only if respondent is eligible to vote 22nd September according to question Q9; otherwise continue with question Q11.>

In the upcoming elections for the Bundestag:

are you definitely, probably, maybe, probably not or definitely not going to vote?

<If code 1, 2, or 9, go to question Q11.>

0 INAP.: post-election; not eligible to vote (pre-election)

1 definitely going to vote

2 probably going to vote

3 maybe going to vote

4 probably not going to vote

5 definitely not going to vote

8 don't know

9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v60: Will Respondent vote in next election? (N=3263)

v60

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: POST, not eligible to vote		1627	49,9	49,9	49,9
1	definitely going to vote		1245	38,2	38,2	88,0
2	probably going to vote		209	6,4	6,4	94,4
3	maybe going to vote		50	1,5	1,5	96,0
4	probably not going to vote		20	0,6	0,6	96,6
5	definitely not going to vote		48	1,5	1,5	98,0
8	DK		41	1,3	1,3	99,3
9	NA		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v62 - Vote: polling place/absentee

NW Q7

<INT.: hand list Q7 to respondent>

The election for the Bundestag was held on 27th October. How did you cast your vote in these Bundestag elections: at the local polling place or by absentee ballot? Or weren't you eligible to vote or didn't you vote for any other reason?

<If code 3, go to question 12a.>

<If code 4, go to question 10.>

- 0 INAP.: pre-election
- 1 at the local polling place
- 2 by absentee ballot
- 3 wasn't eligible to vote
- 4 didn't vote for any other reasons
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v62: Vote: polling place/absentee (N=3263)

v62

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: pre-election		1665	51,0	51,0	51,0
1	voted at the local polling place		1235	37,8	37,8	88,9
2	voted by absentee ballot		154	4,7	4,7	93,6
3	wasn't eligible to vote		35	1,1	1,1	94,7
4	didn't vote because of another reason		156	4,8	4,8	99,4
9	NA		18	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v63 - Same vote decision as last election

NW Q11

TO ALL

If elections for the Bundestag were held again next Sunday, would you make the same decision or would you make a different decision?

<If Code 1, go to question Q13.>

0 INAP.: pre-election; not eligible to vote (post-election)

1 the same decision

2 different decision

8 don't know

9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v63: Same vote decision as last election (N=3263)

v63

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not eligible to vote, PRE		1700	52,1	52,1	52,1
1	same decision		1330	40,8	40,8	92,9
2	different decision		109	3,3	3,3	96,2
8	DK		101	3,1	3,1	99,3
9	NA		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v64 - Vote next Sunday: party

NW Q12

<INT.: Hand list 12a, b to respondent.>

If elections for the Bundestag were held next Sunday, how would you vote? You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

<INT.: enter answer text into scheme. Allow only one response. Please also ask those respondents who aren't eligible to vote yet.>

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence:>

I would like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out. Encircle identification code for first and second vote.

NW Q12_B And how about your second vote? Please tell me the identification code for your second vote.

0 INAP.: pre-election; same voting decision as in V70 (post-election)

1 CDU/CSU

2 SPD

3 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

4 FDP

5 PDS

6 Republikaner

7 ÖDP

8 Die Grauen

9 Schill-Partei

10 NPD

11 other party

97 wouldn't vote

98 don't know

99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v64: Vote next Sunday: party (N=3263)

v64

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: PRE, didn't change decision		2995	91,8	91,8	91,8
1	CDU/CSU		49	1,5	1,5	93,3
2	SPD		45	1,4	1,4	94,7
3	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		7	0,2	0,2	94,9
4	FDP		12	0,4	0,4	95,2
5	PDS		13	0,4	0,4	95,6
8	Die Grauen		3	0,1	0,1	95,7
10	NPD		1	0,0	0,0	95,8
11	other party		3	0,1	0,1	95,9
97	wouldn't vote		28	0,9	0,9	96,7
98	DK		70	2,1	2,1	98,9
99	NA		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v65 - Vote next Sunday: candidate

NW Q12

<INT.: Hand list 12a, b to respondent.>

If elections for the Bundestag were held next Sunday, how would you vote? You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

<INT.: enter answer text into scheme. Allow only one response. Please also ask those respondents who aren't eligible to vote yet.>

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence:>

I would like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out. Encircle identification code for first and second vote.

NW Q12_A If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first vote.

0 INAP.: pre-election; same voting decision as in V70 (post-election)

- 1 CDU/CSU candidate
- 2 SPD candidate
- 3 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen candidate
- 4 FDP candidate
- 5 PDS candidate
- 6 Republikaner candidate
- 7 ÖDP candidate
- 8 Die Grauen candidate
- 9 Schill Partei candidate
- 10 NPD candidate
- 11 candidate of another party
- 97 wouldn't vote
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v65: Vote next Sunday: candidate (N=3263)

v65

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: PRE, didn't change decision		2995	91,8	91,8	91,8
1	CDU/CSU candidate		45	1,4	1,4	93,2
2	SPD candidate		56	1,7	1,7	94,9
3	Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate		8	0,2	0,2	95,1
4	FDP candidate		8	0,2	0,2	95,4
5	PDS candidate		9	0,3	0,3	95,6
10	NPD candidate		1	0,0	0,0	95,7
11	candidate of another party		3	0,1	0,1	95,8
97	wouldn't vote		28	0,9	0,9	96,6
98	DK		72	2,2	2,2	98,8
99	NA		38	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v69 - Candidate vote intention

VW Q7

<INT.: hand list Q7 to respondent.>

The next elections for the Bundestag will be held on 22nd September. You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?

<INT.: enter answer text into scheme. Allow only one response. Please also ask those respondents who aren't eligible to vote yet.>

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: >

I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.

NW Q8

<INT.: hand list Q8a, b to respondent.>

You had two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you received for elections for the Bundestag.

How did you mark your ballot?

<INT.: enter answer text into scheme. Allow only one response. >

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: >

I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.

VW Q7_A / NW Q8_A Please just tell me the identification code for your first vote.

- 1 CDU/CSU candidate
- 2 SPD candidate
- 3 Bündnis90/Die Grünen candidate
- 4 FDP candidate
- 5 PDS candidate
- 6 Republikaner candidate
- 7 ÖDP candidate
- 8 Die Grauen candidate
- 9 Schill-Partei candidate
- 10 NPD candidate
- 11 candidate of another party
- 96 didn't vote, but was eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 97 wasn't eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v69: Candidate vote intention (N=3263)

v69

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU candidate		996	30,5	30,5	30,5
2	SPD candidate		1047	32,1	32,1	62,6
3	Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate		125	3,8	3,8	66,4
4	FDP candidate		122	3,7	3,7	70,2
5	PDS candidate		142	4,4	4,4	74,5
6	Republikaner candidate		10	0,3	0,3	74,8
7	OeDP candidate		4	0,1	0,1	75,0
8	Die Grauen candidate		7	0,2	0,2	75,2
9	Schill-Partei candidate		3	0,1	0,1	75,3
10	NPD candidate		7	0,2	0,2	75,5
11	candidate of another party		16	0,5	0,5	76,0
96	didn't vote, but was eligible to vote (only POST)		156	4,8	4,8	80,8
97	wasn't eligible to vote (only POST)		35	1,1	1,1	81,8
98	DK		223	6,8	6,8	88,7
99	NA		370	11,3	11,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v70 - Party vote intention

VW Q7

<INT.: hand list Q7 to respondent.>

The next elections for the Bundestag will be held on 22nd September. You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?

<INT.: enter answer text into scheme. Allow only one response. Please also ask those respondents who aren't eligible to vote yet.>

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: >

I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.

NW Q8

<INT.: hand list Q8a, b to respondent.>

You had two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you received for elections for the Bundestag.

How did you mark your ballot?

<INT.: enter answer text into scheme. Allow only one response. >

<INT.: If required, use the following sentence: >

I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.

VW Q7_B / NW Q8_B Please just tell me the identification code for your first vote.

- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 Bündnis90/Die Grünen
- 4 FDP
- 5 PDS
- 6 Republikaner
- 7 ÖDP
- 8 Die Grauen
- 9 Schill-Partei
- 10 NPD
- 11 another party
- 96 didn't vote, but was eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 97 wasn't eligible to vote (only post-election)
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v70: Party vote intention (N=3263)

v70

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU		940	28,8	28,8	28,8
2	SPD		962	29,5	29,5	58,3
3	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		210	6,4	6,4	64,7
4	FDP		169	5,2	5,2	69,9
5	PDS		157	4,8	4,8	74,7
6	Republikaner		15	0,5	0,5	75,2
7	OeDP		2	0,1	0,1	75,2
8	Graue		9	0,3	0,3	75,5
9	Schill-Partei		13	0,4	0,4	75,9
10	NPD		6	0,2	0,2	76,1
11	other party		7	0,2	0,2	76,3
96	didn't vote, but was eligible to vote (only POST)		156	4,8	4,8	81,1
97	wasn't eligible to vote (only POST)		35	1,1	1,1	82,2
98	DK		211	6,5	6,5	88,6
99	NA		371	11,4	11,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v71 - Answer vote intention yes/no

VW Q8

<INT.: STATED BY INTERVIEWER:>

Was (Were) index number(s) mentioned in question Q7?

<If code 2, go to question Q9.>

NW Q8c

<INT.: STATED BY INTERVIEWER:>

Was (Were) index number(s) mentioned in question Q8a and/or b?

<If code 2, go to question Q11.>

0 INAP: not eligible to vote/didn't vote (post-election)

1 yes

2 no

ZA3861, v71: Answer vote intention yes/no (N=3263)

v71

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not eligible to vote (POST), didn't vote (POST)		191	5,9	5,9	5,9
1	yes		2513	77,0	77,0	82,9
2	no		559	17,1	17,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v72 - Voting decision: when?

NW Q8e

When did you decide how to vote in these Bundestag elections? A long time ago, a few months ago, a few weeks or days before the elections or on the election day itself?

0 INAP: pre-election; code 2 in v71 (post-election)

1 a long time ago

2 a few months ago

3 in the last weeks before the elections

4 in the last days before the elections

5 on election day itself

8 don't know

9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v72: Voting decision: when? (N=3263)

v72

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: PRE, didn't vote, not eligible to vote, no vote intentions		2037	62,4	62,4	62,4
1	a long time ago		785	24,1	24,1	86,5
2	a few months ago		201	6,2	6,2	92,6
3	a few weeks before the elections		145	4,4	4,4	97,1
4	a few days before the elections		54	1,7	1,7	98,7
5	on election day		17	0,5	0,5	99,3
8	DK		2	0,1	0,1	99,3
9	NA		22	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v73a - Most important: vote decision

NW Q9a

<INT.: hand list Q9 to the respondent>

What was most important for your voting in the Bundestag elections?

<INT.: mark answer code in the scheme below. Allow only one response.>

- 0 INAP.: PRE, didn't vote, not eligible to vote, no vote intentions (post-election)
- 1 the candidates for chancellor, Gerhard Schröder and Edmund Stoiber
- 2 the parties' statements on the problems which are especially important to you personally
- 3 the parties' election campaign
- 4 the desire for a change of government
- 5 the tie to a certain party
- 6 the TV debate of the candidates for chancellor
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v73a: Most important: vote decision (N=3263)

v73a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: PRE, didn't vote, not eligible, no intentions		2037	62,4	62,4	62,4
1	the candidates for chancellor		309	9,5	9,5	71,9
2	the parties' statements		456	14,0	14,0	85,9
3	the parties' election campaign		45	1,4	1,4	87,3
4	the desire for a change of government		218	6,7	6,7	93,9
5	the tie to a certain party		140	4,3	4,3	98,2
6	the TV debate of the candidates for chancellor		21	0,6	0,6	98,9
9	NA		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v73b - 2nd most important: vote decision

NW Q9b

And what was second most important for your voting in the Bundestag elections?

<INT.: encircle answer in the scheme to question 9a/b above.>

<INT.: after responding to question Q9b -> continue with question Q11.>

- 0 INAP.: PRE, didn't vote, not eligible to vote, no vote intentions (post-election)
- 1 the candidates for chancellor Gerhard Schröder and Helmut Kohl
- 2 the parties' statements on the problems which are especially important to you personally
- 3 the parties' election campaign
- 4 the desire for a change of government
- 5 the tie to a certain party
- 6 the TV debate of the candidates for chancellor
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v73b: 2nd most important: vote decision (N=3263)

v73b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: PRE, didn't vote, not eligible, no intentions		2037	62,4	62,4	62,4
1	the candidates for chancellor		291	8,9	8,9	71,3
2	the parties' statements		351	10,8	10,8	82,1
3	the parties' election campaign		102	3,1	3,1	85,2
4	the desire for a change of government		166	5,1	5,1	90,3
5	the tie to a certain party		215	6,6	6,6	96,9
6	the TV debate of the candidates for chancellor		46	1,4	1,4	98,3
9	NA		55	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v80 - Sure of voting decision

VW Q8a

How sure are you about the voting decision you just told me: very sure, fairly sure, rather unsure, not quite sure or very unsure?

0 INAP.: no vote-intentions (pre-election); post-election

1 very sure

2 fairly sure

3 rather unsure

4 very unsure

8 don't know

9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v80: Sure of voting decision (N=3263)

v80

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: POST, no vote intentions (PRE)		1976	60,6	60,6	60,6
1	very sure		615	18,8	18,8	79,4
2	fairly sure		463	14,2	14,2	93,6
3	rather unsure		148	4,5	4,5	98,1
4	very unsure		9	0,3	0,3	98,4
8	DK		14	0,4	0,4	98,8
9	NA		38	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81a - 1st reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_A; NW Q8d_A 1st reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

1 guarantee economic upswing

2 more economic competence

3 support for medium-sized businesses

4 more support for businessmen

5 economic policy in general

6 better unemployment policy

7 unemployment in general

8 labour market in general

9 financial policy/EURO

10 fiscal policy

11 build-up of the East

12 reduction of debts

40 social justice

41 social policy in general

42 youth policy

43 family policy/feminist policy

44 policy concerning old people and pensions

46 health policy positive

80 guarantees security and order

81 internal security/order in general

82 policy on foreigners

83 tightening of laws/more drastic measures

84 domestic policy in general

85 simplify legal system/reform

86 immigration in general

121 foreign policy in general

140 don't deploy the Bundeswehr (in conflict areas/in Iraq)

141 pacifists/anti-war party

143 defence policy in general

144 secure peace

145 neutral Iraq policy

160 environmental policy

161 education policy/vocational sector

162 agricultural policy

164 transport policy

165 more personal responsibility for the citizens
168 nuclear power phase-out
199 other factual policy
200 party politics (in general)
201 good concept/election campaign programme
202 competent/more competent politicians
203 represents workers, trade unions
204 trustworthy/honest
205 willing to reform
206 modern/future-oriented
207 solid/reliable
208 more human/more democratic/more tolerant
209 more innovative/more active
210 neglected promises
211 party for the East/party, politicians from the East (positive)
212 represents the "little man", the common citizen
213 stick to promises in general
215 coalition partner/coalition reasons
216 achievements of former politicians
217 people's party
218 liberalism
230 conservative
231 christian
232 left
233 small party/support for small parties in general
234 major party/support for major parties in general
299 other responses to the party character
300 satisfied with present government (in general)
301 judgement of government members positive
303 deserves another chance
304 CDU wasn't better
322 progress, improvement: social policy
325 progress, improvement: environmental protection
326 progress, improvement: defense policy/Bundeswehr
331 progress, improvement: family policy
340 no responsibility for the present situation in general
341 took over the problems from CDU/CSU
400 dissatisfied with the present government (in general)
401 judgement of government members negative
402 no new jobs/unemployment rate too high
403 haven't kept promises
404 previous government was better
420 deterioration of economic policy
422 deterioration of social policy
500 represents my interests
501 is the better/the best party
502 trust this party/convicted of the party
503 like the party/makes an impression on me
504 always voted for this party/tradition
505 I'm a member/person close to me is a member

506 know this party best
507 no better alternative/"lesser evil"
508 as a counterbalance/balancing to the other parties
509 (almost) always vote for the opposition
510 change makes sense/hope for a turnaround
511 hope for an improvement in general
512 don't know which party to vote for/by chance/just like that
513 out of protest
514 to support this party
515 feel connected to this party in general
599 other personal reasons
600 because of the candidate for chancellorship in general
601 because of the candidate in the constituency
602 because of G. Westerwelle neutral
603 because of J. Fischer neutral
604 because of G. Westerwelle positive
606 because of J. Fischer positive
620 because of Schroeder; is more likeable/trustworthy
621 Schroeder is unpleasant/a liar
622 Schroeder isn't competent enough/has failed/has to go
640 because of Stoiber; is more likeable/trustworthy
641 Stoiber is unpleasant/a liar
642 Stoiber isn't competent enough
644 criticism campaign programme Stoiber positive
645 criticism campaign programme Stoiber negative
699 other judgement of the candidates
700 bad situation: economic policy
701 bad situation: labour market policy
702 bad situation: social policy
707 bad situation: health care policy
721 unfair circumstances
800 party criticism in general
801 corruption/corrupt behaviour of parties
802 dishonesty/incredibility of the other parties
803 criticism major parties in general
809 criticism of other parties other
810 criticism CDU/CSU in general negative
812 criticism of individual party members CDU/CSU (not including Stoiber) negative
813 agreement with CDU/CSU in general positive
814 agreement of individual party members CDU/CSU (not including Stoiber)
820 criticism SPD in general negative
830 criticism Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen in general negative
900 other
901 'Wahl-o-mat'/other computer or Internet programmes
998 don't know
999 no response

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81b - 2nd reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_B; NW Q8d_B 2nd reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

1 guarantee economic upswing

2 more economic competence

3 support medium-sized businesses

4 more support for businessmen

5 economic policy in general

6 better unemployment policy

7 unemployment in general

8 labour market in general

9 financial policy/EURO

10 fiscal policy

11 build-up of the East

12 reduction of debts

40 social justice

41 social policy in general

43 family policy/feminist policy

44 policy concerning old people and pensions

80 guarantees security and order

81 internal security/order in general

82 policy on foreigners

83 tightening of laws/take more drastic measures

84 domestic policy in general

86 immigration in general

121 foreign policy in general

140 don't deploy the Bundeswehr (in conflict areas/in Iraq)

141 pacifists/anti-war party

143 defence policy in general

144 secure peace

145 neutral Iraq policy

160 environmental policy

161 education policy/vocational sector

162 agricultural policy

163 consumer protection

165 more personal responsibility for the citizens

167 flood catastrophe

199 other factual policy

200 party politics (in general)
201 good concept/election campaign programme
202 competent/more competent politicians
203 represents workers, trade unions
204 trustworthy/honest
205 willing to reform
206 modern/future-oriented
207 solid/reliable
208 more human/more democratic/more tolerant
209 more innovative/more active
210 neglected promises
211 party for the East/party, politicians from the East (positive)
212 represents the "little man", the common citizen
213 stick to promises in general
215 coalition partner/coalition reasons
216 achievements of former politicians
217 people's party
218 liberalism
230 conservative
231 christian
232 left
233 small party/support for small parties in general
299 other responses to the party character
300 satisfied with present government (in general)
301 judgement of government members positive
303 deserves another chance
304 CDU wasn't better
322 progress, improvement: social policy
323 progress, improvement: policy towards foreigners
328 progress, improvement: policy towards old people/pensions policy
330 progress, improvement: foreign policy
331 progress, improvement: family policy
340 no responsibility for the present situation in general
341 took over the problems from CDU/CSU
400 dissatisfied with the present government (in general)
401 judgement of government members negative
402 no new jobs/unemployment rate too high
403 haven't kept promises
404 previous government was better
420 deterioration of economic policy
421 deterioration of labour market policy
424 deterioration of build-up of the East
425 deterioration of environmental protection
429 deterioration of law and order
431 deterioration of family policy
433 deterioration of reduction of debts
435 deterioration of fiscal policy
500 represents my interests
501 is the better/the best party
502 trust this party/convinced of the party

503 like the party/makes an impression on me
504 always voted for this party/tradition
505 I'm a member/person close to me is a member
506 know this party best
507 no better alternative/"lesser evil"
508 as a counterbalance/balancing to the other parties
509 (almost) always vote for the opposition
510 change makes sense/hope for a turnaround
511 hope for an improvement in general
512 don't know which party to vote for/by chance/just like that
513 out of protest
514 to support this party
515 feel connected to this party in general
599 other personal reasons
600 because of the candidate for chancellorship in general
601 because of the candidate in the constituency
602 because of G. Westerwelle neutral
603 because of J. Fischer neutral
604 because of G. Westerwelle positive
606 because of J. Fischer positive
620 because of Schroeder; is more likeable/trustworthy
621 Schroeder is unpleasant/a liar
622 Schroeder isn't competent enough/has failed/has to go
640 because of Stoiber; is more likeable/trustworthy
641 Stoiber is unpleasant/a liar
643 Stoiber has a bad competence team
644 criticism campaign programme Stoiber positive
645 criticism campaign programme Stoiber negative
700 bad situation: economic policy
701 bad situation: labour market policy
702 bad situation: social policy
705 bad situation: environment policy
720 unemployment in Germany
800 party criticism in general
801 corruption/corrupt behaviour of parties
802 dishonesty/incredibility of the other parties
803 criticism major parties in general
810 criticism CDU/CSU in general negative
811 criticism scandals CDU/CSU negative
812 criticism of individual party members CDU/CSU (not including Stoiber) negative
813 agreement with CDU/CSU in general positive
814 agreement of individual party members CDU/CSU (not including Stoiber)
820 criticism SPD in general negative
830 criticism Bündnis 90/Die Gruenen in general negative
840 criticism FDP in general negative
900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81c - 3rd reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_C; NW Q8d_C 3rd reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

1 guarantee economic upswing

2 more economic competence

3 support medium-sized businesses

4 more support for businessmen

5 economic policy in general

6 better unemployment policy

7 unemployment in general

8 labour market in general

9 financial policy/EURO

10 fiscal policy

11 build-up of the East

40 social justice

41 social policy in general

42 youth policy

43 family policy/feminist policy

44 policy concerning old people and pensions

45 health policy in general

46 health policy positive

82 policy on foreigners

84 domestic policy in general

86 immigration in general

121 foreign policy in general

141 pacifists/anti-war party

143 defence policy in general

144 secure peace

160 environmental policy

161 education policy/vocational sector

162 agricultural policy

163 consumer protection

167 flood catastrophe

168 nuclear power phase-out

199 other factual policy

200 party politics (in general)

201 good concept/election campaign programme

202 competent/more competent politicians

203 represents workers, trade unions
204 trustworthy/honest
205 willing to reform
206 modern/future-oriented
207 solid/reliable
208 more human/more democratic/more tolerant
209 more innovative/more active
212 represents the "little man", the common citizen
213 stick to promises in general
214 not corrupt
215 coalition partner/coalition reasons
216 achievements of former politicians
217 people's party
218 liberalism
230 conservative
231 christian
299 other responses to the party character
300 satisfied with present government (in general)
301 judgement of government members positive
303 deserves another chance
304 CDU wasn't better
320 progress, improvement: economic policy
325 progress, improvement: environmental protection
331 progress, improvement: family policy
335 progress, improvement: fiscal policy
340 no responsibility for the present situation in general
341 took over the problems from CDU/CSU
400 dissatisfied with the present government (in general)
401 judgement of government members negative
402 no new jobs/unemployment rate too high
404 previous government was better
420 deterioration of economic policy
421 deterioration of labour market policy
422 deterioration of social policy
424 deterioration of build-up of the East
427 deterioration of health care policy
428 deterioration of policy towards old people/pensions policy
434 deterioration of agriculture
500 represents my interests
501 is the better/the best party
502 trust this party/convinced of the party
503 like the party/makes an impression on me
504 always voted for this party/tradition
505 I'm a member/person close to me is a member
507 no better alternative/"lesser evil"
508 as a counterbalance/balancing to the other parties
510 change makes sense/hope for a turnaround
511 hope for an improvement in general
513 out of protest
514 to support this party

599 other personal reasons
600 because of the candidate for chancellorship in general
601 because of the candidate in the constituency
602 because of G. Westerwelle neutral
603 because of J. Fischer neutral
606 because of J. Fischer positive
620 because of Schroeder; is more likeable/trustworthy
621 Schroeder is unpleasant/a liar
622 Schroeder isn't competent enough/has failed/has to go
640 because of Stoiber; is more likeable/trustworthy
641 Stoiber is unpleasant/a liar
645 criticism campaign programme Stoiber negative
699 other judgement of the candidates
700 bad situation: economic policy
701 bad situation: labour market policy
702 bad situation: social policy
802 dishonesty/incredibility of the other parties
803 criticism major parties in general
810 criticism CDU/CSU in general negative
811 criticism scandals CDU/CSU negative
812 criticism of individual party members CDU/CSU (not including Stoiber) negative
813 agreement with CDU/CSU in general positive
820 criticism SPD in general negative
830 criticism Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen in general negative
840 criticism FDP in general negative
841 criticism of individual party members FDP (not including Westerwelle) negative
900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81d - 4th reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_D; NW Q8d_D 4th reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

2 more economic competence

3 support medium-sized businesses

5 economic policy in general

6 better unemployment policy

7 unemployment in general

8 labour market in general

9 financial policy/EURO

10 fiscal policy

40 social justice

41 social policy in general

42 youth policy

43 family policy/feminist policy

44 policy concerning old people and pensions

45 health policy in general

81 internal security/order in general

86 immigration in general

121 foreign policy in general

140 don't deploy the Bundeswehr (in conflict areas/in Iraq)

141 pacifists/anti-war party

144 secure peace

160 environmental policy

161 education policy/vocational sector

199 other factual policy

200 party politics (in general)

201 good concept/election campaign programme

202 competent/more competent politicians

204 trustworthy/honest

205 willing to reform

206 modern/future-oriented

207 solid/reliable

208 more human/more democratic/more tolerant

209 more innovative/more active

211 party for the East/party, politicians from the East (positive)

212 represents the "little man", the common citizen

213 stick to promises in general

214 not corrupt
215 coalition partner/coalition reasons
216 achievements of former politicians
218 liberalism
300 satisfied with present government (in general)
303 deserves another chance
304 CDU wasn't better
322 progress, improvement: social policy
400 dissatisfied with the present government (in general)
421 deterioration of labour market policy
425 deterioration of environmental protection
431 deterioration of family policy
435 deterioration of fiscal policy
500 represents my interests
501 is the better/the best party
502 trust this party/convinced of the party
503 like the party/makes an impression on me
511 hope for an improvement in general
599 other personal reasons
601 because of the candidate in the constituency
603 because of J. Fischer neutral
606 because of J. Fischer positive
620 because of Schröder; is more likeable/trustworthy
621 Schröder is unpleasant/a liar
640 because of Stoiber; is more likeable/trustworthy
641 Stoiber is unpleasant/a liar
645 criticism campaign programme Stoiber negative
699 other judgement of the candidates
701 bad situation: labour market policy
703 bad situation: policy towards foreigners
708 bad situation: policy towards old people/pensions policy
720 unemployment in Germany
800 party criticism in general
809 criticism of other parties other
810 criticism CDU/CSU in general negative
812 criticism of individual party members CDU/CSU (not including Stoiber) negative
814 agreement of individual party members CDU/CSU (not including Stoiber)
820 criticism SPD in general negative
900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81e - 5th reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_E; NW Q8d_E 5th reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

10 fiscal policy

43 family policy/feminist policy

44 policy concerning old people and pensions

82 policy on foreigners

84 domestic policy in general

85 simplify legal system/reform

121 foreign policy in general

140 don't deploy the Bundeswehr (in conflict areas/in Iraq)

145 neutral Iraq policy

161 education policy/vocational sector

166 housing policy (house building, rents, redevelopment etc.)

201 good concept/election campaign programme

202 competent/more competent politicians

204 trustworthy/honest

207 solid/reliable

209 more innovative/more active

212 represents the "little man", the common citizen

213 stick to promises in general

230 conservative

301 judgement of government members positive

401 judgement of government members negative

421 deterioration of labour market policy

501 is the better/the best party

502 trust this party/convicted of the party

507 no better alternative/"lesser evil"

514 to support this party

601 because of the candidate in the constituency

640 because of Stoiber; is more likeable/trustworthy

641 Stoiber is unpleasant/a liar

642 Stoiber isn't competent enough

709 bad situation: law and order

801 corruption/corrupt behaviour of parties

810 criticism CDU/CSU in general negative

830 criticism Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen in general negative

835 criticism PDS in general negative

900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81f - 6th reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_F; NW Q8d_F 6th reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

3 support medium-sized businesses

4 more support for businessmen

8 labour market in general

82 policy on foreigners

145 neutral Iraq policy

204 trustworthy/honest

207 solid/reliable

333 progress, improvement: reduction of debts

400 dissatisfied with the present government (in general)

501 is the better/the best party

504 always voted for this party/tradition

509 (almost) always vote for the opposition

703 bad situation: policy towards foreigners

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81g - 7th reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_G; NW Q8d_G 7th reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

11 build-up of the East

141 pacifists/anti-war party

304 CDU wasn't better

420 deterioration of economic policy

503 like the party/makes an impression on me

507 no better alternative/"lesser evil"

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81h - 8th reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_H; NW Q8d_H 8th reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

43 family policy/feminist policy

300 satisfied with present government (in general)

700 bad situation: economic policy

799 judgement of the situation in Germany negative in general

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81j - 9th reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_J; NW Q8d_J 9th reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

83 tightening of laws/take more drastic measures

713 bad situation: traffic policy

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v81k - 10th reason for vote

VW Q8b

And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q8d

And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_K; NW Q8d_K 10th reason for voting decision

0 INAP.: no further reason, not eligible to vote, didn't vote (POST), no vote intentions

44 policy concerning old people and pensions

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v82 - Eligible to vote 2002

VW Q9

TO ALL

Are you eligible to vote in the upcoming Bundestag elections on 22th September?

<INT.: In case of queries --> at least 18 years old and German nationality.>

<If code 2, go to question Q11.>

0 INAP: post-election

1 yes

2 no

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v82: Eligible to vote 2002 (N=3263)

v82

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: post-election		1598	49,0	49,0	49,0
1	yes		1636	50,1	50,1	99,1
2	no		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83a - 1st reason non-voter

VW Q10a

<INT.: Only if in question Q10 code 3-8>

And why would you possibly not vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q10

<INT.: Only if in question Q7 code 4, otherwise continue with question Q11.>

And why didn't you vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_A; NW Q10_A 1st reason for non-voting decision

- 0 INAP.: no non-voter
- 1 behaviour of politicians in general
- 2 promises are neglected
- 3 selfishness of parties/politicians; they are greedy for power; only think about themselves
- 4 parties/politicians rip the state off
- 5 parties/politicians are double-dealers, criminals, liars, corrupt
- 6 parties/politicians do what they want
- 7 parties/politicians only want votes
- 8 parties/politicians are too similar/no differences
- 100 disappointed (by politics/politicians) in general
- 101 disappointed by the present situation
- 102 no trust (in politics/politicians)
- 103 my vote doesn't count/not enough codetermination
- 104 redundant/useless/it doesn't matter what you vote for
- 105 out of protest
- 107 there are no changes/nothing happens
- 199 other disenchantment with politics/political reasons
- 200 personal reasons in general
- 201 don't know which politician to vote for
- 202 no interest in politics/I don't care
- 203 no motivation/can't be bothered to go to the polls
- 204 no relation to candidates for chancellor
- 205 don't know; just like this
- 206 my interests are not represented
- 299 other personal reasons
- 300 no election documents
- 301 not present/far away from place of election/abroad
- 302 no time
- 350 ill, bed-ridden, disabled
- 399 other health reasons
- 900 other
- 901 don't want to say it/that's nobody's business
- 902 still undecided/indecisive/don't know yet
- 999 no answer

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83b - 2nd reason non-voter

VW Q10a

<INT.: Only if in question Q10 code 3-8>

And why would you possibly not vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q10

<INT.: Only if in question Q7 code 4, otherwise continue with question Q11.>

And why didn't you vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_B; NW Q10_B 2nd reason for non-voting decision

- 0 INAP.: no non-voter; no further reason
- 1 behaviour of politicians in general
- 2 promises are neglected
- 3 selfishness of parties/politicians; they are greedy for power; only think about themselves
- 4 parties/politicians rip the state off
- 5 parties/politicians are double-dealers, criminals, liars, corrupt
- 6 parties/politicians do what they want
- 8 parties/politicians are too similar/no differences
- 100 disappointed (by politics/politicians) in general
- 101 disappointed by the present situation
- 102 no trust (in politics/politicians)
- 103 my vote doesn't count/not enough codetermination
- 104 redundant/useless/it doesn't matter what you vote for
- 105 out of protest
- 107 there are no changes/nothing happens
- 199 other disenchantment with politics/political reasons
- 201 don't know which politician to vote for
- 202 no interest in politics/I don't care
- 203 no motivation/can't be bothered to go to the polls
- 206 my interests are not represented
- 299 other personal reasons
- 300 no election documents
- 301 not present/far away from place of election/abroad
- 302 no time
- 349 other organisational reasons
- 900 other
- 902 still undecided/indecisive/don't know yet

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83c - 3rd reason non-voter

VW Q10a

<INT.: Only if in question Q10 code 3-8>

And why would you possibly not vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q10

<INT.: Only if in question Q7 code 4, otherwise continue with question Q11.>

And why didn't you vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_C; NW Q10_C 3rd reason for non-voting decision

- 0 INAP.: no non-voter; no further reason
- 1 behaviour of politicians in general
- 2 promises are neglected
- 3 selfishness of parties/politicians; they are greedy for power; only think about themselves
- 4 parties/politicians rip the state off
- 5 parties/politicians are double-dealers, criminals, liars, corrupt
- 7 parties/politicians only want votes
- 100 disappointed (by politics/politicians) in general
- 101 disappointed by the present situation
- 102 no trust (in politics/politicians)
- 107 there are no changes/nothing happens
- 206 my interests are not represented

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v83d - 4th reason non-voter

VW Q10a

<INT.: Only if in question Q10 code 3-8>

And why would you possibly not vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

NW Q10

<INT.: Only if in question Q7 code 4, otherwise continue with question Q11.>

And why didn't you vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note answer literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_D; NW Q10_D 4th reason for non-voting decision

0 INAP.: no non-voter; no further reason

3 selfishness of parties/politicians; they are greedy for power; only think about themselves

102 no trust (in politics/politicians)

203 no motivation/can't be bothered to go to the polls

302 no time

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84a - Represent Bundestag: FDP

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand list Q12 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties respectively.>

VW Q12_A FDP

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v84a: Represent Bundestag: FDP (N=3263)

v84a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: post-election		1598	49,0	49,0	49,0
1	absolutely certain		549	16,8	16,8	65,8
2	fairly certain		809	24,8	24,8	90,6
3	more uncertain		152	4,7	4,7	95,2
4	completely improbable		20	0,6	0,6	95,9
8	DK		117	3,6	3,6	99,4
9	NA		18	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84b - Represent Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand list Q12 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties respectively.>

VW Q12_B Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v84b: Represent Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3263)

v84b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: post-election		1598	49,0	49,0	49,0
1	absolutely certain		351	10,8	10,8	59,7
2	fairly certain		863	26,4	26,4	86,2
3	more uncertain		261	8,0	8,0	94,2
4	completely improbable		54	1,7	1,7	95,8
8	DK		117	3,6	3,6	99,4
9	NA		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84c - Represent Bundestag: PDS

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand list Q12 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties respectively.>

VW Q12_C PDS

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v84c: Represent Bundestag: PDS (N=3263)

v84c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: post-election		1598	49,0	49,0	49,0
1	absolutely certain		58	1,8	1,8	50,8
2	fairly certain		445	13,6	13,6	64,4
3	more uncertain		639	19,6	19,6	84,0
4	completely improbable		291	8,9	8,9	92,9
8	DK		209	6,4	6,4	99,3
9	NA		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v84d - Represent Bundestag: Republikaner

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand list Q12 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties respectively.>

VW Q12_D Republikaner

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 absolutely certain
- 2 fairly certain
- 3 more uncertain
- 4 completely improbable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v84d: Represent Bundestag: Republikaner (N=3263)

v84d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: post-election		1598	49,0	49,0	49,0
1	absolutely certain		3	0,1	0,1	49,1
2	fairly certain		40	1,2	1,2	50,3
3	more uncertain		368	11,3	11,3	61,6
4	completely improbable		1067	32,7	32,7	94,3
8	DK		160	4,9	4,9	99,2
9	NA		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v85 - Which party gets most votes?

VW Q13

What do you think:

which party will receive the most votes in the upcoming Bundestag elections, the CDU/CSU or the SPD?

<INT.: DON'T read the parties, only names of INDIVIDUAL parties, don't accept responses regarding coalitions of various parties.>

- 0 INAP: post-election
- 1 CDU/CSU
- 2 SPD
- 3 other party mentioned
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3861, v85: Which party gets most votes? (N=3263)

v85

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: post-election		1598	49,0	49,0	49,0
1	CDU/CSU		681	20,9	20,9	69,8
2	SPD		653	20,0	20,0	89,9
3	other party mentioned		8	0,2	0,2	90,1
8	DK		297	9,1	9,1	99,2
9	NA		26	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v86 - Evaluation concept state democracy

Q14

<INT.: Hand scale Q14 to respondent.>

Compared to other conceptions of a state, what would you say about democracy? Please use this scale to do so. The value '1' means that a democracy is the best conception of a state imaginable, the value '6' means that a democracy is the worst conception of a state imaginable. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

- 1 1 democracy is the best conception of a state imaginable
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 democracy is the worst conception of a state imaginable
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v86: Evaluation concept state democracy (N=3263)

v86

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 democracy is the best conception of a state imaginable		1251	38,3	38,3	38,3
2	2		995	30,5	30,5	68,8
3	3		653	20,0	20,0	88,8
4	4		187	5,7	5,7	94,6
5	5		43	1,3	1,3	95,9
6	6 democracy is the worst conception of a state imaginable		15	0,5	0,5	96,4
8	DK		88	2,7	2,7	99,0
9	NA		31	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v90 - Personal financial situation

Q15

How do you evaluate your own financial situation today: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

- 1 very good
- 2 good
- 3 part good/part bad
- 4 bad
- 5 very bad
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v90: Personal financial situation (N=3263)

v90

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very good		108	3,3	3,3	3,3
2	good		1333	40,9	40,9	44,2
3	part good/part bad		1197	36,7	36,7	80,8
4	bad		468	14,3	14,3	95,2
5	very bad		126	3,9	3,9	99,0
8	DK		6	0,2	0,2	99,2
9	NA		25	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v100 - Personal financial situation improved

Q16

Has your own financial situation improved substantially, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated substantially in the last one to two years?

- 1 improved substantially
- 2 improved somewhat
- 3 remained the same
- 4 deteriorated somewhat
- 5 deteriorated substantially
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v100: Personal financial situation improved (N=3263)

v100

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	improved considerably		50	1,5	1,5	1,5
2	improved somewhat		421	12,9	12,9	14,4
3	remained the same		1742	53,4	53,4	67,8
4	deteriorated somewhat		747	22,9	22,9	90,7
5	deteriorated considerably		266	8,2	8,2	98,9
8	DK		15	0,5	0,5	99,3
9	NA		22	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v110 - Personal financial situation in a year

Q17

What do you think your own financial situation will be in a year from now? Do you expect that your own financial situation then will be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

- 1 substantially better
- 2 somewhat better
- 3 the same
- 4 somewhat worse
- 5 substantially worse
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v110: Personal financial situation in a year (N=3263)

v110

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	substantially better		44	1,3	1,3	1,3
2	somewhat better		531	16,3	16,3	17,6
3	the same		1897	58,1	58,1	75,8
4	somewhat worse		487	14,9	14,9	90,7
5	substantially worse		91	2,8	2,8	93,5
8	DK		194	5,9	5,9	99,4
9	NA		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120a - Dissatisfied with parties: 1st

Q18

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Allow only ONE response! Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_A 1st reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 1 economic policy
- 2 unemployment policy
- 3 financial policy
- 4 tax policy
- 5 economic interests predominant
- 6 build-up of the East
- 7 price stability
- 20 social justice
- 21 youth policy
- 22 family policy/feminist policy
- 23 policy towards old people/pensions policy
- 25 health policy
- 40 internal security
- 41 policy towards foreigners
- 60 foreign policy
- 80 committed to the environment/environmental policy
- 81 unjust policy
- 82 education policy
- 83 defence policy/Bundeswehr
- 89 other factual policy
- 100 party quarrelling
- 101 party tangle
- 102 dissension
- 120 bad party politics
- 121 disturbing party discipline
- 122 too much power
- 123 no character
- 124 hardly any public relations
- 125 large parties too strong
- 126 small parties too strong
- 127 parties are overaged
- 128 moral decline
- 129 no policy for the "little man"/the common citizen
- 130 lack of inner-party democracy
- 160 no concept
- 161 incompetence
- 162 unimaginative
- 163 parliamentary allowances too high/too much public money
- 164 reform backlog
- 180 dishonest

181 nontransparent
182 self-support
183 corrupt
184 power-hungry
185 unscrupulous
186 egoists
187 dubious practices
188 lies
189 no just punishment for the parties
200 empty promises
201 they talk a lot
202 active in the election campaign
203 brainwashing of the people
204 election promises are being neglected
205 expensive election campaign
220 no personalities
221 opportunists/populists
222 no perseverance
223 lack of realism
224 unobjective
225 intolerant
226 arrogance
227 inflexible
228 office hunter
229 tacticians
230 no idealism
231 short-sightedness
232 lack of discipline at work
240 no representatives of the people
241 no grassroots mentality
242 cult of personality
243 bureaucrats/technocrats
244 grand entrances
245 they don't make good salesmen
246 they don't represent the interests of their loyal voters
247 lack of participation
260 criticism SPD
261 criticism Buendnis90/Die Gruenen
262 criticism CDU/CSU
263 criticism FDP
264 criticism PDS
265 criticism other party
900 other
901 everything bothers
902 no interest in political parties
980 no criticism
999 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120b - Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly>

Q18_B 2nd reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 0 INAP., no further reason mentioned
- 1 economic policy
- 2 unemployment policy
- 3 financial policy
- 4 tax policy
- 5 economic interests predominant
- 6 build-up of the East
- 7 price stability
- 20 social justice
- 21 youth policy
- 22 family policy/feminist policy
- 23 policy towards old people/pensions policy
- 25 health policy
- 40 internal security
- 41 policy towards foreigners
- 60 foreign policy
- 80 committed to the environment/environmental policy
- 82 education policy
- 83 defence policy/Bundeswehr
- 89 other factual policy
- 100 party quarrelling
- 101 party tangle
- 102 dissension
- 120 bad party politics
- 121 disturbing party discipline
- 122 too much power
- 123 no character
- 125 large parties too strong
- 127 parties are overaged
- 128 moral decline
- 129 no policy for the "little man"/the common citizen
- 130 lack of inner-party democracy
- 160 no concept
- 161 incompetence
- 162 unimaginative
- 163 parliamentary allowances too high/too much public money
- 164 reform backlog
- 180 dishonest
- 181 nontransparent

182 self-support
183 corrupt
184 power-hungry
185 unscrupulous
186 egoists
187 dubious practices
188 lies
189 no just punishment for the parties
200 empty promises
201 they talk a lot
202 active in the election campaign
203 brainwashing of the people
204 election promises are being neglected
205 expensive election campaign
220 no personalities
221 opportunists/populists
222 no perseverance
223 lack of realism
224 unobjective
225 intolerant
226 arrogance
227 inflexible
228 office hunter
229 tacticians
230 no idealism
231 short-sightedness
232 lack of discipline at work
240 no representatives of the people
241 no grassroots mentality
243 bureaucrats/technocrats
244 grand entrances
245 they don't make good salesmen
246 they don't represent the interests of their loyal voters
247 lack of participation
260 criticism SPD
261 criticism Buendnis90/Die Gruenen
262 criticism CDU/CSU
264 criticism PDS
900 other
901 everything bothers

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120c - Dissatisfied with parties: 3rd

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_C 3rd reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 0 INAP., no further reason mentioned
- 1 economic policy
- 2 unemployment policy
- 3 financial policy
- 4 tax policy
- 5 economic interests predominant
- 6 build-up of the East
- 7 price stability
- 20 social justice
- 22 family policy/feminist policy
- 23 policy towards old people/pensions policy
- 25 health policy
- 40 internal security
- 41 policy towards foreigners
- 60 foreign policy
- 80 committed to the environment/environmental policy
- 81 unjust policy
- 100 party quarrelling
- 102 dissension
- 120 bad party politics
- 121 disturbing party discipline
- 122 too much power
- 123 no character
- 127 parties are overaged
- 129 no policy for the "little man"/the common citizen
- 130 lack of inner-party democracy
- 160 no concept
- 161 incompetence
- 162 unimaginative
- 163 parliamentary allowances too high/too much public money
- 164 reform backlog
- 180 dishonest
- 181 nontransparent
- 182 self-support
- 183 corrupt
- 184 power-hungry
- 186 egoists
- 187 dubious practices
- 188 lies

189 no just punishment for the parties
200 empty promises
201 they talk a lot
202 active in the election campaign
203 brainwashing of the people
204 election promises are being neglected
220 no personalities
221 opportunists/populists
222 no perseverance
223 lack of realism
224 unobjective
226 arrogance
227 inflexible
228 office hunter
229 tacticians
230 no idealism
232 lack of discipline at work
240 no representatives of the people
241 no grassroots mentality
242 cult of personality
243 bureaucrats/technocrats
244 grand entrances
245 they don't make good salesmen
246 they don't represent the interests of their loyal voters
247 lack of participation
260 criticism SPD
261 criticism Buendnis90/Die Gruenen
264 criticism PDS
900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120d - Dissatisfied with parties: 4th

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_D 4th reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 0 INAP., no further reason mentioned
- 1 economic policy
- 2 unemployment policy
- 3 financial policy
- 4 tax policy
- 7 price stability
- 20 social justice
- 23 policy towards old people/pensions policy
- 41 policy towards foreigners
- 80 committed to the environment/environmental policy
- 82 education policy
- 100 party quarrelling
- 101 party tangle
- 120 bad party politics
- 125 large parties too strong
- 129 no policy for the "little man"/the common citizen
- 160 no concept
- 161 incompetence
- 162 unimaginative
- 163 parliamentary allowances too high/too much public money
- 164 reform backlog
- 180 dishonest
- 182 self-support
- 183 corrupt
- 184 power-hungry
- 185 unscrupulous
- 186 egoists
- 187 dubious practices
- 188 lies
- 189 no just punishment for the parties
- 200 empty promises
- 202 active in the election campaign
- 220 no personalities
- 226 arrogance
- 228 office hunter
- 232 lack of discipline at work
- 240 no representatives of the people
- 244 grand entrances
- 247 lack of participation

261 criticism Buendnis90/Die Gruenen

262 criticism CDU/CSU

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120e - Dissatisfied with parties: 5th

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_E 5th reason for dissatisfaction with parties

- 0 INAP., no further reason mentioned
- 1 economic policy
- 4 tax policy
- 6 build-up of the East
- 23 policy towards old people/pensions policy
- 83 defence policy/Bundeswehr
- 120 bad party politics
- 122 too much power
- 123 no character
- 129 no policy for the "little man"/the common citizen
- 180 dishonest
- 182 self-support
- 183 corrupt
- 204 election promises are being neglected
- 232 lack of discipline at work
- 241 no grassroots mentality
- 243 bureaucrats/technocrats
- 262 criticism CDU/CSU
- 900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120f - Dissatisfied with parties: 6th

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_F 6th reason for dissatisfaction with parties

0 INAP., no further reason mentioned

2 unemployment policy

5 economic interests predominant

129 no policy for the "little man"/the common citizen

184 power-hungry

227 inflexible

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v120g - Dissatisfied with parties: 7th

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

Q18_G 7th reason for dissatisfaction with parties

0 INAP., no further reason mentioned

21 youth policy

160 no concept

246 they don't represent the interests of their loyal voters

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v121 - Respondent: wanted government coalition

VW Q66a

<INT.: Hand list Q66a to respondent and leave it there. Allow only ONE response.>

Irrespective of how the parties will decide, which parties do you personally think should govern Germany during the next four years? Please just tell me the respective letter.

NW Q65a

<INT.: Hand list Q65 to respondent and leave it there.>

According to the 22nd September elections result there are different coalition possibilities: SPD and CDU/CSU, SPD and Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen as well as SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP. Irrespective of how the parties will decide <INT.: if a coalition has already been formed: decided.>, which parties do you personally think should govern Germany during the next four years?

<INT.: Read list and mark the answer in the scheme below. Allow only ONE response.>

- 1 A "grand coalition" of SPD and CDU/CSU
- 2 B SPD and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
- 3 C SPD and FDP (only PRE)
- 4 D SPD and PDS (only PRE)
- 5 E CDU/CSU and FDP (only PRE)
- 6 F CDU/CSU and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
- 7 G SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and FDP
- 8 SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and PDS (only PRE)
- 98 DK
- 99 NA

ZA3861, v121: Respondent: wanted government coalition (N=3263)

v121

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	grand coalition of SPD and CDU/CSU		551	16,9	16,9	16,9
2	SPD and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		1026	31,4	31,4	48,3
3	SPD and FDP (only PRE)		130	4,0	4,0	52,3
4	SPD and PDS (only PRE)		65	2,0	2,0	54,3
5	CDU/CSU and FDP (only PRE)		437	13,4	13,4	67,7
6	CDU/CSU and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		226	6,9	6,9	74,6
7	SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and FDP		133	4,1	4,1	78,7
8	SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and PDS (only PRE)		65	2,0	2,0	80,7
98	DK		404	12,4	12,4	93,1
99	NA		226	6,9	6,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v121b - Respondent: 2nd choice government coalition

VW Q66b

<INT.: List Q66a is still at the respondent's. Allow only ONE response.>

And what would be your second choice? Please just tell me again the respective letter.

NW Q65b

<INT.: List Q66a is still at the respondent's.>

And which would be your second choice?

<INT.: Read list and mark the answer in the scheme below. Allow only ONE response.>

- 1 A "grand coalition" of SPD and CDU/CSU
- 2 B SPD and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
- 3 C SPD and FDP (only PRE)
- 4 D SPD and PDS (only PRE)
- 5 E CDU/CSU and FDP (only PRE)
- 6 F CDU/CSU and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
- 7 G SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and FDP
- 8 SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and PDS (only PRE)
- 98 DK
- 99 NA

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v121b: Respondent: 2nd choice government coalition (N=3263)

v121b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	grand coalition of SPD and CDU/CSU		521	16,0	16,0	16,0
2	SPD and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		365	11,2	11,2	27,2
3	SPD and FDP (only PRE)		200	6,1	6,1	33,3
4	SPD and PDS (only PRE)		64	2,0	2,0	35,2
5	CDU/CSU and FDP (only PRE)		129	4,0	4,0	39,2
6	CDU/CSU and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		271	8,3	8,3	47,5
7	SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and FDP		449	13,8	13,8	61,3
8	SPD, Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen and PDS (only PRE)		97	3,0	3,0	64,2
98	DK		877	26,9	26,9	91,1
99	NA		290	8,9	8,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v122 - Satisfied with election outcome

NW Q64

And how satisfied are you with the result of the Bundestag elections? Are you...

<Int.: Read.>

0 INAP: pre-election

1 very satisfied

2 fairly satisfied

3 part/part

4 fairly dissatisfied

5 very dissatisfied

8 don't know

9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 2002 (ZA3861):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3861, v122: Satisfied with election outcome (N=3263)

v122

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: pre-election		1665	51,0	51,0	51,0
1	very satisfied		110	3,4	3,4	54,4
2	rather satisfied		431	13,2	13,2	67,6
3	part/part		431	13,2	13,2	80,8
4	rather dissatisfied		344	10,5	10,5	91,4
5	very dissatisfied		234	7,2	7,2	98,5
8	DK		26	0,8	0,8	99,3
9	NA		22	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v123 - Follow election campaign 2002

VW Q64

One more question about the Bundestag elections 2002: How intensively do you follow the election campaign?

<INT.: Read.>

NW Q63a

One more question about the Bundestag elections 2002. How intensively did you follow the election campaign?

<INT.: Read.>

- 1 very intensively
- 2 intensively
- 3 part/part
- 4 less intensively
- 5 not at all
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v123: Follow election campaign 2002 (N=3263)

v123

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very intensively		371	11,4	11,4	11,4
2	intensively		832	25,5	25,5	36,9
3	part/part		1142	35,0	35,0	71,9
4	less intensively		699	21,4	21,4	93,3
5	not at all		188	5,8	5,8	99,0
8	DK		3	0,1	0,1	99,1
9	NA		28	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v124a - How often: discussions with friends about election

VW Q65

<INT.: Hand list Q65 to respondent.>

You can concern yourself on different ways with the election campaign. Please tell me, how often you do the following things during the ongoing election campaign: very often, often, occasionally, seldom, or never?

<INT.: Read topics.>

NW Q63b

<INT.: Hand list Q63b to respondent.>

You can concern yourself on different ways with the election campaign. Please tell me, how often you did the following things during the election campaign before the federal elections in 2002: very often, often, occasionally, seldom, or never?

VW Q65_A / NW Q63b_A Discussions about the election with friends, relatives or colleagues

- 1 very often
- 2 often
- 3 occasionally
- 4 seldom
- 5 never
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v124a: How often: discussions with friends about election (N=3263)

v124a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very often		311	9,5	9,5	9,5
2	often		799	24,5	24,5	34,0
3	occasionally		1099	33,7	33,7	67,7
4	seldom		620	19,0	19,0	86,7
5	never		401	12,3	12,3	99,0
8	DK		6	0,2	0,2	99,2
9	NA		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v124b - How often: attend election campaign events

VW Q65

<INT.: Hand list Q65 to respondent.>

You can concern yourself on different ways with the election campaign. Please tell me, how often you do the following things during the ongoing election campaign: very often, often, occasionally, seldom, or never?

<INT.: Read topics.>

NW Q63b

<INT.: Hand list Q63b to respondent.>

You can concern yourself on different ways with the election campaign. Please tell me, how often you did the following things during the election campaign before the federal elections in 2002: very often, often, occasionally, seldom, or never?

VW Q65_B / NW Q63b_B Attend election campaign events

- 1 very often
- 2 often
- 3 occasionally
- 4 seldom
- 5 never
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v124b: How often: attend election campaign events (N=3263)

v124b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very often		18	0,6	0,6	0,6
2	often		74	2,3	2,3	2,8
3	occasionally		200	6,1	6,1	8,9
4	seldom		367	11,2	11,2	20,2
5	never		2565	78,6	78,6	98,8
8	DK		3	0,1	0,1	98,9
9	NA		36	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v124c - How often: visited Internet pages on the election

VW Q65

<INT.: Hand list Q65 to respondent.>

You can concern yourself on different ways with the election campaign. Please tell me, how often you do the following things during the ongoing election campaign: very often, often, occasionally, seldom, or never?

<INT.: Read topics.>

NW Q63b

<INT.: Hand list Q63b to respondent.>

You can concern yourself on different ways with the election campaign. Please tell me, how often you did the following things during the election campaign before the federal elections in 2002: very often, often, occasionally, seldom, or never?

VW Q65_C / NW Q63b_C Visited Internet pages on the election or the campaign

- 1 very often
- 2 often
- 3 occasionally
- 4 seldom
- 5 never
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v124c: How often: visited Internet pages on the election (N=3263)

v124c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very often		17	0,5	0,5	0,5
2	often		78	2,4	2,4	2,9
3	occasionally		155	4,8	4,8	7,7
4	seldom		218	6,7	6,7	14,3
5	never		2749	84,2	84,2	98,6
8	DK		7	0,2	0,2	98,8
9	NA		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130a - Parties only want votes

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_A The parties only want the people's votes, they're not interested in their opinions.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130a: Parties only want votes (N=3263)

v130a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		71	2,2	2,2	2,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		402	12,3	12,3	14,5
3	0 part/part		978	30,0	30,0	44,5
4	+1 tend to agree		960	29,4	29,4	73,9
5	+2 completely agree		800	24,5	24,5	98,4
8	DK		30	0,9	0,9	99,3
9	NA		22	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130b - Parties regard state as self-service shop

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_B The parties regard the state as a self-service shop.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130b: Parties regard state as self-service shop (N=3263)

v130b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		111	3,4	3,4	3,4
2	-1 tend to disagree		530	16,2	16,2	19,6
3	0 part/part		902	27,6	27,6	47,3
4	+1 tend to agree		948	29,1	29,1	76,3
5	+2 completely agree		671	20,6	20,6	96,9
8	DK		77	2,4	2,4	99,3
9	NA		24	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130c - Party politicians trustworthy

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_C Most party politicians are trustworthy and honest people.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130c: Party politicians trustworthy (N=3263)

v130c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		270	8,3	8,3	8,3
2	-1 tend to disagree		751	23,0	23,0	31,3
3	0 part/part		1215	37,2	37,2	68,5
4	+1 tend to agree		755	23,1	23,1	91,7
5	+2 completely agree		161	4,9	4,9	96,6
8	DK		80	2,5	2,5	99,0
9	NA		31	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130d - Good relations to parties

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_D Without good relations to the parties citizens nowadays cannot achieve anything.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130d: Good relations to parties (N=3263)

v130d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		186	5,7	5,7	5,7
2	-1 tend to disagree		570	17,5	17,5	23,2
3	0 part/part		909	27,9	27,9	51,0
4	+1 tend to agree		884	27,1	27,1	78,1
5	+2 completely agree		439	13,5	13,5	91,6
8	DK		245	7,5	7,5	99,1
9	NA		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130e - Party members can produce their ideas

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_E Even simple party members have the chance to produce their ideas in the parties.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130e: Party members can produce their ideas (N=3263)

v130e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		267	8,2	8,2	8,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		856	26,2	26,2	34,4
3	0 part/part		792	24,3	24,3	58,7
4	+1 tend to agree		766	23,5	23,5	82,2
5	+2 completely agree		139	4,3	4,3	86,4
8	DK		400	12,3	12,3	98,7
9	NA		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130f - Parties are only interested in preservation of power

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_F The parties are only interested in power.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130f: Parties are only interested in preservation of power (N=3263)

v130f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		42	1,3	1,3	1,3
2	-1 tend to disagree		206	6,3	6,3	7,6
3	0 part/part		640	19,6	19,6	27,2
4	+1 tend to agree		1060	32,5	32,5	59,7
5	+2 completely agree		1235	37,8	37,8	97,5
8	DK		39	1,2	1,2	98,7
9	NA		41	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130g - Objectives of parties are clearly different

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_G The parties are so different in their objectives that the citizens have clear alternatives.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130g: Objectives of parties are clearly different (N=3263)

v130g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		531	16,3	16,3	16,3
2	-1 tend to disagree		1035	31,7	31,7	48,0
3	0 part/part		895	27,4	27,4	75,4
4	+1 tend to agree		470	14,4	14,4	89,8
5	+2 completely agree		172	5,3	5,3	95,1
8	DK		133	4,1	4,1	99,2
9	NA		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130h - Parties have too much influence in society

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_H The parties exert too much influence in the society.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130h: Parties have too much influence in society (N=3263)

v130h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		104	3,2	3,2	3,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		541	16,6	16,6	19,8
3	0 part/part		1043	32,0	32,0	51,7
4	+1 tend to agree		935	28,7	28,7	80,4
5	+2 completely agree		438	13,4	13,4	93,8
8	DK		170	5,2	5,2	99,0
9	NA		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130i - Government worse without professional politicians

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_I Without professional politicians in the parties our country would be governed worse.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130i: Government worse without professional politicians (N=3263)

v130i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		234	7,2	7,2	7,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		535	16,4	16,4	23,6
3	0 part/part		739	22,6	22,6	46,2
4	+1 tend to agree		906	27,8	27,8	74,0
5	+2 completely agree		496	15,2	15,2	89,2
8	DK		315	9,7	9,7	98,8
9	NA		38	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v130k - Most parties and politicians are corrupt

Q19

<INT.: Hand list Q19 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q19_K Most parties and politicians are corrupt.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v130k: Most parties and politicians are corrupt (N=3263)

v130k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		208	6,4	6,4	6,4
2	-1 tend to disagree		771	23,6	23,6	30,0
3	0 part/part		1083	33,2	33,2	63,2
4	+1 tend to agree		625	19,2	19,2	82,3
5	+2 completely agree		397	12,2	12,2	94,5
8	DK		130	4,0	4,0	98,5
9	NA		49	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140aa - Important problems FRG: 1

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_A First mention

- 1 work in general
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 4 stop the reduction of jobs
- 5 youth unemployment
- 6 better working conditions
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 9 illegal employment
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 11 movement of labour to foreign countries (companies, employees)
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 23 stable economy
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 30 business failures
- 31 stock market
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 35 nationalisation/privatisation
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 42 interest rate policy
- 43 EURO
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 65 money to foreign countries
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 90 war against Iraq
- 100 agricultural policy
- 120 education policy in general
- 121 education policy (schools)
- 123 vocational education
- 140 health policy in general/health care reform
- 141 anti-drugs policy
- 143 costs health care

160 social policy in general
161 social justice/justice
162 reduction of social security benefits
163 preserving social institutions
164 family services
165 child care
167 youth policy
168 policy towards seniors
169 pensions policy/pension reform
170 housing construction/housing policy
171 social security
172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
173 handicapped persons
180 domestic policy in general
181 foreigners (negative)
182 foreigners (positive)
183 migration in general
184 law and order
185 preserving the constitutional state
186 against extremism
187 against right-wing extremism
188 juvenile delinquency
190 criminality
191 foreigners (neutral)
192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
194 tighten conditions for migration
195 law changes (in general)
199 domestic policy other
200 environmental protection
202 nuclear energy
203 energy policy
221 transport policy
223 flood problem
224 animal welfare
227 reform logjam
240 morals
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
242 support Germanness
243 corruption
244 safeguarding the future
245 solidarity
258 values/society in general
260 trustworthy politicians
261 cooperation of the parties
262 too high parliamentary allowance
263 lack of trust in politics/government
264 selfishness of politicians/striving for power
265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
267 opacity

279 parties, politicians other
281 more co-determination
283 reduction of bureaucracy
300 reunification
900 other
980 no problems
999 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ab - Important problems FRG: 2

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_B Second mention

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 1 work in general
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 5 youth unemployment
- 6 better working conditions
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 9 illegal employment
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 11 movement of labour to foreign countries (companies, employees)
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 22 increase, preserve the standard of living
- 23 stable economy
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 25 new markets/export
- 26 investment incentive
- 27 support for research
- 28 provision of infrastructure
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 30 business failures
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 33 business delinquency
- 34 trade unions
- 35 nationalisation/privatisation
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 43 EURO
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 63 money for the local authorities
- 64 financing the New Federal States
- 65 money to foreign countries
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 81 European policy
- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping

- 85 disarmament
- 86 development aid
- 87 Americanisation/lack of independence from the USA
- 88 Bundeswehr deployment in conflict areas
- 89 globalisation
- 90 war against Iraq
- 91 image of the FRG
- 92 improving relations to the USA
- 100 agricultural policy
- 120 education policy in general
- 121 education policy (schools)
- 122 education policy at university level
- 123 vocational education
- 140 health policy in general/health care reform
- 141 anti-drugs policy
- 143 costs health care
- 160 social policy in general
- 161 social justice/justice
- 162 reduction of social security benefits
- 163 preserving social institutions
- 164 family services
- 165 child care
- 166 equal rights
- 167 youth policy
- 168 policy towards seniors
- 169 pensions policy/pension reform
- 170 housing construction/housing policy
- 171 social security
- 172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
- 173 handicapped persons
- 180 domestic policy in general
- 181 foreigners (negative)
- 182 foreigners (positive)
- 183 migration in general
- 184 law and order
- 185 preserving the constitutional state
- 187 against right-wing extremism
- 188 juvenile delinquency
- 190 criminality
- 191 foreigners (neutral)
- 192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
- 193 migration from East Germany
- 194 tighten conditions for migration
- 195 law changes (in general)
- 200 environmental protection
- 201 waste disposal
- 202 nuclear energy
- 203 energy policy
- 221 transport policy
- 222 defence policy/Bundeswehr equipment

223 flood problem
224 animal welfare
225 science and research policy
227 reform logjam
240 morals
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
242 support Germanness
243 corruption
244 safeguarding the future
247 church/religion/faith
248 discontent
258 values/society in general
259 values/society other
260 trustworthy politicians
261 cooperation of the parties
262 too high parliamentary allowance
263 lack of trust in politics/government
264 selfishness of politicians/striving for power
265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
279 parties, politicians other
280 preservation of democracy
281 more co-determination
283 reduction of bureaucracy
300 reunification
900 other
901 state-specific problems

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ac - Important problems FRG: 3

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_C Third mention

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 1 work in general
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 5 youth unemployment
- 6 better working conditions
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 9 illegal employment
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 11 movement of labour to foreign countries
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 22 increase, preserve the standard of living
- 23 stable economy
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 25 new markets/export
- 26 investment incentive
- 28 provision of infrastructure
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 30 business failures
- 31 stock market
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 33 business delinquency
- 35 nationalisation/privatisation
- 36 subsidies/reduction of subsidies
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 43 EURO
- 44 security for financial and monetary investments
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 65 money to foreign countries
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 81 European policy
- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 86 development aid

87 Americanisation/lack of independence from the USA
88 Bundeswehr deployment in conflict areas
89 globalisation
90 war against Iraq
100 agricultural policy
120 education policy in general
121 education policy (schools)
122 education policy at university level
123 vocational education
140 health policy in general/health care reform
141 anti-drugs policy
142 AIDS problem
143 costs health care
160 social policy in general
161 social justice/justice
162 reduction of social security benefits
163 preserving social institutions
164 family services
165 child care
166 equal rights
167 youth policy
168 policy towards seniors
169 pensions policy/pension reform
170 housing construction/housing policy
171 social security
172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
173 handicapped persons
180 domestic policy in general
181 foreigners (negative)
182 foreigners (positive)
183 migration in general
184 law and order
185 preserving the constitutional state
187 against right-wing extremism
188 juvenile delinquency
190 criminality
191 foreigners (neutral)
192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
193 migration from East Germany
194 tighten conditions for migration
195 law changes (in general)
199 domestic policy other
200 environmental protection
202 nuclear energy
203 energy policy
220 cultural and educational policy
221 transport policy
222 defence policy/Bundeswehr equipment
223 flood problem
224 animal welfare

225 science and research policy
226 consumer protection in general
227 reform logjam
229 media/press
239 other factual policy
240 morals
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
242 support Germanness
243 corruption
244 safeguarding the future
245 solidarity
248 discontent
258 values/society in general
259 values/society other
260 trustworthy politicians
261 cooperation of the parties
262 too high parliamentary allowance
263 lack of trust in politics/government
264 selfishness of politicians/striving for power
265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
280 preservation of democracy
281 more co-determination
283 reduction of bureaucracy
300 reunification
900 other
970 everything is important

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ad - Important problems FRG: 4

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_D Fourth mention

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 1 work in general
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 5 youth unemployment
- 6 better working conditions
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 9 illegal employment
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 11 movement of labour to foreign countries (companies, employees)
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 22 increase, preserve the standard of living
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 26 investment incentive
- 27 support for research
- 28 provision of infrastructure
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 30 business failures
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 34 trade unions
- 35 nationalisation/privatisation
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 43 EURO
- 44 security for financial and monetary investments
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 63 money for the local authorities
- 65 money to foreign countries
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 81 European policy
- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 88 Bundeswehr deployment in conflict areas
- 89 globalisation
- 90 war against Iraq

92 improving relations to the USA
100 agricultural policy
120 education policy in general
121 education policy (schools)
122 education policy at university level
123 vocational education
140 health policy in general/health care reform
141 anti-drugs policy
142 AIDS problem
143 costs health care
160 social policy in general
161 social justice/justice
162 reduction of social security benefits
163 preserving social institutions
164 family services
165 child care
166 equal rights
167 youth policy
168 policy towards seniors
169 pensions policy/pension reform
170 housing construction/housing policy
171 social security
172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
180 domestic policy in general
181 foreigners (negative)
182 foreigners (positive)
183 migration in general
184 law and order
185 preserving the constitutional state
186 against extremism
187 against right-wing extremism
188 juvenile delinquency
190 criminality
191 foreigners (neutral)
192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
193 migration from East Germany
194 tighten conditions for migration
195 law changes (in general)
199 domestic policy other
200 environmental protection
202 nuclear energy
203 energy policy
220 cultural and educational policy
221 transport policy
222 defence policy/Bundeswehr equipment
223 flood problem
225 science and research policy
226 consumer protection in general
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
243 corruption

- 244 safeguarding the future
- 245 solidarity
- 247 church/religion/faith
- 249 decline of the birth rate/aging of the society
- 258 values/society in general
- 259 values/society other
- 260 trustworthy politicians
- 261 cooperation of the parties
- 262 too high parliamentary allowance
- 263 lack of trust in politics/government
- 264 selfishness of politicians/striving for power
- 265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
- 266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
- 267 opacity
- 279 parties, politicians other
- 280 preservation of democracy
- 281 more co-determination
- 283 reduction of bureaucracy
- 900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ae - Important problems FRG: 5

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_E Fifth mention

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 4 stop the reduction of jobs
- 5 youth unemployment
- 6 better working conditions
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 22 increase, preserve the standard of living
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 26 investment incentive
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 33 business delinquency
- 35 nationalisation/privatisation
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 43 EURO
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 81 European policy
- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 86 development aid
- 87 Americanisation/lack of independence from the USA
- 88 Bundeswehr deployment in conflict areas
- 89 globalisation
- 90 war against Iraq
- 100 agricultural policy
- 120 education policy in general
- 121 education policy (schools)
- 122 education policy at university level
- 123 vocational education
- 140 health policy in general/health care reform
- 141 anti-drugs policy

143 costs health care
160 social policy in general
161 social justice/justice
163 preserving social institutions
164 family services
165 child care
166 equal rights
167 youth policy
168 policy towards seniors
169 pensions policy/pension reform
170 housing construction/housing policy
171 social security
172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
173 handicapped persons
180 domestic policy in general
181 foreigners (negative)
182 foreigners (positive)
183 migration in general
184 law and order
185 preserving the constitutional state
187 against right-wing extremism
188 juvenile delinquency
190 criminality
191 foreigners (neutral)
192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
193 migration from East Germany
194 tighten conditions for migration
199 domestic policy other
200 environmental protection
202 nuclear energy
203 energy policy
220 cultural and educational policy
221 transport policy
222 defence policy/Bundeswehr equipment
223 flood problem
224 animal welfare
225 science and research policy
226 consumer protection in general
227 reform logjam
239 other factual policy
240 morals
242 support Germanness
243 corruption
244 safeguarding the future
245 solidarity
247 church/religion/faith
258 values/society in general
259 values/society other
261 cooperation of the parties
262 too high parliamentary allowance

- 263 lack of trust in politics/government
- 265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
- 266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
- 280 preservation of democracy
- 281 more co-determination
- 283 reduction of bureaucracy
- 900 other
- 901 state-specific problems

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140af - Important problems FRG: 6

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_F Sixth mention

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 5 youth unemployment
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 26 investment incentive
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 33 business delinquency
- 36 subsidies/reduction of subsidies
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 43 EURO
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 81 European policy
- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 86 development aid
- 87 Americanisation/lack of independence from the USA
- 88 Bundeswehr deployment in conflict areas
- 89 globalisation
- 100 agricultural policy
- 120 education policy in general
- 121 education policy (schools)
- 122 education policy at university level
- 123 vocational education
- 140 health policy in general/health care reform
- 141 anti-drugs policy
- 142 AIDS problem
- 143 costs health care
- 160 social policy in general
- 161 social justice/justice

164 family services
165 child care
166 equal rights
167 youth policy
168 policy towards seniors
169 pensions policy/pension reform
170 housing construction/housing policy
171 social security
172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
181 foreigners (negative)
182 foreigners (positive)
183 migration in general
184 law and order
190 criminality
191 foreigners (neutral)
192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
193 migration from East Germany
194 tighten conditions for migration
199 domestic policy other
200 environmental protection
203 energy policy
221 transport policy
222 defence policy/Bundeswehr equipment
223 flood problem
224 animal welfare
226 consumer protection in general
227 reform logjam
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
243 corruption
244 safeguarding the future
245 solidarity
246 services/lack of service
259 values/society other
260 trustworthy politicians
261 cooperation of the parties
262 too high parliamentary allowance
263 lack of trust in politics/ government
265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
279 parties, politicians other
280 preservation of democracy
281 more co-determination
283 reduction of bureaucracy
300 reunification
303 coming to terms with the past
900 other
970 everything is important

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ag - Important problems FRG: 7

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_G Seventh mention

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 26 investment incentive
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 31 stock market
- 34 trade unions
- 40 price stability
- 43 EURO
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 63 money for the local authorities
- 81 European policy
- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 86 development aid
- 120 education policy in general
- 121 education policy (schools)
- 122 education policy at university level
- 123 vocational education
- 140 health policy in general/health care reform
- 160 social policy in general
- 161 social justice/justice
- 162 reduction of social security benefits
- 164 family services
- 167 youth policy
- 168 policy towards seniors
- 169 pensions policy/pension reform
- 171 social security
- 172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
- 173 handicapped persons
- 181 foreigners (negative)
- 182 foreigners (positive)
- 183 migration in general
- 184 law and order
- 190 criminality

191 foreigners (neutral)
192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
194 tighten conditions for migration
200 environmental protection
202 nuclear energy
203 energy policy
224 animal welfare
239 other factual policy
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
259 values/society other
260 trustworthy politicians
280 preservation of democracy/preservation of basic democratic principles
283 reduction of bureaucracy
900 other

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v140ah - Important problems FRG: 8

Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)! Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_H Eighth mention

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 2 unemployment
- 20 economic policy in general
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 40 price stability
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 141 anti-drugs policy
- 143 costs health care
- 161 social justice/justice
- 164 family services
- 169 pensions policy/pension reform
- 171 social security
- 184 law and order
- 191 foreigners (neutral)
- 200 environmental protection

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwiprob1 - Most important problem FRG

Q21

I am going to read the problems, which you have mentioned again. Would you please tell me which of these problems is most important and which is second most important to you.

<INT.: Read annotations from A to F and encircle the most important and second most important problem mentioned in the scheme to question 21.

Allow only ONE response for each column.>

Q21_1 Most important problem

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 1 work in general
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 5 youth unemployment
- 6 better working conditions
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 9 illegal employment
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 11 movement of labour to foreign countries (companies, employees)
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 22 increase, preserve the standard of living
- 23 stable economy
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 25 new markets/export
- 26 investment incentive
- 27 support for research
- 28 provision of infrastructure
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 30 business failures
- 31 stock market
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 34 trade unions
- 36 subsidies/reduction of subsidies
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 42 interest rate policy
- 43 EURO
- 44 security for financial and monetary investments
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 63 money for the local authorities
- 65 money to foreign countries
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 81 European policy

- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 87 Americanisation/lack of independence from the USA
- 88 Bundeswehr deployment in conflict areas
- 89 globalisation
- 90 war against Iraq
- 91 image of the FRG
- 92 improving relations to the USA
- 100 agricultural policy
- 120 education policy in general
- 121 education policy (schools)
- 122 education policy at university level
- 123 vocational education
- 140 health policy in general/health care reform
- 141 anti-drugs policy
- 142 AIDS problem
- 143 costs health care
- 160 social policy in general
- 161 social justice/justice
- 162 reduction of social security benefits
- 163 preserving social institutions
- 164 family services
- 165 child care
- 166 equal rights
- 167 youth policy
- 168 policy towards seniors
- 169 pensions policy/pension reform
- 170 housing construction/housing policy
- 171 social security
- 172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
- 180 domestic policy in general
- 181 foreigners (negative)
- 182 foreigners (positive)
- 183 migration in general
- 184 law and order
- 185 preserving the constitutional state
- 187 against right-wing extremism
- 188 juvenile delinquency
- 190 criminality
- 191 foreigners (neutral)
- 192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
- 193 migration from East Germany
- 194 tighten conditions for migration
- 195 law changes (in general)
- 199 domestic policy other
- 200 environmental protection
- 202 nuclear energy
- 203 energy policy
- 220 cultural and educational policy
- 221 transport policy

222 defence policy/Bundeswehr equipment
223 flood problem
224 animal welfare
225 science and research policy
226 consumer protection in general
227 reform logjam
240 morals
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
242 support Germanness
243 corruption
244 safeguarding the future
245 solidarity
246 services/lack of service
258 values/society in general
259 values/society other
260 trustworthy politicians
261 cooperation of the parties
262 too high parliamentary allowance
263 lack of trust in politics/government
264 selfishness of politicians/striving for power
265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
279 parties, politicians other
280 preservation of democracy
281 more co-determination
283 reduction of bureaucracy
300 reunification
900 other
901 state-specific problems
999 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwiprob2 - 2nd most import problem FRG

Q21

I am going to read the problems, which you have mentioned again. Would you please tell me which of these problems is most important and which is second most important to you.

<INT.: Read annotations from A to F and encircle the most important and second most important problem mentioned in the scheme to question 21.

Allow only ONE response for each column.>

Q21_2 Second most important problem

- 0 INAP., no further problem mentioned
- 1 work in general
- 2 unemployment
- 3 employment creation/apprenticeship places
- 5 youth unemployment
- 6 better working conditions
- 7 labour market
- 8 wage policy
- 9 illegal employment
- 10 job centres/employment service
- 11 movement of labour to foreign countries (companies, employees)
- 20 economic policy in general
- 21 improvement of the economic situation/economic growth
- 22 increase, preserve the standard of living
- 23 stable economy
- 24 economic upswing New Federal States/build-up of the East
- 25 new markets/export
- 26 investment incentive
- 27 support for research
- 28 provision of infrastructure
- 29 East-West adjustment; adjustment of wages in East and West
- 30 business failures
- 31 stock market
- 32 influence of economy, interest groups, associations
- 34 trade unions
- 36 subsidies/reduction of subsidies
- 40 price stability
- 41 monetary stability
- 42 interest rate policy
- 43 EURO
- 44 security for financial and monetary investments
- 60 budgetary policy in general
- 61 better fiscal policy/tax reform
- 62 financial reorganisation
- 63 money for the local authorities
- 65 money to foreign countries
- 80 foreign policy in general
- 81 European policy

- 83 security policy
- 84 peace-keeping
- 87 Americanisation/lack of independence from the USA
- 88 Bundeswehr deployment in conflict areas
- 89 globalisation
- 90 war against Iraq
- 91 image of the FRG
- 92 improving relations to the USA
- 100 agricultural policy
- 120 education policy in general
- 121 education policy (schools)
- 122 education policy at university level
- 123 vocational education
- 140 health policy in general/health care reform
- 141 anti-drugs policy
- 142 AIDS problem
- 143 costs health care
- 160 social policy in general
- 161 social justice/justice
- 162 reduction of social security benefits
- 163 preserving social institutions
- 164 family services
- 165 child care
- 166 equal rights
- 167 youth policy
- 168 policy towards seniors
- 169 pensions policy/pension reform
- 170 housing construction/housing policy
- 171 social security
- 172 feminist policy/equal opportunities for women
- 180 domestic policy in general
- 181 foreigners (negative)
- 182 foreigners (positive)
- 183 migration in general
- 184 law and order
- 185 preserving the constitutional state
- 187 against right-wing extremism
- 188 juvenile delinquency
- 190 criminality
- 191 foreigners (neutral)
- 192 more drastic measures of the judiciary
- 193 migration from East Germany
- 194 tighten conditions for migration
- 195 law changes (in general)
- 199 domestic policy other
- 200 environmental protection
- 202 nuclear energy
- 203 energy policy
- 220 cultural and educational policy
- 221 transport policy

222 defence policy/Bundeswehr equipment
223 flood problem
224 animal welfare
225 science and research policy
226 consumer protection in general
227 reform logjam
240 morals
241 more humanity, tolerance, fairness
242 support Germanness
243 corruption
244 safeguarding the future
245 solidarity
246 services/lack of service
258 values/society in general
259 values/society other
260 trustworthy politicians
261 cooperation of the parties
262 too high parliamentary allowance
263 lack of trust in politics/government
264 selfishness of politicians/striving for power
265 lack of grassroots mentality/no representatives of the people
266 embezzlement of money/criminal behaviour of politicians
279 parties, politicians other
280 preservation of democracy
281 more co-determination
283 reduction of bureaucracy
300 reunification
900 other
901 state-specific problems
999 NA, don't know

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v150 - Qualified: most important problem

Q22a

<INT.: Hand list Q22a to respondent and leave it there.>

The most important problem to you is ...

<Int.: read the most important problem from Q21>

Which party, do you think, would be more qualified to solve this problem?

<INT.: Allow only ONE response.>

0 INAP.: no (important) problems mentioned/refused in V140AA

1 CDU (or: CSU)

2 SPD

3 FDP

4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

5 Republikaner

6 PDS

7 Schill-Partei

8 other party

9 all equally qualified <INT.: ONLY if spontaneously>

10 neither <INT.: ONLY if spontaneously>

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3861, v150: Qualified: most important problem (N=3263)

v150

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no (important) problem mentioned		89	2,7	2,7	2,7
1	CDU/CSU		1004	30,8	30,8	33,5
2	SPD		852	26,1	26,1	59,6
3	FDP		62	1,9	1,9	61,5
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		87	2,7	2,7	64,2
5	Republikaner		15	0,5	0,5	64,6
6	PDS		83	2,5	2,5	67,2
7	Schill-Partei		14	0,4	0,4	67,6
8	other party		10	0,3	0,3	67,9
9	all equally qualified		155	4,8	4,8	72,7
10	neither		608	18,6	18,6	91,3
98	DK		232	7,1	7,1	98,4
99	NA		52	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v160 - Qualified: 2nd most important problem

Q22b

<INT.: List Q22a is still at the respondent's. >

The second most important problem to you is ...

<Int.: read the second most important problem from Q21>

Which party, do you think, would be more qualified to solve this problem?

<INT.: Allow only ONE response.>

0 INAP.: no (second most important) problem mentioned

1 CDU (or: CSU)

2 SPD

3 FDP

4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

5 Republikaner

6 PDS

7 Schill-Partei

8 other party

9 all equally qualified <INT.: ONLY if spontaneously>

10 neither <INT.: ONLY if spontaneously>

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3861, v160: Qualified: 2nd most important problem (N=3263)

v160

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no (important) problem mentioned		263	8,1	8,1	8,1
1	CDU/CSU		891	27,3	27,3	35,4
2	SPD		840	25,7	25,7	61,1
3	FDP		83	2,5	2,5	63,7
4	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		156	4,8	4,8	68,4
5	Republikaner		26	0,8	0,8	69,2
6	PDS		91	2,8	2,8	72,0
7	Schill-Partei		21	0,6	0,6	72,7
8	other party		20	0,6	0,6	73,3
9	all equally qualified		137	4,2	4,2	77,5
10	neither		440	13,5	13,5	91,0
98	DK		248	7,6	7,6	98,6
99	NA		47	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170a - Politicians pay attention to people

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_A Politicians pay attention to what common people think.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170a: Politicians pay attention to people (N=3263)

v170a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		774	23,7	23,7	23,7
2	-1 tend to disagree		1073	32,9	32,9	56,6
3	0 part/part		907	27,8	27,8	84,4
4	+1 tend to agree		362	11,1	11,1	95,5
5	+2 completely agree		86	2,6	2,6	98,1
8	DK		45	1,4	1,4	99,5
9	NA		16	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170b - People have no influence on government

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_B People like me don't have any influence on the government.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170b: People have no influence on government (N=3263)

v170b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		137	4,2	4,2	4,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		466	14,3	14,3	18,5
3	0 part/part		576	17,7	17,7	36,1
4	+1 tend to agree		1036	31,7	31,7	67,9
5	+2 completely agree		1005	30,8	30,8	98,7
8	DK		28	0,9	0,9	99,5
9	NA		15	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170d - Politics too complicated

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_D Politics seem so complicated that a person like me cannot understand what's going on.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170d: Politics too complicated (N=3263)

v170d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		573	17,6	17,6	17,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		885	27,1	27,1	44,7
3	0 part/part		947	29,0	29,0	73,7
4	+1 tend to agree		526	16,1	16,1	89,8
5	+2 completely agree		278	8,5	8,5	98,3
8	DK		36	1,1	1,1	99,4
9	NA		18	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170f - Members of the Bundestag try to stay in contact with population

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_E The members of the Bundestag try to stay in close contact with the population.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170f: Members of the Bundestag try to stay in contact with population (N=3263)

v170f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		595	18,2	18,2	18,2
2	-1 tend to disagree		1094	33,5	33,5	51,8
3	0 part/part		987	30,2	30,2	82,0
4	+1 tend to agree		370	11,3	11,3	93,3
5	+2 completely agree		93	2,9	2,9	96,2
8	DK		104	3,2	3,2	99,4
9	NA		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170g - Citizens hardly influence politics

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_F The citizens hardly have a possibility to influence politics.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170g: Citizens hardly influence politics (N=3263)

v170g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		128	3,9	3,9	3,9
2	-1 tend to disagree		554	17,0	17,0	20,9
3	0 part/part		672	20,6	20,6	41,5
4	+1 tend to agree		1089	33,4	33,4	74,9
5	+2 completely agree		756	23,2	23,2	98,0
8	DK		47	1,4	1,4	99,5
9	NA		17	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170h - Right to stand up for own opinion

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_G Everybody should have the righth to argue for his/her own opinion, even if the majority has a different opinion.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170h: Right to stand up for own opinion (N=3263)

v170h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		19	0,6	0,6	0,6
2	-1 tend to disagree		51	1,6	1,6	2,1
3	0 part/part		263	8,1	8,1	10,2
4	+1 tend to agree		1053	32,3	32,3	42,5
5	+2 completely agree		1818	55,7	55,7	98,2
8	DK		36	1,1	1,1	99,3
9	NA		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170i - Respondent: active role in political group

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_H I believe that I'm capable of playing an active role in a group which is concerned with political issues.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170i: Respondent: active role in political group (N=3263)

v170i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		717	22,0	22,0	22,0
2	-1 tend to disagree		833	25,5	25,5	47,5
3	0 part/part		641	19,6	19,6	67,1
4	+1 tend to agree		631	19,3	19,3	86,5
5	+2 completely agree		338	10,4	10,4	96,8
8	DK		75	2,3	2,3	99,1
9	NA		28	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170k - No democracy possible without political opposition

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_J A viable democracy isn't possible without a political opposition.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170k: No democracy possible without political opposition (N=3263)

v170k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		25	0,8	0,8	0,8
2	-1 tend to disagree		91	2,8	2,8	3,6
3	0 part/part		424	13,0	13,0	16,5
4	+1 tend to agree		1027	31,5	31,5	48,0
5	+2 completely agree		1517	46,5	46,5	94,5
8	DK		154	4,7	4,7	99,2
9	NA		25	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170I - Respondent: understands political issue

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_K I'm capable of understanding and evaluating political issues.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170I: Respondent: understands political issue (N=3263)

v170I

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		81	2,5	2,5	2,5
2	-1 tend to disagree		250	7,7	7,7	10,1
3	0 part/part		976	29,9	29,9	40,1
4	+1 tend to agree		1220	37,4	37,4	77,4
5	+2 completely agree		669	20,5	20,5	97,9
8	DK		50	1,5	1,5	99,5
9	NA		17	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170m - Duty of citizens: electoral participation

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_L In a democracy regular electoral participation is the duty of the citizens.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170m: Duty of citizens: electoral participation (N=3263)

v170m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		100	3,1	3,1	3,1
2	-1 tend to disagree		118	3,6	3,6	6,7
3	0 part/part		352	10,8	10,8	17,5
4	+1 tend to agree		807	24,7	24,7	42,2
5	+2 completely agree		1799	55,1	55,1	97,3
8	DK		66	2,0	2,0	99,4
9	NA		21	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170o - Chance for every democratic party to be in government

Q23

<INT.: Hand list Q23 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. The value -2 means completely disagree, the value +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: read statements.>

Q23_C On principle, every democratic party should have the chance to come to power.

- 1 -2 completely disagree
- 2 -1 tend to disagree
- 3 0 part/part
- 4 +1 tend to agree
- 5 +2 completely agree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v170o: Chance for every democratic party to be in government (N=3263)

v170o

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 completely disagree		100	3,1	3,1	3,1
2	-1 tend to disagree		222	6,8	6,8	9,9
3	0 part/part		558	17,1	17,1	27,0
4	+1 tend to agree		1112	34,1	34,1	61,0
5	+2 completely agree		1145	35,1	35,1	96,1
8	DK		107	3,3	3,3	99,4
9	NA		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171a - Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU

Q24

<INT.: Hand list Q24 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q24_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

- 1 1 continued development of nuclear power
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v171a: Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU (N=3263)

v171a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		477	14,6	14,6	14,6
2	2		779	23,9	23,9	38,5
3	3		671	20,6	20,6	59,1
4	4		526	16,1	16,1	75,2
5	5		145	4,4	4,4	79,6
6	6		61	1,9	1,9	81,5
7	7 immediate shut-down		41	1,3	1,3	82,7
8	DK		529	16,2	16,2	99,0
9	NA		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171b - Nuclear energy: SPD

Q24

<INT.: Hand list Q24 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q24_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

- 1 1 continued development of nuclear power
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v171b: Nuclear energy: SPD (N=3263)

v171b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		21	0,6	0,6	0,6
2	2		93	2,9	2,9	3,5
3	3		254	7,8	7,8	11,3
4	4		668	20,5	20,5	31,7
5	5		975	29,9	29,9	61,6
6	6		574	17,6	17,6	79,2
7	7 immediate shut-down		175	5,4	5,4	84,6
8	DK		472	14,5	14,5	99,0
9	NA		31	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171c - Nuclear energy: FDP

Q24

<INT.: Hand list Q24 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q24_C What do you think is the political point of view of the FDP?

- 1 1 continued development of nuclear power
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v171c: Nuclear energy: FDP (N=3263)

v171c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		145	4,4	4,4	4,4
2	2		424	13,0	13,0	17,4
3	3		546	16,7	16,7	34,2
4	4		852	26,1	26,1	60,3
5	5		224	6,9	6,9	67,1
6	6		58	1,8	1,8	68,9
7	7 immediate shut-down		29	0,9	0,9	69,8
8	DK		929	28,5	28,5	98,3
9	NA		56	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171d - Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q24

<INT.: Hand list Q24 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q24_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen?

- 1 1 continued development of nuclear power
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v171d: Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3263)

v171d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		20	0,6	0,6	0,6
2	2		17	0,5	0,5	1,1
3	3		31	1,0	1,0	2,1
4	4		76	2,3	2,3	4,4
5	5		133	4,1	4,1	8,5
6	6		527	16,2	16,2	24,6
7	7 immediate shut-down		2114	64,8	64,8	89,4
8	DK		308	9,4	9,4	98,9
9	NA		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171e - Nuclear energy: Republikaner

Q24

<INT.: Hand list Q24 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q24_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner?

- 1 1 continued development of nuclear power
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v171e: Nuclear energy: Republikaner (N=3263)

v171e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		146	4,5	4,5	4,5
2	2		161	4,9	4,9	9,4
3	3		173	5,3	5,3	14,7
4	4		339	10,4	10,4	25,1
5	5		95	2,9	2,9	28,0
6	6		57	1,7	1,7	29,8
7	7 immediate shut-down		151	4,6	4,6	34,4
8	DK		2086	63,9	63,9	98,3
9	NA		55	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v171f - Nuclear energy: PDS

Q24

<INT.: Hand list Q24 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q24_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS?

- 1 1 continued development of nuclear power
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v171f: Nuclear energy: PDS (N=3263)

v171f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		38	1,2	1,2	1,2
2	2		78	2,4	2,4	3,6
3	3		116	3,6	3,6	7,1
4	4		410	12,6	12,6	19,7
5	5		269	8,2	8,2	27,9
6	6		311	9,5	9,5	37,5
7	7 immediate shut-down		294	9,0	9,0	46,5
8	DK		1688	51,7	51,7	98,2
9	NA		59	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172a - Immigration: CDU/CSU

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q25 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q25_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v172a: Immigration: CDU/CSU (N=3263)

v172a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration possibilities		41	1,3	1,3	1,3
2	2		68	2,1	2,1	3,3
3	3		122	3,7	3,7	7,1
4	4		261	8,0	8,0	15,1
5	5		591	18,1	18,1	33,2
6	6		1017	31,2	31,2	64,4
7	7 restriction of immigration possibilities		849	26,0	26,0	90,4
8	DK		281	8,6	8,6	99,0
9	NA		33	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172b - Immigration: SPD

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q25 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q25_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v172b: Immigration: SPD (N=3263)

v172b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration possibilities		226	6,9	6,9	6,9
2	2		593	18,2	18,2	25,1
3	3		973	29,8	29,8	54,9
4	4		694	21,3	21,3	76,2
5	5		299	9,2	9,2	85,4
6	6		109	3,3	3,3	88,7
7	7 restriction of immigration possibilities		39	1,2	1,2	89,9
8	DK		296	9,1	9,1	99,0
9	NA		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172c - Immigration: FDP

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q25 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q25_C What do you think is the political point of view of the FDP on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v172c: Immigration: FDP (N=3263)

v172c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration possibilities		38	1,2	1,2	1,2
2	2		112	3,4	3,4	4,6
3	3		311	9,5	9,5	14,1
4	4		887	27,2	27,2	41,3
5	5		648	19,9	19,9	61,2
6	6		381	11,7	11,7	72,8
7	7 restriction of immigration possibilities		163	5,0	5,0	77,8
8	DK		683	20,9	20,9	98,8
9	NA		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172d - Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q25 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q25_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v172d: Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3263)

v172d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration possibilities		797	24,4	24,4	24,4
2	2		808	24,8	24,8	49,2
3	3		492	15,1	15,1	64,3
4	4		366	11,2	11,2	75,5
5	5		133	4,1	4,1	79,6
6	6		61	1,9	1,9	81,4
7	7 restriction of immigration possibilities		60	1,8	1,8	83,3
8	DK		506	15,5	15,5	98,8
9	NA		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172e - Immigration: Republikaner

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q25 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q25_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v172e: Immigration: Republikaner (N=3263)

v172e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration possibilities		30	0,9	0,9	0,9
2	2		14	0,4	0,4	1,3
3	3		18	0,6	0,6	1,9
4	4		63	1,9	1,9	3,8
5	5		49	1,5	1,5	5,3
6	6		159	4,9	4,9	10,2
7	7 restriction of immigration possibilities		2098	64,3	64,3	74,5
8	DK		779	23,9	23,9	98,4
9	NA		53	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v172f - Immigration: PDS

Q25

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q25 to respondent.>

Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q25_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS on this issue?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities for foreigners
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v172f: Immigration: PDS (N=3263)

v172f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration possibilities		212	6,5	6,5	6,5
2	2		302	9,3	9,3	15,8
3	3		353	10,8	10,8	26,6
4	4		421	12,9	12,9	39,5
5	5		196	6,0	6,0	45,5
6	6		175	5,4	5,4	50,8
7	7 restriction of immigration possibilities		379	11,6	11,6	62,5
8	DK		1179	36,1	36,1	98,6
9	NA		46	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173a - European unification: CDU/CSU

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q26 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q26_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v173a: European unification: CDU/CSU (N=3263)

v173a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the European unification		356	10,9	10,9	10,9
2	2		578	17,7	17,7	28,6
3	3		649	19,9	19,9	48,5
4	4		515	15,8	15,8	64,3
5	5		307	9,4	9,4	73,7
6	6		189	5,8	5,8	79,5
7	7 European unification already goes too far		97	3,0	3,0	82,5
8	DK		538	16,5	16,5	99,0
9	NA		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173b - European unification: SPD

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q26 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q26_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v173b: European unification: SPD (N=3263)

v173b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the European unification		264	8,1	8,1	8,1
2	2		667	20,4	20,4	28,5
3	3		826	25,3	25,3	53,8
4	4		655	20,1	20,1	73,9
5	5		189	5,8	5,8	79,7
6	6		88	2,7	2,7	82,4
7	7 European unification already goes too far		28	0,9	0,9	83,3
8	DK		512	15,7	15,7	99,0
9	NA		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173c - European unification: FDP

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q26 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q26_C What do you think is the political point of view of the FDP on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v173c: European unification: FDP (N=3263)

v173c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the European unification		191	5,9	5,9	5,9
2	2		428	13,1	13,1	19,0
3	3		703	21,5	21,5	40,5
4	4		743	22,8	22,8	63,3
5	5		255	7,8	7,8	71,1
6	6		97	3,0	3,0	74,1
7	7 European unification already goes too far		36	1,1	1,1	75,2
8	DK		762	23,4	23,4	98,5
9	NA		48	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173d - European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q26 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q26_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v173d: European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3263)

v173d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the European unification		278	8,5	8,5	8,5
2	2		592	18,1	18,1	26,7
3	3		682	20,9	20,9	47,6
4	4		535	16,4	16,4	64,0
5	5		196	6,0	6,0	70,0
6	6		99	3,0	3,0	73,0
7	7 European unification already goes too far		66	2,0	2,0	75,0
8	DK		781	23,9	23,9	99,0
9	NA		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173e - European unification: Republikaner

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q26 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q26_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v173e: European unification: Republikaner (N=3263)

v173e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the European unification		40	1,2	1,2	1,2
2	2		33	1,0	1,0	2,2
3	3		48	1,5	1,5	3,7
4	4		135	4,1	4,1	7,8
5	5		151	4,6	4,6	12,5
6	6		405	12,4	12,4	24,9
7	7 European unification already goes too far		1285	39,4	39,4	64,3
8	DK		1114	34,1	34,1	98,4
9	NA		52	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v173f - European unification: PDS

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171f) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand list Q26 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to F individually and mark the value in the scheme.>

Q26_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS on this issue?

- 1 1 speed up the European unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 European unification already goes too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v173f: European unification: PDS (N=3263)

v173f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the European unification		81	2,5	2,5	2,5
2	2		168	5,1	5,1	7,6
3	3		329	10,1	10,1	17,7
4	4		508	15,6	15,6	33,3
5	5		259	7,9	7,9	41,2
6	6		198	6,1	6,1	47,3
7	7 European unification already goes too far		316	9,7	9,7	57,0
8	DK		1348	41,3	41,3	98,3
9	NA		56	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v174a - Personal opinion: nuclear energy

Q27

<INT.: Hand list Q27 to respondent.>

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

Q27_A What's your opinion on nuclear energy?

- 1 1 continued development
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 immediate shut-down
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v174a: Personal opinion: nuclear energy (N=3263)

v174a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 continued development		130	4,0	4,0	4,0
2	2		240	7,4	7,4	11,3
3	3		384	11,8	11,8	23,1
4	4		822	25,2	25,2	48,3
5	5		546	16,7	16,7	65,0
6	6		453	13,9	13,9	78,9
7	7 immediate shut-down		474	14,5	14,5	93,4
8	DK		176	5,4	5,4	98,8
9	NA		38	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v174b - Personal opinion: immigration

Q27

<INT.: Hand list Q27 to respondent.>

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

Q27_B What's your opinion on immigration possibilities for foreigners?

- 1 1 easier immigration possibilities
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v174b: Personal opinion: immigration (N=3263)

v174b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 easier immigration possibilities		118	3,6	3,6	3,6
2	2		227	7,0	7,0	10,6
3	3		346	10,6	10,6	21,2
4	4		670	20,5	20,5	41,7
5	5		531	16,3	16,3	58,0
6	6		532	16,3	16,3	74,3
7	7 restriction of immigration possibilities		701	21,5	21,5	95,8
8	DK		81	2,5	2,5	98,3
9	NA		57	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v174c - Personal opinion: European unification

Q27

<INT.: Hand list Q27 to respondent.>

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

Q27_C What's your opinion on European unification?

- 1 1 speed up the EU unification
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 EU unification already too far
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v174c: Personal opinion: European unification (N=3263)

v174c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 speed up the European unification		263	8,1	8,1	8,1
2	2		498	15,3	15,3	23,3
3	3		576	17,7	17,7	41,0
4	4		890	27,3	27,3	68,3
5	5		353	10,8	10,8	79,1
6	6		239	7,3	7,3	86,4
7	7 European unification already goes too far		221	6,8	6,8	93,2
8	8 DK		167	5,1	5,1	98,3
9	9 NA		56	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v175a - Important: nuclear energy

Q28

<INT.: Hand list Q27 to respondent .>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

Q28_A Nuclear energy

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 partly important/partly not
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v175a: Important: nuclear energy (N=3263)

v175a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		887	27,2	27,2	27,2
2	important		1426	43,7	43,7	70,9
3	part/part		662	20,3	20,3	91,2
4	not so important		186	5,7	5,7	96,9
5	completely unimportant		27	0,8	0,8	97,7
8	DK		46	1,4	1,4	99,1
9	NA		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v175b - Important: immigration

Q28

<INT.: Hand list Q27 to respondent .>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

Q28_B Immigration possibilities for foreigners

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 partly important/partly not
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v175b: Important: immigration (N=3263)

v175b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		1020	31,3	31,3	31,3
2	important		1367	41,9	41,9	73,2
3	part/part		574	17,6	17,6	90,7
4	not so important		199	6,1	6,1	96,8
5	completely unimportant		49	1,5	1,5	98,3
8	DK		27	0,8	0,8	99,2
9	NA		27	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v175c - Important: European unification

Q28

<INT.: Hand list Q27 to respondent .>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

Q28_C European unification

- 1 very important
- 2 important
- 3 partly important/partly not
- 4 not so important
- 5 completely unimportant
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v175c: Important: European unification (N=3263)

v175c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	very important		540	16,5	16,5	16,5
2	important		1264	38,7	38,7	55,3
3	part/part		923	28,3	28,3	83,6
4	not so important		396	12,1	12,1	95,7
5	completely unimportant		67	2,1	2,1	97,8
8	DK		51	1,6	1,6	99,3
9	NA		22	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v179 - Respondent: lived where July 89

Q31

Where did you live in July 1989, i.e. just before the 'Wende': in the former FRG, in the former GDR or already abroad for a few years?

<If code 2, go to question 32b. >

<If code 3, go to question 33.>

- 1 former FRG
- 2 former GDR
- 3 already abroad for a few years
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v179: Respondent: lived where July 89 (N=3263)

v179

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	former FRG		2102	64,4	64,4	64,4
2	former GDR		1069	32,8	32,8	97,2
3	already abroad for a few years		84	2,6	2,6	99,8
9	NA		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180aspw - FRG 89: personal standard of living

Q32a

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER FRG" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32a to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32a_A Personal standard of living

0 INAP: code 2, 3, 8 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180aspw: FRG 89: personal standard of living (N=3263)

v180aspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former FRG		1161	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	a lot better		90	2,8	2,8	38,3
2	better		561	17,2	17,2	55,5
3	the same		1107	33,9	33,9	89,5
4	worse		253	7,8	7,8	97,2
5	a lot worse		24	0,7	0,7	97,9
8	DK		48	1,5	1,5	99,4
9	NA		19	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180bspw - FRG 89: fair distribution of income

Q32a

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER FRG" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32a to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32a_B Fair distribution of income

0 INAP: code 2, 3, 8 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180bspw: FRG 89: fair distribution of income (N=3263)

v180bspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former FRG		1161	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	a lot better		39	1,2	1,2	36,8
2	better		560	17,2	17,2	53,9
3	the same		1025	31,4	31,4	85,4
4	worse		283	8,7	8,7	94,0
5	a lot worse		43	1,3	1,3	95,3
8	DK		130	4,0	4,0	99,3
9	NA		22	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180cspw - FRG 89: social security

Q32a

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER FRG" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32a to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32a_C Social security

0 INAP: code 2, 3, 8 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180cspw: FRG 89: social security (N=3263)

v180cspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former FRG		1161	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	a lot better		116	3,6	3,6	39,1
2	better		708	21,7	21,7	60,8
3	the same		802	24,6	24,6	85,4
4	worse		316	9,7	9,7	95,1
5	a lot worse		64	2,0	2,0	97,1
8	DK		72	2,2	2,2	99,3
9	NA		24	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180dspw - FRG 89: sticking together of the people among each other

Q32a

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER FRG" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32a to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32a_D Sticking together of the people among each other

0 INAP: code 2, 3, 8 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180dspw: FRG 89: sticking together of the people among each other (N=3263)

v180dspw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former FRG		1161	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	a lot better		55	1,7	1,7	37,3
2	better		510	15,6	15,6	52,9
3	the same		1069	32,8	32,8	85,7
4	worse		313	9,6	9,6	95,2
5	a lot worse		39	1,2	1,2	96,4
8	DK		93	2,9	2,9	99,3
9	NA		23	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180espw - FRG 89: protection against crime

Q32a

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER FRG" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32a to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32a_E Protection of the people against crime

<INT.: continue with question Q33!>

0 INAP: code 2, 3, 8 or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180espw: FRG 89: protection against crime (N=3263)

v180espw

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: not lived in the former FRG		1161	35,6	35,6	35,6
1	a lot better		127	3,9	3,9	39,5
2	better		509	15,6	15,6	55,1
3	the same		970	29,7	29,7	84,8
4	worse		315	9,7	9,7	94,5
5	a lot worse		75	2,3	2,3	96,8
8	DK		86	2,6	2,6	99,4
9	NA		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180aspo - GDR 89: personal standard of living

Q32b

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER GDR" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32b to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32b_A Personal standard of living

0 INAP: code 1, 3, 8, or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180aspo: GDR 89: personal standard of living (N=3263)

v180aspo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former GDR		2194	67,2	67,2	67,2
1	a lot better		64	2,0	2,0	69,2
2	better		284	8,7	8,7	77,9
3	the same		296	9,1	9,1	87,0
4	worse		328	10,1	10,1	97,0
5	a lot worse		37	1,1	1,1	98,2
8	DK		44	1,3	1,3	99,5
9	NA		16	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180bsp0 - GDR 89: fair distribution of income

Q32b

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER GDR" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32b to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32b_B Fair distribution of income

0 INAP: code 1, 3, 8, or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180bsp0: GDR 89: fair distribution of income (N=3263)

v180bsp0

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former GDR		2194	67,2	67,2	67,2
1	a lot better		107	3,3	3,3	70,5
2	better		440	13,5	13,5	84,0
3	the same		147	4,5	4,5	88,5
4	worse		200	6,1	6,1	94,6
5	a lot worse		43	1,3	1,3	96,0
8	DK		114	3,5	3,5	99,4
9	NA		18	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180cspo - GDR 89: social security

Q32b

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER GDR" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32b to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32b_C Social security

0 INAP: code 1, 3, 8, or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180cspo: GDR 89: social security (N=3263)

v180cspo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former GDR		2194	67,2	67,2	67,2
1	a lot better		371	11,4	11,4	78,6
2	better		428	13,1	13,1	91,7
3	the same		91	2,8	2,8	94,5
4	worse		82	2,5	2,5	97,0
5	a lot worse		51	1,6	1,6	98,6
8	DK		32	1,0	1,0	99,6
9	NA		14	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180dsp0 - GDR 89: sticking together of the people among each other

Q32b

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER GDR" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32b to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32b_D Sticking together of the people among each other

0 INAP: code 1, 3, 8, or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180dsp0: GDR 89: sticking together of the people among each other (N=3263)

v180dsp0

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former GDR		2194	67,2	67,2	67,2
1	a lot better		412	12,6	12,6	79,9
2	better		387	11,9	11,9	91,7
3	the same		80	2,5	2,5	94,2
4	worse		100	3,1	3,1	97,2
5	a lot worse		47	1,4	1,4	98,7
8	DK		31	1,0	1,0	99,6
9	NA		12	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v180espo - GDR 89: protection against crime

Q32b

ONLY IF RESPONDENT SAID "FORMER GDR" IN QUESTION Q31:

<INT.: Hand list Q32b to respondent.>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR BEFORE the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items.>

Q32b_E Protection of the people against crime

0 INAP: code 1, 3, 8, or 9 in v179

1 a lot better

2 better

3 the same

4 worse

5 a lot worse

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v180espo: GDR 89: protection against crime (N=3263)

v180espo

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not lived in the former GDR		2194	67,2	67,2	67,2
1	a lot better		329	10,1	10,1	77,3
2	better		391	12,0	12,0	89,3
3	the same		162	5,0	5,0	94,3
4	worse		66	2,0	2,0	96,3
5	a lot worse		44	1,3	1,3	97,6
8	DK		65	2,0	2,0	99,6
9	NA		12	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v181 - Know: number of federal states

Q33

TO ALL

Do you know of how many federal states the FRG altogether consists today, old and new federal states added up?

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3861, v181: Know: number of federal states (N=3263)

v181

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
5	5 federal states		3	0,1	0,1	0,1
6	6 federal states		3	0,1	0,1	0,2
7	7 federal states		6	0,2	0,2	0,4
8	8 federal states		2	0,1	0,1	0,4
9	9 federal states		10	0,3	0,3	0,7
10	10 federal states		15	0,5	0,5	1,2
11	11 federal states		26	0,8	0,8	2,0
12	12 federal states		103	3,2	3,2	5,1
13	13 federal states		60	1,8	1,8	7,0
14	14 federal states		122	3,7	3,7	10,7
15	15 federal states		289	8,9	8,9	19,6
16	16 federal states		1714	52,5	52,5	72,1
17	17 federal states		121	3,7	3,7	75,8
18	18 federal states		49	1,5	1,5	77,3
19	19 federal states		2	0,1	0,1	77,4
20	20 federal states		8	0,2	0,2	77,6
21	21 federal states		2	0,1	0,1	77,7
22	22 federal states		3	0,1	0,1	77,8
23	23 federal states		2	0,1	0,1	77,8
24	24 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	77,9
26	26 federal states		2	0,1	0,1	77,9
28	28 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	78,0
35	35 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	78,0
52	52 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	78,0
96	96 federal states		1	0,0	0,0	78,1
98	DK		660	20,2	20,2	98,3
99	NA		56	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182a - ASKO: constant chance versus fixed circumstances

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_A Constant change vs. fixed circumstances

- 1 constant change
- 2 fixed circumstances
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182a: ASKO: constant chance versus fixed circumstances (N=3263)

v182a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	constant change		1215	37,2	37,2	37,2
2	fixed circumstances		1840	56,4	56,4	93,6
8	DK		131	4,0	4,0	97,6
9	NA		77	2,4	2,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182b - ASKO: new ideas versus well-tried views

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_B New ideas vs. well-tried views

- 1 new ideas
- 2 well-tried views
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182b: ASKO: new ideas versus well-tried views (N=3263)

v182b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	new ideas		2260	69,3	69,3	69,3
2	well-tried views		818	25,1	25,1	94,3
8	DK		110	3,4	3,4	97,7
9	NA		75	2,3	2,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182c - ASKO: surprising situations versus clear circumstances

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_C Surprising situations vs. clear, definite circumstances

- 1 surprising situations
- 2 clear, definite circumstances
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182c: ASKO: surprising situations versus clear circumstances (N=3263)

v182c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	surprising situations		466	14,3	14,3	14,3
2	clear, definite circumstances		2656	81,4	81,4	95,7
8	DK		78	2,4	2,4	98,1
9	NA		63	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182d - ASKO: law and order versus movement and innovation

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_D Law and order vs. movement and innovation

- 1 law and order
- 2 movement and innovation
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182d: ASKO: law and order versus movement and innovation (N=3263)

v182d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	law and order		1915	58,7	58,7	58,7
2	movement and innovation		1159	35,5	35,5	94,2
8	DK		117	3,6	3,6	97,8
9	NA		72	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182e - ASKO: integration and subordination versus rebellion

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_E Integration and subordination vs. rebellion

- 1 integration
- 2 rebellion
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182e: ASKO: integration and subordination versus rebellion (N=3263)

v182e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	integration and subordination		1489	45,6	45,6	45,6
2	rebellion		1314	40,3	40,3	85,9
8	DK		339	10,4	10,4	96,3
9	NA		121	3,7	3,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182f - ASKO: change versus tradition

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_F Willingness to change vs. being attached to tradition

- 1 willingness to change
- 2 being attached to tradition
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182f: ASKO: change versus tradition (N=3263)

v182f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	willingness to change		1710	52,4	52,4	52,4
2	being attached to tradition		1270	38,9	38,9	91,3
8	DK		196	6,0	6,0	97,3
9	NA		87	2,7	2,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182g - ASKO: standing rules versus improvisations

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_G Standing rules vs. improvisations

- 1 standing rules
- 2 improvisations
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182g: ASKO: standing rules versus improvisations (N=3263)

v182g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	standing rules		2317	71,0	71,0	71,0
2	improvisations		713	21,9	21,9	92,9
8	DK		153	4,7	4,7	97,5
9	NA		80	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182h - ASKO: new unknown things versus known things

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<Interviewer: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_H New, previously unknown things vs. known things

- 1 new, previously unknown things
- 2 known things
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182h: ASKO: new unknown things versus known things (N=3263)

v182h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	new, previously unknown things		1264	38,7	38,7	38,7
2	known things		1684	51,6	51,6	90,3
8	DK		219	6,7	6,7	97,1
9	NA		96	2,9	2,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v182i - ASKO: preservation of long-established things versus reforms

Q29

<INT.: Hand list Q29 to respondent.>

Now we would like to ask you a couple of questions on how you generally see yourself and the world around you. I will now give you some pairs of terms. Please tell me for each of these pairs what you emotionally prefer on first sight, even if the terms contradict each other.

<INT.: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q29_I Preservation of long-established things vs. reforms

- 1 preservation of long-established things
- 2 reforms
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v182i: ASKO: preservation of long-established things versus reforms (N=3263)

v182i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	preservation of long-established things		862	26,4	26,4	26,4
2	reforms		2046	62,7	62,7	89,1
8	DK		258	7,9	7,9	97,0
9	NA		97	3,0	3,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v183a - Big 5: sociable versus introvert

Q30

<INT.: Hand list Q30 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know how you would judge yourself.

<INT.: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q30_A sociable vs. introvert

- 1 sociable
- 2 introvert
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v183a: Big 5: sociable versus introvert (N=3263)

v183a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	sociable		2591	79,4	79,4	79,4
2	introvert		537	16,5	16,5	95,9
8	DK		77	2,4	2,4	98,2
9	NA		58	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Case		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v183b - Big 5: new experiences versus familiar things

Q30

<INT.: Hand list Q30 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know how you would judge yourself.

<INT.: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q30_B looking for new experiences vs. looking for familiar and known things

- 1 looking for new experiences
- 2 looking for familiar and known things
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v183b: Big 5: new experiences versus familiar things (N=3263)

v183b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	looking for new experiences		1804	55,3	55,3	55,3
2	looking for familiar and known things		1279	39,2	39,2	94,5
8	DK		108	3,3	3,3	97,8
9	NA		72	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v183c - Big 5: mentally unbalanced versus mentally balanced

Q30

<INT.: Hand list Q30 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know how you would judge yourself.

<INT.: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q30_C mentally unbalanced vs. mentally balanced

- 1 mentally unbalanced
- 2 mentally balanced
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v183c: Big 5: mentally unbalanced versus mentally balanced (N=3263)

v183c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	mentally unbalanced		471	14,4	14,4	14,4
2	mentally balanced		2605	79,8	79,8	94,3
8	DK		105	3,2	3,2	97,5
9	NA		82	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v183d - Big 5: diligent versus casual

Q30

<INT.: Hand list Q30 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know how you would judge yourself.

<INT.: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q30_D diligent vs. casual and unconstrained

- 1 diligent
- 2 casual and unconstrained
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v183d: Big 5: diligent versus casual (N=3263)

v183d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	diligent		2268	69,5	69,5	69,5
2	casual and unconstrained		835	25,6	25,6	95,1
8	DK		88	2,7	2,7	97,8
9	NA		72	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v183e - Big 5: accommodate versus prevail

Q30

<INT.: Hand list Q30 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know how you would judge yourself.

<INT.: Read the pairs of terms. Mark only ONE answer per pair.>

Q30_E willingness to accommodate vs. willingness to prevail

- 1 willingness to accommodate
- 2 willingness to prevail
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v183e: Big 5: accommodate versus prevail (N=3263)

v183e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	willingness to accommodate		2426	74,3	74,3	74,3
2	willingness to prevail		593	18,2	18,2	92,5
8	DK		175	5,4	5,4	97,9
9	NA		69	2,1	2,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200a - Think of: CDU

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_A What do you think of the CDU?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v200a: Think of: CDU (N=3263)

v200a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		210	6,4	6,4	6,4
2	-4		155	4,8	4,8	11,2
3	-3		284	8,7	8,7	19,9
4	-2		286	8,8	8,8	28,7
5	-1		212	6,5	6,5	35,2
6	0 undecided		344	10,5	10,5	45,7
7	+1		252	7,7	7,7	53,4
8	+2		368	11,3	11,3	64,7
9	+3		472	14,5	14,5	79,2
10	+4		303	9,3	9,3	88,4
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		237	7,3	7,3	95,7
98	DK		57	1,7	1,7	97,5
99	NA		83	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200b - Think of: CSU

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_B What do you think of the CSU?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v200b: Think of: CSU (N=3263)

v200b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		383	11,7	11,7	11,7
2	-4		247	7,6	7,6	19,3
3	-3		284	8,7	8,7	28,0
4	-2		229	7,0	7,0	35,0
5	-1		191	5,9	5,9	40,9
6	0 undecided		371	11,4	11,4	52,3
7	+1		249	7,6	7,6	59,9
8	+2		278	8,5	8,5	68,4
9	+3		385	11,8	11,8	80,2
10	+4		266	8,2	8,2	88,4
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		219	6,7	6,7	95,1
98	DK		74	2,3	2,3	97,3
99	NA		87	2,7	2,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200c - Think of: SPD

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_C What do you think of the SPD?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v200c: Think of: SPD (N=3263)

v200c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		111	3,4	3,4	3,4
2	-4		82	2,5	2,5	5,9
3	-3		138	4,2	4,2	10,1
4	-2		205	6,3	6,3	16,4
5	-1		239	7,3	7,3	23,8
6	0 undecided		486	14,9	14,9	38,6
7	+1		379	11,6	11,6	50,3
8	+2		471	14,4	14,4	64,7
9	+3		518	15,9	15,9	80,6
10	+4		316	9,7	9,7	90,3
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		182	5,6	5,6	95,8
98	DK		48	1,5	1,5	97,3
99	NA		88	2,7	2,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200d - Think of: FDP

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_D What do you think of the FDP?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v200d: Think of: FDP (N=3263)

v200d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		195	6,0	6,0	6,0
2	-4		154	4,7	4,7	10,7
3	-3		217	6,7	6,7	17,3
4	-2		276	8,5	8,5	25,8
5	-1		321	9,8	9,8	35,6
6	0 undecided		745	22,8	22,8	58,5
7	+1		441	13,5	13,5	72,0
8	+2		360	11,0	11,0	83,0
9	+3		218	6,7	6,7	89,7
10	+4		87	2,7	2,7	92,4
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		42	1,3	1,3	93,7
98	DK		117	3,6	3,6	97,2
99	NA		90	2,8	2,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200e - Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_E What do you think of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v200e: Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3263)

v200e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		363	11,1	11,1	11,1
2	-4		187	5,7	5,7	16,9
3	-3		250	7,7	7,7	24,5
4	-2		269	8,2	8,2	32,8
5	-1		263	8,1	8,1	40,8
6	0 undecided		472	14,5	14,5	55,3
7	+1		415	12,7	12,7	68,0
8	+2		341	10,5	10,5	78,5
9	+3		303	9,3	9,3	87,7
10	+4		164	5,0	5,0	92,8
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		61	1,9	1,9	94,6
98	DK		80	2,5	2,5	97,1
99	NA		95	2,9	2,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200f - Think of: Republikaner

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_F What do you think of the Republikaner?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v200f: Think of: Republikaner (N=3263)

v200f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		2082	63,8	63,8	63,8
2	-4		243	7,4	7,4	71,3
3	-3		197	6,0	6,0	77,3
4	-2		124	3,8	3,8	81,1
5	-1		77	2,4	2,4	83,5
6	0 undecided		140	4,3	4,3	87,7
7	+1		53	1,6	1,6	89,4
8	+2		25	0,8	0,8	90,1
9	+3		19	0,6	0,6	90,7
10	+4		11	0,3	0,3	91,1
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		15	0,5	0,5	91,5
98	DK		172	5,3	5,3	96,8
99	NA		105	3,2	3,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200g - Think of: PDS

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_G What do you think of the PDS?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v200g: Think of: PDS (N=3263)

v200g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		1235	37,8	37,8	37,8
2	-4		258	7,9	7,9	45,8
3	-3		248	7,6	7,6	53,4
4	-2		197	6,0	6,0	59,4
5	-1		149	4,6	4,6	64,0
6	0 undecided		351	10,8	10,8	74,7
7	+1		171	5,2	5,2	80,0
8	+2		133	4,1	4,1	84,0
9	+3		118	3,6	3,6	87,6
10	+4		72	2,2	2,2	89,9
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		54	1,7	1,7	91,5
98	DK		175	5,4	5,4	96,9
99	NA		102	3,1	3,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v200i - Think of: Schill-Partei

Q34

<INT.: Hand scale Q34 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read specifications.>

Q34_H What do you think of the Schill-Partei?

- 1 -5 don't think much of the party at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of the party
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v200i: Think of: Schill-Partei (N=3263)

v200i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of the party at all		1644	50,4	50,4	50,4
2	-4		229	7,0	7,0	57,4
3	-3		172	5,3	5,3	62,7
4	-2		142	4,4	4,4	67,0
5	-1		120	3,7	3,7	70,7
6	0 undecided		242	7,4	7,4	78,1
7	+1		63	1,9	1,9	80,0
8	+2		33	1,0	1,0	81,1
9	+3		35	1,1	1,1	82,1
10	+4		15	0,5	0,5	82,6
11	+5 think a great deal of the party		13	0,4	0,4	83,0
98	DK		454	13,9	13,9	96,9
99	NA		101	3,1	3,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210a - Political goal: maintaining order in nation

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of these variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q35_1

<INT.: Hand list Q35 to respondent.>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally MOST IMPORTANT?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q35_2

And which aim would be the SECOND most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q35_3

And which aim would be the THIRD most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

A Political goal: Maintaining order in the nation

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v210a: Political goal: maintaining order in nation (N=3263)

v210a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		1129	34,6	34,6	34,6
2	second most important		755	23,1	23,1	57,7
3	third most important		726	22,2	22,2	80,0
4	fourth most important		558	17,1	17,1	97,1
8	DK		36	1,1	1,1	98,2
9	NA		59	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210b - Political goal: more say in government decisions

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of these variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q35_1

<INT.: Hand list Q35 to respondent.>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally MOST IMPORTANT?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q35_2

And which aim would be the SECOND most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q35_3

And which aim would be the THIRD most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

B Political goal: Giving the people more say in government decisions

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v210b: Political goal: more say in government decisions (N=3263)

v210b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		818	25,1	25,1	25,1
2	second most important		754	23,1	23,1	48,2
3	third most important		954	29,2	29,2	77,4
4	fourth most important		641	19,6	19,6	97,1
8	DK		30	0,9	0,9	98,0
9	NA		66	2,0	2,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210c - Political goal: fight against rising prices

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of these variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q35_1

<INT.: Hand list Q35 to respondent.>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally MOST IMPORTANT?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q35_2

And which aim would be the SECOND most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q35_3

And which aim would be the THIRD most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

C Political goal: Fight against rising prices

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v210c: Political goal: fight against rising prices (N=3263)

v210c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		754	23,1	23,1	23,1
2	second most important		941	28,8	28,8	51,9
3	third most important		736	22,6	22,6	74,5
4	fourth most important		736	22,6	22,6	97,1
8	DK		37	1,1	1,1	98,2
9	NA		59	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v210d - Political goal: freedom of speech

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not part of this dataset.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q35_1

<INT.: Hand list Q35 to respondent.>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally MOST IMPORTANT?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q35_2

And which aim would be the SECOND most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q35_3

And which aim would be the THIRD most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation

B Giving the people more say in government decisions

C Fight against rising prices

D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

D Political goal: Protecting the freedom of speech

1 most important

2 second most important

3 third most important

4 fourth most important

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, v210d: Political goal: freedom of speech (N=3263)

v210d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	most important		485	14,9	14,9	14,9
2	second most important		723	22,2	22,2	37,0
3	third most important		745	22,8	22,8	59,9
4	fourth most important		1213	37,2	37,2	97,0
8	DK		34	1,0	1,0	98,1
9	NA		63	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220a - Trust: Bundestag

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_A What about the Bundestag?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220a: Trust: Bundestag (N=3263)

v220a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		127	3,9	3,9	3,9
2	I rather don't trust this institution		385	11,8	11,8	15,7
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1310	40,1	40,1	55,8
4	I more or less trust this institution		1149	35,2	35,2	91,1
5	I completely trust this institution		183	5,6	5,6	96,7
8	DK		70	2,1	2,1	98,8
9	NA		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220b - Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_B What about the Bundesverfassungsgericht?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220b: Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht (N=3263)

v220b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		89	2,7	2,7	2,7
2	I rather don't trust this institution		208	6,4	6,4	9,1
3	I somewhat trust this institution		665	20,4	20,4	29,5
4	I more or less trust this institution		1362	41,7	41,7	71,2
5	I completely trust this institution		769	23,6	23,6	94,8
8	DK		133	4,1	4,1	98,9
9	NA		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220c - Trust: federal government

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_C What about the federal government?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220c: Trust: federal government (N=3263)

v220c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		192	5,9	5,9	5,9
2	I rather don't trust this institution		542	16,6	16,6	22,5
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1238	37,9	37,9	60,4
4	I more or less trust this institution		1000	30,6	30,6	91,1
5	I completely trust this institution		195	6,0	6,0	97,1
8	DK		53	1,6	1,6	98,7
9	NA		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220d - Trust: courts

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_D What about the courts?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220d: Trust: courts (N=3263)

v220d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		127	3,9	3,9	3,9
2	I rather don't trust this institution		352	10,8	10,8	14,7
3	I somewhat trust this institution		874	26,8	26,8	41,5
4	I more or less trust this institution		1397	42,8	42,8	84,3
5	I completely trust this institution		413	12,7	12,7	96,9
8	DK		64	2,0	2,0	98,9
9	NA		36	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220e - Trust: police

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_E What about the police?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220e: Trust: police (N=3263)

v220e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		78	2,4	2,4	2,4
2	I rather don't trust this institution		247	7,6	7,6	10,0
3	I somewhat trust this institution		810	24,8	24,8	34,8
4	I more or less trust this institution		1529	46,9	46,9	81,6
5	I completely trust this institution		532	16,3	16,3	97,9
8	DK		37	1,1	1,1	99,1
9	NA		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220f - Trust: administration

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_F What about the administration?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220f: Trust: administration (N=3263)

v220f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		186	5,7	5,7	5,7
2	I rather don't trust this institution		609	18,7	18,7	24,4
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1254	38,4	38,4	62,8
4	I more or less trust this institution		960	29,4	29,4	92,2
5	I completely trust this institution		142	4,4	4,4	96,6
8	DK		69	2,1	2,1	98,7
9	NA		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220g - Trust: churches

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_G What about the churches?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220g: Trust: churches (N=3263)

v220g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		425	13,0	13,0	13,0
2	I rather don't trust this institution		585	17,9	17,9	31,0
3	I somewhat trust this institution		880	27,0	27,0	57,9
4	I more or less trust this institution		875	26,8	26,8	84,7
5	I completely trust this institution		280	8,6	8,6	93,3
8	DK		172	5,3	5,3	98,6
9	NA		46	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220h - Trust: political parties

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_H What about the political parties?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220h: Trust: political parties (N=3263)

v220h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		295	9,0	9,0	9,0
2	I rather don't trust this institution		829	25,4	25,4	34,4
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1520	46,6	46,6	81,0
4	I more or less trust this institution		464	14,2	14,2	95,2
5	I completely trust this institution		53	1,6	1,6	96,9
8	DK		62	1,9	1,9	98,8
9	NA		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220i - Trust: Bundeswehr

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_I What about the Bundeswehr?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220i: Trust: Bundeswehr (N=3263)

v220i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		107	3,3	3,3	3,3
2	I rather don't trust this institution		249	7,6	7,6	10,9
3	I somewhat trust this institution		806	24,7	24,7	35,6
4	I more or less trust this institution		1435	44,0	44,0	79,6
5	I completely trust this institution		474	14,5	14,5	94,1
8	DK		149	4,6	4,6	98,7
9	NA		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220k - Trust: trade unions

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_K What about the unions?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220k: Trust: trade unions (N=3263)

v220k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		261	8,0	8,0	8,0
2	I rather don't trust this institution		538	16,5	16,5	24,5
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1086	33,3	33,3	57,8
4	I more or less trust this institution		962	29,5	29,5	87,3
5	I completely trust this institution		181	5,5	5,5	92,8
8	DK		196	6,0	6,0	98,8
9	NA		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220I - Trust: trade and employers' associations

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_L What about the trade and employers' association

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220I: Trust: trade and employers' associations (N=3263)

v220I

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		290	8,9	8,9	8,9
2	I rather don't trust this institution		814	24,9	24,9	33,8
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1210	37,1	37,1	70,9
4	I more or less trust this institution		560	17,2	17,2	88,1
5	I completely trust this institution		77	2,4	2,4	90,4
8	DK		265	8,1	8,1	98,6
9	NA		47	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220m - Trust: ecological groups

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_M What about ecological groups?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v220m: Trust: ecological groups (N=3263)

v220m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		124	3,8	3,8	3,8
2	I rather don't trust this institution		375	11,5	11,5	15,3
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1023	31,4	31,4	46,6
4	I more or less trust this institution		1295	39,7	39,7	86,3
5	I completely trust this institution		307	9,4	9,4	95,7
8	DK		100	3,1	3,1	98,8
9	NA		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220n - Trust: members of the Bundestag

Q37

<INT.: Hand list Q37 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q37_N What about the members of the Bundestag?

- 1 don't trust this institution at all
- 2 I rather don't trust this institution
- 3 I somewhat trust this institution
- 4 I more or less trust this institution
- 5 I completely trust this institution
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, v220n: Trust: members of the Bundestag (N=3263)

v220n

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	I don't trust this institution at all		203	6,2	6,2	6,2
2	I rather don't trust this institution		609	18,7	18,7	24,9
3	I somewhat trust this institution		1479	45,3	45,3	70,2
4	I more or less trust this institution		759	23,3	23,3	93,5
5	I completely trust this institution		86	2,6	2,6	96,1
8	DK		90	2,8	2,8	98,9
9	NA		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v230 - Preferred chancellor

VW Q11

TO ALL

Now I would like to ask the following question: Gerhard Schröder and Edmund Stoiber are the candidates for chancellor of the two large parties.
Which of these two would you prefer as chancellor after the federal election?

NW Q13

TO ALL

Now I would like to ask the following question: Gerhard Schröder and Edmund Stoiber are the candidates for chancellor of the two large parties.
Which of these two have you preferred as chancellor?

- 1 Gerhard Schröder
- 2 Edmund Stoiber
- 3 neither <Int.: ONLY if spontaneously>
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v230: Preferred chancellor (N=3263)

v230

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	Gerhard Schroeder		1606	49,2	49,2	49,2
2	Edmund Stoiber		963	29,5	29,5	78,7
3	neither		420	12,9	12,9	91,6
8	DK		149	4,6	4,6	96,2
9	NA		125	3,8	3,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v231s - Scalometer: Schroeder

Q40

<INT.: Hand scale Q40 to respondent.>

What do you think, in general, about Gerhard Schröder or Edmund Stoiber? Please tell me by using the following scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of Gerhard Schröder or Edmund Stoiber, -5 means that you do not think much of the politician at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value for each question.>

Q40_A And what do you think of Gerhard Schröder?

- 1 -5 don't think much of this politician at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of this politician
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v231s: Scalometer: Schroeder (N=3263)

v231s

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of this politician at all		226	6,9	6,9	6,9
2	-4		115	3,5	3,5	10,5
3	-3		159	4,9	4,9	15,3
4	-2		196	6,0	6,0	21,3
5	-1		154	4,7	4,7	26,0
6	0 undecided		370	11,3	11,3	37,4
7	+1		341	10,5	10,5	47,8
8	+2		432	13,2	13,2	61,1
9	+3		577	17,7	17,7	78,8
10	+4		347	10,6	10,6	89,4
11	+5 think a great deal of this politician		237	7,3	7,3	96,7
98	don't know		36	1,1	1,1	97,8
99	NA		73	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v231k - Scalometer: Stoiber

Q40

<INT.: Hand scale Q40 to respondent.>

What do you think, in general, about Gerhard Schröder or Edmund Stoiber? Please tell me by using the following scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of Gerhard Schröder or Edmund Stoiber, -5 means that you do not think much of the politician at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value for each question.>

Q40_B What do you think of Edmund Stoiber?

- 1 -5 don't think much of this politician at all
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 think a great deal of this politician
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v231k: Scalometer: Stoiber (N=3263)

v231k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 don't think much of this politician at all		326	10,0	10,0	10,0
2	-4		234	7,2	7,2	17,2
3	-3		300	9,2	9,2	26,4
4	-2		256	7,8	7,8	34,2
5	-1		237	7,3	7,3	41,5
6	0 undecided		462	14,2	14,2	55,6
7	+1		308	9,4	9,4	65,1
8	+2		299	9,2	9,2	74,2
9	+3		323	9,9	9,9	84,1
10	+4		217	6,7	6,7	90,8
11	+5 think a great deal of this politician		161	4,9	4,9	95,7
98	don't know		56	1,7	1,7	97,4
99	NA		84	2,6	2,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232as - Schroeder: economic skills

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Gerhard Schröder or Edmund Stoiber in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q41A

The first statement: he's got reasonable ideas to boost the economy.

Q41A_A Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232as: Schroeder: economic skills (N=3263)

v232as

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		299	9,2	9,2	9,2
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		631	19,3	19,3	28,5
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		885	27,1	27,1	55,6
4	+1 more or less applies		952	29,2	29,2	84,8
5	+2 completely applies		304	9,3	9,3	94,1
8	DK		116	3,6	3,6	97,7
9	NA		76	2,3	2,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232ak - Stoiber: economic skills

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Gerhard Schröder or Edmund Stoiber in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q41A

The first statement: he's got reasonable ideas to boost the economy.

Q41A_B Does that apply to Edmund Stoiber or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232ak: Stoiber: economic skills (N=3263)

v232ak

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		184	5,6	5,6	5,6
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		533	16,3	16,3	22,0
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		904	27,7	27,7	49,7
4	+1 more or less applies		968	29,7	29,7	79,3
5	+2 completely applies		411	12,6	12,6	91,9
8	DK		183	5,6	5,6	97,5
9	NA		80	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232bs - Schroeder: likeable human being

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q41B

He's likeable as a human being.

Q41B_A Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232bs: Schroeder: likeable human being (N=3263)

v232bs

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		222	6,8	6,8	6,8
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		328	10,1	10,1	16,9
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		505	15,5	15,5	32,3
4	+1 more or less applies		1127	34,5	34,5	66,9
5	+2 completely applies		937	28,7	28,7	95,6
8	DK		70	2,1	2,1	97,7
9	NA		74	2,3	2,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232bk - Stoiber: likeable human being

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q41B

He's likeable as a human being.

Q41B_B Does that apply to Edmund Stoiber or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232bk: Stoiber: likeable human being (N=3263)

v232bk

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		480	14,7	14,7	14,7
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		866	26,5	26,5	41,3
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		786	24,1	24,1	65,3
4	+1 more or less applies		677	20,7	20,7	86,1
5	+2 completely applies		291	8,9	8,9	95,0
8	DK		90	2,8	2,8	97,8
9	NA		73	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232cs - Schroeder: politically trustworthy

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q41C

He is politically trustworthy.

Q41C_A Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232cs: Schroeder: politically trustworthy (N=3263)

v232cs

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		293	9,0	9,0	9,0
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		529	16,2	16,2	25,2
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		801	24,5	24,5	49,7
4	+1 more or less applies		1052	32,2	32,2	82,0
5	+2 completely applies		420	12,9	12,9	94,9
8	DK		98	3,0	3,0	97,9
9	NA		70	2,1	2,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232ck - Stoiber: politically trustworthy

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q41C

He is politically trustworthy.

Q41C_B Does that apply to Edmund Stoiber or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232ck: Stoiber: politically trustworthy (N=3263)

v232ck

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		303	9,3	9,3	9,3
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		635	19,5	19,5	28,7
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		880	27,0	27,0	55,7
4	+1 more or less applies		846	25,9	25,9	81,6
5	+2 completely applies		371	11,4	11,4	93,0
8	DK		155	4,8	4,8	97,8
9	NA		73	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232ds - Schroeder: dynamic

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q41D

He is dynamic.

Q41D_A Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232ds: Schroeder: dynamic (N=3263)

v232ds

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		195	6,0	6,0	6,0
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		384	11,8	11,8	17,7
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		737	22,6	22,6	40,3
4	+1 more or less applies		1239	38,0	38,0	78,3
5	+2 completely applies		520	15,9	15,9	94,2
8	DK		116	3,6	3,6	97,8
9	NA		72	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v232dk - Stoiber: dynamic

Q41

<INT.: Hand list Q41 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q41D

He is dynamic.

Q41D_B Does that apply to Edmund Stoiber or not?

- 1 -2 doesn't apply at all
- 2 -1 rather doesn't apply
- 3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
- 4 +1 more or less applies
- 5 +2 completely applies
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v232dk: Stoiber: dynamic (N=3263)

v232dk

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't apply at all		132	4,0	4,0	4,0
2	-1 rather doesn't apply		360	11,0	11,0	15,1
3	0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply		876	26,8	26,8	41,9
4	+1 more or less applies		1118	34,3	34,3	76,2
5	+2 completely applies		494	15,1	15,1	91,3
8	DK		211	6,5	6,5	97,8
9	NA		72	2,2	2,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240a - Political participation: citizens' initiative

Q38

<INT.: Hand list Q38 to respondent.>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q38_A work for a citizens' initiative

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v240a: Political participation: citizens' initiative (N=3263)

v240a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		644	19,7	19,7	19,7
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		512	15,7	15,7	35,4
3	0 would possibly do that		811	24,9	24,9	60,3
4	+1 would probably do that		813	24,9	24,9	85,2
5	+2 would definitely do that		421	12,9	12,9	98,1
8	DK		32	1,0	1,0	99,1
9	NA		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240b - Political participation: legal demonstration

Q38

<INT.: Hand list Q38 to respondent.>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q38_B attend a legal demonstration

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v240b: Political participation: legal demonstration (N=3263)

v240b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		837	25,7	25,7	25,7
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		497	15,2	15,2	40,9
3	0 would possibly do that		676	20,7	20,7	61,6
4	+1 would probably do that		731	22,4	22,4	84,0
5	+2 would definitely do that		450	13,8	13,8	97,8
8	DK		36	1,1	1,1	98,9
9	NA		36	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240c - Political participation: violent demonstration

Q38

<INT.: Hand list Q38 to respondent.>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q38_C attend a demonstration even if violence is likely

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v240c: Political participation: violent demonstration (N=3263)

v240c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		1997	61,2	61,2	61,2
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		655	20,1	20,1	81,3
3	0 would possibly do that		295	9,0	9,0	90,3
4	+1 would probably do that		178	5,5	5,5	95,8
5	+2 would definitely do that		76	2,3	2,3	98,1
8	DK		27	0,8	0,8	98,9
9	NA		35	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240e - Political participation: blockade traffic

Q38

<INT.: Hand list Q38 to respondent.>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q38_E blockade road traffic

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v240e: Political participation: blockade traffic (N=3263)

v240e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		2030	62,2	62,2	62,2
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		612	18,8	18,8	81,0
3	0 would possibly do that		334	10,2	10,2	91,2
4	+1 would probably do that		155	4,8	4,8	96,0
5	+2 would definitely do that		76	2,3	2,3	98,3
8	DK		28	0,9	0,9	99,1
9	NA		28	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240f - Political participation: get support from party

Q38

<INT.: Hand list Q38 to respondent.>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q38_F try to get the support from a political party

- 1 -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
- 2 -1 I wouldn't probably do that
- 3 0 I would possibly do that
- 4 +1 I would probably do that
- 5 +2 I would definitely do that
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v240f: Political participation: get support from party (N=3263)

v240f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 definitely wouldn't do that		861	26,4	26,4	26,4
2	-1 probably wouldn't do that		465	14,3	14,3	40,6
3	0 would possibly do that		815	25,0	25,0	65,6
4	+1 would probably do that		717	22,0	22,0	87,6
5	+2 would definitely do that		292	8,9	8,9	96,5
8	DK		79	2,4	2,4	99,0
9	NA		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v250 - Left-right self-placement

Q36

<INT.: Hand scale Q36 to respondent. Allow only ONE answer.>

In politics people often use the terms 'left' and 'right'. Using this scale from 1 to 11, where would you place yourself, 1 meaning left and 11 right?

1 1 left

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6

7 7

8 8

9 9

10 10

11 11 right

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3861, v250: Left-right self-placement (N=3263)

v250

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		62	1,9	1,9	1,9
2	2		128	3,9	3,9	5,8
3	3		348	10,7	10,7	16,5
4	4		381	11,7	11,7	28,2
5	5		438	13,4	13,4	41,6
6	6		840	25,7	25,7	67,3
7	7		280	8,6	8,6	75,9
8	8		227	7,0	7,0	82,9
9	9		137	4,2	4,2	87,1
10	10		69	2,1	2,1	89,2
11	11 right		35	1,1	1,1	90,3
98	DK		194	5,9	5,9	96,2
99	NA		124	3,8	3,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260a - Interests: trade unions

Q42

<INT.: Hand list Q42 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q42_A How about the unions?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260a: Interests: trade unions (N=3263)

v260a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		207	6,3	6,3	6,3
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		351	10,8	10,8	17,1
3	0 neither... nor		1232	37,8	37,8	54,9
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1014	31,1	31,1	85,9
5	+2 fully represents my interests		215	6,6	6,6	92,5
8	DK		203	6,2	6,2	98,7
9	NA		41	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260b - Interests: trade and employers' associations

Q42

<INT.: Hand list Q42 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q42_B How about the trade and employers' associations?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260b: Interests: trade and employers' associations (N=3263)

v260b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		291	8,9	8,9	8,9
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		672	20,6	20,6	29,5
3	0 neither... nor		1395	42,8	42,8	72,3
4	+1 partially represents my interests		506	15,5	15,5	87,8
5	+2 fully represents my interests		54	1,7	1,7	89,4
8	DK		306	9,4	9,4	98,8
9	NA		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260c - Interests: ecological groups

Q42

<INT.: Hand list Q42 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q42_C How about ecological groups?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260c: Interests: ecological groups (N=3263)

v260c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		126	3,9	3,9	3,9
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		311	9,5	9,5	13,4
3	0 neither... nor		927	28,4	28,4	41,8
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1429	43,8	43,8	85,6
5	+2 fully represents my interests		294	9,0	9,0	94,6
8	DK		142	4,4	4,4	99,0
9	NA		34	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260f - Interests: churches

Q42

<INT.: Hand list Q42 to the respondent>

I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q42_D How about the church?

- 1 -2 completely opposed to my interests
- 2 -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither... nor
- 4 +1 somewhat represent my interests
- 5 +2 completely represent my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260f: Interests: churches (N=3263)

v260f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		385	11,8	11,8	11,8
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		432	13,2	13,2	25,0
3	0 neither... nor		1261	38,6	38,6	63,7
4	+1 partially represents my interests		791	24,2	24,2	87,9
5	+2 fully represents my interests		156	4,8	4,8	92,7
8	DK		184	5,6	5,6	98,3
9	NA		54	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260g - Interests: CDU/CSU

Q43

(Full question text, see Q42 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand list Q43 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q43_E what about the CDU/CSU?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260g: Interests: CDU/CSU (N=3263)

v260g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		361	11,1	11,1	11,1
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		707	21,7	21,7	32,7
3	0 neither... nor		657	20,1	20,1	52,9
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1049	32,1	32,1	85,0
5	+2 fully represents my interests		305	9,3	9,3	94,4
8	DK		95	2,9	2,9	97,3
9	NA		89	2,7	2,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260h - Interests: SPD

Q43

(Full question text, see Q42 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand list Q43 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q43_F what about the SPD?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260h: Interests: SPD (N=3263)

v260h

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		195	6,0	6,0	6,0
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		528	16,2	16,2	22,2
3	0 neither... nor		731	22,4	22,4	44,6
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1335	40,9	40,9	85,5
5	+2 fully represents my interests		304	9,3	9,3	94,8
8	DK		83	2,5	2,5	97,3
9	NA		87	2,7	2,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260i - Interests: FDP

Q43

(Full question text, see Q42 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand list Q43 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q43_G what about the FDP?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260i: Interests: FDP (N=3263)

v260i

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		292	8,9	8,9	8,9
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		557	17,1	17,1	26,0
3	0 neither... nor		1311	40,2	40,2	66,2
4	+1 partially represents my interests		740	22,7	22,7	88,9
5	+2 fully represents my interests		69	2,1	2,1	91,0
8	DK		198	6,1	6,1	97,1
9	NA		96	2,9	2,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260j - Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q43

(Full question text, see Q42 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand list Q43 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q43_H what about Bündnis 90/ Den Grünen?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260j: Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3263)

v260j

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		479	14,7	14,7	14,7
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		522	16,0	16,0	30,7
3	0 neither... nor		850	26,0	26,0	56,7
4	+1 partially represents my interests		1072	32,9	32,9	89,6
5	+2 fully represents my interests		133	4,1	4,1	93,7
8	DK		124	3,8	3,8	97,5
9	NA		83	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260k - Interests: Republikaner

Q43

(Full question text, see Q42 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand list Q43 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q43_J what about the Republikaner?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260k: Interests: Republikaner (N=3263)

v260k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		1963	60,2	60,2	60,2
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		321	9,8	9,8	70,0
3	0 neither... nor		423	13,0	13,0	83,0
4	+1 partially represents my interests		118	3,6	3,6	86,6
5	+2 fully represents my interests		31	1,0	1,0	87,5
8	DK		317	9,7	9,7	97,2
9	NA		90	2,8	2,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v260m - Interests: PDS

Q43

(Full question text, see Q42 (v260a - v260f))

<INT.: Hand list Q43 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q43_L what about the PDS?

- 1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
- 2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
- 3 0 neither nor
- 4 +1 partially represents my interests
- 5 +2 fully represents my interests
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v260m: Interests: PDS (N=3263)

v260m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-2 doesn't represent my interests at all		1236	37,9	37,9	37,9
2	-1 partially opposed to my interests		446	13,7	13,7	51,5
3	0 neither... nor		665	20,4	20,4	71,9
4	+1 partially represents my interests		378	11,6	11,6	83,5
5	+2 fully represents my interests		100	3,1	3,1	86,6
8	DK		343	10,5	10,5	97,1
9	NA		95	2,9	2,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281a - Society: respect established things

Q44

<INT.: Shuffle deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q44_A I want to live in a society which values and respects established things.

- 1 1 most important
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 least important
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v281a: Society: respect established things (N=3263)

v281a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		375	11,5	11,5	11,5
2	2		402	12,3	12,3	23,8
3	3		426	13,1	13,1	36,9
4	4		531	16,3	16,3	53,1
5	5		568	17,4	17,4	70,5
6	6 least important		802	24,6	24,6	95,1
9	NA		159	4,9	4,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281b - Society: citizen participation

Q44

<INT.: Shuffle deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q44_B I want to live in a society in which the citizens participate in all decisions.

1 1 most important

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6 least important

9 refused

ZA3861, v281b: Society: citizen participation (N=3263)

v281b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		432	13,2	13,2	13,2
2	2		420	12,9	12,9	26,1
3	3		548	16,8	16,8	42,9
4	4		566	17,3	17,3	60,3
5	5		587	18,0	18,0	78,2
6	6 least important		550	16,9	16,9	95,1
9	NA		160	4,9	4,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281c - Society: achieve through merit

Q44

<INT.: Shuffle deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q44_C I want to live in a society in which one can achieve something through merit.

1 1 most important

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6 least important

9 refused

ZA3861, v281c: Society: achieve through merit (N=3263)

v281c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		412	12,6	12,6	12,6
2	2		532	16,3	16,3	28,9
3	3		606	18,6	18,6	47,5
4	4		576	17,7	17,7	65,2
5	5		559	17,1	17,1	82,3
6	6 least important		419	12,8	12,8	95,1
9	NA		159	4,9	4,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281d - Society: respect law and order

Q44

<INT.: Shuffle deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q44_D I want to live in a society which respects law and order.

- 1 1 most important
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 least important
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v281d: Society: respect law and order (N=3263)

v281d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		611	18,7	18,7	18,7
2	2		759	23,3	23,3	42,0
3	3		585	17,9	17,9	59,9
4	4		513	15,7	15,7	75,6
5	5		426	13,1	13,1	88,7
6	6 least important		214	6,6	6,6	95,2
9	NA		155	4,8	4,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281e - Society: open to new ideas

Q44

<INT.: Shuffle deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q44_E I want to live in a society which is open to new ideas and mental change.

- 1 1 most important
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 least important
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v281e: Society: open to new ideas (N=3263)

v281e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		233	7,1	7,1	7,1
2	2		425	13,0	13,0	20,2
3	3		477	14,6	14,6	34,8
4	4		512	15,7	15,7	50,5
5	5		594	18,2	18,2	68,7
6	6 least important		857	26,3	26,3	94,9
9	NA		165	5,1	5,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v281f - Society: man more important than money

Q44

<INT.: Shuffle deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q44_F I want to live in a society in which man is more important than money.

- 1 1 most important
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6 least important
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v281f: Society: man more important than money (N=3263)

v281f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 most important		1049	32,1	32,1	32,1
2	2		571	17,5	17,5	49,6
3	3		465	14,3	14,3	63,9
4	4		406	12,4	12,4	76,3
5	5		368	11,3	11,3	87,6
6	6 least important		251	7,7	7,7	95,3
9	NA		153	4,7	4,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v282 - Satisfaction with government (SPD + Buendnis90/Die Gruenen)

Q45

<INT.: Hand scale Q45 to respondent.>

Are you more satisfied or more dissatisfied with what the government (SPD-Bündnis90 / Die Grünen) in Berlin has accomplished so far? Please tell me with the aid of this scale. +5 means that you are completely satisfied with the present government in Berlin. -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished so far. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

- 1 -5 not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished
- 2 -4
- 3 -3
- 4 -2
- 5 -1
- 6 0 undecided
- 7 +1
- 8 +2
- 9 +3
- 10 +4
- 11 +5 completely satisfied with what the present government has accomplished
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v282: Satisfaction with government (SPD + Buendnis90/Die Gruenen) (N=3263)

v282

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	-5 not at all satisfied		201	6,2	6,2	6,2
2	-4		202	6,2	6,2	12,4
3	-3		328	10,1	10,1	22,4
4	-2		295	9,0	9,0	31,4
5	-1		274	8,4	8,4	39,8
6	0 undecided		431	13,2	13,2	53,0
7	+1		397	12,2	12,2	65,2
8	+2		451	13,8	13,8	79,0
9	+3		374	11,5	11,5	90,5
10	+4		115	3,5	3,5	94,0
11	+5 completely satisfied		38	1,2	1,2	95,2
98	DK		95	2,9	2,9	98,1
99	NA		62	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283info - Follow-up survey

This variable indicates, if the respondent took part in the follow-up survey.

- 1 not affected by the follow-up survey
- 2 took part in follow-up survey
- 3 not taken part in follow-up survey, although affected

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283info: Follow-up survey (N=3263)

v283info

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	not affected by the follow-up survey		1100	33,7	33,7	33,7
2	took part in follow-up survey		1201	36,8	36,8	70,5
3	not taken part in follow-up survey, although affected		962	29,5	29,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283a - Connected to: community, city

Combined variable v283a.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_A Are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your community (city)?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283a: Connected to: community, city (N=3263)

v283a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		1150	35,2	35,2	35,2
2	somewhat connected		1350	41,4	41,4	76,6
3	sparsely connected		604	18,5	18,5	95,1
4	not at all connected		128	3,9	3,9	99,0
8	DK		13	0,4	0,4	99,4
9	NA		18	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283b - Connected to: region

Combined variable v283b.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_B And when you think about the region here: are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your region?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283b: Connected to: region (N=3263)

v283b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		1153	35,3	35,3	35,3
2	somewhat connected		1412	43,3	43,3	78,6
3	sparsely connected		546	16,7	16,7	95,3
4	not at all connected		114	3,5	3,5	98,8
8	DK		22	0,7	0,7	99,5
9	NA		16	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283c - Connected to: federal state

Combined variable v283c.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_C And what about your federal state?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283c: Connected to: federal state (N=3263)

v283c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		1015	31,1	31,1	31,1
2	somewhat connected		1407	43,1	43,1	74,2
3	sparsely connected		677	20,7	20,7	95,0
4	not at all connected		123	3,8	3,8	98,7
8	DK		17	0,5	0,5	99,3
9	NA		24	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283d - Connected to: FRG/GDR

Combined variable v283d.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_D And what about the old FRG (Split East: with the former GDR) as it existed before the unification with the GDR (Split East: with the FRG)?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283d: Connected to: FRG/GDR (N=3263)

v283d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		673	20,6	20,6	20,6
2	somewhat connected		1202	36,8	36,8	57,5
3	sparsely connected		788	24,1	24,1	81,6
4	not at all connected		314	9,6	9,6	91,2
8	DK		111	3,4	3,4	94,6
9	NA		175	5,4	5,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283e - Connected to: Germany

Combined variable v283e.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_E And what about Germany as a whole?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283e: Connected to: Germany (N=3263)

v283e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		838	25,7	25,7	25,7
2	somewhat connected		1421	43,5	43,5	69,2
3	sparsely connected		589	18,1	18,1	87,3
4	not at all connected		72	2,2	2,2	89,5
8	DK		18	0,6	0,6	90,0
9	NA		325	10,0	10,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fa - Connected to: European Union

Combined variable v283fa.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

<INT.: List Q46a and Q46b is still at the respondent's.

Start each interview alternately with item Fa 'European Union' or item Fb 'Europe'. Always ask BOTH items. Mark on the left side, which item was asked FIRST.>

Q46_Fa And what about the European Union?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fa: Connected to: European Union (N=3263)

v283fa

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		352	10,8	10,8	10,8
2	somewhat connected		1116	34,2	34,2	45,0
3	sparsely connected		1257	38,5	38,5	83,5
4	not at all connected		374	11,5	11,5	95,0
8	DK		84	2,6	2,6	97,5
9	NA		80	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fb - Connected to: Europe

Combined variable v283fb.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

<INT.: List Q46a and Q46b is still at the respondent's.

Start each interview alternately with item Fa 'European Union' or item Fb 'Europe'. Always ask BOTH items. Mark on the left side, which item was asked FIRST.>

Q46_Fb What about Europe?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fb: Connected to: Europe (N=3263)

v283fb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		399	12,2	12,2	12,2
2	somewhat connected		1272	39,0	39,0	51,2
3	sparsely connected		1146	35,1	35,1	86,3
4	not at all connected		286	8,8	8,8	95,1
8	DK		79	2,4	2,4	97,5
9	NA		81	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fz - Connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe

Combined variable v283fz.

This variable indicates, which item was asked first on v283fa and v283fb.

- 1 EU
- 2 Europe
- 9 NA

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fz: Connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe (N=3263)

v283fz

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	EU		1266	38,8	38,8	38,8
2	Europe		1233	37,8	37,8	76,6
9	NA		764	23,4	23,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283a1 - Original: connected to: community

Original variable v283a1.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_A Are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your community (city)?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283a1: Original: connected to: community (N=3263)

v283a1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		1120	34,3	34,3	34,3
2	somewhat connected		1461	44,8	44,8	79,1
3	sparsely connected		531	16,3	16,3	95,4
4	not at all connected		107	3,3	3,3	98,7
8	DK		9	0,3	0,3	98,9
9	NA		35	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283b1 - Original: connected to: region

Original variable v283b1.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_B And when you think about the region here: are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your region?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283b1: Original: connected to: region (N=3263)

v283b1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		1106	33,9	33,9	33,9
2	somewhat connected		1559	47,8	47,8	81,7
3	sparsely connected		461	14,1	14,1	95,8
4	not at all connected		99	3,0	3,0	98,8
8	DK		8	0,2	0,2	99,1
9	NA		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283c1 - Original: connected to: federal state

Original variable v283c1.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_C And what about your federal state?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283c1: Original: connected to: federal state (N=3263)

v283c1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		940	28,8	28,8	28,8
2	somewhat connected		1523	46,7	46,7	75,5
3	sparsely connected		626	19,2	19,2	94,7
4	not at all connected		113	3,5	3,5	98,1
8	DK		14	0,4	0,4	98,6
9	NA		47	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283d1 - Original: connected to: FRG/GDR

Original variable v283d1.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_D And what about the old FRG (Split East: with the former GDR) as it existed before the unification with the GDR (Split East: with the FRG)?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283d1: Original: connected to: FRG/GDR (N=3263)

v283d1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		558	17,1	17,1	17,1
2	somewhat connected		1310	40,1	40,1	57,2
3	sparsely connected		707	21,7	21,7	78,9
4	not at all connected		242	7,4	7,4	86,3
8	DK		87	2,7	2,7	89,0
9	NA		359	11,0	11,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283e1 - Original: connected to: Germany

Original variable v283e1.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_E And what about Germany as a whole?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283e1: Original: connected to: Germany (N=3263)

v283e1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		606	18,6	18,6	18,6
2	somewhat connected		1346	41,3	41,3	59,8
3	sparsely connected		482	14,8	14,8	74,6
4	not at all connected		52	1,6	1,6	76,2
8	DK		17	0,5	0,5	76,7
9	NA		760	23,3	23,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fa1 - Original: connected to: European Union

Original variable v283fa1.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

<INT.: List Q46a and Q46b is still at the respondent's.

Start each interview alternately with item Fa 'European Union' or item Fb 'Europe'. Always ask BOTH items. Mark on the left side, which item was asked FIRST.>

Q46_Fa And what about the European Union?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fa1: Original: connected to: European Union (N=3263)

v283fa1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		206	6,3	6,3	6,3
2	somewhat connected		1049	32,1	32,1	38,5
3	sparsely connected		1380	42,3	42,3	80,8
4	not at all connected		395	12,1	12,1	92,9
8	DK		107	3,3	3,3	96,1
9	NA		126	3,9	3,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fb1 - Original: connected to: Europe

Original variable v283fb1.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

<INT.: List Q46a and Q46b is still at the respondent's.

Start each interview alternately with item Fa 'European Union' or item Fb 'Europe'. Always ask BOTH items. Mark on the left side, which item was asked FIRST.>

Q46_Fb What about Europe?

- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fb1: Original: connected to: Europe (N=3263)

v283fb1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	strongly connected		307	9,4	9,4	9,4
2	somewhat connected		1268	38,9	38,9	48,3
3	sparsely connected		1176	36,0	36,0	84,3
4	not at all connected		283	8,7	8,7	93,0
8	DK		93	2,9	2,9	95,8
9	NA		136	4,2	4,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fz1 - Original: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe

Original variable v283fz1.

This variable indicates, which item was asked first on v283fa1 and v283fb1.

- 1 EU
- 2 Europe
- 9 NA

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fz1: Original: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe (N=3263)

v283fz1

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	EU		782	24,0	24,0	24,0
2	Europe		733	22,5	22,5	46,4
9	NA		1748	53,6	53,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283a2 - Phone follow-up: connected to: community

Follow-up survey: variable v283a2.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_A Are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your community (city)?

- 0 not taken part in follow-up survey
- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283a2: Phone follow-up: connected to: community (N=3263)

v283a2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in follow-up survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	strongly connected		451	13,8	13,8	77,0
2	somewhat connected		447	13,7	13,7	90,7
3	sparsely connected		243	7,4	7,4	98,2
4	not at all connected		52	1,6	1,6	99,8
8	DK		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283b2 - Phone follow-up: connected to: region

Follow-up survey: variable v283b2.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_B And when you think about the region here: are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your region?

- 0 not taken part in follow-up survey
- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283b2: Phone follow-up: connected to: region (N=3263)

v283b2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in follow-up survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	strongly connected		456	14,0	14,0	77,2
2	somewhat connected		455	13,9	13,9	91,1
3	sparsely connected		225	6,9	6,9	98,0
4	not at all connected		46	1,4	1,4	99,4
8	DK		18	0,6	0,6	100,0
9	NA		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283c2 - Phone follow-up: connected to: state

Follow-up survey: variable v283c2.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_C And what about your federal state?

- 0 not taken part in follow-up survey
- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283c2: Phone follow-up: connected to: state (N=3263)

v283c2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in follow-up survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	strongly connected		422	12,9	12,9	76,1
2	somewhat connected		438	13,4	13,4	89,5
3	sparsely connected		285	8,7	8,7	98,3
4	not at all connected		46	1,4	1,4	99,7
8	DK		10	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283d2 - Phone follow-up: connected to: FRG/GDR

Follow-up survey: variable v283d2.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_D And what about the old FRG (Split East: with the former GDR) as it existed before the unification with the GDR (Split East: with the FRG)?

- 0 not taken part in follow-up survey
- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283d2: Phone follow-up: connected to: FRG/GDR (N=3263)

v283d2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in follow-up survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	strongly connected		308	9,4	9,4	72,6
2	somewhat connected		373	11,4	11,4	84,1
3	sparsely connected		314	9,6	9,6	93,7
4	not at all connected		149	4,6	4,6	98,3
8	DK		52	1,6	1,6	99,8
9	NA		5	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283e2 - Phone follow-up: connected to: Germany

Follow-up survey: variable v283e2.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

Q46_E And what about Germany as a whole?

- 0 not taken part in follow-up survey
- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283e2: Phone follow-up: connected to: Germany (N=3263)

v283e2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in follow-up survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	strongly connected		434	13,3	13,3	76,5
2	somewhat connected		485	14,9	14,9	91,4
3	sparsely connected		240	7,4	7,4	98,7
4	not at all connected		33	1,0	1,0	99,7
8	DK		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
9	NA		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fa2 - Phone follow-up: connected to European Union

Follow-up survey: variable v283fa2.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

<INT.: List Q46a and Q46b is still at the respondent's.

Start each interview alternately with item Fa 'European Union' or item Fb 'Europe'. Always ask BOTH items. Mark on the left side, which item was asked FIRST.>

Q46_Fa And what about the European Union?

- 0 not taken part in follow-up survey
- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fa2: Phone follow-up: connected to European Union (N=3263)

v283fa2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in follow-up survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	strongly connected		237	7,3	7,3	70,5
2	somewhat connected		441	13,5	13,5	84,0
3	sparsely connected		397	12,2	12,2	96,1
4	not at all connected		103	3,2	3,2	99,3
8	DK		19	0,6	0,6	99,9
9	NA		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fb2 - Phone follow-up: connected to: Europe

Follow-up survey: variable v283fb2.

Q46

<INT.: Interview takes place in the old federal states: hand list Q46a to respondent.

INT.: Interview takes place in the new federal states: hand list Q46b to respondent.>

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.

<INT.: Read list.>

<INT.: List Q46a and Q46b is still at the respondent's.

Start each interview alternately with item Fa 'European Union' or item Fb 'Europe'. Always ask BOTH items. Mark on the left side, which item was asked FIRST.>

Q46_Fb What about Europe?

- 0 not taken part in follow-up survey
- 1 strongly connected
- 2 somewhat connected
- 3 sparsely connected
- 4 not at all connected
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fb2: Phone follow-up: connected to: Europe (N=3263)

v283fb2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in follow-up survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	strongly connected		218	6,7	6,7	69,9
2	somewhat connected		459	14,1	14,1	83,9
3	sparsely connected		401	12,3	12,3	96,2
4	not at all connected		96	2,9	2,9	99,2
8	DK		21	0,6	0,6	99,8
9	NA		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v283fz2 - Phone follow-up: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe

Follow-up survey: variable v283fb2.

This variable indicates, which item was asked first on v283fa2 and v283fb2.

- 0 INAP.: not taken part in subsequent survey
- 1 EU
- 2 Europe
- 9 NA

Note to v283info to v283fz2:

Because of a big amount of missing values in the original survey of question Q46, there was conducted a follow-up survey of this question. The original answers are coded in v283a1 to v283fz1, while the answers of the follow-up survey are coded in variables v283a2 to v283fz2. The combination of both are coded in variables v283a bis v283fz.

The telephone follow-up survey of question Q46 took place between 29. january 2003 and 12. february 2003 with those persons, who originally were listed as missing on the items Q46d, Q46e or Q46fz.

ZA3861, v283fz2: Phone follow-up: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe (N=3263)

v283fz2

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: not taken part in subsequent survey		2062	63,2	63,2	63,2
1	EU		606	18,6	18,6	81,8
2	Europe		595	18,2	18,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284a - Left-right: CDU

Q47

<INT.: Hand scale Q47 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q47_A the CDU?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v284a: Left-right: CDU (N=3263)

v284a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		19	0,6	0,6	0,6
2	2		14	0,4	0,4	1,0
3	3		34	1,0	1,0	2,1
4	4		58	1,8	1,8	3,8
5	5		110	3,4	3,4	7,2
6	6		331	10,1	10,1	17,3
7	7		589	18,1	18,1	35,4
8	8		735	22,5	22,5	57,9
9	9		581	17,8	17,8	75,7
10	10		269	8,2	8,2	84,0
11	11 right		185	5,7	5,7	89,6
98	DK		239	7,3	7,3	97,0
99	NA		99	3,0	3,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284b - Left-right: SPD

Q47

<INT.: Hand scale Q47 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q47_B the SPD?

1 1 left

2 2

3 3

4 4

5 5

6 6

7 7

8 8

9 9

10 10

11 11 right

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3861, v284b: Left-right: SPD (N=3263)

v284b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		116	3,6	3,6	3,6
2	2		246	7,5	7,5	11,1
3	3		587	18,0	18,0	29,1
4	4		750	23,0	23,0	52,1
5	5		726	22,2	22,2	74,3
6	6		354	10,8	10,8	85,2
7	7		94	2,9	2,9	88,0
8	8		33	1,0	1,0	89,1
9	9		17	0,5	0,5	89,6
10	10		4	0,1	0,1	89,7
11	11 right		13	0,4	0,4	90,1
98	DK		226	6,9	6,9	97,0
99	NA		97	3,0	3,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284c - Left-right: FDP

Q47

<INT.: Hand scale Q47 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q47_C the FDP?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v284c: Left-right: FDP (N=3263)

v284c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		14	0,4	0,4	0,4
2	2		36	1,1	1,1	1,5
3	3		89	2,7	2,7	4,3
4	4		120	3,7	3,7	7,9
5	5		297	9,1	9,1	17,0
6	6		1012	31,0	31,0	48,1
7	7		583	17,9	17,9	65,9
8	8		336	10,3	10,3	76,2
9	9		200	6,1	6,1	82,3
10	10		98	3,0	3,0	85,4
11	11 right		46	1,4	1,4	86,8
98	DK		340	10,4	10,4	97,2
99	NA		92	2,8	2,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284d - Left-right: CSU

Q47

<INT.: Hand scale Q47 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q47_D the CSU?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v284d: Left-right: CSU (N=3263)

v284d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		21	0,6	0,6	0,6
2	2		22	0,7	0,7	1,3
3	3		30	0,9	0,9	2,2
4	4		50	1,5	1,5	3,8
5	5		81	2,5	2,5	6,3
6	6		203	6,2	6,2	12,5
7	7		261	8,0	8,0	20,5
8	8		498	15,3	15,3	35,7
9	9		638	19,6	19,6	55,3
10	10		607	18,6	18,6	73,9
11	11 right		499	15,3	15,3	89,2
98	DK		258	7,9	7,9	97,1
99	NA		95	2,9	2,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284e - Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q47

<INT.: Hand scale Q47 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q47_E Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v284e: Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3263)

v284e

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		264	8,1	8,1	8,1
2	2		506	15,5	15,5	23,6
3	3		743	22,8	22,8	46,4
4	4		578	17,7	17,7	64,1
5	5		370	11,3	11,3	75,4
6	6		295	9,0	9,0	84,5
7	7		49	1,5	1,5	86,0
8	8		27	0,8	0,8	86,8
9	9		24	0,7	0,7	87,5
10	10		10	0,3	0,3	87,8
11	11 right		8	0,2	0,2	88,1
98	DK		291	8,9	8,9	97,0
99	NA		98	3,0	3,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284g - Left-right: PDS

Q47

<INT.: Hand scale Q47 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties.>

Q47_G the PDS?

- 1 1 left
- 2 2
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 5 5
- 6 6
- 7 7
- 8 8
- 9 9
- 10 10
- 11 11 right
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v284g: Left-right: PDS (N=3263)

v284g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	1 left		1341	41,1	41,1	41,1
2	2		576	17,7	17,7	58,7
3	3		289	8,9	8,9	67,6
4	4		114	3,5	3,5	71,1
5	5		75	2,3	2,3	73,4
6	6		89	2,7	2,7	76,1
7	7		54	1,7	1,7	77,8
8	8		41	1,3	1,3	79,0
9	9		39	1,2	1,2	80,2
10	10		49	1,5	1,5	81,7
11	11 right		76	2,3	2,3	84,1
98	DK		421	12,9	12,9	97,0
99	NA		99	3,0	3,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v285a - How often: Bild-Zeitung

Q48

<INT.: Hand list Q48 to respondent and leave it there up to and including question Q48C.>

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read out questions Q48A to Q48C and note an answer each. Do not enter a time span but the days per week.>

Q48_A On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in the BILD-Zeitung?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v285a: How often: Bild-Zeitung (N=3263)

v285a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		2320	71,1	71,1	71,1
1	on one day		169	5,2	5,2	76,3
2	on two days		168	5,1	5,1	81,4
3	on three days		144	4,4	4,4	85,8
4	on four days		60	1,8	1,8	87,7
5	on five days		90	2,8	2,8	90,4
6	on six days		181	5,5	5,5	96,0
7	seven days		78	2,4	2,4	98,4
8	DK		18	0,6	0,6	98,9
9	NA		35	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v285b - How often: national newspaper

Q48

<INT.: Hand list Q48 to respondent and leave it there up to and including question Q48C.>

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read out questions Q48A to Q48C and note an answer each. Do not enter a time span but the days per week.>

Q48_B <INT.: The list is still at the respondent's.>

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in one of these national newspapers? (FAZ, Die Welt, Süddeutsche, Frankfurter Rundschau, taz)

<If code 0, 8, or 9, go to question Q48C>

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v285b: How often: national newspaper (N=3263)

v285b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		2439	74,7	74,7	74,7
1	on one day		213	6,5	6,5	81,3
2	on two days		151	4,6	4,6	85,9
3	on three days		81	2,5	2,5	88,4
4	on four days		27	0,8	0,8	89,2
5	on five days		42	1,3	1,3	90,5
6	on six days		147	4,5	4,5	95,0
7	seven days		42	1,3	1,3	96,3
8	DK		52	1,6	1,6	97,9
9	NA		69	2,1	2,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v285bb - Which national newspaper

Q48

<INT.: Hand list Q48 to respondent and leave it there up to and including question Q48C.>

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read out questions Q48A to Q48C and note an answer each. Do not enter a time span but the days per week.>

Q48_Bb <INT.: The list is still at the respondent's.>

And in which of these newspapers do you most frequently read reports about political events?

0 INAP.: don't read a national paper

1 FAZ

2 Die Welt

3 Süddeutsche Zeitung

4 Frankfurter Rundschau

5 taz

8 DK

9 NA

ZA3861, v285bb: Which national newspaper (N=3263)

v285bb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: don't read a national paper		2560	78,5	78,5	78,5
1	FAZ		130	4,0	4,0	82,4
2	Die Welt		139	4,3	4,3	86,7
3	Sueddeutsche Zeitung		177	5,4	5,4	92,1
4	Frankfurter Rundschau		66	2,0	2,0	94,1
5	taz		38	1,2	1,2	95,3
8	DK		47	1,4	1,4	96,8
9	NA		106	3,2	3,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v285c - How often: local regional newspaper

Q48

<INT.: Hand list Q48 to respondent and leave it there up to and including question Q48C.>

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read out questions Q48A to Q48C and note an answer each. Do not enter a time span but the days per week.>

Q48_C TO ALL

<INT.: The list is still at the respondent's.>

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in a local or regional newspapers?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v285c: How often: local regional newspaper (N=3263)

v285c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		553	16,9	16,9	16,9
1	on one day		155	4,8	4,8	21,7
2	on two days		232	7,1	7,1	28,8
3	on three days		211	6,5	6,5	35,3
4	on four days		133	4,1	4,1	39,4
5	on five days		134	4,1	4,1	43,5
6	on six days		1537	47,1	47,1	90,6
7	seven days		250	7,7	7,7	98,2
8	DK		26	0,8	0,8	99,0
9	NA		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v286a - How often: news ARD, ZDF

Q49

How often per week do you watch NEWSCASTS on the first or second television network? In this context only the newscasts of 'ARD' and 'ZDF' are meant, i.e. 'Tagesschau', 'Tagesthemen', 'Heute' and 'Heute-Journal'.

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch these newscasts?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v286a: How often: news ARD, ZDF (N=3263)

v286a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		266	8,2	8,2	8,2
1	on one day		85	2,6	2,6	10,8
2	on two days		218	6,7	6,7	17,4
3	on three days		235	7,2	7,2	24,6
4	on four days		259	7,9	7,9	32,6
5	on five days		237	7,3	7,3	39,8
6	on six days		332	10,2	10,2	50,0
7	seven days		1584	48,5	48,5	98,6
8	DK		29	0,9	0,9	99,4
9	NA		18	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v286b - How often: news private TV

Q50

And how about the newscasts of the private TV networks, e.g. 'SAT1-Nachrichten' or 'RTL-Aktuell'?

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch these newscasts?

- 0 not at all
- 1 on one day
- 2 on two days
- 3 on three days
- 4 on four days
- 5 on five days
- 6 on six days
- 7 on seven days
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v286b: How often: news private TV (N=3263)

v286b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	not at all		717	22,0	22,0	22,0
1	on one day		225	6,9	6,9	28,9
2	on two days		430	13,2	13,2	42,0
3	on three days		444	13,6	13,6	55,7
4	on four days		273	8,4	8,4	64,0
5	on five days		209	6,4	6,4	70,4
6	on six days		194	5,9	5,9	76,4
7	seven days		688	21,1	21,1	97,5
8	DK		61	1,9	1,9	99,3
9	NA		22	0,7	0,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v291 - Use Internet

Q51

<INT.: Hand list Q51 to respondent.>

Do you use the Internet? Please tell me using this list.

<If code 1, 8, or 9, go to question Q54.>

- 1 no
- 2 yes, only at home
- 3 yes, only at work
- 4 yes, primarily at home, but also at work
- 5 yes, primarily at work, but also at home
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v291: Use Internet (N=3263)

v291

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no		2168	66,4	66,4	66,4
2	yes, only at home		583	17,9	17,9	84,3
3	yes, only at work		126	3,9	3,9	88,2
4	yes, primarily at home, but also at work		244	7,5	7,5	95,6
5	yes, primarily at work, but also at home		123	3,8	3,8	99,4
8	DK		2	0,1	0,1	99,5
9	NA		17	0,5	0,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v292 - Use Internet: frequency

Q52

<INT.: Only if internet is used according to question Q51, otherwise continue with question Q54.>

<INT.: hand list Q.52 to respondent.>

On average, how frequently do you use the Internet? Please tell me using this list.

- 0 INAP.: no Internet use
- 1 several times a day
- 2 every day or almost every day
- 3 several times a week
- 4 several times a month
- 5 less frequently
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v292: Use Internet: frequency (N=3263)

v292

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no Internet use		2187	67,0	67,0	67,0
1	several times a day		194	5,9	5,9	73,0
2	every day or almost every day		299	9,2	9,2	82,1
3	several times a week		406	12,4	12,4	94,6
4	several times a month		143	4,4	4,4	99,0
5	less frequently		28	0,9	0,9	99,8
8	DK		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
9	NA		5	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v293 - Use Internet: since when

Q52a

And since when do you use the Internet? Please tell me the respective year.

- 0 INAP.: no Internet use
- 1 2002
- 2 2001
- 3 2000
- 4 1999
- 5 1998 or earlier
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v293: Use Internet: since when (N=3263)

v293

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no Internet use		2187	67,0	67,0	67,0
1	2002		85	2,6	2,6	69,6
2	2001		193	5,9	5,9	75,5
3	2000		286	8,8	8,8	84,3
4	1999		193	5,9	5,9	90,2
5	1998 or earlier		302	9,3	9,3	99,5
8	DK		13	0,4	0,4	99,9
9	NA		4	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v294a - Use Internet: political information

Q53

<INT.: Hand list Q53 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know a bit more about your use of the Internet. One can use the Internet for several things, also for political purposes.

Please tell me using this list, if you very often, often, occasionally, seldomly or never use the Internet for the following purposes.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q53_A General search for political information.

- 0 INAP.: no Internet use
- 1 very often
- 2 often
- 3 occasionally
- 4 seldom
- 5 never
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v294a: Use Internet: political information (N=3263)

v294a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no Internet use		2187	67,0	67,0	67,0
1	very often		22	0,7	0,7	67,7
2	often		83	2,5	2,5	70,2
3	occasionally		223	6,8	6,8	77,1
4	seldom		257	7,9	7,9	85,0
5	never		478	14,6	14,6	99,6
8	DK		2	0,1	0,1	99,7
9	NA		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v294b - Use Internet: political institutions

Q53

<INT.: Hand list Q53 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know a bit more about your use of the Internet. One can use the Internet for several things, also for political purposes.

Please tell me using this list, if you very often, often, occasionally, seldomly or never use the Internet for the following purposes.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q53_B Search for information on the websites of political institutions, e.g. political parties, citizens' initiative, institutions, representative.

- 0 INAP.: no Internet use
- 1 very often
- 2 often
- 3 occasionally
- 4 seldom
- 5 never
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v294b: Use Internet: political institutions (N=3263)

v294b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no Internet use		2187	67,0	67,0	67,0
1	very often		9	0,3	0,3	67,3
2	often		51	1,6	1,6	68,9
3	occasionally		182	5,6	5,6	74,4
4	seldom		264	8,1	8,1	82,5
5	never		558	17,1	17,1	99,6
8	DK		1	0,0	0,0	99,7
9	NA		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v294c - Use Internet: contact to political institutions

Q53

<INT.: Hand list Q53 to respondent.>

Now we would like to know a bit more about your use of the Internet. One can use the Internet for several things, also for political purposes.

Please tell me using this list, if you very often, often, occasionally, seldomly or never use the Internet for the following purposes.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q53_C Contact to political institutions or persons, e.g. by sending an email to them.

- 0 INAP.: no Internet use
- 1 very often
- 2 often
- 3 occasionally
- 4 seldom
- 5 never
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v294c: Use Internet: contact to political institutions (N=3263)

v294c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no Internet use		2187	67,0	67,0	67,0
1	very often		9	0,3	0,3	67,3
2	often		20	0,6	0,6	67,9
3	occasionally		74	2,3	2,3	70,2
4	seldom		170	5,2	5,2	75,4
5	never		792	24,3	24,3	99,7
8	DK		1	0,0	0,0	99,7
9	NA		10	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v295a - Online vote + absentee ballot

Q54

<INT.: Hand list Q54 to respondent.>

At the moment there is a discussion about whether it should be possible for citizens to cast their vote online in future elections. Provided that electronic voting is safe, how would you judge the following statements? Please tell me using this scale.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q54_A Citizens, who can't go personally to the polling place on the election day, should have the possibility to vote also by e-voting instead of absentee ballot.

- 1 don't agree at all
- 2 do rather not agree
- 3 part/part
- 4 rather agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v295a: Online vote + absentee ballot (N=3263)

v295a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't agree at all		640	19,6	19,6	19,6
2	do rather not agree		405	12,4	12,4	32,0
3	part/part		385	11,8	11,8	43,8
4	rather agree		662	20,3	20,3	64,1
5	agree completely		671	20,6	20,6	84,7
8	DK		455	13,9	13,9	98,6
9	NA		45	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v295b - Online vote: permission

Q54

<INT.: Hand list Q54 to respondent.>

At the moment there is a discussion about whether it should be possible for citizens to cast their vote online in future elections. Provided that electronic voting is safe, how would you judge the following statements? Please tell me using this scale.

<INT.: Read statements.>

Q54_B Citizens should have the possibility for e-voting in general - no matter, if they can come to the polling place.

- 1 don't agree at all
- 2 do rather not agree
- 3 part/part
- 4 rather agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v295b: Online vote: permission (N=3263)

v295b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	don't agree at all		783	24,0	24,0	24,0
2	do rather not agree		439	13,5	13,5	37,5
3	part/part		392	12,0	12,0	49,5
4	rather agree		541	16,6	16,6	66,0
5	agree completely		592	18,1	18,1	84,2
8	DK		466	14,3	14,3	98,5
9	NA		50	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v301 - 2nd TV duel: watch debate on 8th September?

Q55

<INT.: Only if date of interview lies AFTER the 8th September, otherwise continue with question Q58.>

Did you watch the second TV debate of Gerhard Schröder and Edmund Stoiber on 8th September? And did you watch it completely or just parts of it?

- 0 INAP.: date before the debate
- 1 yes, watched the whole debate
- 2 yes, watched the debate, but only parts of it
- 3 no
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v301: 2nd TV duel: watch debate on 8th September? (N=3263)

v301

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: date before the debate		1082	33,2	33,2	33,2
1	yes, watched the whole debate		809	24,8	24,8	58,0
2	yes, watched the debate, but only parts of it		383	11,7	11,7	69,7
3	no		975	29,9	29,9	99,6
8	DK		6	0,2	0,2	99,8
9	NA		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v302a - 2nd TV duel: performance Schroeder

Q56

<INT.: Only ask if respondent completely or partly watched the second debate, otherwise continue with question Q58.

Hand scale Q56/Q59 to respondent and leave it there.>

How would you judge Gerhard Schröder's performance in this second debate: very good, good, part/part, bad or very bad?

0 INAP.: date before the debate, didn't watch the debate

1 very good

2 good

3 part/part

4 bad

5 very bad

8 don't know

9 NA

ZA3861, v302a: 2nd TV duel: performance Schroeder (N=3263)

v302a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: date before the debate, didn't watch the debate		2071	63,5	63,5	63,5
1	very good		121	3,7	3,7	67,2
2	good		544	16,7	16,7	83,8
3	part/part		382	11,7	11,7	95,6
4	bad		98	3,0	3,0	98,6
5	very bad		21	0,6	0,6	99,2
8	DK		17	0,5	0,5	99,7
9	NA		9	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v302b - 2nd TV duel: performance Stoiber

Q57

<INT.: scale 0.56/0.59 still present.>

And how about Edmund Stoiber? How would you judge Edmund Stoiber's performance in this second debate: very good, good, part/part, bad or very bad?

0 INAP.: date before the debate, didn't watch the debate

1 very good

2 good

3 part/part

4 bad

5 very bad

8 don't know

9 NA

ZA3861, v302b: 2nd TV duel: performance Stoiber (N=3263)

v302b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: date before the debate, didn't watch the debate		2071	63,5	63,5	63,5
1	very good		49	1,5	1,5	65,0
2	good		303	9,3	9,3	74,3
3	part/part		520	15,9	15,9	90,2
4	bad		256	7,8	7,8	98,0
5	very bad		37	1,1	1,1	99,2
8	DK		16	0,5	0,5	99,7
9	NA		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v303 - 1st TV duel: watch debate on 25th August

Q58

<INT.: Only if date of interview lies after 25th August, otherwise continue with question Q61.>

Did you watch the first TV debate of Gerhard Schröder and Edmund Stoiber on 25th August?

- 0 INAP: date before the debate
- 1 yes, watched the whole debate
- 2 yes, watched the debate, but only parts of it
- 3 no
- 8 don't know
- 9 NA

ZA3861, v303: 1st TV duel: watch debate on 25th August (N=3263)

v303

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: date before the debate		467	14,3	14,3	14,3
1	yes, watched the whole debate		1038	31,8	31,8	46,1
2	yes, watched the debate, but only parts of it		467	14,3	14,3	60,4
3	no		1258	38,6	38,6	99,0
8	DK		4	0,1	0,1	99,1
9	NA		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v304a - 1st TV duel: performance Schroeder

Q59

<INT.: Only ask if respondent completely or partly watched the second debate, otherwise continue with question Q58.

Hand scale Q56/Q59 to respondent.>

How would you judge Gerhard Schröder's performance in this first debate: very good, good, part/part, bad or very bad?

0 INAP: date before the debate

1 very good

2 good

3 part/part

4 bad

5 very bad

8 don't know

9 NA

ZA3861, v304a: 1st TV duel: performance Schroeder (N=3263)

v304a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: date before the debate, didn't watch the debate		1758	53,9	53,9	53,9
1	very good		77	2,4	2,4	56,2
2	good		553	16,9	16,9	73,2
3	part/part		622	19,1	19,1	92,2
4	bad		188	5,8	5,8	98,0
5	very bad		33	1,0	1,0	99,0
8	DK		22	0,7	0,7	99,7
9	NA		10	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v304b - 1st TV duel: performance Stoiber

Q60

<INT.: scale Q56/Q59 still present>

And how about Edmund Stoiber? How would you judge Edmund Stoiber's performance in this first debate: very good, good, part/part, bad or very bad?

0 INAP: date before the debate

1 very good

2 good

3 part/part

4 bad

5 very bad

8 don't know

9 NA

ZA3861, v304b: 1st TV duel: performance Stoiber (N=3263)

v304b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: date before the debate, didn't watch the debate		1758	53,9	53,9	53,9
1	very good		62	1,9	1,9	55,8
2	good		484	14,8	14,8	70,6
3	part/part		606	18,6	18,6	89,2
4	bad		264	8,1	8,1	97,3
5	very bad		54	1,7	1,7	98,9
8	DK		24	0,7	0,7	99,7
9	NA		11	0,3	0,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v310 - Social order fair or unfair

Q61

TO ALL

What do you think of our social order? Is it, by and large, rather fair or rather unfair?

- 1 rather fair
- 3 rather unfair
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v310: Social order fair or unfair (N=3263)

v310

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	rather fair		1243	38,1	38,1	38,1
3	rather unfair		1712	52,5	52,5	90,6
8	DK		249	7,6	7,6	98,2
9	NA		59	1,8	1,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v320 - Underprivileged/privileged

Q62

Irrespective of how fair a society is, there are privileged and underprivileged people or sections of the population.

Do you think that you rather belong to the people or section of the population in our society which is rather underprivileged, neither underprivileged nor privileged, or privileged?

I belong to a section of the population, which is:...

- 1 rather underprivileged
- 2 neither/nor
- 3 rather privileged
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v320: Underprivileged/privileged (N=3263)

v320

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	rather underprivileged		741	22,7	22,7	22,7
2	neither/nor		2195	67,3	67,3	90,0
3	rather privileged		181	5,5	5,5	95,5
8	DK		97	3,0	3,0	98,5
9	NA		49	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v330 - Recall: party vote 1994

VW Q63

<INT.: Hand list Q63 to respondent. Allow only ONE response.>

How about the LAST elections for the Bundestag in September 1998: which party did you vote for?

Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: In case of queries: the second vote is important.>

NW Q66

<INT.: Hand list Q66 to respondent. Allow only ONE response.>

How about the elections BEFORE LAST for the Bundestag in September 1998: which party did you vote for?

Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: In case of queries: the second vote is important.>

- 1 CDU (or CSU)
- 2 SPD
- 3 FDP
- 4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 5 Republikaner
- 6 PDS
- 12 other party
- 96 didn't vote
- 97 wasn't eligible to vote
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, v330: Recall: party vote 1994 (N=3263)

v330

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU/CSU		880	27,0	27,0	27,0
2	SPD		1165	35,7	35,7	62,7
3	FDP		76	2,3	2,3	65,0
4	Buendnis90/Die Gruenen		122	3,7	3,7	68,7
5	Republikaner		17	0,5	0,5	69,3
6	PDS		147	4,5	4,5	73,8
7	other party		27	0,8	0,8	74,6
96	didn't vote		237	7,3	7,3	81,9
97	wasn't eligible to vote		215	6,6	6,6	88,4
98	DK		94	2,9	2,9	91,3
99	NA		283	8,7	8,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350a - Proud to be German

Q39

<INT.: Hand set Q39 to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q39_A I am proud to be German.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350a: Proud to be German (N=3263)

v350a

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		187	5,7	5,7	5,7
2	tend to disagree		290	8,9	8,9	14,6
3	part/part		727	22,3	22,3	36,9
4	tend to agree		1036	31,7	31,7	68,6
5	agree completely		916	28,1	28,1	96,7
8	DK		45	1,4	1,4	98,1
9	NA		62	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350b - Nationalise most important companies

Q39

<INT.: Hand set Q39 to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q39_B The most important companies have to be nationalised.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350b: Nationalise most important companies (N=3263)

v350b

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1005	30,8	30,8	30,8
2	tend to disagree		857	26,3	26,3	57,1
3	part/part		649	19,9	19,9	77,0
4	tend to agree		324	9,9	9,9	86,9
5	agree completely		152	4,7	4,7	91,5
8	DK		231	7,1	7,1	98,6
9	NA		45	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350c - Courage to have sense of nationality

Q39

<INT.: Hand set Q39 to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q39_C We should have the courage to have a strong sense of nationality again.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350c: Courage to have sense of nationality (N=3263)

v350c

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		265	8,1	8,1	8,1
2	tend to disagree		356	10,9	10,9	19,0
3	part/part		827	25,3	25,3	44,4
4	tend to agree		1019	31,2	31,2	75,6
5	agree completely		629	19,3	19,3	94,9
8	DK		122	3,7	3,7	98,6
9	NA		45	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350d - Group interests subordinate to public welfare

Q39

<INT.: Hand set Q39 to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q39_D Interests of groups and associations should be unconditionally subordinate to public welfare.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350d: Group interests subordinate to public welfare (N=3263)

v350d

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		339	10,4	10,4	10,4
2	tend to disagree		575	17,6	17,6	28,0
3	part/part		947	29,0	29,0	57,0
4	tend to agree		709	21,7	21,7	78,8
5	agree completely		422	12,9	12,9	91,7
8	DK		228	7,0	7,0	98,7
9	NA		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350f - Dictatorship better type of state

Q39

<INT.: Hand set Q39 to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q39_E Under certain circumstances a dictatorship is the best type of state.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350f: Dictatorship better type of state (N=3263)

v350f

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1823	55,9	55,9	55,9
2	tend to disagree		621	19,0	19,0	74,9
3	part/part		372	11,4	11,4	86,3
4	tend to agree		179	5,5	5,5	91,8
5	agree completely		70	2,1	2,1	93,9
8	DK		145	4,4	4,4	98,4
9	NA		53	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350g - National Socialism also positive aspects

Q67

<INT.: Hand set Q67 to respondent!>

Before I will ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q67_A National Socialism also had its positive aspects.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350g: National Socialism also positive aspects (N=3263)

v350g

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1699	52,1	52,1	52,1
2	tend to disagree		608	18,6	18,6	70,7
3	part/part		455	13,9	13,9	84,6
4	tend to agree		222	6,8	6,8	91,4
5	agree completely		70	2,1	2,1	93,6
8	DK		133	4,1	4,1	97,7
9	NA		76	2,3	2,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350k - Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman

Q67

<INT.: Hand set Q67 to respondent!>

Before I will ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q67_B Without the extermination of the Jews Hitler would today be seen as a great statesman.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350k: Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman (N=3263)

v350k

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1911	58,6	58,6	58,6
2	tend to disagree		534	16,4	16,4	74,9
3	part/part		251	7,7	7,7	82,6
4	tend to agree		206	6,3	6,3	88,9
5	agree completely		85	2,6	2,6	91,5
8	DK		180	5,5	5,5	97,1
9	NA		96	2,9	2,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350I - FRG foreign-controlled

Q67

<INT.: Hand set Q67 to respondent!>

Before I will ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q67_C Because of the many foreigners the FRG is foreign-controlled up to a dangerous degree.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350I: FRG foreign-controlled (N=3263)

v350I

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		639	19,6	19,6	19,6
2	tend to disagree		561	17,2	17,2	36,8
3	part/part		789	24,2	24,2	61,0
4	tend to agree		679	20,8	20,8	81,8
5	agree completely		470	14,4	14,4	96,2
8	DK		58	1,8	1,8	97,9
9	NA		67	2,1	2,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350m - GDR: more good than bad aspects

Q39

<INT.: Hand set Q39 to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q39_F The GDR had more good than bad aspects.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350m: GDR: more good than bad aspects (N=3263)

v350m

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1132	34,7	34,7	34,7
2	tend to disagree		705	21,6	21,6	56,3
3	part/part		752	23,0	23,0	79,3
4	tend to agree		284	8,7	8,7	88,0
5	agree completely		126	3,9	3,9	91,9
8	DK		216	6,6	6,6	98,5
9	NA		48	1,5	1,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350n - Foreigners marry countrymen

Q67

<INT.: Hand set Q67 to respondent!>

Before I will ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q67_D Foreigners should choose their spouse amongst their own countrymen.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350n: Foreigners marry countrymen (N=3263)

v350n

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1329	40,7	40,7	40,7
2	tend to disagree		673	20,6	20,6	61,4
3	part/part		516	15,8	15,8	77,2
4	tend to agree		289	8,9	8,9	86,0
5	agree completely		204	6,3	6,3	92,3
8	DK		159	4,9	4,9	97,1
9	NA		93	2,9	2,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350o - Influence Jews still too big

Q67

<INT.: Hand set Q67 to respondent!>

Before I will ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q67_E The influence of the Jews is still too big nowadays.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350o: Influence Jews still too big (N=3263)

v350o

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1226	37,6	37,6	37,6
2	tend to disagree		630	19,3	19,3	56,9
3	part/part		476	14,6	14,6	71,5
4	tend to agree		364	11,2	11,2	82,6
5	agree completely		162	5,0	5,0	87,6
8	DK		305	9,3	9,3	96,9
9	NA		100	3,1	3,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350p - Socialism good idea, badly executed

Q39

<INT.: Hand set Q39 to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q39_G Socialism is a good concept, which up to now was just badly executed.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350p: Socialism good idea, badly executed (N=3263)

v350p

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		719	22,0	22,0	22,0
2	tend to disagree		567	17,4	17,4	39,4
3	part/part		733	22,5	22,5	61,9
4	tend to agree		634	19,4	19,4	81,3
5	agree completely		365	11,2	11,2	92,5
8	DK		188	5,8	5,8	98,3
9	NA		57	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350q - Jews don't fit in society

Q67

<INT.: Hand set Q67 to respondent!>

Before I will ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q67_F There is simply something special and peculiar about the Jews, because of which they don't really fit in our society.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350q: Jews don't fit in society (N=3263)

v350q

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		1443	44,2	44,2	44,2
2	tend to disagree		629	19,3	19,3	63,5
3	part/part		482	14,8	14,8	78,3
4	tend to agree		245	7,5	7,5	85,8
5	agree completely		97	3,0	3,0	88,8
8	DK		255	7,8	7,8	96,6
9	NA		112	3,4	3,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350r - Sympathy with attacks on asylum-seekers

Q67

<INT.: Hand set Q67 to respondent!>

Before I will ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: DON'T read. Let respondent name the respective scale value per statement.>

Q67_G I sympathise with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum.

- 1 completely disagree
- 2 tend to disagree
- 3 part/part
- 4 tend to agree
- 5 agree completely
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, v350r: Sympathy with attacks on asylum-seekers (N=3263)

v350r

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	completely disagree		2582	79,1	79,1	79,1
2	tend to disagree		325	10,0	10,0	89,1
3	part/part		149	4,6	4,6	93,7
4	tend to agree		61	1,9	1,9	95,5
5	agree completely		34	1,0	1,0	96,6
8	DK		34	1,0	1,0	97,6
9	NA		78	2,4	2,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vsex - Sex of respondent

S1

<INT.: STATEMENT OF THE INTERVIEWER.>

The interview is conducted with:

- 1 a man
- 2 a woman
- 9 NA

ZA3861, vsex: Sex of respondent (N=3263)

vsex

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	man		1655	50,7	50,7	50,7
2	woman		1605	49,2	49,2	99,9
9	NA		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cas		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vmonat - Month of birth respondent

S2a

Finally some statistical questions: would you please tell me in which month and year you were born?

S2a_M month

- 1 January
- 2 February
- 3 March
- 4 April
- 5 May
- 6 June
- 7 July
- 8 August
- 9 September
- 10 October
- 11 November
- 12 December
- 99 refused

ZA3861, vmonat: Month of birth respondent (N=3263)

vmonat

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	January		272	8,3	8,3	8,3
2	February		280	8,6	8,6	16,9
3	March		280	8,6	8,6	25,5
4	April		305	9,3	9,3	34,8
5	May		272	8,3	8,3	43,2
6	June		251	7,7	7,7	50,9
7	July		254	7,8	7,8	58,7
8	August		265	8,1	8,1	66,8
9	September		281	8,6	8,6	75,4
10	October		265	8,1	8,1	83,5
11	November		244	7,5	7,5	91,0
12	December		229	7,0	7,0	98,0
99	NA		65	2,0	2,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vjahr - Year of birth of respondent

S2a

Finally some statistical questions: would you please tell me in which month and year you were born?

S2a_J year

9999 refused

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

veinba - German nationality from birth

S2b

Is your nationality German by birth?

<If code 1, go to question S3.>

1 yes

2 no

9 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, veinba: German nationality from birth (N=3263)

veinba

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	yes		3104	95,1	95,1	95,1
2	no		134	4,1	4,1	99,2
9	NA		25	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

veinbb - German nationality since when

S2c

<INT.: Only if german nationality NOT by birth according to question S2b.>

In which year did you receive German nationality?

0 INAP.: German from birth, veinba refused

9999 NA

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

veinbc - Previous nationality

S2d

Previous nationality

0 INAP.: German from birth, veinba refused

1 USA

2 Russia/Soviet Union

3 Ukraine

4 Great Britain

5 Poland

6 Belgium

7 Denmark

8 Turkey

9 Portugal

10 Romania

11 Italy

12 Austria

13 France

14 The Netherlands

15 Vietnam

16 Hungary

17 Iran

18 Afghanistan

19 Syria

20 Estonia

21 India

22 Yugoslavia

23 Kazakhstan

24 Croatia

25 Latvia

26 Czech Republic

27 Ethiopian

28 Greece

29 Iraq

30 stateless persons

98 other

99 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 2002 (ZA3861).

ZA3861, veinbc: Previous nationality (N=3263)

veinbc

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: German from birth, veinba refused		3129	95,9	95,9	95,9
2	Russia/Soviet Union		41	1,3	1,3	97,1
3	Ukraine		3	0,1	0,1	97,2
5	Poland		11	0,3	0,3	97,6
8	Turkey		19	0,6	0,6	98,2
10	Romania		3	0,1	0,1	98,3
11	Italy		5	0,2	0,2	98,4
12	Austria		4	0,1	0,1	98,5
13	France		4	0,1	0,1	98,7
14	The Netherlands		1	0,0	0,0	98,7
16	Hungary		2	0,1	0,1	98,7
18	Afghanistan		2	0,1	0,1	98,8
19	Syria		1	0,0	0,0	98,8
20	Estonia		2	0,1	0,1	98,9
21	India		1	0,0	0,0	98,9
22	Yugoslavia		4	0,1	0,1	99,0
23	Kazakhstan		10	0,3	0,3	99,4
24	Croatia		2	0,1	0,1	99,4
25	Latvia		1	0,0	0,0	99,4
26	Czech Republic		5	0,2	0,2	99,6
27	Ethiopian		1	0,0	0,0	99,6
28	Greece		3	0,1	0,1	99,7
29	Iraq		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
30	stateless persons		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
98	other		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
99	refused		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

veinbc2 - Previous nationality (Original entry)

S2d original

Previous nationality

Note:

Variable consists the original entry of the respondent.

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vrelig - Respondent's religious denomination

S3

TO ALL

<INT.: hand list S3 to respondent. Allow only ONE response.>

What is or was your religious denomination? Please tell me using this list.

I have a religious denomination:

- 1 Protestant/Lutheran church
- 2 Catholic church
- 3 other

I don't have a religious denomination:

- 4 left the Protestant/Lutheran church
- 5 left the Catholic church
- 6 never was a member of a church/religious denomination

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vrelig: Respondent's religious denomination (N=3263)

vrelig

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	Protestant/Lutheran church		1210	37,1	37,1	37,1
2	Catholic church		960	29,4	29,4	66,5
3	other denomination		63	1,9	1,9	68,4
4	left the Protestant/Lutheran church		295	9,0	9,0	77,5
5	left the Catholic church		63	1,9	1,9	79,4
6	was never a member of any church/religious denomination		622	19,1	19,1	98,5
8	DK		7	0,2	0,2	98,7
9	NA		43	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vkirchg – Frequency attend church

S4

<INT.: hand list S4 to respondent. Allow only ONE response.>

Generally, how frequently do you attend church? Please tell me using this list.

- 1 several times a week
- 2 once a week
- 3 at least once a month
- 4 several times a year
- 5 once a year
- 6 less than once a year
- 7 never
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vkirchg: Frequency attend church (N=3263)

vkirchg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	several times a week		61	1,9	1,9	1,9
2	once a week		232	7,1	7,1	9,0
3	at least once a month		244	7,5	7,5	16,5
4	several times a year		757	23,2	23,2	39,7
5	once a year		432	13,2	13,2	52,9
6	less than once a year		415	12,7	12,7	65,6
7	never		1037	31,8	31,8	97,4
8	DK		11	0,3	0,3	97,7
9	NA		74	2,3	2,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbildga - Kind of school

S5

<INT.: hand list S5 to respondent. Allow only ONE response. Let respondent only specify highest level of education.>

In which kind of school did you complete your education? Please tell me using this list.

<If code 2 to 6, go to question S6.>

- 1 I'm still a pupil
- 2 finished school without leaving certificate
- 3 'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' or polytechnical 'Oberschule' with leaving certificate after 8th or 9th grade
- 4 'Mittlere Reife', 'Realschule' or polytechnical 'Oberschule' with leaving certificate after 10th grade
- 5 'Abitur', 'EOS' - advanced 'Oberschule' with leaving certificate after 12th grade, 'Fachhochschulreife'
- 6 completed university, 'Fachhochschule' or polytechnic degree
- 8 DK
- 9 NA

ZA3861, vbildga: Kind of school (N=3263)

vbildga

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	still in school		62	1,9	1,9	1,9
2	finished school without certificate		34	1,0	1,0	2,9
3	'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule'		1350	41,4	41,4	44,3
4	'Mittlere Reife'		980	30,0	30,0	74,3
5	'Abitur'		384	11,8	11,8	86,1
6	completed studies		411	12,6	12,6	98,7
9	NA		42	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbildgb - Aimed form of graduation

S5a

<INT.: Only if still pupil according to question S5.>

Wich form of graduation or certificate are you aiming at?

- 0 INAP: no pupil anymore
- 3 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate
- 4 'Mittlere Reife'
- 5 'Abitur', 'Fachabitur'
- 7 other graduation/leaving certificate
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vbildgb: Aimed form of graduation (N=3263)

vbildgb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: no pupil, vbildga refused		3201	98,1	98,1	98,1
3	'Hauptschule' leaving certificate		2	0,1	0,1	98,2
4	'Mittlere Reife'		16	0,5	0,5	98,7
5	'Abitur'		41	1,3	1,3	99,9
7	other graduation/leaving certificate		2	0,1	0,1	100,0
9	NA		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbildgc - Complete apprenticeship

S6

TO ALL

Did you complete an occupational apprenticeship?

- 1 yes
- 2 no
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vbildgc: Complete apprenticeship (N=3263)

vbildgc

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	yes		2414	74,0	74,0	74,0
2	no		803	24,6	24,6	98,6
9	NA		46	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vberuftg - Present employment

S7

<INT.: Hand list S7 to respondent. Only ONE answer possible.>

Are you presently a full-time or part-time employee? Which of the categories on this list is appropriate to you?

<If Code 1, 2, or 3, go to question S10.>

- 1 full-time employee
- 2 part-time employee
- 3 occupational training, apprenticeship
- 4 working hourly
- 5 presently unemployed
- 6 presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'
- 7 reschooling or educational measure financed by the employment centre
- 8 on maternity leave, parental leave
- 9 housewife/househusband
- 10 early retirement, retired, receiving pension
- 11 draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service
- 12 school education
- 13 studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university
- 99 refused

ZA3861, vberuftg: Present employment (N=3263)

vberuftg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	full-time employee		956	29,3	29,3	29,3
2	part-time employee		295	9,0	9,0	38,3
3	occupational training, apprenticeship		64	2,0	2,0	40,3
4	working hourly		24	0,7	0,7	41,0
5	presently unemployed		282	8,6	8,6	49,7
6	presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'		1	0,0	0,0	49,7
7	reschooling or educational measure financed by the employment centre		14	0,4	0,4	50,1
8	on maternity leave, parental leave		61	1,9	1,9	52,0
9	housewife/househusband (no full-time or part-time employment)		178	5,5	5,5	57,5
10	early retirement, retired, receiving pension		1142	35,0	35,0	92,5
11	draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service		18	0,6	0,6	93,0
12	school education		68	2,1	2,1	95,1
13	studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university		108	3,3	3,3	98,4
99	NA		52	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vfrbertg - Previous employment

S8

INT.: Only if code 4 to 13 or 99 in question S7.

Did you previously have a full-time or part-time job, working at least 15 hours per week?

<If Code 2 or 9, go to question S13.>

0 INAP.: presently full-time, part-time employed, in vocational training

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vfrbertg: Previous employment (N=3263)

vfrbertg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: full-time, part-time, in vocational training		1315	40,3	40,3	40,3
1	yes		1714	52,5	52,5	92,8
2	no		202	6,2	6,2	99,0
9	NA		32	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vfrbejah - Previous employment: until when

S9

<INT.: Only if respondent has been full- or part-time employed according to question S8.>

When did you finish this employment?

<INT.: Note year. Take note of interviewer statement.>

<For all years before 1998, carry on with question S11.>

Employment finished between 1998 and 2002

1998 1998

1999 1999

2000 2000

2001 2001

2002 2002

0 INAP: presently employed, never before employed

9999 refused

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwechs94 - Involuntary job change since 1998

S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1998, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

S10_A Did you change your job involuntarily since 1998?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/retired from work before 1998

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vwechs94: Involuntary job change since 1998 (N=3263)

vwechs94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: retired from work before 1998, never been employed		1354	41,5	41,5	41,5
1	yes		171	5,2	5,2	46,7
2	no		1667	51,1	51,1	97,8
8	DK		3	0,1	0,1	97,9
9	NA		68	2,1	2,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

varlos94 - Unemployed since 1998

S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1998, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

S10_B Did you become unemployed since 1998?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/retired from work before 1998

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, varlos94: Unemployed since 1998 (N=3263)

varlos94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: retired from work before 1998, never been employed		1354	41,5	41,5	41,5
1	yes		341	10,5	10,5	51,9
2	no		1469	45,0	45,0	97,0
8	DK		2	0,1	0,1	97,0
9	NA		97	3,0	3,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vbausg94 - Withdraw from working life since 1998

S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1998, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

S10_C Did you withdraw from working life since 1998?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/retired from work before 1998

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vbausg94: Withdraw from working life since 1998 (N=3263)

vbausg94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: retired from work before 1998, never been employed		1354	41,5	41,5	41,5
1	yes		274	8,4	8,4	49,9
2	no		1510	46,3	46,3	96,2
8	DK		5	0,2	0,2	96,3
9	NA		120	3,7	3,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

voeff - Employed: public servant

S11

Are you <INT.: where applicable 'were you'> employed in the public service?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, voeff: Employed: public servant (N=3263)

voeff

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: never been employed		234	7,2	7,2	7,2
1	yes		597	18,3	18,3	25,5
2	no		2376	72,8	72,8	98,3
8	DK		4	0,1	0,1	98,4
9	NA		52	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vberuf - Employment status

S12

<INT.: hand list S12 to respondent. Allow only one response.>

Please take a look at this list. What is your present occupational position or in case you aren't employed anymore, what was your last occupational position?

Self-employed

- 1 self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)
- 2 self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of large store, medium craftsman)
- 3 self-employed - higher level (e.g., owner of large business or factory)

Employee (also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 4 salaried employee - lower level (e.g., messenger)
- 5 salaried employee - medium level (e.g., secretary)
- 6 salaried employee - professional level (e.g., accountant, cashier)
- 7 salaried employee - in a managerial position (e.g., department head, director)

Civil servants

- 8 civil servant - low level (e.g., up to assistant)
- 9 civil servant - medium level (up to "Hauptsekretär")
- 10 civil servant - high level (up to "Amtsrat")
- 11 civil servant - highest level (beginning at "Regierungsrat")

Worker (also farm workers, also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 12 unskilled worker
- 13 semi-skilled worker
- 14 skilled worker
- 15 highly trained worker

Self-employed farmers

- 16 farmer, small farm (up to 5 ha/ 20 morgen)
- 17 farmer, medium-sized farm
- 18 farmer, large farm

Other

- 19 professional, also artists
- 20 in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question

- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

Note:

"Morgen" is a former measure of land used e.g. in Germany.

ZA3861, vberuf: Employment status (N=3263)

vberuf

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: never been employed		234	7,2	7,2	7,2
1	self-employed - lower level		186	5,7	5,7	12,9
2	self-employed - medium level		37	1,1	1,1	14,0
3	self-employed - higher level		1	0,0	0,0	14,0
4	salaried employee - lower level		261	8,0	8,0	22,0
5	salaried employee - medium level		350	10,7	10,7	32,8
6	salaried employee - professional level		634	19,4	19,4	52,2
7	salaried employee - in a managerial position		218	6,7	6,7	58,9
8	civil servant - low level		26	0,8	0,8	59,7
9	civil servant - medium level		67	2,1	2,1	61,7
10	civil servant - high level		68	2,1	2,1	63,8
11	civil servant - highest level		25	0,8	0,8	64,6
12	unskilled worker		63	1,9	1,9	66,5
13	semi-skilled worker		237	7,3	7,3	73,8
14	skilled worker		603	18,5	18,5	92,2
15	highly-trained worker		64	2,0	2,0	94,2
16	farmer, small farm		4	0,1	0,1	94,3
17	farmer, medium-sized farm		10	0,3	0,3	94,6
18	farmer, large farm		12	0,4	0,4	95,0
19	professional		41	1,3	1,3	96,3
20	in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies		77	2,4	2,4	98,6
98	DK		5	0,2	0,2	98,8
99	NA		40	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Fragetext (Englische Sprache)

vfamstdn - Respondent: marital status

S13

TO ALL

Would you please tell me your marital status: which of the categories on this list is appropriate to you? Please just tell me the respective number.

<INT.: hand list S13 to respondent. Allow only ONE response.>

<If code 1, go to question S14.>

- 1 married, living together with spouse
- 2 married, living separated, getting a divorce
- 3 divorced
- 4 widowed
- 5 single
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vfamstdn: Respondent: marital status (N=3263)

vfamstdn

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	married, living together with spouse		1795	55,0	55,0	55,0
2	married (separated, getting a divorce)		52	1,6	1,6	56,6
3	divorced		262	8,0	8,0	64,6
4	widowed		378	11,6	11,6	76,2
5	single		771	23,6	23,6	99,8
9	NA		5	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpartner - Live together with partner

S13a

<INT.: Only if respondent is separated, divorced or single according to question S13.>

Do you live together with a partner?

<If code 2, go to question S22.>

0 INAP: married, living together with spouse

1 yes

2 no

9 refused

ZA3861, vpartner: Live together with partner (N=3263)

vpartner

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: married, living together		1795	55,0	55,0	55,0
1	yes		207	6,3	6,3	61,4
2	no		1253	38,4	38,4	99,8
9	NA		8	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbildga - Partner: kind of school

S14

<INT.: hand list S14 to respondent. Allow only ONE response. Let respondent only specify highest level of education.>

What is the highest level of general education of your spouse/partner?

<lf code 2 to 9, go to question S15.>

- 0 INAP: no partner
- 1 still pupil
- 2 finished school without leaving certificate
- 3 'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate
- 4 'Mittlere Reife', 'Realschule' leaving certificate
- 5 'Abitur'
- 6 completed university, 'Fachhochschule' or polytechnic degree
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vpbildga: Partner: kind of school (N=3263)

vpbildga

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: no partner		1261	38,6	38,6	38,6
1	pupil		5	0,2	0,2	38,8
2	finished school without leaving certificate		21	0,6	0,6	39,4
3	'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate		949	29,1	29,1	68,5
4	'Mittlere Reife', 'Realschule' leaving certificate		621	19,0	19,0	87,6
5	'Abitur'		117	3,6	3,6	91,1
6	completed university, 'Fachhochschule' or polytechnic degree		234	7,2	7,2	98,3
8	DK		3	0,1	0,1	98,4
9	NA		52	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbildgb - Partner: aimed graduation

S14a

<INT.: Only if spouse/partner is still pupil according to question S14:>

Which form of graduation or certificate is he/she aiming at?

<INT.: Read list.>

- 0 INAP: no partner; code 2 bis 9 in vpbildga
- 3 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate
- 4 'Mittlere Reife'
- 5 'Abitur'
- 6 other graduation/leaving certificate
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vpbildgb: Partner: aimed graduation (N=3263)

vpbildgb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: no partner, partner not a pupil		3258	99,8	99,8	99,8
4	'Mittlere Reife'		1	0,0	0,0	99,9
5	'Abitur'		3	0,1	0,1	100,0
7	other graduation/leaving certificate		1	0,0	0,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbildgc - Partner: complete apprenticeship

S15

Did he/she complete an occupational apprenticeship?

- 0 INAP: no partner
- 1 yes
- 2 no
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vpbildgc: Partner: complete apprenticeship (N=3263)

vpbildgc

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no partner		1261	38,6	38,6	38,6
1	yes		1542	47,3	47,3	85,9
2	no		409	12,5	12,5	98,4
8	DK		5	0,2	0,2	98,6
9	NA		46	1,4	1,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpberuft - Partner: present employment

S16

<INT.: hand list S16 to respondent again. Allow only ONE response.>

What does presently apply to your spouse/partner?

<If Code 1, 2, or 3, go to question S19.>

- 0 INAP: no partner
- 1 full-time employee
- 2 part-time employee
- 3 occupational training, apprenticeship
- 4 working hourly
- 5 presently unemployed
- 6 presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'
- 7 reschooling or educational measure financed by the employment centre
- 8 on maternity leave, parental leave
- 9 housewife/househusband (not full- or part-time employed)
- 10 early retirement, retired, receiving pension
- 11 draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service
- 12 school education
- 13 studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university
- 98 don't know
- 99 refused

ZA3861, vpberuft: Partner: present employment (N=3263)

vpberuft

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP: no partner		1261	38,6	38,6	38,6
1	full-time employee		761	23,3	23,3	62,0
2	part-time employee		212	6,5	6,5	68,5
3	occupational training, apprenticeship		11	0,3	0,3	68,8
4	working hourly		19	0,6	0,6	69,4
5	presently unemployed		116	3,6	3,6	72,9
6	presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'		4	0,1	0,1	73,1
7	reschooling or educational measure financed by the employment centre		7	0,2	0,2	73,3
8	on maternity leave, parental leave		35	1,1	1,1	74,3
9	housewife/househusband		191	5,9	5,9	80,2
10	early retirement, retired, receiving pension		591	18,1	18,1	98,3
11	draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service		2	0,1	0,1	98,4
12	school education		2	0,1	0,1	98,4
13	studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university		13	0,4	0,4	98,8
99	NA		38	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpfbertg - Partner: previous employment

S17

<INT.: Only if code 4 to 13 or 99 in question S16.>

Did your spouse/partner previously have a full-time or part-time job, working at least 15 hours per week?

<If code 0, 2, 8, or 9, go to question S22.>

0 INAP: no partner; code 1, 2, 3 in vpberuft

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpfbertg: Partner: previous employment (N=3263)

vpfbertg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no partner, full-time, part-time, in vocational training		2245	68,8	68,8	68,8
1	yes		891	27,3	27,3	96,1
2	no		85	2,6	2,6	98,7
8	DK		5	0,2	0,2	98,9
9	NA		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpfrbeja - Partner: previous employment until when

S18

<INT.: Only if respondent has been full- or part-time employed according to question S17.>

When did your spouse/partner finish this employment?

<INT.: Note year. Take note of interviewer statement.>

<For all years before 1998, carry on with question S20.>

Employment finished between 1998 and 2002

1998 1998

1999 1999

2000 2000

2001 2001

2002 2002

0 INAP: no partner; code 0, 2, 8, 9 in vpbertg

9999 refused

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpwech94 - Partner: involuntary job change since 1998

S19

Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1998, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_A Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1998?

0 INAP: no partner; partner occupied, never been employed, retired from work before 1998

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpwech94: Partner: involuntary job change since 1998 (N=3263)

vpwech94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no partner, never been employed, retired from work before 1998		2014	61,7	61,7	61,7
1	yes		118	3,6	3,6	65,3
2	no		1073	32,9	32,9	98,2
8	DK		1	0,0	0,0	98,3
9	NA		57	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vparlo94 - Partner: unemployed since 1998

S19

Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1998, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_B Did he/she become unemployed since 1998?

0 INAP: no partner; partner occupied, never been employed, retired from work before 1998

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vparlo94: Partner: unemployed since 1998 (N=3263)

vparlo94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no partner, never been employed, retired from work before 1998		2014	61,7	61,7	61,7
1	yes		180	5,5	5,5	67,2
2	no		985	30,2	30,2	97,4
8	DK		1	0,0	0,0	97,5
9	NA		83	2,5	2,5	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpbaus94 - Partner: withdraw from working life since 1998

S19

Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1998, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_C Did he/she withdraw from working life since 1998?

0 INAP: no partner; partner occupied, never been employed, retired from work before 1998

1 yes

2 no

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpbaus94: Partner: withdraw from working life since 1998 (N=3263)

vpbaus94

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no partner, never been employed, retired from work before 1998		2014	61,7	61,7	61,7
1	yes		147	4,5	4,5	66,2
2	no		1025	31,4	31,4	97,6
8	DK		2	0,1	0,1	97,7
9	NA		75	2,3	2,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpoeff - Partner: employed: public servant

S20

Is your spouse/partner <INT.: where applicable 'Was your spouse/partner'> employed in the public service?

- 0 INAP: no partner; partner not occupied
- 1 yes
- 2 no
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vpoeff: Partner: employed: public servant (N=3263)

vpoeff

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no partner, never been employed		1388	42,5	42,5	42,5
1	yes		314	9,6	9,6	52,2
2	no		1522	46,6	46,6	98,8
8	DK		1	0,0	0,0	98,8
9	NA		38	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpberuf - Partner: employment status

S21

<INT.: hand list S21 to respondent. Allow only ONE response.>

Please take a look at this list. What is the present occupational position of your spouse/partner or in case your spouse/partner isn't employed anymore, what was the last occupational position of your spouse/partner?

Self-employed

- 1 self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)
- 2 self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of large store, medium craftsman)
- 3 self-employed - higher level (e.g., owner of large business or factory)

Employee (also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 4 salaried employee - lower level (e.g., messenger)
- 5 salaried employee - medium level (e.g., secretary)
- 6 salaried employee - professional level (e.g., accountant, cashier)
- 7 salaried employee - in a managerial position (e.g., department head, director)

Civil servants

- 8 civil servant - low level (e.g., up to assistant)
- 9 civil servant - medium level (up to "Hauptsekretär")
- 10 civil servant - high level (up to "Amtsrat")
- 11 civil servant - highest level (beginning at "Regierungsrat")

Worker (also farm workers, also in "LPG" and "PGH")

- 12 unskilled worker
- 13 semi-skilled worker
- 14 skilled worker
- 15 highly trained worker

Self-employed farmers

- 16 farmer, small farm (up to 5 ha/ 20 morgen)
- 17 farmer, medium-sized farm
- 18 farmer, large farm

Other

- 19 professional
- 20 in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies

0 INAP.: no partner; partner was never employed, refused in 'profession' question

98 don't know

99 refused

Note:

"Morgen" is a former measure of land used e.g. in Germany.

ZA3861, vpberuf: Partner: employment status (N=3263)

vpberuf

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no partner, never been employed		1388	42,5	42,5	42,5
1	self-employed - lower level		107	3,3	3,3	45,8
2	self-employed - medium level		31	1,0	1,0	46,8
4	salaried employee - lower level		190	5,8	5,8	52,6
5	salaried employee - medium level		253	7,8	7,8	60,3
6	salaried employee - higher level		369	11,3	11,3	71,7
7	salaried employee - in a managerial position		128	3,9	3,9	75,6
8	civil servant - low level		14	0,4	0,4	76,0
9	civil servant - medium level		39	1,2	1,2	77,2
10	civil servant - high level		39	1,2	1,2	78,4
11	civil servant - highest level		16	0,5	0,5	78,9
12	unskilled worker		50	1,5	1,5	80,4
13	semi-skilled worker		135	4,1	4,1	84,6
14	skilled worker		400	12,3	12,3	96,8
15	highly trained worker		42	1,3	1,3	98,1
16	farmer, small farm		3	0,1	0,1	98,2
17	farmer, medium-sized farm		4	0,1	0,1	98,3
18	farmer, large farm		11	0,3	0,3	98,7
19	professional		12	0,4	0,4	99,0
20	in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies		9	0,3	0,3	99,3
98	DK		2	0,1	0,1	99,4
99	NA		21	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vinitmg - Member: citizens's initiative

S22

<INT.: hand list S22 to respondent.>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_A Citizens' initiative

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vinitmg: Member: citizens's initiative (N=3263)

vinitmg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no, I'm not a member		3144	96,4	96,4	96,4
2	I'm a member, but don't occupy an office		65	2,0	2,0	98,3
3	I'm a member and occupy an office		26	0,8	0,8	99,1
9	NA		28	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpartmg - Member: party

S22

<INT.: hand list S22 to respondent.>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_B Political party

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vpartmg: Member: party (N=3263)

vpartmg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no, I'm not a member		3060	93,8	93,8	93,8
2	I'm a member, but don't occupy an office		124	3,8	3,8	97,6
3	I'm a member and occupy an office		48	1,5	1,5	99,0
9	NA		31	1,0	1,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vberufmg - Member: trade association

S22

<INT.: hand list S22 to respondent.>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_C Trade association

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vberufmg: Member: trade association (N=3263)

vberufmg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no, I'm not a member		3037	93,1	93,1	93,1
2	I'm a member, but don't occupy an office		162	5,0	5,0	98,0
3	I'm a member and occupy an office		27	0,8	0,8	98,9
9	NA		37	1,1	1,1	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgewermg - Member: trade union

S22

<INT.: hand list S22 to respondent.>

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_D Trade union

- 1 no, no member
- 2 yes, member, no office occupied
- 3 yes, member and occupy an office
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vgewermg: Member: trade union (N=3263)

vgewermg

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	no, I'm not a member		2801	85,8	85,8	85,8
2	I'm a member, but don't occupy an office		399	12,2	12,2	98,1
3	I'm a member and occupy an office		33	1,0	1,0	99,1
9	NA		30	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpid - Party preference

S23

<INT.: hand list S23 to respondent. Allow only ONE response.>

Many people in the Federal Republic lean toward a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party. How about you? Do you in general lean toward a particular party? If so, which one? Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<If Code 96, 98, 99, go to question S27.>

Yes, namely:

- 1 CDU
- 2 CSU
- 3 SPD
- 4 FDP
- 5 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- 6 Republikaner
- 7 PDS
- 9 other party

96 don't lean toward a party

98 don't know

99 refused

ZA3861, vpid: Party preference (N=3263)

vpid

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	CDU		667	20,4	20,4	20,4
2	CSU		172	5,3	5,3	25,7
3	SPD		893	27,4	27,4	53,1
4	FDP		69	2,1	2,1	55,2
5	Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen		138	4,2	4,2	59,4
6	Republikaner		10	0,3	0,3	59,7
7	PDS		124	3,8	3,8	63,5
9	other party		18	0,6	0,6	64,1
96	no, don't lean towards a particular party		968	29,7	29,7	93,7
98	DK		34	1,0	1,0	94,8
99	NA		170	5,2	5,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidstrk - Strength of party preference

S24

<INT.: Only if respondent leans to a party according to question S23 (Code 1-8), otherwise continue with question S27:>

Taken altogether, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this particular party: very strongly, strongly, moderately, weakly or very weakly?

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 very strongly

2 strongly

3 moderately

4 weakly

5 very weakly

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpidstrk: Strength of party preference (N=3263)

vpidstrk

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no PID		1172	35,9	35,9	35,9
1	very strongly		201	6,2	6,2	42,1
2	strongly		752	23,0	23,0	65,1
3	moderately		977	29,9	29,9	95,1
4	weakly		129	4,0	4,0	99,0
5	very weakly		20	0,6	0,6	99,6
8	DK		6	0,2	0,2	99,8
9	NA		6	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidzeit - Length of party preference

S25

And for how have you been leaning toward this party:

since you can remember, for many years, for a few years, for some months or for a few weeks?

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 since I can remember

2 for many years

3 for a few years

4 for some months

5 for a few weeks

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpidzeit: Length of party preference (N=3263)

vpidzeit

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no PID		1172	35,9	35,9	35,9
1	since I can remember		530	16,2	16,2	52,2
2	for many years		897	27,5	27,5	79,7
3	for a few years		515	15,8	15,8	95,4
4	for some months		73	2,2	2,2	97,7
5	for a few weeks		11	0,3	0,3	98,0
8	DK		36	1,1	1,1	99,1
9	NA		29	0,9	0,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpida - Party preference: party means a lot to me

S26

<INT.: hand list S26 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

S26_A This party means a lot to me. I am not indifferent about its development. I... with this statement.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpid: Party preference: party means a lot to me (N=3263)

vpida

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no PID		1172	35,9	35,9	35,9
1	completely disagree		27	0,8	0,8	36,7
2	tend to disagree		152	4,7	4,7	41,4
3	part/part		393	12,0	12,0	53,4
4	tend to agree		821	25,2	25,2	78,6
5	completely agree		656	20,1	20,1	98,7
8	DK		22	0,7	0,7	99,4
9	NA		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidb - Party preference: better politicians

S26

<INT.: hand list S26 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

S26_B This party doesn't mean that much to me, but it's presently got the better politicians. I... with this statement.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpidb: Party preference: better politicians (N=3263)

vpidb

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no PID		1172	35,9	35,9	35,9
1	completely disagree		175	5,4	5,4	41,3
2	tend to disagree		486	14,9	14,9	56,2
3	part/part		566	17,3	17,3	73,5
4	tend to agree		558	17,1	17,1	90,6
5	completely agree		186	5,7	5,7	96,3
8	DK		76	2,3	2,3	98,7
9	NA		44	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidc - Party preference: often voted for party

S26

<INT.: hand list S26 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

S26_C I am not really connected to this party, but I often voted for it in the past. I... with this statement.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpidc: Party preference: often voted for party (N=3263)

vpidc

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no PID		1172	35,9	35,9	35,9
1	completely disagree		444	13,6	13,6	49,5
2	tend to disagree		653	20,0	20,0	69,5
3	part/part		383	11,7	11,7	81,3
4	tend to agree		344	10,5	10,5	91,8
5	completely agree		122	3,7	3,7	95,6
8	DK		83	2,5	2,5	98,1
9	NA		62	1,9	1,9	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidd - Party preference: better policy

S26

<INT.: hand list S26 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party.

Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read statements.>

S26_D This party itself is less important to me, but it presently pursues the better policy.

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID

1 completely disagree

2 tend to disagree

3 part/part

4 tend to agree

5 completely agree

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vpidd: Party preference: better policy (N=3263)

vpidd

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: no PID		1172	35,9	35,9	35,9
1	completely disagree		269	8,2	8,2	44,2
2	tend to disagree		453	13,9	13,9	58,0
3	part/part		542	16,6	16,6	74,7
4	tend to agree		526	16,1	16,1	90,8
5	completely agree		154	4,7	4,7	95,5
8	DK		90	2,8	2,8	98,3
9	NA		57	1,7	1,7	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhhpeink - How many contribute to income of household

S27

TO ALL

Taken altogether, how many persons contribute to the income of this household?

- 1 one person
- 2 two persons
- 3 three persons
- 4 four persons
- 5 five or more persons
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vhhpeink: How many contribute to income of household (N=3263)

vhhpeink

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	one person		1375	42,1	42,1	42,1
2	two persons		1634	50,1	50,1	92,2
3	three persons		181	5,5	5,5	97,8
4	four persons		46	1,4	1,4	99,2
5	five persons and more		7	0,2	0,2	99,4
9	NA		20	0,6	0,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhheinko - Household's net income

S28

<INT.: hand list S28 to respondent.>

Using this list, please tell me the net income of your household, i.e. the amount you have left after taxes and social and health insurance premiums.

Please just tell me the respective identification code from this list.

<INT.: in case of queries:>

For self-employed persons ask for the average monthly net income less the business expenses.

- 1 up to 1,000 DM/up to 500 Euro
- 2 1,000 - 1,500 DM/500 - 750 Euro
- 3 1,500 - 2,000 DM/750 - 1,000 Euro
- 4 2,000 - 2,500 DM/1,000 - 1,250 Euro
- 5 2,500 - 3,000 DM/1,250 - 1,500 Euro
- 6 3,000 - 3,500 DM/1,500 - 1,750 Euro
- 7 3,500 - 4,000 DM/1,750 - 2,000 Euro
- 8 4,000 - 5,000 DM/2,000 - 2,500 Euro
- 9 5,000 - 6,000 DM/2,500 - 3,000 Euro
- 10 6,000 - 7,000 DM/3,000 - 3,500 Euro
- 11 7,000 - 10,000 DM/3,500 - 5,000 Euro
- 12 10,000 and above/5,000 Euro and above
- 98 don't know
- 99 NA

ZA3861, vhheinko: Household's net income (N=3263)

vhheinko

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	up to 1,000 DM/up to 500 Euro		99	3,0	3,0	3,0
2	1,000 - 1,500 DM/500 - 750 Euro		303	9,3	9,3	12,3
3	1,500 - 2,000 DM/750 - 1,000 Euro		287	8,8	8,8	21,1
4	2,000 - 2,500 DM/1,000 - 1,250 Euro		299	9,2	9,2	30,3
5	2,500 - 3,000 DM/1,250 - 1,500 Euro		91	2,8	2,8	33,1
6	3,000 - 3,500 DM/1,500 - 1,750 Euro		311	9,5	9,5	42,6
7	3,500 - 4,000 DM/1,750 - 2,000 Euro		178	5,5	5,5	48,1
8	4,000 - 5,000 DM/2,000 - 2,500 Euro		295	9,0	9,0	57,1
9	5,000 - 6,000 DM/2,500 - 3,000 Euro		214	6,6	6,6	63,7
10	6,000 - 7,000 DM/3,000 - 3,500 Euro		210	6,4	6,4	70,1
11	7,000 - 10,000 DM/3,500 - 5,000 Euro		110	3,4	3,4	73,5
12	10,000 and above/5,000 Euro and above		160	4,9	4,9	78,4
98	DK		87	2,7	2,7	81,0
99	NA		619	19,0	19,0	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vschicht - Respondent's subjective strata

S29

There is much talk these days about the various population strata. Which of these strata do you consider yourself a part of, the working class, the middle class or the upper class?

<If code 8 or 9, go to question S32.>

- 1 working class
- 2 middle class
- 3 upper class
- 8 don't know
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vschicht: Respondent's subjective strata (N=3263)

vschicht

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	the working class		1349	41,3	41,3	41,3
2	the middle class		1724	52,8	52,8	94,2
3	the upper class		65	2,0	2,0	96,2
8	DK		73	2,2	2,2	98,4
9	NA		52	1,6	1,6	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwoschi - Which part of strata

S30

<INT.: Only if in question S29 code 1 to 3, otherwise continue with question S32.>

Would you rather place yourself in the lower part, the average or the upper part of this strata?

0 INAP.: Code 8 or 9 in VSCHICHT

1 lower part

2 average

3 upper part

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vwoschi: Which part of strata (N=3263)

vwoschi

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: class answer refused		125	3,8	3,8	3,8
1	lower		532	16,3	16,3	20,1
2	average		2110	64,7	64,7	84,8
3	upper		435	13,3	13,3	98,1
8	DK		35	1,1	1,1	99,2
9	NA		26	0,8	0,8	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vschielt - Strata compared to parents

S31

And when you compare that to your parents' house, would you say you belong to a lower stratum, the same stratum or a higher stratum than your parents.

0 INAP.: Code 8 or 9 in VSCHICHT

1 lower stratum

2 the same stratum

3 higher stratum

8 don't know

9 refused

ZA3861, vschielt: Strata compared to parents (N=3263)

vschielt

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
0	INAP.: class answer refused		125	3,8	3,8	3,8
1	lower class		369	11,3	11,3	15,1
2	the same class		2044	62,6	62,6	77,8
3	higher class		593	18,2	18,2	96,0
8	DK		90	2,8	2,8	98,7
9	NA		42	1,3	1,3	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhhpers - Household size

A

How many persons all together live in you household, you and children included?

- 1 I live alone
- 2 two persons
- 3 three persons
- 4 four persons
- 5 five persons
- 6 six persons
- 7 seven persons
- 8 eight persons
- 9 nine persons
- 10 ten persons
- 11 eleven persons
- 99 refused

ZA3861, vhhpers: Household size (N=3263)

vhhpers

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	one person		899	27,6	27,6	27,6
2	two persons		1253	38,4	38,4	66,0
3	three persons		501	15,4	15,4	81,3
4	four persons		427	13,1	13,1	94,4
5	five persons		119	3,6	3,6	98,0
6	six persons		37	1,1	1,1	99,2
7	seven persons		7	0,2	0,2	99,4
8	eight persons		3	0,1	0,1	99,5
9	nine persons and more		4	0,1	0,1	99,6
99	NA		13	0,4	0,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vhhper16 - Household size, Germans over 16

B

And how many persons of these have a German citizenship and are at least 16 years old?

- 1 one person
- 2 two persons
- 3 three persons
- 4 four persons
- 5 five persons
- 6 six persons
- 7 seven persons
- 8 eight persons
- 9 nine persons and more
- 99 refused

ZA3861, vhhper16: Household size, Germans over 16 (N=3263)

vhhper16

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	one person		983	30,1	30,1	30,1
2	two persons		1704	52,2	52,2	82,3
3	three persons		381	11,7	11,7	94,0
4	four persons		152	4,7	4,7	98,7
5	five persons		28	0,9	0,9	99,5
6	six persons		7	0,2	0,2	99,8
9	nine persons and more		1	0,0	0,0	99,8
99	NA		7	0,2	0,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vtelefon - Telephone in household

S32

Do you have a telephone here in this household?

- 1 yes
- 2 no
- 9 refused

ZA3861, vtelefon: Telephone in household (N=3263)

vtelefon

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	yes		3041	93,2	93,2	93,2
2	no		183	5,6	5,6	98,8
9	NA		39	1,2	1,2	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid C:		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vdatum - Date of interview

Date of interview

coding format: MMDD

999999 no response

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vdatum2 - Date of interview

Date of interview 2

coding format: MMDD

999999 no response

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vortsggrp - Size of town - politically

Size of town (politically)

- 1 less than 1,999 inhabitants
- 2 2,000 - 4,999
- 3 5,000 - 19,999
- 4 20,000 - 49,999
- 5 50,000 - 99,999
- 6 100,000 - 499,999
- 7 500,000 inhabitants and more

ZA3861, vortsggrp: Size of town - politically (N=3263)

vortsggrp

Value	Label	Missing	Count	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumul.Percent
1	less than 2,000 inhabitants		260	8,0	8,0	8,0
2	2,000 - 5,000		313	9,6	9,6	17,6
3	5,000 - 20,000		809	24,8	24,8	42,4
4	20,000 - 50,000		528	16,2	16,2	58,5
5	50,000 - 100,000		300	9,2	9,2	67,7
6	100,000 - 500,000		583	17,9	17,9	85,6
7	500,000 inhabitants and more		470	14,4	14,4	100,0
	Sum		3263	100,0	100,0	
	Valid Cases		3263			

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgwow1 - Abs East-West w., pre or post

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgwow2 - Abs East-West w., pre + post

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgvwnw - R w. pre or post, East or West

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgges - R w East pr + p or West pr + p

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vgvwnwow - Repr w. all-Germ pre or post

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vggesow - Repr w. all-Germ pre + post

Sample weighting:

The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:

pre-election or post-election: vgwow1

pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw

pre-election and post-election: vggew

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):

pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow

Contents		page
za_nr	ZA Study Number	1
version	GESIS Archive Version	2
doi	Digital Object Identifier	3
vvpnid	Case number	4
vsplitwo	West-East identifier	5
vvornach	Pre-/post-election	6
vland	Federal state - Bundesland	7
v10	Economic situation today	8
v20	Economic situation improved	9
v30	Economic situation prospective	10
v31	Which vote more important?	11
v40	Democracy in Germany	12
v50	Interest in politics: strength	13
v60	Will Respondent vote in next election?	14
v62	Vote: polling place/absentee	15
v63	Same vote decision as last election	16
v64	Vote next Sunday: party	17
v65	Vote next Sunday: candidate	19
v69	Candidate vote intention	21
v70	Party vote intention	23
v71	Answer vote intention yes/no	25
v72	Voting decision: when?	26
v73a	Most important: vote decision	27
v73b	2nd most important: vote decision	28
v80	Sure of voting decision	29
v81a	1st reason for vote	30
v81b	2nd reason for vote	33
v81c	3rd reason for vote	36
v81d	4th reason for vote	39
v81e	5th reason for vote	41
v81f	6th reason for vote	43
v81g	7th reason for vote	44
v81h	8th reason for vote	45
v81j	9th reason for vote	46
v81k	10th reason for vote	47
v82	Eligible to vote 2002	48
v83a	1st reason non-voter	49
v83b	2nd reason non-voter	51
v83c	3rd reason non-voter	52
v83d	4th reason non-voter	53
v84a	Represent Bundestag: FDP	54
v84b	Represent Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	55
v84c	Represent Bundestag: PDS	56
v84d	Represent Bundestag: Republikaner	57
v85	Which party gets most votes?	58
v86	Evaluation concept state democracy	59
v90	Personal financial situation	60
v100	Personal financial situation improved	61
v110	Personal financial situation in a year	62

v120a	Dissatisfied with parties: 1st	63
v120b	Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd	65
v120c	Dissatisfied with parties: 3rd	67
v120d	Dissatisfied with parties: 4th	69
v120e	Dissatisfied with parties: 5th	71
v120f	Dissatisfied with parties: 6th	72
v120g	Dissatisfied with parties: 7th	73
v121	Respondent: wanted government coalition	74
v121b	Respondent: 2nd choice government coalition	75
v122	Satisfied with election outcome	76
v123	Follow election campaign 2002	77
v124a	How often: discussions with friends about election	78
v124b	How often: attend election campaign events	79
v124c	How often: visited Internet pages on the election	80
v130a	Parties only want votes	81
v130b	Parties regard state as self-service shop	82
v130c	Party politicians trustworthy	83
v130d	Good relations to parties	84
v130e	Party members can produce their ideas	85
v130f	Parties are only interested in preservation of power	86
v130g	Objectives of parties are clearly different	87
v130h	Parties have too much influence in society	88
v130i	Government worse without professional politicians	89
v130k	Most parties and politicians are corrupt	90
v140aa	Important problems FRG: 1	91
v140ab	Important problems FRG: 2	94
v140ac	Important problems FRG: 3	97
v140ad	Important problems FRG: 4	100
v140ae	Important problems FRG: 5	103
v140af	Important problems FRG: 6	106
v140ag	Important problems FRG: 7	108
v140ah	Important problems FRG: 8	110
vwprob1	Most important problem FRG	111
vwprob2	2nd most import problem FRG	114
v150	Qualified: most important problem	117
v160	Qualified: 2nd most important problem	118
v170a	Politicians pay attention to people	119
v170b	People have no influence on government	120
v170d	Politics too complicated	121
v170f	Members of the Bundestag try to stay in contact with population	122
v170g	Citizens hardly influence politics	123
v170h	Right to stand up for own opinion	124
v170i	Respondent: active role in political group	125
v170k	No democracy possible without political opposition	126
v170l	Respondent: understands political issue	127
v170m	Duty of citizens: electoral participation	128
v170o	Chance for every democratic party to be in government	129
v171a	Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU	130
v171b	Nuclear energy: SPD	131
v171c	Nuclear energy: FDP	132
v171d	Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	133

v171e	Nuclear energy: Republikaner	134
v171f	Nuclear energy: PDS	135
v172a	Immigration: CDU/CSU	136
v172b	Immigration: SPD	137
v172c	Immigration: FDP	138
v172d	Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	139
v172e	Immigration: Republikaner	140
v172f	Immigration: PDS	141
v173a	European unification: CDU/CSU	142
v173b	European unification: SPD	143
v173c	European unification: FDP	144
v173d	European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	145
v173e	European unification: Republikaner	146
v173f	European unification: PDS	147
v174a	Personal opinion: nuclear energy	148
v174b	Personal opinion: immigration	149
v174c	Personal opinion: European unification	150
v175a	Important: nuclear energy	151
v175b	Important: immigration	152
v175c	Important: European unification	153
v179	Respondent: lived where July 89	154
v180aspw	FRG 89: personal standard of living	155
v180bspw	FRG 89: fair distribution of income	156
v180cspw	FRG 89: social security	157
v180dspw	FRG 89: sticking together of the people among each other	158
v180espw	FRG 89: protection against crime	159
v180aspo	GDR 89: personal standard of living	160
v180bspw	GDR 89: fair distribution of income	161
v180cspw	GDR 89: social security	162
v180dspw	GDR 89: sticking together of the people among each other	163
v180espo	GDR 89: protection against crime	164
v181	Know: number of federal states	165
v182a	ASKO: constant chance versus fixed circumstances	166
v182b	ASKO: new ideas versus well-trying views	167
v182c	ASKO: surprising situations versus clear circumstances	168
v182d	ASKO: law and order versus movement and innovation	169
v182e	ASKO: integration and subordination versus rebellion	170
v182f	ASKO: change versus tradition	171
v182g	ASKO: standing rules versus improvisations	172
v182h	ASKO: new unknown things versus known things	173
v182i	ASKO: preservation of long-established things versus reforms	174
v183a	Big 5: sociable versus introvert	175
v183b	Big 5: new experiences versus familiar things	176
v183c	Big 5: mentally unbalanced versus mentally balanced	177
v183d	Big 5: diligent versus casual	178
v183e	Big 5: accommodate versus prevail	179
v200a	Think of: CDU	180
v200b	Think of: CSU	181
v200c	Think of: SPD	182
v200d	Think of: FDP	183
v200e	Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	184

v200f	Think of: Republikaner	185
v200g	Think of: PDS	186
v200i	Think of: Schill-Partei	187
v210a	Political goal: maintaining order in nation	188
v210b	Political goal: more say in government decisions	190
v210c	Political goal: fight against rising prices	192
v210d	Political goal: freedom of speech	194
v220a	Trust: Bundestag	196
v220b	Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht	197
v220c	Trust: federal government	198
v220d	Trust: courts	199
v220e	Trust: police	200
v220f	Trust: administration	201
v220g	Trust: churches	202
v220h	Trust: political parties	203
v220i	Trust: Bundeswehr	204
v220k	Trust: trade unions	205
v220l	Trust: trade and employers' associations	206
v220m	Trust: ecological groups	207
v220n	Trust: members of the Bundestag	208
v230	Preferred chancellor	209
v231s	Scalometer: Schroeder	210
v231k	Scalometer: Stoiber	211
v232as	Schroeder: economic skills	212
v232ak	Stoiber: economic skills	213
v232bs	Schroeder: likeable human being	214
v232bk	Stoiber: likeable human being	215
v232cs	Schroeder: politically trustworthy	216
v232ck	Stoiber: politically trustworthy	217
v232ds	Schroeder: dynamic	218
v232dk	Stoiber: dynamic	219
v240a	Political participation: citizens' initiative	220
v240b	Political participation: legal demonstration	221
v240c	Political participation: violent demonstration	222
v240e	Political participation: blockade traffic	223
v240f	Political participation: get support from party	224
v250	Left-right self-placement	225
v260a	Interests: trade unions	226
v260b	Interests: trade and employers' associations	227
v260c	Interests: ecological groups	228
v260f	Interests: churches	229
v260g	Interests: CDU/CSU	230
v260h	Interests: SPD	231
v260i	Interests: FDP	232
v260j	Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	233
v260k	Interests: Republikaner	234
v260m	Interests: PDS	235
v281a	Society: respect established things	236
v281b	Society: citizen participation	237
v281c	Society: achieve through merit	238
v281d	Society: respect law and order	239

v281e	Society: open to new ideas	240
v281f	Society: man more important than money	241
v282	Satisfaction with government (SPD + Buendnis90/Die Gruenen)	242
v283info	Follow-up survey	243
v283a	Connected to: community, city	244
v283b	Connected to: region	245
v283c	Connected to: federal state	246
v283d	Connected to: FRG/GDR	247
v283e	Connected to: Germany	248
v283fa	Connected to: European Union	249
v283fb	Connected to: Europe	250
v283fz	Connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe	251
v283a1	Original: connected to: community	252
v283b1	Original: connected to: region	253
v283c1	Original: connected to: federal state	254
v283d1	Original: connected to: FRG/GDR	255
v283e1	Original: connected to: Germany	256
v283fa1	Original: connected to: European Union	257
v283fb1	Original: connected to: Europe	258
v283fz1	Original: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe	259
v283a2	Phone follow-up: connected to: community	260
v283b2	Phone follow-up: connected to: region	261
v283c2	Phone follow-up: connected to: state	262
v283d2	Phone follow-up: connected to: FRG/GDR	263
v283e2	Phone follow-up: connected to: Germany	264
v283fa2	Phone follow-up: connected to European Union	265
v283fb2	Phone follow-up: connected to: Europe	266
v283fz2	Phone follow-up: connected to: first-asked item: EU/Europe	267
v284a	Left-right: CDU	268
v284b	Left-right: SPD	269
v284c	Left-right: FDP	270
v284d	Left-right: CSU	271
v284e	Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen	272
v284g	Left-right: PDS	273
v285a	How often: Bild-Zeitung	274
v285b	How often: national newspaper	275
v285bb	Which national newspaper	276
v285c	How often: local regional newspaper	277
v286a	How often: news ARD, ZDF	278
v286b	How often: news private TV	279
v291	Use Internet	280
v292	Use Internet: frequency	281
v293	Use Internet: since when	282
v294a	Use Internet: political information	283
v294b	Use Internet: political institutions	284
v294c	Use Internet: contact to political institutions	285
v295a	Online vote + absentee ballot	286
v295b	Online vote: permission	287
v301	2nd TV duel: watch debate on 8th September?	288
v302a	2nd TV duel: performance Schroeder	289
v302b	2nd TV duel: performance Stoiber	290

v303	1st TV duel: watch debate on 25th August	291
v304a	1st TV duel: performance Schroeder	292
v304b	1st TV duel: performance Stoiber	293
v310	Social order fair or unfair	294
v320	Underprivileged/privileged	295
v330	Recall: party vote 1994	296
v350a	Proud to be German	297
v350b	Nationalise most important companies	298
v350c	Courage to have sense of nationality	299
v350d	Group interests subordinate to public welfare	300
v350f	Dictatorship better type of state	301
v350g	National Socialism also positive aspects	302
v350k	Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman	303
v350l	FRG foreign-controlled	304
v350m	GDR: more good than bad aspects	305
v350n	Foreigners marry countrymen	306
v350o	Influence Jews still too big	307
v350p	Socialism good idea, badly executed	308
v350q	Jews don't fit in society	309
v350r	Sympathy with attacks on asylum-seekers	310
vsex	Sex of respondent	311
vmonat	Month of birth respondent	312
vjahr	Year of birth of respondent	313
veinba	German nationality from birth	314
veinbb	German nationality since when	315
veinbc	Previous nationality	316
veinbc2	Previous nationality (Original entry)	318
vrelig	Respondent's religious denomination	319
vkirchg	Frequency attend church	320
vbildga	Kind of school	321
vbildgb	Aimed form of graduation	322
vbildgc	Complete apprenticeship	323
vberuftg	Present employment	324
vfrbertg	Previous employment	325
vfrbejah	Previous employment: until when	326
vwechs94	Involuntary job change since 1998	327
varlos94	Unemployed since 1998	328
vbausg94	Withdraw from working life since 1998	329
voeff	Employed: public servant	330
vberuf	Employment status	331
vfamstdn	Respondent: marital status (only 1998 in this form)	333
vpartner	Live together with partner	334
vpbildga	Partner: kind of school	335
vpbildgb	Partner: aimed graduation	336
vpbildgc	Partner: complete apprenticeship	337
vpberuft	Partner: present employment	338
vpfbertg	Partner: previous employment	339
vpfrbeja	Partner: previous employment until when	340
vpwech94	Partner: involuntary job change since 1998	341
vparlo94	Partner: unemployed since 1998	342
vpbaus94	Partner: withdraw from working life since 1998	343

vpoeff	Partner: employed: public servant	344
vpberuf	Partner: employment status	345
vinitmg	Member: citizens's initiative	347
vpartmg	Member: party	348
vberufmg	Member: trade association	349
vgewermg	Member: trade union	350
vpid	Party preference	351
vpidstrk	Strength of party preference	352
vpidzeit	Length of party preference	353
vpida	Party preference: party means a lot to me	354
vpidb	Party preference: better politicians	355
vpidc	Party preference: often voted for party	356
vpidd	Party preference: better policy	357
vhhpeink	How many contribute to income of household	358
vhheinko	Household's net income	359
vschicht	Respondent's subjective strata	360
vwoschi	Which part of strata	361
vschielt	Strata compared to parents	362
vhhpers	Household size	363
vhhper16	Household size, Germans over 16	364
vtelefon	Telephone in household	365
vdatum	Date of interview	366
vdatum2	Date of interview	367
vortsgrp	Size of town - politically	368
vgwow1	Abs East-West w., pre or post	369
vgwow2	Abs East-West w., pre + post	370
vgvwnw	R w. pre or post, East or West	371
vgges	R w East pr + p or West pr + p	372
vgvwnwow	Repr w. all-Germ pre or post	373
vggesow	Repr w. all-Germ pre + post	374