

ZA6296

**The Privacy and Security Mirrors (PRISMS) -
Towards a European Framework
For Integrated Decision Making**



Project acronym: PRISMS
Project title: The PRIVacy and Security MirrorS: Towards a European framework for integrated decision making
Project number: 285399
Programme: Seventh Framework Programme for research and technological development
Objective: SEC-2011.6.5-2: The relationship between Human privacy and security
Contract type: Collaborative project
Start date of project: 01 February 2012
Duration: 42 months

Deliverable 9.2:

The PRISMS Survey

Authors: Gideon Skinner, Daniel Cameron (Ipsos MORI), Michael Friedewald (Fraunhofer ISI)
Dissemination level: Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)
Deliverable type: Report
Version: 1.0
Due date: 31 January 2014
Submission date: 28 November 2014

About the PRISMS project

The PRISMS project analyses the traditional trade-off model between privacy and security and devise a more evidence-based perspective for reconciling privacy and security, trust and concern. It examines how technologies aimed at enhancing security are subjecting citizens to an increasing amount of surveillance and, in many cases, causing infringements of privacy and fundamental rights. It conducts both a multidisciplinary inquiry into the concepts of privacy and security and their relationships and an EU-wide survey to determine whether people evaluate the introduction of security technologies in terms of a trade-off. As a result, the project determines the factors that affect public assessment of the security and privacy implications of a given security technology. The project uses these results to devise a decision support system providing users (those who deploy and operate security systems) insight into the pros and cons, constraints and limits of specific security investments compared to alternatives taking into account a wider society context.

Terms of use

This document was developed within the PRISMS project (see <http://prismsproject.eu>), co-funded by the European Commission within the Seventh Framework Programme (FP7), by a consortium, consisting of the following partners:

- Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research (Fraunhofer ISI), co-ordinator,
- Trilateral Research & Consulting LLP,
- Dutch Organization for Applied Scientific Research (TNO),
- Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB),
- University of Edinburgh (UEdin),
- Eötvös Károly Policy Institute (EKINT),
- Hogeschool Zuyd and
- Market & Opinion Research International Limited (Ipsos-MORI)

This document may be freely used, copied, and distributed provided that the document itself is not modified or shortened, that full authorship credit is given, and that these terms of use are not removed but included with every copy. The PRISMS partners shall take no liability for the completeness, correctness or fitness for use. This document is subject to updates, revisions, and extensions by the PRISMS consortium. Address questions and comments to: Michael.Friedewald@isi.fraunhofer.de

Document history

Version	Date	Changes
1.0	28 November 2014	

Contents

I. Summary of key results	4
II. Technical report	53
III. Final questionnaire	63
IV. Survey Data	83

Part I.

Summary of key results



Version 2 | Internal Use Only

PRISMS Survey –Summary of key results

October 2014

22/08/14

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs



Methodology

Method

- CATI (Computer Assisted Telephone) interviews were carried out in 27 EU countries February – June 2014, with c.1,000 completes per country, 27,195 in total
- Random digit dial (RDD) sampling was used, with a mobile phone sample in countries with a high proportion of households with no landline
- Interviews carried out amongst a representative sample (based on age, gender and work status) within each country, as well as ensuring a good regional spread

Weighting

- Data at the individual country level is weighted by age, gender and work status. Overall data include individual country weights, and a population weight has then been applied

Sampling tolerances

- It should be noted that all survey results are subject to sampling tolerances. This is normally expressed as a range within which the “true” results (if the entire population had been sampled) would be expected to fall, at a 95% confidence interval (ie, that 19 times out of 20, the results would be expected to fall within this range). While strictly speaking, this only applies to random probability sampling, it is a commonly accepted practice for good quality quota samples.
- These will depend on the base size of each question, but as a rough guide, the sampling tolerances for the results based on the full EU sample are approximately $\pm 1\%$, and for individual countries approximately $\pm 3-4\%$.

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Methodology

Questionnaire


- The survey comprised the following modules:
 - **Screening questions.**
 - **Introductory questions**, including life satisfaction and trust
 - A **series of vignettes** (eight in total) aimed to understand public opinion towards different privacy and security scenarios. Each respondent was asked about a random selection of four vignettes (c.500 respondents per vignette per country).
 - **Perceptions of privacy and security issues**
 - **Values questions**
 - Additional **demographic questions**

Background context

Despite the impact of the crash, most people feel relatively satisfied with their life

QF3. All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole nowadays? Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means extremely dissatisfied and 10 means extremely satisfied.

Low in Bulgaria, Greece, Hungary, Baltic states



Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Social issues such as unemployment, healthcare and young people are top concerns

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?



Base: All Valid Responses (18,080)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Indication that feelings of safety and health are more important to people personally

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?



Base: All Valid Responses (18,080)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Scepticism towards government and media, more trust in police – with other people in the middle

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust.



Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Majority feel favourable towards science and technology, and feel have control over life – though significant minorities do not

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?



Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Privacy and data protection – understanding, behaviour, and values


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs




Most claim to know their rights, but many do not

QE2: Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to...


Know who is holding data about you?




Know exactly which data they hold about you?



Correct any inaccurate data they might have?



Seek help from authorities to exercise these rights?



Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)

■ Do have a legal right

■ Do not have a legal right

■ Don't know

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



A shared commitment to privacy and security

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.



■ 5 = very important ■ 4 ■ 3 ■ 2 ■ 1 = not at all important ■ Don't know

Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



A sense that personal control is a crucial aspect of privacy, as is freedom of everyday association

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to...



Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Some evidence of opting-out (although may be some over-claim), but less so of more proactive 'pro-privacy' behaviours

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?

	Yes	No	Don't know / not sure
Refused to give information because you thought it was not needed?	67%	31%	2%
Asked a company not to disclose data about you to other companies?	50%	48%	2%
Asked a company to remove you from any lists they use for marketing purposes?	47%	51%	2%
Read the online privacy policies on websites?	43%	51%	5%
Deliberately given incorrect information about yourself?	20%	79%	1%
Asked a company to see what personal information they had about you in their records?	13%	85%	1%

Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Most say they have not felt uncomfortable, though almost a quarter have when online

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations?

	Yes	No	Not applicable	Don't know / Not sure
When you were online?	23%	61%	15%	2%
When a picture of you was posted online without you knowing it?	21%	34%	42%	2%
When you were stopped for a security check at an airport?	14%	65%	20%	0%
When you visited a bank for personal business?	13%	82%	4%	1%
When you were shopping at a supermarket?	10%	86%	3%	1%

Base: All Valid Responses (27,195)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



People generally think technologies have more impact on security than privacy (but still surprisingly high for privacy?)

QE6. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's SECURITY/PRIVACY, or make no difference.

	SECURITY			PRIVACY		
	% positive impact	% makes no difference	% negative impact	% positive impact	% makes no difference	% negative impact
Use of camera surveillance	80	10	9	60	17	19
Use of biometric technologies such as fingerprint scanning	70	12	12	52	19	23
Use of devices that collect information about people's behaviour	53	18	24	39	20	36
Use of techniques to monitor Internet traffic	48	17	23	33	17	39
Use of body scanners	73	11	11	52	22	19

Base: All Valid Responses (13,596 asked about privacy, 13,599 asked about security)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Vignettes

1. Foreign surveillance


An international disaster relief charity has been sending a monthly newsletter by email to its supporters. The people who run the charity find out through the media that a foreign government has been regularly capturing large amounts of data on citizens of other countries by monitoring their emails. The foreign government says it needs to monitor some communications to help keep its citizens safe and that the main purpose is to focus on terrorism. The charity's officials are unsure whether this means their supporters' personal information is no longer confidential.

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs



A majority uncomfortable with this idea

QB4. To what extent, if at all, do you think that governments should or should not monitor the communications of people living in other countries?



■ Definitely should

■ Probably should

■ No preference either way

■ Probably should not

■ Definitely should not

■ Don't know

Base: All Valid Responses (13,593)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB1. To what extent, if at all, do you think that governments should or should not monitor the communications of people living in other countries?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,593, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI | Version 2 | Internal Use Only



A strong sense that this threatens freedoms

QB2. Do you think the foreign government doing this...

...threatens people's rights and freedoms



...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms



...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms



Don't know



Base: All Valid Responses (13,593)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI | Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB2. Do you think the foreign government doing this... ...threatens people's rights and freedoms



Base: All Valid Responses (13,593, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI


Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Differences by internet usage.....

QB2. Do you think the foreign government doing this...



Base: All Valid Responses (13,593)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI


Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Differences by self-described political views.....

QB2. Do you think the foreign government doing this...



Base: All Valid Responses (13,593)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Differences by trust in government.....

QB2. Do you think the foreign government doing this...



Base: All Valid Responses (13,593)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Concern related to lack of trust in governments and doubts about effectiveness?

QB3. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,593)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



2. Biometric access control systems


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs



At a local primary school a new system for getting into the school has been installed. All pupils, teachers, parents, other family members and other visitors have to provide their fingerprints on an electronic pad to identify themselves in order to enter or leave the school.

Still uncomfortable, though views more split on this

QB4. To what extent, if at all, do think that the school should or should not be asking people who enter or leave the school to use their fingerprints to identify themselves?




■ Definitely should
■ Probably should not

■ Probably should
■ Definitely should not

■ No preference either way
■ Don't know

A lot of variation by country on this question



Base: All Valid Responses (13,600)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI


Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



And a less clear view that it threatens rights or freedoms

QB5. Do you think the school doing this...



Base: All Valid Responses (13,600)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI

Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB5. Do you think the school doing this... ...threatens people's rights and freedoms



Base: All Valid Responses (13,600, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



But people still feel needs consultation, and open to other methods

QB6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?


Parents should be consulted about decisions like this



It would be better to control access to the school by having staff members who know children and parents at the school gate



I trust the school to store children's fingerprints safely



■ Strongly agree ■ Tend to agree ■ Neither agree nor disagree ■ Tend to disagree ■ Strongly disagree ■ Don't know

Base: All Valid Responses (13,600)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Especially because people think alternative could be more effective, but some privacy concerns too

QB7. You said it would be better to control access to the school by using staff members at the school gate. Why do you say that?



Base: All Valid Responses (9,439)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



3. SMART meters


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs



A power company has decided to offer smart meters to all its consumers. Smart meters enable consumers to use energy more efficiently by allowing them to see how much they are using through a display unit. The data recorded by smart meters allows power companies to improve energy efficiency and charge lower costs. They also enable power companies to build up a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy. It also enables the companies to find out other things, like whether people are living at the address, or how many people are in the household.

People split on whether this should happen

QB8. To what extent, if at all, do you think that energy companies should or should not use data from smart meters to get a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy?




■ Definitely should
■ Probably should not

■ Probably should
■ Definitely should not

■ No preference either way
■ Don't know

QB8. To what extent, if at all, do you think that energy companies should or should not use data from smart meters to get a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Views seem related to trust in business overall

QB8. To what extent, if at all, do you think that energy companies should or should not use data from smart meters to get a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



But less correlation with trust in business at a country level




Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Don't think it will have a positive impact, but not necessarily negative either

QB9. Do you think electricity companies doing this...




Base: All Valid Responses (13,602)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB9. Do you think electricity companies doing this... ...threatens people's rights and freedoms



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



However, people want controls on how the data is used, even if they can see practical benefits

QB10. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?

The power company should only use the information they collect to bill households, not for any other purpose



I would support any device that helps ensure our country does not run out of energy



Energy companies should give information to public authorities to detect fraud or criminal behaviour



A smart meter would help me reduce how much energy I use



I would not trust the power company to keep this data secure



The power company should be able to use data collected to market new products to consumers based on the energy they use



■ Strongly agree ■ Tend to agree ■ Neither agree nor disagree ■ Tend to disagree ■ Strongly disagree ■ Don't know

Base: All Valid Responses (13,602)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only




4. Internet Monitoring

A student is doing some research on extremism and as part of his work he visits websites and online forums that contain terrorist propaganda. When his parents find out they immediately ask him to stop this type of online research because they are afraid security agencies such as the police or anti-terrorism bodies will find out what he has been doing and start to watch him.

Clear support for this type of monitoring

QB11. To what extent, if at all, do think that security agencies should or should not be watching this kind of internet use?



- Definitely should
- Probably should
- No preference either way
- Probably should not
- Definitely should not
- Don't know

Base: All Valid Responses (13,599)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB11. To what extent, if at all, do think that security agencies should or should not be watching this kind of internet use?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,599, c.500 per country)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Most countries feel security services should do this regardless of their concern about terrorism




Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



And believe that monitoring protects peoples' rights and freedoms

QB12. Do you think security agencies doing this...




Base: All Valid Responses (13,599)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB12. Do you think security agencies doing this... ...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms



Base: All Valid Responses (13,599, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Although people do think parents should worry

QB13. Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about how the parents should react in these circumstances?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,599)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only




5. ANPR Cameras

Michael lives in a suburban neighbourhood, where his children like to play outside with their friends. However, his street is a short cut for commuters who drive faster than the speed limit. In response to complaints from residents, the local authority decides to install automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) systems, which identify and track all vehicles and calculate their average speed. This allows those who drive too fast to be prosecuted.

General belief that the use of ANPR for this purpose is acceptable

QB14. To what extent, if at all, do you think that local authorities should be able to use ANPR in this way?



- Definitely should
- Probably should
- No preference either way
- Probably should not
- Definitely should not
- Don't know

Base: All Valid Responses (13,596)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI

Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB14. To what extent, if at all, do you think that local authorities should be able to use ANPR in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,596, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI


Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



And as with internet monitoring, a view that it helps protect their freedoms

QB15. Do you think local authorities doing this...



Base: All Valid Responses (13,596)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI

Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB15. Do you think local authorities doing this... ...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms



Base: All Valid Responses (13,596, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI


Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



However, after prompting, people can see better alternatives

QB16. For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...



Base: All Valid Responses (13,596)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Again effectiveness seen as key reason for preferring alternative

QB17. You said ... would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that?

	Designing streets %	Increasing police %	Speed cameras %	Alternative transport %
More effective	65	59	34	34
Only affects those speeding	17	17	30	8
More respectful of rights	15	11	19	15
Cheaper	11	2	5	14
Collects less information	12	6	17	9

Base: All who say each would be a better alternative

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs
© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only




6. Selling ISP Data

Companies offering services on the internet want to sell information about your / their customers' internet use to advertisers and other service providers so the information can be used to create more personal offers and deals. This would include the searches you conduct and the websites you visit. Your provider says the information they sell will be anonymous.

Strong concern about ISPs selling information

QB18. To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about YOU/ THEIR CUSTOMERS in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB18. To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about YOU in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (c.250 per country)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB18. To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about PEOPLE in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (c.250 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Seven in 10 concerned about the impact on freedom

QB19. Do you think internet companies doing this...



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB19. Do you think internet companies doing this... ...threatens people's rights and freedoms [YOU]



Base: All Valid Responses (c.250 per country)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB19. Do you think internet companies doing this... ...threatens people's rights and freedoms [THEIR CUSTOMERS]



Base: All Valid Responses (c.250 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Consent and privacy of internet use are key factors, while few attracted by more tailored adverts as a result

QB20. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario? [YOU]



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI


Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Similar opinion when asked about 'their customer' in general

QB20. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario? [THEIR CUSTOMERS]



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI

Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only




7. DNA databases

James voluntarily provided a sample of his DNA to a company that carries out medical research. DNA contains the genetic pattern that is uniquely characteristic to each person. He then learns that the research company has been asked to disclose all their DNA samples to police for use in criminal investigations. Samples of DNA can be used to understand potential health problems but also to identify people and to make inferences about who they are related to.

Split opinion on police having access to DNA

QB21. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not be able to access DNA samples in this way?



■ Definitely should
■ Probably should
■ No preference either way
■ Probably should not
■ Definitely should not
■ Don't know

Base: All Valid Responses (13,602)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB21. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not be able to access DNA samples in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Again trust in police seems to play a role

QB21. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not be able to access DNA samples in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



But less obvious on a country level



Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Similar split on impact it has on freedoms

QB22. Do you think the police having access to people's DNA samples...



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



**QB22. Do you think the police having access to people's DNA samples...
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms**



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602, c.500 per country)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



And people much more likely to prefer access only under certain safeguards/situations, rather than universal access

QB23. Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about when police should have access to people's DNA samples?



Base: All Valid Responses (13,602)

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



8. Crowd surveillance

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs



Claire is an active member of an environmental group, and is taking part in a demonstration against the building of a new nuclear plant. The police monitor the crowd in various ways to track and identify individuals who cause trouble: they use uniformed and plain-clothes police, CCTV, helicopters and drones, phone-tapping, and try to find people on social media.


David is a football fan who regularly attends home matches. The police monitor the crowd in various ways to track and identify individuals who cause trouble: through uniformed police and plain-clothes police, CCTV, by using helicopters and drones, tapping phones, and by trying to find people on social media.

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs



Majority accept monitoring of both demonstrations and football matches

QB24. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the **DEMONSTRATION** in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



At football matches this is seen as more likely to help protect freedoms

QB25. Do you think the police doing this...



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB24. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the DEMONSTRATION in this way?



Base: All Valid Responses (c.250 per country)


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB24. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the CROWDS in this way?




Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB25. Do you think the police doing this... ...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms [DEMONSTRATIONS]




Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



QB25. Do you think the police doing this... ...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms [FOOTBALL MATCHES]



Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Contradictions in views – people expect it to happen, but some concerns about proportionality?

QB26. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario? [DEMONSTRATIONS]



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Again slightly more willing to accept surveillance of football matches

QB26. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario? [FOOTBALL MATCHES]



Base: All Valid Responses (c.6,800 for each)

Source: Ipsos MORI


Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Main reason for preferring uniformed police that they are seen as more effective

QB27: You said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen. Why do you say that?



Base: All who say police should rely on uniformed police men only

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only




Vignettes overview

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs



Vignettes seem to be successful in teasing out differences

QB1. To what extent, if at all, do you think that ____ should or should not...?



Base: Various. PLEASE READ FULL VIGNETTE TO SEE DESCRIPTION OF EACH


Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
Public Affairs

© Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Similar pattern in situations that pose the biggest threat to rights and freedoms



Base: Various. PLEASE READ FULL VIGNETTE TO SEE DESCRIPTION OF EACH

Source: Ipsos MORI

Ipsos MORI
 Public Affairs
 © Ipsos MORI Version 2 | Internal Use Only



Summary and next steps

Ipsos MORI
 Public Affairs



Summary and next steps

- These are the topline results at the overall level – more work is ongoing to analyse the statistical relationships between demographics, wider attitudes and underlying values in relation to privacy and security
- Need to bear in mind context – not top of mind for many people, who have other day-to-day concerns, so will be many influences on their views – not ‘expert’ opinion
- Both privacy and security are important to people
- Vignettes seem to work by showing there are different reactions to different situations, and different situations seem to have different responses by country
 - Although some consistent themes: Italy, Malta, and Romania tend to be more in favour of security actions; Germany, Austria, Finland, Greece less so
 - Pre- and primary educated more in favour than tertiary educated or left-leaning respondents
 - More accepting of security/police-related situations than foreign surveillance/internet-related situations
 - But even so when given alternatives, will support them – often on grounds of effectiveness

Part II.

Technical report

1 Introduction

This note summarises the technical details for WP9 of the PRISMS project. WP9 involved designing and delivering a large scale survey of European citizens' to explore their perceptions of privacy and security.

The specific objectives of WP9 were as follows:

1. Validate and refine hypotheses to be tested in an EU-wide survey through 16 focus groups in eight representative EU countries.
2. Formulate the final set of hypotheses for testing the relationships between privacy and security, trust and concern.
3. Conduct a large and representative public opinion survey on privacy and security across the EU Member States.
4. Carry out a first descriptive analysis of the results of the public opinion survey.

The survey was designed to take forward the debate on privacy and security, trust and concern, by moving away from the traditional approach of framing the relationship between privacy and security as a trade-off.

2 Questionnaire development

Following the development of draft hypotheses in WP8, the survey questionnaire was designed in two phases. These are outlined in more detail below.

2.1 Qualitative research to test hypotheses

An initial stage of qualitative research with members of the general public in eight EU member states aimed to help test the hypotheses and identify the most important issues to cover in the quantitative research.

The discussions explored general perceptions and attitudes on privacy and security, trust and concern. Participants were also presented with hypothetical situations (vignettes) and asked questions to reveal their perceptions and values. These vignettes described current or potential security technologies and how they might be used in situations participants would understand or might have experienced. The vignettes were discussed in order to find out whether a similar approach could be used to explore these issues in the quantitative research.


The discussion groups with were held during July and August 2013 in Belgium, Portugal, Denmark, Estonia, Hungary, Romania, Germany, and the UK. These countries were selected based on country typologies developed during desk research for WP7. Participants were recruited to reflect the adult population in each country, with two groups (usually aged under and aged over 40) conducted per country.

Each discussion group was presented with four vignettes (in a different order in each group). The same eight vignettes were covered in Belgium, Portugal, Estonia, Hungary, Romania, Germany, UK, with at least four being covered in each group. Following discussion of the emerging findings the discussions in Denmark tested three new vignettes.

2.2 Questionnaire drafting and refinement

The questionnaire was developed by the PRISMS consortium based on the WP8 hypotheses, the findings from the qualitative research, and drawing on existing questions from related surveys. The main (but not exclusive) sources of questions were the *European Social Survey*¹, the *International surveillance and privacy opinion research* project conducted by Queen's University in Ontario² and the *Barometer of Security in Germany* study.³

As a first step, a full list of potential topics was developed for discussion among consortium partners. This is summarised in the mind map below.



A two-day meeting between consortium partners was held in August 2013. There was extensive discussion to refine the hypotheses and develop ideas for the questionnaire, and particularly the vignettes. Following this, a first draft of the survey was prepared by Ipsos, incorporating existing, adapted and new questions. Further discussions between consortium partners continued, before the final English version of the questionnaire was agreed.

3 Questionnaire content

¹ <http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/>

² http://www.sscqueens.org/research/intl_survey

³ <http://www.mpicc.de/ww/en/pub/forschung/forschungsarbeit/kriminologie/basis.htm>

The survey comprised the following modules:

- 1 **Screening questions** for the purposes of monitoring quotas, including gender, age, working status and region.
- 2 **Introductory questions** about life in general, including life satisfaction and trust in people, institutions and the media
- 3 A **series of vignettes** (eight in total) aimed to understand public opinion towards different privacy and security scenarios.⁴ Each respondent was asked about a random selection of four vignettes (c.500 respondents per vignette per country). Two different wording versions were used for two of the vignettes, with half the usual sample size being asked about each (c.250 respondents per wording). The questions for each vignette included whether the practices described should be allowed; the impact on people's rights and freedoms; and a series of specific statement questions about each vignette. Caps were set so that each vignette was covered by similar numbers of respondents in each country.
- 4 Questions exploring respondents' **perceptions of privacy and security issues**, including their concerns, experiences and awareness of legal rights.
- 5 **Values questions** including political views, attitudes to rights and perceptions of technology.
- 6 Additional **demographic questions** including education, social networks, rurality and whether respondents self-identified with any minority groups.

After the first interviews had taken place the total average questionnaire length was approximately 35 minutes. Questions were then prioritised and some deleted in order to achieve an overall questionnaire length of around 30 minutes.

4 Questionnaire translation

The questionnaire was translated into all key languages spoken in each country. This involved parallel translations by two native speakers for each language. The two translated versions were compared by an independent adjudicator and a final agreed translated version agreed between all three translators.

Full cognitive testing of the questionnaire did not go ahead because of budget limitations (although some broad concepts were already tested through focus groups, and there was learning from previous research on this topic). The team translation approach was therefore chosen as the best way to ensure that the questionnaire wording achieved functional equivalence across languages. This approach (which merges two independent translations) is more robust than the standard back translation methodology often used for similar studies (which simply checks that the draft translations can be translated back into the original language successfully).

⁴ The full list of vignettes are included in the final questionnaire, which is appended to this note.

Following the translations process, the questionnaire was also reviewed by various teams throughout the Ipsos network who were speakers of each native language, as well as by members of the PRISMS and PACT consortia to check for consistency, accuracy and functional equivalence of the translations. Particular attention was paid to the translation of specific and more difficult concepts (including ‘privacy’ and ‘security’), including through the discussion groups described in section 2.1.

The translations were incorporated in the CATI script using an MrTranslate file, where each term needed is included electronically in a standardised format. This helps ensure that the scripting is accurate.

5 Fieldwork processes

5.1 Fieldwork management

Fieldwork was managed by the Ipsos Global CATI team in Holland. They were responsible for liaison with internal and external suppliers; briefing fieldwork teams in each country; initial script checking; monitoring fieldwork; and resolving any technical issues.

The executive team at Ipsos MORI in London was responsible for working with consortium partners; developing the questionnaire; checking the final script; and liaising with the Ipsos Global CATI team to ensure fieldwork progressed as planned.

Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) was used across all markets using random digit dial (RDD) sampling approach. In countries where the proportion of households with no landline was known to be higher mobile phone sample was also included.

Quotas were set for each country by age, gender and work status to ensure the sample was representative for these characteristics. In addition, quotas were also set for numbers of interviews by region, based on the advice and experience of the Ipsos and partner agencies in each country. In most cases these were government administrative regions.

The target number of interviews was 1,000 per country. Interviews were carried out using the native language for each country. Respondents living in countries where two languages were spoken (for example Belgium) were given the option of using their preferred language.

A longer than expected fieldwork period was needed in some countries, primarily because of the additional questionnaire length. This made it harder to achieve interviews in some of the quota categories, particularly among the youngest (aged 24 or under) and oldest (aged 65 and over) respondents.

Where filling quotas proved challenging, the bulk of fieldwork was often finished in around six to eight weeks, with extra time spent securing a relatively small number of interviews – this was an issue of fieldwork management rather than reflecting patterns in levels of engagement or concern by different countries.

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

The table below outlines fieldwork dates and the final number of achieved interviews in each country.

Table 5.1 – PRISMS survey fieldwork dates

Country	Interview start date	Interview end date	Completed interviews
Austria	21/02/2014	22/06/2014	1,003
Belgium	24/02/2014	30/05/2014	1,001
Bulgaria	19/02/2014	14/03/2014	1,001
Cyprus	21/02/2014	05/05/2014	1,040
Czech Republic	17/02/2014	02/05/2014	1,026
Denmark	13/02/2014	08/04/2014	1,087
Estonia	14/02/2014	22/04/2014	1,000
Finland	12/02/2014	02/04/2014	1,001
France	11/02/2014	12/05/2014	1,000
Germany	11/02/2014	28/05/2014	1,000
Greece	19/02/2014	08/04/2014	1,000
Hungary	21/02/2014	07/06/2014	1,000
Ireland	25/02/2014	16/06/2014	1,000
Italy	11/02/2014	15/04/2014	1,002
Latvia	18/02/2014	25/04/2014	1,012
Lithuania	18/02/2014	09/04/2014	1,004
Luxembourg	26/02/2014	30/05/2014	1,001
Malta	26/02/2014	07/04/2014	1,014
Netherlands	11/02/2014	30/05/2014	1,003
Poland	14/02/2014	28/03/2014	1,000
Portugal	19/02/2014	24/03/2014	1,000
Romania	19/02/2014	14/04/2014	1,000
Slovakia	19/02/2014	07/06/2014	1,000
Slovenia	21/02/2014	15/04/2014	1,000
Spain	11/02/2014	30/04/2014	1,000
Sweden	13/02/2014	02/04/2014	1,000
UK	10/02/2014	04/06/2014	1,000

5.2 Dealing with privacy and security concerns

Given that the survey research focused on attitudes to privacy and security, it was important to minimise the risk of individuals not participating because of privacy or security concerns. In addition to careful briefing of the CATI interviewers, two main steps were taken to reduce these risks:

- 1 A detailed introduction was drafted to reassure potential respondents about the purpose of the research and that any information they provide will be kept completely anonymous and treated in the strictest confidence. An information document was also produced, which was available online and again covered the purpose of the research and anonymity. The information document is appended to this note.
- 2 When potential respondents refused to participate because of privacy or security concerns this was recorded separately. The rate of refusal for privacy or security reasons was very low in most countries (under 3% of all refusals), although this was slightly higher in Scandinavian countries (5-10% of refusals). Whilst as a quota survey overall response rates are not calculated as they are for a random probability survey, feedback from interviewing teams

does not suggest that the topic of this study was a cause of unusually high levels of refusals.

6 Data processing

The scripting process for CATI surveys involves extensive routing and logic checks to ensure that data is collected accurately. This minimises the need for data cleaning following fieldwork.

Data collation and processing was carried out by the central Ipsos team using the IBM Dimensions survey platform. All country-level data was checked for completeness and consistency and no significant issues were identified.

Data was weighted to the known profile of the population by age, gender and working status within each country.

7 Data outputs

7.1 Data tables

Data tables are available separately for each country, as well at the overall level. For each country two sets of tables are available; unweighted data and data weighted to the population profile of each country (by age, gender and work status).

In addition, three sets of overall tables are available:

- Age, gender and work status weights applied for each country
- Equalisation weight applied to give each country an equal weight in the data
- Age, gender and work status weights applied for each country, plus EU population weight applied to give each country an appropriate weighting according to the size of its population

7.2 Data file

An SPSS file is also available containing raw data for all respondents across countries. This will be used for further analysis by consortium partners.

The SPSS file contains three weighting variables to enable the findings outlined in the data tables to be reproduced:

Weight: applies age, gender and work status weight to each individual country but with no EU population weight.

Weight_1_27: gives each country an equal weight in the data.

Weight_country: applies age, gender and work status weight within country and adds an EU population weight.

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

The appendices to this document include summary data tables providing responses to each question broken down by country. These tables have been produced using the weighting variable that includes an EU population weight (Weight_country). This means that the weighted base sizes are significantly reduced for countries with relatively small population sizes. However, the findings are based on at least 1,000 interviews in each country.

7.3 Sampling tolerances

It should be noted that all survey results are subject to sampling tolerances. The respondents who took part in the surveys are only a sample of the total population in each country, so we cannot be certain that the figures obtained are exactly those that would have been reached where everyone had responded (the "true" values). We can, however, predict the variation between the sample results and the "true" values from knowledge of the size of the samples on which the results to each question is based, and the number of times a particular answer is given.

The confidence with which we can make this prediction is usually chosen to be 95% - that is, the chances are 95 in 100 that the "true" value will fall within a specified range. While strictly speaking, it is not possible to apply significance tests to a survey that does not use random probability sampling methodology, it is a commonly accepted practice for good quality quota samples.

The total effective base size for the survey is 25,273. The difference between the actual achieved sample size of 27,195 (when the "Weight" variable is used) and the effective base size reflects the impact of aspects of the survey design on the precision of the survey. This takes into account the impact of the weighting; other factors may also have had an effect. For individual countries, the effective base sizes range from 784 to 997 when the "Weight" variable is used. For the EU population estimates, the effective base size is 9,513 when the "Weight_country" weight is used, reflecting the disproportionate sampling across countries relative to the population of each country within the EU.

The following table illustrates the predicted ranges for different sample sizes and percentage results at the "95% confidence interval"

.

Table 7.1 – Approximate sampling tolerances applicable to percentages at or near these levels

	10% or 90% ±	30% or 70% ±	50% ±
200 responses (effective base size for some vignette questions in some countries)	4	6	7
400 responses (effective base size for some vignettes in some countries)	3	5	5
784 responses (smallest effective country base size)	2	3	4
997 responses (largest effective country base size)	2	3	3
9,513 responses (effective base size for EU findings)	1	1	1
27,195 (total sample)	<0.5	1	1

Source: Ipsos MORI

As this shows, for a survey of 1,000 responses, the margin of error is somewhere between ± 2 percentage points and ± 3 percentage points. Where smaller samples are used (for example for the questions on vignettes) the sampling tolerances are larger.

Information document on the PRISMS survey

Thank you for your interest in participating in the PRISMS survey. Please read this document carefully as it includes information on the survey you are about to complete as well as information on the applied data protection policy.

1. **General information:** The survey is part of the PRISMS (Privacy and Security Mirrors) research project, funded by the European Commission. The survey is being conducted by Ipsos.
2. **Purpose of the study:** This survey is being carried out in 27 countries of the European Union. The aim of the survey is to collect information about citizens' perceptions of privacy and security.
3. **Confidentiality:** Please be assured that any information you provide for this survey will be kept completely anonymous and treated in the strictest confidence. Information like names and addresses will not be retained, and it will not be possible for any individual person to be identified from the survey findings as the information will be used by the researchers for statistical purposes only.
4. **Data ownership:** The information collected through this survey will be kept by Ipsos and will not be re-used for other purposes than those mentioned in points 1/2.
5. **Purpose of asking demographic questions (such as age, gender etc.):** The purpose of asking these questions is, on one hand, statistical profiling (enabling us to find out how perceptions vary by age, gender, country etc.) and on the other hand, to make sure that a sufficient number of people in each category are interviewed, to reflect the structure of the population in your country.
6. **Duration of the survey:** This survey will take approximately 25 minutes to complete.
7. **Survey participants selection:** You have been selected at random to participate in this survey, and for this reason we hope that you will be willing to take part. It is very important that as many of the selected people as possible participate in the survey, in order to provide an accurate reflection of people's perceptions across the countries included in the survey.
8. **Participation:** You are not obliged to take part in this survey, your participation is voluntary. However, we do hope you will be willing to help us by participating in this important research. Please note that you have the possibility to withdraw from the survey at any point you chose to. In case you chose to withdraw, none of the information you have provided during the interview will be taken into account.

Part III.

Final questionnaire

PRISMS
FINAL questionnaire
27th February 2014

[INTRODUCTION]

Good morning / afternoon / evening. My name is from [IPSOS AGENCY NAME], an independent research organisation. We are carrying out research in your country about how people feel about current issues. [IF NEEDED] This is part of a three and a half year research project being carried out by universities and research institutions from across Europe on behalf of the European Union. The research is being carried out in every country across Europe, so it is really important that we get the views of people like you living in [COUNTRY]. For more information you can visit www.prismsproject.eu.

Participation in this important study is voluntary but we hope you will be willing to take part. The questionnaire should only take about 25 minutes to complete, and [IPSOS AGENCY NAME] guarantees that your answers will be kept completely anonymous and treated in the strictest confidence. It will not be possible for any individual person to be identified from the survey findings and the information will be used for statistical purposes only.

First, we are going to ask some questions about you, such as your age. This is to allow us to look at the views of different types of people, as well as to ensure that we speak to a representative section of society.

QG1. Gender

INT: DO NOT READ OUT

Male

Female

QG2. What was your age on your last birthday?

16-24

25-34

35-44

45-54

55-59

60-64

65-74

75+

(Refused)

QG5. Are you working in a paid job or are you unemployed or doing something else –how would you describe your main activity?

CODE TO LIST BELOW

INT: ONLY READ OUT IF NOT SURE

Paid work – full time

Paid work – part time

Self-employed

Home maker – looking after the home/children/ relatives etc. or on parental leave

Unpaid or voluntary work

Unemployed and looking for work

Unemployed but not looking for work

Student, in training

I am unable to work because of a disability

Retired

In military or community service (INTERVIEWER: MILITARY SERVICE REFERS TO THOSE CURRENTLY DOING THEIR MILITARY SERVICE, NOT THOSE WHO ARE EMPLOYED)

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

BY THE MILITARY - THESE SHOULD BE CODED AS WORKING FULL-TIME/PART-TIME)

Other

(Don't know)

(Not applicable)

(Refused)

QREGION.

IF UK ASK:

What region do you live in? READ OUT. SINGLE CODE.

North East

North West

Yorkshire and the Humber

East Midlands

West Midlands

East of England

London

South East

South West

Wales

Scotland

Northern Ireland

IF FRANCE ASK:

Dans quelle région habitez-vous? READ OUT. SINGLE CODE.

IF GERMANY ASK:

In welchem Bundesland leben Sie? READ OUT. SINGLE CODE.

OTHER REGION QUESTIONS USED IN OTHER COUNTRIES

First of all, I'd like to ask you some questions about your life.

QF3. All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole nowadays? Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means extremely dissatisfied and 10 means extremely satisfied.

SINGLE CODE ONLY

0 = extremely dissatisfied

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 = extremely satisfied

(Don't know)

Section A: Trust

QA1. Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people? Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means you can't be too careful and 10 means that most people can be trusted.

0 – You can't be too careful

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9

10 – Most people can be trusted
(Don't know)

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...

...[country]'s government
...the legal system
...the police
...the press and broadcasting media
...businesses

0 – No trust at all

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9

10 – Complete trust
(Don't know)

QG14[moved]. How often do you use the following media?

INT: READ OUT

- a) Television
- b) Radio
- c) Printed newspaper
- d) Internet
- e) Social networks [IF NEEDED] Such as Facebook, Twitter etc. [DO NOT ASK IF 'NEVER' AT QG14 D]

At least every day

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

2-3 times a week
Once a week
2-3 times a month
Once a month
Less often than once a month
Never
(Don't know)

Section B: Scenarios

I'm now going to read out some scenarios which people may face in their day to day lives. I'll then ask you some questions to find out what you think about each one.

EACH PERSON TO BE ASKED A RANDOM SELECTION OF FOUR OF THESE SCENARIOS

1. NSA surveillance

An international disaster relief charity has been sending a monthly newsletter by email to its supporters. The people who run the charity find out through the media that a foreign government has been regularly capturing large amounts of data on citizens of other countries by monitoring their emails. The foreign government says it needs to monitor some communications to help keep its citizens safe and that the main purpose is to focus on terrorism. The charity's officials are unsure whether this means their supporters' personal information is no longer confidential.

QB1. To what extent, if at all, do you think that governments should or should not monitor the communications of people living in other countries?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB2. Do you think the foreign government doing this...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB3. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?

INT: READ OUT

- a) These practices make me feel vulnerable
- b) These practices make the world a safer place
- c) I trust governments that monitor internet and digital communications, even if they are from another country
- d) The charity's officials have no need to worry about their members' personal information

Strongly agree
Tend to agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Tend to disagree
Strongly disagree
(Don't know)

2. Biometric logical access control systems

At a local primary school a new system for getting into the school has been installed. All pupils, teachers, parents, other family members and other visitors have to provide their fingerprints on an electronic pad to identify themselves in order to enter or leave the school.

QB4. To what extent, if at all, do think that the school should or should not be asking people who enter or leave the school to use their fingerprints to identify themselves?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB5. Do you think the school doing this...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?

INT: READ OUT

- a) It would be better to control access to the school by having staff members who know children and parents at the school gate
- b) Parents should be consulted about decisions like this
- c) I trust the school to store children's fingerprints safely

Strongly agree
Tend to agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Tend to disagree
Strongly disagree
(Don't know)

IF AGREE AT QB6a

QB7. You said it would be better to control access to the school by using staff members at the school gate. Why do you say that?

CODE TO LIST BELOW

INT: DO NOT READ OUT

It would be more likely to stop wrong people getting into the school
It would be cheaper
It doesn't collect as much information about people
It is more respectful of people's rights
Don't like the idea of giving fingerprints generally
Don't like the idea of children giving their fingerprints
I don't think the technology would work
Another reason (please specify)
(Don't know)

3. SMART grids / meters

A power company has decided to offer smart meters to all its consumers. Smart meters enable consumers to use energy more efficiently by allowing them to see how much they are using through a display unit. The data recorded by smart meters allows power companies to improve energy efficiency and charge lower costs. They also enable power companies to build up a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy. It also enables the companies to find out other things, like whether people are living at the address, or how many people are in the household.

QB8. To what extent, if at all, do you think that energy companies should or should not use data from smart meters to get a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB9. Do you think electricity companies doing this...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB10. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?

INT: READ OUT

- a) The power company should only use the information they collect to bill households, not for any other purpose
- b) The power company should be able to use data collected to market new products to consumers based on the energy they use
- c) Energy companies should give information to public authorities to detect fraud or criminal behaviour
- d) I would support any device that helps ensure our country does not run out of energy
- e) A smart meter would help me reduce how much energy I use
- f) I would not trust the power company to keep this data secure

Strongly agree
Tend to agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Tend to disagree
Strongly disagree
(Don't know)

4. Internet Monitoring

A student is doing some research on extremism and as part of his work he visits websites and online forums that contain terrorist propaganda. When his parents find out they immediately ask him to stop this type of online research because they are afraid security agencies such as the police or anti-terrorism bodies will find out what he has been doing and start to watch him.

QB11. To what extent, if at all, do think that security agencies should or should not be watching this kind of internet use?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB12. Do you think security agencies doing this...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB13. Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about how the parents should react in these circumstances?

INT: READ OUT

- a) The parents should worry
- b) The parents might be right to worry depending on their family background, because some students are more likely to be watched than others
- c) The parents should not worry, because security agencies can tell the difference between innocent users and those they need to watch

None of the above
(Don't know)

5. ANPR Cameras

Michael lives in a suburban neighbourhood, where his children like to play outside with their friends. However, his street is a short cut for commuters who drive faster than the speed limit. In response to complaints from residents, the local authority decides to install automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) systems, which identify and track all vehicles and calculate their average speed. This allows those who drive too fast to be prosecuted.

QB14. To what extent, if at all, do you think that local authorities should be able to use ANPR in this way?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB15. Do you think local authorities doing this...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB16. For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...

INT: READ OUT

- a) Designing the streets in a way that makes it difficult to drive too fast (e.g. by installing speed bumps)
- b) Increasing police presence
- c) Installing speed control cameras, which identify speeding vehicles but do not track them
- d) Making it easier for commuters to use alternatives, for example by improving public transport or introducing incentives for cyclists

Better alternative
Worse alternative
Would make no difference
(Don't know)

RANDOMLY SELECT AN ALTERNATIVE THAT IS SEEN AS BETTER:

QB17. You said [CODE FROM QB16] would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that?

CODE TO LIST BELOW. DO NOT READ OUT. MULTICODE OK

It would be more effective at reducing speeding
It would be cheaper
It collects less information about people
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms
It only affects people who are speeding
Another reason
(Don't know)

6. ISP Data

ONLY ASK VIGNETTE OF THOSE WHO USE THE INTERNET **EVER AT QG14d**

VERSION 1

Companies offering services on the internet want to sell information about your internet use to advertisers and other service providers so the information can be used to create more personal offers and deals. This would include the searches you conduct and the websites you visit. Your provider says the information they sell will be anonymous.

VERSION 2

Companies offering services on the internet want to sell information about their customers' internet use to advertisers and other service providers so the information can be used to create more personal offers and deals. This would include the searches they conduct and the websites they visit. Their provider says the information they sell will be anonymous.

QB18. To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about [PEOPLE/YOU] in this way?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB19. Do you think internet companies doing this...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB20. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?

INT: READ OUT

- a) I like receiving tailored adverts and offers based on my previous online behaviour
- b) My consent should be required before information about my online behaviour is disclosed to other companies
- c) I should be able to do what I want on the internet without companies monitoring my online behaviour
- d) I am worried that companies are regularly watching what I do

Strongly agree
Tend to agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Tend to disagree
Strongly disagree
(Don't know)

7. DNA databases

James voluntarily provided a sample of his DNA to a company that carries out medical research. DNA contains the genetic pattern that is uniquely characteristic to each person. He then learns that the research company has been asked to disclose all their DNA samples to police for use in criminal investigations. Samples of DNA can be used to understand potential health problems but also to identify people and to make inferences about who they are related to.

QB21. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not be able to access DNA samples in this way?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB22. Do you think the police having access to people's DNA samples...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB23. Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about when police should have access to people's DNA samples?

INT: READ OUT

- a) The police should never have access to this data
- b) The police should only have access to this data if they have permission from a judge
- c) The police should only have access to this data about people suspected of a very serious crime
- d) The police should only have access to this data about people suspected of any crime, but not about anyone else
- e) The police should always be able to access this data about everyone

None of the above
(Don't know)

8. Crowd surveillance

VERSION 1:

Claire is an active member of an environmental group, and is taking part in a demonstration against the building of a new nuclear plant. The police monitor the crowd in various ways to track and identify individuals who cause trouble: they use uniformed and plain-clothes police, CCTV, helicopters and drones, phone-tapping, and try to find people on social media.

IF NEEDED: in this case drones are unmanned aerial vehicles that are only used for observation. These drones do not carry weapons.

VERSION 2:

David is a football fan who regularly attends home matches. The police monitor the crowd in various ways to track and identify individuals who cause trouble: through uniformed police and plain-clothes police, CCTV, by using helicopters and drones, tapping phones, and by trying to find people on social media.

QB24. To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the [DEMONSTRATION/CROWD] in this way?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

INT: READ OUT

Definitely should
Probably should
No preference either way
Probably should not
Definitely should not
(Don't know)

QB25. Do you think the police doing this...

INT: READ OUT

...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms
...threatens people's rights and freedoms
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms
(Don't know)

QB26. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?

INT: READ OUT

- a) The police should only rely on uniformed policemen on the spot to control the situation
- b)
- c) People participating in [DEMONSTRATIONS/FOOTBALL MATCHES] should expect to be monitored by the police in a number of different ways
- d) People should not be monitored at all before any trouble has happened
- e) It is unnecessary to monitor everyone just because there are a few trouble-makers

Strongly agree
Tend to agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Tend to disagree
Strongly disagree
(Don't know)

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

IF AGREE AT QB26a

QB27. You said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen. Why do you say that?

CODE TO LIST BELOW. DO NOT READ OUT. MULTICODE OK

It would be more effective at controlling the crowd/stopping troublemakers

It would be cheaper

It collects less information about people

It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms

Another reason

(Don't know)

Section C: Security

SPLIT SAMPLE ON QC3ALT AND QC4ALT

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?

INT: READ OUT

- a) Poor people not being able to access healthcare services
- b) Youth unemployment
- c) Corporate tax evasion
- d) Women not being treated equally to men
- e) Terrorist attacks anywhere in your country
- f) Young people using alcohol and drugs excessively
- g) Extreme weather conditions
- h) Viruses damaging the national internet infrastructure

Most days

At least once a week

At least once a month

At least once in the last year

Not in the last year

Never

(Don't know)

SPLIT SAMPLE ON QC3ALT AND QC4ALT

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?

INT: READ OUT

- a) Getting a serious sickness
- b) Losing your job
- c) Being a victim of a theft in your neighbourhood
- d) Being discriminated against
- e) Being a victim of a bomb attack (in your country/in your city)
- f) Immigrant families moving to your neighbourhood
- g) Being a victim of a natural disaster
- h) Someone hacking into your computer

Most days

At least once a week

At least once a month

At least once in the last year

Not in the last year

Never

(Don't know)

Section D: Privacy

Privacy worries

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to...

INT: READ OUT

- a) ...know who has information about you?
- b) ...control who has access to your medical files?
- c) ...use the Internet anonymously?
- d) ...make telephone calls without being monitored?
- e) ...keep who you vote for in elections private?
- f) ...keep your religious beliefs private?
- g) ...attend a demonstration without being monitored?
- h) ...meet with people without being monitored?

Essential

Very important

Fairly important

Not very important

Not at all important

(Don't know)

Section E: Privacy and data protection specifics

Understanding privacy and data protection

**QE1. To what extent do you have a say in what happens to your personal information?
Would you say you have...**

Complete say
A lot of say
Some say
No say
(Don't know)

QE2: Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to:

INT: READ OUT

- a) know who is holding data about you?
- b) know exactly which data they hold about you?
- c) correct any inaccurate data they might have?
- d) seek help from authorities to exercise these rights?

Do have a legal right
Do not have a legal right
(Don't know)

Individual privacy experience and practices

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?

INT: READ OUT

- a) Refused to give information because you thought it was not needed?
- b) Asked a company to remove you from any lists they use for marketing purposes?
- c) Asked a company not to disclose data about you to other companies?
- d) Asked a company to see what personal information they had about you in their records?
- e) Deliberately given incorrect information about yourself?
- f) Read the online privacy policies on websites?

Yes
No
(Don't know / Not sure)

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations?

For each item, please indicate yes or no whether that situation applies to you or not.

INT: READ OUT

- a) When you were online?
- b) When a picture of you was posted online without you knowing it?
- c) When you were stopped for a security check at an airport?
- d) When you visited a bank for personal business?
- e) When you were shopping at a supermarket?

Yes
No
(Not applicable)
(Don't know) / Not sure

Government and industry privacy practices

QE6. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's [PRIVACY/SECURITY], or make no difference.

INT: READ OUT. PLEASE SPLIT SAMPLE SO 50% OF SAMPLE ARE SHOWN WORD 'PRIVACY' AND 50% OF SAMPLE SHOWN WORD 'SECURITY' IN QUESTION WORDING.

- a) Use of camera surveillance IF NEEDED (for example, road safety, street crime)
- b) Use of biometric technologies such as fingerprint scanning IF NEEDED (for example for proving identity)
- c) Use of devices that collect information about people's behaviour IF NEEDED (for example, smart meters, CCTV cameras in public transport)
- d) Use of techniques to monitor Internet traffic IF NEEDED (for example, monitoring visits to different websites)
- e) Use of body scanners IF NEEDED (for example, at airports)

Very positive impact
Fairly positive impact
Makes no difference
Fairly negative impact
Very negative impact
(Don't know)

Section F: Values

QF2. In politics people sometimes talk of "left" and "right". Where would you place yourself on a scale, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

SINGLE CODE ONLY

0 = left
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 = right
(Don't know)

**QF4. Regardless of whether you belong to a particular religion, how religious would you say you are?
Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means not at all religious and 10 means very religious.**

0 = not at all religious

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 = very religious

(Don't know)

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.

INT: READ OUT

- a) Protecting my privacy is...
- b) Taking action against important security risks (e.g., international terrorism, organised crime) is...
- c) Defending civil liberties and human rights is...

1 = not at all important

2

3

4

5 = very important

(Don't know)

(Prefer not to answer)

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

INT: READ OUT

- a) The benefits of science and technology are greater than any harmful effects
- b) I don't think I'm clever enough to understand science and technology
- c) I can pretty much decide what will happen in my life
- d) People like me don't have much of a chance in life
- e) I'm someone who is generally comfortable with taking risks

Strongly agree

Tend to agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Tend to disagree

Strongly disagree

(Don't know)

Section G: Demographics

We are now going to ask some more questions about you, such as the type of area where you live. This is to allow us to look at the views of different types of people, as well as to ensure that we speak to a representative section of society. Again we will keep your answers anonymous and they will not be linked back to you personally.

QG6. Which of the following best describes the area where you live?

INT: READ OUT

- A big city
- The suburbs or outskirts of a big city
- A town or a small city
- A country village
- A farm or home in the countryside
- (Don't know)

QG4. What is the highest level of education you have achieved?

CODE TO LIST BELOW

INT: READ OUT

- Not completed primary education
- Completed primary education (up to 11)
- Lower secondary education (ages 11-16)
- Upper secondary education (ages 16-18)
- Post secondary but not university (ages 18+)
- First degree
- Postgraduate degree
- (Don't know)
- (Not applicable)
- (Refused)

QG3. Thinking about where you live, do you consider yourself to be part of any of the following? Please tell me all that apply.

INT: READ OUT

- An ethnic minority
- An immigrant minority
- A religious minority
- A sexual minority
- A minority in terms of disability
- (SPONTANEOUS) None
- (SPONTANEOUS) Any other minority group, specify:
- (Don't know)
- (Not applicable)
- (No answer/refused)

QG7. How often do you meet socially with friends, relatives or work colleagues?

INT: DO NOT READ OUT

- Never
- Less than once a month
- Once a month
- Several times a month
- Once a week
- Several times a week
- Every day
- (Don't know)

Part IV.

Survey Data

This part of the document includes summary data tables providing responses to each question broken down by country. These tables have been produced using the weighting variable that includes an EU population weight (Weight_country). This means that the weighted base sizes are significantly reduced for countries with relatively small population sizes. However, the findings are based on at least 1 000 interviews in each country.

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF3. All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole nowadays? Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means extremely dissatisfied and 10 means extremely satisfied.

Page 1
Table: 1

		Country													
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
10 = extremely satisfied	2410	79	28	19	6	53	58	3	22	217	508	25	37	32	257
	9%	17%	5%	5%	10%	9%	19%	4%	8%	6%	12%	4%	7%	12%	8%
9	3040	99	85	14	3	74	63	4	77	223	714	26	34	32	299
	11%	22%	14%	3%	4%	13%	20%	5%	26%	6%	16%	4%	6%	12%	9%
8	7918	137	238	37	12	147	110	14	127	961	1547	84	110	80	929
	29%	30%	39%	9%	20%	26%	36%	19%	43%	27%	35%	14%	20%	31%	29%
7	5826	76	149	47	16	93	41	18	43	968	829	107	89	53	834
	21%	17%	24%	12%	27%	16%	13%	24%	15%	27%	19%	17%	16%	20%	26%
6	2790	25	55	43	5	48	14	10	9	475	307	57	54	23	462
	10%	5%	9%	11%	9%	8%	4%	13%	3%	13%	7%	9%	10%	9%	14%
5	2922	26	38	110	8	98	12	14	7	447	307	114	108	21	232
	11%	6%	6%	28%	14%	17%	4%	19%	3%	13%	7%	19%	20%	8%	7%
4	737	6	4	38	2	17	3	4	3	118	49	47	24	9	67
	3%	1%	1%	10%	4%	3%	1%	5%	1%	3%	1%	8%	4%	3%	2%
3	513	3	5	28	2	15	3	3	2	66	41	41	26	5	22
	2%	1%	1%	7%	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%	7%	5%	2%	1%
2	271	2	3	15	2	5	1	1	1	18	15	21	22	2	36
	1%	0	1%	4%	4%	1%	0	2%	0	1%	0	3%	4%	1%	1%
1	158	1	0	13	1	6	0	1	1	8	7	19	10	1	8
	1%	0	0	3%	1%	1%	0	1%	0	0	0	3%	2%	0	0
0 = extremely dissatisfied (Don't know)	594	3	5	30	2	15	0	1	0	36	26	73	22	3	81
	2%	1%	1%	8%	3%	3%	0	1%	0	1%	1%	12%	4%	1%	2%
	131	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	25	25	1	2	0	23
	0	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1%	1%	0	0	0	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Satisfied - T3B	13368	315	351	70	20	275	231	21	226	1401	2769	136	181	144	1485
	49%	68%	57%	18%	34%	48%	75%	29%	77%	39%	63%	22%	34%	55%	46%
Dissatisfied - B3B	1022	6	8	59	5	26	2	3	2	62	48	113	54	5	124
	4%	1%	1%	15%	9%	5%	0	4%	1%	2%	1%	18%	10%	2%	4%
Mean	7.23	7.99	7.52	5.57	6.70	7.06	8.14	6.41	8.02	6.98	7.73	5.90	6.36	7.45	7.27
Standard deviation	1.76	1.57	1.33	2.13	2.10	1.95	1.47	1.84	1.29	1.60	1.52	2.18	2.16	1.74	1.57
Standard error	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.28	0.08	0.08	0.22	0.08	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.03

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF3. All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole nowadays? Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means extremely dissatisfied and 10 means extremely satisfied.

Page 2
Table: 1

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
10 = extremely satisfied	5	12	4	4	41	202	45	93	22	11	261	64	303	2464
	4%	8%	14%	17%	4%	10%	8%	9%	7%	9%	10%	12%	9%	9%
9	6	16	3	3	131	199	39	91	21	13	222	94	452	3011
	6%	10%	11%	12%	14%	10%	7%	8%	7%	11%	9%	18%	13%	11%
8	23	41	8	8	476	506	103	250	55	28	664	183	1040	7347
	21%	25%	26%	32%	52%	24%	18%	23%	19%	25%	26%	35%	30%	27%
7	24	29	7	4	210	432	99	210	48	21	545	98	739	5170
	22%	18%	22%	17%	23%	21%	17%	19%	16%	18%	21%	19%	21%	19%
6	13	13	4	2	36	209	51	77	29	10	326	31	404	2440
	12%	8%	13%	7%	4%	10%	9%	7%	10%	9%	13%	6%	12%	9%
5	23	32	3	3	11	333	124	181	70	17	268	30	283	3636
	21%	20%	9%	13%	1%	16%	21%	17%	24%	15%	11%	6%	8%	13%
4	6	4	1	0	3	62	22	45	17	4	94	13	73	920
	5%	3%	3%	1%	0	3%	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%
3	4	4	1	0	2	46	25	31	11	4	63	3	58	715
	4%	3%	2%	0	0	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%
2	1	1	0	0	2	26	15	22	5	2	22	2	29	372
	1%	1%	0	1%	0	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0	1%	1%
1	1	2	0	0	0	25	9	13	3	1	7	3	19	239
	1%	1%	0	0	0	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%
0 = extremely dissatisfied	3	6	0	0	1	46	40	76	12	4	47	0	61	781
(Don't know)	3%	3%	1%	1%	0	2%	7%	7%	4%	3%	2%	0	2%	3%
	1	1	0	0	3	7	5	2	0	0	15	1	12	100
	1%	0	0	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Satisfied - T3B	34	69	16	14	648	906	187	434	98	52	1148	341	1795	12822
	31%	43%	51%	61%	71%	43%	32%	40%	33%	45%	45%	65%	52%	47%
Dissatisfied - B3B	5	9	0	2	96	65	65	111	20	7	75	5	109	1392
	5%	5%	1%	2%	0	5%	11%	10%	7%	6%	3%	1%	3%	5%
Mean	6.52	6.90	7.40	7.68	7.85	6.99	6.47	6.82	6.49	6.96	7.14	7.76	7.35	7.08
Standard deviation	1.79	1.91	1.73	1.70	0.98	1.92	2.11	2.03	2.01	2.03	1.79	1.58	1.69	1.91
Standard error	0.17	0.15	0.31	0.35	0.03	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.12	0.19	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.01

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA1. Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people? Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means you can't be too careful and 10 means that most people can be trusted.

Page 3
Table: 2

		Country													
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
10 - Most people can be trusted	505	10	3	15	1	3	17	3	13	34	63	4	8	7	86
9	2%	2%	0	4%	1%	1%	6%	4%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%
	519	13	12	7	0	6	31	2	32	45	70	6	2	8	35
8	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	10%	3%	11%	1%	2%	1%	0	3%	1%
	2513	66	52	21	2	19	87	7	89	160	395	28	28	38	260
7	9%	14%	8%	5%	3%	3%	28%	10%	30%	4%	9%	5%	5%	15%	8%
	4576	94	142	30	3	47	70	12	78	405	907	37	72	51	475
6	17%	20%	23%	8%	5%	8%	23%	17%	26%	11%	21%	6%	13%	20%	15%
	4004	69	102	41	3	51	37	11	30	465	738	47	63	49	617
5	15%	15%	17%	10%	5%	9%	12%	15%	10%	13%	17%	8%	12%	19%	19%
	6850	129	145	113	10	147	40	19	32	1167	1158	134	153	57	691
4	25%	28%	24%	29%	17%	26%	13%	26%	11%	33%	26%	22%	28%	22%	21%
	2411	27	46	45	5	58	11	7	6	365	372	51	50	17	294
3	9%	6%	7%	11%	8%	10%	4%	10%	2%	10%	8%	8%	9%	7%	9%
	1799	23	29	43	4	89	6	4	6	290	257	70	57	11	178
2	7%	5%	5%	11%	7%	16%	2%	6%	2%	8%	6%	11%	11%	4%	5%
	1191	11	21	28	7	45	5	2	3	183	148	61	41	9	119
1	4%	2%	3%	7%	11%	8%	2%	3%	1%	5%	3%	10%	8%	3%	4%
	451	4	10	16	8	31	1	2	2	58	52	26	14	2	27
0 - You can't be too careful (Don't know)	2%	1%	2%	4%	13%	5%	0	3%	1%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	1%
	2362	12	49	35	17	75	1	3	2	383	199	147	52	11	413
	9%	3%	8%	9%	28%	13%	0	4%	1%	11%	5%	24%	10%	4%	13%
	126	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	8	17	2	1	1	52
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0	0	0	2%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Trust - T3B	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	3537	90	66	43	3	28	135	12	134	238	528	39	37	53	381
No Trust - B3B	13%	19%	11%	11%	5%	5%	44%	17%	46%	7%	12%	6%	7%	20%	12%
	4005	27	79	78	31	151	7	6	624	398	234	107	22	22	559
Mean	15%	6%	13%	20%	53%	26%	2%	10%	2%	18%	9%	38%	20%	9%	17%
	5.56	5.99	5.71	4.97	3.93	4.49	6.98	5.69	7.11	5.12	5.67	4.50	4.98	6.05	5.65
Standard deviation	1.86	1.74	1.70	2.09	2.24	1.89	1.75	1.98	1.63	1.70	1.72	1.99	1.87	1.80	1.79
Standard error	0.01	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.34	0.08	0.10	0.24	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.03

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA1. Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people? Please tell me on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 means you can't be too careful and 10 means that most people can be trusted.

Page 4
Table: 2

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
10 - Most people can be trusted	3	5	1	1	5	63	10	32	4	6	39	20	51	642
9	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	5%	2%	4%	1%	2%
8	2	5	1	0	22	40	6	16	3	3	33	28	89	705
7	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	5%	3%	3%
6	10	19	3	1	147	162	22	116	14	13	216	103	437	2834
5	9%	12%	8%	6%	16%	8%	4%	11%	5%	11%	9%	20%	13%	10%
4	15	25	5	3	327	226	33	147	18	17	431	148	757	4281
3	14%	16%	17%	13%	36%	11%	6%	13%	6%	15%	17%	28%	22%	16%
2	15	18	5	3	214	212	50	125	27	15	428	64	506	3548
1	14%	11%	16%	13%	23%	10%	9%	11%	9%	13%	17%	12%	15%	13%
0 - You can't be too careful (Don't know)	32	42	8	6	118	603	136	240	78	25	681	90	795	6536
0	30%	26%	27%	27%	13%	29%	24%	22%	27%	21%	27%	17%	23%	24%
0	8	13	3	2	39	198	74	75	28	9	245	31	332	2192
0	7%	8%	11%	8%	4%	9%	13%	7%	9%	8%	10%	6%	10%	8%
0	8	10	2	1	23	150	69	100	35	8	132	17	175	1913
0	8%	6%	7%	5%	2%	7%	12%	9%	12%	7%	5%	3%	5%	7%
0	4	6	1	1	10	98	62	58	28	5	103	7	127	1278
0	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%	5%	11%	5%	10%	4%	4%	1%	4%	5%
0	2	2	0	0	1	62	24	21	11	3	24	3	48	601
0	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
0	10	15	2	3	7	271	90	155	47	12	202	8	140	2571
0	9%	9%	6%	14%	1%	13%	16%	14%	16%	10%	8%	2%	4%	9%
0	0	1	0	1	3	6	4	6	0	0	2	1	14	94
0	0	0	0	5%	0	0	1%	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Trust - T3B	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No Trust - B3B	14	29	4	2	173	264	38	165	21	22	289	151	578	4181
Mean	13%	18%	12%	10%	19%	13%	6%	15%	7%	19%	11%	29%	17%	15%
Standard deviation	16	23	3	5	17	430	176	233	86	20	328	18	315	4450
Standard error	14%	14%	10%	19%	2%	21%	30%	21%	29%	17%	13%	3%	9%	16%
	5.53	5.75	5.59	5.51	6.44	5.32	4.51	5.50	4.63	5.74	5.58	6.53	5.81	5.59
	1.87	1.94	1.78	1.86	1.35	2.00	1.98	2.05	1.96	2.14	1.72	1.74	1.82	1.98
	0.19	0.16	0.33	0.42	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.21	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.01

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

**QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...:
...Country's government**

Page 5
Table: 3

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
10 - Complete trust	375	7	2	7	3	5	6	2	3	15	51	7	34	5	35
	1%	1%	0	2%	5%	1%	2%	3%	1%	0	1%	1%	6%	2%	1%
9	461	6	10	3	1	5	7	2	16	19	146	8	23	5	27
	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	5%	1%	3%	1%	4%	2%	1%
8	1854	24	49	17	5	20	36	9	55	116	465	23	45	22	264
	7%	5%	8%	4%	9%	3%	12%	12%	19%	3%	11%	4%	8%	8%	8%
7	2634	49	118	16	6	40	45	11	78	210	687	29	40	29	260
	10%	11%	19%	4%	10%	7%	15%	15%	27%	6%	16%	5%	7%	11%	8%
6	3147	54	124	11	4	57	43	10	55	365	707	28	35	32	315
	12%	12%	20%	3%	6%	10%	14%	13%	19%	10%	16%	5%	7%	12%	10%
5	4483	96	104	33	11	120	66	14	32	675	958	58	73	43	443
	16%	21%	17%	8%	19%	21%	22%	19%	11%	19%	22%	9%	13%	17%	14%
4	2822	67	54	33	4	75	30	5	21	411	436	33	40	30	324
	10%	15%	9%	8%	7%	13%	10%	7%	12%	10%	12%	5%	7%	12%	10%
3	2557	59	46	43	6	90	28	8	9	386	357	53	52	22	253
	9%	13%	8%	11%	10%	16%	9%	11%	3%	11%	8%	9%	10%	8%	8%
2	2210	40	27	41	3	47	20	5	11	411	233	56	49	22	249
	8%	9%	4%	10%	6%	8%	6%	7%	4%	12%	5%	9%	9%	8%	8%
1	1273	13	9	30	3	26	8	2	6	211	110	58	28	12	125
	5%	3%	1%	8%	5%	5%	3%	3%	2%	6%	3%	9%	5%	5%	4%
0 - No trust at all	5152	42	60	156	12	83	18	5	7	709	194	255	108	35	793
	19%	9%	10%	39%	20%	15%	6%	7%	3%	20%	4%	42%	20%	14%	24%
(Don't know)	340	4	8	5	1	3	1	1	0	33	33	5	11	3	158
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	5%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	2690	36	61	26	9	30	50	13	74	150	662	39	103	32	326
	10%	8%	10%	7%	15%	5%	16%	18%	25%	4%	15%	6%	19%	12%	10%
Not Trusted - B3B	8634	95	96	226	18	156	45	12	24	1331	536	369	186	70	1167
	32%	21%	16%	57%	31%	27%	15%	17%	8%	37%	12%	60%	34%	27%	36%
Mean	4.91	4.81	5.54	4.05	5.24	4.47	5.44	5.50	6.26	4.30	5.49	4.12	5.26	5.04	4.93
Standard deviation	2.14	1.95	1.77	2.35	2.42	1.89	2.05	2.18	1.87	1.94	1.96	2.42	2.65	2.18	2.15
Standard error	0.01	0.10	0.08	0.15	0.36	0.09	0.12	0.27	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.04

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...:
...Country's government

Page 6
Table: 3

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
10 - Complete trust	3	4	1	4	3	38	12	44	3	1	23	20	39	702
	2%	3%	4%	16%	0	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%
9	1	3	2	2	13	23	5	25	5	1	44	32	26	647
	1%	2%	7%	7%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	6%	1%	2%
8	5	13	6	5	93	84	18	59	8	4	71	88	251	2227
	5%	8%	18%	19%	10%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	17%	7%	8%
7	10	15	8	3	238	107	17	78	15	4	62	114	343	3000
	9%	9%	26%	11%	26%	5%	3%	7%	5%	4%	2%	22%	10%	11%
6	12	18	5	2	247	168	28	70	18	5	168	72	493	2955
	11%	11%	15%	8%	27%	8%	5%	6%	6%	5%	7%	14%	14%	11%
5	23	38	4	4	128	295	66	129	48	13	257	73	677	4349
	21%	24%	15%	15%	14%	14%	11%	12%	16%	11%	10%	14%	20%	16%
4	10	14	1	1	72	241	47	67	26	10	285	37	448	2384
	9%	8%	4%	3%	8%	12%	8%	6%	9%	9%	11%	7%	13%	9%
3	14	16	1	1	47	277	56	104	43	12	271	29	274	2493
	12%	10%	3%	3%	5%	13%	10%	10%	15%	10%	11%	5%	8%	9%
2	8	11	1	1	29	210	66	95	30	16	202	20	308	2043
	7%	7%	2%	3%	3%	10%	11%	9%	10%	14%	8%	4%	9%	8%
1	4	3	0	1	15	158	46	69	30	12	130	8	157	1238
	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	8%	8%	6%	10%	11%	5%	2%	5%	5%
0 - No trust at all	18	26	1	1	23	473	209	331	67	36	1014	23	451	4833
	17%	16%	2%	5%	2%	23%	36%	30%	23%	31%	40%	4%	13%	18%
(Don't know)	1	1	1	2	5	18	8	20	0	0	7	4	6	324
	1%	1%	3%	7%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	0	0	1%	0	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	9	20	9	10	109	145	34	128	17	6	138	140	315	3576
	9%	12%	29%	43%	12%	7%	6%	12%	6%	5%	5%	27%	9%	13%
Not Trusted - B3B	30	40	2	3	67	840	321	494	127	65	1346	52	916	8114
	27%	25%	5%	11%	7%	40%	56%	45%	43%	56%	53%	10%	26%	30%
Mean	4.89	5.20	6.57	6.94	5.85	4.29	4.03	4.85	4.07	3.76	4.24	6.22	4.89	5.15
Standard deviation	2.08	2.06	1.81	2.43	1.66	2.18	2.29	2.56	2.17	2.19	2.11	2.04	2.02	2.26
Standard error	0.22	0.18	0.33	0.53	0.06	0.05	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.25	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.02

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly....: ...the legal system

Page 7
Table: 4

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
10 - Complete trust	628	17	8	4	1	10	41	4	16	67	110	7	24	8	50
	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	13%	5%	5%	2%	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%
9	1189	34	20	5	3	9	68	5	73	103	346	16	22	11	52
	4%	7%	3%	1%	6%	2%	22%	6%	25%	3%	8%	3%	4%	4%	2%
8	3700	84	71	11	6	39	96	14	88	372	904	54	66	37	298
	14%	18%	12%	3%	9%	7%	31%	19%	30%	10%	21%	9%	12%	14%	9%
7	4295	106	143	17	7	61	45	11	55	586	881	59	67	45	361
	16%	23%	23%	4%	12%	11%	15%	14%	19%	16%	20%	10%	13%	17%	11%
6	3574	61	117	21	6	66	17	8	22	513	564	60	53	35	584
	13%	13%	19%	5%	10%	12%	5%	11%	8%	14%	13%	10%	10%	14%	18%
5	4643	72	102	57	15	122	20	12	15	693	716	114	103	44	602
	17%	16%	17%	15%	26%	21%	7%	17%	5%	19%	16%	19%	19%	17%	19%
4	2419	31	44	37	4	68	6	5	9	345	306	65	38	24	291
	9%	7%	7%	9%	7%	12%	2%	7%	3%	10%	7%	11%	7%	9%	9%
3	1988	22	28	59	4	58	3	5	5	289	253	52	50	21	215
	7%	5%	5%	15%	6%	10%	1%	7%	2%	8%	6%	8%	9%	8%	7%
2	1406	11	23	44	2	43	4	1	4	192	130	47	45	14	175
	5%	2%	4%	11%	4%	8%	1%	2%	1%	5%	3%	8%	8%	5%	5%
1	670	7	7	25	1	23	1	2	2	60	59	32	17	5	107
	2%	1%	1%	6%	2%	4%	0	2%	1%	2%	1%	5%	3%	2%	3%
0 - No trust at all	2298	13	36	104	6	63	1	2	2	262	94	94	46	13	430
	8%	3%	6%	26%	10%	11%	0	3%	1%	7%	2%	15%	8%	5%	13%
(Don't know)	499	3	12	9	3	9	4	4	2	79	12	15	8	3	84
	2%	1%	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	6%	1%	2%	0	2%	2%	1%	3%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	5517	135	99	21	10	58	205	23	177	542	1360	77	111	56	400
	20%	29%	16%	5%	17%	10%	67%	31%	60%	15%	31%	13%	21%	22%	12%
Not Trusted - B3B	4374	30	66	173	10	130	5	5	8	514	283	173	108	32	711
	16%	6%	11%	44%	17%	23%	2%	7%	3%	14%	6%	28%	20%	12%	22%
Mean	5.73	6.38	5.96	4.10	5.63	4.97	7.80	6.22	7.52	5.56	6.30	5.00	5.51	5.80	5.36
Standard deviation	2.11	1.95	1.79	2.08	2.05	2.06	1.72	2.17	1.72	1.99	1.98	2.19	2.34	2.11	1.99
Standard error	0.01	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.29	0.09	0.10	0.27	0.10	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.11	0.14	0.04

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...: ...the legal system

Page 8
Table: 4

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
10 - Complete trust	2	7	1	1	13	32	13	66	4	2	16	25	80	851
	2%	4%	4%	4%	1%	2%	2%	6%	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%	3%
9	3	6	2	1	86	38	8	44	3	1	34	62	134	1458
	3%	4%	6%	4%	9%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	12%	4%	5%
8	12	17	6	2	230	178	31	147	11	4	185	117	619	3692
	11%	10%	20%	10%	25%	9%	5%	13%	4%	3%	7%	23%	18%	14%
7	17	20	7	3	274	199	46	137	15	9	218	101	804	3967
	15%	12%	21%	12%	30%	10%	8%	13%	5%	8%	9%	19%	23%	15%
6	12	17	6	3	152	246	43	90	21	9	305	79	464	3146
	11%	10%	19%	14%	17%	12%	7%	8%	7%	8%	12%	15%	13%	12%
5	23	31	4	4	82	423	117	153	52	17	464	58	525	4527
	21%	19%	13%	18%	9%	20%	20%	14%	18%	15%	18%	11%	15%	17%
4	10	14	2	2	37	262	69	84	34	12	318	32	269	2262
	9%	9%	6%	8%	4%	13%	12%	8%	12%	10%	13%	6%	8%	8%
3	8	13	1	1	13	232	64	91	38	15	225	17	206	1980
	7%	8%	3%	4%	1%	11%	11%	8%	13%	13%	9%	3%	6%	7%
2	4	11	1	1	11	144	53	67	34	15	201	13	118	1481
	4%	7%	2%	4%	1%	7%	9%	6%	12%	13%	8%	2%	3%	5%
1	1	4	0	0	2	73	21	28	22	8	106	4	52	713
	1%	3%	0	2%	0	4%	4%	3%	7%	7%	4%	1%	1%	3%
0 - No trust at all	8	15	1	3	2	226	86	125	56	24	436	6	145	2421
	7%	10%	2%	11%	0	11%	15%	11%	19%	21%	17%	1%	4%	9%
(Don't know)	8	7	1	2	13	38	28	60	4	0	25	8	56	697
	7%	4%	5%	9%	1%	2%	5%	5%	1%	0	1%	2%	2%	3%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	18	29	9	4	328	249	51	256	18	6	235	205	833	6001
	16%	18%	29%	18%	36%	12%	9%	23%	6%	5%	9%	39%	24%	22%
Not Trusted - B3B	13	31	1	4	15	443	160	220	112	47	744	22	315	4615
	12%	19%	4%	16%	2%	21%	28%	20%	38%	40%	29%	4%	9%	17%
Mean	5.70	5.53	6.54	5.85	6.88	5.02	4.74	5.80	4.21	4.28	4.86	6.75	6.11	5.78
Standard deviation	1.96	2.25	1.76	2.11	1.51	2.06	2.11	2.40	2.11	2.15	2.02	1.92	1.95	2.21
Standard error	0.20	0.19	0.33	0.48	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.23	0.04	0.09	0.03	0.01

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly....: ...the police

Page 9
Table: 5

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
10 - Complete trust	1790	44	14	17	2	23	50	8	28	122	321	43	44	18	279
	7%	9%	2%	4%	3%	4%	16%	10%	10%	3%	7%	7%	8%	7%	9%
9	2306	70	43	7	2	40	73	11	102	146	578	29	35	21	201
	8%	15%	7%	2%	4%	7%	24%	14%	35%	4%	13%	5%	6%	8%	6%
8	5860	120	131	25	8	100	95	17	97	640	1250	86	87	56	744
	21%	26%	21%	6%	13%	17%	31%	23%	33%	18%	29%	14%	16%	21%	23%
7	5617	88	189	27	7	98	44	12	31	805	995	85	73	51	759
	21%	19%	31%	7%	12%	17%	14%	16%	11%	23%	23%	14%	14%	20%	23%
6	3499	49	87	34	7	86	17	8	17	519	452	61	56	32	546
	13%	11%	14%	9%	11%	15%	6%	11%	6%	15%	10%	10%	10%	12%	17%
5	3749	37	77	72	17	114	14	8	7	665	440	115	105	33	385
	14%	8%	13%	18%	28%	20%	4%	10%	2%	19%	10%	19%	19%	13%	12%
4	1370	17	25	39	4	37	4	4	3	233	146	46	45	15	99
	5%	4%	4%	10%	6%	6%	1%	5%	1%	7%	3%	7%	8%	6%	3%
3	1011	11	14	52	3	25	4	3	3	127	96	51	32	11	56
	4%	2%	2%	13%	6%	4%	1%	4%	1%	4%	2%	8%	6%	4%	2%
2	707	12	7	32	2	20	4	1	2	106	29	31	22	10	63
	3%	3%	1%	8%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	5%	4%	4%	2%
1	330	5	4	19	2	8	1	1	0	44	27	17	8	3	19
	1%	1%	1%	5%	4%	1%	0	1%	0	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
0 - No trust at all	870	7	15	65	5	17	1	1	2	115	23	47	28	8	51
	3%	2%	2%	16%	9%	3%	0	2%	1%	3%	1%	8%	5%	3%	2%
(Don't know)	199	1	4	5	0	4	1	2	5	38	20	3	5	2	46
	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%	0	1%	0	0	1%	1%	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	9956	234	188	48	12	163	218	35	228	908	2149	158	166	95	1225
	36%	51%	31%	12%	20%	28%	71%	48%	77%	26%	49%	26%	31%	36%	38%
Not Trusted - B3B	1907	23	26	117	9	45	6	3	5	266	79	95	58	21	133
	7%	5%	4%	30%	15%	8%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	16%	11%	8%	4%
Mean	6.66	7.18	6.70	4.86	5.71	6.22	7.97	7.07	8.11	6.25	7.21	5.90	6.22	6.58	6.91
Standard deviation	2.00	1.98	1.62	2.31	2.09	2.01	1.67	2.07	1.41	1.87	1.73	2.33	2.23	2.09	1.80
Standard error	0.01	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.28	0.09	0.10	0.25	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.03

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...: ...the police

Page 10
Table: 5

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
10 - Complete trust	7	15	2	3	25	93	58	100	10	6	265	36	158	1967
	7%	9%	7%	12%	3%	4%	10%	9%	3%	5%	10%	7%	5%	7%
9	4	14	3	2	76	75	30	70	7	7	197	75	388	2438
	4%	9%	9%	8%	8%	4%	5%	6%	2%	6%	8%	14%	11%	9%
8	19	33	7	5	287	358	112	166	27	19	498	147	726	5600
	18%	20%	23%	19%	31%	17%	19%	15%	9%	16%	20%	28%	21%	21%
7	19	26	7	4	314	329	95	158	36	21	563	121	664	4941
	17%	16%	22%	16%	34%	16%	16%	14%	12%	18%	22%	23%	19%	18%
6	13	14	5	2	127	276	76	110	34	11	294	62	503	3179
	12%	9%	15%	10%	14%	13%	13%	10%	12%	10%	12%	12%	14%	12%
5	20	29	3	4	50	370	115	181	58	19	324	41	446	3858
	18%	18%	11%	17%	5%	18%	20%	17%	20%	16%	13%	8%	13%	14%
4	8	7	1	1	17	191	20	56	23	8	95	19	206	1430
	7%	4%	5%	4%	2%	9%	4%	5%	8%	7%	4%	4%	6%	5%
3	5	8	1	1	10	121	22	68	32	8	100	9	138	1223
	5%	5%	3%	3%	1%	6%	4%	6%	11%	7%	4%	2%	4%	4%
2	3	4	1	0	4	93	14	47	25	6	77	4	87	827
	3%	2%	2%	1%	0	4%	2%	4%	9%	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%
1	1	2	0	0	3	44	6	25	16	3	28	3	42	395
	1%	1%	0	1%	0	2%	1%	2%	5%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
0 - No trust at all	6	7	0	1	1	117	20	105	23	7	82	3	113	1083
	5%	4%	1%	3%	0	6%	3%	10%	8%	6%	3%	1%	3%	4%
(Don't know)	3	3	0	1	0	24	11	8	2	0	13	1	3	254
	3%	2%	1%	5%	0	1%	2%	1%	1%	0	1%	0	0	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	31	62	12	9	389	527	200	335	44	32	960	258	1272	10005
	28%	38%	39%	39%	43%	25%	35%	31%	15%	28%	38%	50%	37%	37%
Not Trusted - B3B	10	12	1	1	8	254	40	176	64	16	187	10	242	2305
	10%	8%	3%	6%	1%	12%	7%	16%	22%	14%	7%	2%	7%	8%
Mean	6.28	6.66	6.88	6.88	7.17	5.97	6.61	6.30	5.15	6.05	6.80	7.26	6.62	6.61
Standard deviation	2.06	2.14	1.88	2.11	1.35	2.12	2.05	2.34	2.29	2.28	2.08	1.69	1.99	2.11
Standard error	0.21	0.17	0.34	0.45	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.14	0.22	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.01

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly....: ...the press and broadcasting media

Page 11
Table: 6

		Country													
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
10 - Complete trust	523	8	3	15	1	9	1	2	5	60	45	6	16	5	53
	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	0	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%
9	472	11	11	9	3	10	3	3	21	37	94	3	6	5	40
	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	4%	7%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
8	1973	38	60	27	4	46	21	9	77	202	440	18	22	21	180
	7%	8%	10%	7%	7%	8%	7%	13%	26%	6%	10%	3%	4%	8%	6%
7	3251	64	130	21	7	56	44	11	84	411	586	28	38	30	415
	12%	14%	21%	5%	12%	10%	14%	15%	29%	12%	13%	5%	7%	12%	13%
6	3848	71	132	26	5	70	52	11	40	510	672	37	46	44	571
	14%	15%	22%	7%	9%	12%	17%	14%	14%	14%	15%	6%	9%	17%	18%
5	6354	96	126	88	16	138	88	16	36	883	1027	83	113	56	743
	23%	21%	21%	22%	28%	24%	29%	21%	12%	25%	23%	14%	21%	21%	23%
4	3428	63	58	46	5	71	39	7	12	542	554	74	61	35	361
	13%	14%	10%	12%	8%	12%	13%	9%	4%	15%	13%	12%	11%	13%	11%
3	2576	59	32	47	6	71	26	6	7	338	397	82	75	25	255
	9%	13%	5%	12%	10%	12%	9%	8%	2%	9%	9%	13%	14%	9%	8%
2	1815	29	28	43	3	44	17	6	7	175	253	78	64	18	184
	7%	6%	5%	11%	5%	8%	6%	8%	2%	5%	6%	13%	12%	7%	6%
1	891	6	7	18	1	20	6	1	3	95	108	50	25	4	122
	3%	1%	1%	5%	2%	4%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	8%	5%	2%	4%
0 - No trust at all	1968	13	23	52	5	34	8	2	3	292	177	151	66	15	280
	7%	3%	4%	13%	9%	6%	3%	3%	1%	8%	4%	25%	12%	6%	9%
(Don't know)	210	3	2	2	1	3	0	1	0	17	23	3	7	2	45
	1%	1%	0	0	2%	1%	0	1%	0	0	1%	0	1%	1%	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	2968	56	74	50	9	64	25	14	103	298	579	27	44	31	273
	11%	12%	12%	13%	15%	11%	8%	20%	35%	8%	13%	4%	8%	12%	8%
Not Trusted - B3B	4675	48	58	113	9	98	32	9	12	563	537	279	155	37	586
	17%	10%	10%	29%	16%	17%	10%	12%	4%	16%	12%	45%	29%	14%	18%
Mean	5.13	5.23	5.67	4.76	5.40	4.96	5.17	5.60	6.69	5.08	5.29	3.95	4.55	5.25	5.16
Standard deviation	1.99	1.94	1.72	2.28	2.07	2.01	1.72	2.10	1.70	1.84	1.92	2.05	2.14	1.94	1.92
Standard error	0.01	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.28	0.09	0.10	0.25	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.04

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...: ...the press and broadcasting media

Page 12
Table: 6

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
10 - Complete trust	4	14	1	1	4	69	22	73	6	3	62	6	29	704
9	4%	8%	4%	5%	0	3%	4%	7%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%
8	3	9	1	1	5	49	11	61	6	3	42	11	13	676
7	3%	6%	5%	3%	1%	2%	2%	6%	2%	3%	2%	2%	0	2%
6	12	28	4	3	51	160	61	121	22	12	162	30	143	2489
5	11%	17%	13%	12%	6%	8%	10%	11%	8%	10%	6%	6%	4%	9%
4	14	23	6	3	212	223	54	136	26	14	276	71	265	3589
3	13%	14%	19%	14%	23%	11%	9%	12%	9%	13%	11%	14%	8%	13%
2	15	17	6	4	280	242	82	125	25	15	344	87	318	3853
1	14%	10%	20%	17%	31%	12%	14%	11%	8%	13%	14%	17%	9%	14%
0 - No trust at all	29	33	6	5	185	485	149	207	68	23	597	136	919	6077
(Don't know)	27%	20%	21%	21%	20%	23%	26%	19%	23%	20%	24%	26%	26%	22%
Total	9	12	2	2	85	222	61	82	39	12	344	75	556	2933
Trusted - T3B	8%	7%	8%	8%	9%	11%	11%	8%	13%	11%	14%	14%	16%	11%
Not Trusted - B3B	9	10	1	1	45	234	50	85	39	15	236	46	380	2462
Mean	8%	6%	4%	4%	5%	11%	9%	8%	13%	13%	9%	9%	11%	9%
Standard deviation	6	7	1	1	28	170	29	49	21	7	157	34	359	1657
Standard error	5%	4%	2%	2%	3%	8%	5%	4%	7%	6%	6%	7%	10%	6%
	3	2	0	0	7	74	7	31	17	4	64	10	204	740
	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%	6%	3%	3%	2%	6%	3%
	5	8	1	1	7	148	37	95	24	7	235	12	268	1799
	5%	5%	3%	5%	1%	7%	6%	9%	8%	6%	9%	2%	8%	7%
	0	0	1	2	4	12	14	28	2	0	15	5	17	216
	0	0	2%	10%	0	1%	2%	3%	1%	0	1%	1%	0	1%
	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	19	51	6	5	60	279	94	255	34	18	266	46	186	3869
	17%	31%	21%	19%	7%	13%	16%	23%	11%	15%	11%	9%	5%	14%
	14	16	2	2	42	393	73	174	61	18	457	56	831	4196
	13%	10%	6%	8%	5%	19%	13%	16%	21%	15%	18%	11%	24%	15%
	5.53	6.26	6.08	6.00	5.67	5.11	5.47	5.87	4.81	5.27	5.14	5.16	4.46	5.35
	2.08	2.21	1.86	1.97	1.49	2.15	2.00	2.31	2.12	2.13	1.97	1.82	1.88	2.05
	0.20	0.18	0.34	0.44	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.20	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.01

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

**QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...:
...businesses**

Page 13
Table: 7

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
10 - Complete trust	334	7	3	6	0	8	5	2	3	41	15	5	10	6	68
	1%	2%	0	2%	0	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	2%	2%
9	451	12	9	5	2	8	11	3	18	49	51	9	8	7	76
	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	4%	4%	6%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%
8	2711	58	84	12	4	51	70	9	75	418	371	37	52	41	350
	10%	13%	14%	3%	6%	9%	23%	12%	26%	12%	8%	6%	10%	16%	11%
7	4733	84	164	24	7	83	80	15	94	726	691	63	66	57	617
	17%	18%	27%	6%	12%	15%	26%	21%	32%	20%	16%	10%	12%	22%	19%
6	5154	86	157	34	6	98	48	13	43	745	875	78	80	48	749
	19%	19%	26%	9%	9%	17%	16%	18%	15%	21%	20%	13%	15%	19%	23%
5	6616	115	112	84	21	167	63	17	34	902	1243	138	127	57	652
	24%	25%	18%	21%	35%	29%	20%	23%	12%	25%	28%	23%	24%	22%	20%
4	2438	41	33	52	7	55	13	6	13	225	504	55	40	18	205
	9%	9%	5%	13%	12%	10%	4%	8%	4%	6%	12%	9%	7%	7%	6%
3	1685	22	13	57	4	36	5	3	6	156	278	58	56	7	140
	6%	5%	2%	14%	6%	6%	2%	4%	2%	4%	6%	9%	10%	3%	4%
2	874	14	9	34	2	18	3	2	3	83	136	42	22	6	85
	3%	3%	1%	9%	3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	7%	4%	2%	3%
1	363	6	3	16	1	7	1	1	0	11	36	29	8	2	10
	1%	1%	0	4%	1%	1%	0	1%	0	0	1%	5%	1%	1%	0
0 - No trust at all	990	5	9	53	3	22	1	1	2	89	93	60	31	5	119
	4%	1%	1%	13%	5%	4%	0	2%	1%	2%	2%	10%	6%	2%	4%
(Don't know)	958	10	16	17	4	19	7	4	3	119	82	40	38	4	177
	4%	2%	3%	4%	7%	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	6%	7%	2%	5%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	3496	78	96	23	5	68	86	13	97	507	438	50	70	55	494
	13%	17%	16%	6%	9%	12%	28%	17%	33%	14%	10%	8%	13%	21%	15%
Not Trusted - B3B	2227	25	20	103	6	47	5	4	5	182	265	132	61	13	214
	8%	5%	3%	26%	10%	8%	2%	5%	2%	5%	6%	21%	11%	5%	7%
Mean	5.64	5.81	6.18	4.49	5.27	5.53	6.51	5.99	6.77	5.90	5.49	4.92	5.43	6.15	5.98
Standard deviation	1.74	1.77	1.42	1.95	1.69	1.71	1.52	1.77	1.48	1.57	1.59	2.00	1.90	1.70	1.66
Standard error	0.01	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.23	0.07	0.09	0.21	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.03

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly...:
...businesses

Page 14
Table: 7

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
10 - Complete trust	2	4	0	1	3	31	17	43	3	1	25	3	22	425
	2%	2%	1%	3%	0	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%
9	1	6	1	1	6	17	6	38	5	1	25	13	64	570
	1%	4%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
8	10	28	3	4	96	152	47	119	12	6	168	74	360	3020
	9%	17%	11%	15%	10%	7%	8%	11%	4%	5%	7%	14%	10%	11%
7	17	27	7	4	327	260	74	147	29	13	340	117	600	4832
	16%	17%	22%	18%	36%	12%	13%	13%	10%	11%	13%	23%	17%	18%
6	18	24	8	4	292	292	89	117	44	13	378	103	715	4665
	16%	15%	25%	17%	32%	14%	15%	11%	15%	11%	15%	20%	21%	17%
5	26	31	6	6	114	600	172	201	93	20	612	135	867	6248
	24%	19%	21%	23%	13%	29%	30%	18%	32%	18%	24%	26%	25%	23%
4	8	11	2	1	36	234	46	87	35	15	306	36	354	2198
	7%	7%	7%	4%	4%	11%	8%	8%	12%	13%	12%	7%	10%	8%
3	8	6	1	1	8	179	32	75	35	16	237	16	230	1672
	7%	4%	4%	2%	1%	9%	6%	7%	12%	14%	9%	3%	7%	6%
2	4	4	0	0	7	107	23	52	15	8	108	8	77	914
	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	5%	4%	5%	5%	7%	4%	2%	2%	3%
1	1	1	0	0	3	50	5	20	9	5	62	2	72	399
	1%	1%	0	1%	0	2%	1%	2%	3%	5%	2%	0	2%	1%
0 - No trust at all	7	6	1	1	1	80	28	93	10	16	205	4	48	1176
	6%	4%	2%	2%	0	4%	5%	8%	3%	14%	8%	1%	1%	4%
(Don't know)	7	13	1	2	20	89	38	99	4	1	70	11	63	1076
	6%	8%	2%	9%	2%	4%	7%	9%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Trusted - T3B	14	38	5	5	105	199	70	200	19	8	218	90	445	4015
	12%	24%	16%	21%	11%	10%	12%	18%	7%	7%	9%	17%	13%	15%
Not Trusted - B3B	12	11	1	1	11	236	57	165	34	30	375	14	198	2489
	11%	7%	3%	5%	1%	11%	10%	15%	12%	26%	15%	3%	6%	9%
Mean	5.60	6.19	6.08	6.21	6.33	5.20	5.56	5.73	4.94	4.75	5.19	6.03	5.60	5.72
Standard deviation	1.83	1.84	1.59	1.76	1.18	1.82	1.79	2.15	1.76	2.03	1.81	1.52	1.71	1.81
Standard error	0.19	0.15	0.29	0.38	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.20	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.01

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly... - Summary Table

Page 15
Table: 8

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
T3B - ...Country's government	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
B3B - ...Country's government	2690	36	61	26	9	30	50	13	74	150	662	39	103	32	326
	10%	8%	10%	7%	15%	5%	16%	18%	25%	4%	15%	6%	19%	12%	10%
	8634	95	96	226	18	156	45	12	24	1331	536	369	186	70	1167
	32%	21%	16%	57%	31%	27%	15%	17%	8%	37%	12%	60%	34%	27%	36%
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
T3B - ...the legal system	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	5517	135	99	21	10	58	205	23	177	542	1360	77	111	56	400
	20%	29%	16%	5%	17%	10%	67%	31%	60%	15%	31%	13%	21%	22%	12%
B3B - ...the legal system	4374	30	66	173	10	130	5	5	8	514	283	173	108	32	711
	16%	6%	11%	44%	17%	23%	2%	7%	3%	14%	6%	28%	20%	12%	22%
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
T3B - ...the police	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	9956	234	188	48	12	163	218	35	228	908	2149	158	166	95	1225
	36%	51%	31%	12%	20%	28%	71%	48%	77%	26%	49%	26%	31%	36%	38%
B3B - ...the police	1907	23	26	117	9	45	6	3	5	266	79	95	58	21	133
	7%	5%	4%	30%	15%	8%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	16%	11%	8%	4%
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
T3B - ...the press and broadcasting media	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	2968	56	74	50	9	64	25	14	103	298	579	27	44	31	273
	11%	12%	12%	13%	15%	11%	8%	20%	35%	8%	13%	4%	8%	12%	8%
B3B - ...the press and broadcasting media	4675	48	58	113	9	98	32	9	12	563	537	279	155	37	586
	17%	10%	10%	29%	16%	17%	10%	12%	4%	16%	12%	45%	29%	14%	18%
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
T3B - ...businesses	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	3496	78	96	23	5	68	86	13	97	507	438	50	70	55	494
	13%	17%	16%	6%	9%	12%	28%	17%	33%	14%	10%	8%	13%	21%	15%
B3B - ...businesses	2227	25	20	103	6	47	5	4	5	182	265	132	61	13	214
	8%	5%	3%	26%	10%	8%	2%	5%	2%	5%	6%	21%	11%	5%	7%

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QA2. Please tell me on a score of 0-10 how much you trust each of the institutions I read out. 0 means you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you have complete trust. Firstly... - Summary Table

Page 16
Table: 8

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
T3B - ...Country's government	9	20	9	10	109	145	34	128	17	6	138	140	315	3578
	9%	12%	29%	43%	12%	7%	6%	12%	6%	5%	5%	27%	9%	13%
B3B - ...Country's government	30	40	2	3	67	840	321	494	127	65	1346	52	916	8114
	27%	25%	5%	11%	7%	40%	56%	45%	43%	56%	53%	10%	26%	30%
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
T3B - ...the legal system	18	29	9	4	328	249	51	256	18	6	235	205	833	6001
	16%	18%	29%	18%	36%	12%	9%	23%	6%	5%	9%	39%	24%	22%
B3B - ...the legal system	13	31	1	4	15	443	160	220	112	47	744	22	315	4615
	12%	19%	4%	16%	2%	21%	28%	20%	38%	40%	29%	4%	9%	17%
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
T3B - ...the police	31	62	12	9	389	527	200	335	44	32	960	258	1272	10005
	28%	38%	39%	39%	43%	25%	35%	31%	15%	28%	38%	50%	37%	37%
B3B - ...the police	10	12	1	1	8	254	40	176	64	16	187	10	242	2305
	10%	8%	3%	6%	1%	12%	7%	16%	22%	14%	7%	2%	7%	8%
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
T3B - ...the press and broadcasting media	19	51	6	5	60	279	94	255	34	18	266	46	186	3869
	17%	31%	21%	19%	7%	13%	16%	23%	11%	15%	11%	9%	5%	14%
B3B - ...the press and broadcasting media	14	16	2	2	42	393	73	174	61	18	457	56	831	4196
	13%	10%	6%	8%	5%	19%	13%	16%	21%	15%	18%	11%	24%	15%
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
T3B - ...businesses	14	38	5	5	105	199	70	200	19	8	218	90	445	4015
	12%	24%	16%	21%	11%	10%	12%	18%	7%	7%	9%	17%	13%	15%
B3B - ...businesses	12	11	1	1	11	236	57	165	34	30	375	14	198	2489
	11%	7%	3%	5%	1%	11%	10%	15%	12%	26%	15%	3%	6%	9%

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Television

Page 17
Table: 9

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
At least every day	22350	314	518	352	53	418	253	59	233	2985	3437	468	427	207	2790
	82%	68%	85%	89%	89%	73%	83%	80%	79%	84%	79%	76%	79%	79%	86%
2-3 times a week	2831	87	54	20	4	76	30	8	32	297	602	74	52	32	288
	10%	19%	9%	5%	6%	13%	10%	10%	11%	8%	14%	12%	10%	12%	9%
Once a week	677	20	14	9	1	27	7	2	8	77	139	23	13	7	42
	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%
2-3 times a month	256	9	6	2	0	11	1	1	5	33	51	8	12	2	19
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Once a month	222	8	2	3	0	9	4	1	5	27	30	5	7	3	7
	1%	2%	0	1%	0	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0
Less often than once a month	194	1	0	3	0	8	2	1	2	36	15	11	6	0	11
	1%	0	0	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%	1%	0	0
Never	759	22	17	5	1	22	9	2	7	102	101	24	23	8	85
	3%	5%	3%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%
(Don't know)	18	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	5
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Television

Page 18
Table: 9

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
At least every day	84	131	23	21	782	1576	529	905	215	93	2248	402	2826	22371
	77%	81%	74%	90%	86%	75%	92%	83%	73%	80%	89%	77%	81%	82%
2-3 times a week	12	14	5	2	86	243	26	99	43	13	177	69	389	2653
	11%	9%	17%	6%	9%	12%	5%	9%	14%	11%	7%	13%	11%	10%
Once a week	4	6	1	0	13	83	7	29	15	3	33	16	77	696
	4%	4%	4%	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%	5%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%
2-3 times a month	1	2	0	0	4	32	5	14	4	2	9	8	11	278
	1%	1%	1%	0	0	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0	2%	0	1%
Once a month	2	2	0	0	2	32	1	6	5	1	7	6	46	242
	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%	0	1%	2%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%
Less often than once a month	1	1	0	0	2	49	2	11	2	1	7	5	17	186
	1%	1%	1%	0	0	2%	0	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	0	1%
Never	4	5	1	0	25	73	8	25	10	3	53	14	107	754
	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	15
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Radio

Page 19
Table: 10

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
At least every day	17311	328	467	171	36	310	215	49	190	2384	3493	319	301	194	1729
	63%	71%	76%	43%	60%	54%	70%	67%	65%	67%	80%	52%	56%	75%	53%
2-3 times a week	3401	60	53	51	8	95	32	9	52	361	337	74	79	31	410
	12%	13%	9%	13%	14%	17%	10%	13%	18%	10%	8%	12%	15%	12%	13%
Once a week	1604	19	23	29	4	43	22	4	17	215	150	35	36	12	259
	6%	4%	4%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	6%	3%	6%	7%	5%	8%
2-3 times a month	666	9	7	13	1	28	6	2	10	59	55	20	19	4	99
	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%
Once a month	689	10	5	17	1	19	4	1	5	65	75	29	18	5	66
	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%	2%
Less often than once a month	705	8	8	33	2	19	6	2	10	72	73	33	12	3	90
	3%	2%	1%	8%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%
Never	2905	26	48	79	7	59	22	5	10	406	194	103	74	11	585
	11%	6%	8%	20%	12%	10%	7%	7%	3%	11%	4%	17%	14%	4%	18%
(Don't know)	27	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	9
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Radio

Page 20
Table: 10

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
At least every day	67	97	21	13	553	1444	329	437	171	87	1491	318	2098	17080
	61%	60%	67%	55%	61%	69%	57%	40%	58%	75%	59%	61%	60%	63%
2-3 times a week	16	19	5	4	146	196	79	173	38	13	355	85	617	3542
	15%	12%	15%	16%	16%	9%	14%	16%	13%	11%	14%	16%	18%	13%
Once a week	5	11	2	1	77	122	39	67	25	5	134	39	209	1586
	5%	7%	5%	4%	8%	6%	7%	6%	9%	4%	5%	8%	6%	6%
2-3 times a month	2	5	1	0	16	61	16	46	8	2	78	16	83	702
	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Once a month	4	6	1	0	24	63	16	35	10	2	84	14	111	686
	4%	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Less often than once a month	3	3	0	0	22	44	25	44	9	1	77	18	87	737
	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	4%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Never	11	20	2	5	75	158	73	287	33	6	310	31	267	2837
	10%	13%	7%	20%	8%	8%	13%	26%	11%	5%	12%	6%	8%	10%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	6	1	0	25
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Printed newspaper

Page 21
Table: 11

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
At least every day	8958	257	210	86	10	80	112	27	172	1122	2508	77	168	76	825
	33%	56%	34%	22%	18%	14%	36%	37%	59%	31%	57%	13%	31%	29%	25%
2-3 times a week	4393	80	78	66	6	112	56	15	51	552	562	60	103	62	564
	16%	17%	13%	17%	10%	20%	18%	21%	17%	15%	13%	10%	19%	24%	17%
Once a week	4647	58	99	66	15	126	52	11	27	684	536	134	88	63	528
	17%	13%	16%	17%	25%	22%	17%	15%	9%	19%	12%	22%	16%	24%	16%
2-3 times a month	1300	15	27	20	4	38	13	3	11	140	108	27	36	7	203
	5%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	4%	5%	4%	4%	2%	4%	7%	3%	6%
Once a month	1886	14	37	32	2	54	22	5	14	230	211	42	43	15	230
	7%	3%	6%	8%	3%	9%	7%	7%	5%	6%	5%	7%	8%	6%	7%
Less often than once a month	1308	8	26	29	5	42	15	3	5	167	123	51	23	10	130
	5%	2%	4%	7%	9%	7%	5%	4%	2%	5%	3%	8%	4%	4%	4%
Never	4784	29	134	96	17	122	36	9	11	660	328	222	78	27	756
	18%	6%	22%	24%	29%	21%	12%	12%	4%	19%	7%	36%	14%	10%	23%
(Don't know)	31	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	1	1	0	13
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Printed newspaper

Page 22
Table: 11

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
At least every day	22	33	14	4	396	473	220	211	51	46	544	284	931	8882
	20%	20%	44%	19%	43%	23%	38%	19%	17%	39%	21%	54%	27%	33%
2-3 times a week	22	35	6	1	104	489	93	181	50	19	400	86	541	4452
	20%	21%	18%	6%	11%	23%	16%	17%	17%	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
Once a week	21	34	5	6	116	504	98	180	73	23	387	62	654	4813
	19%	21%	17%	26%	13%	24%	17%	17%	25%	20%	15%	12%	19%	18%
2-3 times a month	7	8	2	0	30	179	18	97	21	4	124	23	133	1281
	6%	5%	7%	2%	3%	9%	3%	9%	7%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%
Once a month	12	16	1	1	26	178	26	78	30	6	278	18	266	1726
	11%	10%	3%	3%	3%	9%	5%	7%	10%	5%	11%	3%	8%	6%
Less often than once a month	5	6	1	1	31	86	19	71	14	3	142	8	283	1159
	5%	4%	3%	6%	3%	4%	3%	7%	5%	3%	6%	2%	8%	4%
Never	20	30	2	9	211	182	101	272	53	15	661	40	663	4849
	18%	18%	8%	37%	23%	9%	18%	25%	18%	13%	26%	8%	19%	18%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	2	33
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Internet

Page 23
Table: 12

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
At least every day	19123	327	451	253	28	410	260	53	219	2593	2990	323	340	195	2186
	70%	71%	74%	64%	48%	72%	85%	72%	75%	73%	68%	53%	63%	75%	67%
2-3 times a week	2360	53	53	26	3	49	18	4	28	290	438	32	50	29	317
	9%	12%	9%	7%	5%	9%	6%	6%	9%	8%	10%	5%	9%	11%	10%
Once a week	830	14	22	10	2	18	6	2	9	145	166	21	18	9	81
	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%
2-3 times a month	273	5	2	4	2	8	1	1	5	20	58	6	7	3	32
	1%	1%	0	1%	3%	1%	0	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Once a month	235	5	6	4	0	6	2	1	1	27	43	5	4	1	24
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Less often than once a month	230	4	3	3	1	3	1	1	2	27	52	13	3	2	22
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Never	4200	54	73	94	22	77	18	12	29	453	626	205	116	21	576
	15%	12%	12%	24%	37%	13%	6%	17%	10%	13%	14%	33%	22%	8%	18%
(Don't know)	57	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	6	3	8	1	0	9
	0	0	0	0	2%	0	0	1%	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Internet

Page 24
Table: 12

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
At least every day	70	100	22	17	788	1428	293	518	197	74	1864	433	2689	18127
	64%	62%	71%	71%	86%	68%	51%	47%	67%	64%	74%	83%	77%	67%
2-3 times a week	9	12	3	1	59	184	40	139	30	9	170	29	285	2346
	8%	8%	9%	6%	6%	9%	7%	13%	10%	8%	7%	6%	8%	9%
Once a week	3	5	1	0	19	70	18	52	12	4	45	13	66	856
	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%
2-3 times a month	1	2	0	0	4	28	9	23	5	1	20	4	20	318
	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Once a month	2	3	0	0	6	29	11	12	5	1	13	3	21	272
	2%	2%	1%	0	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%
Less often than once a month	1	1	0	0	4	16	9	18	2	1	11	2	31	224
	0	0	1%	0	0	1%	2%	2%	1%	0	0	0	1%	1%
Never	24	37	4	5	35	335	196	327	41	25	403	36	355	4962
	22%	23%	14%	20%	4%	16%	34%	30%	14%	22%	16%	7%	10%	18%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	10	0	5	90
	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Social networks [IF NEEDED] Such as Facebook, Twitter etc.

Page 25
Table: 13

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
At least every day	9303	108	218	168	18	148	135	27	114	1150	1039	170	205	114	1298
	34%	23%	36%	43%	30%	26%	44%	37%	39%	32%	24%	28%	38%	44%	40%
2-3 times a week	2697	52	54	34	3	66	35	7	34	322	366	41	65	27	232
	10%	11%	9%	9%	4%	12%	12%	9%	12%	9%	8%	7%	12%	10%	7%
Once a week	1400	22	43	17	2	37	15	4	12	180	246	15	27	12	130
	5%	5%	7%	4%	4%	7%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	2%	5%	5%	4%
2-3 times a month	442	12	12	5	0	17	9	1	4	36	35	7	18	3	57
	2%	3%	2%	1%	0	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%
Once a month	642	15	19	6	0	23	8	1	6	81	110	6	14	6	25
	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%
Less often than once a month	486	7	7	7	0	16	5	1	7	88	33	7	12	3	21
	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Never	12224	243	256	156	32	261	98	33	115	1684	2546	356	195	94	1466
	45%	53%	42%	40%	55%	46%	32%	44%	39%	47%	58%	58%	36%	36%	45%
(Don't know)	114	1	2	1	3	4	1	1	2	22	0	12	4	0	19
	0	0	0	0	6%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	0	2%	1%	0	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG14. How often do you use the following media?: Social networks [IF NEEDED] Such as Facebook, Twitter etc.

Page 26
Table: 13

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
At least every day	36	48	11	12	435	537	190	319	103	31	1074	249	1344	9078
	33%	30%	37%	51%	48%	26%	33%	29%	35%	27%	42%	48%	39%	33%
2-3 times a week	11	15	4	2	103	216	53	109	29	8	295	52	462	2614
	10%	9%	12%	8%	11%	10%	9%	10%	10%	7%	12%	10%	13%	10%
Once a week	7	9	2	1	39	174	26	64	21	8	121	20	146	1358
	6%	5%	8%	3%	4%	8%	5%	6%	7%	6%	5%	4%	4%	5%
2-3 times a month	2	3	1	0	9	75	14	18	7	3	51	8	35	504
	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Once a month	4	6	1	0	16	109	13	13	9	2	47	7	94	624
	4%	4%	2%	0	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%
Less often than once a month	2	2	0	0	11	64	11	10	8	2	60	12	90	454
	2%	1%	1%	0	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Never	44	79	12	9	300	903	266	552	115	62	876	172	1298	12378
	41%	49%	38%	36%	33%	43%	46%	51%	39%	53%	35%	33%	37%	46%
(Don't know)	1	0	0	0	1	12	5	5	2	1	10	1	4	185
	1%	0	0	1%	0	1%	1%	0	1%	0	0	0	0	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB1. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent, if at all, do you think that governments should or should not monitor the communications of people living in other countries?

Page 27
Table: 14

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13593	502	500	501	520	516	543	497	500	500	500	500	501	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
Effective Base	4740.6	458.4	460.3	496.9	103.9	501.3	482.7	301.8	488.1	459.6	439.4	479.3	496.7	447.9	425.0
Definitely should	1215	6	30	21	2	16	10	3	8	171	101	19	20	6	201
	9%	3%	10%	11%	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	10%	5%	6%	7%	5%	12%
Probably should	2312	26	67	42	2	39	25	7	22	344	221	27	58	31	327
	17%	12%	22%	21%	6%	13%	16%	20%	15%	19%	10%	9%	21%	24%	20%
No preference either way	1543	17	51	17	7	56	28	5	31	267	204	21	30	16	67
	11%	7%	17%	9%	23%	19%	18%	13%	21%	15%	9%	7%	11%	13%	4%
Probably should not	3531	61	74	33	4	79	37	10	43	452	616	62	61	34	297
	26%	27%	24%	17%	12%	28%	24%	26%	29%	25%	28%	20%	23%	26%	18%
Definitely should not	4616	112	80	77	14	97	51	11	36	484	1021	168	93	40	620
	34%	50%	26%	39%	47%	34%	33%	28%	25%	27%	47%	55%	34%	31%	38%
(Don't know)	448	3	7	7	2	1	3	2	6	56	28	9	9	1	111
	3%	1%	2%	3%	6%	0	2%	6%	4%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	7%
Total	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	3528	32	97	62	4	55	35	10	30	516	322	46	78	37	528
	26%	14%	31%	32%	13%	19%	23%	27%	21%	29%	15%	15%	29%	29%	33%
Should not - B2B	8147	173	154	110	18	176	87	20	79	936	1637	231	154	74	918
	60%	77%	50%	56%	59%	61%	57%	54%	54%	53%	75%	75%	57%	57%	57%

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB1. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent, if at all, do you think that governments should or should not monitor the communications of people living in other countries?

Page 28
Table: 14

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	502	500	508	500	500	500	499	501	500	500	497	500	13593
Base: All valid responses	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
Effective Base	491.3	459.8	176.0	72.7	474.4	495.7	494.0	372.7	411.1	402.4	456.9	479.6	436.0	13593.0
Definitely should	3	12	2	3	38	60	36	155	12	6	111	16	148	1238
	6%	14%	10%	23%	8%	6%	13%	27%	8%	10%	9%	6%	8%	9%
Probably should	10	22	3	2	69	216	50	84	22	7	205	28	359	2284
	18%	27%	18%	14%	15%	21%	17%	15%	15%	11%	16%	11%	21%	17%
No preference either way	11	9	1	1	75	103	33	58	24	5	111	45	249	1675
	21%	11%	8%	7%	17%	10%	11%	10%	16%	9%	9%	17%	14%	12%
Probably should not	12	19	4	2	122	373	70	74	42	13	326	69	542	3193
	21%	23%	26%	20%	27%	36%	24%	13%	28%	22%	26%	27%	31%	23%
Definitely should not	18	18	5	4	135	267	73	156	46	27	473	96	393	4737
	32%	22%	32%	32%	30%	26%	25%	27%	31%	47%	38%	37%	22%	35%
(Don't know)	1	3	1	1	8	27	26	42	2	0	31	6	56	466
	2%	4%	6%	5%	2%	3%	9%	7%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%
Total	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	13	33	5	5	107	276	86	238	34	12	316	44	507	3522
	23%	41%	28%	36%	24%	26%	30%	42%	23%	21%	25%	17%	29%	26%
Should not - B2B	29	36	9	7	257	640	143	231	88	40	799	166	936	7930
	54%	45%	58%	52%	58%	61%	50%	41%	60%	69%	64%	64%	54%	58%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB2. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: Do you think the foreign government doing this...

Page 29
Table: 15

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13593	502	500	501	520	516	543	497	500	500	500	500	501	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
Effective Base	4740.6	458.4	460.3	496.9	103.9	501.3	482.7	301.8	488.1	459.6	439.4	479.3	496.7	447.9	425.0
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	7843	149	154	94	16	158	85	18	74	1012	1462	234	157	71	810
57%	66%	50%	48%	52%	55%	55%	48%	51%	57%	67%	76%	58%	55%	50%	50%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	2623	31	71	52	2	43	33	7	25	337	233	26	45	35	351
19%	14%	23%	26%	8%	15%	22%	19%	17%	19%	11%	8%	17%	27%	22%	22%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	1878	31	54	33	2	59	25	8	34	204	349	27	54	17	224
14%	14%	17%	17%	6%	21%	16%	22%	23%	11%	16%	9%	20%	13%	14%	14%
(Don't know)	1321	15	29	18	10	27	10	4	13	222	148	20	15	6	238
10%	7%	10%	9%	34%	9%	7%	11%	9%	12%	7%	6%	6%	5%	15%	15%
Total	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB2. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: Do you think the foreign government doing this...

Page 30
Table: 15

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	500	508	500	500	500	499	501	500	500	497	500	13593
Base: All valid responses	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
Effective Base	491.3	459.8	176.0	72.7	474.4	495.7	494.0	372.7	411.1	402.4	456.9	479.6	436.0	13593.0
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	29	29	7	6	256	549	145	206	74	39	868	155	986	7481
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	52%	35%	45%	46%	57%	53%	50%	36%	50%	68%	69%	59%	56%	55%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	9	25	3	3	72	252	64	193	33	8	190	42	437	2696
	17%	31%	22%	22%	16%	24%	22%	34%	22%	14%	15%	16%	25%	20%
	13	17	2	2	73	162	34	85	31	8	96	42	195	2108
	23%	21%	15%	13%	16%	15%	12%	15%	21%	13%	8%	16%	11%	16%
	5	11	3	2	45	83	44	86	10	3	103	21	130	1308
	8%	13%	18%	18%	10%	8%	15%	15%	7%	5%	8%	8%	7%	10%
Total	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: These practices make me feel vulnerable

Page 31
Table: 16

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13593	502	500	501	520	516	543	497	500	500	500	500	501	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
Effective Base	4740.6	458.4	460.3	496.9	103.9	501.3	482.7	301.8	488.1	459.6	439.4	479.3	496.7	447.9	425.0
Strongly agree	4500	81	89	74	12	72	38	13	27	508	941	140	108	37	472
	33%	36%	29%	37%	38%	25%	25%	34%	18%	29%	43%	46%	40%	29%	29%
Tend to agree	3718	80	92	36	6	85	37	10	46	633	658	78	50	41	396
	27%	35%	30%	18%	19%	30%	24%	27%	32%	36%	30%	25%	18%	32%	24%
Neither agree nor disagree	1431	17	38	31	10	65	27	4	19	151	126	35	35	12	107
	10%	8%	12%	16%	31%	23%	18%	12%	13%	8%	6%	11%	13%	10%	7%
Tend to disagree	1905	24	44	20	2	35	30	6	30	186	252	25	28	19	245
	14%	11%	14%	10%	5%	12%	19%	15%	21%	10%	11%	8%	10%	15%	15%
Strongly disagree	1637	21	36	29	1	21	18	2	15	238	173	23	43	16	302
	12%	9%	12%	15%	5%	7%	11%	7%	10%	13%	8%	8%	16%	12%	19%
(Don't know)	476	2	10	7	1	10	3	2	8	59	42	6	7	3	100
	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	6%	5%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	6%
Total	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	8217	161	181	110	18	156	76	23	73	1141	1599	218	157	78	869
	60%	71%	59%	56%	57%	55%	49%	61%	50%	64%	73%	71%	58%	61%	54%
Disagree - B2B	3542	45	80	49	3	55	47	8	46	424	425	49	71	35	547
	26%	20%	26%	25%	10%	19%	31%	22%	31%	24%	19%	16%	26%	27%	34%

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: These practices make me feel vulnerable

Page 32
Table: 16

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	502	500	508	500	500	500	499	501	500	500	497	500	13593
Base: All valid responses	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
Effective Base	491.3	459.8	176.0	72.7	474.4	495.7	494.0	372.7	411.1	402.4	456.9	479.6	436.0	13593.0
Strongly agree	20	19	4	3	111	218	68	169	29	23	706	79	437	4343
	37%	24%	24%	26%	25%	21%	24%	30%	20%	40%	56%	30%	25%	32%
Tend to agree	12	17	6	2	122	297	96	93	35	13	213	58	505	3500
	23%	21%	36%	19%	27%	28%	33%	16%	24%	23%	17%	22%	29%	26%
Neither agree nor disagree	9	16	1	3	66	177	47	64	35	7	88	29	209	1782
	16%	20%	9%	21%	15%	17%	17%	11%	24%	12%	7%	11%	12%	13%
Tend to disagree	7	12	2	1	77	199	28	67	27	4	104	25	405	1805
	13%	15%	15%	10%	17%	19%	10%	12%	19%	7%	8%	10%	23%	13%
Strongly disagree	3	11	2	1	64	101	22	110	18	10	121	63	173	1590
	6%	14%	9%	8%	14%	10%	8%	19%	12%	17%	10%	24%	10%	12%
(Don't know)	3	5	1	2	6	54	26	66	2	1	25	6	18	573
	6%	7%	7%	15%	1%	5%	9%	12%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%
Total	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	33	36	10	6	233	515	164	262	64	36	919	137	943	7843
	60%	45%	60%	45%	52%	49%	57%	46%	44%	63%	73%	53%	54%	58%
Disagree - B2B	10	23	4	2	141	300	50	177	45	14	226	88	578	3395
	18%	28%	24%	18%	32%	29%	17%	31%	31%	24%	18%	34%	33%	25%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: These practices make the world a safer place

Page 33
Table: 17

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13593	502	500	501	520	516	543	497	500	500	500	500	501	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
Effective Base	4740.6	458.4	460.3	496.9	103.9	501.3	482.7	301.8	488.1	459.6	439.4	479.3	496.7	447.9	425.0
Strongly agree	1214 9%	7 3%	30 10%	35 18%	2 6%	11 4%	11 7%	4 11%	7 4%	95 5%	77 4%	23 7%	35 13%	16 13%	258 16%
Tend to agree	2652 19%	26 11%	61 20%	38 20%	2 7%	57 20%	25 17%	8 20%	34 23%	314 18%	240 11%	24 8%	40 15%	34 26%	420 26%
Neither agree nor disagree	1907 14%	18 8%	56 18%	35 18%	14 45%	77 27%	36 23%	5 14%	23 16%	192 11%	207 9%	59 19%	70 26%	20 16%	151 9%
Tend to disagree	3452 25%	83 37%	71 23%	27 14%	6 19%	80 28%	40 26%	11 28%	46 31%	461 26%	829 38%	70 23%	39 14%	28 22%	285 18%
Strongly disagree	3839 28%	84 37%	73 24%	50 25%	6 20%	53 19%	40 26%	7 20%	31 21%	630 36%	798 36%	122 40%	80 30%	26 20%	365 22%
(Don't know)	602 4%	6 3%	18 6%	10 5%	1 3%	8 3%	2 1%	2 6%	6 4%	82 5%	41 2%	10 3%	7 3%	5 4%	145 9%
Total	13666 100%	225 100%	309 100%	197 100%	31 100%	287 100%	153 100%	37 100%	146 100%	1774 100%	2192 100%	307 100%	271 100%	129 100%	1623 100%
Agree - T2B	3866 28%	33 15%	91 29%	74 38%	4 14%	68 24%	36 24%	12 31%	40 27%	410 23%	317 14%	46 15%	75 28%	50 39%	678 42%
Disagree - B2B	7291 53%	168 74%	144 47%	77 39%	12 39%	133 46%	80 52%	18 48%	77 53%	1090 61%	1627 74%	192 63%	119 44%	54 42%	649 40%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: These practices make the world a safer place

Page 34
Table: 17

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	502	500	508	500	500	500	499	501	500	500	497	500	13593
Base: All valid responses	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
Effective Base	491.3	459.8	176.0	72.7	474.4	495.7	494.0	372.7	411.1	402.4	456.9	479.6	436.0	13593.0
Strongly agree	5	13	1	2	32	63	24	141	10	5	152	23	132	1319
	9%	16%	9%	13%	7%	6%	8%	25%	7%	8%	12%	9%	8%	10%
Tend to agree	11	19	3	2	77	270	72	101	30	12	223	46	464	2557
	21%	24%	18%	17%	17%	26%	25%	18%	20%	20%	18%	18%	27%	19%
Neither agree nor disagree	9	19	3	2	81	174	58	56	40	7	119	38	337	2304
	17%	24%	19%	18%	18%	17%	20%	10%	27%	12%	9%	15%	19%	17%
Tend to disagree	15	12	3	2	114	323	62	75	30	8	232	48	456	3085
	27%	15%	21%	12%	26%	31%	21%	13%	20%	14%	18%	18%	26%	23%
Strongly disagree	12	12	4	3	127	181	46	117	34	25	487	100	324	3682
	21%	15%	26%	27%	29%	17%	16%	21%	23%	44%	39%	38%	19%	27%
(Don't know)	3	5	1	2	15	35	26	79	4	1	45	6	36	646
	6%	7%	7%	13%	3%	3%	9%	14%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	5%
Total	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	16	32	4	4	109	333	96	242	40	16	375	69	596	3876
	29%	40%	27%	30%	24%	32%	33%	43%	27%	28%	30%	26%	34%	29%
Disagree - B2B	26	24	8	5	241	504	108	192	64	33	718	148	779	6767
	48%	30%	47%	39%	54%	48%	37%	34%	43%	57%	57%	57%	45%	50%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I trust governments that monitor internet and digital communications, even if they are from another country

Page 35
Table: 18

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13593	502	500	501	520	516	543	497	500	500	500	500	501	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
Effective Base	4740.6	458.4	460.3	496.9	103.9	501.3	482.7	301.8	488.1	459.6	439.4	479.3	496.7	447.9	425.0
Strongly agree	785 6%	5 2%	20 6%	23 12%	1 3%	9 3%	8 5%	3 8%	6 4%	84 5%	24 1%	18 6%	16 6%	8 6%	157 10%
Tend to agree	1450 11%	18 8%	45 14%	25 12%	2 5%	29 10%	20 13%	5 13%	20 14%	218 12%	109 5%	16 5%	16 6%	16 12%	211 13%
Neither agree nor disagree	1331 10%	16 7%	32 10%	22 11%	11 36%	68 24%	24 16%	5 13%	14 10%	150 8%	152 7%	39 13%	49 18%	15 12%	94 6%
Tend to disagree	3473 25%	72 32%	92 30%	28 14%	5 16%	91 32%	39 25%	8 22%	48 33%	484 27%	665 30%	55 18%	53 20%	30 23%	341 21%
Strongly disagree	6084 45%	110 49%	115 37%	90 46%	11 37%	81 28%	59 39%	14 37%	51 35%	782 44%	1208 55%	170 55%	126 46%	57 45%	686 42%
(Don't know)	543 4%	4 2%	6 2%	9 5%	1 3%	8 3%	2 2%	3 7%	7 5%	56 3%	36 2%	9 3%	10 4%	3 2%	134 8%
Total	13666 100%	225 100%	309 100%	197 100%	31 100%	287 100%	153 100%	37 100%	146 100%	1774 100%	2192 100%	307 100%	271 100%	129 100%	1623 100%
Agree - T2B	2234 16%	23 10%	64 21%	48 24%	3 8%	38 13%	29 19%	8 22%	26 18%	303 17%	132 6%	34 11%	32 12%	23 18%	368 23%
Disagree - B2B	9558 70%	182 81%	206 67%	118 60%	16 53%	172 60%	98 64%	22 59%	99 68%	1266 71%	1872 85%	225 73%	179 66%	88 68%	1027 63%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I trust governments that monitor internet and digital communications, even if they are from another country

Page 36
Table: 18

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	500	508	500	500	500	499	501	500	500	497	500	13593
Base: All valid responses	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
Effective Base	491.3	459.8	176.0	72.7	474.4	495.7	494.0	372.7	411.1	402.4	456.9	479.6	436.0	13593.0
Strongly agree	3	6	1	1	27	53	12	96	7	3	109	13	71	852
	6%	8%	6%	9%	6%	5%	4%	17%	5%	5%	9%	5%	4%	6%
Tend to agree	6	11	2	2	62	116	40	57	13	7	148	31	205	1507
	10%	13%	14%	18%	14%	11%	14%	10%	9%	12%	12%	12%	12%	11%
Neither agree nor disagree	7	17	2	2	51	124	38	64	23	4	55	27	224	1690
	12%	22%	11%	14%	11%	12%	13%	11%	16%	7%	4%	10%	13%	12%
Tend to disagree	13	19	4	2	118	359	76	66	43	9	213	48	492	3208
	25%	24%	26%	15%	27%	34%	27%	12%	29%	15%	17%	18%	28%	24%
Strongly disagree	23	23	6	4	178	356	90	218	59	33	697	130	706	5721
	42%	29%	36%	30%	40%	34%	31%	38%	40%	57%	55%	50%	40%	42%
(Don't know)	3	4	1	2	9	39	30	68	1	2	34	12	50	615
	5%	4%	7%	14%	2%	4%	10%	12%	1%	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%
Total	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	9	17	3	3	90	168	53	153	20	10	257	44	277	2359
	16%	21%	20%	27%	20%	16%	18%	27%	14%	17%	20%	17%	16%	17%
Disagree - B2B	36	43	10	6	296	715	167	284	103	42	911	178	1198	8929
	67%	53%	62%	45%	66%	68%	58%	50%	70%	72%	72%	68%	69%	66%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The charity's officials have no need to worry about their members' personal information

Page 37
Table: 19

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13593	502	500	501	520	516	543	497	500	500	500	500	501	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
Effective Base	4740.6	458.4	460.3	496.9	103.9	501.3	482.7	301.8	488.1	459.6	439.4	479.3	496.7	447.9	425.0
Strongly agree	1493	16	24	42	2	13	18	6	16	103	83	37	40	15	269
	11%	7%	8%	21%	8%	5%	12%	15%	11%	6%	4%	12%	15%	12%	17%
Tend to agree	2235	31	58	32	3	45	28	8	26	273	289	34	33	22	377
	16%	14%	19%	16%	8%	16%	18%	22%	18%	15%	13%	11%	12%	17%	23%
Neither agree nor disagree	1527	20	48	43	14	78	30	5	16	176	132	65	59	15	90
	11%	9%	16%	22%	44%	27%	19%	13%	11%	10%	6%	21%	22%	11%	6%
Tend to disagree	3506	80	81	25	6	92	36	8	50	516	790	61	49	33	296
	26%	35%	26%	13%	18%	32%	24%	21%	34%	29%	36%	20%	18%	26%	18%
Strongly disagree	3997	71	81	42	6	49	36	6	29	550	820	95	74	42	402
	29%	31%	26%	21%	18%	17%	24%	17%	20%	31%	37%	31%	27%	32%	25%
(Don't know)	907	8	16	12	1	11	5	4	9	156	79	16	16	2	188
	7%	3%	5%	6%	4%	4%	3%	12%	6%	9%	4%	5%	6%	2%	12%
Total	13666	225	309	197	31	287	153	37	146	1774	2192	307	271	129	1623
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	3728	48	83	74	5	58	46	14	42	377	372	71	73	37	647
	27%	21%	27%	38%	16%	20%	30%	38%	29%	21%	17%	23%	27%	29%	40%
Disagree - B2B	7503	150	162	67	11	140	73	14	78	1066	1610	155	123	75	699
	55%	67%	52%	34%	36%	49%	47%	38%	54%	60%	73%	51%	45%	58%	43%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB3. Scenarios: 1. Government surveillance: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The charity's officials have no need to worry about their members' personal information

Page 38
Table: 19

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	500	508	500	500	500	499	501	500	500	497	500	13593
Base: All valid responses	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
Effective Base	491.3	459.8	176.0	72.7	474.4	495.7	494.0	372.7	411.1	402.4	456.9	479.6	436.0	13593.0
Strongly agree	7	13	1	2	64	123	38	135	14	11	224	35	142	1710
	12%	17%	9%	15%	14%	12%	13%	24%	9%	19%	18%	13%	8%	13%
Tend to agree	12	18	4	3	60	235	58	98	25	10	161	39	249	2318
	22%	22%	24%	27%	14%	22%	20%	17%	17%	18%	13%	15%	14%	17%
Neither agree nor disagree	9	19	2	2	40	170	41	73	38	5	56	45	239	2073
	16%	23%	14%	19%	9%	16%	14%	13%	26%	9%	4%	17%	14%	15%
Tend to disagree	13	13	3	2	98	320	65	69	36	7	204	51	503	3092
	24%	16%	19%	14%	22%	31%	23%	12%	25%	12%	16%	19%	29%	23%
Strongly disagree	10	12	4	2	176	154	56	86	31	22	513	72	556	3503
	18%	15%	23%	18%	40%	15%	19%	15%	21%	38%	41%	28%	32%	26%
(Don't know)	4	6	2	1	8	44	30	108	4	2	98	19	59	897
	7%	7%	11%	7%	2%	4%	10%	19%	3%	3%	8%	7%	3%	7%
Total	55	81	16	13	446	1046	287	569	147	58	1257	261	1748	13593
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	19	31	5	5	124	358	96	233	38	21	385	74	391	4028
	34%	38%	33%	42%	28%	34%	34%	41%	26%	37%	31%	28%	22%	30%
Disagree - B2B	23	25	7	4	274	474	121	155	67	29	718	123	1058	6595
	43%	32%	42%	32%	61%	45%	42%	27%	46%	51%	57%	47%	61%	49%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB4. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent, if at all, do think that the school should or should not be asking people who enter or leave the school to use their fingerprints to identify themselves?

Page 39
Table: 20

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13600	501	500	500	520	514	544	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13694	228	312	198	31	286	154	36	147	1766	2225	306	269	131	1618
Effective Base	4730.5	455.8	460.1	496.0	103.8	500.1	479.9	369.5	488.7	458.5	439.2	479.2	496.0	437.3	422.5
Definitely should	2520 18%	13 6%	63 20%	33 17%	3 11%	22 8%	14 9%	3 9%	6 4%	250 14%	207 9%	39 13%	36 13%	33 25%	419 26%
Probably should	2930 21%	22 10%	63 20%	39 20%	5 16%	55 19%	18 11%	5 14%	15 10%	481 27%	321 14%	49 16%	42 16%	34 26%	418 26%
No preference either way	1298 9%	22 10%	44 14%	10 5%	5 17%	47 16%	22 14%	5 13%	24 16%	231 13%	188 8%	20 7%	25 9%	13 10%	59 4%
Probably should not	2572 19%	49 22%	69 22%	36 18%	5 16%	74 26%	31 20%	8 23%	43 29%	306 17%	409 18%	56 18%	48 18%	23 18%	306 19%
Definitely should not	4172 30%	118 52%	71 23%	79 40%	12 39%	88 31%	68 44%	13 37%	57 38%	447 25%	1087 49%	137 45%	115 43%	27 21%	393 24%
(Don't know)	202 1%	3 1%	3 1%	2 1%	0 1%	1 0%	2 1%	2 4%	2 2%	52 3%	12 1%	5 2%	3 1%	1 1%	23 1%
Total	13694 100%	228 100%	312 100%	198 100%	31 100%	286 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1766 100%	2225 100%	306 100%	269 100%	131 100%	1618 100%
Should - T2B	5450 40%	35 15%	126 40%	72 36%	9 28%	77 27%	31 20%	8 23%	21 14%	731 41%	528 24%	88 29%	78 29%	67 51%	837 52%
Should not - B2B	6744 49%	167 74%	139 45%	114 58%	17 55%	162 57%	99 64%	22 59%	100 68%	753 43%	1497 67%	193 63%	163 60%	50 38%	700 43%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB4. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent, if at all, do think that the school should or should not be asking people who enter or leave the school to use their fingerprints to identify themselves?

Page 40
Table: 20

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	501	508	500	501	500	501	500	500	500	498	500	13600
Base: All valid responses	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
Effective Base	491.8	482.9	493.3	487.2	474.0	496.0	493.2	373.0	388.3	344.7	449.2	481.3	440.0	13600.0
Definitely should	11	19	3	5	62	178	115	287	20	6	243	34	396	2543
	19%	24%	23%	44%	13%	17%	40%	52%	13%	10%	19%	13%	23%	19%
Probably should	16	16	4	2	76	261	81	87	26	10	298	42	444	2629
	30%	20%	27%	23%	17%	25%	28%	16%	17%	16%	23%	16%	26%	19%
No preference either way	8	8	1	1	45	101	25	15	14	6	139	39	183	1355
	15%	10%	8%	5%	10%	10%	8%	3%	9%	10%	11%	15%	11%	10%
Probably should not	8	14	3	1	90	243	33	51	33	10	264	45	316	2533
	14%	17%	18%	10%	20%	23%	11%	9%	22%	17%	21%	17%	18%	19%
Definitely should not	11	22	3	2	184	242	26	105	56	28	318	93	371	4313
	20%	27%	21%	17%	40%	23%	9%	19%	37%	46%	25%	36%	21%	32%
(Don't know)	1	2	1	0	2	21	11	10	2	0	19	6	18	227
	2%	2%	3%	1%	0	2%	4%	2%	1%	0	2%	2%	1%	2%
Total	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	27	35	7	7	138	439	196	374	46	16	541	76	840	5172
	49%	44%	49%	67%	30%	42%	68%	67%	31%	26%	42%	29%	49%	38%
Should not - B2B	19	35	6	3	273	486	58	156	89	38	582	139	687	6846
	34%	44%	39%	26%	60%	46%	20%	28%	59%	63%	45%	53%	40%	50%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB5. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: Do you think the school doing this...

Page 41
Table: 21

	Country													
Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13600	501	500	500	520	514	544	502	501	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13694	228	312	198	31	286	154	36	147	1766	2225	306	269	1618
Effective Base	4730.5	455.8	460.1	496.0	103.8	500.1	479.9	369.5	488.7	458.5	439.2	479.2	496.0	422.5
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	5181 38%	56 25%	134 43%	60 30%	4 12%	86 30%	38 25%	8 21%	32 22%	710 40%	679 30%	46 15%	59 22%	730 45%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	4502 33%	108 47%	81 26%	75 38%	15 50%	110 38%	71 46%	16 43%	61 41%	482 27%	914 41%	191 62%	106 39%	443 27%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	3153 23%	50 22%	76 24%	50 25%	7 22%	80 28%	38 25%	10 28%	45 30%	419 24%	497 22%	47 15%	88 33%	29 22%
(Don't know)	859 6%	14 6%	21 7%	13 7%	5 16%	10 3%	7 4%	3 8%	10 7%	155 9%	136 6%	21 7%	16 6%	120 7%
Total	13694 100%	228 100%	312 100%	198 100%	31 100%	286 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1766 100%	2225 100%	306 100%	269 100%	1618 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB5. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: Do you think the school doing this...

Page 42
Table: 21

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	501	508	500	501	500	501	500	500	500	498	500	13600
Base: All valid responses	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
Effective Base	491.8	482.9	493.3	487.2	474.0	496.0	493.2	373.0	388.3	344.7	449.2	481.3	440.0	13600.0
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	23 42%	34 43%	6 37%	7 67%	150 33%	395 38%	165 57%	315 57%	43 29%	16 27%	463 36%	85 33%	779 45%	4794 35%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	13 23%	19 24%	4 29%	2 17%	170 37%	323 31%	44 15%	87 16%	56 37%	30 51%	435 34%	91 35%	516 30%	4730 35%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	16 29%	22 27%	3 23%	1 13%	127 28%	292 28%	57 20%	108 20%	46 30%	11 19%	284 22%	61 24%	363 21%	3236 24%
(Don't know)	4 6%	5 6%	2 11%	0 3%	11 2%	37 4%	23 8%	44 8%	6 4%	2 3%	99 8%	23 9%	70 4%	840 6%
Total	55 100%	80 100%	15 100%	11 100%	458 100%	1047 100%	290 100%	554 100%	150 100%	59 100%	1281 100%	260 100%	1728 100%	13600 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB6. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: It would be better to control access to the school by having staff members who know children and parents at the school gate

Page 43
Table: 22

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13600	501	500	500	520	514	544	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13694	228	312	198	31	286	154	36	147	1766	2225	306	269	131	1618
Effective Base	4730.5	455.8	460.1	496.0	103.8	500.1	479.9	369.5	488.7	458.5	439.2	479.2	496.0	437.3	422.5
Strongly agree	6558 48%	88 39%	158 51%	137 69%	17 54%	52 18%	47 30%	8 22%	43 29%	937 53%	821 37%	214 70%	135 50%	61 46%	829 51%
Tend to agree	3224 24%	66 29%	83 27%	26 13%	4 12%	74 26%	34 22%	10 28%	41 28%	473 27%	693 31%	43 14%	51 19%	31 24%	315 19%
Neither agree nor disagree	1070 8%	15 7%	22 7%	8 4%	8 26%	46 16%	27 18%	5 13%	15 10%	114 6%	156 7%	26 8%	31 12%	9 7%	103 6%
Tend to disagree	1475 11%	27 12%	28 9%	10 5%	1 3%	71 25%	23 15%	8 21%	22 15%	119 7%	324 15%	11 4%	19 7%	17 13%	146 9%
Strongly disagree	1183 9%	30 13%	17 6%	14 7%	1 5%	39 14%	22 14%	4 12%	23 16%	106 6%	202 9%	10 3%	28 10%	11 8%	197 12%
(Don't know)	185 1%	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	0 1%	4 2%	1 1%	2 4%	4 3%	16 1%	30 1%	2 1%	5 2%	2 1%	28 2%
Total	13694	228	312	198	31	286	154	36	147	1766	2225	306	269	131	1618
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	9782	154	241	164	20	126	81	18	84	1409	1513	257	186	92	1145
	71%	68%	77%	83%	66%	44%	53%	50%	57%	80%	68%	84%	69%	70%	71%
Disagree - B2B	2658	56	45	24	2	110	45	12	45	226	526	21	47	28	343
	19%	25%	14%	12%	7%	38%	29%	33%	30%	13%	24%	7%	17%	21%	21%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB6. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: It would be better to control access to the school by having staff members who know children and parents at the school gate

Page 44
Table: 22

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	501	508	500	501	500	501	500	500	500	498	500	13600
Base: All valid responses	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
Effective Base	491.8	482.9	493.3	487.2	474.0	496.0	493.2	373.0	388.3	344.7	449.2	481.3	440.0	13600.0
Strongly agree	21	34	5	6	219	349	150	328	66	29	877	147	779	6382
	39%	43%	36%	55%	48%	33%	52%	59%	44%	49%	68%	57%	45%	47%
Tend to agree	16	19	5	2	116	279	66	85	47	11	178	40	417	3057
	29%	23%	32%	14%	25%	27%	23%	15%	31%	19%	14%	15%	24%	22%
Neither agree nor disagree	6	7	2	1	33	107	28	30	20	6	72	25	148	1233
	11%	9%	12%	9%	7%	10%	10%	5%	14%	9%	6%	10%	9%	9%
Tend to disagree	7	8	1	1	44	178	25	31	10	6	69	13	258	1423
	13%	10%	9%	9%	10%	17%	9%	6%	10%	5%	5%	5%	15%	10%
Strongly disagree	4	11	1	1	39	105	15	71	6	7	74	31	112	1280
	7%	14%	6%	12%	9%	10%	5%	13%	4%	12%	6%	12%	6%	9%
(Don't know)	1	1	1	0	6	28	6	9	1	1	12	4	15	225
	1%	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Total	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	37	53	10	7	336	628	216	413	113	40	1054	187	1195	9439
	68%	66%	69%	69%	73%	60%	75%	75%	75%	68%	82%	72%	69%	69%
Disagree - B2B	11	19	2	2	84	283	40	103	16	13	142	43	370	2703
	20%	24%	15%	20%	18%	27%	14%	19%	10%	21%	11%	17%	21%	20%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB6. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: Parents should be consulted about decisions like this

Page 45
Table: 23

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13600	501	500	500	520	514	544	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13694	228	312	198	31	286	154	36	147	1766	2225	306	269	131	1618
Effective Base	4730.5	455.8	460.1	496.0	103.8	500.1	479.9	369.5	488.7	458.5	439.2	479.2	496.0	437.3	422.5
Strongly agree	10241	176	223	161	21	181	113	28	103	1134	1779	241	217	104	1153
	75%	77%	72%	82%	67%	63%	74%	78%	70%	64%	80%	79%	81%	79%	71%
Tend to agree	2076	35	52	17	2	63	23	5	25	416	271	32	25	19	218
	15%	15%	17%	9%	6%	22%	15%	14%	17%	24%	12%	11%	9%	15%	13%
Neither agree nor disagree	320	2	10	3	7	13	6	1	4	28	43	14	9	4	43
	2%	1%	3%	1%	22%	5%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%
Tend to disagree	377	6	11	4	0	17	4	1	7	69	57	7	5	1	41
	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%
Strongly disagree	567	8	16	11	1	10	6	1	6	98	59	10	12	2	136
	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	6%	3%	3%	4%	2%	8%
(Don't know)	114	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	2	21	16	2	1	0	27
	1%	0	0	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	0	2%
Total	13694	228	312	198	31	286	154	36	147	1766	2225	306	269	131	1618
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	12316	211	275	179	22	244	136	33	128	1550	2050	273	242	123	1370
	90%	93%	88%	90%	73%	85%	88%	92%	87%	88%	92%	89%	90%	94%	85%
Disagree - B2B	944	14	27	15	1	27	10	2	13	167	116	17	17	4	178
	7%	6%	9%	8%	5%	10%	7%	5%	9%	9%	5%	5%	6%	3%	11%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB6. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: Parents should be consulted about decisions like this

Page 46
Table: 23

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	501	508	500	501	500	501	500	500	500	498	500	13600
Base: All valid responses	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
Effective Base	491.8	482.9	493.3	487.2	474.0	496.0	493.2	373.0	388.3	344.7	449.2	481.3	440.0	13600.0
Strongly agree	39	58	9	9	319	656	240	486	106	45	1117	193	1328	10201
	72%	73%	61%	83%	70%	63%	83%	88%	70%	76%	87%	74%	77%	75%
Tend to agree	10	13	4	1	89	288	28	30	31	6	76	29	269	2021
	19%	16%	26%	10%	19%	27%	10%	5%	21%	11%	6%	11%	16%	15%
Neither agree nor disagree	2	3	0	0	12	21	5	12	7	3	9	7	49	364
	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	5%	1%	3%	3%	3%
Tend to disagree	1	2	0	0	12	37	6	5	3	1	30	9	37	357
	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%
Strongly disagree	1	3	1	0	23	33	8	14	4	3	46	17	38	535
	2%	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	4%	7%	2%	4%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	2	12	3	6	1	0	3	4	6	122
	1%	1%	3%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	0	2%	0	1%
Total	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	50	71	13	10	408	944	269	516	136	52	1193	221	1598	12222
	91%	89%	87%	93%	89%	90%	93%	93%	91%	87%	93%	85%	92%	90%
Disagree - B2B	3	4	1	0	35	71	13	20	7	4	76	27	75	892
	5%	6%	8%	4%	8%	7%	5%	4%	4%	7%	6%	10%	4%	7%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB6. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I trust the school to store children's fingerprints safely

Page 47
Table: 24

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13600	501	500	500	520	514	544	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13694	228	312	198	31	286	154	36	147	1766	2225	306	269	131	1618
Effective Base	4730.5	455.8	460.1	496.0	103.8	500.1	479.9	369.5	488.7	458.5	439.2	479.2	496.0	437.3	422.5
Strongly agree	3673 27%	34 15%	110 35%	35 18%	4 12%	42 15%	37 24%	5 13%	28 19%	400 23%	458 21%	44 14%	86 32%	34 26%	457 28%
Tend to agree	3263 24%	49 22%	83 27%	33 17%	4 14%	72 25%	37 24%	5 15%	38 26%	506 29%	497 22%	39 13%	33 12%	35 27%	425 26%
Neither agree nor disagree	1071 8%	14 6%	18 6%	17 9%	10 31%	48 17%	19 12%	3 7%	11 7%	82 5%	103 5%	53 17%	32 12%	13 10%	111 7%
Tend to disagree	2234 16%	53 23%	32 10%	29 15%	6 19%	63 22%	27 17%	9 25%	33 22%	294 17%	575 26%	54 18%	31 11%	21 16%	230 14%
Strongly disagree	3023 22%	72 32%	64 21%	74 37%	7 22%	55 19%	32 21%	13 36%	32 22%	438 25%	529 24%	111 36%	84 31%	26 20%	315 19%
(Don't know)	430 3%	5 2%	5 2%	9 4%	0 1%	5 2%	2 1%	1 4%	6 4%	46 3%	65 3%	5 2%	4 1%	3 2%	80 5%
Total	13694 100%	228 100%	312 100%	198 100%	31 100%	286 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1766 100%	2225 100%	306 100%	269 100%	131 100%	1618 100%
Agree - T2B	6936 51%	84 37%	193 62%	69 35%	8 26%	114 40%	74 48%	10 28%	66 45%	906 51%	954 43%	82 27%	119 44%	69 53%	882 55%
Disagree - B2B	5257 38%	125 55%	96 31%	103 52%	13 42%	118 41%	59 38%	22 61%	64 44%	732 41%	1104 50%	165 54%	114 42%	46 35%	545 34%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB6. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I trust the school to store children's fingerprints safely

Page 48
Table: 24

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	502	501	508	500	501	500	501	500	500	500	498	500	13600
Base: All valid responses	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
Effective Base	491.8	482.9	493.3	487.2	474.0	496.0	493.2	373.0	388.3	344.7	449.2	481.3	440.0	13600.0
Strongly agree	10	21	4	5	161	258	97	235	24	15	545	82	444	3466
	18%	27%	25%	44%	35%	25%	33%	42%	16%	24%	43%	31%	26%	25%
Tend to agree	14	15	4	2	110	278	72	101	23	10	260	47	471	2916
	26%	19%	28%	21%	24%	27%	25%	18%	15%	16%	20%	18%	27%	21%
Neither agree nor disagree	7	13	2	1	38	75	44	43	27	5	57	35	192	1401
	12%	16%	11%	8%	8%	7%	15%	8%	18%	9%	4%	13%	11%	10%
Tend to disagree	11	11	2	1	65	175	36	47	33	7	138	26	230	2184
	21%	13%	14%	8%	14%	17%	12%	8%	22%	11%	11%	10%	13%	16%
Strongly disagree	10	18	3	1	74	214	21	96	40	22	240	63	366	3196
	19%	23%	18%	14%	16%	20%	7%	17%	27%	37%	19%	24%	21%	24%
(Don't know)	2	1	1	1	9	48	21	32	4	1	40	8	25	437
	4%	2%	4%	6%	2%	5%	7%	6%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%
Total	55	80	15	11	458	1047	290	554	150	59	1281	260	1728	13600
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	24	36	8	7	271	536	168	336	46	24	805	129	915	6382
	45%	45%	53%	65%	59%	51%	58%	61%	31%	41%	63%	50%	53%	47%
Disagree - B2B	22	29	5	2	139	389	57	143	73	29	379	88	596	5380
	39%	37%	32%	22%	30%	37%	20%	26%	49%	48%	30%	34%	34%	40%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB7. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: You said it would be better to control access to the school by using staff members at the school gate. Why do you say that?

Page 49
Table: 25

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Effective Base	3365.1	301.3	358.4	409.6	141.1	226.0	263.8	145.9	275.4	368.0	298.6	404.5	342.2	302.5	307.6
Unweighted Base	9439	333	390	413	414	232	294	242	282	399	340	422	345	348	360
Base: All who agree it would be better to control access to the school by using staff members at the school gate	9782	154	241	164	20	126	81	18	84	1409	1513	257	186	92	1145
It would be more likely to stop wrong people getting into the school	4228	49	101	131	13	56	15	10	26	661	467	155	84	37	520
It is more respectful of people's rights	43%	32%	42%	80%	65%	44%	18%	57%	31%	47%	31%	60%	45%	40%	45%
Don't like the idea of giving fingerprints generally	1753	27	43	11	4	14	19	3	15	356	189	77	27	15	230
Don't like the idea of children giving their fingerprints	18%	18%	18%	7%	20%	11%	23%	19%	18%	25%	13%	30%	15%	16%	20%
It doesn't collect as much information about people	1491	43	20	33	2	19	12	3	12	210	344	32	21	11	163
I don't think the technology would work	15%	28%	8%	20%	11%	15%	15%	17%	15%	15%	23%	13%	11%	11%	14%
It would be cheaper	1318	23	26	23	5	22	7	3	9	179	185	56	32	9	219
Another reason (please specify)	13%	15%	11%	14%	24%	17%	9%	16%	11%	13%	12%	22%	17%	10%	19%
(Don't know)	1138	31	28	7	1	8	9	2	13	168	303	9	15	7	67
Total	12%	20%	11%	4%	6%	7%	11%	10%	15%	12%	20%	4%	8%	8%	6%
	740	13	13	6	1	6	8	2	9	89	119	8	10	4	82
	8%	8%	5%	3%	7%	5%	10%	11%	10%	6%	8%	3%	6%	4%	7%
	487	4	7	5	1	8	1	1	2	85	68	10	4	4	84
	5%	3%	3%	3%	4%	6%	1%	8%	3%	6%	5%	4%	2%	4%	7%
	2616	50	96	0	0	30	34	2	26	295	521	2	31	40	169
	27%	32%	40%	0	1%	23%	41%	11%	31%	21%	34%	1%	17%	43%	15%
	271	1	3	3	0	2	3	0	4	52	46	2	4	1	37
	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
	14042	241	337	218	28	165	107	28	116	2094	2242	351	229	127	1571
	144%	157%	140%	134%	138%	131%	132%	152%	139%	149%	148%	136%	123%	138%	137%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB7. Scenarios: 2. Biometric logical access control systems: You said it would be better to control access to the school by using staff members at the school gate. Why do you say that?

Page 50
Table: 25

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Effective Base	334.7	317.0	339.8	340.0	348.1	296.2	368.1	289.0	296.2	257.4	367.5	346.3	296.6	9439.0
Unweighted Base	345	331	345	354	367	299	373	382	375	345	411	358	340	9439
Base: All who agree it would be better to control access to the school by using staff members at the school gate	37	53	10	7	336	628	216	413	113	40	1054	187	1195	9439
It would be more likely to stop wrong people getting into the school	20	30	5	5	72	329	143	275	41	21	487	22	454	4409
It is more respectful of people's rights	55%	56%	46%	62%	22%	52%	66%	67%	37%	51%	46%	12%	38%	47%
Don't like the idea of giving fingerprints generally	4	4	2	1	33	91	19	43	20	11	191	45	257	1594
Don't like the idea of children giving their fingerprints	12%	8%	16%	14%	10%	14%	9%	11%	18%	28%	18%	24%	21%	17%
It doesn't collect as much information about people	4	6	1	1	43	100	18	25	27	5	99	25	211	1330
I don't think the technology would work	11%	11%	13%	12%	13%	16%	8%	6%	24%	13%	9%	13%	18%	14%
It would be cheaper	3	4	1	1	45	55	13	32	22	3	125	21	194	1234
Another reason (please specify)	7%	8%	9%	10%	13%	9%	6%	8%	19%	8%	12%	11%	16%	13%
(Don't know)	2	5	1	0	33	74	11	24	19	6	96	17	182	885
Total	5%	9%	10%	3%	10%	12%	5%	6%	16%	16%	9%	9%	15%	9%
	2	4	1	0	18	66	7	25	9	3	79	8	148	617
	6%	8%	10%	5%	5%	11%	3%	6%	8%	8%	7%	4%	12%	7%
	1	2	0	0	5	31	4	33	10	1	38	3	75	374
	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	2%	8%	8%	3%	4%	2%	6%	4%
	4	9	2	1	184	104	31	88	29	4	328	67	469	2156
	12%	18%	23%	12%	55%	17%	14%	21%	25%	10%	31%	36%	39%	23%
	2	2	0	0	4	17	3	14	2	0	30	12	26	246
	6%	4%	4%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	6%	2%	3%
	44	67	14	9	437	867	249	559	178	55	1471	220	2016	12845
	118%	128%	133%	124%	130%	138%	115%	135%	157%	137%	140%	118%	169%	136%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB8. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent, if at all, do you think that energy companies should or should not use data from smart meters to get a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy?

Page 51
Table: 26

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
Definitely should	1973	25	41	46	6	39	27	7	16	166	185	39	48	19	300
	14%	11%	13%	23%	22%	14%	18%	19%	11%	9%	9%	13%	18%	15%	18%
Probably should	3865	56	93	55	7	80	45	12	30	556	488	64	100	43	650
	28%	24%	30%	28%	25%	28%	30%	31%	20%	31%	23%	21%	37%	33%	40%
No preference either way	1964	33	57	20	5	60	32	6	46	290	226	28	37	22	96
	14%	14%	19%	10%	19%	21%	21%	15%	31%	16%	10%	9%	14%	17%	6%
Probably should not	2290	55	59	20	4	59	23	5	30	263	466	67	34	18	207
	17%	23%	19%	10%	14%	21%	15%	13%	20%	15%	22%	22%	13%	14%	13%
Definitely should not	3127	63	52	51	5	42	23	7	22	450	752	92	43	25	313
	23%	27%	17%	26%	17%	15%	15%	19%	15%	25%	35%	31%	16%	19%	19%
(Don't know)	387	3	3	5	1	4	2	2	4	54	37	10	6	3	73
	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Total	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	5838	81	134	102	14	120	72	19	46	723	673	103	148	62	950
	43%	34%	44%	51%	47%	42%	48%	50%	31%	41%	31%	34%	55%	48%	58%
Should not - B2B	5417	118	111	71	9	101	46	12	52	713	1217	159	78	42	520
	40%	50%	36%	36%	31%	36%	30%	32%	35%	40%	57%	53%	29%	33%	32%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB8. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent, if at all, do you think that energy companies should or should not use data from smart meters to get a more detailed picture of how their customers use energy?

Page 52
Table: 26

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
Definitely should	6 10%	16 20%	2 14%	6 50%	71 16%	125 12%	45 16%	215 38%	23 16%	10 18%	176 14%	50 19%	261 15%	2322 17%
Probably should	17 31%	29 36%	4 29%	4 28%	135 30%	264 25%	72 25%	126 23%	43 29%	16 29%	286 22%	70 27%	520 31%	3786 28%
No preference either way	12 23%	8 10%	2 14%	1 6%	58 13%	237 23%	51 18%	60 11%	25 17%	8 15%	157 12%	48 19%	338 20%	2087 15%
Probably should not	9 17%	11 14%	3 20%	1 5%	81 18%	203 19%	54 19%	47 8%	30 21%	9 16%	253 20%	36 14%	243 14%	2252 17%
Definitely should not	8 14%	11 14%	3 19%	1 8%	109 24%	173 17%	39 13%	86 15%	24 16%	11 20%	356 28%	48 19%	318 19%	2738 20%
(Don't know)	3 5%	5 6%	1 5%	0 2%	2 0	44 4%	28 10%	25 4%	1 1%	1 2%	50 4%	6 2%	16 1%	417 3%
Total	54 100%	81 100%	15 100%	13 100%	455 100%	1045 100%	288 100%	560 100%	146 100%	56 100%	1278 100%	259 100%	1695 100%	13602 100%
Should - T2B	22 41%	45 56%	6 42%	10 79%	206 45%	389 37%	116 40%	342 61%	66 45%	27 47%	462 36%	120 46%	781 46%	6108 45%
Should not - B2B	17 32%	22 28%	6 39%	2 13%	190 42%	376 36%	92 32%	133 24%	54 37%	20 36%	609 48%	85 33%	560 33%	4990 37%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB9. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: Do you think electricity companies doing this...

Page 53
Table: 27

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	5307	89	124	81	9	141	78	18	82	590	823	79	125	62	652
	39%	38%	41%	41%	32%	50%	51%	47%	56%	33%	38%	26%	46%	48%	40%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	5222	110	120	62	9	91	47	12	43	813	1067	163	61	40	428
	38%	47%	39%	31%	30%	32%	31%	31%	29%	46%	50%	54%	23%	31%	26%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	1882	24	39	40	3	36	19	5	14	191	134	34	63	22	364
	14%	10%	13%	20%	9%	13%	12%	14%	9%	11%	6%	11%	23%	17%	22%
(Don't know)	1195	13	23	15	8	16	9	3	9	186	130	23	21	5	196
	9%	6%	7%	8%	28%	6%	6%	8%	6%	10%	6%	8%	8%	4%	12%
Total	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB9. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: Do you think electricity companies doing this...

Page 54
Table: 27

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	27	38	5	4	221	442	95	181	82	21	352	144	743	5596
	50%	47%	32%	31%	49%	42%	33%	32%	56%	38%	28%	56%	44%	41%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	16	20	6	1	165	375	95	106	40	21	654	73	585	4679
	30%	25%	38%	11%	36%	36%	33%	19%	27%	37%	51%	28%	35%	34%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	6	16	3	6	53	156	53	207	18	11	129	21	217	2188
	11%	20%	20%	49%	12%	15%	18%	37%	12%	19%	10%	8%	13%	16%
(Don't know)	4	6	1	1	16	72	45	67	7	3	143	21	150	1139
	8%	8%	10%	8%	4%	7%	16%	12%	5%	6%	11%	8%	9%	8%
Total	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The power company should only use the information they collect to bill households, not for any other purpose

Page 55
Table: 28

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
Strongly agree	8102 60%	140 59%	160 52%	143 72%	14 47%	163 57%	81 53%	20 53%	68 46%	939 53%	1388 64%	173 58%	159 59%	74 57%	962 59%
Tend to agree	2844 21%	52 22%	82 27%	20 10%	5 19%	73 26%	34 23%	10 26%	36 24%	480 27%	412 19%	55 18%	42 15%	29 22%	290 18%
Neither agree nor disagree	737 5%	9 4%	18 6%	15 7%	6 20%	23 8%	15 10%	2 5%	10 7%	94 5%	70 3%	40 13%	29 11%	9 7%	94 6%
Tend to disagree	948 7%	23 10%	22 7%	8 4%	1 4%	16 6%	14 9%	3 7%	27 18%	89 5%	188 9%	7 2%	13 5%	12 9%	115 7%
Strongly disagree	732 5%	9 4%	17 5%	10 5%	1 4%	7 3%	6 4%	1 3%	5 4%	121 7%	90 4%	18 6%	21 8%	4 3%	128 8%
(Don't know)	243 2%	2 1%	7 2%	3 1%	2 6%	3 1%	1 1%	2 6%	1 1%	56 3%	6 0	8 3%	6 2%	1 1%	51 3%
Total	13606 100%	236 100%	305 100%	198 100%	29 100%	284 100%	152 100%	38 100%	147 100%	1779 100%	2154 100%	300 100%	270 100%	129 100%	1640 100%
Agree - T2B	10946 80%	193 82%	242 79%	163 82%	19 66%	235 83%	115 76%	30 79%	103 70%	1419 80%	1800 84%	228 76%	201 75%	103 80%	1253 76%
Disagree - B2B	1680 12%	32 14%	39 13%	18 9%	2 8%	23 8%	20 13%	4 10%	32 22%	210 12%	278 13%	24 8%	34 12%	16 12%	242 15%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The power company should only use the information they collect to bill households, not for any other purpose

Page 56
Table: 28

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
Strongly agree	30	50	6	6	299	514	151	367	92	36	1029	142	895	7923
	56%	62%	41%	50%	66%	49%	52%	66%	63%	65%	81%	55%	53%	58%
Tend to agree	13	17	4	2	88	318	75	86	28	10	111	40	434	2850
	24%	21%	27%	18%	19%	30%	26%	15%	19%	17%	9%	15%	26%	21%
Neither agree nor disagree	5	6	1	1	21	76	20	18	10	2	12	25	106	944
	10%	7%	10%	8%	5%	7%	7%	3%	7%	4%	1%	10%	6%	7%
Tend to disagree	2	2	2	2	25	66	17	33	8	3	56	26	170	907
	5%	3%	11%	14%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%	10%	10%	7%
Strongly disagree	2	4	1	1	21	40	9	36	7	3	65	22	84	680
	4%	5%	6%	9%	5%	4%	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%	9%	5%	5%
(Don't know)	1	2	1	0	2	32	16	21	1	2	5	5	6	298
	3%	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	6%	4%	1%	3%	0	2%	0	2%
Total	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	43	67	10	9	387	831	226	453	119	46	1140	182	1329	10773
	80%	83%	68%	69%	85%	80%	78%	81%	82%	82%	89%	70%	78%	79%
Disagree - B2B	4	6	3	3	45	106	26	68	15	6	121	48	254	1587
	8%	8%	17%	23%	10%	10%	9%	12%	10%	10%	9%	19%	15%	12%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The power company should be able to use data collected to market new products to consumers based on the energy they use

Page 57
Table: 29

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
Strongly agree	3188	52	84	72	8	52	33	8	18	360	494	83	44	26	503
	23%	22%	27%	36%	26%	18%	22%	21%	12%	20%	23%	28%	16%	20%	31%
Tend to agree	4428	84	126	49	6	104	49	13	51	660	700	70	40	50	505
	33%	35%	41%	25%	21%	36%	32%	34%	34%	37%	33%	23%	15%	39%	31%
Neither agree nor disagree	1377	24	28	40	10	75	29	5	22	153	126	60	56	14	99
	10%	10%	9%	20%	33%	26%	19%	13%	15%	9%	6%	20%	21%	11%	6%
Tend to disagree	1688	41	25	9	2	28	20	5	25	185	386	33	28	18	172
	12%	17%	8%	4%	8%	10%	13%	12%	17%	10%	18%	11%	10%	14%	10%
Strongly disagree	2434	30	34	19	2	16	18	4	26	357	385	46	96	18	277
	18%	13%	11%	10%	7%	6%	12%	11%	18%	20%	18%	15%	36%	14%	17%
(Don't know)	491	6	7	10	1	10	4	3	5	64	63	9	6	3	85
	4%	2%	2%	5%	4%	4%	2%	9%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%
Total	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	7616	136	210	120	14	155	82	21	69	1020	1194	153	83	76	1008
	56%	57%	69%	61%	47%	55%	54%	55%	47%	57%	55%	51%	31%	59%	61%
Disagree - B2B	4122	71	60	27	5	44	37	9	51	542	771	79	124	36	449
	30%	30%	20%	14%	16%	16%	25%	24%	35%	30%	36%	26%	46%	28%	27%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The power company should be able to use data collected to market new products to consumers based on the energy they use

Page 58
Table: 29

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
Strongly agree	13	22	3	6	116	245	70	198	42	11	323	70	232	3359
Tend to agree	25%	27%	17%	50%	25%	23%	24%	35%	29%	21%	25%	27%	14%	25%
	16	20	6	2	183	398	86	136	44	17	327	58	630	4155
Neither agree nor disagree	30%	25%	37%	19%	40%	38%	30%	23%	30%	31%	26%	22%	37%	31%
	10	12	2	1	37	149	33	44	34	5	86	40	185	1886
Tend to disagree	18%	14%	13%	9%	8%	14%	12%	8%	23%	9%	7%	15%	11%	14%
	6	11	2	2	57	110	31	35	11	5	121	22	298	1517
Strongly disagree	11%	14%	14%	12%	12%	11%	11%	6%	8%	8%	10%	9%	18%	11%
	4	13	2	1	58	95	48	92	12	15	383	63	320	2115
(Don't know)	8%	16%	15%	5%	13%	9%	17%	16%	8%	26%	30%	24%	19%	16%
	5	3	1	1	4	49	20	55	3	3	38	6	30	570
Total	9%	4%	5%	4%	1%	5%	7%	10%	2%	5%	3%	2%	2%	4%
	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Agree - T2B	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	30	42	8	9	299	642	156	334	86	29	649	128	862	7514
Disagree - B2B	55%	52%	53%	70%	66%	61%	54%	60%	59%	52%	51%	49%	51%	55%
	10	24	4	2	115	205	79	127	24	19	504	85	618	3632
	18%	30%	28%	17%	25%	20%	28%	23%	16%	34%	39%	33%	36%	27%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: Energy companies should give information to public authorities to detect fraud or criminal behaviour

Page 59
Table: 30

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
Strongly agree	4962	45	91	120	8	104	36	16	48	313	429	88	135	54	1002
	36%	19%	30%	61%	28%	37%	24%	43%	33%	18%	20%	29%	50%	42%	61%
Tend to agree	3243	59	80	31	6	97	35	12	42	437	508	57	47	34	305
	24%	25%	26%	16%	22%	34%	23%	31%	29%	25%	24%	19%	18%	27%	19%
Neither agree nor disagree	1025	19	30	10	8	33	18	2	15	157	161	68	33	10	53
	8%	8%	10%	5%	29%	12%	12%	5%	10%	9%	7%	23%	12%	8%	3%
Tend to disagree	1522	51	39	9	2	32	21	3	17	194	461	31	11	14	86
	11%	22%	13%	5%	6%	11%	14%	7%	12%	11%	21%	10%	4%	11%	5%
Strongly disagree	2456	58	60	24	3	13	40	3	20	623	521	47	35	16	147
	18%	24%	20%	12%	11%	4%	27%	7%	14%	35%	24%	16%	13%	12%	9%
(Don't know)	399	5	4	3	1	6	1	3	4	56	73	10	8	0	47
	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	0	7%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	0	3%
Total	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	8204	104	172	151	15	201	71	28	90	750	937	146	182	88	1306
	60%	44%	56%	76%	50%	71%	47%	74%	62%	42%	44%	48%	68%	68%	80%
Disagree - B2B	3978	109	99	33	5	45	62	5	37	816	982	78	46	30	233
	29%	46%	32%	17%	17%	16%	41%	14%	25%	46%	46%	26%	17%	23%	14%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: Energy companies should give information to public authorities to detect fraud or criminal behaviour

Page 60
Table: 30

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
Strongly agree	28	41	4	9	169	296	147	374	48	23	613	83	638	5432
	52%	51%	24%	70%	37%	28%	51%	67%	33%	41%	48%	32%	38%	40%
Tend to agree	13	20	4	3	114	321	72	79	37	12	254	47	516	3161
	25%	24%	24%	19%	25%	31%	25%	14%	25%	21%	20%	18%	30%	23%
Neither agree nor disagree	5	8	1	0	38	89	18	35	19	4	44	25	120	1239
	8%	10%	9%	3%	8%	9%	6%	6%	13%	8%	3%	10%	7%	9%
Tend to disagree	3	3	2	0	48	136	11	14	17	4	112	21	181	1267
	6%	4%	15%	2%	11%	13%	4%	3%	11%	7%	9%	8%	11%	9%
Strongly disagree	3	6	3	1	80	161	25	31	24	11	227	72	202	2094
	6%	8%	23%	4%	18%	15%	9%	6%	17%	19%	18%	28%	12%	15%
(Don't know)	1	2	1	0	6	42	16	27	1	2	29	11	39	409
	2%	3%	5%	2%	1%	4%	5%	5%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%
Total	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	42	61	7	12	283	617	219	453	85	35	866	130	1154	8593
	77%	75%	49%	90%	62%	59%	76%	81%	58%	63%	68%	50%	68%	63%
Disagree - B2B	7	9	6	1	128	297	36	45	41	14	338	93	383	3361
	12%	12%	37%	6%	28%	28%	12%	8%	28%	26%	26%	36%	23%	25%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I would support any device that helps ensure our country does not run out of energy

Page 61
Table: 31

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
Strongly agree	5217 38%	64 27%	107 35%	116 59%	11 37%	100 35%	60 40%	20 54%	39 27%	510 29%	581 27%	95 32%	183 68%	58 45%	614 37%
Tend to agree	3679 27%	66 28%	104 34%	35 18%	6 20%	90 32%	42 28%	8 22%	38 26%	623 35%	601 28%	65 22%	36 13%	41 31%	373 23%
Neither agree nor disagree	1348 10%	19 8%	37 12%	19 10%	8 27%	55 19%	22 15%	3 7%	19 13%	231 13%	211 10%	72 24%	28 10%	9 7%	71 4%
Tend to disagree	1365 10%	44 19%	25 8%	8 4%	2 7%	23 8%	11 7%	2 4%	25 17%	159 9%	334 15%	26 9%	8 3%	8 6%	194 12%
Strongly disagree	1445 11%	33 14%	22 7%	14 7%	1 3%	9 3%	12 8%	2 5%	21 14%	174 10%	330 15%	29 10%	10 4%	12 9%	302 18%
(Don't know)	553 4%	10 4%	10 3%	4 2%	2 7%	7 3%	4 3%	3 7%	5 3%	82 5%	97 5%	13 4%	5 2%	2 2%	86 5%
Total	13606 100%	236 100%	305 100%	198 100%	29 100%	284 100%	152 100%	38 100%	147 100%	1779 100%	2154 100%	300 100%	270 100%	129 100%	1640 100%
Agree - T2B	8895 65%	130 55%	211 69%	151 76%	17 57%	190 67%	102 67%	29 77%	77 52%	1133 64%	1182 55%	160 53%	218 81%	98 76%	987 60%
Disagree - B2B	2810 21%	77 33%	47 15%	23 11%	3 10%	32 11%	23 15%	3 9%	46 31%	333 19%	663 31%	55 18%	18 7%	19 15%	496 30%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I would support any device that helps ensure our country does not run out of energy

Page 62
Table: 31

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
Strongly agree	22	35	4	9	172	372	140	338	58	24	696	108	681	5736
	40%	44%	24%	67%	38%	36%	49%	60%	40%	43%	54%	42%	40%	42%
Tend to agree	15	19	5	2	124	342	78	97	42	13	268	69	478	3473
	27%	24%	31%	13%	27%	33%	27%	17%	29%	23%	21%	27%	28%	26%
Neither agree nor disagree	7	13	2	1	61	74	35	44	26	7	54	29	191	1589
	13%	16%	16%	4%	13%	7%	12%	8%	18%	12%	4%	11%	11%	12%
Tend to disagree	5	5	2	1	40	150	8	23	9	4	86	20	145	1136
	9%	6%	13%	11%	9%	14%	3%	4%	6%	7%	7%	8%	9%	8%
Strongly disagree	3	5	1	0	44	83	9	26	8	7	126	22	141	1147
	6%	7%	10%	3%	10%	8%	3%	5%	6%	12%	10%	8%	8%	8%
(Don't know)	2	4	1	0	14	25	19	33	2	1	49	12	60	521
	4%	5%	6%	2%	3%	2%	7%	6%	2%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Total	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	37	54	8	10	296	714	218	435	100	37	964	177	1159	9209
	67%	67%	56%	80%	65%	68%	76%	78%	68%	67%	75%	68%	68%	68%
Disagree - B2B	8	10	3	2	84	233	17	49	18	11	211	41	286	2283
	15%	12%	23%	14%	18%	22%	6%	9%	12%	19%	17%	16%	17%	17%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: A smart meter would help me reduce how much energy I use

Page 63
Table: 32

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
Strongly agree	4137 30%	61 26%	96 32%	70 36%	10 34%	70 24%	53 35%	10 27%	40 27%	485 27%	572 27%	105 35%	121 45%	46 36%	511 31%
Tend to agree	3747 28%	58 25%	103 34%	44 22%	7 24%	89 31%	36 24%	9 23%	44 30%	634 36%	492 23%	66 22%	51 19%	44 34%	458 28%
Neither agree nor disagree	1227 9%	13 6%	32 11%	23 12%	8 26%	38 13%	19 12%	3 7%	14 9%	127 7%	134 6%	58 19%	29 11%	10 8%	167 10%
Tend to disagree	1624 12%	41 17%	28 9%	21 11%	1 5%	46 16%	19 12%	6 16%	20 14%	174 10%	437 20%	29 10%	18 7%	10 7%	182 11%
Strongly disagree	2210 16%	57 24%	34 11%	33 17%	1 4%	30 11%	22 14%	6 15%	23 16%	296 17%	452 21%	30 10%	43 16%	13 10%	221 13%
(Don't know)	661 5%	4 2%	12 4%	5 3%	2 7%	12 4%	4 3%	4 12%	6 4%	63 4%	67 3%	12 4%	9 3%	7 5%	102 6%
Total	13606 100%	236 100%	305 100%	198 100%	29 100%	284 100%	152 100%	38 100%	147 100%	1779 100%	2154 100%	300 100%	270 100%	129 100%	1640 100%
Agree - T2B	7884 58%	120 51%	200 65%	115 58%	17 58%	158 56%	89 59%	19 50%	84 57%	1119 63%	1064 49%	171 57%	171 64%	90 70%	969 59%
Disagree - B2B	3834 28%	99 42%	62 20%	54 28%	3 9%	76 27%	40 27%	12 31%	43 30%	470 26%	888 41%	59 20%	60 22%	23 18%	403 25%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: A smart meter would help me reduce how much energy I use

Page 64
Table: 32

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
Strongly agree	10	27	4	6	149	287	95	261	46	24	403	113	461	4411
	18%	33%	24%	45%	33%	27%	33%	47%	32%	43%	32%	44%	27%	32%
Tend to agree	15	18	6	2	129	367	64	107	45	12	255	52	542	3534
	28%	22%	38%	18%	28%	35%	22%	19%	31%	21%	20%	20%	32%	26%
Neither agree nor disagree	7	9	2	1	37	89	48	26	26	4	101	15	188	1438
	12%	12%	11%	10%	8%	8%	17%	5%	17%	7%	8%	6%	11%	11%
Tend to disagree	10	9	2	1	42	144	14	45	12	4	95	18	197	1492
	19%	11%	11%	6%	9%	14%	5%	8%	8%	7%	7%	7%	12%	11%
Strongly disagree	9	14	2	1	76	126	26	72	15	11	311	55	233	2052
	17%	18%	10%	8%	17%	12%	9%	13%	10%	19%	24%	21%	14%	15%
(Don't know)	3	4	1	2	22	34	40	50	2	2	114	5	74	675
	6%	4%	7%	13%	5%	3%	14%	9%	1%	3%	9%	2%	4%	5%
Total	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	25	44	9	8	278	653	160	368	92	36	657	165	1003	7945
	46%	55%	62%	63%	61%	63%	55%	66%	63%	64%	51%	64%	59%	58%
Disagree - B2B	19	23	3	2	118	269	41	116	28	15	405	73	430	3544
	35%	29%	21%	14%	26%	26%	14%	21%	19%	26%	32%	28%	25%	26%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I would not trust the power company to keep this data secure

Page 65
Table: 33

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	502	500	501	520	512	542	502	501	500	500	500	500	499	502
Base: All valid responses	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
Effective Base	4790.8	453.9	460.4	497.2	116.9	497.1	480.1	306.3	488.3	457.0	446.0	479.0	496.3	430.1	419.9
Strongly agree	4461	82	86	94	5	64	29	9	39	648	942	113	81	33	287
	33%	35%	28%	47%	17%	22%	19%	25%	27%	36%	44%	38%	30%	25%	17%
Tend to agree	3399	60	86	32	4	79	38	8	36	480	589	55	45	37	440
	25%	26%	28%	16%	15%	28%	25%	21%	25%	27%	27%	18%	17%	29%	27%
Neither agree nor disagree	1488	24	38	22	11	67	31	5	18	169	103	67	50	18	154
	11%	10%	13%	11%	38%	24%	20%	13%	12%	9%	5%	22%	19%	14%	9%
Tend to disagree	2160	42	56	19	4	51	30	8	32	214	312	28	32	27	365
	16%	18%	18%	10%	12%	18%	20%	21%	22%	12%	15%	9%	12%	21%	22%
Strongly disagree	1462	22	26	23	4	15	22	4	16	185	183	29	50	11	236
	11%	9%	9%	12%	13%	5%	14%	12%	11%	10%	9%	10%	19%	8%	14%
(Don't know)	636	6	13	8	1	8	3	3	5	83	24	9	12	4	158
	5%	2%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	9%	4%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%	10%
Total	13606	236	305	198	29	284	152	38	147	1779	2154	300	270	129	1640
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	7860	143	172	125	9	143	67	17	75	1129	1531	168	125	70	727
	58%	60%	56%	63%	33%	50%	44%	45%	51%	63%	71%	56%	47%	54%	44%
Disagree - B2B	3622	64	82	42	7	66	52	13	48	399	496	56	82	38	601
	27%	27%	27%	21%	26%	23%	34%	33%	33%	22%	23%	19%	30%	29%	37%

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB10. Scenarios: 3. SMART grids / meters: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I would not trust the power company to keep this data secure

Page 66
Table: 33

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	503	502	508	503	500	500	500	499	500	500	500	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
Effective Base	492.5	482.0	493.0	73.8	476.7	495.6	493.3	386.6	408.9	496.5	454.6	483.1	446.5	13602.0
Strongly agree	14	23	3	3	110	208	64	147	41	18	642	77	600	3959
	25%	29%	21%	22%	24%	20%	22%	26%	28%	32%	50%	30%	35%	29%
Tend to agree	14	15	5	2	110	255	75	118	30	14	260	56	458	3161
	25%	18%	31%	14%	24%	24%	26%	21%	20%	24%	20%	22%	27%	23%
Neither agree nor disagree	10	16	2	2	65	155	54	81	32	7	49	45	193	2054
	18%	20%	14%	17%	14%	15%	19%	14%	22%	13%	4%	17%	11%	15%
Tend to disagree	10	11	3	3	85	244	40	78	25	7	127	27	282	2156
	19%	13%	17%	21%	19%	23%	14%	14%	17%	12%	10%	10%	17%	16%
Strongly disagree	4	13	2	2	63	109	27	88	14	9	147	45	113	1617
	8%	16%	11%	18%	14%	10%	10%	16%	10%	16%	11%	17%	7%	12%
(Don't know)	3	3	1	1	22	76	28	48	4	2	53	9	49	655
	5%	4%	6%	7%	5%	7%	10%	9%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%
Total	54	81	15	13	455	1045	288	560	146	56	1278	259	1695	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	27	38	8	5	220	462	139	265	71	31	902	133	1058	7120
	50%	47%	52%	36%	48%	44%	48%	47%	49%	56%	71%	51%	62%	52%
Disagree - B2B	15	24	4	5	148	353	67	166	39	16	274	72	395	3773
	27%	29%	28%	39%	33%	34%	23%	30%	27%	28%	21%	28%	23%	28%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB11. Scenarios:4. Internet Monitoring: To what extent, if at all, do think that security agencies should or should not be watching this kind of internet use?

Page 67
Table: 34

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13599	501	502	500	520	514	544	497	500	500	500	500	501	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13708	227	301	198	29	289	154	36	145	1795	2199	307	271	135	1649
Effective Base	4720.7	452.3	463.5	495.8	106.3	499.1	476.4	364.3	486.5	458.6	440.2	477.9	497.2	428.9	421.8
Definitely should	4686 34%	51 22%	116 39%	74 38%	8 26%	64 22%	57 37%	10 27%	31 21%	721 40%	522 24%	80 26%	98 36%	33 24%	730 44%
Probably should	4643 34%	81 36%	108 36%	65 33%	6 22%	115 40%	42 28%	12 35%	54 37%	658 37%	757 34%	85 28%	99 36%	56 42%	518 31%
No preference either way	1277 9%	23 10%	38 13%	10 5%	7 24%	52 18%	23 15%	5 15%	28 19%	145 8%	195 9%	32 10%	28 10%	14 11%	72 4%
Probably should not	1587 12%	41 18%	26 9%	16 8%	3 12%	33 12%	18 12%	4 12%	20 14%	111 6%	383 17%	48 16%	24 9%	16 12%	154 9%
Definitely should not	1031 8%	24 11%	11 4%	25 12%	4 13%	19 7%	9 6%	3 8%	7 5%	106 6%	255 12%	48 16%	16 6%	13 10%	80 5%
(Don't know)	483 4%	7 3%	2 1%	8 4%	1 3%	6 2%	4 3%	1 3%	4 3%	55 3%	87 4%	14 4%	6 2%	3 2%	94 6%
Total	13708 100%	227 100%	301 100%	198 100%	29 100%	289 100%	154 100%	36 100%	145 100%	1795 100%	2199 100%	307 100%	271 100%	135 100%	1649 100%
Should - T2B	9329 68%	131 58%	224 74%	139 70%	14 48%	179 62%	100 65%	22 62%	85 58%	1378 77%	1279 58%	166 54%	197 72%	89 66%	1249 76%
Should not - B2B	2619 19%	65 29%	37 12%	41 21%	7 24%	53 18%	27 18%	7 20%	28 19%	218 12%	638 29%	96 31%	40 15%	29 21%	235 14%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB11. Scenarios:4. Internet Monitoring: To what extent, if at all, do think that security agencies should or should not be watching this kind of internet use?

Page 68
Table: 34

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	500	501	500	501	502	500	500	499	500	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	11	457	1040	289	555	145	58	1265	260	1743	13599
Effective Base	492.2	460.4	491.5	487.1	473.6	496.4	491.8	371.9	402.8	401.5	450.9	483.2	439.6	13599.0
Definitely should	21	21	5	5	184	271	128	269	36	16	473	52	610	4511
	38%	26%	32%	46%	40%	26%	44%	48%	25%	28%	37%	20%	35%	33%
Probably should	20	28	5	3	153	397	89	118	46	17	396	96	621	4503
	37%	34%	34%	26%	33%	38%	31%	21%	32%	29%	31%	37%	36%	33%
No preference either way	6	9	1	1	52	110	18	42	21	7	109	46	184	1458
	11%	11%	7%	7%	11%	11%	6%	8%	15%	12%	9%	18%	11%	11%
Probably should not	3	11	2	1	33	174	17	47	21	8	143	34	195	1521
	5%	14%	13%	8%	7%	17%	6%	8%	15%	14%	11%	13%	11%	11%
Definitely should not	3	9	1	1	30	55	15	46	18	9	116	24	84	1142
	5%	11%	8%	9%	7%	5%	5%	8%	13%	15%	9%	9%	5%	8%
(Don't know)	2	3	1	0	5	34	23	34	2	1	29	9	48	464
	3%	3%	5%	4%	1%	3%	8%	6%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%
Total	54	81	15	11	457	1040	289	555	145	58	1265	260	1743	13599
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	41	49	10	8	337	667	217	386	82	33	869	147	1232	9014
	76%	60%	66%	72%	74%	64%	75%	70%	57%	57%	69%	57%	71%	66%
Should not - B2B	5	21	3	2	63	229	32	93	40	17	259	58	279	2663
	10%	25%	21%	18%	14%	22%	11%	17%	27%	29%	20%	22%	16%	20%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB12. Scenarios: 4. Internet Monitoring: Do you think security agencies doing this...

Page 69
Table: 35

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13599	501	502	500	520	514	544	497	500	500	500	500	501	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13708	227	301	198	29	289	154	36	145	1795	2199	307	271	135	1649
Effective Base	4720.7	452.3	463.5	495.8	106.3	499.1	476.4	364.3	486.5	458.6	440.2	477.9	497.2	428.9	421.8
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	7275 53%	107 47%	164 55%	88 44%	8 27%	149 51%	97 63%	18 49%	68 47%	1003 56%	1070 49%	99 32%	117 43%	78 58%	1008 61%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	3023 22%	72 32%	59 20%	51 26%	9 31%	58 20%	28 18%	8 23%	32 22%	359 20%	555 25%	117 38%	61 22%	32 23%	219 13%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	2173 16%	29 13%	55 18%	38 19%	6 20%	60 21%	21 13%	5 15%	32 22%	289 16%	369 17%	63 20%	71 26%	20 15%	244 15%
(Don't know)	1237 9%	18 8%	22 7%	21 11%	7 22%	22 8%	9 6%	5 14%	13 9%	144 8%	205 9%	28 9%	23 8%	6 4%	179 11%
Total	13708 100%	227 100%	301 100%	198 100%	29 100%	289 100%	154 100%	36 100%	145 100%	1795 100%	2199 100%	307 100%	271 100%	135 100%	1649 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB12. Scenarios: 4. Internet Monitoring: Do you think security agencies doing this...

Page 70
Table: 35

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	500	501	500	501	502	500	500	499	500	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	11	457	1040	289	555	145	58	1265	260	1743	13599
Effective Base	492.2	460.4	491.5	487.1	473.6	496.4	491.8	371.9	402.8	401.5	450.9	483.2	439.6	13599.0
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	29 54%	41 50%	7 49%	7 68%	273 60%	521 50%	164 57%	324 58%	59 41%	22 38%	623 49%	124 48%	1006 58%	6969 51%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	8 15%	17 21%	4 25%	1 13%	86 19%	211 20%	49 17%	75 14%	36 25%	18 31%	392 31%	57 22%	408 23%	3032 22%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	12 23%	16 20%	2 15%	1 11%	74 16%	234 22%	35 12%	92 17%	40 28%	12 21%	89 7%	52 20%	211 12%	2365 17%
	5 8%	8 9%	2 10%	1 9%	23 5%	74 7%	41 14%	63 11%	10 7%	6 10%	161 13%	26 10%	118 7%	1233 9%
Total	54 100%	81 100%	15 100%	11 100%	457 100%	1040 100%	289 100%	555 100%	145 100%	58 100%	1265 100%	260 100%	1743 100%	13599 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB13. Scenarios: 4. Internet Monitoring: Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about how the parents should react in these circumstances?

Page 71
Table: 36

	Country													
Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	501	502	500	520	514	544	497	500	500	500	500	501	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13708	227	301	198	29	289	154	36	145	1795	2199	307	271	135
Effective Base	4720.7	452.3	463.5	495.8	106.3	499.1	476.4	364.3	486.5	458.6	440.2	477.9	497.2	428.9
The parents should worry	5683	79	103	118	13	87	51	19	40	761	757	156	120	46
	41%	35%	34%	60%	44%	30%	33%	53%	28%	42%	34%	51%	44%	34%
The parents might be right to worry depending on their family background, because some students are more likely to be watched than others	3432	49	103	28	5	92	53	7	54	553	526	69	47	50
	25%	22%	34%	14%	18%	32%	34%	19%	38%	31%	24%	23%	17%	37%
The parents should not worry, because security agencies can tell the difference between innocent users and those they need to watch	2917	55	70	40	3	84	42	8	37	341	410	62	72	31
	21%	24%	23%	20%	11%	29%	27%	22%	26%	19%	19%	20%	27%	23%
None of the above	1286	40	20	8	5	18	7	1	7	104	430	11	25	6
	9%	18%	7%	4%	16%	6%	4%	3%	5%	6%	20%	4%	9%	4%
(Don't know)	391	5	4	4	3	7	1	2	6	36	75	9	7	2
	3%	2%	1%	2%	10%	2%	1%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%
Total	13708	227	301	198	29	289	154	36	145	1795	2199	307	271	135
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB13. Scenarios: 4. Internet Monitoring: Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about how the parents should react in these circumstances?

Page 72
Table: 36

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	500	501	500	501	502	500	500	499	500	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	11	457	1040	289	555	145	58	1265	260	1743	13599
Effective Base	492.2	460.4	491.5	487.1	473.6	496.4	491.8	371.9	402.8	401.5	450.9	483.2	439.6	13599.0
The parents should worry	29	28	6	4	96	329	200	285	50	30	882	68	539	5788
	53%	35%	37%	33%	21%	32%	69%	51%	34%	52%	70%	26%	31%	43%
The parents might be right to worry depending on their family background, because some students are more likely to be watched than others	12	18	4	3	189	145	25	107	51	15	101	94	671	3450
	23%	22%	25%	31%	41%	14%	9%	19%	35%	26%	8%	36%	39%	25%
The parents should not worry, because security agencies can tell the difference between innocent users and those they need to watch	9	24	4	3	121	378	33	116	30	8	145	71	373	2978
None of the above	17%	29%	25%	29%	26%	36%	11%	21%	21%	14%	11%	28%	21%	22%
	2	8	1	0	45	147	19	25	12	4	125	15	136	971
	4%	10%	8%	2%	10%	14%	7%	5%	8%	7%	10%	6%	8%	7%
(Don't know)	1	3	1	1	6	41	12	22	3	1	13	11	24	412
	2%	4%	5%	5%	1%	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	7%	4%	1%	3%
Total	54	81	15	11	457	1040	289	555	145	58	1265	260	1743	13599
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB14. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: To what extent, if at all, do you think that local authorities should be able to use ANPR in this way?

Page 73
Table: 37

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	501	520	512	542	495	501	500	500	500	500	500	501
Base: All valid responses	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
Effective Base	4793.9	453.5	464.3	497.2	117.5	496.2	478.9	361.0	489.0	462.5	445.0	478.6	495.2	435.5	434.0
Definitely should	5240 39%	56 24%	113 37%	127 65%	13 44%	125 44%	55 37%	14 40%	43 29%	444 25%	421 19%	130 42%	122 45%	56 43%	849 54%
Probably should	3897 29%	66 28%	107 35%	46 23%	8 27%	80 28%	38 25%	11 30%	49 33%	650 37%	461 21%	86 28%	91 34%	43 33%	482 30%
No preference either way	869 6%	14 6%	19 6%	5 2%	4 15%	23 8%	21 14%	2 6%	20 14%	197 11%	110 5%	17 5%	8 3%	7 5%	51 3%
Probably should not	1482 11%	40 17%	38 12%	7 3%	2 6%	33 11%	15 10%	3 9%	23 16%	231 13%	371 17%	44 14%	25 9%	11 9%	73 5%
Definitely should not	1924 14%	56 24%	23 8%	12 6%	2 7%	23 8%	19 13%	4 12%	11 7%	208 12%	790 36%	28 9%	18 7%	13 10%	100 6%
(Don't know)	166 1%	2 1%	4 1%	0	0	3 1%	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	24 1%	26 1%	2 1%	4 2%	1 1%	25 2%
Total	13578 100%	234 100%	304 100%	197 100%	29 100%	287 100%	150 100%	36 100%	148 100%	1754 100%	2179 100%	307 100%	269 100%	131 100%	1581 100%
Should - T2B	9137 67%	122 52%	220 72%	173 88%	21 71%	205 72%	93 62%	25 70%	92 62%	1094 62%	882 40%	216 71%	213 79%	99 76%	1331 84%
Should not - B2B	3406 25%	96 41%	61 20%	19 9%	4 13%	55 19%	35 23%	8 22%	34 23%	439 25%	1161 53%	72 23%	44 16%	24 18%	174 11%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB14. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: To what extent, if at all, do you think that local authorities should be able to use ANPR in this way?

Page 74
Table: 37

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	504	501	506	503	502	501	501	498	500	500	499	500	13596
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
Effective Base	492.5	482.6	492.5	72.6	477.2	497.1	494.4	407.2	374.6	496.4	447.1	480.5	435.6	13596.0
Definitely should	25	45	5	9	152	405	157	386	79	18	547	85	760	5868
	47%	55%	32%	73%	34%	39%	55%	71%	53%	31%	43%	33%	44%	43%
Probably should	17	22	5	2	131	322	80	102	37	16	360	71	514	3847
	31%	28%	35%	16%	29%	31%	28%	19%	25%	28%	28%	28%	30%	28%
No preference either way	4	5	1	0	45	58	18	7	10	5	64	27	126	895
	8%	7%	7%	2%	10%	5%	6%	1%	6%	9%	5%	10%	7%	7%
Probably should not	4	3	2	0	55	146	12	13	11	8	116	32	162	1328
	7%	4%	13%	3%	12%	14%	4%	2%	7%	14%	9%	13%	9%	10%
Definitely should not	3	5	2	1	66	106	11	26	13	9	172	40	161	1499
	6%	6%	10%	5%	15%	10%	4%	5%	9%	17%	13%	15%	9%	11%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	3	12	10	10	0	0	17	4	14	159
	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0	0	1%	1%	1%	1%
Total	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	42	67	10	11	284	727	237	488	116	33	907	156	1273	9715
	78%	83%	67%	89%	63%	69%	82%	90%	77%	59%	71%	60%	73%	71%
Should not - B2B	7	8	4	1	122	252	23	39	24	17	288	72	324	2827
	13%	10%	24%	8%	27%	24%	8%	7%	16%	31%	23%	28%	19%	21%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB15. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: Do you think local authorities doing this...

Page 75
Table: 38

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	501	520	512	542	495	501	500	500	500	500	500	501
Base: All valid responses	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
Effective Base	4793.9	453.5	464.3	497.2	117.5	496.2	478.9	361.0	489.0	462.5	445.0	478.6	495.2	435.5	434.0
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	6998 52%	104 45%	173 57%	128 65%	11 37%	155 54%	70 47%	21 59%	77 52%	880 50%	770 35%	124 40%	141 52%	74 56%	1052 67%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	2946 22%	69 30%	52 17%	19 9%	5 18%	45 16%	40 27%	6 16%	28 19%	402 23%	826 38%	78 26%	37 14%	23 18%	179 11%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	2849 21%	44 19%	64 21%	44 22%	8 29%	74 26%	34 22%	7 21%	36 25%	320 18%	477 22%	94 31%	78 29%	30 23%	263 17%
	786 6%	16 7%	16 5%	6 3%	5 16%	13 5%	6 4%	2 5%	6 4%	152 9%	106 5%	10 3%	13 5%	4 3%	87 5%
Total	13578 100%	234 100%	304 100%	197 100%	29 100%	287 100%	150 100%	36 100%	148 100%	1754 100%	2179 100%	307 100%	269 100%	131 100%	1581 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB15. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: Do you think local authorities doing this...

Page 76
Table: 38

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	504	501	506	503	502	501	501	498	500	500	499	500	13596
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
Effective Base	492.5	482.6	492.5	72.6	477.2	497.1	494.4	407.2	374.6	496.4	447.1	480.5	435.6	13596.0
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	31 58%	54 67%	8 51%	10 82%	234 52%	524 50%	198 69%	397 73%	89 59%	28 51%	633 50%	122 47%	891 51%	7465 55%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	6 10%	6 8%	3 19%	1 6%	96 21%	200 19%	28 10%	38 7%	20 14%	14 25%	303 24%	56 22%	368 21%	2447 18%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	14 26%	18 22%	4 24%	1 8%	103 23%	273 26%	44 15%	70 13%	38 26%	11 19%	242 19%	63 24%	394 23%	2977 22%
	3 6%	2 3%	1 6%	0 4%	21 5%	51 5%	18 6%	39 7%	2 1%	3 5%	99 8%	19 7%	85 5%	707 5%
Total	54 100%	81 100%	15 100%	13 100%	453 100%	1049 100%	288 100%	544 100%	149 100%	56 100%	1276 100%	258 100%	1737 100%	13596 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Designing the streets in a way that makes it difficult to drive too fast (e.g. by installing speed bumps)

Page 77
Table: 39

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	501	520	512	542	495	501	500	500	500	500	500	501
Base: All valid responses	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
Effective Base	4793.9	453.5	464.3	497.2	117.5	496.2	478.9	361.0	489.0	462.5	445.0	478.6	495.2	435.5	434.0
Better alternative	8183	136	197	110	13	188	106	21	93	1203	1459	188	131	86	736
	60%	58%	65%	56%	44%	66%	70%	58%	63%	69%	67%	61%	49%	66%	47%
Worse alternative	3138	66	60	50	5	62	25	8	30	226	508	68	94	26	523
	23%	28%	20%	26%	18%	22%	16%	24%	20%	13%	23%	22%	35%	20%	33%
Would make no difference	1850	28	39	31	9	31	18	5	21	272	177	41	38	16	242
	14%	12%	13%	16%	32%	11%	12%	13%	14%	16%	8%	14%	14%	12%	15%
(Don't know)	408	4	9	6	2	6	2	2	4	53	34	9	6	2	80
	3%	2%	3%	3%	6%	2%	1%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%
Total	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Designing the streets in a way that makes it difficult to drive too fast (e.g. by installing speed bumps)

Page 78
Table: 39

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	504	501	506	503	502	501	501	498	500	500	499	500	13596
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
Effective Base	492.5	482.6	492.5	72.6	477.2	497.1	494.4	407.2	374.6	496.4	447.1	480.5	435.6	13596.0
Better alternative	36	48	9	6	293	677	156	395	93	35	678	186	907	8248
	66%	60%	58%	44%	65%	65%	54%	73%	62%	63%	53%	72%	52%	61%
Worse alternative	11	18	4	5	103	153	68	77	33	11	401	41	462	3072
	20%	22%	23%	41%	23%	15%	24%	14%	22%	20%	31%	16%	27%	23%
Would make no difference	6	13	2	2	51	173	52	51	23	8	136	25	338	1892
	11%	16%	15%	14%	11%	17%	18%	9%	15%	15%	11%	10%	19%	14%
(Don't know)	2	2	1	0	6	45	12	21	2	1	61	6	31	384
	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	4%	4%	4%	1%	2%	5%	2%	2%	3%
Total	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Increasing police presence

Page 79
Table: 40

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	501	520	512	542	495	501	500	500	500	500	500	501
Base: All valid responses	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
Effective Base	4793.9	453.5	464.3	497.2	117.5	496.2	478.9	361.0	489.0	462.5	445.0	478.6	495.2	435.5	434.0
Better alternative	8375	169	177	90	14	174	94	20	111	1010	1496	208	154	79	1079
	62%	72%	58%	46%	48%	61%	62%	57%	75%	58%	69%	68%	57%	60%	68%
Worse alternative	2102	28	54	61	2	46	25	8	13	262	298	30	51	22	193
	15%	12%	18%	31%	7%	16%	17%	21%	9%	15%	14%	10%	19%	17%	12%
Would make no difference	2715	32	65	37	10	62	29	6	19	408	355	62	55	29	260
	20%	14%	21%	19%	35%	22%	19%	18%	13%	23%	16%	20%	20%	22%	16%
(Don't know)	386	4	8	8	3	5	2	2	5	73	29	7	9	1	49
	3%	2%	3%	4%	10%	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%
Total	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Increasing police presence

Page 80
Table: 40

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	504	501	506	503	502	501	501	498	500	500	499	500	13596
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
Effective Base	492.5	482.6	492.5	72.6	477.2	497.1	494.4	407.2	374.6	496.4	447.1	480.5	435.6	13596.0
Better alternative	21	35	9	7	244	548	213	410	84	36	762	168	964	8247
	39%	44%	61%	57%	54%	52%	74%	75%	56%	63%	60%	65%	55%	61%
Worse alternative	16	18	2	3	102	176	23	40	30	11	254	49	283	2267
	29%	22%	16%	26%	23%	17%	8%	7%	20%	20%	20%	19%	16%	17%
Would make no difference	15	26	3	2	101	300	43	68	32	8	208	31	448	2697
	28%	32%	18%	16%	22%	29%	15%	12%	21%	15%	16%	12%	26%	20%
(Don't know)	3	2	1	0	6	24	10	26	3	1	52	10	43	385
	5%	3%	5%	0	1%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%
Total	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Installing speed control cameras, which identify speeding vehicles but do not track them

Page 81
Table: 41

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	501	520	512	542	495	501	500	500	500	500	500	501
Base: All valid responses	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
Effective Base	4793.9	453.5	464.3	497.2	117.5	496.2	478.9	361.0	489.0	462.5	445.0	478.6	495.2	435.5	434.0
Better alternative	6698	125	144	59	14	124	53	21	84	780	1310	170	131	64	870
	49%	53%	47%	30%	47%	43%	35%	58%	57%	44%	60%	56%	49%	49%	55%
Worse alternative	2713	49	61	68	2	71	36	7	22	294	384	41	56	31	356
	20%	21%	20%	35%	8%	25%	24%	19%	15%	17%	18%	13%	21%	24%	23%
Would make no difference	3516	53	84	61	12	79	53	6	30	562	428	83	62	33	266
	26%	23%	28%	31%	40%	27%	35%	17%	20%	32%	20%	27%	23%	25%	17%
(Don't know)	651	7	15	8	2	12	8	2	11	118	56	12	20	3	88
	5%	3%	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%	6%	8%	7%	3%	4%	7%	2%	6%
Total	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Installing speed control cameras, which identify speeding vehicles but do not track them

Page 82
Table: 41

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	504	501	506	503	502	501	501	498	500	500	499	500	13596
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
Effective Base	492.5	482.6	492.5	72.6	477.2	497.1	494.4	407.2	374.6	496.4	447.1	480.5	435.6	13596.0
Better alternative	23	50	8	2	192	466	110	260	62	19	692	98	764	6331
	42%	62%	53%	19%	42%	44%	38%	48%	41%	33%	54%	38%	44%	47%
Worse alternative	13	11	3	9	126	173	85	136	41	20	240	93	284	3234
	25%	13%	17%	70%	28%	17%	29%	25%	28%	36%	19%	36%	16%	24%
Would make no difference	14	16	4	1	120	347	74	115	41	16	250	53	654	3366
	26%	20%	25%	9%	27%	33%	26%	21%	27%	28%	20%	20%	38%	25%
(Don't know)	4	4	1	0	14	62	19	33	5	1	94	15	36	665
	8%	5%	6%	2%	3%	6%	6%	6%	4%	2%	7%	6%	2%	5%
Total	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Making it easier for commuters to use alternatives, for example by improving public transport or introducing incentives for cyclists

Page 83
Table: 42

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	501	520	512	542	495	501	500	500	500	500	500	501
Base: All valid responses	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
Effective Base	4793.9	453.5	464.3	497.2	117.5	496.2	478.9	361.0	489.0	462.5	445.0	478.6	495.2	435.5	434.0
Better alternative	9354	151	196	128	15	153	86	18	80	1220	1451	220	182	85	1281
	69%	64%	64%	65%	53%	53%	57%	49%	54%	70%	67%	72%	68%	65%	81%
Would make no difference	2664	44	72	36	9	74	46	8	37	364	465	58	49	33	187
	20%	19%	24%	18%	30%	26%	30%	22%	25%	21%	21%	19%	18%	25%	12%
Worse alternative	1030	32	28	25	2	46	12	7	19	88	178	18	22	10	67
	8%	14%	9%	13%	8%	16%	8%	19%	13%	5%	8%	6%	8%	7%	4%
(Don't know)	530	8	9	8	3	14	7	3	11	81	85	10	16	3	46
	4%	3%	3%	4%	9%	5%	4%	9%	8%	5%	4%	3%	6%	2%	3%
Total	13578	234	304	197	29	287	150	36	148	1754	2179	307	269	131	1581
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB16. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: For each of the following alternatives, can you tell me whether you think it would be a better or worse way to tackle the problem than installing ANPR cameras, or whether it would be about the same...: Making it easier for commuters to use alternatives, for example by improving public transport or introducing incentives for cyclists

Page 84
Table: 42

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	504	501	506	503	502	501	501	498	500	500	499	500	13596
Base: All valid responses	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
Effective Base	492.5	482.6	492.5	72.6	477.2	497.1	494.4	407.2	374.6	496.4	447.1	480.5	435.6	13596.0
Better alternative	26	55	8	8	280	711	190	436	110	38	983	179	1064	8812
	47%	68%	56%	61%	62%	68%	66%	80%	74%	68%	77%	69%	61%	65%
Would make no difference	15	17	3	2	96	215	67	56	25	10	140	47	490	2826
	28%	20%	19%	18%	21%	21%	23%	10%	17%	18%	11%	18%	28%	21%
Worse alternative	8	7	3	1	66	68	14	28	10	6	103	22	140	1337
	14%	9%	19%	11%	14%	6%	5%	6%	11%	8%	8%	9%	8%	10%
(Don't know)	6	2	1	1	11	54	16	25	4	2	51	10	44	621
	11%	3%	6%	10%	2%	5%	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	5%
Total	54	81	15	13	453	1049	288	544	149	56	1276	258	1737	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said {@} would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that? : Designing the streets in a way that makes it difficult to drive too fast (e.g. by installing speed bumps)

Page 85
Table: 43

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Effective Base	1129.0	106.5	111.4	116.1	92.0	113.4	112.6	103.5	115.4	110.2	109.2	108.1	116.3	103.2	106.2
Unweighted Base	3144	118	120	117	114	117	125	108	118	118	120	114	117	118	121
Base: All respondents	3205	56	74	46	6	66	35	8	35	415	528	72	63	31	362
who said 'Designing the streets in a way that makes it difficult to drive too fast (e.g. by installing speed bumps)' would be a better alternative to ANPR															
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	2098	35	48	41	4	45	24	4	22	232	336	54	35	21	265
	65%	63%	66%	88%	70%	69%	68%	55%	62%	56%	64%	75%	56%	69%	73%
It only affects people who are speeding	557	9	6	4	2	12	3	3	4	63	114	10	12	4	69
	17%	17%	8%	8%	29%	18%	8%	35%	11%	15%	22%	14%	19%	13%	19%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	468	8	8	2	1	11	3	1	5	110	63	8	12	5	32
	15%	14%	11%	3%	14%	17%	8%	19%	14%	26%	12%	12%	19%	17%	9%
It collects less information about people	378	15	7	1	0	8	1	1	2	58	82	3	7	4	33
	12%	27%	9%	3%	6%	12%	3%	18%	6%	14%	16%	4%	11%	12%	9%
It would be cheaper	363	5	5	1	0	15	3	2	3	72	39	6	12	3	36
	11%	9%	7%	3%	5%	23%	9%	23%	9%	17%	7%	8%	18%	9%	10%
Another reason	426	5	18	1	0	4	6	1	4	69	70	2	3	6	16
	13%	9%	24%	2%	1%	6%	16%	8%	13%	17%	13%	2%	5%	21%	4%
(Don't know)	84	3	2	2	0	0	1	1	2	7	18	2	5	0	6
	3%	5%	2%	4%	2%	0	1%	11%	5%	2%	3%	2%	7%	1%	2%
Total	4373	81	93	52	7	95	40	13	42	611	722	84	86	44	457
	136%	145%	127%	112%	128%	145%	113%	169%	120%	147%	137%	117%	136%	142%	126%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said {@} would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that? : Designing the streets in a way that makes it difficult to drive too fast (e.g. by installing speed bumps)

Page 86
Table: 43

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Effective Base	110.6	114.7	110.4	102.3	110.4	115.1	111.1	98.7	100.5	117.1	107.1	112.0	96.7	3144.0
Unweighted Base	113	119	112	106	116	116	113	121	117	118	119	116	113	3144
Base: All respondents	12	19	3	2	105	241	65	137	34	13	312	59	406	3144
who said 'Designing the streets in a way that makes it difficult to drive too fast (e.g. by installing speed bumps)' would be a better alternative to ANPR														
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	7	11	2	1	62	171	39	88	13	8	202	34	293	2000
	59%	57%	53%	64%	59%	71%	60%	64%	40%	63%	65%	57%	72%	64%
It only affects people who are speeding	3	6	1	0	12	24	11	33	10	3	33	5	101	580
	28%	29%	28%	18%	12%	10%	16%	24%	30%	23%	11%	9%	25%	18%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	0	1	0	0	6	31	10	11	7	2	69	8	53	420
	4%	8%	12%	17%	6%	13%	15%	8%	19%	17%	22%	13%	13%	13%
It collects less information about people	1	1	1	0	22	24	5	7	3	2	24	5	59	328
	5%	7%	18%	2%	21%	10%	8%	5%	9%	17%	8%	9%	14%	10%
It would be cheaper	2	2	0	0	11	27	4	11	2	2	13	7	79	332
	14%	13%	12%	7%	10%	11%	6%	8%	6%	15%	4%	12%	19%	11%
Another reason	1	2	0	0	21	28	3	28	5	1	66	6	61	354
	5%	9%	7%	14%	20%	12%	4%	20%	14%	5%	21%	11%	15%	11%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	0	11	3	3	2	0	7	5	6	113
	1%	5%	5%	2%	0	4%	4%	2%	6%	2%	2%	9%	1%	4%
Total	14	24	4	3	134	315	74	181	42	19	413	71	651	4127
	117%	128%	134%	125%	128%	131%	113%	133%	125%	142%	132%	119%	160%	131%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said {@} would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that? ': Increasing police presence

Page 87
Table: 44

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Effective Base	1109.1	108.4	111.8	113.2	95.0	113.8	113.5	104.5	116.0	110.5	105.8	108.9	114.1	97.6	102.9
Unweighted Base	3141	119	119	114	113	118	126	109	119	119	123	114	116	117	120
Base: All respondents who said 'Increasing police presence' would be a better alternative to ANPR	3212	54	73	44	5	65	35	7	35	412	546	71	63	30	394
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	1905 59%	34 63%	41 56%	38 86%	4 75%	38 59%	20 56%	3 40%	20 58%	212 52%	316 58%	48 68%	38 60%	19 64%	271 69%
It only affects people who are speeding	553 17%	8 15%	10 14%	3 6%	1 28%	7 11%	4 12%	2 31%	3 8%	81 20%	123 23%	15 22%	9 14%	4 15%	75 19%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	356 11%	5 9%	7 9%	2 4%	1 18%	6 9%	2 7%	1 17%	4 10%	71 17%	59 11%	12 17%	9 14%	5 17%	22 6%
It collects less information about people	207 6%	7 12%	1 1%	1 3%	0 5%	1 2%	2 6%	1 11%	2 7%	20 5%	74 14%	4 5%	1 2%	2 8%	6 2%
It would be cheaper	80 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 4%	0 7%	1 1%	1 2%	1 7%	0 1%	7 2%	12 2%	7 10%	2 3%	1 5%	15 4%
Another reason	771 24%	13 25%	28 38%	5 12%	0 3%	16 24%	11 33%	1 13%	10 27%	83 20%	132 24%	3 4%	7 12%	8 26%	65 17%
(Don't know)	116 4%	1 2%	0 0	0 1%	0 4%	2 4%	1 3%	1 11%	2 7%	20 5%	9 2%	0 1%	4 7%	1 3%	15 4%
Total	3988 124%	68 127%	87 119%	52 117%	7 140%	72 110%	41 119%	10 129%	41 117%	495 120%	725 133%	89 126%	70 112%	42 137%	468 119%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said {@} would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that? ': Increasing police presence

Page 88
Table: 44

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Effective Base	108.9	116.1	110.2	10.3	109.2	114.9	111.7	95.5	78.8	115.2	104.6	110.5	102.9	3141.0
Unweighted Base	112	120	112	109	116	116	113	121	115	116	116	115	114	3141
Base: All respondents	12	19	3	3	104	242	64	138	35	13	293	61	390	3141
who said 'Increasing police presence' would be a better alternative to ANPR														
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	6 48%	7 36%	2 64%	2 67%	40 38%	140 58%	36 57%	79 57%	15 43%	9 71%	184 63%	31 51%	253 65%	1806 57%
It only affects people who are speeding	4 29%	4 22%	0 13%	0 15%	13 12%	27 11%	12 19%	27 19%	15 43%	2 17%	35 12%	5 8%	62 16%	550 18%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	1 9%	1 6%	0 11%	0 11%	11 11%	21 9%	5 8%	16 12%	2 6%	2 14%	47 16%	4 6%	39 10%	347 11%
It collects less information about people	0 2%	1 7%	0 2%	0 3%	8 8%	15 6%	2 3%	2 2%	2 6%	1 7%	35 12%	1 2%	17 4%	162 5%
It would be cheaper	0 2%	1 6%	0 3%	0 2%	1 1%	5 2%	2 3%	11 8%	1 2%	1 5%	8 3%	0 0%	2 1%	98 3%
Another reason	2 18%	5 26%	1 19%	1 18%	45 44%	66 27%	8 13%	29 21%	11 32%	1 11%	83 29%	21 35%	113 29%	718 23%
(Don't know)	0 2%	2 9%	0 4%	0 1%	1 1%	14 6%	3 5%	4 3%	0 1%	0 1%	9 3%	3 5%	22 6%	110 4%
Total	14 111%	21 112%	4 116%	4 116%	118 114%	287 118%	69 107%	168 121%	47 134%	16 126%	400 137%	65 106%	509 131%	3791 121%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said {@} would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that? : Installing speed control cameras, which identify speeding vehicles but do not track them

Page 89
Table: 45

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Effective Base	1142.0	105.0	109.1	113.1	98.7	114.5	112.6	46.9	115.4	105.9	110.2	109.1	116.0	99.9	104.3
Unweighted Base	3115	117	119	114	113	118	125	108	118	116	121	114	117	119	121
Base: All respondents who said 'Installing speed control cameras, which identify speeding vehicles but do not track them' would be a better alternative to ANPR	3124	55	73	45	5	66	34	9	35	408	499	69	63	32	380
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	1048 34%	16 29%	18 25%	29 64%	3 64%	17 26%	7 21%	3 38%	3 8%	138 34%	168 34%	43 62%	16 26%	9 29%	164 43%
It only affects people who are speeding	924 30%	17 31%	14 19%	8 17%	1 28%	16 25%	6 17%	3 36%	10 28%	139 34%	155 31%	16 24%	16 25%	8 25%	145 38%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	587 19%	14 26%	15 21%	9 19%	1 19%	13 20%	8 23%	1 13%	9 26%	63 15%	84 17%	12 18%	13 21%	5 16%	55 14%
It collects less information about people	538 17%	17 32%	13 18%	6 14%	1 12%	11 16%	7 20%	2 23%	10 28%	29 7%	157 31%	4 5%	10 16%	8 24%	31 8%
It would be cheaper	147 5%	3 6%	5 7%	1 3%	0 3%	2 2%	1 2%	1 9%	1 2%	17 4%	30 6%	3 4%	4 6%	1 2%	7 2%
Another reason	556 18%	8 15%	16 23%	5 12%	0 0%	12 18%	11 31%	1 7%	7 22%	68 17%	78 16%	1 1%	9 15%	9 29%	55 14%
(Don't know)	233 7%	3 5%	7 10%	2 5%	0 1%	6 9%	4 11%	1 7%	5 15%	40 10%	16 3%	1 2%	4 7%	1 4%	16 4%
Total	4034 129%	79 142%	89 122%	60 134%	6 128%	77 116%	43 125%	11 133%	44 127%	495 121%	688 138%	80 115%	72 115%	41 130%	473 125%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said '{@}' would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that? : Installing speed control cameras, which identify speeding vehicles but do not track them

Page 90
Table: 45

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Effective Base	108.7	112.6	109.9	85.3	110.8	114.5	111.1	91.1	85.3	115.4	107.5	112.1	99.8	3115.0
Unweighted Base	112	118	112	89	116	116	113	119	117	116	117	116	114	3115
Base: All respondents who said 'Installing speed control cameras, which identify speeding vehicles but do not track them' would be a better alternative to ANPR	12	19	3	2	103	241	66	126	36	13	294	59	376	3115
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	4	4	2	1	20	76	28	74	7	6	54	9	129	1080
It only affects people who are speeding	32%	23%	46%	42%	19%	31%	43%	58%	20%	45%	18%	15%	34%	35%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	2	6	1	0	11	52	18	30	14	3	112	16	104	828
It collects less information about people	18%	33%	20%	15%	10%	21%	27%	24%	39%	23%	38%	27%	28%	27%
It would be cheaper	2	2	0	0	12	50	6	4	8	2	67	11	119	558
Another reason	15%	12%	14%	16%	11%	21%	9%	3%	21%	17%	23%	19%	32%	18%
(Don't know)	1	3	0	0	42	23	3	5	4	2	49	14	87	502
Total	11%	14%	7%	10%	41%	9%	4%	4%	12%	16%	17%	23%	23%	16%
	1	1	0	0	2	19	3	10	1	2	11	1	23	153
	6%	6%	7%	2%	2%	8%	5%	8%	3%	13%	4%	2%	6%	5%
	1	4	0	0	32	60	3	27	5	1	42	11	87	517
	12%	23%	11%	23%	31%	25%	5%	21%	15%	7%	14%	18%	23%	17%
	2	1	0	0	7	37	5	9	3	1	31	5	22	239
	16%	7%	7%	6%	7%	15%	8%	7%	10%	4%	10%	9%	6%	8%
	13	23	4	2	125	316	67	159	44	17	366	67	571	3877
	110%	118%	112%	114%	121%	131%	101%	126%	121%	127%	125%	114%	152%	124%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said {@} would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that?': Making it easier for commuters to use alternatives, for example by improving public transport or introducing incentives for cyclists

Page 91
Table: 46

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Effective Base	1106.5	108.2	110.4	115.1	95.8	111.6	105.1	104.2	114.9	110.8	107.6	110.6	116.1	112.6	105.6
Unweighted Base	3153	120	119	116	114	115	126	108	118	120	122	114	117	120	121
Base: All respondents	3220	56	68	46	5	63	35	8	35	426	548	68	62	31	389
who said 'Making it easier for commuters to use alternatives, for example by improving public transport or introducing incentives for cyclists' would be a better alternative to ANPR															
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	1092	16	28	28	4	23	10	2	6	118	143	36	24	9	168
	34%	29%	41%	62%	67%	36%	28%	29%	16%	28%	26%	53%	39%	29%	43%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	467	7	5	4	1	8	5	1	5	81	90	14	8	4	63
	15%	12%	8%	8%	16%	12%	14%	13%	15%	19%	16%	21%	13%	11%	16%
It would be cheaper	455	5	4	2	0	11	2	2	4	67	57	6	10	2	48
	14%	8%	6%	4%	9%	18%	7%	20%	12%	16%	10%	9%	15%	6%	12%
It collects less information about people	300	8	2	2	0	7	2	1	2	30	109	6	4	2	33
	9%	14%	3%	3%	6%	11%	5%	8%	6%	7%	20%	9%	6%	5%	8%
It only affects people who are speeding	249	7	2	6	1	4	1	2	2	29	32	10	6	2	54
	8%	12%	3%	13%	25%	7%	4%	21%	7%	7%	6%	15%	9%	7%	14%
Another reason	1421	30	35	11	0	21	16	1	14	162	235	5	21	19	143
	44%	53%	51%	25%	2%	33%	46%	17%	40%	38%	43%	7%	34%	59%	37%
(Don't know)	174	2	7	2	0	5	5	1	6	16	53	3	4	1	7
	5%	4%	10%	3%	2%	8%	14%	11%	17%	4%	10%	4%	6%	3%	2%
Total	4158	74	83	55	7	79	41	9	39	504	718	80	76	38	515
	129%	131%	122%	119%	128%	125%	116%	119%	114%	118%	131%	118%	122%	121%	133%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB17. Scenarios: 5. ANPR Cameras: You said {@} would be a better alternative to ANPR. Why do you say that?': Making it easier for commuters to use alternatives, for example by improving public transport or introducing incentives for cyclists

Page 92
Table: 46

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Effective Base	111.0	114.9	105.8	104.0	110.7	114.0	114.1	108.8	99.1	117.2	104.6	111.5	100.8	3153.0
Unweighted Base	114	121	108	108	117	115	115	122	117	118	118	116	114	3153
Base: All respondents who said 'Making it easier for commuters to use alternatives, for example by improving public transport or introducing incentives for cyclists' would be a better alternative to ANPR	12	20	3	2	106	240	66	125	34	13	292	61	405	3153
It would be more effective at reducing speeding	3	3	1	1	23	110	28	68	6	6	60	18	148	1110
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	27%	15%	32%	43%	22%	46%	43%	55%	18%	42%	21%	30%	37%	35%
It would be cheaper	1	1	1	0	5	21	7	16	3	2	61	6	47	409
It collects less information about people	11%	8%	18%	16%	5%	9%	11%	13%	8%	15%	21%	10%	12%	13%
It only affects people who are speeding	1	4	0	0	12	57	14	26	6	2	49	5	58	403
Another reason	11%	18%	8%	9%	11%	24%	22%	21%	17%	19%	17%	9%	14%	13%
(Don't know)	0	2	0	0	10	13	4	12	3	1	11	3	33	245
Total	4%	8%	14%	5%	10%	5%	6%	10%	10%	9%	4%	6%	8%	8%
	2	2	0	0	3	11	7	18	6	1	16	1	23	304
	13%	9%	8%	12%	3%	5%	11%	14%	17%	11%	6%	1%	6%	10%
	4	10	1	1	71	110	12	37	14	4	181	26	236	1205
	35%	50%	29%	39%	67%	46%	18%	29%	43%	29%	62%	44%	58%	38%
	2	3	0	0	4	9	4	6	2	1	18	8	10	222
	13%	13%	9%	2%	3%	4%	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%	13%	2%	7%
	14	24	4	3	128	332	78	182	40	17	396	68	554	3898
	114%	121%	117%	126%	120%	138%	118%	146%	120%	130%	136%	112%	137%	124%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB18. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about { @} in this way?

Page 93
Table: 47

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6786	251	250	249	260	255	271	249	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
Effective Base	2389.3	227.3	230.5	246.8	63.9	248.3	242.6	239.8	244.6	227.9	223.5	239.5	247.9	216.1	217.4
Definitely should	191	1	1	4	1	2	2	1	0	9	7	9	4	0	40
	3%	1%	1%	4%	8%	1%	3%	5%	0	1%	1%	6%	3%	1%	5%
Probably should	372	5	10	10	2	6	4	1	3	28	27	27	9	4	90
	5%	4%	7%	10%	14%	4%	5%	5%	5%	3%	2%	17%	7%	5%	11%
No preference either way	535	6	11	6	3	11	10	2	13	45	47	14	6	6	56
	8%	5%	7%	6%	17%	8%	13%	11%	17%	5%	4%	9%	5%	10%	7%
Probably should not	1388	15	19	18	3	32	14	5	18	187	225	29	26	16	145
	20%	13%	12%	18%	17%	22%	18%	28%	24%	20%	20%	18%	19%	25%	18%
Definitely should not	4346	89	111	58	7	89	48	9	39	664	783	74	88	39	486
	63%	76%	72%	59%	43%	63%	61%	48%	52%	71%	71%	47%	66%	59%	59%
(Don't know)	57	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	5	12	5	1	0	8
	1%	0	1%	2%	2%	1%	0	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0	1%
Total	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	564	6	11	14	3	8	6	2	4	38	34	36	13	4	130
	8%	5%	7%	15%	21%	6%	8%	10%	5%	4%	3%	23%	9%	6%	16%
Should not - B2B	5734	104	130	76	9	121	62	14	57	850	1008	103	114	55	630
	83%	90%	84%	78%	59%	86%	79%	76%	77%	91%	92%	65%	85%	84%	76%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB18. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about { @} in this way?

Page 94
Table: 47

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	249	251	252	250	248	249	250	248	249	249	252	250	6786
Base: All valid responses	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
Effective Base	245.5	216.7	58.6	38.3	235.7	246.1	245.4	211.3	221.1	172.5	230.0	243.9	222.1	6786.0
Definitely should	1	2	0	0	6	15	6	16	2	1	30	2	27	229
	2%	5%	2%	6%	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	2%	3%	3%
Probably should	1	3	1	1	12	19	13	20	5	2	32	6	33	439
	4%	7%	8%	9%	5%	4%	9%	8%	7%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%
No preference either way	3	3	0	0	17	82	16	26	5	2	70	7	67	570
	11%	6%	6%	6%	7%	16%	11%	9%	7%	7%	11%	5%	8%	8%
Probably should not	6	11	1	1	43	140	47	52	19	7	109	20	181	1361
	20%	26%	17%	12%	19%	27%	32%	19%	26%	23%	17%	15%	21%	20%
Definitely should not	17	23	6	4	150	257	63	152	40	18	380	97	558	4106
	62%	54%	65%	65%	65%	50%	43%	56%	56%	60%	61%	73%	64%	61%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	2	6	2	4	0	0	2	0	0	81
	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0	0	0	0	1%
Total	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	2	5	1	1	18	34	18	37	7	3	62	8	60	668
	7%	12%	10%	15%	8%	7%	12%	13%	10%	10%	10%	6%	7%	10%
Should not - B2B	23	34	7	5	193	397	110	204	58	25	489	117	739	5467
	82%	80%	82%	78%	84%	77%	75%	75%	82%	82%	78%	88%	85%	81%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB18. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about {@} in this way?

Page 95
Table: 48

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6804	251	250	250	260	255	272	258	250	249	250	250	249	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
Effective Base	2390.4	227.1	230.5	248.0	80.2	247.4	234.7	121.5	243.8	228.5	223.9	237.3	246.4	226.0	220.5
Definitely should	157	2	1	6	2	0	1	1	0	11	0	10	4	0	30
	2%	2%	1%	6%	17%	0	1%	3%	0	1%	0	6%	3%	0	4%
Probably should	450	8	11	9	3	5	5	1	3	28	48	18	14	4	102
	7%	7%	7%	9%	19%	4%	6%	6%	4%	3%	4%	11%	10%	6%	12%
No preference either way	523	9	16	11	2	16	5	3	9	48	54	20	13	4	43
	8%	8%	10%	11%	15%	11%	6%	14%	12%	5%	5%	13%	9%	7%	5%
Probably should not	1428	29	34	15	3	31	15	6	26	166	129	34	31	15	137
	21%	25%	22%	16%	23%	22%	19%	29%	35%	19%	12%	21%	23%	23%	17%
Definitely should not	4204	68	90	54	4	90	51	9	35	639	863	75	70	39	486
	61%	58%	59%	55%	25%	62%	66%	45%	48%	72%	78%	47%	52%	63%	59%
(Don't know)	81	1	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	9	2	3	0	23
	1%	0	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0	1%	1%	2%	0	3%
Total	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	607	10	12	15	5	6	6	2	3	39	48	28	18	4	131
	9%	8%	8%	15%	35%	4%	8%	10%	4%	4%	4%	18%	13%	7%	16%
Should not - B2B	5633	96	124	69	7	121	67	15	61	805	992	109	101	53	624
	82%	83%	81%	71%	48%	84%	85%	74%	82%	90%	90%	68%	75%	86%	76%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB18. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent, if at all, do you think that companies offering services on the internet should or should not be able to sell information about {@} in this way?

Page 96
Table: 48

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	250	248	254	253	247	250	249	254	250	250	252	250	6804
Base: All valid responses	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
Effective Base	244.8	239.5	243.0	244.7	241.0	244.5	247.5	204.2	219.9	248.0	238.4	244.3	216.0	6804.0
Definitely should	1	2	0	0	5	6	4	25	4	1	25	3	12	214
	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	9%	5%	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%
Probably should	1	2	1	1	9	18	14	20	5	3	34	8	75	511
	3%	6%	11%	11%	4%	4%	10%	8%	8%	11%	5%	6%	8%	8%
No preference either way	3	3	1	1	23	69	13	28	6	3	47	14	60	622
	11%	8%	9%	11%	10%	13%	9%	11%	8%	12%	8%	10%	7%	9%
Probably should not	8	9	2	1	54	171	36	53	18	6	138	29	232	1510
	28%	22%	25%	14%	23%	33%	24%	20%	25%	22%	22%	22%	26%	22%
Definitely should not	15	22	4	3	138	245	75	126	39	15	371	77	501	3844
	55%	56%	49%	58%	59%	47%	52%	48%	54%	52%	60%	59%	57%	56%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	2	9	4	11	0	0	2	1	6	103
	1%	4%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	0	0	0	1%	1%	2%
Total	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	1	4	1	1	15	25	18	45	9	4	59	11	87	725
	5%	10%	14%	15%	6%	5%	12%	17%	13%	14%	10%	8%	10%	11%
Should not - B2B	23	31	5	4	192	416	111	179	58	21	509	107	733	5354
	83%	78%	74%	72%	83%	80%	76%	68%	80%	74%	82%	81%	83%	79%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB19. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: YOU: Do you think internet companies doing this...

Page 97
Table: 49

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6786	251	250	249	260	255	271	249	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
Effective Base	2389.3	227.3	230.5	246.8	63.9	248.3	242.6	239.8	244.6	227.9	223.5	239.5	247.9	216.1	217.4
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	4965	96	119	66	7	105	52	13	47	755	874	107	71	50	525
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	72%	83%	77%	67%	43%	75%	66%	72%	62%	80%	79%	68%	53%	76%	64%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	1207	16	26	20	4	22	19	3	21	109	173	32	44	10	150
(Don't know)	18%	14%	17%	20%	23%	16%	24%	18%	28%	12%	16%	20%	33%	15%	18%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	363	2	5	7	1	8	3	1	4	36	24	6	10	4	76
(Don't know)	5%	2%	3%	7%	7%	6%	4%	4%	6%	4%	2%	4%	8%	6%	9%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	355	2	4	5	4	6	4	1	3	38	30	14	8	2	73
(Don't know)	5%	2%	3%	6%	27%	4%	6%	5%	4%	4%	3%	9%	6%	3%	9%
Total	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB19. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: YOU: Do you think internet companies doing this...

Page 98
Table: 49

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	249	251	252	250	248	249	250	248	249	249	252	250	6786
Base: All valid responses	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
Effective Base	245.5	216.7	58.6	38.3	235.7	246.1	245.4	211.3	221.1	172.5	230.0	243.9	222.1	6786.0
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	22	29	5	4	169	345	107	154	47	23	455	96	621	4755
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	78%	69%	60%	67%	73%	67%	73%	57%	66%	78%	73%	73%	72%	70%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	4	8	1	1	47	108	22	65	21	4	95	24	160	1285
	14%	19%	14%	14%	21%	21%	15%	24%	29%	14%	15%	18%	19%	19%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	1	3	2	1	6	45	8	30	3	1	26	3	48	386
	3%	7%	19%	14%	3%	9%	6%	11%	4%	3%	4%	3%	6%	6%
1	5%	2	1	0	8	20	10	22	1	2	47	9	37	360
	5%	5%	6%	6%	3%	4%	7%	8%	1%	6%	8%	7%	4%	5%
Total	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB19. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: Do you think internet companies doing this...

Page 99
Table: 50

	Country													
Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6804	251	250	250	260	255	272	258	250	249	250	250	249	250
Base: All valid responses	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62
Effective Base	2390.4	227.1	230.5	248.0	80.2	247.4	234.7	121.5	243.8	228.5	223.9	237.3	246.4	226.0
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	4866	91	106	59	7	102	54	14	49	733	850	107	80	45
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	71%	79%	69%	60%	46%	71%	70%	66%	82%	77%	67%	59%	72%	65%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	1272	17	29	21	5	30	19	3	15	91	186	38	41	11
(Don't know)	19%	15%	19%	22%	33%	21%	24%	17%	21%	10%	17%	24%	30%	18%
Total	342	3	9	9	1	6	1	1	5	17	14	8	9	5
	5%	3%	6%	10%	6%	4%	2%	7%	6%	2%	1%	5%	7%	9%
	366	4	9	8	2	7	4	1	5	51	53	8	5	1
	5%	4%	6%	8%	15%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%	2%	5%
	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB19. Scenarios: 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: Do you think internet companies doing this...

Page 100
Table: 50

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	250	248	254	253	247	250	249	254	250	250	252	250	6804
Base: All valid responses	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
Effective Base	244.8	239.5	243.0	244.7	241.0	244.5	247.5	204.2	219.9	248.0	238.4	244.3	216.0	6804.0
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	22	27	5	3	170	326	106	135	45	20	494	87	600	4679
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	79%	68%	68%	61%	73%	63%	73%	51%	62%	70%	80%	66%	68%	69%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	4	7	1	1	43	123	21	60	19	5	62	28	221	1319
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	14%	18%	16%	19%	19%	24%	15%	23%	26%	20%	10%	21%	25%	19%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	1	2	1	1	12	42	12	41	4	2	24	4	28	431
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	3%	6%	10%	14%	5%	8%	8%	16%	5%	8%	4%	3%	3%	6%
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	1	3	0	0	7	28	6	26	5	1	36	13	36	375
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms (Don't know)	4%	9%	6%	6%	3%	5%	4%	10%	6%	3%	6%	10%	4%	6%
Total	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I like receiving tailored adverts and offers based on my previous online behaviour

Page 101
Table: 51

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6786	251	250	249	260	255	271	249	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
Effective Base	2389.3	227.3	230.5	246.8	63.9	248.3	242.6	239.8	244.6	227.9	223.5	239.5	247.9	216.1	217.4
Strongly agree	477 7%	8 7%	8 5%	19 19%	2 15%	8 5%	6 7%	2 11%	5 7%	40 4%	55 5%	24 15%	13 9%	4 7%	49 6%
Tend to agree	964 14%	16 14%	15 10%	16 16%	2 11%	18 13%	6 8%	3 18%	9 11%	70 7%	175 16%	27 17%	7 5%	9 14%	132 16%
Neither agree nor disagree	581 8%	9 8%	11 7%	13 14%	4 23%	16 12%	7 9%	2 13%	9 12%	64 7%	55 5%	33 21%	15 11%	8 12%	51 6%
Tend to disagree	1325 19%	32 27%	31 20%	11 11%	3 16%	44 32%	15 19%	5 27%	16 22%	169 18%	294 27%	23 14%	12 9%	12 18%	135 16%
Strongly disagree	3459 50%	49 42%	86 56%	35 36%	5 32%	53 38%	42 54%	5 30%	33 44%	583 62%	521 47%	50 31%	86 64%	32 48%	448 54%
(Don't know)	84 1%	1 1%	3 2%	4 4%	0 2%	1 1%	2 3%	0 2%	3 4%	12 1%	0 0%	2 1%	2 1%	0 1%	9 1%
Total	6890 100%	116 100%	154 100%	98 100%	15 100%	140 100%	78 100%	18 100%	75 100%	938 100%	1100 100%	159 100%	134 100%	66 100%	824 100%
Agree - T2B	1440 21%	25 21%	23 15%	35 35%	4 27%	26 18%	12 15%	5 29%	14 19%	110 12%	230 21%	51 32%	19 14%	14 21%	181 22%
Disagree - B2B	4784 69%	81 70%	117 76%	46 47%	7 49%	98 70%	57 73%	10 57%	49 66%	752 80%	815 74%	73 46%	98 73%	43 66%	583 71%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I like receiving tailored adverts and offers based on my previous online behaviour

Page 102
Table: 51

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	249	251	252	250	248	249	250	248	249	249	252	250	6786
Base: All valid responses	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
Effective Base	245.5	216.7	58.6	38.3	235.7	246.1	245.4	211.3	221.1	172.5	230.0	243.9	222.1	6786.0
Strongly agree	3	6	1	1	8	43	12	63	6	3	58	9	22	632
	11%	14%	8%	10%	4%	8%	8%	23%	9%	9%	9%	7%	3%	9%
Tend to agree	3	5	1	1	24	80	27	46	11	4	131	12	114	905
	11%	12%	13%	11%	10%	15%	18%	17%	16%	15%	21%	9%	13%	13%
Neither agree nor disagree	4	6	1	1	21	52	19	28	18	2	42	9	79	763
	16%	15%	6%	8%	9%	10%	13%	10%	26%	8%	7%	7%	9%	11%
Tend to disagree	7	6	3	2	40	112	23	29	12	3	101	11	175	1239
	25%	14%	31%	30%	18%	22%	16%	11%	16%	9%	16%	8%	20%	18%
Strongly disagree	10	17	3	3	134	226	60	95	23	17	284	91	469	3110
	35%	41%	38%	39%	58%	44%	41%	35%	32%	58%	46%	69%	54%	46%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	3	6	6	10	1	0	7	1	8	137
	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Total	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	6	11	2	1	32	123	39	109	18	7	189	21	135	1537
	22%	27%	21%	21%	14%	24%	26%	40%	25%	24%	30%	16%	16%	23%
Disagree - B2B	17	23	6	5	174	338	83	124	34	20	386	102	644	4349
	61%	55%	69%	69%	76%	65%	56%	46%	48%	66%	62%	77%	74%	64%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: My consent should be required before information about my online behaviour is disclosed to other companies

Page 103
Table: 52

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6786	251	250	249	260	255	271	249	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
Effective Base	2389.3	227.3	230.5	246.8	63.9	248.3	242.6	239.8	244.6	227.9	223.5	239.5	247.9	216.1	217.4
Strongly agree	5533	101	123	74	9	101	57	14	63	664	947	123	109	53	704
	80%	87%	80%	76%	59%	72%	73%	80%	84%	71%	86%	77%	81%	81%	85%
Tend to agree	725	8	14	10	2	19	11	2	7	127	110	16	9	7	53
	11%	7%	9%	10%	11%	13%	14%	12%	10%	14%	10%	10%	7%	11%	6%
Neither agree nor disagree	135	1	0	2	3	6	4	0	1	24	0	14	5	2	11
	2%	1%	0	2%	17%	5%	5%	2%	2%	3%	0	9%	4%	2%	1%
Tend to disagree	162	0	5	3	0	8	1	0	2	40	14	2	1	2	8
	2%	0	3%	3%	2%	6%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%
Strongly disagree	305	6	8	8	1	5	5	1	1	75	29	2	8	2	48
	4%	5%	5%	9%	9%	3%	6%	4%	1%	8%	3%	1%	6%	3%	6%
(Don't know)	30	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	8	0	2	2	0	0
	0	0	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	0	0
Total	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	6258	109	138	84	11	120	68	16	70	791	1056	139	118	60	757
	91%	93%	89%	86%	70%	86%	86%	92%	94%	84%	96%	87%	88%	92%	92%
Disagree - B2B	467	7	13	11	2	12	6	1	2	115	43	4	9	4	56
	7%	6%	9%	11%	11%	9%	8%	5%	3%	12%	4%	2%	7%	6%	7%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: My consent should be required before information about my online behaviour is disclosed to other companies

Page 104
Table: 52

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	249	251	252	250	248	249	250	248	249	249	252	250	6786
Base: All valid responses	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
Effective Base	245.5	216.7	58.6	38.3	235.7	246.1	245.4	211.3	221.1	172.5	230.0	243.9	222.1	6786.0
Strongly agree	21 77%	35 83%	5 64%	5 80%	186 81%	389 75%	109 75%	207 76%	51 72%	24 81%	564 90%	116 87%	678 78%	5340 79%
Tend to agree	2 7%	4 10%	1 13%	1 10%	22 10%	57 11%	22 15%	35 13%	11 15%	2 6%	51 8%	7 6%	115 13%	718 11%
Neither agree nor disagree	0 1%	1 3%	1 6%	0 3%	5 2%	15 3%	3 2%	8 3%	6 9%	1 4%	2 0%	3 2%	15 2%	205 3%
Tend to disagree	1 5%	1 2%	1 6%	0 2%	7 3%	18 3%	5 4%	8 3%	1 2%	1 2%	2 0%	1 1%	29 3%	169 2%
Strongly disagree	2 8%	1 2%	1 8%	0 3%	8 3%	33 6%	8 5%	11 4%	2 2%	2 6%	5 1%	5 4%	30 3%	297 4%
(Don't know)	0 0%	0 0%	0 3%	0 1%	2 1%	6 1%	0 0%	2 1%	0 0%	0 1%	0 0%	1 0%	0 0%	57 1%
Total	27 100%	42 100%	8 100%	7 100%	230 100%	519 100%	147 100%	271 100%	71 100%	30 100%	624 100%	132 100%	866 100%	6786 100%
Agree - T2B	24 86%	39 93%	7 77%	6 91%	209 91%	446 86%	131 89%	242 89%	62 87%	26 87%	615 99%	123 93%	793 92%	6058 89%
Disagree - B2B	3 13%	2 4%	1 14%	0 6%	15 6%	51 10%	13 9%	19 7%	3 4%	3 8%	7 1%	6 5%	59 7%	466 7%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I should be able to do what I want on the internet without companies monitoring my online behaviour

Page 105
Table: 53

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6786	251	250	249	260	255	271	249	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
Effective Base	2389.3	227.3	230.5	246.8	63.9	248.3	242.6	239.8	244.6	227.9	223.5	239.5	247.9	216.1	217.4
Strongly agree	4116 60%	78 67%	106 69%	52 53%	7 44%	50 35%	51 65%	11 62%	40 54%	601 64%	804 73%	72 45%	79 59%	39 60%	457 55%
Tend to agree	1259 18%	21 18%	28 18%	11 11%	3 18%	34 24%	16 21%	3 18%	16 21%	147 16%	178 16%	28 17%	17 13%	13 19%	139 17%
Neither agree nor disagree	475 7%	5 5%	7 5%	11 11%	4 29%	29 21%	6 7%	2 9%	8 11%	33 4%	41 4%	31 19%	17 13%	6 9%	52 6%
Tend to disagree	553 8%	4 3%	9 6%	11 11%	1 6%	18 13%	3 4%	1 5%	7 9%	72 8%	38 3%	12 8%	10 7%	5 7%	106 13%
Strongly disagree	387 6%	6 5%	2 1%	12 12%	1 3%	7 5%	2 2%	1 3%	2 3%	59 6%	33 3%	15 9%	7 5%	3 4%	64 8%
(Don't know)	100 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	0 1%	2 2%	0 0%	0 2%	2 2%	25 3%	7 1%	2 1%	3 2%	0 1%	6 1%
Total	6890 100%	116 100%	154 100%	98 100%	15 100%	140 100%	78 100%	18 100%	75 100%	938 100%	1100 100%	159 100%	134 100%	66 100%	824 100%
Agree - T2B	5375 78%	100 86%	134 87%	63 64%	9 61%	84 60%	68 86%	14 81%	56 75%	748 80%	981 89%	99 62%	96 72%	52 79%	596 72%
Disagree - B2B	940 14%	10 8%	11 7%	23 24%	1 9%	25 18%	5 6%	2 9%	9 12%	131 14%	71 6%	27 17%	17 13%	7 11%	169 21%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I should be able to do what I want on the internet without companies monitoring my online behaviour

Page 106
Table: 53

	Country												
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK
Unweighted Base	253	249	251	252	250	248	249	250	248	249	249	252	250
Base: All valid responses	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866
Effective Base	245.5	216.7	58.6	38.3	235.7	246.1	245.4	211.3	221.1	172.5	230.0	243.9	222.1
Strongly agree	13	21	4	3	155	312	59	109	37	16	394	87	458
	46%	49%	42%	46%	67%	60%	40%	40%	53%	55%	63%	66%	53%
Tend to agree	6	7	3	1	38	110	39	37	14	5	127	18	200
	21%	17%	30%	16%	16%	21%	26%	14%	20%	17%	20%	14%	23%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	6	1	2	16	33	12	20	10	2	27	9	83
	10%	14%	11%	23%	7%	6%	8%	8%	14%	6%	4%	7%	10%
Tend to disagree	4	4	1	0	10	43	23	37	5	2	37	9	81
	15%	10%	6%	7%	4%	8%	16%	14%	7%	6%	6%	7%	9%
Strongly disagree	2	4	1	0	8	12	12	56	4	4	32	6	33
	7%	9%	9%	6%	4%	2%	8%	21%	5%	14%	5%	5%	4%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	3	8	3	10	1	0	6	2	12
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Total	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	18	28	6	4	193	422	97	147	52	21	521	106	658
	67%	66%	72%	62%	84%	81%	66%	54%	73%	71%	84%	80%	76%
Disagree - B2B	6	8	1	1	18	55	35	93	9	6	69	16	114
	23%	19%	15%	13%	8%	11%	24%	34%	13%	21%	11%	12%	13%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I am worried that companies are regularly watching what I do

Page 107
Table: 54

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6786	251	250	249	260	255	271	249	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6890	116	154	98	15	140	78	18	75	938	1100	159	134	66	824
Effective Base	2389.3	227.3	230.5	246.8	63.9	248.3	242.6	239.8	244.6	227.9	223.5	239.5	247.9	216.1	217.4
Strongly agree	3158 46%	64 55%	74 48%	27 27%	3 21%	34 24%	24 30%	4 23%	24 32%	508 54%	702 64%	62 39%	50 38%	22 33%	367 45%
Tend to agree	1508 22%	27 23%	32 20%	17 17%	5 30%	44 31%	19 24%	4 25%	27 36%	185 20%	186 17%	38 24%	21 16%	14 22%	171 21%
Neither agree nor disagree	677 10%	7 6%	9 6%	11 11%	3 21%	26 19%	14 18%	2 13%	9 12%	102 11%	56 5%	27 17%	19 14%	12 18%	62 7%
Tend to disagree	732 11%	7 6%	18 12%	17 17%	2 10%	21 15%	14 18%	4 21%	10 13%	44 5%	95 9%	13 8%	14 10%	10 14%	90 11%
Strongly disagree	702 10%	10 8%	19 12%	24 24%	2 14%	11 8%	7 9%	2 12%	4 6%	86 9%	57 5%	16 10%	27 20%	7 11%	124 15%
(Don't know)	112 2%	1 1%	2 1%	3 3%	0 3%	5 3%	1 1%	1 6%	1 2%	13 1%	4 0	2 1%	3 2%	1 2%	10 1%
Total	6890 100%	116 100%	154 100%	98 100%	15 100%	140 100%	78 100%	18 100%	75 100%	938 100%	1100 100%	159 100%	134 100%	66 100%	824 100%
Agree - T2B	4667 68%	91 78%	106 68%	44 45%	8 51%	77 55%	43 54%	9 48%	51 68%	692 74%	888 81%	100 63%	72 54%	36 55%	539 65%
Disagree - B2B	1434 21%	17 15%	37 24%	41 41%	4 25%	32 23%	21 27%	6 33%	14 19%	130 14%	152 14%	29 18%	41 30%	17 25%	214 26%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: YOU: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I am worried that companies are regularly watching what I do

Page 108
Table: 54

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	249	251	252	250	248	249	250	248	249	249	252	250	6786
Base: All valid responses	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
Effective Base	245.5	216.7	58.6	38.3	235.7	246.1	245.4	211.3	221.1	172.5	230.0	243.9	222.1	6786.0
Strongly agree	10	12	3	2	96	146	61	88	17	10	418	32	297	2573
	37%	30%	35%	32%	41%	28%	42%	32%	24%	33%	67%	24%	34%	38%
Tend to agree	7	7	3	1	51	150	39	42	18	7	106	22	267	1528
	24%	16%	33%	19%	22%	29%	27%	15%	26%	23%	17%	17%	31%	23%
Neither agree nor disagree	4	7	1	1	30	73	13	31	17	3	23	16	101	829
	13%	17%	6%	10%	13%	14%	9%	11%	23%	9%	4%	12%	12%	12%
Tend to disagree	4	7	1	2	32	89	17	41	11	4	19	15	131	854
	15%	16%	11%	25%	14%	17%	12%	15%	16%	14%	3%	12%	15%	13%
Strongly disagree	3	8	1	1	21	42	15	53	6	6	56	46	52	842
	9%	18%	11%	14%	9%	8%	10%	20%	9%	19%	9%	34%	6%	12%
(Don't know)	1	2	0	0	2	18	1	16	2	1	2	1	18	160
	2%	4%	4%	1%	1%	4%	1%	6%	2%	2%	0	1%	2%	2%
Total	27	42	8	7	230	519	147	271	71	30	624	132	866	6786
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	17	19	6	3	147	296	101	130	36	17	524	54	564	4101
	61%	45%	68%	51%	64%	57%	69%	48%	50%	56%	84%	41%	65%	60%
Disagree - B2B	7	14	2	3	52	131	32	94	17	10	75	61	183	1696
	24%	34%	22%	38%	23%	25%	22%	35%	24%	33%	12%	46%	21%	25%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I like receiving tailored adverts and offers based on my previous online behaviour

Page 109
Table: 55

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6804	251	250	250	260	255	272	258	250	249	250	250	249	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
Effective Base	2390.4	227.1	230.5	248.0	80.2	247.4	234.7	121.5	243.8	228.5	223.9	237.3	246.4	226.0	220.5
Strongly agree	517 8%	7 6%	5 3%	21 22%	2 17%	4 2%	4 5%	3 15%	1 2%	45 5%	60 5%	27 17%	13 9%	2 4%	68 8%
Tend to agree	968 14%	17 14%	18 12%	16 16%	2 15%	16 11%	5 7%	2 10%	11 14%	59 7%	162 15%	25 16%	10 7%	11 17%	160 19%
Neither agree nor disagree	640 9%	14 12%	15 9%	13 14%	5 33%	38 27%	9 12%	3 14%	10 13%	63 7%	70 6%	28 17%	23 17%	6 9%	53 6%
Tend to disagree	1222 18%	31 27%	27 18%	6 7%	2 14%	28 20%	15 19%	5 25%	15 20%	139 16%	263 24%	18 12%	14 11%	14 22%	122 15%
Strongly disagree	3392 50%	46 40%	88 58%	35 36%	3 21%	55 38%	44 57%	6 32%	35 47%	582 65%	532 48%	58 36%	73 54%	28 46%	407 50%
(Don't know)	105 2%	2 1%	0 0%	5 6%	0 2%	3 2%	0 1%	1 4%	3 3%	4 0%	18 2%	4 2%	2 1%	1 2%	11 1%
Total	6845 100%	116 100%	153 100%	97 100%	15 100%	144 100%	78 100%	20 100%	74 100%	892 100%	1103 100%	160 100%	135 100%	62 100%	821 100%
Agree - T2B	1486 22%	24 20%	23 15%	37 38%	5 31%	20 14%	9 11%	5 26%	12 16%	104 12%	221 20%	52 32%	23 17%	13 21%	228 28%
Disagree - B2B	4614 67%	77 66%	115 75%	42 43%	5 34%	84 58%	59 76%	11 57%	50 67%	721 81%	794 72%	77 48%	88 65%	42 68%	530 65%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I like receiving tailored adverts and offers based on my previous online behaviour

Page 110
Table: 55

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	250	248	254	253	247	250	249	254	250	250	252	250	6804
Base: All valid responses	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
Effective Base	244.8	239.5	243.0	244.7	241.0	244.5	247.5	204.2	219.9	248.0	238.4	244.3	216.0	6804.0
Strongly agree	3	5	0	1	9	58	10	61	11	3	59	5	30	630
	10%	13%	6%	11%	4%	11%	7%	23%	15%	10%	10%	4%	3%	9%
Tend to agree	3	5	1	1	24	70	29	50	11	4	98	12	147	924
	11%	14%	14%	14%	11%	13%	20%	19%	15%	16%	16%	9%	17%	14%
Neither agree nor disagree	4	7	1	1	34	49	17	19	15	3	33	9	100	840
	14%	17%	10%	15%	15%	9%	11%	7%	20%	10%	5%	7%	11%	12%
Tend to disagree	6	6	2	1	43	106	32	23	14	3	96	14	180	1161
	22%	14%	23%	15%	18%	20%	22%	9%	19%	12%	16%	10%	20%	17%
Strongly disagree	12	15	3	2	118	230	53	99	21	14	328	92	409	3097
	42%	38%	42%	43%	51%	44%	37%	38%	29%	50%	53%	69%	46%	46%
(Don't know)	1	2	0	0	3	7	5	10	1	1	3	0	19	152
	2%	4%	5%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	0	0	2%	2%
Total	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	6	11	1	1	34	128	39	111	22	7	157	17	178	1554
	21%	27%	20%	25%	15%	25%	27%	42%	30%	26%	26%	13%	20%	23%
Disagree - B2B	18	20	5	3	161	336	85	122	35	17	424	105	589	4258
	64%	52%	65%	58%	69%	65%	58%	46%	48%	61%	69%	80%	67%	63%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: My consent should be required before information about my online behaviour is disclosed to other companies

Page 111
Table: 56

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6804	251	250	250	260	255	272	258	250	249	250	250	249	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
Effective Base	2390.4	227.1	230.5	248.0	80.2	247.4	234.7	121.5	243.8	228.5	223.9	237.3	246.4	226.0	220.5
Strongly agree	5651	98	122	77	10	102	57	16	60	649	1023	119	105	48	700
	83%	84%	80%	80%	68%	71%	73%	80%	81%	73%	93%	74%	78%	77%	85%
Tend to agree	577	9	15	6	2	18	13	2	8	101	39	22	12	8	64
	8%	8%	10%	6%	12%	12%	16%	10%	11%	11%	4%	13%	9%	12%	8%
Neither agree nor disagree	136	2	2	3	2	9	2	0	2	5	0	11	5	2	7
	2%	2%	1%	4%	14%	7%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0	7%	4%	3%	1%
Tend to disagree	132	3	5	1	0	6	2	1	2	28	15	2	3	1	6
	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Strongly disagree	286	3	8	7	0	9	3	1	1	89	21	5	9	3	35
	4%	3%	5%	8%	3%	6%	4%	3%	2%	10%	2%	3%	7%	5%	4%
(Don't know)	62	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	18	5	2	2	0	9
	1%	0	0	2%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	6228	107	138	83	12	120	70	18	68	750	1062	140	117	55	764
	91%	92%	90%	85%	80%	83%	90%	90%	92%	84%	96%	88%	86%	89%	93%
Disagree - B2B	418	7	13	8	1	15	5	2	3	118	37	6	12	4	41
	6%	6%	9%	9%	5%	10%	7%	8%	4%	13%	3%	4%	9%	7%	5%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: My consent should be required before information about my online behaviour is disclosed to other companies

Page 112
Table: 56

	Country												
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK
Unweighted Base	253	250	248	254	253	247	250	249	254	250	250	252	250
Base: All valid responses	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886
Effective Base	244.8	239.5	243.0	244.7	241.0	244.5	247.5	204.2	219.9	248.0	238.4	244.3	216.0
Strongly agree	23	31	4	5	188	405	105	190	54	24	594	111	731
	82%	80%	56%	81%	81%	78%	72%	73%	74%	85%	96%	84%	83%
Tend to agree	1	4	2	0	29	59	20	29	11	2	16	9	78
	5%	10%	21%	7%	12%	11%	14%	11%	15%	7%	3%	7%	9%
Neither agree nor disagree	1	2	0	0	6	15	5	10	3	1	3	5	30
	3%	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	3%	0	4%	3%
Tend to disagree	1	1	0	0	2	14	3	7	2	0	0	2	24
	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	0	1%	3%
Strongly disagree	1	1	1	0	5	22	8	20	2	1	5	3	20
	5%	3%	8%	5%	2%	4%	6%	8%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	2	5	3	6	1	0	0	2	3
	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0	0	1%	0
Total	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	24	36	6	5	217	464	126	219	64	26	609	120	809
	87%	90%	77%	88%	94%	89%	86%	84%	89%	92%	99%	91%	91%
Disagree - B2B	2	2	1	0	7	36	12	27	4	1	5	5	44
	9%	5%	13%	7%	3%	7%	8%	10%	6%	5%	1%	4%	5%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I should be able to do what I want on the internet without companies monitoring my online behaviour

Page 113
Table: 57

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6804	251	250	250	260	255	272	258	250	249	250	250	249	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
Effective Base	2390.4	227.1	230.5	248.0	80.2	247.4	234.7	121.5	243.8	228.5	223.9	237.3	246.4	226.0	220.5
Strongly agree	3994	77	102	51	5	50	51	12	41	560	788	71	77	34	362
	58%	66%	66%	53%	37%	35%	66%	61%	55%	63%	71%	44%	57%	56%	44%
Tend to agree	1364	22	25	16	4	36	14	5	18	164	182	35	17	15	197
	20%	19%	16%	16%	24%	25%	17%	23%	24%	18%	16%	22%	13%	24%	24%
Neither agree nor disagree	540	6	10	11	4	26	6	1	5	64	69	29	16	4	70
	8%	6%	6%	12%	27%	18%	8%	3%	6%	7%	6%	18%	12%	6%	9%
Tend to disagree	498	6	10	6	1	21	3	2	5	62	20	14	8	4	110
	7%	5%	7%	6%	6%	14%	4%	9%	7%	7%	2%	9%	6%	6%	13%
Strongly disagree	366	4	6	9	1	11	3	1	4	32	41	9	14	4	60
	5%	4%	4%	9%	4%	7%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	10%	7%	7%
(Don't know)	83	1	1	4	0	1	1	0	2	9	3	3	3	1	23
	1%	1%	1%	4%	2%	0	1%	1%	3%	1%	0	2%	2%	1%	3%
Total	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	5358	99	126	67	9	86	65	17	58	724	969	106	94	49	559
	78%	85%	83%	69%	61%	60%	83%	84%	79%	81%	88%	66%	70%	80%	68%
Disagree - B2B	864	10	16	15	2	31	6	2	9	94	61	23	22	8	170
	13%	8%	11%	15%	11%	22%	8%	11%	12%	11%	6%	15%	16%	13%	21%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I should be able to do what I want on the internet without companies monitoring my online behaviour

Page 114
Table: 57

	Country												
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK
Unweighted Base	253	250	248	254	253	247	250	249	254	250	250	252	250
Base: All valid responses	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886
Effective Base	244.8	239.5	243.0	244.7	241.0	244.5	247.5	204.2	219.9	248.0	238.4	244.3	216.0
Strongly agree	13	19	4	3	152	321	50	127	31	15	430	86	464
	46%	49%	51%	52%	66%	62%	34%	48%	43%	54%	70%	65%	52%
Tend to agree	6	6	2	1	43	115	34	34	13	5	108	20	230
	20%	15%	21%	16%	19%	22%	24%	13%	19%	18%	17%	15%	26%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	7	1	1	16	27	22	17	11	2	15	12	85
	10%	17%	13%	14%	7%	5%	15%	7%	15%	8%	2%	9%	10%
Tend to disagree	3	3	0	0	12	19	20	34	10	2	34	7	82
	12%	8%	4%	8%	5%	4%	14%	13%	13%	8%	5%	5%	9%
Strongly disagree	2	3	1	0	6	31	16	44	6	3	30	6	17
	9%	8%	9%	9%	3%	6%	11%	17%	8%	10%	5%	5%	2%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	2	7	3	7	2	1	0	0	8
	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	0	0	1%
Total	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	18	25	5	4	195	435	84	160	44	20	538	106	693
	66%	64%	72%	67%	84%	84%	58%	61%	61%	73%	87%	80%	78%
Disagree - B2B	6	6	1	1	18	50	37	77	16	5	64	14	99
	21%	16%	13%	17%	8%	10%	25%	29%	22%	18%	10%	10%	11%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I am worried that companies are regularly watching what I do

Page 115
Table: 58

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6804	251	250	250	260	255	272	258	250	249	250	250	249	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6845	116	153	97	15	144	78	20	74	892	1103	160	135	62	821
Effective Base	2390.4	227.1	230.5	248.0	80.2	247.4	234.7	121.5	243.8	228.5	223.9	237.3	246.4	226.0	220.5
Strongly agree	3154 46%	55 48%	73 48%	31 32%	4 29%	38 26%	25 32%	4 20%	22 30%	477 53%	694 63%	59 37%	49 36%	18 29%	360 44%
Tend to agree	1457 21%	31 26%	36 23%	18 19%	3 18%	41 29%	19 24%	5 25%	21 28%	195 22%	225 20%	43 27%	20 15%	17 27%	162 20%
Neither agree nor disagree	675 10%	11 9%	10 6%	10 11%	5 31%	37 25%	13 17%	2 10%	10 14%	52 6%	44 4%	24 15%	19 14%	10 16%	63 8%
Tend to disagree	762 11%	12 10%	15 10%	11 11%	2 12%	19 13%	12 15%	4 21%	12 17%	74 8%	98 9%	16 10%	14 10%	11 18%	123 15%
Strongly disagree	674 10%	6 5%	16 10%	22 23%	1 9%	6 4%	9 12%	3 15%	7 9%	71 8%	42 4%	11 7%	31 23%	5 8%	95 12%
(Don't know)	123 2%	1 1%	3 2%	4 4%	0 2%	4 3%	0 0%	2 9%	1 2%	22 3%	0 0%	8 5%	3 2%	1 1%	19 2%
Total	6845 100%	116 100%	153 100%	97 100%	15 100%	144 100%	78 100%	20 100%	74 100%	892 100%	1103 100%	160 100%	135 100%	62 100%	821 100%
Agree - T2B	4611 67%	86 74%	109 71%	49 51%	7 47%	79 55%	43 56%	9 45%	44 59%	672 75%	919 83%	101 63%	69 51%	35 57%	522 64%
Disagree - B2B	1436 21%	18 16%	31 20%	33 34%	3 21%	25 17%	21 27%	7 36%	19 26%	145 16%	140 13%	27 17%	44 33%	16 26%	218 27%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB20. : 6. ISP Data: PEOPLE: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: I am worried that companies are regularly watching what I do

Page 116
Table: 58

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	250	248	254	253	247	250	249	254	250	250	252	250	6804
Base: All valid responses	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
Effective Base	244.8	239.5	243.0	244.7	241.0	244.5	247.5	204.2	219.9	248.0	238.4	244.3	216.0	6804.0
Strongly agree	13	14	3	2	91	176	53	73	20	10	478	23	290	2612
	45%	35%	41%	36%	39%	34%	37%	28%	27%	37%	77%	18%	33%	38%
Tend to agree	5	5	2	1	65	138	32	58	17	7	56	24	212	1494
	20%	14%	24%	22%	28%	27%	22%	22%	23%	24%	9%	18%	24%	22%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	6	1	1	25	67	16	35	15	2	23	21	149	845
	10%	16%	11%	14%	11%	13%	11%	13%	20%	9%	4%	16%	17%	12%
Tend to disagree	4	5	1	1	24	69	23	29	12	4	21	16	135	856
	13%	12%	11%	13%	10%	13%	16%	11%	17%	13%	3%	12%	15%	13%
Strongly disagree	3	8	1	1	27	49	18	55	8	5	40	47	87	843
	9%	21%	9%	14%	11%	9%	12%	21%	11%	17%	7%	35%	10%	12%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	2	21	3	12	2	0	0	1	13	154
	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%	0	0	1%	2%
Total	28	39	7	6	232	519	145	262	72	28	617	132	886	6804
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	18	19	5	3	155	313	86	131	36	17	534	47	502	4106
	65%	49%	65%	57%	67%	60%	59%	50%	50%	60%	86%	36%	57%	60%
Disagree - B2B	6	13	1	2	50	118	41	84	20	8	61	63	222	1699
	23%	34%	20%	27%	22%	23%	28%	32%	27%	30%	10%	48%	25%	25%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB21. Scenarios: 7. DNA databases: To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not be able to access DNA samples in this way?

Page 117
Table: 59

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	501	500	501	520	513	545	498	500	500	500	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13601	230	300	198	27	287	154	37	147	1781	2149	306	270	127	1615
Effective Base	4771.1	453.5	461.2	496.7	136.9	498.3	478.1	302.5	487.7	458.3	445.0	478.6	496.6	456.0	426.1
Definitely should	2793	34	60	47	5	47	53	7	21	333	286	56	56	26	425
	21%	15%	20%	24%	20%	17%	34%	20%	14%	19%	13%	18%	21%	20%	26%
Probably should	3588	54	67	61	4	86	44	13	42	563	391	61	86	34	465
	26%	24%	22%	31%	15%	30%	28%	36%	29%	32%	18%	20%	32%	26%	29%
No preference either way	1090	15	40	12	4	34	17	3	25	182	160	28	20	9	73
	8%	7%	13%	6%	15%	12%	11%	9%	17%	10%	7%	9%	8%	7%	5%
Probably should not	2130	44	48	24	4	40	11	5	29	279	330	50	38	21	210
	16%	19%	16%	12%	16%	14%	7%	15%	20%	16%	15%	16%	14%	16%	13%
Definitely should not	3730	80	81	48	9	79	28	7	28	403	951	102	65	36	380
	27%	35%	27%	24%	33%	28%	18%	18%	19%	23%	44%	33%	24%	28%	24%
(Don't know)	270	3	4	7	1	1	1	1	2	21	30	10	5	2	61
	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	0	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Total	13601	230	300	198	27	287	154	37	147	1781	2149	306	270	127	1615
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	6381	88	127	108	9	133	97	21	63	896	677	116	142	59	891
	47%	38%	42%	54%	35%	46%	63%	55%	43%	50%	32%	38%	53%	47%	55%
Should not - B2B	5859	123	129	72	13	119	39	12	57	682	1282	152	103	56	590
	43%	54%	43%	36%	49%	42%	25%	33%	39%	38%	60%	50%	38%	44%	37%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB21. Scenarios: 7. DNA databases: To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not be able to access DNA samples in this way?

Page 118
Table: 59

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	500	500	508	503	500	501	499	499	501	501	504	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	80	16	12	463	1048	289	514	148	59	1271	262	1757	13602
Effective Base	493.6	479.3	173.2	114.7	475.4	495.7	494.7	430.5	389.4	371.4	452.5	487.3	437.1	13602.0
Definitely should	7	21	2	4	91	194	63	195	29	8	334	61	328	2903
	14%	26%	15%	34%	20%	18%	22%	38%	20%	13%	26%	23%	19%	21%
Probably should	16	22	5	4	105	363	93	136	46	14	386	49	378	3592
	30%	28%	32%	31%	23%	35%	32%	26%	31%	23%	30%	19%	22%	26%
No preference either way	8	5	1	1	20	93	23	28	19	6	96	23	146	1207
	14%	7%	8%	6%	4%	9%	8%	5%	13%	10%	8%	9%	8%	9%
Probably should not	9	12	3	1	70	200	56	49	26	10	170	38	354	2074
	16%	15%	18%	9%	15%	19%	19%	10%	17%	17%	13%	15%	20%	15%
Definitely should not	12	17	4	2	174	173	32	73	28	21	274	89	535	3515
	22%	21%	24%	20%	38%	17%	11%	14%	19%	36%	22%	34%	30%	26%
(Don't know)	2	3	1	0	4	26	22	32	1	1	11	2	16	311
	3%	3%	4%	1%	1%	2%	8%	6%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Total	54	80	16	12	463	1048	289	514	148	59	1271	262	1757	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	24	43	7	8	196	556	157	331	75	21	720	110	706	6495
	44%	54%	47%	65%	42%	53%	54%	64%	51%	36%	57%	42%	40%	48%
Should not - B2B	21	29	7	3	243	373	88	123	53	31	444	127	889	5589
	38%	36%	41%	28%	53%	36%	30%	24%	36%	53%	35%	48%	51%	41%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB22. Scenarios: 7. DNA databases: Do you think the police having access to people's DNA samples...

Page 119
Table: 60

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	501	500	501	520	513	545	498	500	500	500	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13601	230	300	198	27	287	154	37	147	1781	2149	306	270	127	1615
Effective Base	4771.1	453.5	461.2	496.7	136.9	498.3	478.1	302.5	487.7	458.3	445.0	478.6	496.6	456.0	426.1
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	5647 42%	86 37%	137 46%	70 35%	6 22%	137 48%	84 54%	17 46%	64 44%	709 40%	859 40%	62 20%	100 37%	59 46%	690 43%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	4643 34%	85 37%	93 31%	68 34%	12 46%	84 29%	34 22%	11 31%	38 26%	624 35%	770 36%	158 52%	83 31%	48 38%	568 35%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	2346 17%	46 20%	46 15%	41 21%	4 17%	52 18%	28 18%	6 17%	36 25%	302 17%	343 16%	59 19%	68 25%	15 12%	266 16%
(Don't know)	964 7%	14 6%	24 8%	20 10%	4 16%	14 5%	8 5%	2 7%	8 6%	145 8%	177 8%	26 9%	19 7%	5 4%	90 6%
Total	13601 100%	230 100%	300 100%	198 100%	27 100%	287 100%	154 100%	37 100%	147 100%	1781 100%	2149 100%	306 100%	270 100%	127 100%	1615 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB22. Scenarios: 7. DNA databases: Do you think the police having access to people's DNA samples...

Page 120
Table: 60

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	500	500	508	503	500	501	499	499	501	501	504	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	80	16	12	463	1048	289	514	148	59	1271	262	1757	13602
Effective Base	493.6	479.3	173.2	114.7	475.4	495.7	494.7	430.5	389.4	371.4	452.5	487.3	437.1	13602.0
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	18	35	7	7	224	416	112	284	61	16	524	104	759	5550
	34%	44%	42%	61%	48%	40%	39%	55%	41%	27%	41%	40%	43%	41%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	20	19	6	2	164	302	88	71	39	26	433	92	702	4550
	37%	24%	36%	20%	35%	29%	30%	14%	27%	45%	34%	35%	40%	33%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	11	20	2	1	59	263	50	93	35	13	222	47	218	2465
	20%	25%	14%	11%	13%	25%	17%	18%	24%	21%	17%	18%	12%	18%
(Don't know)	4	6	1	1	16	67	39	67	13	4	92	19	78	1037
	8%	8%	7%	7%	3%	6%	13%	13%	9%	7%	7%	7%	4%	8%
Total	54	80	16	12	463	1048	289	514	148	59	1271	262	1757	13602
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB23. Scenarios: 7. DNA databases: Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about when police should have access to people's DNA samples?

Page 121
Table: 61

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13602	501	500	501	520	513	545	498	500	500	500	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13601	230	300	198	27	287	154	37	147	1781	2149	306	270	127	1615
Effective Base	4771.1	453.5	461.2	496.7	136.9	498.3	478.1	302.5	487.7	458.3	445.0	478.6	496.6	456.0	426.1
The police should only have access to this data if they have permission from a judge	5356 39%	106 46%	126 42%	75 38%	10 37%	99 34%	48 32%	16 44%	27 18%	712 40%	969 45%	142 47%	105 39%	42 33%	581 36%
The police should only have access to this data about people suspected of a very serious crime	3239 24%	47 20%	63 21%	48 24%	6 21%	78 27%	28 18%	9 25%	43 29%	423 24%	440 20%	62 20%	74 27%	40 31%	449 28%
The police should only have access to this data about people suspected of any crime, but not about anyone else	2760 20%	51 22%	59 20%	49 24%	3 11%	69 24%	33 21%	7 19%	58 39%	338 19%	467 22%	37 12%	69 26%	29 23%	236 15%
The police should always be able to access this data about everyone	1562 11%	17 7%	43 14%	17 9%	3 10%	32 11%	40 26%	3 8%	15 10%	240 13%	175 8%	23 8%	12 5%	12 9%	267 17%
The police should never have access to this data	346 3%	5 2%	5 2%	6 3%	3 10%	6 2%	3 2%	1 2%	3 2%	38 2%	71 3%	28 9%	5 2%	3 2%	25 2%
None of the above	197 1%	4 2%	3 1%	3 2%	3 9%	3 1%	1 0%	0 0%	1 1%	12 1%	18 1%	6 2%	2 1%	2 2%	37 2%
(Don't know)	140 1%	1 0%	1 0%	1 1%	0 2%	1 0%	0 0%	0 1%	1 1%	18 1%	8 0%	7 2%	2 1%	0 0%	19 1%
Total	13601 100%	230 100%	300 100%	198 100%	27 100%	287 100%	154 100%	37 100%	147 100%	1781 100%	2149 100%	306 100%	270 100%	127 100%	1615 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB23. Scenarios: 7. DNA databases: Which one of the following statements, if any, comes closest to your view about when police should have access to people's DNA samples?

Page 122
Table: 61

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	506	500	500	508	503	500	501	499	499	501	501	504	500	13602
Base: All valid responses	54	80	16	12	463	1048	289	514	148	59	1271	262	1757	13602
Effective Base	493.6	479.3	173.2	114.7	475.4	495.7	494.7	430.5	389.4	371.4	452.5	487.3	437.1	13602.0
The police should only have access to this data if they have permission from a judge	21 39%	22 28%	6 39%	5 41%	168 36%	378 36%	117 40%	189 37%	62 42%	18 31%	684 54%	65 25%	562 32%	5077 37%
The police should only have access to this data about people suspected of a very serious crime	16 30%	21 26%	5 29%	2 20%	102 22%	301 29%	45 15%	103 20%	45 31%	20 34%	185 15%	85 32%	498 28%	3380 25%
The police should only have access to this data about people suspected of any crime, but not about anyone else	11 20%	23 28%	2 15%	2 20%	107 23%	231 22%	76 26%	98 19%	25 17%	9 16%	212 17%	66 25%	392 22%	2875 21%
The police should always be able to access this data about everyone	3 6%	10 12%	1 5%	2 13%	61 13%	83 8%	28 10%	63 12%	10 7%	5 9%	153 12%	33 13%	211 12%	1482 11%
The police should never have access to this data	1 2%	2 3%	1 7%	0 2%	9 2%	21 2%	5 2%	27 5%	2 1%	5 8%	25 2%	8 3%	37 2%	431 3%
None of the above	1 1%	0 0%	0 1%	0 1%	12 3%	21 2%	7 2%	11 2%	1 1%	1 1%	4 0%	3 1%	42 2%	175 1%
(Don't know)	1 1%	2 2%	1 4%	0 1%	2 1%	14 1%	12 4%	22 4%	1 1%	1 1%	8 1%	2 1%	15 1%	182 1%
Total	54 100%	80 100%	16 100%	12 100%	463 100%	1048 100%	289 100%	514 100%	148 100%	59 100%	1271 100%	262 100%	1757 100%	13602 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB24v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATION: To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the {@} in this way?

Page 123
Table: 62

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6801	250	251	250	260	257	272	253	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
Effective Base	2395.6	229.2	233.4	248.1	67.8	250.4	239.8	245.2	243.9	229.7	220.6	238.9	248.3	205.5	216.7
Definitely should	1745	18	30	28	4	19	19	4	13	220	194	27	35	12	310
	26%	16%	20%	28%	27%	13%	26%	25%	18%	25%	18%	18%	26%	18%	39%
Probably should	2109	28	52	25	2	39	21	5	19	361	289	32	40	25	276
	31%	25%	34%	26%	17%	27%	28%	26%	26%	41%	27%	21%	30%	38%	35%
No preference either way	642	11	22	6	2	28	11	2	16	91	90	10	12	10	36
	9%	10%	14%	6%	14%	20%	14%	10%	21%	10%	8%	6%	9%	15%	5%
Probably should not	1176	26	29	16	2	25	14	3	14	126	260	37	23	10	93
	17%	23%	19%	16%	19%	18%	19%	16%	19%	14%	24%	24%	17%	15%	12%
Definitely should not	996	29	20	22	3	30	10	4	11	70	252	46	21	8	53
	15%	26%	13%	22%	22%	21%	13%	20%	15%	8%	23%	30%	16%	13%	7%
(Don't know)	112	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	20	0	2	3	1	27
	2%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	0	1%	2%	2%	3%
Total	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	3854	46	82	53	6	58	41	9	32	581	483	59	75	36	586
	57%	41%	54%	54%	43%	41%	53%	51%	44%	65%	45%	38%	56%	56%	74%
Should not - B2B	2172	55	49	38	5	56	24	6	25	196	512	83	44	18	147
	32%	49%	32%	39%	41%	39%	32%	36%	34%	22%	47%	54%	33%	28%	18%

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB24v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATION: To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the {@} in this way?

Page 124
Table: 62

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	252	251	253	251	250	250	250	248	250	250	248	251	6801
Base: All valid responses	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
Effective Base	246.4	243.1	247.0	243.2	238.7	247.4	246.0	211.2	190.6	173.0	225.5	239.3	219.6	6801.0
Definitely should	6	9	2	2	63	86	40	135	14	6	197	27	225	1657
	21%	23%	20%	38%	26%	16%	28%	52%	20%	19%	31%	21%	26%	24%
Probably should	9	14	3	1	68	189	45	60	21	8	159	33	286	1989
	32%	35%	34%	27%	29%	36%	31%	23%	30%	26%	25%	25%	34%	29%
No preference either way	5	4	1	0	31	62	12	16	10	4	51	21	81	750
	19%	10%	8%	8%	13%	12%	8%	6%	14%	14%	8%	16%	9%	11%
Probably should not	5	6	1	1	40	97	19	14	12	5	130	25	144	1160
	17%	16%	20%	11%	17%	19%	13%	5%	16%	17%	20%	19%	17%	17%
Definitely should not	3	6	1	1	35	72	21	26	14	7	92	24	114	1129
	10%	15%	14%	14%	15%	14%	14%	10%	19%	24%	14%	18%	13%	17%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	1	18	9	9	1	0	10	1	3	116
	1%	2%	3%	3%	0	3%	6%	3%	1%	0	2%	1%	0	2%
Total	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	14	23	4	3	131	275	85	195	35	13	356	60	511	3646
	52%	57%	55%	65%	55%	52%	58%	75%	49%	45%	56%	46%	60%	54%
Should not - B2B	7	12	3	1	75	169	39	40	26	12	222	48	258	2289
	27%	31%	34%	24%	31%	32%	27%	15%	36%	41%	35%	37%	30%	34%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB25v1. 8. DEMONSTRATIONS Crowd surveillance: Do you think the police doing this...

Page 125
Table: 63

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6801	250	251	250	260	257	272	253	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
Effective Base	2395.6	229.2	233.4	248.1	67.8	250.4	239.8	245.2	243.9	229.7	220.6	238.9	248.3	205.5	216.7
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	3077 45%	43 38%	73 48%	41 41%	3 24%	64 44%	36 47%	7 39%	30 41%	417 47%	456 42%	36 23%	45 33%	30 46%	465 59%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	2046 30%	49 44%	43 28%	34 34%	6 42%	51 35%	26 34%	7 40%	24 32%	200 23%	342 32%	83 54%	50 37%	23 34%	140 18%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	1157 17%	18 16%	29 19%	20 20%	3 21%	23 16%	13 17%	3 16%	16 21%	200 23%	208 19%	27 18%	31 23%	9 14%	118 15%
(Don't know)	500 7%	3 2%	8 5%	5 5%	2 13%	6 4%	2 2%	1 6%	4 5%	71 8%	79 7%	7 5%	9 7%	3 5%	72 9%
Total	6781 100%	113 100%	153 100%	98 100%	13 100%	143 100%	76 100%	18 100%	73 100%	888 100%	1085 100%	154 100%	134 100%	66 100%	795 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB25v1. 8. DEMONSTRATIONS Crowd surveillance: Do you think the police doing this...

Page 126
Table: 63

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	252	251	253	251	250	250	250	248	250	250	248	251	6801
Base: All valid responses	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
Effective Base	246.4	243.1	247.0	243.2	238.7	247.4	246.0	211.2	190.6	173.0	225.5	239.3	219.6	6801.0
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	10	19	3	3	108	210	69	156	25	10	311	55	352	2957
	38%	48%	45%	63%	45%	40%	47%	60%	35%	35%	49%	42%	41%	43%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	9	10	2	1	68	163	40	52	27	10	226	42	319	2227
	32%	26%	30%	22%	29%	31%	28%	20%	37%	35%	35%	32%	37%	33%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	6	9	1	0	51	110	18	28	18	7	60	22	109	1179
	22%	22%	19%	9%	21%	21%	13%	11%	25%	25%	9%	17%	13%	17%
(Don't know)	2	2	1	0	12	40	18	24	3	2	41	12	73	438
	8%	5%	7%	7%	5%	8%	13%	9%	4%	5%	6%	9%	9%	6%
Total	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The police should only rely on uniformed policemen on the spot to control the situation

Page 127
Table: 64

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6801	250	251	250	260	257	272	253	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
Effective Base	2395.6	229.2	233.4	248.1	67.8	250.4	239.8	245.2	243.9	229.7	220.6	238.9	248.3	205.5	216.7
Strongly agree	1915	35	36	45	4	27	17	7	23	184	305	56	65	18	160
	28%	31%	24%	45%	27%	19%	22%	41%	31%	21%	28%	36%	48%	28%	20%
Tend to agree	1427	24	33	16	2	49	14	3	13	224	210	28	22	14	174
	21%	21%	22%	16%	15%	34%	19%	18%	17%	25%	19%	18%	16%	21%	22%
Neither agree nor disagree	492	8	15	6	5	18	9	1	7	55	34	28	20	5	39
	7%	7%	10%	6%	36%	13%	12%	6%	10%	6%	3%	18%	15%	8%	5%
Tend to disagree	1583	32	41	13	2	32	19	4	18	226	353	20	11	16	213
	23%	28%	27%	14%	14%	22%	25%	21%	25%	25%	33%	13%	8%	24%	27%
Strongly disagree	1254	13	27	16	1	16	16	2	11	177	167	22	14	11	191
	18%	11%	18%	17%	6%	11%	22%	13%	15%	20%	15%	14%	11%	17%	24%
(Don't know)	109	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	22	16	0	2	1	19
	2%	2%	0	2%	2%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	1%	0	2%	2%	2%
Total	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	3342	59	70	60	6	76	31	11	36	409	515	84	87	32	334
	49%	52%	46%	61%	42%	53%	41%	60%	48%	46%	47%	55%	65%	49%	42%
Disagree - B2B	2837	44	68	30	3	48	35	6	30	403	519	41	25	27	404
	42%	39%	44%	30%	19%	33%	46%	34%	40%	45%	48%	27%	19%	41%	51%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The police should only rely on uniformed policemen on the spot to control the situation

Page 128
Table: 64

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	252	251	253	251	250	250	250	248	250	250	248	251	6801
Base: All valid responses	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
Effective Base	246.4	243.1	247.0	243.2	238.7	247.4	246.0	211.2	190.6	173.0	225.5	239.3	219.6	6801.0
Strongly agree	13	25	2	1	46	166	56	126	18	13	267	41	158	2234
	48%	61%	29%	27%	19%	32%	38%	49%	26%	43%	42%	31%	19%	33%
Tend to agree	5	6	2	1	32	157	36	35	19	6	101	18	183	1386
	19%	14%	29%	18%	14%	30%	25%	13%	26%	19%	16%	14%	22%	20%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	4	1	1	26	52	16	11	17	3	27	16	65	708
	11%	10%	14%	10%	11%	10%	11%	4%	23%	10%	4%	12%	8%	10%
Tend to disagree	4	3	1	1	59	84	21	24	10	3	96	17	261	1302
	13%	7%	16%	24%	25%	16%	15%	9%	14%	12%	15%	13%	31%	19%
Strongly disagree	2	3	1	1	73	54	11	50	6	4	143	39	183	1070
	7%	7%	9%	20%	31%	10%	8%	19%	9%	14%	22%	30%	21%	16%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	1	11	5	12	1	1	5	1	3	101
	1%	1%	2%	0	0	2%	4%	5%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	18	30	4	2	78	322	92	161	37	19	368	59	342	3620
	67%	76%	58%	45%	33%	62%	63%	62%	52%	62%	58%	45%	40%	53%
Disagree - B2B	6	5	2	2	132	139	32	75	17	8	239	56	443	2372
	21%	13%	25%	44%	56%	26%	22%	29%	23%	26%	37%	42%	52%	35%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People participating in {@} should expect to be monitored by the police in a number of different ways

Page 129
Table: 65

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6801	250	251	250	260	257	272	253	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
Effective Base	2395.6	229.2	233.4	248.1	67.8	250.4	239.8	245.2	243.9	229.7	220.6	238.9	248.3	205.5	216.7
Strongly agree	2652 39%	34 30%	58 38%	43 44%	4 33%	44 31%	31 41%	7 41%	38 51%	373 42%	333 31%	49 32%	69 51%	20 31%	324 41%
Tend to agree	2208 33%	38 34%	60 39%	21 21%	3 24%	50 35%	26 34%	6 32%	20 27%	320 36%	397 37%	33 21%	19 14%	26 40%	254 32%
Neither agree nor disagree	463 7%	6 5%	9 6%	10 10%	3 25%	20 14%	6 8%	1 8%	3 4%	53 6%	65 6%	32 21%	20 15%	6 8%	29 4%
Tend to disagree	684 10%	20 18%	14 9%	9 9%	1 8%	16 11%	6 8%	2 9%	8 11%	74 8%	163 15%	19 13%	9 7%	7 10%	64 8%
Strongly disagree	655 10%	13 12%	11 7%	15 15%	1 9%	14 10%	7 9%	1 8%	4 5%	52 6%	106 10%	19 12%	17 12%	6 10%	89 11%
(Don't know)	119 2%	2 2%	0 0	1 1%	0 2%	0 0	0 0	0 2%	1 1%	15 2%	22 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	35 4%
Total	6781 100%	113 100%	153 100%	98 100%	13 100%	143 100%	76 100%	18 100%	73 100%	888 100%	1085 100%	154 100%	134 100%	66 100%	795 100%
Agree - T2B	4860 72%	72 64%	118 77%	64 65%	7 57%	94 66%	57 76%	13 73%	58 79%	693 78%	730 67%	82 53%	88 65%	46 71%	578 73%
Disagree - B2B	1339 20%	33 30%	25 16%	24 24%	2 17%	30 21%	13 17%	3 16%	12 16%	126 14%	269 25%	38 25%	26 19%	13 20%	153 19%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People participating in {@} should expect to be monitored by the police in a number of different ways

Page 130
Table: 65

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	252	251	253	251	250	250	250	248	250	250	248	251	6801
Base: All valid responses	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
Effective Base	246.4	243.1	247.0	243.2	238.7	247.4	246.0	211.2	190.6	173.0	225.5	239.3	219.6	6801.0
Strongly agree	15	16	2	2	106	219	71	125	19	14	366	55	216	2720
	54%	39%	30%	37%	45%	42%	49%	48%	26%	46%	57%	42%	25%	40%
Tend to agree	8	9	3	2	92	192	40	55	21	9	119	39	346	2062
	30%	22%	37%	32%	39%	37%	27%	21%	29%	30%	19%	30%	41%	30%
Neither agree nor disagree	2	6	1	1	10	27	12	24	16	1	16	11	73	619
	7%	16%	8%	12%	4%	5%	8%	9%	23%	4%	2%	8%	9%	9%
Tend to disagree	1	4	1	1	12	51	9	15	7	2	56	6	108	630
	5%	10%	12%	11%	5%	10%	6%	6%	9%	7%	9%	5%	13%	9%
Strongly disagree	1	4	1	0	18	26	10	28	8	4	76	20	106	674
	4%	11%	10%	6%	7%	5%	7%	11%	11%	12%	12%	15%	12%	10%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	1	9	4	13	1	0	6	1	4	96
	0	1%	2%	2%	0	2%	3%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	23	24	5	4	198	411	111	180	40	23	485	94	563	4782
	84%	61%	67%	69%	83%	78%	76%	69%	55%	76%	76%	71%	66%	70%
Disagree - B2B	2	8	2	1	30	77	19	43	15	6	132	26	214	1304
	9%	21%	23%	18%	12%	15%	13%	16%	21%	19%	21%	19%	25%	19%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People should not be monitored at all before any trouble has happened

Page 131
Table: 66

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6801	250	251	250	260	257	272	253	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
Effective Base	2395.6	229.2	233.4	248.1	67.8	250.4	239.8	245.2	243.9	229.7	220.6	238.9	248.3	205.5	216.7
Strongly agree	2068 31%	51 45%	36 24%	45 45%	4 30%	52 36%	14 19%	4 22%	30 40%	179 20%	482 44%	67 44%	60 44%	15 22%	213 27%
Tend to agree	1641 24%	34 30%	40 26%	17 18%	2 18%	37 26%	16 21%	5 25%	19 26%	253 28%	354 33%	35 23%	29 22%	14 21%	129 16%
Neither agree nor disagree	483 7%	5 4%	10 6%	8 8%	3 23%	16 11%	10 13%	2 11%	6 9%	69 8%	44 4%	24 16%	19 14%	8 12%	32 4%
Tend to disagree	1305 19%	15 13%	36 23%	13 13%	2 14%	22 16%	20 26%	5 26%	13 18%	190 21%	110 10%	14 9%	8 6%	18 27%	177 22%
Strongly disagree	1157 17%	7 6%	30 20%	15 15%	2 13%	16 11%	15 20%	2 13%	4 5%	171 19%	78 7%	12 8%	15 11%	11 17%	226 28%
(Don't know)	125 2%	0 0	1 1%	0 0	0 2%	1 1%	0 0	0 2%	1 1%	26 3%	17 2%	1 0	4 3%	0 1%	17 2%
Total	6781 100%	113 100%	153 100%	98 100%	13 100%	143 100%	76 100%	18 100%	73 100%	888 100%	1085 100%	154 100%	134 100%	66 100%	795 100%
Agree - T2B	3710 55%	86 76%	76 50%	62 63%	6 48%	88 62%	30 40%	9 48%	49 67%	432 49%	836 77%	103 67%	89 66%	28 43%	342 43%
Disagree - B2B	2462 36%	22 20%	66 43%	28 29%	4 27%	38 27%	35 46%	7 39%	17 23%	361 41%	188 17%	26 17%	23 17%	29 44%	403 51%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People should not be monitored at all before any trouble has happened

Page 132
Table: 66

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	252	251	253	251	250	250	250	248	250	250	248	251	6801
Base: All valid responses	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
Effective Base	246.4	243.1	247.0	243.2	238.7	247.4	246.0	211.2	190.6	173.0	225.5	239.3	219.6	6801.0
Strongly agree	5	20	2	2	63	114	34	85	26	14	257	38	159	2175
	20%	49%	20%	33%	26%	22%	23%	33%	37%	45%	40%	29%	19%	32%
Tend to agree	5	6	2	1	56	120	37	41	16	6	130	21	216	1556
	17%	14%	31%	27%	24%	23%	26%	16%	23%	20%	20%	16%	25%	23%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	4	1	0	27	52	16	15	12	2	13	20	61	678
	10%	11%	15%	7%	11%	10%	11%	6%	17%	7%	2%	15%	7%	10%
Tend to disagree	8	5	1	1	55	143	32	35	10	3	98	19	251	1255
	31%	13%	17%	19%	23%	27%	22%	13%	13%	11%	15%	14%	29%	18%
Strongly disagree	5	5	1	1	37	89	22	60	6	5	133	32	157	1028
	20%	12%	13%	14%	16%	17%	15%	23%	8%	16%	21%	24%	18%	15%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	24	1	0	8	2	8	109
	1%	1%	4%	0	0	1%	3%	9%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Total	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	10	25	4	3	119	233	71	126	43	20	387	58	375	3731
	37%	63%	51%	60%	50%	44%	49%	48%	60%	66%	61%	44%	44%	55%
Disagree - B2B	14	10	2	2	92	232	54	95	15	8	231	51	408	2283
	51%	25%	30%	33%	39%	44%	37%	37%	21%	27%	36%	39%	48%	34%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: It is unnecessary to monitor everyone just because there are a few trouble-makers

Page 133
Table: 67

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6801	250	251	250	260	257	272	253	250	251	250	250	250	250	250
Base: All valid responses	6781	113	153	98	13	143	76	18	73	888	1085	154	134	66	795
Effective Base	2395.6	229.2	233.4	248.1	67.8	250.4	239.8	245.2	243.9	229.7	220.6	238.9	248.3	205.5	216.7
Strongly agree	2168 32%	52 46%	36 24%	45 46%	3 26%	38 27%	18 23%	7 37%	26 35%	264 30%	447 41%	51 33%	63 47%	19 29%	165 21%
Tend to agree	1855 27%	30 27%	50 33%	16 16%	2 14%	47 33%	21 28%	5 30%	19 26%	267 30%	295 27%	41 27%	26 19%	20 31%	215 27%
Neither agree nor disagree	504 7%	8 7%	11 7%	8 8%	5 34%	23 16%	10 13%	1 8%	6 8%	55 6%	69 6%	23 15%	15 11%	4 6%	40 5%
Tend to disagree	1205 18%	13 11%	35 23%	10 10%	2 15%	24 17%	14 19%	2 14%	15 21%	162 18%	192 18%	19 12%	11 8%	15 22%	171 22%
Strongly disagree	921 14%	9 8%	19 12%	16 16%	1 9%	10 7%	12 16%	2 9%	6 8%	123 14%	74 7%	19 12%	17 13%	8 12%	177 22%
(Don't know)	128 2%	1 1%	2 1%	3 3%	0 2%	1 1%	1 2%	0 2%	2 2%	17 2%	8 1%	0 0%	2 2%	0 0%	27 3%
Total	6781 100%	113 100%	153 100%	98 100%	13 100%	143 100%	76 100%	18 100%	73 100%	888 100%	1085 100%	154 100%	134 100%	66 100%	795 100%
Agree - T2B	4023 59%	83 73%	86 57%	61 62%	5 41%	85 59%	39 51%	12 67%	45 61%	531 60%	742 68%	92 60%	89 66%	39 60%	380 48%
Disagree - B2B	2126 31%	22 19%	53 35%	26 27%	3 23%	34 24%	26 34%	4 23%	21 29%	286 32%	266 25%	38 25%	28 21%	22 34%	348 44%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v1. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: DEMONSTRATIONS: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: It is unnecessary to monitor everyone just because there are a few trouble-makers

Page 134
Table: 67

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	252	251	253	251	250	250	250	248	250	250	248	251	6801
Base: All valid responses	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
Effective Base	246.4	243.1	247.0	243.2	238.7	247.4	246.0	211.2	190.6	173.0	225.5	239.3	219.6	6801.0
Strongly agree	7	17	2	1	42	118	40	104	24	10	311	48	208	2204
	24%	43%	29%	27%	18%	23%	27%	40%	33%	32%	49%	37%	24%	32%
Tend to agree	8	9	2	1	63	151	44	60	15	7	126	30	286	1757
	28%	22%	28%	23%	26%	29%	31%	23%	21%	24%	20%	23%	33%	26%
Neither agree nor disagree	4	5	1	1	28	52	14	16	11	4	9	16	64	705
	13%	12%	14%	10%	12%	10%	10%	6%	15%	13%	7%	12%	8%	10%
Tend to disagree	5	5	1	1	56	117	28	27	8	4	68	12	187	1126
	20%	13%	17%	21%	24%	22%	20%	11%	12%	12%	11%	9%	22%	17%
Strongly disagree	3	4	1	1	46	66	14	45	11	5	116	23	93	884
	12%	10%	11%	17%	19%	13%	10%	18%	15%	17%	18%	18%	11%	13%
(Don't know)	1	0	0	0	4	20	4	7	2	0	9	2	15	125
	2%	0	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	1%	7%	2%	2%	2%
Total	27	40	8	5	238	524	145	260	72	30	639	131	853	6801
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	14	26	4	3	104	269	84	164	39	17	437	78	494	3961
	52%	65%	56%	50%	44%	51%	58%	63%	55%	56%	68%	60%	58%	58%
Disagree - B2B	9	9	2	2	102	183	43	73	19	9	184	35	280	2010
	32%	23%	27%	38%	43%	35%	29%	28%	27%	30%	29%	26%	33%	30%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB27v1. 8. DEMONSTRATIONS Crowd surveillance: 8. Crowd surveillance: You said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen. Why do you say that?

Page 135
Table: 68

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Effective Base	1234.1	115.8	110.2	151.8	107.2	134.9	99.6	147.0	120.5	100.3	110.2	132.9	161.8	92.0	83.8
Unweighted Base	3620	127	118	153	129	138	110	152	124	111	123	138	163	120	98
Base: All respondents who said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen	3342	59	70	60	6	76	31	11	36	409	515	84	87	32	334
It would be more effective at controlling the crowd/stopping troublemakers	1490 45%	25 42%	31 45%	47 78%	3 62%	36 48%	8 26%	5 44%	17 47%	163 40%	197 38%	41 49%	41 47%	11 36%	154 46%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	1085 32%	17 29%	17 24%	14 24%	2 43%	15 20%	13 41%	5 51%	11 30%	152 37%	150 29%	39 47%	29 34%	10 32%	135 41%
It collects less information about people	185 6%	3 6%	1 2%	5 9%	1 10%	2 3%	2 5%	0 2%	1 4%	29 7%	49 9%	6 7%	2 3%	1 2%	3 1%
It would be cheaper	69 2%	1 2%	1 1%	2 3%	0 1%	3 4%	0 1%	1 5%	0 0	12 3%	7 1%	3 4%	2 2%	1 3%	8 2%
Another reason	1036 31%	22 37%	33 47%	5 9%	0 2%	25 33%	14 44%	1 12%	10 29%	107 26%	196 38%	1 2%	18 21%	16 49%	87 26%
(Don't know)	137 4%	2 4%	0 1%	0 1%	0 1%	5 6%	2 7%	0 2%	2 4%	22 5%	28 5%	2 3%	6 7%	1 3%	14 4%
Total	4002 120%	71 120%	84 120%	75 124%	7 120%	86 114%	38 124%	13 117%	41 114%	486 119%	626 122%	93 111%	99 113%	40 124%	401 120%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB27v1. 8. DEMONSTRATIONS Crowd surveillance: 8. Crowd surveillance: You said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen. Why do you say that?

Page 136
Table: 68

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Effective Base	164.5	188.0	142.4	111.5	78.8	152.2	155.9	128.3	111.5	88.6	135.7	105.7	89.8	3620.0
Unweighted Base	169	194	145	116	84	154	158	154	131	149	148	110	104	3620
Base: All respondents who said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen	18	30	4	2	78	322	92	161	37	19	368	59	342	3620
It would be more effective at controlling the crowd/stopping troublemakers	9	13	2	1	31	171	52	102	13	9	116	17	173	1715
	52%	43%	43%	53%	40%	53%	57%	63%	34%	49%	31%	30%	51%	47%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	6	10	1	1	14	70	30	31	11	6	151	24	118	1168
	31%	33%	34%	22%	18%	22%	33%	19%	30%	32%	41%	41%	35%	32%
It collects less information about people	0	1	0	0	3	15	3	7	2	2	7	4	34	173
	1%	4%	8%	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	13%	2%	6%	10%	5%
It would be cheaper	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	8	1	1	12	1	0	91
	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	5%	4%	7%	3%	2%	0	3%
Another reason	4	9	1	1	42	101	9	37	13	2	143	18	122	936
	21%	28%	18%	26%	54%	31%	10%	23%	36%	11%	39%	31%	36%	26%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	1	13	2	5	3	1	16	4	5	139
	3%	3%	7%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	9%	3%	4%	7%	2%	4%
Total	20	35	5	2	93	373	96	189	44	22	444	69	453	4222
	108%	115%	111%	104%	118%	116%	105%	117%	118%	117%	121%	117%	133%	117%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB24v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: CROWD: To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the {@} in this way?

Page 137
Table: 69

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6797	251	250	251	260	256	273	249	251	249	250	250	249	251	250
Base: All valid responses	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
Effective Base	2334.9	227.9	229.2	249.1	46.0	248.5	240.9	151.0	244.5	227.5	215.5	239.0	246.5	216.2	209.8
Definitely should	2490	30	44	34	4	34	24	5	7	227	264	45	53	20	507
	36%	26%	28%	35%	24%	24%	30%	28%	10%	26%	24%	30%	39%	31%	61%
Probably should	2301	33	55	32	3	47	26	6	23	379	362	41	46	29	219
	34%	28%	36%	32%	17%	33%	34%	30%	31%	43%	32%	27%	34%	43%	26%
No preference either way	644	15	24	6	5	18	12	1	15	131	129	14	10	5	31
	9%	13%	16%	6%	28%	13%	15%	7%	20%	15%	12%	10%	7%	7%	4%
Probably should not	789	23	24	11	2	21	9	4	21	66	212	26	17	7	29
	11%	20%	16%	11%	11%	15%	11%	23%	28%	8%	19%	17%	13%	10%	3%
Definitely should not	537	15	7	14	3	19	7	2	8	52	137	23	7	5	23
	8%	13%	5%	14%	15%	14%	9%	10%	11%	6%	12%	15%	6%	7%	3%
(Don't know)	102	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	24	13	4	2	1	17
	1%	1%	1%	3%	6%	1%	0	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Total	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	4791	63	99	66	7	81	50	11	30	606	626	85	99	49	726
	70%	54%	64%	66%	40%	58%	64%	58%	40%	69%	56%	56%	73%	74%	88%
Should not - B2B	1326	38	31	25	4	40	16	6	29	118	348	48	25	11	52
	19%	33%	20%	25%	26%	29%	20%	33%	39%	13%	31%	32%	18%	17%	6%

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB24v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: CROWD: To what extent, if at all, do you think that the police should or should not monitor the {@} in this way?

Page 138
Table: 69

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	251	250	253	249	251	249	250	251	250	250	251	249	6797
Base: All valid responses	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
Effective Base	246.5	218.9	57.7	243.3	235.8	248.9	245.9	190.9	190.7	201.4	225.7	242.6	217.8	6797.0
Definitely should	6	14	2	3	71	185	63	184	28	11	271	44	312	2347
	24%	35%	19%	53%	32%	35%	44%	67%	38%	37%	43%	34%	36%	35%
Probably should	11	16	4	1	78	202	47	54	23	9	170	46	341	2243
	40%	40%	48%	27%	35%	39%	33%	19%	32%	32%	27%	35%	39%	33%
No preference either way	4	3	1	0	26	36	10	11	8	2	51	14	63	649
	16%	6%	8%	6%	12%	7%	7%	4%	10%	5%	8%	11%	7%	10%
Probably should not	3	5	1	0	33	57	8	7	9	5	86	15	88	876
	12%	12%	13%	8%	15%	11%	6%	3%	12%	17%	14%	11%	10%	13%
Definitely should not	2	2	1	0	16	34	10	17	6	2	46	10	70	575
	7%	4%	9%	5%	7%	6%	7%	6%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	0	11	6	2	0	0	7	3	3	107
	2%	2%	3%	1%	0	2%	4%	1%	0	1%	1%	2%	0	2%
Total	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Should - T2B	17	31	6	4	149	387	110	237	51	20	441	89	653	4590
	63%	75%	67%	80%	66%	74%	76%	86%	70%	69%	70%	68%	74%	68%
Should not - B2B	5	7	2	1	49	91	18	24	7	14	132	24	158	1451
	19%	17%	23%	13%	22%	17%	13%	9%	20%	25%	21%	19%	18%	21%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB25v2. 8. CROWD Crowd surveillance: Do you think the police doing this...

Page 139
Table: 70

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6797	251	250	251	260	256	273	249	251	249	250	250	249	251	250
Base: All valid responses	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
Effective Base	2334.9	227.9	229.2	249.1	46.0	248.5	240.9	151.0	244.5	227.5	215.5	239.0	246.5	216.2	209.8
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	4204 61%	63 54%	89 57%	55 56%	6 32%	77 55%	47 61%	9 49%	33 45%	489 56%	700 63%	52 34%	73 54%	43 66%	611 74%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	1319 19%	33 28%	28 18%	24 24%	4 22%	33 23%	17 22%	5 28%	25 34%	174 20%	239 21%	56 37%	27 20%	13 19%	66 8%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	920 13%	15 13%	29 18%	16 17%	3 15%	24 17%	11 15%	3 18%	13 18%	134 15%	120 11%	32 21%	31 23%	8 12%	94 11%
(Don't know)	420 6%	6 5%	9 6%	4 4%	6 32%	6 5%	1 2%	1 5%	2 2%	83 9%	57 5%	12 8%	4 3%	2 3%	55 7%
Total	6864 100%	117 100%	155 100%	99 100%	17 100%	141 100%	78 100%	19 100%	74 100%	880 100%	1116 100%	152 100%	135 100%	66 100%	826 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB25v2. 8. CROWD Crowd surveillance: Do you think the police doing this...

Page 140
Table: 70

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	251	250	253	249	251	249	250	251	250	250	251	249	6797
Base: All valid responses	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
Effective Base	246.5	218.9	57.7	243.3	235.8	248.9	245.9	190.9	190.7	201.4	225.7	242.6	217.8	6797.0
...helps to protect people's rights and freedoms	14	25	4	4	126	313	86	198	41	15	370	86	575	3917
	51%	61%	44%	81%	56%	60%	60%	72%	56%	52%	59%	66%	66%	58%
...threatens people's rights and freedoms	5	4	2	1	52	98	20	26	14	6	142	19	186	1395
	20%	9%	20%	9%	23%	19%	14%	9%	19%	20%	23%	15%	21%	21%
...has no impact on people's rights and freedoms	5	10	1	0	40	78	26	42	14	7	64	20	79	1112
	20%	23%	18%	6%	18%	15%	18%	15%	19%	23%	10%	15%	9%	16%
(Don't know)	2	2	2	0	7	36	12	9	4	2	55	5	37	373
	8%	6%	18%	3%	3%	7%	8%	3%	5%	5%	9%	4%	4%	5%
Total	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The police should only rely on uniformed policemen on the spot to control the situation

Page 141
Table: 71

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6797	251	250	251	260	256	273	249	251	249	250	250	249	251	250
Base: All valid responses	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
Effective Base	2334.9	227.9	229.2	249.1	46.0	248.5	240.9	151.0	244.5	227.5	215.5	239.0	246.5	216.2	209.8
Strongly agree	1467	20	28	32	3	31	14	6	18	183	141	45	56	12	142
	21%	17%	18%	33%	15%	22%	18%	32%	25%	21%	13%	29%	41%	19%	17%
Tend to agree	1192	24	30	9	3	38	10	4	17	218	191	21	24	13	80
	17%	20%	19%	9%	15%	27%	13%	21%	23%	25%	17%	14%	18%	20%	10%
Neither agree nor disagree	507	9	11	11	8	21	9	2	5	65	56	38	11	5	36
	7%	8%	7%	11%	43%	15%	12%	9%	6%	7%	5%	25%	8%	8%	4%
Tend to disagree	1864	36	42	19	2	33	23	4	25	216	454	21	14	17	260
	27%	31%	27%	19%	12%	23%	30%	21%	34%	24%	41%	14%	11%	26%	31%
Strongly disagree	1694	26	44	26	1	17	21	3	8	178	251	23	28	18	287
	25%	23%	28%	27%	8%	12%	27%	14%	11%	20%	22%	15%	20%	27%	35%
(Don't know)	140	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	19	24	5	2	0	22
	2%	2%	1%	2%	6%	1%	0	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%
Total	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	2659	43	58	41	5	68	24	10	35	401	331	66	80	25	221
	39%	37%	37%	41%	30%	48%	31%	53%	48%	46%	30%	43%	59%	38%	27%
Disagree - B2B	3558	62	86	45	3	49	44	7	33	394	705	44	42	35	547
	52%	53%	55%	46%	20%	35%	57%	36%	45%	45%	63%	29%	31%	53%	66%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: The police should only rely on uniformed policemen on the spot to control the situation

Page 142
Table: 71

	Country												
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK
Unweighted Base	253	251	250	253	249	251	249	250	251	250	250	251	249
Base: All valid responses	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877
Effective Base	246.5	218.9	57.7	243.3	235.8	248.9	245.9	190.9	190.7	201.4	225.7	242.6	217.8
Strongly agree	11	18	3	1	31	152	40	125	20	8	188	26	116
	41%	44%	30%	20%	14%	29%	28%	45%	28%	27%	30%	20%	13%
Tend to agree	7	8	2	1	28	98	31	21	16	5	109	17	167
	27%	21%	24%	13%	13%	19%	22%	8%	22%	19%	17%	13%	19%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	4	1	0	22	48	15	21	15	4	22	17	48
	12%	10%	11%	8%	10%	9%	10%	8%	20%	13%	4%	13%	5%
Tend to disagree	3	7	2	2	65	129	26	27	12	3	123	20	281
	11%	16%	18%	29%	29%	25%	18%	10%	16%	11%	19%	16%	32%
Strongly disagree	2	3	1	2	77	91	26	68	10	8	175	48	250
	8%	8%	13%	30%	34%	17%	18%	25%	14%	28%	28%	37%	29%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	13	0	0	14	3	14
	1%	0	3%	0	0	1%	4%	5%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Total	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	18	26	5	2	60	250	71	145	36	13	297	43	283
	68%	65%	55%	33%	27%	48%	49%	53%	49%	46%	47%	33%	32%
Disagree - B2B	5	10	3	3	142	220	52	95	22	11	298	68	532
	18%	25%	31%	58%	63%	42%	36%	35%	30%	40%	47%	53%	61%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People participating in {@} should expect to be monitored by the police in a number of different ways

Page 143
Table: 72

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6797	251	250	251	260	256	273	249	251	249	250	250	249	251	250
Base: All valid responses	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
Effective Base	2334.9	227.9	229.2	249.1	46.0	248.5	240.9	151.0	244.5	227.5	215.5	239.0	246.5	216.2	209.8
Strongly agree	3080 45%	38 33%	65 42%	56 57%	5 28%	54 38%	29 38%	7 39%	24 33%	327 37%	422 38%	56 37%	83 62%	22 33%	465 56%
Tend to agree	2216 32%	41 35%	58 38%	16 16%	3 19%	53 37%	27 35%	6 31%	24 33%	344 39%	380 34%	36 24%	20 15%	28 43%	205 25%
Neither agree nor disagree	398 6%	6 5%	10 6%	6 6%	7 38%	11 7%	8 10%	2 9%	7 9%	41 5%	58 5%	27 18%	12 9%	6 8%	40 5%
Tend to disagree	589 9%	22 19%	13 8%	6 6%	1 5%	13 9%	9 11%	2 12%	12 16%	66 8%	164 15%	16 11%	7 5%	6 9%	45 5%
Strongly disagree	474 7%	9 7%	7 4%	11 11%	1 4%	10 7%	4 6%	1 5%	5 7%	90 10%	91 8%	14 9%	11 8%	4 6%	48 6%
(Don't know)	106 2%	2 1%	2 2%	3 3%	1 6%	1 1%	1 1%	1 4%	2 3%	12 1%	0 0	3 2%	3 2%	1 1%	22 3%
Total	6864 100%	117 100%	155 100%	99 100%	17 100%	141 100%	78 100%	19 100%	74 100%	880 100%	1116 100%	152 100%	135 100%	66 100%	826 100%
Agree - T2B	5296 77%	79 67%	123 79%	73 74%	8 47%	107 76%	56 73%	13 70%	48 65%	671 76%	803 72%	93 61%	103 76%	50 76%	671 81%
Disagree - B2B	1063 15%	31 26%	19 13%	17 17%	2 9%	22 16%	13 17%	3 18%	16 22%	157 18%	255 23%	30 20%	17 13%	10 15%	93 11%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People participating in {@} should expect to be monitored by the police in a number of different ways

Page 144
Table: 72

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	253	251	250	253	249	251	249	250	251	250	250	251	249	6797
Base: All valid responses	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
Effective Base	246.5	218.9	57.7	243.3	235.8	248.9	245.9	190.9	190.7	201.4	225.7	242.6	217.8	6797.0
Strongly agree	12	17	3	3	109	240	80	164	31	17	391	70	287	3039
	43%	42%	40%	47%	49%	46%	55%	60%	42%	59%	62%	54%	33%	45%
Tend to agree	8	11	3	2	80	194	40	44	23	8	122	35	404	2080
	31%	28%	32%	32%	36%	37%	28%	16%	31%	26%	19%	27%	46%	31%
Neither agree nor disagree	2	5	1	0	13	21	9	13	10	1	24	8	52	534
	7%	11%	9%	9%	6%	4%	7%	5%	14%	5%	4%	6%	6%	8%
Tend to disagree	2	3	1	0	11	28	6	23	5	1	39	10	79	563
	7%	7%	9%	7%	5%	5%	4%	8%	7%	2%	6%	7%	9%	8%
Strongly disagree	2	3	1	0	9	25	5	14	4	2	53	4	48	439
	9%	8%	8%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%	6%	6%	8%	3%	6%	6%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	2	16	5	17	0	0	2	3	6	142
	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	6%	0	1%	0	2%	1%	2%
Total	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	20	29	6	4	190	434	120	208	53	25	514	105	692	5119
	74%	70%	72%	78%	85%	83%	83%	76%	73%	86%	81%	81%	79%	75%
Disagree - B2B	4	6	1	1	20	53	10	37	10	2	92	14	127	1002
	16%	15%	17%	10%	9%	10%	7%	13%	13%	8%	15%	11%	15%	15%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People should not be monitored at all before any trouble has happened

Page 145
Table: 73

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6797	251	250	251	260	256	273	249	251	249	250	250	249	251	250
Base: All valid responses	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
Effective Base	2334.9	227.9	229.2	249.1	46.0	248.5	240.9	151.0	244.5	227.5	215.5	239.0	246.5	216.2	209.8
Strongly agree	1705 25%	42 36%	39 25%	33 34%	3 19%	40 28%	15 19%	3 17%	22 30%	177 20%	391 35%	52 34%	65 48%	9 14%	128 15%
Tend to agree	1452 21%	39 33%	40 26%	12 12%	3 15%	34 24%	15 19%	5 27%	20 27%	196 22%	307 27%	33 23%	26 19%	11 17%	113 14%
Neither agree nor disagree	536 8%	5 5%	16 10%	10 10%	7 39%	18 13%	7 9%	2 10%	7 10%	84 10%	39 4%	24 16%	20 15%	6 10%	45 5%
Tend to disagree	1593 23%	17 14%	30 19%	13 13%	2 12%	32 23%	20 26%	5 28%	19 26%	213 24%	246 22%	19 12%	11 8%	20 31%	194 23%
Strongly disagree	1393 20%	12 11%	30 19%	28 29%	2 9%	17 12%	20 25%	3 16%	5 7%	177 20%	96 9%	20 13%	11 8%	18 28%	301 36%
(Don't know)	186 3%	1 1%	1 0	2 2%	1 6%	0 0	1 1%	1 3%	1 1%	32 4%	36 3%	3 2%	2 2%	0 1%	46 6%
Total	6864 100%	117 100%	155 100%	99 100%	17 100%	141 100%	78 100%	19 100%	74 100%	880 100%	1116 100%	152 100%	135 100%	66 100%	826 100%
Agree - T2B	3157 46%	82 70%	79 51%	45 45%	6 34%	73 52%	30 38%	8 44%	42 57%	373 42%	698 63%	86 57%	91 67%	21 31%	241 29%
Disagree - B2B	2985 43%	29 25%	59 38%	41 42%	4 21%	49 35%	40 51%	8 43%	24 32%	390 44%	343 31%	39 26%	22 16%	38 58%	495 60%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: People should not be monitored at all before any trouble has happened

Page 146
Table: 73

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	251	250	253	249	251	249	250	251	250	250	251	249	6797
Base: All valid responses	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
Effective Base	246.5	218.9	57.7	243.3	235.8	248.9	245.9	190.9	190.7	201.4	225.7	242.6	217.8	6797.0
Strongly agree	5	16	2	1	68	65	27	87	23	8	221	30	130	1823
	20%	39%	22%	27%	30%	12%	19%	32%	31%	27%	35%	23%	15%	27%
Tend to agree	5	9	2	1	56	107	39	35	17	6	136	30	155	1480
	18%	22%	23%	18%	25%	20%	27%	13%	24%	20%	22%	23%	18%	22%
Neither agree nor disagree	3	4	1	0	22	39	12	20	10	3	24	15	90	670
	12%	9%	12%	6%	10%	7%	8%	7%	14%	10%	4%	12%	10%	10%
Tend to disagree	7	7	2	1	50	195	26	39	13	3	112	18	280	1383
	27%	16%	27%	21%	22%	37%	18%	14%	18%	11%	18%	14%	32%	20%
Strongly disagree	5	4	1	1	27	112	34	81	9	9	123	37	210	1300
	18%	9%	15%	26%	12%	21%	23%	29%	12%	30%	19%	28%	24%	19%
(Don't know)	1	2	0	0	2	6	6	13	0	0	16	1	12	141
	5%	5%	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%	5%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Total	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	10	25	4	2	124	172	66	122	40	14	357	59	285	3303
	38%	61%	44%	45%	55%	33%	46%	45%	55%	48%	57%	45%	33%	49%
Disagree - B2B	12	10	3	3	77	307	60	119	22	12	234	55	490	2683
	45%	25%	41%	46%	34%	59%	41%	43%	30%	41%	37%	42%	56%	39%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: It is unnecessary to monitor everyone just because there are a few trouble-makers

Page 147
Table: 74

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	6797	251	250	251	260	256	273	249	251	249	250	250	249	251	250
Base: All valid responses	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
Effective Base	2334.9	227.9	229.2	249.1	46.0	248.5	240.9	151.0	244.5	227.5	215.5	239.0	246.5	216.2	209.8
Strongly agree	1729	46	36	42	4	36	16	7	22	211	310	44	48	15	127
	25%	39%	23%	43%	24%	26%	20%	40%	30%	24%	28%	29%	36%	23%	15%
Tend to agree	1678	31	43	12	2	45	22	5	20	282	340	38	25	16	117
	24%	27%	28%	12%	12%	32%	28%	28%	27%	32%	30%	25%	19%	25%	14%
Neither agree nor disagree	572	9	20	9	7	17	10	2	8	43	99	25	25	7	68
	8%	8%	13%	9%	38%	12%	13%	9%	11%	5%	9%	17%	19%	10%	8%
Tend to disagree	1527	17	34	15	2	27	17	3	17	206	268	18	14	17	168
	22%	15%	22%	15%	11%	19%	21%	15%	23%	23%	24%	12%	10%	26%	20%
Strongly disagree	1199	13	18	19	2	13	12	1	7	123	86	22	20	10	292
	17%	11%	12%	19%	9%	10%	15%	6%	9%	14%	8%	15%	15%	15%	35%
(Don't know)	160	1	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	14	13	4	2	1	55
	2%	0	2%	2%	6%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	7%
Total	6864	117	155	99	17	141	78	19	74	880	1116	152	135	66	826
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	3406	77	80	54	6	82	37	13	42	493	651	82	74	31	244
	50%	66%	51%	55%	36%	58%	48%	68%	57%	56%	58%	54%	55%	48%	30%
Disagree - B2B	2725	30	52	34	4	41	28	4	24	329	354	40	34	27	460
	40%	26%	34%	34%	20%	29%	37%	22%	32%	37%	32%	27%	26%	41%	56%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB26v2. Scenarios: 8. Crowd surveillance: FOOTBALL MATCHES: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about this scenario?: It is unnecessary to monitor everyone just because there are a few trouble-makers

Page 148
Table: 74

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	253	251	250	253	249	251	249	250	251	250	250	251	249	6797
Base: All valid responses	27	41	8	5	225	525	144	275	73	29	631	130	877	6797
Effective Base	246.5	218.9	57.7	243.3	235.8	248.9	245.9	190.9	190.7	201.4	225.7	242.6	217.8	6797.0
Strongly agree	7 25%	14 33%	2 25%	1 26%	48 22%	77 15%	31 21%	113 41%	21 29%	7 25%	239 38%	36 27%	168 19%	1885 28%
Tend to agree	7 27%	11 26%	3 38%	1 21%	55 24%	145 28%	40 28%	41 15%	19 26%	9 30%	117 19%	32 24%	198 23%	1693 25%
Neither agree nor disagree	4 13%	5 12%	1 10%	0 8%	20 9%	50 10%	16 11%	16 6%	13 17%	3 10%	20 3%	17 13%	58 7%	735 11%
Tend to disagree	5 18%	6 14%	1 15%	1 21%	55 24%	137 26%	24 17%	37 13%	13 17%	3 10%	107 17%	19 15%	295 34%	1283 19%
Strongly disagree	4 15%	5 13%	1 10%	1 23%	42 19%	96 18%	26 18%	62 22%	7 10%	6 21%	139 22%	24 19%	146 17%	1059 16%
(Don't know)	0 2%	1 2%	0 3%	0 2%	4 2%	19 4%	7 5%	7 3%	0 0	1 3%	9 1%	2 2%	12 1%	142 2%
Total	27 100%	41 100%	8 100%	5 100%	225 100%	525 100%	144 100%	275 100%	73 100%	29 100%	631 100%	130 100%	877 100%	6797 100%
Agree - T2B	14 52%	24 59%	5 63%	3 47%	103 46%	222 42%	71 49%	153 56%	41 56%	16 56%	356 56%	67 52%	366 42%	3578 53%
Disagree - B2B	9 33%	11 26%	2 25%	2 44%	97 43%	233 44%	50 35%	98 36%	20 27%	9 31%	246 39%	43 33%	441 50%	2342 34%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB27v2. 8. FOOTBALL MATCHES Crowd surveillance: 8. Crowd surveillance: You said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen. Why do you say that?

Page 149
Table: 75

	Weighted total	Country													
		Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Effective Base	990.7	82.9	81.0	102.2	94.7	120.9	79.7	133.7	119.7	101.3	68.4	102.9	146.1	68.0	55.9
Unweighted Base	2987	92	89	103	116	125	91	138	123	113	78	108	147	87	69
Base: All respondents who said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen	2659	43	58	41	5	68	24	10	35	401	331	66	80	25	221
It would be more effective at controlling the crowd/stopping troublemakers	1318 50%	20 47%	30 52%	34 84%	4 66%	31 46%	9 35%	5 51%	17 48%	167 42%	170 51%	41 62%	41 51%	12 49%	118 53%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	740 28%	9 20%	18 31%	6 16%	2 30%	17 26%	10 42%	4 43%	7 21%	151 38%	74 22%	26 40%	24 30%	6 22%	57 26%
It collects less information about people	108 4%	4 10%	3 4%	5 12%	0 9%	3 4%	0 2%	0 2%	1 2%	15 4%	9 3%	4 6%	2 3%	1 3%	3 1%
It would be cheaper	67 3%	1 2%	1 2%	1 2%	0 4%	3 4%	0 0%	0 4%	1 2%	6 1%	0 0%	3 5%	0 0%	1 2%	7 3%
Another reason	714 27%	11 26%	20 34%	5 13%	0 1%	19 29%	7 27%	1 7%	10 29%	96 24%	105 32%	3 4%	14 17%	10 39%	53 24%
(Don't know)	121 5%	3 6%	2 4%	0 0%	0 1%	4 7%	0 2%	1 6%	2 7%	26 7%	4 1%	0 0%	3 4%	1 3%	7 3%
Total	3068 115%	48 112%	74 127%	52 126%	6 112%	78 115%	26 109%	11 113%	38 109%	460 115%	362 109%	77 118%	84 105%	30 118%	246 111%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QB27v2. 8. FOOTBALL MATCHES Crowd surveillance: 8. Crowd surveillance: You said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen. Why do you say that?

Page 150
Table: 75

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Effective Base	169.7	132.7	19.0	80.6	64.1	117.9	121.5	101.6	97.8	118.0	111.1	80.5	69.3	2987.0
Unweighted Base	174	160	121	84	67	119	123	133	124	119	121	83	80	2987
Base: All respondents who said the police should only rely on uniformed policemen	18	26	5	2	60	250	71	145	36	13	297	43	283	2987
It would be more effective at controlling the crowd/stopping troublemakers	11	11	2	1	22	139	41	78	16	7	109	18	163	1561
	59%	40%	44%	60%	36%	56%	58%	54%	44%	55%	37%	41%	58%	52%
It is more respectful of people's rights and freedoms	3	8	1	0	18	40	19	31	7	3	102	10	86	802
	19%	31%	21%	22%	31%	16%	26%	21%	19%	25%	34%	22%	30%	27%
It collects less information about people	0	1	0	0	1	4	1	4	3	2	6	3	30	145
	2%	4%	8%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	7%	14%	2%	7%	11%	5%
It would be cheaper	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	6	1	1	10	1	20	86
	4%	4%	5%	0	1%	1%	2%	4%	2%	8%	3%	1%	7%	3%
Another reason	4	7	0	0	28	73	9	44	11	2	93	14	75	687
	22%	27%	10%	24%	47%	29%	12%	30%	31%	15%	31%	32%	26%	23%
(Don't know)	1	2	1	0	5	15	5	5	3	1	18	3	9	151
	3%	8%	27%	5%	8%	6%	7%	3%	8%	6%	6%	6%	3%	5%
Total	20	30	5	2	75	273	75	168	40	16	339	48	382	3432
	109%	115%	115%	113%	125%	109%	106%	116%	111%	123%	114%	111%	135%	115%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Poor people not being able to access healthcare services

Page 151
Table: 76

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	4688	16	44	144	14	24	19	6	33	501	335	283	100	30	848
	26%	6%	13%	49%	46%	7%	8%	12%	15%	20%	11%	73%	28%	22%	39%
At least once a week	3547	41	81	43	8	41	28	7	42	528	587	65	56	32	477
	20%	16%	24%	15%	25%	12%	12%	14%	19%	21%	20%	17%	16%	24%	22%
At least once a month	4313	81	99	53	6	93	59	14	67	713	832	20	87	40	362
	24%	33%	30%	18%	18%	29%	26%	29%	31%	29%	28%	5%	24%	30%	17%
At least once in the last year	2248	47	57	19	1	65	41	10	39	375	559	8	36	18	121
	12%	19%	17%	6%	3%	20%	18%	22%	18%	15%	19%	2%	10%	13%	6%
Not in the last year	570	14	6	7	1	21	13	2	9	66	111	7	11	4	30
	3%	6%	2%	2%	2%	6%	6%	4%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%
Never	2428	45	35	24	1	72	68	8	24	255	466	1	59	12	282
	13%	18%	11%	8%	5%	22%	29%	18%	11%	10%	16%	0	16%	9%	13%
(Don't know)	286	5	8	1	0	12	3	1	3	51	43	2	11	0	35
	2%	2%	2%	0	0	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	0	2%
Total	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Poor people not being able to access healthcare services

Page 152
Table: 76

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	12	23	2	5	52	345	286	286	49	19	837	38	339	4805
	17%	21%	11%	31%	9%	22%	69%	41%	31%	32%	55%	9%	15%	27%
At least once a week	11	17	2	2	107	395	66	125	20	13	281	79	393	3145
	16%	16%	15%	14%	18%	25%	16%	18%	13%	22%	19%	19%	17%	18%
At least once a month	24	25	3	5	182	475	30	127	32	13	228	132	511	4114
	34%	23%	21%	31%	31%	30%	7%	18%	20%	22%	15%	32%	22%	24%
At least once in the last year	11	20	3	1	108	180	8	90	23	7	55	78	267	2253
	16%	19%	17%	7%	19%	11%	2%	13%	14%	11%	4%	19%	12%	13%
Not in the last year	3	2	1	0	17	67	7	17	8	1	14	18	113	598
	4%	2%	9%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%	4%	5%	3%
Never	8	19	4	2	113	71	13	41	22	7	82	70	623	2319
	11%	18%	24%	14%	19%	5%	3%	6%	14%	11%	5%	17%	27%	13%
(Don't know)	1	1	1	0	6	36	5	13	3	0	13	3	30	259
	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Youth unemployment

Page 153
Table: 77

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	6632 37%	22 9%	64 19%	173 59%	23 72%	73 22%	27 12%	7 14%	45 20%	940 38%	289 10%	324 84%	149 41%	31 23%	1532 71%
At least once a week	3744 21%	63 25%	101 31%	42 14%	6 19%	72 22%	57 25%	8 18%	48 22%	667 27%	618 21%	36 9%	72 20%	37 28%	356 17%
At least once a month	4000 22%	97 39%	85 26%	45 15%	2 6%	104 32%	77 33%	13 28%	82 37%	595 24%	960 33%	13 3%	63 17%	35 26%	146 7%
At least once in the last year	1847 10%	37 15%	44 13%	11 4%	0 1%	35 11%	39 17%	9 19%	29 13%	165 7%	546 19%	2 1%	30 8%	16 12%	40 2%
Not in the last year	469 3%	7 3%	9 3%	4 1%	0 1%	13 4%	9 4%	2 4%	4 2%	16 1%	137 5%	4 1%	10 3%	6 5%	11 0
Never	1257 7%	20 8%	25 8%	15 5%	0 1%	27 8%	21 9%	7 15%	7 3%	90 4%	363 12%	4 1%	34 9%	8 6%	59 3%
(Don't know)	131 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 0	0 0	3 1%	2 1%	0 1%	3 2%	18 1%	21 1%	3 1%	4 1%	0 0	10 0
Total	18080 100%	248 100%	330 100%	291 100%	31 100%	327 100%	231 100%	47 100%	219 100%	2490 100%	2933 100%	387 100%	361 100%	135 100%	2154 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Youth unemployment

Page 154
Table: 77

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	14	30	4	5	48	459	322	285	77	30	1154	61	446	6359
	20%	28%	27%	33%	8%	29%	78%	41%	50%	50%	76%	15%	20%	36%
At least once a week	14	19	3	3	120	405	50	93	24	13	205	93	523	3471
	20%	18%	20%	16%	21%	26%	12%	13%	15%	21%	14%	22%	23%	20%
At least once a month	19	27	3	4	210	387	23	124	33	10	94	135	613	3973
	27%	25%	22%	25%	36%	25%	6%	18%	21%	17%	6%	32%	27%	23%
At least once in the last year	10	12	3	1	98	159	4	100	9	3	20	68	357	1768
	14%	12%	17%	7%	17%	10%	1%	14%	6%	5%	1%	16%	16%	10%
Not in the last year	3	1	1	0	21	50	7	22	5	1	20	16	91	465
	4%	1%	5%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	4%	4%	3%
Never	9	17	1	3	87	87	7	64	7	3	8	42	240	1310
	13%	15%	7%	17%	15%	6%	2%	9%	5%	5%	1%	10%	11%	7%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	1	20	2	13	0	0	10	3	9	147
	2%	1%	3%	0	0	1%	1%	2%	0	0	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Corporate tax evasion

Page 155
Table: 78

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	3388	19	25	67	4	53	16	3	24	420	277	79	76	10	795
	19%	8%	8%	23%	14%	16%	7%	7%	11%	17%	9%	20%	21%	8%	37%
At least once a week	2689	46	42	27	8	47	23	3	28	347	532	85	52	16	404
	15%	18%	13%	9%	25%	14%	10%	6%	13%	14%	18%	22%	14%	12%	19%
At least once a month	4214	87	89	47	10	86	64	7	63	578	926	94	74	30	492
	23%	35%	27%	16%	34%	26%	28%	16%	29%	23%	32%	24%	21%	23%	23%
At least once in the last year	3153	53	64	36	3	54	58	11	60	575	662	48	44	27	177
	17%	21%	20%	12%	10%	16%	25%	23%	27%	23%	23%	12%	12%	20%	8%
Not in the last year	784	10	14	15	2	17	18	3	10	68	73	29	11	10	47
	4%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	8%	6%	5%	3%	2%	8%	3%	7%	2%
Never	3424	31	89	90	3	59	50	17	28	435	414	36	93	39	189
	19%	13%	27%	31%	10%	18%	22%	37%	13%	17%	14%	9%	26%	29%	9%
(Don't know)	428	2	6	9	1	11	3	2	5	66	50	15	10	2	49
	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%
Total	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Corporate tax evasion

Page 156
Table: 78

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	4	10	1	3	23	129	142	148	43	17	780	22	198	2878
	5%	9%	6%	17%	4%	8%	34%	21%	27%	28%	52%	5%	9%	16%
At least once a week	4	11	2	2	57	124	69	80	14	8	319	25	314	2313
	6%	10%	12%	11%	10%	8%	17%	11%	9%	14%	21%	6%	14%	13%
At least once a month	17	23	3	3	126	352	77	97	37	11	234	67	517	3959
	25%	21%	22%	18%	22%	22%	19%	14%	23%	18%	15%	16%	23%	23%
At least once in the last year	15	20	3	2	121	309	44	114	25	8	58	116	445	3089
	22%	19%	18%	11%	21%	20%	11%	16%	16%	13%	4%	28%	20%	18%
Not in the last year	3	3	1	0	30	182	22	49	7	1	23	33	103	869
	5%	3%	6%	3%	5%	12%	5%	7%	4%	2%	2%	8%	5%	5%
Never	23	37	4	6	223	440	38	151	28	14	57	150	677	3900
	34%	34%	29%	36%	38%	28%	9%	22%	18%	24%	4%	36%	30%	22%
(Don't know)	3	3	1	1	5	32	22	61	2	1	40	5	23	485
	4%	3%	6%	4%	1%	2%	5%	9%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Women not being treated equally to men

Page 157
Table: 79

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	3141	24	43	28	3	30	9	2	11	535	247	40	61	12	576
	17%	10%	13%	10%	9%	9%	4%	4%	5%	21%	8%	10%	17%	9%	27%
At least once a week	2614	40	45	13	4	31	15	2	21	442	415	41	46	15	365
	14%	16%	14%	4%	12%	9%	6%	5%	10%	18%	14%	11%	13%	11%	17%
At least once a month	3816	80	82	26	7	71	34	8	56	628	749	55	67	28	371
	21%	32%	25%	9%	24%	22%	15%	17%	26%	25%	26%	14%	19%	21%	17%
At least once in the last year	3052	49	71	26	4	63	48	10	55	444	697	67	50	27	239
	17%	20%	22%	9%	13%	19%	21%	20%	25%	18%	24%	17%	14%	20%	11%
Not in the last year	1080	8	17	22	4	27	29	3	20	41	155	71	22	12	113
	6%	3%	5%	8%	14%	8%	13%	6%	9%	2%	5%	18%	6%	9%	5%
Never	4078	46	67	170	9	98	95	20	52	352	642	105	103	39	467
	23%	19%	20%	59%	28%	30%	41%	42%	24%	14%	22%	27%	29%	29%	22%
(Don't know)	298	1	5	5	0	7	2	2	5	48	29	7	12	1	23
	2%	0	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%
Total	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Women not being treated equally to men

Page 158
Table: 79

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	2	6	2	3	25	133	160	126	32	6	689	56	278	2445
	3%	6%	13%	20%	4%	9%	39%	18%	20%	11%	46%	13%	12%	14%
At least once a week	3	6	2	1	48	197	70	63	17	6	305	85	317	2031
	5%	5%	10%	9%	8%	13%	17%	9%	11%	10%	20%	20%	14%	12%
At least once a month	10	13	3	3	125	408	65	106	33	11	252	109	416	3415
	14%	12%	20%	16%	21%	26%	16%	15%	21%	19%	17%	26%	18%	20%
At least once in the last year	13	12	3	2	131	286	42	98	19	9	92	77	420	2920
	18%	12%	17%	12%	22%	18%	10%	14%	12%	15%	6%	18%	18%	17%
Not in the last year	5	6	2	1	51	156	23	58	17	2	33	18	165	1277
	7%	6%	10%	3%	9%	10%	6%	8%	11%	3%	2%	4%	7%	7%
Never	35	61	4	6	204	354	42	198	36	25	116	69	664	5090
	50%	57%	28%	39%	35%	23%	10%	28%	23%	41%	8%	17%	29%	29%
(Don't know)	1	2	0	0	2	34	12	49	2	1	24	4	18	315
	1%	2%	3%	1%	0	2%	3%	7%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Terrorist attacks anywhere in your country

Page 159
Table: 80

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	1820	3	15	43	4	15	6	2	8	243	82	55	25	4	229
	10%	1%	5%	15%	12%	5%	3%	4%	4%	10%	3%	14%	7%	3%	11%
At least once a week	1536	7	27	16	7	20	12	2	9	236	258	56	17	4	224
	8%	3%	8%	6%	24%	6%	5%	5%	4%	9%	9%	14%	5%	3%	10%
At least once a month	3504	29	53	47	8	70	30	4	34	559	702	90	41	10	460
	19%	12%	16%	16%	25%	21%	13%	8%	15%	22%	24%	23%	11%	7%	21%
At least once in the last year	4801	74	106	68	4	97	72	11	62	843	998	72	67	28	438
	27%	30%	32%	23%	12%	30%	31%	23%	28%	34%	34%	19%	18%	20%	20%
Not in the last year	1749	28	21	33	3	29	32	5	25	150	263	46	34	21	232
	10%	11%	6%	11%	8%	9%	14%	11%	12%	6%	9%	12%	9%	16%	11%
Never	4440	106	103	83	5	90	79	22	77	424	621	62	171	69	522
	25%	43%	31%	28%	18%	28%	34%	47%	35%	17%	21%	16%	47%	51%	24%
(Don't know)	231	1	6	2	0	5	1	1	4	36	8	5	6	0	49
	1%	0	2%	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	2%	1%	0	1%	2%	0	2%
Total	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Terrorist attacks anywhere in your country

Page 160
Table: 80

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	4	10	1	2	12	115	108	73	18	3	581	5	152	1612
	6%	9%	4%	15%	2%	7%	26%	10%	12%	5%	38%	1%	7%	9%
At least once a week	3	8	1	1	29	145	50	40	7	2	175	9	172	1255
	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	9%	12%	6%	5%	3%	12%	2%	8%	7%
At least once a month	12	15	2	1	84	319	61	74	22	5	273	35	467	2743
	17%	14%	11%	9%	14%	20%	15%	11%	14%	9%	18%	8%	20%	16%
At least once in the last year	18	22	3	2	187	395	50	99	27	7	231	114	707	4150
	25%	21%	18%	16%	32%	25%	12%	14%	17%	12%	15%	27%	31%	24%
Not in the last year	6	5	2	1	47	192	49	76	16	3	167	56	206	1735
	9%	5%	10%	6%	8%	12%	12%	11%	10%	6%	11%	14%	9%	10%
Never	26	46	7	8	225	358	91	315	61	39	70	194	565	5774
	37%	43%	48%	49%	38%	23%	22%	45%	39%	65%	5%	46%	25%	33%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	3	45	6	21	4	1	13	4	9	224
	1%	1%	2%	1%	0	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Young people using alcohol and drugs excessively

Page 161
Table: 81

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	4526	28	59	152	16	43	17	9	28	621	316	206	107	26	800
	25%	11%	18%	52%	51%	13%	7%	19%	13%	25%	11%	53%	30%	19%	37%
At least once a week	3977	45	83	49	8	57	36	10	41	600	698	91	71	40	497
	22%	18%	25%	17%	25%	18%	16%	21%	19%	24%	24%	24%	20%	30%	23%
At least once a month	4830	88	93	47	3	103	82	14	88	640	1038	51	84	35	428
	27%	35%	28%	16%	10%	32%	35%	31%	40%	26%	35%	13%	23%	26%	20%
At least once in the last year	2362	54	47	16	1	51	49	7	38	356	553	14	31	17	178
	13%	22%	14%	6%	3%	16%	21%	16%	17%	14%	19%	4%	8%	13%	8%
Not in the last year	534	7	6	6	2	15	16	1	7	43	82	12	12	5	40
	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	4%	7%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%
Never	1652	24	39	21	2	53	29	4	16	202	216	8	51	11	175
	9%	10%	12%	7%	5%	16%	13%	9%	7%	8%	7%	2%	14%	8%	8%
(Don't know)	199	1	3	0	0	4	1	1	2	28	30	4	5	0	36
	1%	1%	1%	0	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%
Total	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Young people using alcohol and drugs excessively

Page 162
Table: 81

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	17	31	3	6	41	272	249	286	53	16	698	35	392	4880
	24%	29%	21%	38%	7%	17%	60%	41%	34%	26%	46%	8%	17%	28%
At least once a week	18	21	3	3	114	375	78	106	28	13	321	79	492	3621
	26%	20%	19%	17%	19%	24%	19%	15%	18%	21%	21%	19%	22%	21%
At least once a month	20	29	4	4	188	493	48	117	37	14	266	133	681	4516
	29%	27%	25%	24%	32%	31%	12%	17%	24%	24%	18%	32%	30%	26%
At least once in the last year	7	10	2	1	114	197	12	90	14	5	90	93	314	2119
	10%	9%	15%	6%	19%	13%	3%	13%	9%	8%	6%	22%	14%	12%
Not in the last year	1	1	1	1	23	79	8	12	5	1	23	23	100	540
	2%	1%	6%	8%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	6%	4%	3%
Never	5	13	2	1	104	124	16	77	17	10	94	51	284	1644
	7%	12%	11%	7%	18%	8%	4%	11%	11%	17%	6%	12%	12%	9%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	2	27	3	11	1	0	19	4	15	173
	2%	1%	3%	0	0	2%	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Extreme weather conditions

Page 163
Table: 82

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	2340	14	21	34	4	22	7	2	9	425	172	51	62	16	377
	13%	6%	6%	12%	13%	7%	3%	4%	4%	17%	6%	13%	17%	12%	18%
At least once a week	2601	31	45	24	8	28	16	4	23	417	427	65	66	18	363
	14%	13%	14%	8%	25%	9%	7%	8%	10%	17%	15%	17%	18%	13%	17%
At least once a month	4312	76	81	55	6	85	50	6	45	579	835	91	79	30	557
	24%	31%	24%	19%	18%	26%	21%	13%	21%	23%	28%	23%	22%	22%	26%
At least once in the last year	4148	73	83	51	4	101	81	11	59	516	804	86	63	36	371
	23%	29%	25%	18%	14%	31%	35%	24%	27%	21%	27%	22%	17%	27%	17%
Not in the last year	1009	11	17	30	4	20	17	4	17	102	102	46	13	10	123
	6%	4%	5%	10%	13%	6%	7%	9%	8%	4%	3%	12%	4%	8%	6%
Never	3474	42	79	94	5	66	60	19	62	424	567	44	75	24	336
	19%	17%	24%	32%	16%	20%	26%	41%	28%	17%	19%	11%	21%	18%	16%
(Don't know)	196	1	3	2	0	4	2	1	3	27	26	3	3	1	27
	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Total	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Extreme weather conditions

Page 164
Table: 82

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	4	10	1	2	15	133	140	91	25	11	294	16	383	1991
	6%	9%	9%	10%	3%	9%	34%	13%	16%	18%	19%	4%	17%	11%
At least once a week	5	9	1	1	33	211	86	83	18	10	212	38	360	2143
	7%	8%	7%	8%	6%	13%	21%	12%	12%	16%	14%	9%	16%	12%
At least once a month	13	22	3	2	92	361	79	106	38	14	418	80	508	3791
	19%	20%	19%	14%	16%	23%	19%	15%	24%	23%	28%	19%	22%	22%
At least once in the last year	19	27	3	2	179	394	54	165	25	12	329	129	470	4094
	27%	25%	17%	13%	31%	25%	13%	24%	16%	20%	22%	31%	21%	23%
Not in the last year	4	5	1	1	49	151	26	49	12	2	75	35	82	1185
	6%	5%	8%	5%	8%	10%	6%	7%	8%	4%	5%	8%	4%	7%
Never	23	33	5	8	212	290	20	180	36	11	169	118	469	4074
	34%	31%	36%	48%	36%	19%	5%	26%	23%	18%	11%	28%	21%	23%
(Don't know)	1	2	1	0	5	27	10	24	0	0	12	2	6	215
	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0	0	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Viruses damaging the national internet infrastructure

Page 165
Table: 83

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17493	537	547	743	520	585	855	647	757	719	694	633	672	527	673
Base: All valid responses	18080	248	330	291	31	327	231	47	219	2490	2933	387	361	135	2154
Effective Base	6448.3	485.3	503.4	736.9	104.7	568.7	755.3	501.6	736.9	662.3	621.1	606.5	666.7	467.0	577.4
Most days	1473 8%	11 4%	29 9%	29 10%	2 7%	21 6%	8 4%	2 4%	15 7%	224 9%	186 6%	34 9%	38 11%	7 5%	160 7%
At least once a week	1821 10%	26 10%	31 9%	18 6%	2 7%	27 8%	19 8%	4 9%	24 11%	231 9%	409 14%	40 10%	38 11%	12 9%	185 9%
At least once a month	3801 21%	68 27%	80 24%	30 10%	6 20%	76 23%	53 23%	8 18%	62 28%	515 21%	902 31%	56 14%	58 16%	23 17%	373 17%
At least once in the last year	3534 20%	62 25%	81 25%	35 12%	5 17%	77 24%	61 26%	10 22%	57 26%	561 23%	606 21%	61 16%	50 14%	28 21%	365 17%
Not in the last year	1173 6%	11 5%	13 4%	22 8%	4 12%	15 5%	18 8%	3 7%	15 7%	84 3%	97 3%	63 16%	17 5%	13 9%	162 8%
Never	5507 30%	69 28%	88 27%	140 48%	9 28%	97 30%	66 29%	16 35%	36 16%	776 31%	673 23%	104 27%	136 38%	50 37%	746 35%
(Don't know)	771 4%	2 1%	8 2%	16 5%	3 10%	14 4%	5 2%	3 6%	10 5%	100 4%	60 2%	30 8%	23 7%	2 2%	165 8%
Total	18080 100%	248 100%	330 100%	291 100%	31 100%	327 100%	231 100%	47 100%	219 100%	2490 100%	2933 100%	387 100%	361 100%	135 100%	2154 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC3ALT. How often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in your country in the last year?: Viruses damaging the national internet infrastructure

Page 166
Table: 83

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	646	666	508	649	645	754	721	648	538	529	596	808	676	17493
Base: All valid responses	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
Effective Base	628.0	639.0	498.9	107.2	610.6	747.4	711.9	532.2	435.8	471.3	546.2	780.2	598.8	17493.0
Most days	4	7	1	2	37	76	58	82	10	4	295	12	118	1402
	6%	6%	6%	15%	6%	5%	14%	12%	6%	6%	20%	3%	5%	8%
At least once a week	5	8	1	1	64	132	47	81	9	4	178	20	204	1565
	6%	7%	7%	8%	11%	8%	11%	12%	6%	7%	12%	5%	9%	9%
At least once a month	16	19	3	2	148	318	66	94	28	9	338	59	393	3423
	23%	18%	20%	16%	25%	20%	16%	13%	18%	15%	22%	14%	17%	20%
At least once in the last year	16	21	3	3	153	358	40	83	28	11	225	111	425	3413
	23%	20%	17%	17%	26%	23%	10%	12%	18%	18%	15%	27%	19%	20%
Not in the last year	2	3	2	0	38	160	30	58	12	2	87	37	204	1189
	3%	3%	10%	3%	7%	10%	7%	8%	8%	3%	6%	9%	9%	7%
Never	23	43	5	6	140	469	128	227	64	28	311	170	886	5674
	33%	41%	35%	37%	24%	30%	31%	33%	41%	47%	21%	41%	39%	32%
(Don't know)	3	6	1	1	6	54	47	73	5	3	77	8	48	827
	5%	5%	5%	5%	1%	3%	11%	10%	3%	4%	5%	2%	2%	5%
Total	70	107	15	16	586	1568	415	699	156	60	1510	418	2278	17493
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Getting a serious sickness

Page 167
Table: 84

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	2557	12	36	78	7	34	16	7	17	317	215	102	53	6	461
	14%	5%	11%	27%	23%	10%	7%	14%	8%	13%	7%	26%	15%	4%	21%
At least once a week	1500	11	21	25	3	16	21	4	18	206	287	49	34	9	175
	8%	4%	6%	9%	12%	5%	9%	8%	8%	8%	10%	13%	9%	7%	8%
At least once a month	3720	34	65	59	5	63	49	10	50	455	795	74	64	23	450
	21%	14%	19%	20%	16%	19%	21%	22%	23%	18%	27%	19%	18%	17%	21%
At least once in the last year	4694	94	99	54	4	98	79	13	67	652	882	50	84	44	430
	26%	38%	30%	18%	16%	30%	34%	27%	31%	26%	30%	13%	23%	32%	20%
Not in the last year	1264	22	19	17	3	28	21	3	24	153	142	42	26	12	137
	7%	9%	6%	6%	9%	9%	9%	7%	11%	6%	5%	11%	7%	9%	6%
Never	4211	68	92	58	7	82	46	10	39	655	633	67	96	41	471
	23%	28%	28%	20%	23%	25%	20%	20%	18%	26%	21%	17%	27%	30%	22%
(Don't know)	194	4	2	1	0	6	1	0	3	37	23	4	3	2	42
	1%	2%	0	0	0	2%	0	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Getting a serious sickness

Page 168
Table: 84

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	9	14	1	5	27	190	200	122	28	9	394	23	177	2783
	13%	13%	6%	36%	5%	12%	48%	17%	17%	15%	26%	6%	8%	16%
At least once a week	6	11	1	2	32	146	51	60	6	5	97	36	170	1507
	8%	10%	7%	12%	5%	9%	12%	9%	3%	8%	6%	9%	7%	9%
At least once a month	16	20	2	4	114	340	65	108	9	12	283	90	461	3373
	23%	19%	13%	25%	19%	22%	15%	15%	5%	19%	19%	22%	20%	19%
At least once in the last year	21	26	4	2	194	444	42	129	27	12	353	133	656	4336
	30%	24%	27%	11%	33%	28%	10%	18%	17%	20%	23%	32%	29%	25%
Not in the last year	5	3	1	0	44	139	19	50	26	3	128	40	156	1313
	7%	3%	8%	2%	7%	9%	5%	7%	16%	6%	8%	10%	7%	8%
Never	13	32	6	2	173	286	38	216	66	20	233	94	667	4024
	18%	30%	38%	13%	30%	18%	9%	31%	41%	32%	15%	22%	29%	23%
(Don't know)	0	1	0	0	3	17	2	16	1	0	18	1	6	165
	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	0	0	1%
Total	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Losing your job

Page 169
Table: 85

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	2258	5	14	55	6	33	8	4	14	216	48	119	39	7	613
	12%	2%	4%	19%	20%	10%	3%	9%	6%	9%	2%	31%	11%	5%	28%
At least once a week	778	5	10	10	2	12	8	2	14	79	94	26	16	7	130
	4%	2%	3%	3%	6%	4%	4%	5%	6%	3%	3%	7%	4%	5%	6%
At least once a month	1718	18	24	31	2	39	25	4	25	209	247	23	35	14	212
	9%	7%	7%	11%	7%	12%	11%	9%	11%	8%	8%	6%	10%	11%	10%
At least once in the last year	2158	35	39	26	1	58	41	9	33	297	291	19	43	19	177
	12%	14%	12%	9%	4%	18%	18%	20%	15%	12%	10%	5%	12%	14%	8%
Not in the last year	1773	30	28	29	2	40	27	6	27	164	380	39	41	16	172
	10%	12%	9%	10%	8%	12%	12%	12%	12%	7%	13%	10%	11%	12%	8%
Never	8207	147	193	136	14	138	104	20	93	1378	1756	148	167	66	635
	45%	60%	58%	47%	48%	42%	45%	42%	43%	56%	59%	38%	46%	48%	29%
(Don't know)	1247	5	24	4	2	9	20	1	13	132	161	13	19	8	227
	7%	2%	7%	2%	7%	3%	8%	3%	6%	5%	5%	3%	5%	6%	10%
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Losing your job

Page 170
Table: 85

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	6	8	1	2	10	130	152	112	15	5	505	6	126	2072
	8%	7%	4%	14%	2%	8%	37%	16%	9%	8%	34%	1%	6%	12%
At least once a week	3	5	1	1	34	80	28	40	3	2	69	15	83	777
	5%	5%	3%	5%	6%	5%	7%	6%	2%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%
At least once a month	8	11	2	2	62	203	35	60	6	4	156	34	228	1589
	11%	10%	11%	14%	11%	13%	8%	9%	4%	7%	10%	8%	10%	9%
At least once in the last year	13	21	4	1	104	285	17	72	18	6	125	69	335	2178
	19%	19%	24%	6%	18%	18%	4%	10%	11%	10%	8%	17%	15%	12%
Not in the last year	9	8	2	1	48	241	25	82	38	4	55	40	221	1806
	13%	7%	11%	4%	8%	15%	6%	12%	23%	6%	4%	10%	10%	10%
Never	27	53	7	7	298	569	130	323	78	40	331	214	1135	8042
	40%	49%	44%	45%	51%	36%	31%	46%	48%	64%	22%	51%	50%	46%
(Don't know)	3	2	0	2	31	53	30	12	4	1	265	39	166	1037
	5%	2%	3%	12%	5%	3%	7%	2%	2%	1%	18%	9%	7%	6%
Total	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being a victim of a theft in your neighbourhood

Page 171
Table: 86

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	2163	6	27	93	7	38	12	4	7	321	149	144	57	7	369
	12%	2%	8%	32%	25%	12%	5%	8%	3%	13%	5%	37%	16%	5%	17%
At least once a week	1669	12	33	45	5	28	19	3	13	203	216	68	36	12	262
	9%	5%	10%	15%	17%	9%	8%	5%	6%	8%	7%	18%	10%	8%	12%
At least once a month	3627	51	85	57	5	93	56	6	44	530	693	61	71	28	325
	20%	21%	26%	20%	17%	28%	24%	13%	20%	21%	23%	16%	20%	20%	15%
At least once in the last year	4274	68	89	32	3	76	67	11	61	709	806	38	70	32	399
	24%	28%	27%	11%	11%	23%	29%	23%	28%	29%	27%	10%	19%	23%	18%
Not in the last year	1321	18	23	16	2	26	21	4	26	109	147	30	16	10	186
	7%	7%	7%	6%	7%	8%	9%	9%	12%	4%	5%	8%	4%	8%	9%
Never	4994	91	73	48	6	65	57	19	66	590	951	44	110	49	618
	28%	37%	22%	16%	23%	20%	25%	40%	30%	24%	32%	11%	31%	36%	29%
(Don't know)	92	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	1	13	14	3	1	0	8
	1%	0	1%	0	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	0	0	0
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being a victim of a theft in your neighbourhood

Page 172
Table: 86

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	7 10%	9 8%	1 5%	4 29%	23 4%	109 7%	142 34%	128 18%	12 8%	8 13%	303 20%	15 4%	160 7%	2397 14%
At least once a week	7 9%	6 5%	1 6%	2 10%	51 9%	139 9%	55 13%	64 9%	2 1%	4 6%	164 11%	26 6%	195 8%	1634 9%
At least once a month	15 22%	23 21%	3 16%	3 19%	137 23%	344 22%	60 14%	91 13%	8 5%	13 21%	304 20%	93 22%	430 19%	3442 20%
At least once in the last year	19 27%	28 26%	4 24%	2 16%	161 27%	411 26%	34 8%	131 19%	27 16%	11 17%	284 19%	121 29%	584 25%	3783 22%
Not in the last year	6 9%	5 4%	2 12%	1 4%	38 6%	157 10%	29 7%	55 8%	30 18%	2 4%	115 8%	33 8%	213 9%	1359 8%
Never	15 22%	37 35%	6 36%	3 21%	176 30%	391 25%	95 23%	220 31%	82 51%	24 38%	325 22%	129 31%	704 31%	4800 27%
(Don't know)	1 1%	0 0%	0 1%	0 0%	1 0%	11 1%	2 1%	12 2%	1 0%	0 0%	11 1%	0 0%	7 0%	86 0%
Total	69 100%	108 100%	16 100%	15 100%	587 100%	1561 100%	416 100%	702 100%	162 100%	62 100%	1505 100%	417 100%	2292 100%	17501 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being discriminated against

Page 173
Table: 87

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	1117	4	15	29	1	16	7	3	6	152	61	28	26	4	142
	6%	2%	4%	10%	5%	5%	3%	6%	3%	6%	2%	7%	7%	3%	7%
At least once a week	876	5	12	11	3	8	5	2	8	133	80	32	19	3	140
	5%	2%	4%	4%	11%	2%	2%	4%	4%	5%	3%	8%	5%	2%	6%
At least once a month	1809	15	34	27	2	36	14	4	22	244	294	46	30	12	185
	10%	6%	10%	9%	6%	11%	6%	9%	10%	10%	10%	12%	8%	8%	9%
At least once in the last year	2416	37	45	31	3	51	30	8	39	354	446	39	47	16	215
	13%	15%	13%	11%	10%	15%	13%	17%	18%	14%	15%	10%	13%	12%	10%
Not in the last year	1590	18	23	25	5	33	18	4	25	138	237	62	24	15	208
	9%	7%	7%	9%	17%	10%	8%	8%	11%	6%	8%	16%	7%	11%	10%
Never	10155	166	199	165	14	180	156	26	115	1423	1835	173	212	87	1254
	56%	68%	60%	57%	50%	55%	67%	54%	53%	57%	62%	45%	59%	64%	58%
(Don't know)	176	1	4	3	0	5	1	1	3	31	24	7	2	0	23
	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0	1%
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being discriminated against

Page 174
Table: 87

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	3	5	0	3	9	56	94	70	9	2	249	10	112	1151
	5%	5%	3%	20%	1%	4%	23%	10%	5%	3%	17%	2%	5%	7%
At least once a week	4	5	0	1	19	52	40	52	3	2	105	18	111	850
	6%	4%	2%	10%	3%	3%	10%	7%	2%	3%	7%	4%	5%	5%
At least once a month	8	8	1	2	40	135	48	69	9	5	168	43	308	1668
	12%	8%	9%	16%	7%	9%	11%	10%	5%	8%	11%	10%	13%	10%
At least once in the last year	13	15	3	2	63	238	42	83	17	7	157	73	343	2356
	19%	14%	18%	14%	11%	15%	10%	12%	11%	12%	10%	18%	15%	13%
Not in the last year	7	6	1	1	35	203	42	62	20	3	114	34	227	1566
	10%	5%	9%	4%	6%	13%	10%	9%	13%	5%	8%	8%	10%	9%
Never	32	68	9	5	419	862	143	344	103	42	700	238	1183	9714
	46%	63%	58%	36%	71%	55%	34%	49%	63%	68%	47%	57%	52%	56%
(Don't know)	2	1	0	0	2	14	7	21	1	0	12	1	9	196
	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0	0	1%
Total	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being a victim of a bomb attack (in your country/in your city)

Page 175
Table: 88

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	669	1	3	19	2	2	3	1	3	66	29	24	8	0	60
	4%	0	1%	7%	6%	0	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	6%	2%	0	3%
At least once a week	344	1	6	6	2	3	4	1	3	32	39	17	8	1	52
	2%	0	2%	2%	7%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%
At least once a month	1070	3	22	29	1	14	8	1	4	144	150	32	19	1	174
	6%	1%	6%	10%	5%	4%	3%	2%	2%	6%	5%	8%	5%	1%	8%
At least once in the last year	2837	22	55	43	3	31	32	6	28	499	475	50	34	10	300
	16%	9%	17%	15%	12%	9%	14%	13%	13%	20%	16%	13%	9%	7%	14%
Not in the last year	1889	18	21	35	5	25	18	4	20	165	262	78	25	17	247
	10%	7%	6%	12%	18%	8%	8%	9%	9%	7%	9%	20%	7%	12%	11%
Never	11124	200	223	158	15	249	166	33	159	1527	2005	181	264	108	1285
	61%	81%	67%	54%	52%	76%	72%	70%	73%	62%	67%	47%	73%	79%	59%
(Don't know)	206	0	3	3	0	5	0	1	2	43	15	6	3	0	49
	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being a victim of a bomb attack (in your country/in your city)

Page 176
Table: 88

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	1	2	0	2	4	43	73	42	5	2	212	1	62	675
	2%	2%	1%	12%	1%	3%	17%	6%	3%	3%	14%	0	3%	4%
At least once a week	1	1	0	0	5	31	31	29	2	1	50	2	19	399
	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	7%	4%	1%	1%	3%	0	1%	2%
At least once a month	4	4	1	2	18	64	48	34	3	1	118	8	167	917
	6%	4%	3%	11%	3%	4%	12%	5%	2%	2%	8%	2%	7%	5%
At least once in the last year	10	12	1	2	80	264	52	61	6	4	291	33	433	2231
	15%	11%	9%	11%	14%	17%	13%	9%	4%	7%	19%	8%	19%	13%
Not in the last year	4	5	1	1	46	174	45	54	11	2	288	31	285	1668
	6%	4%	8%	8%	8%	11%	11%	8%	7%	4%	19%	7%	12%	10%
Never	47	83	12	8	434	965	160	462	134	51	534	342	1318	11419
	68%	77%	75%	54%	74%	62%	38%	66%	83%	83%	35%	82%	58%	65%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	1	20	7	20	1	0	13	1	9	192
	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	3%	1%	0	1%	0	0	1%
Total	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Immigrant families moving to your neighbourhood

Page 177
Table: 89

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	822	5	19	25	2	17	5	1	4	168	33	48	21	1	177
	5%	2%	6%	8%	7%	5%	2%	2%	2%	7%	1%	13%	6%	1%	8%
At least once a week	682	6	13	16	4	7	4	2	3	125	97	39	21	2	128
	4%	2%	4%	6%	14%	2%	2%	4%	1%	5%	3%	10%	6%	1%	6%
At least once a month	1416	16	27	30	4	31	10	2	7	227	235	46	25	8	260
	8%	7%	8%	10%	13%	10%	5%	4%	3%	9%	8%	12%	7%	6%	12%
At least once in the last year	1744	24	39	24	3	48	19	6	19	303	293	44	41	12	171
	10%	10%	12%	8%	9%	15%	8%	12%	9%	12%	10%	11%	11%	9%	8%
Not in the last year	1399	17	22	27	4	40	18	4	16	128	175	66	20	13	218
	8%	7%	7%	9%	14%	12%	8%	8%	7%	5%	6%	17%	6%	9%	10%
Never	11774	176	208	167	11	178	175	31	165	1453	2106	137	228	102	1157
	65%	72%	62%	57%	39%	54%	75%	66%	75%	59%	71%	35%	63%	74%	53%
(Don't know)	304	2	5	2	1	6	2	1	4	73	37	7	6	0	56
	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0	3%
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Immigrant families moving to your neighbourhood

Page 178
Table: 89

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	2	2	1	2	3	23	29	24	8	2	80	4	115	843
	3%	2%	4%	14%	1%	2%	7%	3%	5%	3%	5%	1%	5%	5%
At least once a week	2	1	0	1	13	19	21	14	1	2	50	4	88	635
	3%	1%	2%	7%	2%	1%	5%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%
At least once a month	6	3	1	3	35	58	36	36	8	2	89	9	204	1217
	8%	3%	5%	18%	6%	4%	9%	5%	5%	4%	6%	2%	9%	7%
At least once in the last year	9	8	2	1	55	100	35	33	11	4	159	24	257	1653
	13%	8%	11%	8%	9%	6%	8%	5%	7%	7%	11%	6%	11%	9%
Not in the last year	3	5	1	1	40	152	53	40	20	3	151	23	142	1439
	4%	5%	8%	6%	7%	10%	13%	6%	12%	4%	10%	5%	6%	8%
Never	47	86	11	7	437	1189	231	518	113	48	968	351	1476	11434
	67%	80%	66%	47%	74%	76%	56%	74%	70%	78%	64%	84%	64%	65%
(Don't know)	1	2	1	0	5	20	11	37	2	1	9	3	12	280
	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Total	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being a victim of a natural disaster

Page 179
Table: 90

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	919	2	5	29	2	4	2	2	4	100	11	37	17	2	196
	5%	1%	1%	10%	7%	1%	1%	3%	2%	4%	0	10%	5%	1%	9%
At least once a week	655	4	8	10	3	5	5	1	3	77	51	50	13	1	180
	4%	2%	2%	3%	10%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	13%	4%	1%	8%
At least once a month	1927	17	28	41	4	25	11	4	12	245	289	70	34	6	371
	11%	7%	8%	14%	14%	7%	5%	8%	6%	10%	10%	18%	9%	4%	17%
At least once in the last year	4541	81	84	72	4	89	43	12	52	745	906	78	74	21	544
	25%	33%	25%	25%	14%	27%	18%	25%	24%	30%	30%	20%	20%	15%	25%
Not in the last year	1813	22	27	34	5	40	24	5	28	170	254	59	27	17	213
	10%	9%	8%	12%	18%	12%	10%	10%	13%	7%	9%	15%	7%	13%	10%
Never	8147	118	180	104	10	162	147	24	117	1117	1459	91	193	90	631
	45%	48%	54%	36%	36%	50%	63%	50%	54%	45%	49%	24%	54%	66%	29%
(Don't know)	137	1	1	1	0	4	1	1	2	21	7	4	2	0	32
	1%	0	0	0	0	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Being a victim of a natural disaster

Page 180
Table: 90

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	2	4	0	2	7	47	106	90	5	6	191	4	45	996
	2%	4%	1%	14%	1%	3%	25%	13%	3%	9%	13%	1%	2%	6%
At least once a week	2	3	0	1	3	47	43	35	2	3	80	2	24	664
	3%	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	10%	5%	1%	4%	5%	0	1%	4%
At least once a month	7	7	1	2	26	168	80	74	6	9	189	13	189	1725
	10%	7%	7%	13%	4%	11%	19%	11%	3%	14%	13%	3%	8%	10%
At least once in the last year	21	28	3	3	99	496	73	135	14	17	345	74	432	3964
	30%	26%	16%	19%	17%	32%	18%	19%	9%	28%	23%	18%	19%	23%
Not in the last year	6	6	2	1	52	206	38	67	24	3	195	50	238	1788
	9%	5%	10%	6%	9%	13%	9%	10%	15%	5%	13%	12%	10%	10%
Never	31	59	10	6	399	583	72	278	111	24	500	273	1356	8216
	44%	55%	63%	41%	68%	37%	17%	40%	68%	39%	33%	65%	59%	47%
(Don't know)	1	1	0	0	1	13	5	21	1	0	6	2	9	148
	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	3%	0	0	0	0	0	1%
Total	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Someone hacking into your computer

Page 181
Table: 91

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	17501	537	546	743	521	588	857	648	756	718	695	633	672	528	673
Base: All valid responses	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
Effective Base	6396.7	488.5	503.1	736.8	127.5	570.5	754.5	422.0	735.7	664.6	613.3	605.3	665.9	456.0	576.8
Most days	1569	8	19	28	2	35	12	2	12	243	197	48	30	9	185
	9%	3%	6%	10%	9%	11%	5%	5%	5%	10%	7%	12%	8%	6%	9%
At least once a week	1444	16	24	16	3	21	22	2	16	203	249	38	15	15	194
	8%	6%	7%	6%	11%	7%	10%	4%	7%	8%	8%	10%	4%	11%	9%
At least once a month	3569	51	60	34	3	66	53	8	44	515	829	50	46	26	309
	20%	21%	18%	12%	10%	20%	23%	17%	20%	21%	28%	13%	13%	19%	14%
At least once in the last year	3249	59	77	31	2	66	57	8	54	499	619	32	43	33	328
	18%	24%	23%	10%	8%	20%	24%	17%	25%	20%	21%	8%	12%	24%	15%
Not in the last year	1017	11	13	19	3	17	18	3	14	91	121	41	16	8	118
	6%	4%	4%	6%	10%	5%	8%	7%	6%	4%	4%	11%	4%	6%	5%
Never	6630	99	131	148	13	115	68	21	73	871	891	157	198	45	852
	37%	41%	39%	51%	46%	35%	29%	46%	33%	35%	30%	41%	55%	33%	39%
(Don't know)	661	1	9	15	2	8	2	2	7	55	70	21	12	2	180
	4%	0	3%	5%	7%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	1%	8%
Total	18139	245	333	291	28	328	232	47	219	2475	2977	387	360	137	2166
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QC4ALT. And how often, if at all, have you worried about each of the following in the last year?: Someone hacking into your computer

Page 182
Table: 91

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	648	666	508	647	646	753	723	648	537	528	597	808	677	17501
Base: All valid responses	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
Effective Base	631.3	614.7	177.8	167.8	614.8	746.8	713.3	504.3	408.8	395.7	539.6	778.8	598.1	17501.0
Most days	3	4	2	2	20	76	61	87	4	4	191	21	264	1385
	5%	3%	14%	16%	3%	5%	15%	12%	2%	7%	13%	5%	12%	8%
At least once a week	5	8	1	1	44	115	48	65	4	2	139	26	150	1292
	7%	8%	8%	9%	8%	7%	12%	9%	3%	3%	9%	6%	7%	7%
At least once a month	13	15	3	2	137	271	49	60	6	6	241	74	598	2938
	18%	14%	19%	15%	23%	17%	12%	9%	4%	10%	16%	18%	26%	17%
At least once in the last year	11	17	3	2	154	289	40	82	15	7	229	108	386	2965
	15%	16%	19%	11%	26%	19%	10%	12%	9%	11%	15%	26%	17%	17%
Not in the last year	4	3	1	0	31	149	26	38	15	1	108	32	115	1039
	6%	3%	4%	3%	5%	10%	6%	5%	9%	2%	7%	8%	5%	6%
Never	31	58	5	6	192	638	151	332	116	40	519	151	707	7219
	44%	54%	34%	39%	33%	41%	36%	47%	72%	66%	34%	36%	31%	41%
(Don't know)	4	2	0	1	8	22	41	37	3	1	78	5	73	663
	6%	2%	2%	7%	1%	1%	10%	5%	2%	1%	5%	1%	3%	4%
Total	69	108	16	15	587	1561	416	702	162	62	1505	417	2292	17501
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...know who has information about you?

Page 183
Table: 92

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	8279	104	128	103	24	221	69	12	100	1193	1127	257	274	91	1216
	30%	23%	21%	26%	41%	39%	22%	16%	34%	33%	26%	42%	51%	35%	37%
Very important	8991	190	209	108	19	163	80	20	74	1004	1810	179	100	107	1002
	33%	41%	34%	27%	32%	28%	26%	28%	25%	28%	41%	29%	18%	41%	31%
Fairly important	4788	80	146	66	10	101	79	20	66	570	761	99	77	32	426
	18%	17%	24%	17%	17%	18%	26%	27%	22%	16%	17%	16%	14%	12%	13%
Not very important	2487	52	72	42	3	53	50	10	31	377	386	44	28	14	155
	9%	11%	12%	11%	4%	9%	16%	13%	10%	11%	9%	7%	5%	6%	5%
Not at all important	2285	31	50	67	1	31	28	9	19	339	226	23	54	15	332
	8%	7%	8%	17%	2%	5%	9%	13%	7%	10%	5%	4%	10%	6%	10%
(Don't know)	478	3	7	8	2	3	2	3	4	79	66	12	7	1	118
	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0	4%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...know who has information about you?

Page 184
Table: 92

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Essential	27	43	6	12	175	374	108	160	72	46	1164	158	1018	8044
	25%	27%	18%	49%	19%	18%	19%	15%	25%	40%	46%	30%	29%	30%
Very important	34	55	14	7	307	659	134	369	111	34	556	131	1516	8467
	31%	34%	44%	29%	34%	32%	23%	34%	38%	29%	22%	25%	44%	31%
Fairly important	21	26	6	2	240	523	198	203	56	14	345	115	505	5135
	19%	16%	21%	8%	26%	25%	34%	19%	19%	12%	14%	22%	15%	19%
Not very important	12	8	2	1	121	277	70	191	25	9	140	60	254	2649
	11%	5%	7%	6%	13%	13%	12%	18%	8%	8%	6%	11%	7%	10%
Not at all important	13	27	2	2	60	222	48	138	27	11	306	50	154	2441
	12%	17%	7%	7%	7%	11%	8%	13%	9%	9%	12%	10%	4%	9%
(Don't know)	2	2	1	0	11	35	21	30	3	2	25	7	25	459
	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...control who has access to your medical files?

Page 185
Table: 93

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	7968	119	146	91	25	217	89	11	127	1083	1202	244	241	92	986
	29%	26%	24%	23%	42%	38%	29%	15%	43%	30%	27%	40%	45%	35%	30%
Very important	8951	171	214	92	14	148	76	20	62	1081	1757	157	102	108	946
	33%	37%	35%	23%	24%	26%	25%	27%	21%	30%	40%	26%	19%	42%	29%
Fairly important	4937	79	146	74	9	117	74	19	60	579	796	111	67	29	502
	18%	17%	24%	19%	16%	20%	24%	26%	20%	16%	18%	18%	12%	11%	15%
Not very important	2638	56	63	54	5	53	40	11	27	439	381	50	41	18	266
	10%	12%	10%	14%	8%	9%	13%	15%	9%	12%	9%	8%	8%	7%	8%
Not at all important	2398	31	36	75	2	33	26	11	14	338	203	41	83	12	443
	9%	7%	6%	19%	4%	6%	9%	15%	5%	9%	5%	7%	15%	5%	14%
(Don't know)	415	4	7	8	4	5	2	2	3	42	37	10	5	1	105
	2%	1%	1%	2%	6%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...control who has access to your medical files?

Page 186
Table: 93

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Essential	25	33	5	9	203	362	104	157	88	48	1056	166	1041	7980
	23%	20%	18%	38%	22%	17%	18%	14%	30%	41%	42%	32%	30%	29%
Very important	31	49	13	8	294	757	144	407	120	31	578	129	1442	8276
	28%	31%	41%	32%	32%	36%	25%	37%	41%	27%	23%	25%	42%	30%
Fairly important	20	29	7	2	229	557	195	209	49	13	362	91	513	5062
	18%	18%	21%	9%	25%	27%	34%	19%	17%	11%	14%	18%	15%	19%
Not very important	15	14	3	3	106	229	74	168	16	10	162	58	277	2805
	14%	9%	10%	11%	12%	11%	13%	15%	5%	8%	6%	11%	8%	10%
Not at all important	16	35	2	2	71	163	42	109	21	13	339	64	173	2635
	15%	21%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	10%	7%	11%	13%	12%	5%	10%
(Don't know)	2	1	1	0	11	23	20	41	1	0	38	14	27	437
	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	0	0	2%	3%	1%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...use the Internet anonymously?

Page 187
Table: 94

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	5304	92	75	43	9	146	66	8	79	825	966	127	189	53	474
	19%	20%	12%	11%	16%	26%	22%	11%	27%	23%	22%	21%	35%	20%	15%
Very important	6480	183	155	44	9	110	73	14	58	838	1669	111	70	79	501
	24%	40%	25%	11%	16%	19%	24%	19%	20%	24%	38%	18%	13%	30%	15%
Fairly important	5310	81	166	59	8	120	73	15	72	699	736	83	56	50	634
	19%	18%	27%	15%	14%	21%	24%	20%	25%	20%	17%	14%	10%	19%	20%
Not very important	3504	43	82	62	7	89	53	14	31	432	369	75	68	37	411
	13%	9%	13%	16%	12%	16%	17%	19%	10%	12%	8%	12%	13%	14%	13%
Not at all important	4340	43	87	130	13	72	31	15	28	451	385	112	125	28	765
	16%	9%	14%	33%	22%	13%	10%	21%	10%	13%	9%	18%	23%	11%	24%
(Don't know)	2370	17	46	58	12	35	9	8	26	317	250	105	31	13	464
	9%	4%	7%	15%	21%	6%	3%	11%	9%	9%	6%	17%	6%	5%	14%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...use the Internet anonymously?

Page 188
Table: 94

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Essential	10	21	5	4	154	266	50	90	51	30	785	131	553	4986
	9%	13%	16%	17%	17%	13%	9%	8%	17%	26%	31%	25%	16%	18%
Very important	17	30	11	3	244	504	67	207	73	24	469	105	812	5784
	15%	19%	34%	12%	27%	24%	12%	19%	25%	21%	19%	20%	23%	21%
Fairly important	16	28	6	3	272	523	120	142	55	17	394	119	762	5051
	15%	17%	20%	12%	30%	25%	21%	13%	19%	14%	16%	23%	22%	19%
Not very important	19	15	3	4	133	318	104	231	38	12	234	62	557	3699
	18%	9%	11%	15%	15%	15%	18%	21%	13%	10%	9%	12%	16%	14%
Not at all important	30	53	3	6	86	358	124	291	61	26	361	83	573	4984
	27%	33%	11%	26%	9%	17%	21%	27%	21%	22%	14%	16%	17%	18%
(Don't know)	17	14	2	4	24	122	115	130	17	7	291	21	215	2691
	16%	9%	7%	17%	3%	6%	20%	12%	6%	6%	11%	4%	6%	10%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...make telephone calls without being monitored?

Page 189
Table: 95

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	9243	156	159	129	30	215	94	16	145	1372	1682	307	305	90	986
	34%	34%	26%	33%	50%	38%	31%	22%	49%	39%	38%	50%	57%	35%	30%
Very important	8259	184	206	86	17	129	76	24	63	1060	1691	162	95	106	731
	30%	40%	34%	22%	28%	23%	25%	33%	21%	30%	39%	26%	18%	41%	23%
Fairly important	4199	54	128	55	6	101	53	14	42	458	519	83	41	26	566
	15%	12%	21%	14%	10%	18%	17%	18%	14%	13%	12%	14%	8%	10%	17%
Not very important	2660	37	63	46	3	81	51	8	23	370	272	30	25	21	374
	10%	8%	10%	12%	4%	14%	17%	11%	8%	10%	6%	5%	5%	8%	12%
Not at all important	2679	28	51	77	2	44	31	10	19	266	204	25	72	16	526
	10%	6%	8%	20%	3%	8%	10%	14%	6%	7%	5%	4%	13%	6%	16%
(Don't know)	268	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	35	8	6	1	1	65
	1%	0	1%	0	4%	0	0	2%	1%	1%	0	1%	0	0	2%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...make telephone calls without being monitored?

Page 190
Table: 95

	Country														Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK		
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195	
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195	
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0	
Essential	29	43	9	11	200	417	92	169	86	50	1179	227	1047	9344	
	26%	27%	30%	48%	22%	20%	16%	15%	29%	43%	46%	43%	30%	34%	
Very important	27	50	14	6	286	696	135	385	109	31	529	130	1231	7967	
	25%	31%	44%	27%	31%	33%	23%	35%	37%	27%	21%	25%	35%	29%	
Fairly important	16	21	3	2	218	476	174	155	40	9	321	70	548	4034	
	14%	13%	11%	9%	24%	23%	30%	14%	14%	7%	13%	14%	16%	15%	
Not very important	16	13	2	1	122	270	87	190	24	9	172	38	309	2686	
	15%	8%	6%	6%	13%	13%	15%	17%	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	10%	
Not at all important	20	34	2	2	81	202	61	156	34	16	315	52	332	2869	
	19%	21%	7%	9%	9%	10%	11%	14%	12%	14%	12%	10%	10%	11%	
(Don't know)	1	1	1	0	7	29	30	37	1	1	20	4	6	295	
	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	3%	0	0	1%	1%	0	1%	
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...keep who you vote for in elections private?

Page 191
Table: 96

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	8152	156	104	98	15	147	104	9	151	966	1613	175	244	85	1128
	30%	34%	17%	25%	26%	26%	34%	13%	51%	27%	37%	28%	45%	33%	35%
Very important	6360	148	133	90	12	89	59	13	56	724	1416	107	66	78	658
	23%	32%	22%	23%	20%	16%	19%	18%	19%	20%	32%	17%	12%	30%	20%
Fairly important	3951	50	100	52	6	108	42	12	40	510	553	79	38	28	371
	14%	11%	16%	13%	9%	19%	14%	16%	14%	14%	13%	7%	7%	11%	11%
Not very important	3828	66	117	52	9	122	53	16	26	641	457	112	56	32	388
	14%	14%	19%	13%	16%	21%	17%	22%	9%	18%	10%	18%	10%	12%	12%
Not at all important	4721	39	147	98	14	103	47	22	18	680	306	128	126	36	671
	17%	8%	24%	25%	23%	18%	15%	30%	6%	19%	7%	21%	23%	14%	21%
(Don't know)	296	2	10	4	3	3	2	1	2	41	31	13	8	2	33
	1%	0	2%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...keep who you vote for in elections private?

Page 192
Table: 96

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Essential	12 11%	26 16%	7 22%	8 34%	115 13%	281 13%	172 30%	168 15%	85 29%	40 35%	1036 41%	169 32%	1038 30%	7534 28%
Very important	19 17%	31 19%	10 31%	4 16%	151 17%	543 26%	132 23%	376 34%	81 27%	26 23%	390 15%	89 17%	859 25%	5961 22%
Fairly important	14 13%	19 12%	5 17%	2 8%	175 19%	432 21%	185 32%	202 19%	47 16%	12 11%	300 12%	72 14%	495 14%	3964 15%
Not very important	22 21%	23 14%	4 14%	3 14%	224 25%	350 17%	45 8%	138 13%	31 10%	13 12%	268 11%	81 16%	477 14%	4072 15%
Not at all important	38 35%	61 38%	4 13%	6 25%	239 26%	444 21%	35 6%	169 16%	49 17%	23 20%	527 21%	104 20%	587 17%	5303 19%
(Don't know)	4 4%	1 1%	1 3%	1 2%	9 1%	41 2%	10 2%	37 3%	2 1%	1 1%	13 1%	5 1%	16 0	361 1%
Total	109 100%	161 100%	31 100%	24 100%	914 100%	2091 100%	578 100%	1091 100%	294 100%	115 100%	2535 100%	521 100%	3473 100%	27195 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...keep your religious beliefs private?

Page 193
Table: 97

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	3613	78	48	33	10	84	53	4	81	416	685	99	91	37	302
	13%	17%	8%	8%	16%	15%	17%	5%	28%	12%	16%	16%	17%	14%	9%
Very important	3922	122	77	51	6	54	44	5	44	400	1020	73	25	49	175
	14%	27%	13%	13%	9%	9%	14%	7%	15%	11%	23%	12%	5%	19%	5%
Fairly important	3737	66	85	46	5	81	48	7	59	393	681	60	29	38	315
	14%	14%	14%	12%	8%	14%	16%	9%	20%	11%	16%	10%	5%	15%	10%
Not very important	5723	96	148	69	13	149	66	18	55	892	948	125	78	62	663
	21%	21%	24%	18%	22%	26%	21%	24%	19%	25%	22%	20%	14%	24%	20%
Not at all important	9925	94	245	192	23	192	94	39	51	1400	946	249	313	73	1751
	36%	20%	40%	49%	40%	34%	31%	52%	17%	39%	22%	41%	58%	28%	54%
(Don't know)	388	4	9	2	3	13	1	1	3	60	95	8	4	1	42
	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%	2%	0	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...keep your religious beliefs private?

Page 194
Table: 97

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Essential	4	11	3	2	89	213	64	116	21	19	512	105	433	3393
	3%	7%	10%	7%	10%	10%	11%	11%	7%	17%	20%	20%	12%	12%
Very important	7	15	7	1	85	478	82	307	20	17	197	60	501	3565
	6%	9%	22%	6%	9%	23%	14%	28%	7%	14%	8%	11%	14%	13%
Fairly important	7	18	5	1	121	433	160	187	30	17	272	59	512	3524
	7%	11%	15%	6%	13%	21%	28%	17%	10%	15%	11%	11%	15%	13%
Not very important	25	21	8	3	214	460	119	238	63	22	399	95	673	5624
	23%	13%	24%	14%	23%	22%	21%	22%	21%	19%	16%	18%	19%	21%
Not at all important	65	95	8	16	384	482	146	217	159	40	1139	194	1318	10748
	59%	59%	25%	67%	42%	23%	25%	20%	54%	35%	45%	37%	38%	40%
(Don't know)	1	1	1	0	20	25	7	26	1	1	15	10	35	341
	1%	1%	3%	0	2%	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...attend a demonstration without being monitored?

Page 195
Table: 98

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	4181	69	63	33	14	74	30	4	41	559	791	164	152	44	465
	15%	15%	10%	8%	24%	13%	10%	5%	14%	16%	18%	27%	28%	17%	14%
Very important	4806	112	100	45	14	59	38	7	32	596	1171	140	49	62	475
	18%	24%	16%	11%	23%	10%	12%	9%	11%	17%	27%	23%	9%	24%	15%
Fairly important	5031	83	122	58	9	104	58	11	53	695	824	102	52	50	552
	18%	18%	20%	15%	15%	18%	19%	16%	18%	20%	19%	17%	10%	19%	17%
Not very important	5464	94	153	83	9	152	79	15	52	784	821	93	68	54	605
	20%	20%	25%	21%	16%	27%	26%	20%	18%	22%	19%	15%	13%	21%	19%
Not at all important	6264	80	139	153	10	151	86	28	80	696	551	81	187	42	967
	23%	17%	23%	39%	17%	26%	28%	37%	27%	20%	13%	13%	35%	16%	30%
(Don't know)	1561	22	35	21	4	33	16	9	36	231	218	34	31	8	183
	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	12%	12%	6%	5%	6%	6%	3%	6%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...attend a demonstration without being monitored?

Page 196
Table: 98

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Essential	6	13	4	5	91	137	50	92	41	17	672	116	435	3928
	6%	8%	14%	22%	10%	7%	9%	8%	14%	15%	27%	22%	13%	14%
Very important	11	18	8	4	149	247	50	230	53	21	458	79	579	4402
	10%	11%	27%	18%	16%	12%	9%	21%	18%	18%	18%	15%	17%	16%
Fairly important	11	22	6	3	188	420	147	165	61	19	405	96	717	4713
	10%	14%	20%	11%	21%	20%	25%	15%	21%	16%	16%	18%	21%	17%
Not very important	24	22	5	2	225	460	142	318	45	19	352	77	711	5288
	22%	14%	18%	10%	25%	22%	25%	29%	15%	16%	14%	15%	20%	19%
Not at all important	45	75	4	7	223	679	122	216	74	34	546	129	859	6964
	42%	47%	13%	27%	24%	32%	21%	20%	25%	29%	22%	25%	25%	26%
(Don't know)	11	12	2	3	39	147	67	71	21	7	102	25	171	1900
	11%	7%	7%	12%	4%	7%	12%	7%	7%	6%	4%	5%	5%	7%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...meet with people without being monitored?

Page 197
Table: 99

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Essential	8479	168	131	72	24	211	84	11	153	1217	1623	260	299	81	792
	31%	36%	21%	18%	40%	37%	27%	16%	52%	34%	37%	42%	56%	31%	24%
Very important	7850	182	182	81	17	120	68	20	60	1022	1704	166	84	96	712
	29%	39%	30%	20%	28%	21%	22%	27%	20%	29%	39%	27%	16%	37%	22%
Fairly important	4371	54	134	62	7	94	56	16	39	545	593	93	36	33	532
	16%	12%	22%	16%	12%	16%	18%	22%	13%	15%	14%	15%	7%	13%	16%
Not very important	2979	32	92	64	4	91	52	10	17	418	247	51	43	27	428
	11%	7%	15%	16%	6%	16%	17%	14%	6%	12%	6%	8%	8%	10%	13%
Not at all important	3199	25	62	110	7	54	44	14	21	306	180	37	72	22	683
	12%	5%	10%	28%	12%	9%	14%	18%	7%	9%	4%	6%	13%	9%	21%
(Don't know)	430	1	10	6	1	2	3	3	5	55	28	8	5	2	102
	2%	0	2%	1%	3%	0	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QD1. How important, if at all, is it for you to be able to....: ...meet with people without being monitored?

Page 198
Table: 99

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Essential	19	24	7	8	196	396	85	155	83	37	1201	237	908	8149
	18%	15%	22%	35%	21%	19%	15%	14%	28%	32%	47%	45%	26%	30%
Very important	27	35	13	6	286	645	108	306	101	27	521	122	1141	7411
	24%	22%	43%	27%	31%	31%	19%	28%	34%	23%	21%	23%	33%	27%
Fairly important	15	27	5	2	222	463	179	188	40	18	258	62	597	4352
	14%	17%	17%	10%	24%	22%	31%	17%	14%	16%	10%	12%	17%	16%
Not very important	20	18	3	3	109	264	104	217	26	14	171	36	419	3185
	18%	11%	9%	12%	13%	13%	18%	20%	9%	12%	7%	7%	12%	12%
Not at all important	26	55	2	3	91	292	68	188	41	18	370	58	349	3639
	24%	34%	7%	14%	10%	14%	12%	17%	14%	16%	15%	11%	10%	13%
(Don't know)	2	2	1	0	9	30	33	38	3	2	13	5	59	459
	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to:know who is holding data about you?

Page 199
Table: 100

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Do have a legal right	15637	254	326	258	26	434	189	45	203	1951	2492	344	372	152	1877
	57%	55%	53%	65%	44%	76%	62%	60%	69%	55%	57%	56%	69%	59%	58%
Do not have a legal right	3196	95	58	24	11	40	46	10	20	353	1068	38	86	20	242
	12%	21%	9%	6%	19%	7%	15%	14%	7%	10%	24%	6%	16%	8%	7%
(Don't know)	8475	112	228	112	22	99	72	19	70	1258	815	231	82	88	1129
	31%	24%	37%	28%	37%	17%	23%	26%	24%	35%	19%	38%	15%	34%	35%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to:know who is holding data about you?

Page 200
Table: 100

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Do have a legal right	76	108	19	18	465	1310	359	587	246	77	1311	267	1868	16860
	70%	67%	63%	76%	51%	63%	62%	54%	84%	67%	52%	51%	54%	62%
Do not have a legal right	15	26	3	1	113	268	52	75	17	20	119	41	334	2885
	14%	16%	11%	4%	12%	13%	9%	7%	6%	17%	5%	8%	10%	11%
(Don't know)	18	28	8	5	336	513	168	429	30	18	1104	213	1270	7450
	17%	17%	27%	20%	37%	25%	29%	39%	10%	16%	44%	41%	37%	27%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to: know exactly which data they hold about you?

Page 201
Table: 101

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Do have a legal right	15218	261	333	246	28	414	179	45	189	1848	2660	340	378	144	1759
	56%	57%	55%	62%	47%	72%	58%	61%	64%	52%	61%	55%	70%	56%	54%
Do not have a legal right	3197	92	60	31	10	53	63	11	25	355	904	38	91	22	257
(Don't know)	12%	20%	10%	8%	17%	9%	21%	14%	9%	10%	21%	6%	17%	8%	8%
	8893	108	218	118	21	105	64	18	80	1359	811	237	71	94	1232
	33%	23%	36%	30%	36%	18%	21%	24%	27%	38%	19%	39%	13%	36%	38%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to: know exactly which data they hold about you?

Page 202
Table: 101

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Do have a legal right	71	103	17	19	450	1292	347	577	231	72	1288	224	1702	16202
	65%	64%	56%	79%	49%	62%	60%	53%	79%	62%	51%	43%	49%	60%
Do not have a legal right	17	30	5	1	124	283	50	60	24	24	110	65	391	3195
	16%	19%	16%	4%	14%	14%	9%	5%	8%	21%	4%	12%	11%	12%
(Don't know)	21	28	9	4	340	516	181	454	38	19	1136	232	1380	7798
	19%	18%	29%	17%	37%	25%	31%	42%	13%	17%	45%	45%	40%	29%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to:correct any inaccurate data they might have?

Page 203
Table: 102

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Do have a legal right	17272	325	404	242	28	433	169	52	219	2313	3088	347	352	156	1896
	63%	71%	66%	61%	48%	76%	55%	71%	74%	65%	71%	57%	65%	60%	58%
Do not have a legal right	2387	42	39	50	10	40	54	6	15	245	594	36	108	20	207
	9%	9%	6%	13%	17%	7%	18%	8%	5%	7%	14%	6%	20%	8%	6%
(Don't know)	7649	93	169	102	21	99	83	15	61	1004	694	231	80	85	1144
	28%	20%	28%	26%	36%	17%	27%	20%	21%	28%	16%	38%	15%	33%	35%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to:correct any inaccurate data they might have?

Page 204
Table: 102

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Do have a legal right	75 69%	106 66%	18 59%	19 81%	492 54%	1451 69%	398 69%	490 45%	251 86%	77 66%	1632 64%	238 46%	2000 58%	17473 64%
Do not have a legal right	17 16%	28 17%	4 13%	1 3%	101 11%	235 11%	34 6%	101 9%	16 5%	22 19%	61 2%	56 11%	246 7%	2690 10%
(Don't know)	16 15%	27 17%	9 28%	4 16%	321 35%	405 19%	147 25%	500 46%	26 9%	17 15%	842 33%	227 44%	1226 35%	7032 26%
Total	109 100%	161 100%	31 100%	24 100%	914 100%	2091 100%	578 100%	1091 100%	294 100%	115 100%	2535 100%	521 100%	3473 100%	27195 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to:seek help from authorities to exercise these rights?

Page 205
Table: 103

	Country															
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy	
	Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
	Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
	Do have a legal right	18441	330	412	303	30	457	194	53	213	2538	3018	366	443	175	2201
		68%	72%	67%	77%	51%	80%	63%	72%	73%	71%	69%	60%	82%	67%	68%
	Do not have a legal right	1947	46	34	20	10	26	34	5	14	160	640	33	43	14	176
		7%	10%	6%	5%	16%	5%	11%	7%	5%	4%	15%	5%	8%	5%	5%
	(Don't know)	6920	85	165	71	20	89	79	15	66	864	718	215	54	71	872
	25%	18%	27%	18%	33%	16%	26%	20%	23%	24%	16%	35%	10%	27%	27%	
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE2. Generally speaking, do you know whether or not you have a legal right to: seek help from authorities to exercise these rights?

Page 206
Table: 103

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Do have a legal right	86	125	22	18	520	1541	411	641	270	78	1687	273	2038	18935
	79%	78%	70%	75%	57%	74%	71%	59%	92%	67%	67%	52%	59%	70%
Do not have a legal right	10	18	2	1	86	183	22	43	8	21	40	30	229	1921
	10%	11%	8%	3%	9%	9%	4%	4%	3%	18%	2%	6%	7%	7%
(Don't know)	13	18	7	5	308	367	146	407	16	17	808	218	1206	6339
	12%	11%	22%	22%	34%	18%	25%	37%	6%	15%	32%	42%	35%	23%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Refused to give information because you thought it was not needed?

Page 207
Table: 104

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	18345	344	419	177	18	386	176	38	195	2707	3325	249	288	162	1988
	67%	75%	69%	45%	31%	67%	57%	52%	66%	76%	76%	40%	53%	62%	61%
No	8335	107	183	213	38	172	126	33	94	771	956	349	242	94	1155
	31%	23%	30%	54%	64%	30%	41%	44%	32%	22%	22%	57%	45%	36%	36%
(Don't know / Not sure)	628	9	9	4	3	15	5	3	5	85	94	17	9	5	105
	2%	2%	2%	1%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Refused to give information because you thought it was not needed?

Page 208
Table: 104

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	61	90	19	11	632	1476	340	572	179	69	1647	252	2527	16106
	56%	56%	61%	45%	69%	71%	59%	52%	61%	59%	65%	48%	73%	59%
No	46	68	11	13	266	563	225	480	110	45	837	251	889	10464
	42%	42%	35%	54%	29%	27%	39%	44%	37%	39%	33%	48%	26%	38%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1	4	1	0	17	52	14	40	4	2	50	19	57	625
	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Asked a company to remove you from any lists they use for marketing purposes?

Page 209
Table: 105

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	12929	218	354	55	10	232	137	19	162	1972	2039	149	226	144	1532
	47%	47%	58%	14%	18%	40%	45%	26%	55%	55%	47%	24%	42%	55%	47%
No	13962	233	250	337	46	334	167	53	128	1533	2289	452	311	115	1631
	51%	51%	41%	85%	78%	58%	55%	72%	44%	43%	52%	74%	58%	44%	50%
(Don't know / Not sure)	417	9	8	3	2	7	2	2	3	57	48	13	3	1	85
	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Asked a company to remove you from any lists they use for marketing purposes?

Page 210
Table: 105

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	21	38	13	6	609	727	142	230	98	44	1032	257	2461	10577
	19%	23%	43%	27%	67%	35%	25%	21%	33%	39%	41%	49%	71%	39%
No	87	122	17	17	303	1341	425	816	192	70	1466	256	969	16200
	80%	76%	54%	72%	33%	64%	74%	75%	65%	61%	58%	49%	28%	60%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1	1	1	0	2	22	11	44	4	1	37	8	42	418
	1%	1%	3%	1%	0	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Asked a company not to disclose data about you to other companies?

Page 211
Table: 106

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	13725	233	325	42	8	232	111	20	188	2178	2570	88	255	145	1523
	50%	51%	53%	11%	14%	41%	36%	27%	64%	61%	59%	14%	47%	56%	47%
No	13077	221	277	350	47	322	190	52	103	1322	1738	514	281	111	1629
	48%	48%	45%	89%	79%	56%	62%	71%	35%	37%	40%	84%	52%	42%	50%
(Don't know / Not sure)	506	6	10	3	4	17	5	2	3	62	68	12	3	4	96
	2%	1%	2%	1%	7%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Asked a company not to disclose data about you to other companies?

Page 212
Table: 106

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	22	42	14	5	391	1053	173	210	116	35	1024	162	2561	10450
	20%	26%	45%	20%	43%	50%	30%	19%	40%	30%	40%	31%	74%	38%
No	85	118	16	19	504	993	401	850	174	80	1472	346	863	16263
	79%	73%	52%	80%	55%	47%	69%	78%	59%	69%	58%	66%	25%	60%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1	2	1	0	19	45	4	31	3	1	39	14	49	482
	1%	1%	3%	0	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Asked a company to see what personal information they had about you in their records?

Page 213
Table: 107

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	3628	49	77	28	3	73	37	8	30	437	708	41	45	36	392
	13%	11%	13%	7%	5%	13%	12%	10%	10%	12%	16%	7%	8%	14%	12%
No	23302	409	527	363	53	496	268	64	261	3054	3636	565	490	223	2782
	85%	89%	86%	92%	89%	87%	87%	87%	89%	86%	83%	92%	91%	86%	86%
(Don't know / Not sure)	378	3	7	3	3	3	2	2	2	71	32	8	4	1	74
	1%	1%	1%	1%	6%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Asked a company to see what personal information they had about you in their records?

Page 214
Table: 107

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	13	22	3	2	127	257	51	139	59	18	296	56	622	3132
	12%	14%	10%	8%	14%	12%	9%	13%	20%	15%	12%	11%	18%	12%
No	95	138	27	22	779	1795	522	915	232	97	2208	458	2823	23692
	87%	85%	86%	92%	85%	86%	90%	84%	79%	84%	87%	88%	81%	87%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1	2	1	0	8	39	6	37	3	1	31	7	27	371
	0	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Deliberately given incorrect information about yourself?

Page 215
Table: 108

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	5352	121	109	43	6	89	42	6	44	719	1146	75	78	58	460
	20%	26%	18%	11%	10%	16%	14%	8%	15%	20%	26%	12%	14%	22%	14%
No	21597	336	500	346	50	473	264	66	248	2764	3167	531	456	200	2749
	79%	73%	82%	88%	85%	83%	86%	90%	85%	78%	72%	86%	85%	77%	85%
(Don't know / Not sure)	358	4	2	5	3	10	1	2	5	79	62	8	5	2	39
	1%	1%	0	1%	5%	2%	0	2%	0	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Deliberately given incorrect information about yourself?

Page 216
Table: 108

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	16	20	6	2	218	333	57	114	43	14	545	98	890	4114
	15%	13%	20%	8%	24%	16%	10%	10%	15%	13%	21%	19%	26%	15%
No	92	140	24	22	692	1740	512	953	249	101	1940	418	2566	22736
	84%	87%	77%	91%	76%	83%	89%	87%	85%	87%	77%	80%	74%	84%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1	1	1	0	4	18	9	25	2	0	51	6	17	345
	1%	1%	3%	1%	0	1%	2%	2%	1%	0	2%	1%	0	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Read the online privacy policies on websites?

Page 217
Table: 109

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	11860	230	248	100	10	265	77	23	141	1315	2204	139	256	114	1650
	43%	50%	41%	25%	18%	46%	25%	31%	48%	37%	50%	23%	47%	44%	51%
No	14009	226	339	268	44	279	223	47	148	2059	1981	445	270	140	1306
	51%	49%	55%	68%	74%	49%	73%	64%	50%	58%	45%	72%	50%	54%	40%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1438	5	25	26	5	29	7	3	5	188	190	30	13	7	292
	5%	1%	4%	7%	8%	5%	2%	5%	2%	5%	4%	5%	2%	3%	9%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE3. Have you ever done the following for the purpose of protecting your personal information?: Read the online privacy policies on websites?

Page 218
Table: 109

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	28	52	14	8	439	873	181	310	136	35	1068	197	1748	10088
	26%	32%	45%	32%	48%	42%	31%	28%	46%	30%	42%	38%	50%	37%
No	67	102	15	14	452	1170	346	698	152	76	1242	308	1593	15685
	62%	63%	49%	60%	49%	56%	60%	64%	52%	66%	49%	59%	46%	58%
(Don't know / Not sure)	14	7	2	2	23	48	51	84	5	5	224	16	132	1422
	13%	4%	6%	8%	3%	2%	9%	8%	2%	5%	9%	3%	4%	5%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you were online?

Page 219
Table: 110

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	6193	134	135	45	4	160	57	12	40	708	1474	56	81	73	651
	23%	29%	22%	11%	7%	28%	18%	17%	14%	20%	34%	9%	15%	28%	20%
No	16583	267	384	262	33	326	233	50	214	2232	2241	352	377	165	2022
	61%	58%	63%	66%	56%	57%	76%	68%	73%	63%	51%	57%	70%	63%	62%
(Not applicable)	4023	50	85	79	21	76	13	9	34	556	552	190	79	20	491
	15%	11%	14%	20%	35%	13%	4%	12%	12%	16%	13%	31%	15%	8%	15%
(Don't know / Not sure)	509	10	7	8	2	9	4	2	5	66	108	16	3	2	85
	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you were online?

Page 220
Table: 110

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	15 14%	27 17%	8 25%	3 15%	174 19%	344 16%	72 13%	104 10%	57 19%	22 19%	704 28%	84 16%	947 27%	4942 18%
No	68 63%	108 67%	18 59%	15 64%	665 73%	1371 66%	356 62%	647 59%	176 60%	73 63%	1399 55%	394 76%	2134 61%	17250 63%
(Not applicable)	21 19%	25 16%	3 10%	5 20%	70 8%	347 17%	138 24%	320 29%	57 19%	18 15%	389 15%	32 6%	345 10%	4452 16%
(Don't know / Not sure)	4 4%	1 1%	2 7%	0 1%	5 1%	30 1%	12 2%	20 2%	4 1%	3 2%	42 2%	11 2%	47 1%	551 2%
Total	109 100%	161 100%	31 100%	24 100%	914 100%	2091 100%	578 100%	1091 100%	294 100%	115 100%	2535 100%	521 100%	3473 100%	27195 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When a picture of you was posted online without you knowing it?

Page 221
Table: 111

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	5830	109	165	64	5	124	51	14	50	872	869	70	90	81	584
	21%	24%	27%	16%	9%	22%	17%	19%	17%	24%	20%	11%	17%	31%	18%
No	9324	150	191	196	21	168	155	36	134	1074	1260	140	294	101	1243
	34%	32%	31%	50%	36%	29%	51%	48%	46%	30%	29%	23%	55%	39%	38%
(Not applicable)	11521	188	242	127	31	266	98	22	103	1513	2065	390	146	77	1356
	42%	41%	40%	32%	52%	46%	32%	30%	35%	42%	47%	64%	27%	30%	42%
(Don't know / Not sure)	633	14	13	8	2	14	2	2	6	103	182	15	9	1	65
	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	0	2%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When a picture of you was posted online without you knowing it?

Page 222
Table: 111

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	16 14%	23 14%	7 22%	7 29%	167 18%	383 18%	96 17%	150 14%	92 31%	20 18%	668 26%	115 22%	940 27%	5119 19%
No	32 29%	72 44%	13 43%	8 34%	288 32%	800 38%	300 52%	415 38%	92 31%	51 44%	586 23%	269 52%	1234 36%	10272 38%
(Not applicable)	58 53%	64 39%	10 31%	9 37%	451 49%	889 43%	174 30%	500 46%	107 36%	42 36%	1246 49%	123 24%	1226 35%	11176 41%
(Don't know / Not sure)	4 4%	4 2%	1 4%	0 1%	8 1%	18 1%	9 1%	27 2%	3 1%	3 2%	35 1%	15 3%	72 2%	628 2%
Total	109 100%	161 100%	31 100%	24 100%	914 100%	2091 100%	578 100%	1091 100%	294 100%	115 100%	2535 100%	521 100%	3473 100%	27195 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you were stopped for a security check at an airport?

Page 223
Table: 112

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	3922	96	89	31	9	75	22	10	37	484	689	68	47	57	395
	14%	21%	14%	8%	14%	13%	7%	14%	12%	14%	16%	11%	9%	22%	12%
No	17804	317	399	307	43	345	265	52	216	2100	3008	431	359	197	2407
	65%	69%	65%	78%	73%	60%	86%	70%	73%	59%	69%	70%	67%	76%	74%
(Not applicable)	5473	46	123	54	7	146	19	11	40	957	670	108	132	5	431
	20%	10%	20%	14%	13%	26%	6%	15%	14%	27%	15%	18%	24%	2%	13%
(Don't know / Not sure)	108	1	1	2	0	5	2	1	1	22	9	7	1	1	15
	0	0	0	1%	0	1%	0	1%	0	1%	0	1%	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you were stopped for a security check at an airport?

Page 224
Table: 112

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	13	18	8	4	133	206	54	74	47	17	532	57	653	3738
	12%	11%	25%	15%	15%	10%	9%	7%	16%	15%	21%	11%	19%	14%
No	61	104	20	17	583	1132	437	642	156	79	1090	428	2608	18373
	56%	65%	63%	71%	64%	54%	76%	59%	53%	68%	43%	82%	75%	68%
(Not applicable)	33	39	3	3	196	746	82	370	91	19	910	32	201	4925
	30%	24%	10%	13%	21%	36%	14%	34%	31%	16%	36%	6%	6%	18%
(Don't know / Not sure)	2	1	1	0	2	7	4	4	1	1	3	4	11	159
	2%	0	2%	0	0	0	1%	0	0	1%	0	1%	0	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you visited a bank for personal business?

Page 225
Table: 113

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	3446	58	71	37	5	62	18	6	21	480	533	90	79	40	417
	13%	13%	12%	9%	8%	11%	6%	8%	7%	13%	12%	15%	15%	15%	13%
No	22445	393	516	342	49	483	282	65	261	2857	3682	471	446	214	2732
	82%	85%	84%	87%	83%	84%	92%	88%	89%	80%	84%	77%	83%	82%	84%
(Not applicable)	1214	8	23	13	5	23	6	2	9	191	114	48	12	5	81
	4%	2%	4%	3%	8%	4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	8%	2%	2%	2%
(Don't know / Not sure)	203	2	2	2	0	4	0	1	3	34	47	5	2	1	18
	1%	0	0	0	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	0	1%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you visited a bank for personal business?

Page 226
Table: 113

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	11 10%	19 12%	6 19%	3 12%	71 8%	240 11%	41 7%	123 11%	42 14%	14 12%	507 20%	26 5%	427 12%	3184 12%
No	93 85%	139 86%	23 75%	21 87%	770 84%	1530 73%	519 90%	829 76%	224 76%	98 85%	1961 77%	485 93%	2960 85%	22732 84%
(Not applicable)	5 4%	2 1%	1 3%	0 1%	73 8%	301 14%	15 3%	122 11%	27 9%	4 3%	56 2%	6 1%	63 2%	1100 4%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1 1%	0 0	1 2%	0 0	0 0	20 1%	4 1%	18 2%	1 0	0 0	10 0	4 1%	23 1%	179 1%
Total	109 100%	161 100%	31 100%	24 100%	914 100%	2091 100%	578 100%	1091 100%	294 100%	115 100%	2535 100%	521 100%	3473 100%	27195 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you were shopping at a supermarket?

Page 227
Table: 114

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Yes	2823	46	54	33	3	91	12	8	19	375	420	39	88	23	252
	10%	10%	9%	8%	5%	16%	4%	11%	6%	11%	10%	6%	16%	9%	8%
No	23404	405	542	355	51	467	289	63	263	2954	3860	524	444	232	2926
	86%	88%	89%	90%	86%	82%	94%	85%	89%	83%	88%	85%	82%	89%	90%
(Not applicable)	912	7	13	4	5	11	5	2	10	194	68	46	6	5	55
	3%	1%	2%	1%	9%	2%	2%	3%	3%	5%	2%	8%	1%	2%	2%
(Don't know / Not sure)	170	3	3	1	0	4	0	1	2	40	28	5	1	0	15
	1%	1%	0	0	0	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE4. Have you, to the best of your knowledge, ever felt uncomfortable because you felt your privacy was invaded, in the following situations? For each item, please indicate yes or no, or whether that situation does not apply to you.: When you were shopping at a supermarket?

Page 228
Table: 114

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Yes	15 13%	25 15%	3 11%	1 6%	77 8%	294 14%	38 7%	93 9%	51 17%	15 13%	363 14%	30 6%	353 10%	2755 10%
No	92 85%	134 83%	26 84%	22 92%	805 88%	1544 74%	529 91%	895 82%	221 75%	97 84%	2131 84%	485 93%	3051 88%	23426 86%
(Not applicable)	2 1%	2 1%	1 2%	0 2%	30 3%	237 11%	9 2%	89 8%	21 7%	3 3%	24 1%	4 1%	59 2%	850 3%
(Don't know / Not sure)	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	0 0	3 0	16 1%	3 1%	14 1%	1 0	1 0	17 1%	3 1%	10 0	164 1%
Total	109 100%	161 100%	31 100%	24 100%	914 100%	2091 100%	578 100%	1091 100%	294 100%	115 100%	2535 100%	521 100%	3473 100%	27195 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: **PRIVACY: Use of camera surveillance IF NEEDED (for example, road safety, street crime)**

Page 229
Table: 115

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	500	520	513	543	500	500	500	501	500	500	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13617	233	307	197	30	287	154	36	147	1805	2164	306	270	132	1604
Effective Base	4761.0	455.4	461.4	495.8	111.0	498.8	475.7	368.1	487.3	455.3	446.1	478.6	495.7	436.3	424.1
Very positive impact	3535 26%	40 17%	68 22%	77 39%	10 34%	66 23%	35 23%	14 37%	42 28%	444 25%	306 14%	92 30%	102 38%	45 34%	639 40%
Fairly positive impact	4692 34%	69 30%	113 37%	72 36%	9 29%	99 35%	56 36%	16 44%	54 36%	733 41%	683 32%	112 37%	86 32%	38 29%	498 31%
Makes no difference	2357 17%	51 22%	61 20%	25 13%	5 17%	63 22%	34 22%	3 10%	32 22%	267 15%	447 21%	36 12%	51 19%	25 19%	235 15%
Fairly negative impact	1852 14%	52 22%	52 17%	13 6%	2 8%	34 12%	18 12%	2 5%	13 9%	216 12%	451 21%	34 11%	18 7%	15 11%	136 8%
Very negative impact	789 6%	12 5%	9 3%	4 2%	1 4%	17 6%	8 5%	0 1%	4 3%	96 5%	179 8%	20 6%	8 3%	6 5%	61 4%
(Don't know)	392 3%	9 4%	5 1%	6 3%	2 8%	7 3%	3 2%	1 3%	2 2%	49 3%	97 5%	11 4%	4 2%	3 2%	35 2%
Total	13617 100%	233 100%	307 100%	197 100%	30 100%	287 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1805 100%	2164 100%	306 100%	270 100%	132 100%	1604 100%
Positive impact - T2B	8226 60%	109 47%	180 59%	148 75%	19 63%	166 58%	91 59%	30 81%	95 65%	1177 65%	990 46%	204 67%	189 70%	83 63%	1137 71%
Negative impact - B2B	2642 19%	64 28%	61 20%	17 9%	4 13%	51 18%	26 17%	2 6%	17 12%	311 17%	630 29%	54 18%	26 10%	21 16%	197 12%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: **PRIVACY: Use of camera surveillance IF NEEDED (for example, road safety, street crime)**

Page 230
Table: 115

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	501	501	508	501	501	501	499	500	500	500	499	499	13596
Base: All valid responses	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
Effective Base	491.8	457.1	492.6	111.9	475.4	496.4	494.6	395.1	410.3	344.7	461.1	481.2	433.5	13596.0
Very positive impact	12	30	3	7	112	193	102	231	42	13	251	75	486	3986
	22%	37%	20%	55%	25%	18%	35%	43%	29%	21%	20%	29%	28%	29%
Fairly positive impact	20	29	4	3	161	417	116	155	59	16	449	82	545	4668
	37%	35%	28%	22%	35%	40%	40%	29%	40%	27%	36%	32%	31%	34%
Makes no difference	16	14	4	1	92	213	25	73	27	11	141	60	345	2387
	28%	18%	24%	8%	20%	20%	9%	14%	18%	11%	11%	23%	20%	18%
Fairly negative impact	6	4	2	0	69	152	19	28	13	11	246	25	223	1524
	10%	5%	15%	2%	15%	14%	6%	5%	9%	19%	20%	10%	13%	11%
Very negative impact	1	2	1	0	18	40	11	19	5	6	120	10	129	626
	1%	3%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	11%	10%	4%	7%	5%
(Don't know)	1	1	1	1	4	33	15	26	1	3	42	9	17	405
	2%	2%	7%	10%	1%	3%	5%	5%	1%	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%
Total	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	32	59	7	9	273	610	218	385	100	29	701	156	1031	8654
	58%	73%	48%	77%	60%	58%	76%	72%	69%	48%	56%	60%	59%	64%
Negative impact - B2B	6	6	3	1	87	192	30	47	18	17	366	34	352	2150
	11%	8%	21%	5%	19%	18%	10%	9%	12%	29%	29%	13%	20%	16%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: **PRIVACY: Use of biometric technologies such as fingerprint scanning IF NEEDED (for example for proving identity)**

Page 231
Table: 116

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	500	520	513	543	500	500	500	501	500	500	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13617	233	307	197	30	287	154	36	147	1805	2164	306	270	132	1604
Effective Base	4761.0	455.4	461.4	495.8	111.0	498.8	475.7	368.1	487.3	455.3	446.1	478.6	495.7	436.3	424.1
Very positive impact	2767 20%	30 13%	52 17%	48 24%	6 20%	54 19%	32 21%	5 14%	34 23%	460 25%	249 12%	69 23%	52 19%	36 28%	454 28%
Fairly positive impact	4331 32%	65 28%	108 35%	70 36%	9 28%	95 33%	48 31%	13 37%	49 33%	694 38%	716 33%	109 36%	64 24%	36 28%	420 26%
Makes no difference	2618 19%	45 19%	79 26%	31 16%	5 18%	73 25%	42 27%	8 23%	33 22%	309 17%	393 18%	49 16%	71 26%	29 22%	278 17%
Fairly negative impact	2031 15%	55 23%	41 13%	22 11%	3 9%	39 14%	16 11%	3 8%	17 12%	152 8%	492 23%	35 12%	28 10%	17 13%	218 14%
Very negative impact	1052 8%	26 11%	15 5%	10 5%	3 9%	15 5%	7 4%	1 3%	7 5%	90 5%	234 11%	24 8%	31 12%	10 8%	134 8%
(Don't know)	819 6%	13 6%	11 4%	16 8%	5 16%	11 4%	10 6%	5 15%	7 5%	100 6%	80 4%	19 6%	24 9%	3 2%	99 6%
Total	13617 100%	233 100%	307 100%	197 100%	30 100%	287 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1805 100%	2164 100%	306 100%	270 100%	132 100%	1604 100%
Positive impact - T2B	7098 52%	95 41%	160 52%	118 60%	15 48%	149 52%	80 52%	19 51%	83 57%	1154 64%	965 45%	178 58%	115 43%	73 55%	874 55%
Negative impact - B2B	3082 23%	80 34%	56 18%	33 16%	6 18%	54 19%	23 15%	4 11%	24 16%	242 13%	726 34%	59 19%	60 22%	27 21%	352 22%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: **PRIVACY: Use of biometric technologies such as fingerprint scanning IF NEEDED (for example for proving identity)**

Page 232
Table: 116

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	501	501	508	501	501	501	499	500	500	500	499	499	13596
Base: All valid responses	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
Effective Base	491.8	457.1	492.6	111.9	475.4	496.4	494.6	395.1	410.3	344.7	461.1	481.2	433.5	13596.0
Very positive impact	4	20	3	6	71	139	63	149	23	10	250	51	397	2871
	7%	25%	19%	49%	16%	13%	22%	28%	16%	16%	20%	20%	23%	21%
Fairly positive impact	16	25	4	3	150	350	103	147	44	15	400	71	508	4175
	30%	31%	26%	28%	33%	33%	36%	28%	30%	25%	32%	27%	29%	31%
Makes no difference	19	18	3	1	124	251	49	71	37	14	176	65	344	2892
	34%	23%	22%	11%	27%	24%	17%	13%	25%	23%	14%	25%	20%	21%
Fairly negative impact	9	7	3	1	71	145	23	65	23	8	206	32	300	1749
	17%	8%	17%	5%	16%	14%	8%	12%	16%	14%	16%	12%	17%	13%
Very negative impact	2	5	1	0	32	86	14	37	10	9	100	22	126	957
	4%	6%	7%	3%	7%	8%	5%	7%	7%	15%	8%	8%	7%	7%
(Don't know)	5	5	1	0	8	77	38	62	10	5	119	18	70	952
	8%	6%	9%	4%	2%	7%	13%	12%	7%	8%	9%	7%	4%	7%
Total	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	20	46	7	9	220	489	166	297	66	24	650	122	905	7046
	37%	56%	45%	78%	48%	47%	58%	56%	46%	41%	52%	47%	52%	52%
Negative impact - B2B	11	12	4	1	103	232	36	102	33	17	306	54	426	2706
	21%	15%	24%	8%	23%	22%	13%	19%	23%	28%	24%	21%	24%	20%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: PRIVACY: Use of devices that collect information about people's behaviour IF NEEDED (for example, smart meters, CCTV cameras in public transport)

Page 233
Table: 117

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	500	520	513	543	500	500	500	501	500	500	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13617	233	307	197	30	287	154	36	147	1805	2164	306	270	132	1604
Effective Base	4761.0	455.4	461.4	495.8	111.0	498.8	475.7	368.1	487.3	455.3	446.1	478.6	495.7	436.3	424.1
Very positive impact	1830 13%	14 6%	30 10%	46 23%	6 21%	25 9%	15 10%	5 12%	13 9%	271 15%	108 5%	50 16%	42 16%	31 23%	447 28%
Fairly positive impact	3452 25%	32 14%	81 26%	68 34%	8 25%	81 28%	38 25%	11 29%	34 23%	506 28%	349 16%	103 34%	54 20%	37 28%	392 24%
Makes no difference	2712 20%	50 21%	62 20%	30 15%	7 22%	83 29%	52 34%	7 19%	47 32%	297 16%	320 15%	48 16%	93 34%	28 21%	286 18%
Fairly negative impact	3183 23%	92 39%	98 32%	29 15%	3 11%	65 23%	28 18%	9 25%	30 21%	390 22%	843 39%	47 15%	39 15%	22 17%	246 15%
Very negative impact	1671 12%	32 14%	26 9%	12 6%	2 6%	21 7%	14 9%	2 6%	14 9%	251 14%	426 20%	38 12%	32 12%	10 8%	158 10%
(Don't know)	768 6%	13 6%	9 3%	12 6%	5 15%	12 4%	8 5%	3 8%	8 5%	90 5%	118 5%	20 7%	10 4%	3 2%	76 5%
Total	13617 100%	233 100%	307 100%	197 100%	30 100%	287 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1805 100%	2164 100%	306 100%	270 100%	132 100%	1604 100%
Positive impact - T2B	5282 39%	46 20%	111 36%	114 58%	14 46%	105 37%	53 34%	15 42%	47 32%	777 43%	457 21%	152 50%	96 36%	68 52%	839 52%
Negative impact - B2B	4854 36%	124 53%	124 41%	41 21%	5 17%	87 30%	42 27%	11 31%	44 30%	641 35%	1270 59%	85 28%	71 26%	33 25%	404 25%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: **PRIVACY: Use of devices that collect information about people's behaviour IF NEEDED (for example, smart meters, CCTV cameras in public transport)**

Page 234
Table: 117

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	501	501	508	501	501	501	499	500	500	500	499	499	13596
Base: All valid responses	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
Effective Base	491.8	457.1	492.6	111.9	475.4	496.4	494.6	395.1	410.3	344.7	461.1	481.2	433.5	13596.0
Very positive impact	4	13	2	5	37	62	40	110	17	7	114	38	280	1980
	7%	16%	10%	41%	8%	6%	14%	21%	12%	12%	9%	15%	16%	15%
Fairly positive impact	14	26	4	4	122	323	105	154	48	11	280	57	510	3624
	25%	32%	25%	32%	27%	31%	36%	29%	33%	19%	22%	22%	29%	27%
Makes no difference	20	22	3	1	108	292	54	106	41	14	175	63	405	3061
	37%	27%	18%	13%	24%	28%	19%	20%	28%	23%	14%	24%	23%	23%
Fairly negative impact	12	10	4	1	130	223	37	57	25	12	314	55	362	2741
	22%	13%	25%	5%	29%	21%	13%	11%	17%	20%	25%	21%	21%	20%
Very negative impact	2	5	2	1	48	77	18	36	9	10	238	32	153	1354
	4%	7%	13%	5%	10%	7%	6%	7%	6%	18%	19%	12%	9%	10%
(Don't know)	3	5	1	0	10	72	35	68	5	5	129	13	35	836
	5%	6%	9%	4%	2%	7%	12%	13%	4%	8%	10%	5%	2%	6%
Total	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	17	39	5	9	159	385	145	265	65	18	394	95	791	5604
	32%	48%	35%	73%	35%	37%	50%	50%	45%	31%	31%	37%	45%	41%
Negative impact - B2B	14	16	6	1	178	299	54	93	34	23	552	88	514	4095
	26%	19%	38%	10%	39%	29%	19%	18%	24%	38%	44%	34%	29%	30%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: PRIVACY: Use of techniques to monitor Internet traffic IF NEEDED (for example, monitoring visits to different websites)

Page 235
Table: 118

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	500	520	513	543	500	500	500	501	500	500	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13617	233	307	197	30	287	154	36	147	1805	2164	306	270	132	1604
Effective Base	4761.0	455.4	461.4	495.8	111.0	498.8	475.7	368.1	487.3	455.3	446.1	478.6	495.7	436.3	424.1
Very positive impact	1629 12%	12 5%	34 11%	20 10%	3 9%	12 4%	14 9%	3 8%	12 8%	286 16%	111 5%	43 14%	27 10%	25 19%	341 21%
Fairly positive impact	2871 21%	35 15%	75 24%	54 27%	6 20%	47 16%	38 24%	7 20%	33 22%	485 27%	324 15%	82 27%	42 16%	33 25%	334 21%
Makes no difference	2275 17%	39 17%	60 19%	34 17%	7 25%	72 25%	41 27%	11 30%	34 23%	218 12%	292 14%	46 15%	76 28%	26 20%	177 11%
Fairly negative impact	3363 25%	78 33%	86 28%	29 15%	5 15%	83 29%	37 24%	5 15%	38 26%	350 19%	775 36%	56 18%	51 19%	26 20%	322 20%
Very negative impact	1921 14%	45 19%	29 9%	17 8%	4 14%	40 14%	16 10%	2 6%	13 9%	268 15%	489 23%	31 10%	36 13%	13 10%	186 12%
(Don't know)	1558 11%	24 10%	23 8%	43 22%	5 16%	34 12%	8 5%	8 22%	17 12%	199 11%	174 8%	47 15%	37 14%	8 6%	243 15%
Total	13617 100%	233 100%	307 100%	197 100%	30 100%	287 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1805 100%	2164 100%	306 100%	270 100%	132 100%	1604 100%
Positive impact - T2B	4500 33%	47 20%	109 35%	73 37%	9 29%	58 20%	52 34%	10 27%	44 30%	771 43%	434 20%	125 41%	70 26%	58 44%	675 42%
Negative impact - B2B	5284 39%	123 53%	115 38%	46 23%	9 30%	123 43%	53 34%	8 21%	51 35%	618 34%	1263 58%	87 29%	88 32%	40 30%	508 32%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: **PRIVACY: Use of techniques to monitor Internet traffic IF NEEDED (for example, monitoring visits to different websites)**

Page 236
Table: 118

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	501	501	508	501	501	501	499	500	500	500	499	499	13596
Base: All valid responses	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
Effective Base	491.8	457.1	492.6	111.9	475.4	496.4	494.6	395.1	410.3	344.7	461.1	481.2	433.5	13596.0
Very positive impact	1	7	1	3	52	72	37	89	5	6	180	30	204	1514
	2%	8%	9%	24%	11%	7%	13%	17%	3%	11%	14%	11%	12%	11%
Fairly positive impact	8	14	3	2	127	197	99	112	29	9	284	37	356	2878
	14%	17%	21%	16%	28%	19%	34%	21%	20%	16%	23%	14%	20%	21%
Makes no difference	13	23	3	2	78	261	43	91	36	14	123	57	398	2697
	25%	29%	19%	15%	17%	25%	15%	17%	25%	23%	10%	22%	23%	20%
Fairly negative impact	16	15	4	1	127	264	28	62	42	12	317	74	458	2995
	29%	19%	26%	10%	28%	25%	10%	12%	29%	20%	25%	29%	26%	22%
Very negative impact	4	7	2	2	49	126	20	45	16	11	207	38	204	1633
	8%	9%	13%	19%	11%	12%	7%	9%	11%	18%	17%	15%	12%	12%
(Don't know)	12	15	2	2	21	129	62	133	17	8	140	23	125	1879
	22%	18%	12%	16%	5%	12%	21%	25%	12%	13%	11%	9%	7%	14%
Total	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	9	21	4	5	180	268	136	201	34	16	464	67	560	4392
	16%	26%	29%	40%	39%	26%	47%	38%	23%	27%	37%	26%	32%	32%
Negative impact - B2B	20	22	6	3	176	390	49	107	59	22	523	112	662	4628
	37%	28%	39%	29%	39%	37%	17%	20%	40%	38%	42%	43%	38%	34%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: PRIVACY: Use of body scanners IF NEEDED (for example, at airports)

Page 237
Table: 119

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13596	502	501	500	520	513	543	500	500	500	501	500	500	499	501
Base: All valid responses	13617	233	307	197	30	287	154	36	147	1805	2164	306	270	132	1604
Effective Base	4761.0	455.4	461.4	495.8	111.0	498.8	475.7	368.1	487.3	455.3	446.1	478.6	495.7	436.3	424.1
Very positive impact	3166 23%	28 12%	59 19%	80 41%	8 28%	51 18%	42 27%	6 17%	25 17%	440 24%	239 11%	99 32%	74 27%	42 32%	632 39%
Fairly positive impact	3974 29%	53 23%	110 36%	69 35%	9 31%	72 25%	47 30%	12 33%	42 28%	659 37%	594 27%	119 39%	58 22%	33 25%	429 27%
Makes no difference	3028 22%	69 30%	77 25%	20 10%	4 13%	84 29%	45 29%	7 18%	42 29%	331 18%	713 33%	42 14%	75 28%	29 22%	245 15%
Fairly negative impact	1796 13%	49 21%	37 12%	9 5%	2 7%	45 16%	11 7%	5 15%	20 13%	183 10%	364 17%	20 7%	25 9%	13 10%	128 8%
Very negative impact	858 6%	25 11%	13 4%	4 2%	1 3%	20 7%	7 4%	2 5%	7 4%	88 5%	142 7%	11 4%	21 8%	11 9%	67 4%
(Don't know)	794 6%	8 4%	11 4%	15 7%	6 18%	15 5%	3 2%	4 12%	12 8%	103 6%	112 5%	14 5%	16 6%	3 3%	102 6%
Total	13617 100%	233 100%	307 100%	197 100%	30 100%	287 100%	154 100%	36 100%	147 100%	1805 100%	2164 100%	306 100%	270 100%	132 100%	1604 100%
Positive impact - T2B	7140 52%	81 35%	169 55%	149 76%	18 59%	123 43%	89 58%	18 50%	67 45%	1099 61%	833 38%	218 71%	132 49%	75 57%	1062 66%
Negative impact - B2B	2654 19%	74 32%	50 16%	13 7%	3 9%	65 23%	18 11%	7 19%	26 18%	271 15%	506 23%	32 10%	47 17%	24 18%	195 12%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v1. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: **PRIVACY: Use of body scanners IF NEEDED (for example, at airports)**

Page 238
Table: 119

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	501	501	508	501	501	501	499	500	500	500	499	499	13596
Base: All valid responses	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
Effective Base	491.8	457.1	492.6	111.9	475.4	496.4	494.6	395.1	410.3	344.7	461.1	481.2	433.5	13596.0
Very positive impact	6	19	3	6	102	128	104	172	27	12	226	79	457	3414
	11%	23%	17%	54%	22%	12%	36%	32%	19%	20%	18%	31%	26%	25%
Fairly positive impact	14	21	4	2	146	279	96	136	43	17	381	54	474	3877
	26%	26%	25%	18%	32%	27%	33%	26%	29%	28%	30%	21%	27%	29%
Makes no difference	19	23	4	2	116	250	36	103	47	12	156	69	409	3068
	35%	29%	26%	17%	25%	24%	12%	19%	32%	21%	12%	26%	23%	23%
Fairly negative impact	9	7	3	0	58	204	14	45	14	8	279	29	217	1559
	16%	8%	17%	4%	13%	19%	5%	9%	9%	13%	22%	11%	12%	11%
Very negative impact	2	3	1	0	20	75	9	21	9	6	136	18	138	782
	3%	4%	7%	3%	4%	7%	3%	4%	6%	11%	11%	7%	8%	6%
(Don't know)	4	8	1	1	14	112	30	54	7	4	72	10	50	896
	8%	10%	7%	5%	3%	11%	10%	10%	5%	7%	6%	4%	3%	7%
Total	55	81	15	12	455	1049	288	531	145	59	1250	259	1745	13596
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	20	39	6	8	248	408	200	308	70	28	607	133	931	7291
	37%	49%	43%	71%	54%	39%	69%	58%	48%	48%	49%	51%	53%	54%
Negative impact - B2B	11	10	4	1	78	279	23	66	22	14	415	47	355	2341
	20%	12%	24%	7%	17%	27%	8%	12%	15%	24%	33%	18%	20%	17%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of camera surveillance IF NEEDED (for example, road safety, street crime)

Page 239
Table: 120

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13599	501	500	501	520	513	544	500	501	500	499	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13691	228	305	197	29	285	152	37	147	1757	2212	308	269	129	1644
Effective Base	4752.4	454.1	461.8	497.1	116.5	497.8	482.9	303.3	488.9	461.8	438.4	477.9	496.1	437.1	428.9
Very positive impact	4965 36%	58 26%	95 31%	91 46%	10 35%	97 34%	53 35%	17 45%	48 33%	552 31%	528 24%	91 29%	131 49%	56 43%	883 54%
Fairly positive impact	5922 43%	105 46%	146 48%	76 39%	11 38%	117 41%	59 39%	16 42%	76 52%	868 49%	1102 50%	120 39%	85 31%	46 35%	549 33%
Makes no difference	1311 10%	32 14%	28 9%	14 7%	4 13%	32 11%	24 16%	3 7%	13 9%	153 9%	303 14%	34 11%	26 10%	14 11%	109 7%
Fairly negative impact	769 6%	22 10%	25 8%	7 4%	2 6%	24 8%	9 6%	1 2%	5 4%	104 6%	158 7%	32 10%	10 4%	6 5%	40 2%
Very negative impact	403 3%	6 3%	6 2%	6 3%	1 4%	13 5%	5 3%	1 1%	2 1%	36 2%	81 4%	24 8%	12 5%	6 4%	36 2%
(Don't know)	321 2%	5 2%	4 1%	3 1%	1 5%	2 1%	2 1%	1 2%	3 2%	42 2%	38 2%	8 3%	5 2%	1 1%	28 2%
Total	13691 100%	228 100%	305 100%	197 100%	29 100%	285 100%	152 100%	37 100%	147 100%	1757 100%	2212 100%	308 100%	269 100%	129 100%	1644 100%
Positive impact - T2B	10887 80%	163 72%	241 79%	167 85%	21 72%	214 75%	113 74%	33 87%	124 84%	1421 81%	1631 74%	210 68%	216 80%	101 79%	1431 87%
Negative impact - B2B	1172 9%	28 12%	31 10%	13 7%	3 10%	37 13%	14 9%	1 3%	7 5%	141 8%	239 11%	56 18%	22 8%	12 9%	76 5%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of camera surveillance IF NEEDED (for example, road safety, street crime)

Page 240
Table: 120

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	502	499	499	501	500	500	500	501	501	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
Effective Base	492.4	482.9	176.2	115.1	475.0	494.4	491.9	390.0	387.4	496.2	446.9	485.1	444.0	13599.0
Very positive impact	19	40	4	8	171	333	107	293	53	21	414	96	696	5171
	36%	49%	26%	66%	37%	32%	37%	52%	36%	37%	32%	37%	40%	38%
Fairly positive impact	26	27	7	3	199	496	119	163	65	17	591	105	728	5526
	49%	34%	45%	22%	43%	48%	41%	29%	44%	31%	46%	40%	42%	41%
Makes no difference	5	9	2	1	46	95	23	41	20	7	98	32	144	1390
	10%	12%	11%	6%	10%	9%	8%	7%	13%	13%	8%	12%	8%	10%
Fairly negative impact	1	2	1	0	28	50	18	23	6	5	89	15	88	737
	2%	2%	8%	2%	6%	5%	6%	4%	4%	8%	7%	6%	5%	5%
Very negative impact	1	1	1	0	9	30	8	12	4	5	48	7	40	449
	1%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	9%	4%	3%	2%	3%
(Don't know)	1	1	1	0	5	38	16	28	1	2	45	7	32	326
	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	4%	6%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%
Total	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	46	67	11	10	370	829	225	456	118	38	1005	201	1424	10697
	85%	83%	72%	87%	81%	80%	78%	81%	80%	67%	78%	77%	82%	79%
Negative impact - B2B	2	3	2	1	37	80	26	35	10	9	137	22	128	1186
	4%	4%	12%	6%	8%	8%	9%	6%	6%	17%	11%	8%	7%	9%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of biometric technologies such as fingerprint scanning IF NEEDED (for example for proving identity)

Page 241
Table: 121

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13599	501	500	501	520	513	544	500	501	500	499	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13691	228	305	197	29	285	152	37	147	1757	2212	308	269	129	1644
Effective Base	4752.4	454.1	461.8	497.1	116.5	497.8	482.9	303.3	488.9	461.8	438.4	477.9	496.1	437.1	428.9
Very positive impact	3990 29%	47 21%	75 25%	58 30%	7 25%	83 29%	49 32%	8 21%	36 24%	556 32%	430 19%	73 24%	83 31%	51 40%	653 40%
Fairly positive impact	5566 41%	94 41%	142 47%	83 42%	10 35%	121 42%	57 37%	16 42%	67 45%	809 46%	969 44%	115 37%	78 29%	48 37%	560 34%
Makes no difference	1687 12%	41 18%	53 17%	18 9%	5 18%	37 13%	23 15%	6 16%	24 16%	149 8%	322 15%	42 14%	52 19%	14 11%	165 10%
Fairly negative impact	1038 8%	25 11%	21 7%	15 8%	2 9%	28 10%	9 6%	3 8%	10 6%	97 6%	240 11%	41 13%	17 6%	9 7%	77 5%
Very negative impact	654 5%	10 5%	8 2%	12 6%	2 7%	9 3%	7 4%	1 3%	4 3%	56 3%	135 6%	25 8%	21 8%	5 4%	112 7%
(Don't know)	756 6%	10 4%	6 2%	11 5%	2 7%	8 3%	8 5%	4 11%	7 5%	90 5%	117 5%	13 4%	19 7%	2 2%	78 5%
Total	13691 100%	228 100%	305 100%	197 100%	29 100%	285 100%	152 100%	37 100%	147 100%	1757 100%	2212 100%	308 100%	269 100%	129 100%	1644 100%
Positive impact - T2B	9557 70%	141 62%	217 71%	141 72%	17 60%	204 71%	105 69%	23 63%	103 70%	1365 78%	1399 63%	188 61%	161 60%	99 77%	1213 74%
Negative impact - B2B	1692 12%	36 16%	28 9%	28 14%	4 15%	37 13%	16 10%	4 11%	13 9%	153 9%	374 17%	66 21%	38 14%	14 11%	189 11%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of biometric technologies such as fingerprint scanning IF NEEDED (for example for proving identity)

Page 242
Table: 121

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	502	499	499	501	500	500	500	501	501	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
Effective Base	492.4	482.9	176.2	115.1	475.0	494.4	491.9	390.0	387.4	496.2	446.9	485.1	444.0	13599.0
Very positive impact	10	25	5	7	104	266	64	198	35	15	382	72	599	3850
	18%	31%	30%	58%	23%	26%	22%	35%	24%	28%	30%	28%	35%	28%
Fairly positive impact	25	30	6	3	215	438	112	185	62	18	529	86	691	5313
	45%	38%	34%	24%	47%	42%	39%	33%	42%	32%	41%	33%	40%	39%
Makes no difference	10	15	2	1	62	159	48	51	22	9	125	49	185	1910
	18%	19%	13%	8%	14%	15%	16%	9%	15%	16%	10%	19%	11%	14%
Fairly negative impact	4	4	1	0	41	76	14	31	12	5	109	25	119	1051
	8%	5%	9%	3%	9%	7%	5%	6%	8%	8%	8%	10%	7%	8%
Very negative impact	1	2	1	0	30	33	13	30	7	5	53	13	60	660
	2%	2%	8%	3%	7%	3%	4%	5%	5%	10%	4%	5%	3%	5%
(Don't know)	4	4	1	0	7	70	40	64	9	3	87	18	74	815
	8%	5%	6%	4%	2%	7%	14%	11%	6%	6%	7%	7%	4%	6%
Total	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	35	55	10	10	318	704	176	384	98	34	912	158	1290	9163
	64%	68%	64%	82%	69%	68%	61%	69%	66%	60%	71%	60%	75%	67%
Negative impact - B2B	5	6	3	1	71	109	27	62	19	10	162	38	179	1711
	10%	7%	17%	6%	15%	10%	9%	11%	13%	18%	13%	15%	10%	13%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of devices that collect information about people's behaviour IF NEEDED (for example, smart meters, CCTV cameras in public transport)

Page 243
Table: 122

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13599	501	500	501	520	513	544	500	501	500	499	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13691	228	305	197	29	285	152	37	147	1757	2212	308	269	129	1644
Effective Base	4752.4	454.1	461.8	497.1	116.5	497.8	482.9	303.3	488.9	461.8	438.4	477.9	496.1	437.1	428.9
Very positive impact	2541 19%	19 9%	39 13%	49 25%	5 17%	32 11%	21 14%	5 14%	12 8%	251 14%	148 7%	61 20%	65 24%	38 29%	627 38%
Fairly positive impact	4662 34%	61 27%	129 42%	74 37%	11 39%	108 38%	40 26%	14 37%	49 33%	656 37%	596 27%	90 29%	83 31%	42 33%	639 39%
Makes no difference	2453 18%	49 21%	54 18%	27 14%	6 21%	67 24%	42 28%	8 21%	42 28%	299 17%	501 23%	47 15%	61 23%	22 17%	129 8%
Fairly negative impact	2136 16%	60 27%	45 15%	22 11%	2 7%	46 16%	25 17%	6 15%	25 17%	313 18%	565 26%	58 19%	22 8%	15 12%	120 7%
Very negative impact	1105 8%	24 11%	20 7%	18 9%	2 7%	18 6%	17 11%	2 4%	7 5%	157 9%	295 13%	39 13%	23 9%	9 7%	80 5%
(Don't know)	795 6%	14 6%	17 6%	8 4%	3 10%	13 5%	8 5%	3 9%	12 8%	80 5%	107 5%	14 4%	15 6%	2 1%	49 3%
Total	13691 100%	228 100%	305 100%	197 100%	29 100%	285 100%	152 100%	37 100%	147 100%	1757 100%	2212 100%	308 100%	269 100%	129 100%	1644 100%
Positive impact - T2B	7202 53%	80 35%	168 55%	123 62%	16 56%	141 49%	61 40%	19 51%	61 41%	907 52%	743 34%	151 49%	148 55%	80 62%	1266 77%
Negative impact - B2B	3241 24%	85 37%	65 21%	40 20%	4 14%	64 22%	42 28%	7 19%	32 22%	470 27%	860 39%	97 31%	45 17%	25 19%	200 12%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of devices that collect information about people's behaviour IF NEEDED (for example, smart meters, CCTV cameras in public transport)

Page 244
Table: 122

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	502	499	499	501	500	500	500	501	501	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
Effective Base	492.4	482.9	176.2	115.1	475.0	494.4	491.9	390.0	387.4	496.2	446.9	485.1	444.0	13599.0
Very positive impact	7	20	2	6	54	136	57	150	28	11	194	42	461	2617
	14%	24%	14%	53%	12%	13%	19%	27%	19%	20%	15%	16%	27%	19%
Fairly positive impact	23	29	6	3	152	386	102	168	66	12	391	85	647	4585
	43%	37%	34%	27%	33%	37%	35%	30%	44%	21%	30%	32%	37%	34%
Makes no difference	13	18	3	1	105	245	43	87	27	11	196	67	283	2622
	24%	22%	19%	11%	23%	23%	15%	15%	18%	20%	15%	26%	16%	19%
Fairly negative impact	6	5	2	0	94	127	33	50	16	8	226	32	212	1857
	11%	6%	15%	2%	21%	12%	11%	9%	11%	15%	18%	12%	12%	14%
Very negative impact	1	5	2	1	32	64	16	37	7	10	113	26	82	1077
	2%	6%	11%	4%	7%	6%	5%	7%	5%	18%	9%	10%	5%	8%
(Don't know)	3	4	1	0	22	85	40	68	4	4	164	11	43	841
	6%	5%	7%	3%	5%	8%	14%	12%	3%	7%	13%	4%	2%	6%
Total	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	31	49	8	10	206	522	159	318	94	23	586	127	1108	7202
	57%	61%	48%	80%	45%	50%	55%	57%	63%	40%	46%	48%	64%	53%
Negative impact - B2B	7	10	4	1	126	190	49	87	24	18	339	58	293	2934
	13%	12%	25%	7%	27%	18%	17%	16%	16%	32%	26%	22%	17%	22%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of techniques to monitor Internet traffic IF NEEDED (for example, monitoring visits to different websites)

Page 245
Table: 123

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13599	501	500	501	520	513	544	500	501	500	499	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13691	228	305	197	29	285	152	37	147	1757	2212	308	269	129	1644
Effective Base	4752.4	454.1	461.8	497.1	116.5	497.8	482.9	303.3	488.9	461.8	438.4	477.9	496.1	437.1	428.9
Very positive impact	2191 16%	21 9%	49 16%	27 14%	5 18%	21 7%	23 15%	3 8%	12 9%	316 18%	208 9%	48 15%	33 12%	30 23%	451 27%
Fairly positive impact	4420 32%	64 28%	123 40%	57 29%	8 29%	74 26%	47 31%	9 25%	50 34%	728 41%	600 27%	78 25%	50 19%	40 31%	540 33%
Makes no difference	2290 17%	41 18%	47 16%	30 15%	5 19%	72 25%	35 23%	12 31%	32 22%	226 13%	354 16%	60 20%	76 28%	24 19%	175 11%
Fairly negative impact	1957 14%	52 23%	44 15%	23 12%	4 14%	62 22%	18 12%	5 13%	27 18%	203 12%	496 22%	56 18%	38 14%	18 14%	116 7%
Very negative impact	1129 8%	26 11%	17 6%	18 9%	3 10%	24 8%	15 10%	1 4%	8 5%	113 6%	319 14%	31 10%	27 10%	10 7%	98 6%
(Don't know)	1705 12%	24 10%	24 8%	42 22%	3 11%	32 11%	14 9%	7 19%	17 12%	170 10%	235 11%	36 12%	45 17%	7 6%	264 16%
Total	13691 100%	228 100%	305 100%	197 100%	29 100%	285 100%	152 100%	37 100%	147 100%	1757 100%	2212 100%	308 100%	269 100%	129 100%	1644 100%
Positive impact - T2B	6611 48%	85 37%	172 56%	84 43%	14 47%	95 33%	70 46%	12 33%	63 43%	1045 59%	808 37%	125 41%	83 31%	70 54%	991 60%
Negative impact - B2B	3086 23%	78 34%	61 20%	41 21%	7 23%	86 30%	33 22%	6 17%	35 24%	316 18%	815 37%	87 28%	65 24%	27 21%	214 13%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of techniques to monitor Internet traffic IF NEEDED (for example, monitoring visits to different websites)

Page 246
Table: 123

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	502	499	499	501	500	500	500	501	501	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
Effective Base	492.4	482.9	176.2	115.1	475.0	494.4	491.9	390.0	387.4	496.2	446.9	485.1	444.0	13599.0
Very positive impact	1	7	2	4	59	92	44	112	15	8	268	26	304	1931
	2%	9%	13%	34%	13%	9%	15%	20%	10%	15%	21%	10%	18%	14%
Fairly positive impact	11	18	6	2	166	330	86	135	42	12	487	67	587	3925
	21%	23%	35%	18%	36%	32%	30%	24%	28%	22%	38%	26%	34%	29%
Makes no difference	14	24	2	2	105	227	50	82	40	12	141	70	333	2649
	25%	30%	12%	14%	23%	22%	17%	15%	27%	21%	11%	27%	19%	19%
Fairly negative impact	11	10	3	1	76	176	21	46	26	8	121	46	248	2022
	21%	12%	18%	9%	17%	17%	7%	8%	18%	14%	9%	18%	14%	15%
Very negative impact	3	6	2	1	31	67	15	55	9	9	94	34	93	1133
	6%	7%	9%	8%	7%	6%	5%	10%	6%	15%	7%	13%	5%	8%
(Don't know)	13	16	2	2	22	149	73	130	15	7	174	19	163	1939
	24%	19%	11%	16%	5%	14%	25%	23%	10%	13%	14%	7%	9%	14%
Total	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	13	26	8	6	225	422	130	247	57	20	755	93	891	5856
	23%	32%	49%	53%	49%	40%	45%	44%	39%	36%	59%	36%	52%	43%
Negative impact - B2B	14	15	4	2	107	243	37	101	36	17	215	80	341	3155
	27%	19%	28%	17%	23%	23%	13%	18%	24%	30%	17%	30%	20%	23%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of body scanners IF NEEDED (for example, at airports)

Page 247
Table: 124

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	13599	501	500	501	520	513	544	500	501	500	499	500	500	501	501
Base: All valid responses	13691	228	305	197	29	285	152	37	147	1757	2212	308	269	129	1644
Effective Base	4752.4	454.1	461.8	497.1	116.5	497.8	482.9	303.3	488.9	461.8	438.4	477.9	496.1	437.1	428.9
Very positive impact	4971 36%	51 23%	95 31%	99 50%	10 34%	86 30%	60 40%	9 23%	33 23%	594 34%	640 29%	106 34%	100 37%	58 45%	864 53%
Fairly positive impact	5049 37%	81 36%	144 47%	65 33%	11 38%	111 39%	56 37%	14 37%	63 43%	791 45%	854 39%	120 39%	80 30%	40 31%	470 29%
Makes no difference	1475 11%	45 20%	36 12%	12 6%	3 11%	46 16%	22 15%	7 18%	26 18%	145 8%	254 11%	35 11%	36 13%	14 11%	99 6%
Fairly negative impact	938 7%	26 12%	10 3%	6 3%	1 4%	21 7%	7 4%	4 12%	9 6%	109 6%	245 11%	24 8%	14 5%	10 8%	66 4%
Very negative impact	526 4%	15 7%	6 2%	5 2%	1 4%	9 3%	4 3%	1 3%	4 2%	52 3%	136 6%	14 5%	15 5%	6 5%	42 3%
(Don't know)	732 5%	9 4%	15 5%	11 5%	2 9%	13 5%	3 2%	3 8%	12 8%	66 4%	82 4%	11 3%	25 9%	2 1%	104 6%
Total	13691 100%	228 100%	305 100%	197 100%	29 100%	285 100%	152 100%	37 100%	147 100%	1757 100%	2212 100%	308 100%	269 100%	129 100%	1644 100%
Positive impact - T2B	10021 73%	133 58%	238 78%	164 83%	21 73%	197 69%	116 76%	22 60%	96 65%	1385 79%	1494 68%	225 73%	180 67%	98 76%	1333 81%
Negative impact - B2B	1464 11%	41 18%	16 5%	11 6%	2 7%	29 10%	11 7%	5 15%	12 8%	161 9%	381 17%	38 12%	29 11%	16 12%	108 7%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QE6v2. I am now going to read out a list of specific uses of technologies. For each, I would like you to tell me whether you think they generally have a positive or negative impact on people's , or make no difference.: SECURITY: Use of body scanners IF NEEDED (for example, at airports)

Page 248
Table: 124

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	506	503	500	506	502	499	499	501	500	500	500	501	501	13599
Base: All valid responses	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
Effective Base	492.4	482.9	176.2	115.1	475.0	494.4	491.9	390.0	387.4	496.2	446.9	485.1	444.0	13599.0
Very positive impact	13	25	4	8	146	242	105	235	42	21	379	114	832	4780
	24%	31%	26%	65%	32%	23%	36%	42%	28%	38%	30%	44%	48%	35%
Fairly positive impact	22	28	6	3	209	381	101	159	63	18	550	75	537	4913
	40%	35%	35%	21%	46%	37%	35%	28%	43%	31%	43%	28%	31%	36%
Makes no difference	8	15	3	1	53	174	31	59	26	6	97	43	181	1736
	15%	19%	17%	6%	12%	17%	11%	11%	17%	10%	8%	16%	10%	13%
Fairly negative impact	5	3	1	0	32	88	11	28	5	4	97	13	98	853
	9%	4%	9%	2%	7%	8%	4%	5%	3%	8%	8%	5%	6%	6%
Very negative impact	1	1	1	0	11	41	5	17	5	4	75	9	47	514
	3%	1%	7%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	7%	6%	3%	3%	4%
(Don't know)	5	8	1	0	7	116	35	63	8	3	87	9	32	803
	9%	10%	6%	2%	1%	11%	12%	11%	5%	6%	7%	3%	2%	6%
Total	54	81	16	12	459	1042	290	560	148	56	1285	262	1728	13599
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Positive impact - T2B	35	53	10	10	356	623	207	394	105	39	929	189	1369	9693
	64%	66%	61%	86%	78%	60%	71%	70%	71%	69%	72%	72%	79%	71%
Negative impact - B2B	6	4	3	1	43	129	17	44	9	8	172	22	145	1367
	12%	5%	16%	6%	9%	12%	6%	8%	6%	14%	13%	8%	8%	10%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF2. In politics people sometimes talk of “left” and “right”. Where would you place yourself on a scale, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

Page 249
Table: 125

		Country													
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
0 = left	1904	15	27	38	5	33	17	2	7	291	161	29	38	8	409
	7%	3%	4%	10%	9%	6%	6%	2%	3%	8%	4%	5%	7%	3%	13%
1	313	5	6	3	0	4	8	0	3	34	25	12	3	4	56
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0	1%	2%
2	1063	18	15	7	1	12	13	1	6	145	205	20	6	8	108
	4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%
3	2000	43	40	8	1	37	30	2	19	187	485	33	18	16	293
	7%	9%	7%	2%	2%	7%	10%	3%	6%	5%	11%	5%	3%	6%	9%
4	2319	55	60	13	1	44	29	5	31	231	610	42	19	20	305
	8%	12%	10%	3%	2%	8%	9%	7%	11%	6%	14%	7%	4%	8%	9%
5	9292	180	217	165	14	197	60	27	85	1189	1758	189	203	96	870
	34%	39%	35%	42%	24%	34%	20%	37%	29%	33%	40%	31%	38%	37%	27%
6	1801	48	61	16	2	45	28	5	23	212	374	32	19	29	106
	7%	10%	10%	4%	3%	8%	9%	6%	8%	6%	9%	5%	4%	11%	3%
7	1632	25	42	18	3	45	38	7	34	245	195	23	26	21	109
	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	8%	12%	10%	11%	7%	4%	4%	5%	8%	3%
8	1495	17	32	23	3	52	39	6	36	193	242	20	36	13	124
	5%	4%	5%	6%	6%	9%	13%	8%	12%	5%	6%	3%	7%	5%	4%
9	392	3	7	9	1	16	9	1	10	75	16	12	15	3	30
	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0	2%	3%	1%	1%
10 = right	1327	8	22	45	4	33	15	3	11	218	55	37	60	12	174
	5%	2%	4%	11%	7%	6%	5%	4%	4%	6%	1%	6%	11%	5%	5%
(Don't know)	3772	43	82	49	23	55	22	14	30	542	249	165	95	30	663
	14%	9%	13%	12%	38%	10%	7%	19%	10%	15%	6%	27%	18%	12%	20%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Left - T3B	3280	39	48	47	6	49	37	3	16	470	391	60	47	20	573
	12%	8%	8%	12%	10%	9%	12%	4%	6%	13%	9%	10%	9%	8%	18%
Right - B3B	3215	28	61	77	9	101	63	10	56	486	313	70	112	28	328
	12%	6%	10%	20%	15%	18%	20%	13%	19%	14%	7%	11%	21%	11%	10%
Mean	4.89	4.78	5.07	5.39	5.31	5.35	5.31	5.55	5.58	5.01	4.69	5.04	5.60	5.22	4.31
Standard deviation	2.38	1.83	2.10	2.75	2.94	2.37	2.54	1.97	2.14	2.55	1.80	2.45	2.68	2.08	2.70
Standard error	0.02	0.09	0.09	0.15	0.49	0.10	0.15	0.25	0.13	0.05	0.03	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.05

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF2. In politics people sometimes talk of “left” and “right”. Where would you place yourself on a scale, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

Page 250
Table: 125

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
0 = left	2	10	2	1	35	105	46	68	22	13	338	34	147	1677
	2%	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%	8%	6%	7%	12%	13%	7%	4%	6%
1	0	1	0	0	11	24	6	7	4	1	52	12	31	306
	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%
2	0	3	1	0	40	54	16	3	5	4	174	24	174	747
	0	2%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	0	2%	3%	7%	5%	5%	3%
3	3	5	2	0	80	86	31	13	15	5	210	53	282	1555
	2%	3%	7%	1%	9%	4%	5%	1%	5%	5%	8%	10%	8%	6%
4	2	5	3	1	121	104	34	20	19	7	161	64	313	1902
	2%	3%	9%	2%	13%	5%	6%	2%	6%	6%	6%	12%	9%	7%
5	41	51	10	7	225	835	205	263	100	54	850	116	1285	9077
	38%	31%	32%	31%	25%	40%	35%	24%	34%	47%	34%	22%	37%	33%
6	7	4	3	1	115	168	26	58	16	3	101	46	252	1777
	7%	3%	8%	2%	13%	8%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	9%	7%	7%
7	10	7	2	1	120	120	20	74	21	3	92	73	257	1840
	9%	5%	7%	4%	13%	6%	3%	7%	7%	3%	4%	14%	7%	7%
8	9	9	2	1	87	152	26	67	20	4	75	38	170	1756
	8%	6%	7%	4%	9%	7%	4%	6%	7%	4%	3%	7%	5%	6%
9	2	3	1	0	14	25	8	24	9	1	24	7	66	489
	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
10 = right	6	15	1	1	12	173	29	124	23	9	115	20	99	1574
	6%	9%	4%	6%	1%	8%	5%	11%	8%	7%	5%	4%	3%	6%
(Don't know)	26	47	4	10	52	243	131	369	41	11	343	34	398	4495
	24%	29%	14%	41%	6%	12%	23%	34%	14%	10%	14%	7%	11%	17%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Left - T3B	2	15	3	2	86	183	68	78	30	18	564	70	352	2730
	2%	9%	9%	8%	9%	9%	12%	7%	10%	16%	22%	13%	10%	10%
Right - B3B	17	27	4	2	113	350	63	215	52	14	215	66	335	3819
	16%	16%	13%	10%	12%	17%	11%	20%	18%	12%	8%	13%	10%	14%
Mean	5.89	5.43	5.17	5.20	5.13	5.42	4.83	5.97	5.31	4.70	4.17	4.95	4.93	5.16
Standard deviation	1.98	2.73	2.34	2.64	2.09	2.37	2.47	2.81	2.59	2.58	2.59	2.42	2.10	2.42
Standard error	0.22	0.26	0.45	0.71	0.07	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.16	0.25	0.06	0.11	0.04	0.02

PRISMS Deliverable 9.2

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.: Protecting my privacy is...

Page 251
Table: 126

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
5 = very important	19158	332	372	320	43	383	196	44	156	2613	3419	488	484	175	2069
	70%	72%	61%	81%	73%	67%	64%	60%	53%	73%	78%	80%	90%	67%	64%
4	4714	85	148	36	8	105	70	18	94	515	642	57	31	47	637
	17%	18%	24%	9%	14%	18%	23%	25%	32%	14%	15%	9%	6%	18%	20%
3	2251	28	69	22	2	52	24	7	30	246	227	28	16	27	353
	8%	6%	11%	6%	4%	9%	8%	9%	10%	7%	5%	5%	3%	10%	11%
2	602	12	14	5	0	15	10	2	10	95	39	7	2	6	96
	2%	3%	2%	1%	0	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	0	2%	3%
1 = not at all important	434	2	7	9	2	14	6	2	4	78	41	19	6	5	46
	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%
(Don't know	94	1	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	16	5	8	0	0	31
	0	0	0	0	5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1%	0	0	1%
(Prefer not to answer)	54	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	16
	0	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Important - T2B	23873	417	520	356	51	488	266	63	250	3128	4061	545	515	222	2706
	87%	91%	85%	90%	87%	85%	87%	85%	85%	88%	93%	89%	95%	85%	83%
Not important - B2B	1036	15	21	14	2	29	15	4	13	172	80	27	8	11	142
	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	2%	4%	2%	4%	4%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.: Protecting my privacy is...

Page 252
Table: 126

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
5 = very important	67	105	22	20	455	1458	476	881	224	81	2016	234	2023	19094
	62%	65%	72%	86%	50%	70%	82%	81%	76%	71%	80%	45%	58%	70%
4	24	32	5	2	273	374	66	114	42	20	292	153	823	4702
	22%	20%	17%	8%	30%	18%	11%	10%	14%	17%	12%	29%	24%	17%
3	11	17	2	1	139	160	22	59	17	9	168	90	424	2181
	10%	10%	5%	4%	15%	8%	4%	5%	6%	8%	7%	17%	12%	8%
2	3	3	0	0	30	41	5	11	5	2	34	30	123	566
	2%	2%	2%	0	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	6%	4%	2%
1 = not at all important	3	4	1	0	16	39	5	14	5	3	25	13	67	505
	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%
(Don't know	1	0	0	0	1	7	2	10	2	0	0	1	0	88
	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1%	1%	0	0	0	0	0
(Prefer not to answer)	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	1	0	0	0	1	12	59
	0	0	1%	0	0	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Important - T2B	91	137	28	22	729	1832	543	995	265	101	2308	387	2846	23796
	84%	85%	89%	94%	80%	88%	94%	91%	90%	88%	91%	74%	82%	88%
Not important - B2B	5	7	1	1	46	80	10	26	9	5	59	43	190	1071
	5%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	8%	5%	4%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.: Taking action against important security risks (e.g., international terrorism, organised crime) is...

Page 253
Table: 127

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
5 = very important	19018	266	338	314	35	350	157	46	136	2343	2702	390	401	177	2646
	70%	58%	55%	80%	60%	61%	51%	62%	46%	66%	62%	64%	74%	68%	81%
4	4668	103	175	45	9	116	72	15	90	658	978	107	60	42	354
	17%	22%	29%	11%	15%	20%	24%	20%	31%	18%	22%	17%	11%	16%	11%
3	2364	66	74	21	6	68	50	9	44	362	530	51	45	22	148
	9%	14%	12%	5%	9%	12%	16%	12%	15%	10%	12%	8%	8%	9%	5%
2	559	15	13	4	0	16	16	1	13	115	75	16	11	8	42
	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	5%	1%	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%
1 = not at all important	422	6	6	6	2	16	9	2	5	47	68	21	17	9	32
	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%
(Don't know	166	1	4	5	3	3	3	1	3	31	3	13	4	0	12
	1%	0	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%	1%	0	0
(Prefer not to answer)	112	2	2	0	4	3	1	0	3	5	20	16	1	1	15
	0	1%	0	0	7%	0	0	1%	1%	0	0	3%	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Important - T2B	23686	369	512	359	44	466	229	61	225	3001	3679	498	461	220	2999
	87%	80%	84%	91%	75%	81%	75%	82%	77%	84%	84%	81%	86%	85%	92%
Not important - B2B	981	22	19	10	2	32	24	3	19	162	143	37	28	17	74
	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	6%	8%	4%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%	7%	2%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.: Taking action against important security risks (e.g., international terrorism, organised crime) is...

Page 254
Table: 127

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
5 = very important	73	133	18	21	457	1482	475	863	188	70	2127	306	2505	18384
	67%	82%	57%	88%	50%	71%	82%	79%	64%	61%	84%	59%	72%	68%
4	20	17	6	2	288	360	52	124	59	23	232	139	524	4815
	18%	11%	20%	6%	31%	17%	9%	11%	20%	20%	9%	27%	15%	18%
3	9	7	4	1	131	160	27	55	30	16	113	48	267	2456
	8%	5%	13%	2%	14%	8%	5%	10%	14%	14%	4%	9%	8%	9%
2	2	1	1	0	22	35	6	20	6	3	19	18	77	605
	2%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%
1 = not at all important	3	2	1	0	13	31	6	20	6	2	24	6	62	526
	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
(Don't know	1	1	0	0	3	21	10	5	4	1	13	2	17	243
	1%	0	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	0	1%	1%	0	0	0	1%
(Prefer not to answer)	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	7	2	21	166
	1%	0	2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1%	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Important - T2B	92	150	24	22	745	1842	527	987	247	93	2359	445	3029	23199
	85%	93%	77%	95%	81%	88%	91%	90%	84%	80%	93%	85%	87%	85%
Not important - B2B	5	3	2	0	35	66	12	40	12	5	43	24	139	1131
	5%	2%	8%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	4%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.: Defending civil liberties and human rights is...

Page 255
Table: 128

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
5 = very important	21802	379	431	341	47	410	214	54	171	2906	3642	502	439	185	2955
	80%	82%	71%	86%	79%	72%	70%	73%	58%	82%	83%	82%	81%	71%	91%
4	3385	59	127	30	5	101	60	14	82	412	534	55	66	42	165
	12%	13%	21%	7%	8%	18%	19%	19%	28%	12%	12%	9%	12%	16%	5%
3	1317	17	38	15	2	37	19	4	30	151	111	16	20	23	70
	5%	4%	6%	4%	3%	6%	6%	5%	10%	4%	3%	3%	4%	9%	2%
2	336	3	6	3	0	9	7	1	7	37	36	7	4	4	38
	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
1 = not at all important	310	1	5	5	2	10	5	1	2	38	49	18	8	4	11
	1%	0	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0
(Don't know	92	0	2	1	3	2	2	0	0	10	0	9	1	1	9
	0	0	0	0	6%	0	1%	0	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0
(Prefer not to answer)	66	1	2	0	0	3	1	0	1	8	3	6	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	1%	1%	0	0	0	0	0	1%	0	0	0
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Important - T2B	25187	438	558	370	52	511	274	68	254	3318	4176	557	506	227	3120
	92%	95%	91%	94%	87%	89%	89%	92%	86%	93%	95%	91%	94%	87%	96%
Not important - B2B	646	4	11	8	2	19	12	1	9	75	85	26	12	8	49
	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF5ALT. We would like to ask your opinion regarding some general issues. In the following questions, please indicate your answer by selecting a number from 1 to 5, where 1 corresponds to not at all important and 5 corresponds to very important.: Defending civil liberties and human rights is...

Page 256
Table: 128

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
5 = very important	82	138	23	22	552	1627	512	972	228	95	2302	417	2157	21403
	75%	85%	73%	93%	60%	78%	89%	89%	78%	82%	91%	80%	62%	79%
4	18	16	5	1	264	279	44	80	41	13	160	79	632	3677
	17%	10%	16%	4%	29%	13%	8%	7%	14%	11%	6%	15%	18%	14%
3	6	5	2	0	76	100	12	17	17	5	55	18	455	1284
	5%	3%	5%	1%	8%	5%	2%	2%	6%	4%	2%	3%	13%	5%
2	1	1	1	0	15	33	3	7	4	1	4	2	102	302
	1%	0	2%	0	2%	2%	0	1%	1%	1%	0	0	3%	1%
1 = not at all important	1	1	0	0	5	25	3	11	3	2	12	3	84	316
	0	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	1%
(Don't know	0	0	0	0	2	20	3	3	1	0	2	2	16	120
	0	0	1%	0	0	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(Prefer not to answer)	1	0	0	0	0	7	2	1	0	0	0	1	26	93
	0	0	2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1%	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Important - T2B	100	154	28	23	816	1906	556	1052	269	107	2462	496	2789	25080
	92%	95%	89%	97%	89%	91%	96%	96%	92%	93%	97%	95%	80%	92%
Not important - B2B	2	2	1	0	20	58	6	18	8	3	16	5	186	618
	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?: The benefits of science and technology are greater than any harmful effects

Page 257
Table: 129

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Strongly agree	6783	106	124	177	11	110	100	19	76	526	918	177	203	65	933
	25%	23%	20%	45%	19%	19%	33%	25%	26%	15%	21%	29%	38%	25%	29%
Tend to agree	9733	195	252	104	15	209	118	26	132	1472	1797	178	136	90	1164
	36%	42%	41%	26%	25%	36%	38%	35%	45%	41%	41%	29%	25%	35%	36%
Neither agree nor disagree	5198	89	120	52	23	166	54	15	46	558	916	169	132	50	459
	19%	19%	20%	13%	40%	29%	18%	21%	16%	16%	21%	28%	24%	19%	14%
Tend to disagree	3092	50	66	30	4	57	18	6	21	544	492	42	30	37	344
	11%	11%	11%	8%	6%	10%	6%	8%	7%	15%	11%	7%	6%	14%	11%
Strongly disagree	1171	11	22	24	1	23	10	2	7	203	90	21	29	12	164
	4%	2%	4%	6%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	6%	2%	3%	5%	5%	5%
(Don't know)	1330	10	26	8	4	8	7	5	11	259	163	27	9	6	184
	5%	2%	4%	2%	7%	1%	2%	7%	4%	7%	4%	4%	2%	2%	6%
Total	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	16516	301	376	281	26	318	218	45	208	1998	2715	355	339	155	2097
	60%	65%	62%	71%	45%	56%	71%	61%	71%	56%	62%	58%	63%	60%	65%
Disagree - B2B	4263	61	89	53	5	80	28	8	28	747	582	63	60	49	508
	16%	13%	14%	13%	8%	14%	9%	11%	10%	21%	13%	10%	11%	19%	16%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?: The benefits of science and technology are greater than any harmful effects

Page 258
Table: 129

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Strongly agree	20 18%	53 33%	5 17%	6 23%	237 26%	431 21%	84 15%	307 28%	69 24%	22 19%	1001 39%	209 40%	793 23%	7061 26%
Tend to agree	36 33%	46 28%	11 35%	6 25%	323 35%	784 37%	168 29%	260 24%	105 36%	39 34%	746 29%	159 30%	1165 34%	9220 34%
Neither agree nor disagree	28 26%	34 21%	6 21%	6 25%	206 23%	436 21%	113 20%	143 13%	92 31%	24 21%	319 13%	93 18%	845 24%	5646 21%
Tend to disagree	16 15%	12 7%	3 11%	2 9%	92 10%	291 14%	113 20%	100 9%	13 5%	11 9%	227 9%	25 5%	444 13%	2678 10%
Strongly disagree	5 5%	11 7%	2 5%	1 4%	22 2%	71 3%	39 7%	99 9%	7 2%	15 13%	141 6%	13 2%	126 4%	1257 5%
(Don't know)	4 3%	5 3%	3 11%	3 14%	34 4%	78 4%	61 10%	182 17%	7 2%	4 3%	101 4%	23 4%	100 3%	1333 5%
Total	109 100%	161 100%	31 100%	24 100%	914 100%	2091 100%	578 100%	1091 100%	294 100%	115 100%	2535 100%	521 100%	3473 100%	27195 100%
Agree - T2B	56 51%	99 61%	16 52%	11 48%	560 61%	1215 58%	253 44%	567 52%	174 59%	61 53%	1747 69%	368 71%	1958 56%	16281 60%
Disagree - B2B	21 19%	23 15%	5 16%	3 13%	114 12%	362 17%	152 26%	199 18%	21 7%	26 23%	368 15%	38 7%	570 16%	3935 14%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?: I can pretty much decide what will happen in my life

Page 259
Table: 130

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Strongly agree	7929 29%	202 44%	118 19%	155 39%	12 20%	203 35%	117 38%	37 50%	87 30%	569 16%	1887 43%	127 21%	252 47%	68 26%	595 18%
Tend to agree	9854 36%	196 43%	278 45%	104 26%	15 25%	216 38%	109 35%	30 40%	136 46%	1438 40%	1883 43%	161 26%	141 26%	96 37%	920 28%
Neither agree nor disagree	3172 12%	33 7%	88 14%	61 15%	20 34%	101 18%	49 16%	4 5%	30 10%	419 12%	213 5%	166 27%	87 16%	40 15%	433 13%
Tend to disagree	3352 12%	20 4%	79 13%	36 9%	7 12%	33 6%	20 7%	2 3%	29 10%	673 19%	282 6%	90 15%	38 7%	32 12%	511 16%
Strongly disagree	2455 9%	6 1%	40 7%	32 8%	4 7%	16 3%	11 3%	1 1%	10 3%	369 10%	90 2%	52 8%	19 4%	23 9%	615 19%
(Don't know)	546 2%	3 1%	8 1%	6 2%	1 2%	3 1%	1 0	1 1%	3 1%	95 3%	20 0	18 3%	3 1%	1 0	174 5%
Total	27308 100%	461 100%	611 100%	394 100%	59 100%	572 100%	307 100%	74 100%	294 100%	3562 100%	4376 100%	614 100%	539 100%	260 100%	3248 100%
Agree - T2B	17783 65%	398 86%	396 65%	259 66%	27 45%	419 73%	226 74%	66 90%	223 76%	2006 56%	3771 86%	288 47%	392 73%	165 63%	1515 47%
Disagree - B2B	5807 21%	26 6%	120 20%	68 17%	11 19%	49 9%	31 10%	3 4%	39 13%	1042 29%	372 9%	141 23%	57 11%	55 21%	1126 35%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?: I can pretty much decide what will happen in my life

Page 260
Table: 130

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Strongly agree	35	51	9	11	234	785	166	683	80	40	488	89	828	8642
	32%	32%	28%	47%	26%	38%	29%	63%	27%	35%	19%	17%	24%	32%
Tend to agree	40	38	13	6	367	846	238	206	134	42	747	187	1268	9581
	37%	24%	41%	24%	40%	40%	41%	19%	45%	37%	29%	36%	37%	35%
Neither agree nor disagree	15	39	3	4	144	204	68	63	55	11	233	98	492	3835
	14%	24%	11%	15%	16%	10%	12%	6%	19%	10%	9%	19%	14%	14%
Tend to disagree	11	21	3	1	100	145	64	62	15	9	418	68	582	2777
	10%	13%	8%	6%	11%	7%	11%	6%	5%	8%	17%	13%	17%	10%
Strongly disagree	7	11	1	1	60	91	26	41	7	11	578	73	262	1882
	6%	7%	3%	5%	7%	4%	4%	4%	3%	10%	23%	14%	8%	7%
(Don't know)	1	2	3	0	9	19	16	36	2	1	72	7	41	478
	1%	1%	9%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	75	89	21	17	602	1632	404	889	214	82	1235	275	2096	18223
	69%	55%	68%	72%	66%	78%	70%	81%	73%	71%	49%	53%	60%	67%
Disagree - B2B	18	31	4	3	159	236	90	103	22	21	996	141	844	4659
	16%	20%	12%	11%	17%	11%	16%	9%	8%	18%	39%	27%	24%	17%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?: I'm someone who is generally comfortable with taking risks

Page 261
Table: 131

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Strongly agree	5525 20%	61 13%	97 16%	175 44%	11 19%	121 21%	31 10%	8 11%	21 7%	633 18%	695 16%	129 21%	198 37%	42 16%	1064 33%
Tend to agree	8846 32%	172 37%	195 32%	105 27%	12 20%	216 38%	77 25%	19 25%	76 26%	1304 37%	1501 34%	139 23%	145 27%	78 30%	1096 34%
Neither agree nor disagree	4335 16%	74 16%	118 19%	43 11%	19 32%	129 23%	86 28%	11 15%	59 20%	473 13%	697 16%	150 24%	109 20%	54 21%	342 11%
Tend to disagree	5569 20%	125 27%	135 22%	37 9%	7 12%	78 14%	75 24%	20 28%	94 32%	763 21%	1211 28%	107 17%	47 9%	53 21%	432 13%
Strongly disagree	2604 10%	24 5%	62 10%	32 8%	9 15%	21 4%	35 11%	14 19%	40 14%	320 9%	219 5%	78 13%	33 6%	32 12%	201 6%
(Don't know)	429 2%	4 1%	5 1%	3 1%	1 2%	7 1%	3 1%	1 2%	2 1%	69 2%	52 1%	11 2%	8 1%	1 0%	114 4%
Total	27308 100%	461 100%	611 100%	394 100%	59 100%	572 100%	307 100%	74 100%	294 100%	3562 100%	4376 100%	614 100%	539 100%	260 100%	3248 100%
Agree - T2B	14371 53%	233 51%	292 48%	280 71%	23 39%	337 59%	108 35%	27 36%	97 33%	1937 54%	2196 50%	267 44%	343 64%	120 46%	2160 66%
Disagree - B2B	8173 30%	149 32%	196 32%	69 17%	16 27%	99 17%	110 36%	34 47%	135 46%	1083 30%	1430 33%	185 30%	80 15%	85 33%	632 19%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QF6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?: I'm someone who is generally comfortable with taking risks

Page 262
Table: 131

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Strongly agree	24	42	6	4	152	327	82	472	42	13	545	60	468	5203
	22%	26%	18%	18%	17%	16%	14%	43%	14%	12%	21%	12%	13%	19%
Tend to agree	34	38	11	5	354	652	172	276	81	29	726	155	1179	7891
	31%	23%	34%	20%	39%	31%	30%	25%	28%	25%	29%	30%	34%	29%
Neither agree nor disagree	20	33	4	6	170	435	77	119	94	21	278	127	586	5147
	19%	20%	14%	27%	19%	21%	13%	11%	32%	18%	11%	24%	17%	19%
Tend to disagree	20	28	5	4	162	484	113	102	49	15	498	99	803	5310
	19%	18%	17%	19%	18%	23%	20%	9%	17%	13%	20%	19%	23%	20%
Strongly disagree	8	17	3	4	68	165	125	81	24	37	472	75	407	3221
	8%	10%	9%	16%	7%	8%	22%	7%	8%	32%	19%	14%	12%	12%
(Don't know)	1	4	2	0	8	28	8	40	4	1	16	5	29	423
	1%	2%	8%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Agree - T2B	58	79	16	9	506	979	255	748	123	42	1271	216	1647	13094
	53%	49%	52%	38%	55%	47%	44%	69%	42%	36%	50%	41%	47%	48%
Disagree - B2B	29	45	8	8	230	648	239	183	73	52	970	174	1210	8531
	27%	28%	26%	35%	25%	31%	41%	17%	25%	45%	38%	33%	35%	31%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG6. Which of the following best describes the area where you live?

Page 263
Table: 132

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
A town or a small city	9305 34%	126 27%	165 27%	153 39%	8 14%	232 41%	80 26%	24 32%	85 29%	1043 29%	1461 33%	92 15%	196 36%	67 26%	1488 46%
A country village	7471 27%	109 24%	239 39%	21 5%	7 11%	166 29%	44 14%	14 19%	56 19%	1173 33%	1543 35%	75 12%	114 21%	41 16%	712 22%
A big city	5283 19%	95 21%	82 13%	202 51%	31 52%	119 21%	60 20%	22 29%	74 25%	608 17%	585 13%	367 60%	143 26%	25 10%	450 14%
The suburbs or outskirts of a big city	3968 15%	72 16%	91 15%	16 4%	12 20%	47 8%	87 28%	8 10%	61 21%	548 15%	529 12%	75 12%	70 13%	66 25%	479 15%
A farm or home in the countryside	1222 4%	58 12%	33 5%	2 1%	0 0	9 2%	34 11%	7 9%	16 6%	187 5%	252 6%	2 0	14 3%	60 23%	105 3%
(Don't know)	59 0	2 0	1 0	0 0	1 2%	1 0	2 1%	0 0	1 0	2 0	6 0	2 0	2 0	0 0	14 0
Total	27308 100%	461 100%	611 100%	394 100%	59 100%	572 100%	307 100%	74 100%	294 100%	3562 100%	4376 100%	614 100%	539 100%	260 100%	3248 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG6. Which of the following best describes the area where you live?

Page 264
Table: 132

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
A town or a small city	40	49	13	13	185	774	179	313	123	34	729	173	1459	8848
	37%	31%	42%	55%	20%	37%	31%	29%	42%	29%	29%	33%	42%	33%
A country village	14	28	7	2	363	511	162	274	92	35	915	100	654	6298
	13%	17%	21%	9%	40%	24%	28%	25%	31%	30%	36%	19%	19%	23%
A big city	31	61	6	7	177	551	141	441	40	18	487	96	365	6535
	28%	38%	19%	28%	19%	26%	24%	40%	14%	16%	19%	18%	10%	24%
The suburbs or outskirts of a big city	13	18	5	2	132	219	77	42	30	20	311	103	835	3985
	12%	11%	15%	7%	14%	10%	13%	4%	10%	17%	12%	20%	24%	15%
A farm or home in the countryside	10	5	1	0	53	33	17	16	8	9	84	49	157	1450
	9%	3%	2%	1%	6%	2%	3%	2%	3%	7%	3%	9%	5%	5%
(Don't know)	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	5	0	0	8	1	3	79
	0	0	1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG4. What is the highest level of education you have achieved?

Page 265
Table: 133

	Country													
Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	993	984	999	1022	1023	1084	993	995	991	990	996	997	986	996
Base: All valid responses	27037	456	598	394	57	570	306	73	292	3534	4337	612	538	3229
Effective Base	9436.3	900.5	908.3	990.9	238.3	993.6	956.1	657.2	970.4	908.7	874.9	952.7	988.8	860.0
Level 0 - Pre-primary education 14	262	3	9	1	2	1	9	0	1	36	16	9	0	0
Level 1 - Primary education or first stage of basic education 16	1%	1%	1%	0	3%	0	3%	0	1%	0	2%	0	1%	0
Level 2 - Lower secondary or second stage of basic education 18	1184	29	22	1	7	31	26	6	22	162	78	66	1	10
Level 3 - (Upper) secondary education 22	4%	6%	4%	0	12%	5%	9%	8%	7%	5%	2%	11%	0	4%
Level 4 - Post-secondary non-tertiary education 25	5369	143	74	23	11	123	73	2	23	888	2034	71	186	24
Level 5 - First stage of tertiary education 28	20%	31%	12%	6%	19%	22%	24%	2%	8%	25%	47%	12%	35%	9%
Level 6 - Second stage of tertiary education 33	8981	123	197	184	17	238	46	30	100	985	914	222	201	56
Total	33%	27%	33%	47%	30%	42%	15%	41%	34%	28%	21%	36%	37%	22%
	2014	0	21	39	4	19	27	15	59	7	197	41	0	34
	7%	0	3%	10%	6%	3%	9%	21%	20%	0	5%	7%	0	13%
	7521	39	113	62	16	134	123	19	82	559	1014	192	147	123
	28%	9%	19%	16%	28%	24%	40%	27%	28%	16%	23%	31%	27%	48%
	1705	119	163	84	1	24	2	1	5	896	83	10	3	7
	6%	26%	27%	21%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	25%	2%	2%	1%	3%
	27037	456	598	394	57	570	306	73	292	3534	4337	612	538	256
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG4. What is the highest level of education you have achieved?

Page 266
Table: 133

	Country													Unweighted total
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	
Unweighted Base	1009	999	963	1013	997	997	983	993	998	994	997	993	974	26959
Base: All valid responses	108	161	30	24	907	2085	567	1084	293	115	2521	518	3374	26959
Effective Base	981.2	934.2	472.0	226.5	945.2	987.8	971.1	778.4	794.8	799.0	907.2	959.5	856.5	26959.0
Level 0 - Pre-primary education 14	0	0	0	0	2	10	15	7	0	1	138	0	0	236
Level 1 - Primary education or first stage of basic education 16	0	0	1%	1%	0	0	3%	1%	0	1%	5%	0	0	1%
Level 2 - Lower secondary or second stage of basic education 18	1	5	2	2	25	79	175	23	15	9	247	22	26	1704
Level 3 - (Upper) secondary education 22	1%	3%	6%	10%	3%	4%	31%	2%	5%	8%	10%	4%	1%	6%
Level 4 - Post-secondary non-tertiary education 25	11	9	3	1	55	70	92	111	41	14	447	44	239	3978
Level 5 - First stage of tertiary education 28	10%	6%	11%	5%	6%	3%	16%	10%	14%	12%	18%	9%	7%	15%
Level 6 - Second stage of tertiary education 33	24	67	6	10	399	1011	168	576	139	11	494	183	1410	8913
Total	22%	42%	20%	42%	44%	48%	30%	53%	47%	9%	20%	35%	42%	33%
	36	28	3	5	70	76	18	81	0	36	260	47	285	2832
	33%	17%	11%	22%	8%	4%	3%	7%	0	31%	10%	9%	8%	11%
	37	50	14	4	356	708	94	277	90	43	908	217	1342	7907
	34%	31%	47%	19%	39%	34%	17%	26%	31%	38%	36%	42%	40%	29%
	0	1	1	0	1	131	5	10	8	1	25	5	71	1389
	0	1%	4%	1%	0	6%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	5%
	108	161	30	24	907	2085	567	1084	293	115	2521	518	3374	26959
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG3. Thinking about where you live, do you consider yourself to be part of any of the following? Please tell me all that apply.

Page 267
Table: 134

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
An ethnic minority	1218	16	20	10	0	19	12	6	2	160	88	13	30	20	58
	4%	4%	3%	3%	0	3%	4%	8%	1%	4%	2%	2%	6%	8%	2%
An immigrant minority	827	11	39	1	1	18	7	2	3	178	101	13	9	17	49
	3%	2%	6%	0	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	2%	6%	2%
A religious minority	1295	14	25	7	0	21	10	1	14	139	123	6	17	28	122
	5%	3%	4%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	5%	4%	3%	1%	3%	11%	4%
A sexual minority	313	3	10	1	0	7	5	0	4	39	43	2	2	7	14
	1%	1%	2%	0	0	1%	1%	0	1%	1%	1%	0	0	3%	0
A minority in terms of disability	829	6	22	5	1	10	10	5	6	100	80	5	10	11	47
(SPONTANEOUS) None	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	7%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%
(SPONTANEOUS) Any other minority group, specify: (Don't know)	21688	395	484	364	48	492	245	53	261	2898	3817	540	450	179	2390
	79%	86%	79%	92%	81%	86%	80%	71%	89%	81%	87%	88%	83%	69%	74%
	317	7	7	0	0	2	12	2	4	49	79	1	2	2	24
	1%	2%	1%	0	0	0	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0	0	1%	1%
	286	4	9	4	0	7	1	1	2	54	31	3	5	5	11
	1%	1%	1%	1%	0	1%	0	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0
(Not applicable)	995	4	12	2	6	9	5	7	0	21	36	18	12	14	494
	4%	1%	2%	0	10%	2%	2%	9%	0	1%	1%	3%	2%	5%	15%
(No answer/refused)	180	5	0	3	1	3	7	0	1	23	33	15	5	1	41
	1%	1%	0	1%	2%	1%	2%	0	0	1%	1%	3%	1%	0	1%
Total	27946	465	628	397	59	588	313	76	297	3660	4430	617	542	284	3251
	102%	101%	103%	101%	100%	103%	102%	103%	101%	103%	101%	101%	100%	109%	100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG3. Thinking about where you live, do you consider yourself to be part of any of the following? Please tell me all that apply.

Page 268
Table: 134

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
An ethnic minority	14 13%	16 10%	1 4%	1 2%	27 3%	100 5%	16 3%	53 5%	20 7%	4 3%	66 3%	16 3%	430 12%	1188 4%
An immigrant minority	1 1%	3 2%	6 20%	0 2%	32 4%	9 0	13 2%	5 0	13 4%	6 5%	89 4%	15 3%	186 5%	877 3%
A religious minority	1 1%	10 6%	1 4%	2 8%	44 5%	176 8%	36 6%	139 13%	20 7%	4 3%	82 3%	10 2%	241 7%	1254 5%
A sexual minority	0 0	1 1%	0 0	0 1%	15 2%	29 1%	1 0	3 0	2 1%	0 0	31 1%	5 1%	89 3%	225 1%
A minority in terms of disability	3 3%	12 8%	0 1%	0 1%	37 4%	125 6%	11 2%	14 1%	13 4%	3 3%	59 2%	14 3%	218 6%	808 3%
(SPONTANEOUS) None	68 62%	111 69%	20 65%	19 80%	706 77%	1588 76%	465 80%	803 74%	193 66%	92 80%	2221 88%	408 78%	2378 68%	21419 79%
(SPONTANEOUS) Any other minority group, specify: (Don't know)	1 1%	0 0	1 4%	0 0	12 1%	12 1%	11 2%	1 0	1 0	6 5%	21 1%	26 5%	32 1%	375 1%
(Not applicable)	2 18	3 8	1 0	1 0	10 34	27 40	15 13	36 40	4 40	1 1	8 2	6 22	36 136	392 1005
(No answer/refused)	1 17%	0 5%	0 1%	0 0	7 4%	6 2%	3 2%	1 4%	1 14%	1 0	0 0	5 4%	17 4%	192 4%
	1 1%	0 0	1 1%	0 0	1 1%	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 1%	0 0	1 1%
Total	110 101%	166 103%	32 103%	24 101%	923 101%	2113 101%	582 101%	1094 100%	308 105%	118 102%	2579 102%	527 101%	3764 108%	27735 102%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG7. How often do you meet socially with friends, relatives or work colleagues?

Page 269
Table: 135

	Country														
	Weighted total	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Cyprus	CzechRep	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy
Unweighted Base	27195	1003	1001	1001	1040	1026	1087	1000	1001	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1002
Base: All valid responses	27308	461	611	394	59	572	307	74	294	3562	4376	614	539	260	3248
Effective Base	9513.3	909.4	923.1	992.9	227.1	996.6	958.4	663.2	976.2	916.8	884.2	956.5	991.8	873.3	852.9
Never	350 1%	4 1%	9 2%	4 1%	0 0	4 1%	1 0	0 0	0 0	88 2%	36 1%	12 2%	6 1%	2 1%	47 1%
Less than once a month	998 4%	10 2%	9 2%	20 5%	4 6%	20 3%	7 2%	4 5%	7 2%	109 3%	129 3%	31 5%	50 9%	7 3%	148 5%
Once a month	1760 6%	23 5%	29 5%	27 7%	6 9%	62 11%	15 5%	8 10%	10 3%	232 7%	314 7%	46 8%	83 15%	16 6%	171 5%
Several times a month	2232 8%	54 12%	35 6%	31 8%	6 10%	60 10%	23 8%	6 8%	18 6%	260 7%	452 10%	84 14%	63 12%	17 6%	242 7%
Once a week	5000 18%	100 22%	79 13%	68 17%	15 25%	133 23%	71 23%	15 20%	52 18%	709 20%	976 22%	120 20%	117 22%	56 22%	500 15%
Several times a week	7924 29%	178 39%	146 24%	91 23%	17 28%	153 27%	120 39%	17 23%	78 27%	1091 31%	1652 38%	166 27%	122 23%	85 33%	1106 34%
Every day	8884 33%	87 19%	300 49%	151 38%	12 19%	137 24%	68 22%	24 33%	128 44%	1052 30%	790 18%	152 25%	96 18%	76 29%	1011 31%
(Don't know)	159 1%	4 1%	3 1%	2 0	0 1%	3 0	0 0	0 1%	1 0	21 1%	26 1%	2 0	3 1%	0 0	23 1%
Total	27308 100%	461 100%	611 100%	394 100%	59 100%	572 100%	307 100%	74 100%	294 100%	3562 100%	4376 100%	614 100%	539 100%	260 100%	3248 100%

10-046722-01 PRISMS Survey

QG7. How often do you meet socially with friends, relatives or work colleagues?

Page 270
Table: 135

	Country													
	Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta	Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	UK	Unweighted total
Unweighted Base	1012	1004	1001	1014	1003	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	27195
Base: All valid responses	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
Effective Base	984.2	939.2	500.2	227.0	950.4	990.8	986.5	784.2	796.5	804.7	907.2	966.2	877.2	27195.0
Never	1	1	2	0	1	39	6	18	6	0	2	1	57	328
	1%	0	7%	1%	0	2%	1%	2%	2%	0	0	0	2%	1%
Less than once a month	11	10	2	1	4	186	17	87	15	2	6	5	98	1141
	10%	6%	6%	3%	0	9%	3%	8%	5%	2%	0	1%	3%	4%
Once a month	18	15	2	2	2	267	15	98	35	6	25	18	215	1988
	16%	9%	8%	9%	0	13%	3%	9%	12%	5%	1%	3%	6%	7%
Several times a month	15	18	3	1	12	293	25	121	23	8	47	30	285	2385
	14%	11%	11%	4%	1%	14%	4%	11%	8%	7%	2%	6%	8%	9%
Once a week	30	31	6	5	44	596	67	213	61	18	144	84	690	5275
	28%	19%	18%	20%	5%	29%	12%	19%	21%	16%	6%	16%	20%	19%
Several times a week	17	36	9	6	136	361	131	278	53	28	405	158	1285	7139
	16%	22%	28%	27%	15%	17%	23%	25%	18%	24%	16%	30%	37%	26%
Every day	16	50	6	8	714	322	314	268	100	52	1901	222	825	8798
	14%	31%	20%	36%	78%	15%	54%	25%	34%	45%	75%	43%	24%	32%
(Don't know)	1	1	1	0	1	26	4	10	0	0	6	4	17	141
	1%	0	2%	0	0	1%	1%	1%	0	0	0	1%	0	1%
Total	109	161	31	24	914	2091	578	1091	294	115	2535	521	3473	27195
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Co-ordinator:

Dr. Michael Friedewald

Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI

Breslauer Straße 48 | 76139 Karlsruhe | Germany

Phone: +49 721 6809-146 | Fax +49 721 6809-315

michael.friedewald@isi.fraunhofer.de