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Documentation of Questionnaire
The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is a long-term project funded by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) which started with the 2009 federal election. GLES is the largest and most ambitious election study held so far in Germany.

GLES 2009 was directed by four principal investigators: Prof. Dr. Hans Rattinger (University of Mannheim), Prof. Dr. Sigrid Roßteutscher (University of Frankfurt), Prof. Dr. Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck (University of Mannheim) and PD Dr. Bernhard Weßels (Social Science Research Center Berlin) in close cooperation with the German Society for Electoral Studies (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung), and GESIS.

The following documentation refers to the pre-election cross section (ZA5300) of the GLES and will give you some general information about the dataset.

**Bibliographic description of the dataset**

- **Study No.** ZA5300
- **Title** Pre-election Cross Section (GLES)
- **Current Version** 5.0.1, 06/07/2017
- **Doi** 10.4232/1.12804
- **Citation** Rattinger, Hans; Roßteutscher, Sigrid; Schmitt-Beck, Rüdiger; Weßels, Bernhard; Scherer, Philipp; Bytzek, Evelyn; Bieber, Ina (2017): Pre-election Cross Section (GLES 2009). GESIS Data Archive, Cologne. ZA5300 Data file Version 5.0.1, doi: 10.4232/1.12804.

**Basic information**

- **Funding Agency** German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)
- **Data Collector** BIK MARPLAN, Offenbach
- **Date of collection** 08/10/2009-09/26/2009
- **Content** The first component of GLES composes a pre- and a post-election cross-section survey. A total of 4,290 interviews were realized (pre-election 2,173 and post-election 2,117). This documentation is about the pre-election cross section.

The cross-sectional data also represents the first waves of a new long-term panel in the course of which the same respondents will be surveyed again in 2013 and 2017. 66.4 percent of the respondents in West Germany and 64.9 percent in East Germany agreed to participate in the panel.

**Methodology**

- **Geographic Coverage** Germany (DE)
- **Universe** The population comprises all persons with German citizenship resident in the Federal Republic of Germany, who had a minimum age of 16 years and lived in private households at the time the survey was being conducted.
- **Selection Method** Disproportional stratified multistage random sampling based on the ADM-design. All in all, 250 sampling points were drawn in West Germany with the aim to realize 1,400 interviews. 150 sampling points were drawn in East Germany to realize 700 interviews. As a result of this procedure, the East German population is overrepresented in the sample. The target person in the household was determined by Kish-Selection-Grid.
- **Mode of Data Collection** Computer Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI), with an average duration of approximately 60 minutes.
Field Work

All in all, 400 sampling points were drawn. In 374 sampling points, at least one interview could be realized. On average every interviewer surveyed at 2.2 sampling points. The interviewers realized 5.6 interviews per sampling point on average. The average number of attempts until successful contact was 1.6. In 14.7 percent of the cases, three or more attempts were necessary.

The interviewers were compensated based on the number of completed interview. Additionally, they got their travel expenses and charges refunded.

By sending the respondents a postal request, in order to confirm that the interview had actually been held, twenty percent of the 2,173 completed interviews could be verified. Overall, 34 interviews had to be deleted from the dataset, because the interviewers deviated too much from their designated sampling point.

Response Rate

Of 4,740 drawn addresses, 12.5 percent dropped out because of sample neutral losses, 11.1 percent were not visited by interviewers and in 1.3 percent of the cases the address was either not occupied, invalid, or communication was not possible due to language difficulties. This results in a cleared initial sample of 4,148 addresses. Based on that, 2,173 people were surveyed (1,424 in Western and 749 in Eastern Germany) resulting in a response rate of 52.4 percent.

Weights

The pre-election cross section comprises three types of weights: a design weight, a transformation weight, and post-stratification weights.

The “East-West weighting” (wei_ow) includes a special weight factor for the regions of Germany (East, including Berlin and West). This design weight corrects for the disproportional sample size of these regions.

The second weight is the “Transformation weighting” (wei_tran) because the design of the study was based on a household sample. The transformation weight enables us to transform the data into a personal sample. This weight is based on the reduced household size (people in a household who belong to the population).

To ease working with both weights (east-west and transformation weight) at the same time, the “Combination Transformation and East-West weighting” (wei_trow) was created. In order to do this the dataset was first weighted with the transformation weight, and in a second step, the east-west weight was calculated again.

The “Sociodemographic and regional weights with (and without) transformation weight” create the third type of weights (post-stratification) provided in the dataset. Those weights were calculated by using the iterative proportional fitting (IPF). The iteration process ends when the difference between the weighted marginal distribution and the aimed distribution becomes smaller than 0.0001. To prevent large weights, the weights were trimmed (after each iteration) so that no weight is more than 5 times larger than the average weight. The weights are constructed on the basis of gender, age (4 groups: 16 to 29, 30 to 45, 45 to 59 and 60 years and older), education (three groups: low, middle, high), BIK-regions (three groups) and East (incl. Berlin) / West German federal states. Missing cases are replaced with the mode. The dataset includes six sociodemographic and regional weights: Germany as a whole, East and West Germany individually. For each of these regions, two weights were included: one with the transformation weight and one without it.
Data access
Usage regulations
Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: access category A.

Anonymized data
According to German privacy, only anonymized data can be made accessible for public download. Based on this, some variables had to be deleted from the publicly available dataset. As a matter of course no information is lost: All variables can be used by interested researchers in a Safe Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). Some variables are also available by signing a user contract. If you are interested in those variables please send an E-Mail to gles@gesis.org. An overview of those variables can be found on our homepage (www.gesis.org/gles).

Errata
- In 21 cases, year of birth (d201b) and age (q1a) did not correspond. These cases become tagged in the variable “problem1”.
- The variables “kand1” and “kand5” contained incorrect candidate names. All cases, in which the respondents were asked about the wrong candidates, were marked in the variables problem2 and problem3.
- In question d286a (Language spoken at home) a filter error occurred. Following the questionnaire only people who themselves or whose partners were born in a foreign country, were supposed to be asked. Because of the filter error, only those people who themselves and whose partners were born in a foreign country were asked. Furthermore, people who did not provide any information on their country of birth, did not have a partner or did not answer the partner question, were also asked question d286a.

The most recent errata list is provided by the GESIS Data Catalogue (www.gesis.org/dbk). There you can also find a list of all changes made between the different versions of the dataset.

Further Remarks
The data of this study is also available as cumulation (ZA5302) with the post-election cross section (ZA5301).

You can find more information about GLES at www.gesis.org/gles or www.gles.eu.

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication (GESIS, GLES, Post Box 122155, 68072 Mannheim, Germany).
Please note:
As a public service to the international academic community, we provide English translations of GLES datasets, questionnaires, and related important documents. Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the principal investigators or their collaborators. For an overview of the whole research team please have a look at the GLES website http://www.gles.eu/team.htm. You can also send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org.
## Overview over the content question program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>German Longitudinal Election Study: Pre-election Cross Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Number</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest in politics</td>
<td>Pre087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest, election campaign</td>
<td>Pre088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest in the outcome of the election</td>
<td>Pre089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with democracy</td>
<td>Pre155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political knowledge: First/Second vote</td>
<td>Pre112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical voter turnout (under 18)</td>
<td>Pre161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical voter decision (under 18)</td>
<td>Pre162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to vote</td>
<td>Pre001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting decision, absentee ballot</td>
<td>Pre234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting intentions</td>
<td>Pre002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical voting decision</td>
<td>Pre004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certainty of voting intentions</td>
<td>Pre008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for voting decision</td>
<td>Pre160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for voting decision – hypothetical</td>
<td>Pre235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political knowledge: 5% threshold</td>
<td>Pre113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of smaller parties entering parliament</td>
<td>Pre046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own economic situation, current</td>
<td>Pre030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own economic situation, retrospective</td>
<td>Pre029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility for own economic situation</td>
<td>Pre014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own economic situation, future</td>
<td>Pre031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalometer, political parties</td>
<td>Pre016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie-breaker, party rating</td>
<td>Pre017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalometer political leaders</td>
<td>Pre018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General economic situation, current</td>
<td>Pre034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General economic situation, retrospective</td>
<td>Pre032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility for general economic situation</td>
<td>Pre033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General economic situation, future</td>
<td>Pre035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local economic situation, current</td>
<td>Pre036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East-West</td>
<td>Pre037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worries, economic crisis</td>
<td>Pre134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most important problem</td>
<td>Pre023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second most important problem</td>
<td>Pre025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third most important problem</td>
<td>Pre027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to solve the most important problem</td>
<td>Pre024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to solve the second most important problem</td>
<td>Pre026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to solve the third most important problem</td>
<td>Pre028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred chancellor</td>
<td>Pre020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Merkel</td>
<td>Pre019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Steinmeier</td>
<td>Pre194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery of values</td>
<td>Pre126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility to vote BTW2005</td>
<td>Pre191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall last federal election</td>
<td>Pre005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties during the election campaign</td>
<td>Pre198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Electoral events - political parties</td>
<td>Pre199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: E-mails or text messages - political parties</td>
<td>Pre200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: E-mails or text messages - candidates</td>
<td>Pre201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Information material – parties</td>
<td>Pre202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Information material – candidates</td>
<td>Pre203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Campaign adverts – parties</td>
<td>Pre205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Posters – parties</td>
<td>Pre206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Posters – candidates</td>
<td>Pre207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Election booths – parties</td>
<td>Pre208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with political parties: Party TV spots – parties</td>
<td>Pre204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign advertising: Direct contact with local candidates</td>
<td>Pre158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign advertising, direct contact with local candidate - Political party</td>
<td>Pre172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-right classification of political parties</td>
<td>Pre038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-right classification of leading candidates</td>
<td>Pre149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-right self-assessment</td>
<td>Pre040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party positions, socioeconomic dimension</td>
<td>Pre041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party positions, libertarian-authoritarian dimension</td>
<td>Pre043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party positions, nuclear power</td>
<td>Pre137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own position, socioeconomic dimension</td>
<td>Pre042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own position, libertarian-authoritarian dimension</td>
<td>Pre044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own position, nuclear power</td>
<td>Pre136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salience, socioeconomic dimension</td>
<td>Pre045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salience, libertarian-authoritarian dimension</td>
<td>Pre047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salience, nuclear power</td>
<td>Pre138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes to politics in general</td>
<td>Pre117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance of the federal government</td>
<td>Pre011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance of the governing parties</td>
<td>Pre012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance of selected party BTW05</td>
<td>Pre015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other forms of participation</td>
<td>Pre116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forms of participation – Political party</td>
<td>Pre195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalometer, coalitions</td>
<td>Pre010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of coalition signals</td>
<td>Pre048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated majorities</td>
<td>Pre049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected government</td>
<td>Pre050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trustworthiness of SPD-Die Linke</td>
<td>Pre176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constituency winner</td>
<td>Pre051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity with constituency candidates</td>
<td>Pre021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of the known constituency candidates</td>
<td>Pre022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-right position of constituency candidates</td>
<td>Pre039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representation norm</td>
<td>Pre119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expectations of parliamentary deputies</td>
<td>Pre120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election for parties or candidates</td>
<td>Pre107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most important source of information</td>
<td>Pre053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of TV news programmes</td>
<td>Pre054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with use of TV news programmes ARD</td>
<td>Pre064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, TV news on ARD</td>
<td>Pre065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, ARD – Political party</td>
<td>Pre173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with use of TV news programmes ZDF</td>
<td>Pre066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, TV news on ZDF</td>
<td>Pre067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, ZDF – Political party</td>
<td>Pre174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with use of TV news programmes RTL</td>
<td>Pre226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, TV news on RTL</td>
<td>Pre227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, RTL – Political party</td>
<td>Pre228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with use of TV news programmes Sat.1</td>
<td>Pre229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, TV news on Sat.1</td>
<td>Pre230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Pre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Sat.1 – Political party</td>
<td>Pre231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of daily newspaper</td>
<td>Pre179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: BILD</td>
<td>Pre055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, BILD</td>
<td>Pre056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, BILD – Political party</td>
<td>Pre178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: FAZ</td>
<td>Pre057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, FAZ</td>
<td>Pre059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, FAZ – Political party</td>
<td>Pre180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Die Welt</td>
<td>Pre060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Die Welt</td>
<td>Pre062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Die Welt – Political party</td>
<td>Pre182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Süddeutsche Zeitung</td>
<td>Pre177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Süddeutsche Zeitung</td>
<td>Pre058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Süddeutsche Zeitung – Political party</td>
<td>Pre232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Frankfurter Rundschau</td>
<td>Pre061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Frankfurter Rundschau</td>
<td>Pre209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Frankfurter Rundschau – Political party</td>
<td>Pre210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: taz</td>
<td>Pre211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, taz</td>
<td>Pre212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, taz – Political party</td>
<td>Pre213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 1st local newspaper</td>
<td>Pre220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 1st local newspaper (2 newspapers mentioned)</td>
<td>Pre221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, 1st local newspaper</td>
<td>Pre222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, 1st local newspaper – Political party</td>
<td>Pre223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 2nd local newspaper</td>
<td>Pre224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, 2nd local newspaper</td>
<td>Pre225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Online newspaper</td>
<td>Pre217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, online newspaper</td>
<td>Pre218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, online newspaper – Political party</td>
<td>Pre219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: other national newspaper</td>
<td>Pre214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, other national newspaper</td>
<td>Pre215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, other national newspaper – Political party</td>
<td>Pre216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet use, political information</td>
<td>Pre073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of use of the Internet, election campaign</td>
<td>Pre074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of the internet, political parties</td>
<td>Pre183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of the internet, constituency candidates</td>
<td>Pre193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of the internet, news</td>
<td>Pre184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet use, information offerings</td>
<td>Pre186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party identification</td>
<td>Pre121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength of party identification</td>
<td>Pre122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence party identification</td>
<td>Pre123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of party identification</td>
<td>Pre192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General trust</td>
<td>Pre118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality battery</td>
<td>Pre153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall European elections</td>
<td>Pre006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall last elections to the Landtag (state election)</td>
<td>Pre007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall last elections to the Landtag</td>
<td>Pre232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting intentions, elections to the Landtag</td>
<td>Pre233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussions about politics</td>
<td>Pre075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First discussion partner: relationship</td>
<td>Pre076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First discussion partner: Knowledge of politics</td>
<td>Pre078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First discussion partner: Differences of opinion</td>
<td>Pre079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First discussion partner: Voting decision</td>
<td>Pre080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other discussion partners</td>
<td>Pre081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second discussion partner: Relationship (A)</td>
<td>Pre082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second discussion partner: Relationship (B)</td>
<td>Pre197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second discussion partner: Knowledge of politics</td>
<td>Pre084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second discussion partner: Differences of opinion</td>
<td>Pre085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second discussion partner: Voting decision</td>
<td>Pre086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party identification, mother</td>
<td>Pre125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party identification, father</td>
<td>Pre124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice/ Egalitarianism</td>
<td>Pre128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairness of the distribution system</td>
<td>Pre159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month of birth, respondent</td>
<td>D01.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size</td>
<td>D01.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of household members</td>
<td>D01.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>D04.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent has a partner</td>
<td>D04.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School leaving certificate</td>
<td>D05.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envisaged school leaving certificate</td>
<td>D05.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational and professional training</td>
<td>D05.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gainful employment</td>
<td>D06.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous gainful employment</td>
<td>D06.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of time unemployed</td>
<td>D06.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment over the last 10 years</td>
<td>D06.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td>D07.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession, follow-up question, farmer</td>
<td>D07.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession, follow-up question, independent professional</td>
<td>D07.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.</td>
<td>D07.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier</td>
<td>D07.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession, follow-up question, employee</td>
<td>D07.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession, follow-up question, manual worker</td>
<td>D07.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession, follow-up question, in vocational training</td>
<td>D07.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>D07.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisory function</td>
<td>D07.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment sector</td>
<td>D07.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector of the economy</td>
<td>D07.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary/Agency work</td>
<td>D09.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of redundancy</td>
<td>D09.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of loss of professional career</td>
<td>D09.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession</td>
<td>D08.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession, follow-up question, farmer</td>
<td>D08.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession, follow-up question, independent professional</td>
<td>D08.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.</td>
<td>D08.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier</td>
<td>D08.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession, follow-up question, employee</td>
<td>D08.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession, follow-up question, manual worker</td>
<td>D08.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former profession, follow-up question, in vocational training</td>
<td>D08.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former occupation</td>
<td>D08.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Description</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former supervisory function</td>
<td>D08.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former employment sector</td>
<td>D08.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former sector of the economy</td>
<td>D08.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s school leaving certificate</td>
<td>D10.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s employment</td>
<td>D10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former employment</td>
<td>D10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of time of partner’s unemployment</td>
<td>D10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession</td>
<td>D11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession, follow-up question, farmer</td>
<td>D11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession, follow-up question, independent professional</td>
<td>D11.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.</td>
<td>D11.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier</td>
<td>D11.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession, follow-up question, employee</td>
<td>D11.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession, follow-up question, manual worker</td>
<td>D11.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s profession, follow-up question, in vocational training</td>
<td>D11.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s occupation</td>
<td>D11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s supervisory function</td>
<td>D11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s employment sector</td>
<td>D11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s sector of the economy</td>
<td>D11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s temporary/agency work</td>
<td>D13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent’s fear of partner’s redundancy</td>
<td>D13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s fear of loss of professional career</td>
<td>D13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession</td>
<td>D12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, farmer</td>
<td>D12.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, independent professional</td>
<td>D12.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.</td>
<td>D12.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier</td>
<td>D12.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, employee</td>
<td>D12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, manual worker</td>
<td>D12.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, in vocational training</td>
<td>D12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former occupation</td>
<td>D12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former supervisory function</td>
<td>D12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former employment sector</td>
<td>D12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s former sector of the economy</td>
<td>D12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net household income</td>
<td>D15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective perception of class</td>
<td>D16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents’ class</td>
<td>D16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious denomination</td>
<td>D17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church attendance</td>
<td>D17.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synagogue attendance</td>
<td>D17.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosque attendance</td>
<td>D17.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious views</td>
<td>D17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German citizenship</td>
<td>D02.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German citizenship, since</td>
<td>D02.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of birth</td>
<td>D18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age on immigration</td>
<td>D18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner’s country of birth</td>
<td>D18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent’s country of birth</td>
<td>D23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question / Feature</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s country of birth</td>
<td>D23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father’s country of birth</td>
<td>D23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language spoken at home</td>
<td>D18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership of organizations</td>
<td>D19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor union membership</td>
<td>D19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership of organisation – Labor union (A)</td>
<td>D20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership of organisation – Labor union (A)</td>
<td>D20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate of CDU/CSU</td>
<td>kand1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate of SPD</td>
<td>kand2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate of Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>kand3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate of FDP</td>
<td>kand4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidate of Die Linke</td>
<td>kand5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town size classes</td>
<td>gkbik10c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal state</td>
<td>land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of the constituency in which the respondent lives</td>
<td>wkrnr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural data at the constituency level</td>
<td>W1 – W38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of occupations according to ISCO-88</td>
<td>I88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of occupations according to siops</td>
<td>siops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of occupations according to isei</td>
<td>isei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of occupations according to mps</td>
<td>mps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DAT**

Survey day

Please enter today's date. (yyyyymmdd == year month day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Pre-election D01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is the respondent male or female?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q1A</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Pre-election D01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INT.: Please enter the respondent's age in the box below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is your age?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Interest in politics</th>
<th>Pre-election pre087</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INT.: Display list and leave displayed. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quite generally, how interested are you in politics: very interested, somewhat interested, in between, not very interested, or not at all interested?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Very interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Somewhat interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>In between</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>Not very interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>Not at all interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99)</td>
<td>No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Interest, election campaign</th>
<th>Pre-election pre088</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INT.: List still displayed. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And how interested are you in particular in this Federal Election campaign?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Very interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Somewhat interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>In between</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>Not very interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>Not at all interested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99)</td>
<td>No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Interest in the outcome of the election</th>
<th>Pre-election pre089</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And how important is the outcome of the upcoming Federal Election to you personally? Very important, somewhat important, so so, not very important, or not important at all?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Very important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>So so</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q5  Satisfaction with democracy

INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.

On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Germany?

(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) Not very satisfied
(5) Not at all satisfied

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q6  Political knowledge: First/Second vote

INT.: Response categories, do NOT read out. One response only.

In the Federal Elections you have two votes, the first vote and the second vote. What do you think: Which vote decides how many seats each party will have in parliament?

(1) The first vote
(2) The second vote
(3) Both are equally important

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q7  Hypothetical voter turnout (under 18)

INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.

FILTER: => /Q9 if NOT Q1A<18
(Only ask this question if the respondent is younger than 18)

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons. Just assuming you were eligible to vote: how likely is it that you would vote in the Federal Election on September 27th? Please use this list for your answer.

(1) Certain to vote
(2) Likely to vote
(3) Might vote
(4) Not likely to vote
(5) Certain not to vote

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q8A  Hypothetical voting decision (under 18) First Vote

INT.: Display list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL! Number = code category.

FILTER: => /Q16 if NOT (Q7=01,02,03,98,99)
(Only ask the question if Q7=1, 2, 3, 98, 99)

You would have two votes in the Federal Election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for Federal Elections. How would you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

(1) Candidate for CDU/CSU
(4) Candidate for SPD
(6) Candidate for Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(5) Candidate for FDP
(7) Candidate for Die Linke
(206) Candidate for NPD
(225) Candidate for Republikaner
(801) Candidate for other party

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q8AA
Hypothetical voting decision (under 18) First Vote – Other Party

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT Q8A=801
(Only ask the question if Q8A=801)

Which party is the candidate from?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(166) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely:

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q8B
Hypothetical voting decision (under 18) Second Vote

INT.: Display list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL! Number = code category.

And now for your second vote please.
Q8BB

Hypothetical voting decision (under 18) Second Vote – Other Party

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q8B=801
(Only ask the question if Q8B=801)

Which party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(801) Other Party

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q9

Intention to vote

INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.
FILTER: => /TEXT5 if Q1A<18
(Do not ask respondents under 18 this question, but continue to Q15)

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons. How likely is it that you will vote in the Federal Election on September 27th? Please tell me using this list.

(1) Certain to vote
(2) Likely to vote
(3) Might vote
Q10A
Voting decision, absentee ballot First vote

INT.: Display (example ballot paper) list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL!
Number = code category.
FILTER: => /+4 if NOT (Q9=06)
(Only ask the question if Q9=6)

You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency,
the second vote was for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for Federal
Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and
second vote.

(1) Candidate for CDU/CSU
(4) Candidate for SPD
(6) Candidate for Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(5) Candidate for FDP
(7) Candidate for Die Linke
(206) Candidate for NPD
(225) Candidate for Republikaner
(801) Candidate for a different party

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q10AA
Voting decision, absentee ballot First vote – Other Party

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q10A=801
(Only ask the question if Q10A=801)

Which party is the candidate from?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(166) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q10B**

Voting decision, absentee ballot Second vote

Pre-election pre234

INT.: Display (example ballot paper) list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL!
Number = code category.

Please give the number now for your second vote.

(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(5) FDP
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(801) Other Party
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q10BB**

Voting decision, absentee ballot Second vote – Other party

Pre-election pre234

INT.: Do not read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q10B=801
(Only ask the question if Q10B=801)

Which party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(166) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable
Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q11A**  
**Voting intentions – First vote**  

**Pre-election pre002**

INT.: Display list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL! Number = code category.  
FILTER: =>/+4 if NOT (Q9=01,02,03,998,999)  
(Only ask the question if Q9=1, 2, 3, 998, 999)

You have two votes in the Federal Election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency,  
the second vote is for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for Federal  
Elections. How will you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and  
second vote.

(1) Candidate for CDU/CSU  
(4) Candidate for SPD  
(6) Candidate for Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(5) Candidate for FDP  
(7) Candidate for Die Linke  
(206) Candidate for NPD  
(225) Candidate for Republikaner  
(801) Candidate for a different party

(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q11AA**  
**Voting intentions – First vote – Other party**  

**Pre-election pre002**

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.  
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT Q11A=801  
(Only ask the question if Q11A=801)

Which party is the candidate from?

(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(166) DVU  
(250) WASG  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei  
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.
**Q11B**

**Voting intentions – Second vote**

INT.: Display list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL! Number = code category.

And now for your second vote please.

(1) CDU/CSU  
(4) SPD  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(5) FDP  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(801) Other Party

(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

**Q11BB**

**Voting intentions – Second vote – Other party**

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q11B=801  
(Only ask the question if Q11B=801)

Which party?

(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(166) DVU  
(250) WASG  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei  
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

**Q12A**

**Hypothetical voting decision – First vote**

INT.: Display (example ballot paper) list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL!
Number = code category.
FILTER: => /+4 if NOT (Q9=04,05)
(Only ask the question if Q9=4, 5)

Just assuming you were going to vote in the election, which party would you vote for? Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for Federal Elections. How would you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

(1) Candidate for CDU/CSU
(4) Candidate for SPD
(6) Candidate for Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(5) Candidate for FDP
(7) Candidate for Die Linke
(206) Candidate for NPD
(225) Candidate for Republikaner
(801) Candidate for a different party

(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12AA</th>
<th>Hypothetical voting decision – First vote – Other party</th>
<th>Pre-election pre004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q12A=801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if Q12A=801)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which party is the candidate from?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) CDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) FDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Die Linke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(206) NPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(225) Republikaner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(166) DVU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(250) WASG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(237) Tierschutzpartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(147) DIE FRAUEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(180) FW Freie Waehler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(215) Piratenpartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(801) Other party, namely</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(998) Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(999) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1000) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at <a href="http://www.gesis.org/gles">www.gesis.org/gles</a>.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12B</th>
<th>Hypothetical voting decision – Second vote</th>
<th>Pre-election pre004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display (example ballot paper) list. Get respondent to choose and assign number. CAREFUL! Number = code category.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+4 if NOT (Q9=04,05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Only ask the question if Q9=4, 5)

And now for your second vote please.

(1) CDU/CSU  
(4) SPD  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(5) FDP  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(801) Other Party

(998) Don't know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q12BB</th>
<th>Pre-election pre004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical voting decision – Second vote – other party</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q12B=801  
(Only ask the question if Q12B=801)

Which party?

(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(166) DVU  
(250) WASG  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei  
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don't know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q13</th>
<th>Pre-election pre008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certainty of voting intentions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Check with a cross as applicable. One response only. If follow up question, which vote: Second vote  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT ((Q10B>0 AND Q10B<801) OR (Q10BB>0 AND Q10BB<998) OR (Q11B>0 AND Q11B<801) OR (Q11BB>0 AND Q11BB<998))  
(Only ask the question if a political party is referred to in Q10B, Q10BB, Q11B or Q11BB)
How sure are you about the voting decision you just told me: very sure, fairly sure, rather unsure or very unsure?

(1) Very sure  
(2) Fairly sure  
(3) Rather unsure  
(4) Very unsure  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

TEXT modules for the following questions Q14 and Q15

TEXT5:  
=> * if IF((Q8B=28),02,01)  
(1) <Q8B>  
(2) <Q8BB>  
⇒ Political party named for the "hypothetical voting decision for under 18s" Q8B and Q8BB.

TEXT6:  
=> * if IF((Q10B=28),02,01)  
(1) <Q10B>  
(2) <Q10BB>  
⇒ Political party named for "voting decision, absentee ballot" Q10B and Q10BB.

TEXT7:  
=> * if IF((Q11B=28),02,01)  
(1) <Q11B>  
(2) <Q11BB>  
⇒ Political party named for "voting decision" Q11B and Q11BB.

TEXT8:  
=> * if IF((Q12B=28),02,01)  
(1) <Q12B>  
(2) <Q12BB>  
⇒ Political party named for "hypothetical voting decision" Q12B and Q12BB.

Q14  
Reason for voting decision  
Pre-election pre160

INT.: Make a note of response. For follow up question: The party meant is the one you would give your second vote to, in other words <TEXT6> <TEXT7>
FILTER: => +/1 if NOT ((Q10B>0 AND Q10B<801) OR (Q10BB>0 AND Q10BB<998) OR (Q11B>0 AND Q11B<801) OR (Q11BB>0 AND Q11BB<998))  
(Only ask the question if a political party is referred to in Q10B, Q10BB, Q11B or Q11BB)

And why will you vote for <second vote according to Vw002/Vw234>? Please tell me the reason that is most important to you.

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q15  
Reason for voting decision - hypothetical  
Pre-election pre235

INT.: Make a note of response. For follow up question: The party meant is the one you would give your second vote to, in other words <TEXT5> <TEXT8>
FILTER: => +/1 if NOT ((Q12B>0 AND Q12B<801) OR (Q12BB>0 AND Q12BB<998) OR (Q8B>0 AND Q8B<801 OR (Q8BB>0 AND Q8BB<998))  
(Only ask the question if a political party is referred to in Q8B, Q8BB, Q12B or Q12BB)
And why would you vote for <second vote according to Vw16/Vw004>? Please tell me the reason that is most important to you.

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q16**
**Political knowledge: 5% threshold**

INT.: Make a note in percent in the box below. Please round percentage figures up or down to nearest whole number

Now I would like to know which percentage of votes a party definitely needs to send representatives to the Bundestag?

Open Answer

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

**Q17A**
**Probability of smaller parties entering parliament: FDP**

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the Federal Election? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable? What about the FDP?

(1) Absolutely certain
(2) Fairly certain
(3) More uncertain
(4) Completely improbable

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q17B**
**Probability of smaller parties entering parliament: Buendnis90/Die Gruenen**

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the Federal Election? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable? What about Bündnis 90/Die Grünen?

(1) Absolutely certain
(2) Fairly certain
(3) More uncertain
(4) Completely improbable

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q17C**
**Probability of smaller parties entering parliament: Die Linke**

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the Federal Election? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable? What about
Die Linke?

(1) Absolutely certain  
(2) Fairly certain  
(3) More uncertain  
(4) Completely improbable  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  

**Q18**  
**Own economic situation, current**  
*Pre-election pre030*

INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.

Now, let us shift attention to the economic situation. How would you evaluate your own current economic situation? Please tell me using this list.

(1) Very good  
(2) Good  
(3) Neither good nor bad  
(4) Bad  
(5) Very bad  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  

**Q19**  
**Own economic situation, retrospective**  
*Pre-election pre029*

INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.

Has your own economic situation improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably in the last one to two years?

(1) Improved considerably  
(2) Improved somewhat  
(3) Remained the same  
(4) Deteriorated somewhat  
(5) Deteriorated considerably  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  

**Q20**  
**Responsibility for own economic situation**  
*Pre-election pre014*

INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.  
FILTER: => +1 if NOT Q19<90  
(Only ask if a valid response was given to Q19)

To what extent do you think that the government’s policies affect this development – a great deal, a fair amount, moderately, not very much, or not at all?

(1) A great deal  
(2) A fair amount  
(3) Moderately  
(4) Not very much  
(5) Not at all  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer
Q21  Pre-election pre031
Own economic situation, future

INT.: Display list. Check with a cross as applicable. One response only.

What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q22A  Pre-election pre016
Scalometer, political parties: CDU

INT.: One response only.

What do you think of the different parties in general? Please tell me using this scale. -5 means that you do not think much of the party at all, +5 means that you think a great deal of the party. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely. What do you think of the CDU?

(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q22B  Pre-election pre016
Scalometer, political parties: CSU

INT.: One response only.

What do you think of the CSU?

(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
**Q22C**  
**Scalometer, political parties: SPD**  

**Pre-election pre016**

**INT.:** One response only.

What do you think of the SPD?
(1) -5  I do not think much of the party at all
(2)  -4
(3)  -3
(4)  -2
(5)  -1
(6)   0
(7)  +1
(8)  +2
(9)  +3
(10) +4
(11) +5  I think a great deal of the party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q22D**  
**Scalometer, political parties: FDP**  

**Pre-election pre016**

**INT.:** One response only.

What do you think of the FDP?
(1) -5  I do not think much of the party at all
(2)  -4
(3)  -3
(4)  -2
(5)  -1
(6)   0
(7)  +1
(8)  +2
(9)  +3
(10) +4
(11) +5  I think a great deal of the party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q22E**  
**Scalometer, political parties: Buendnis90/Die Gruenen**  

**Pre-election pre016**

**INT.:** One response only.

What do you think of Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen?
(1) -5  I do not think much of the party at all
(2)  -4
(3)  -3
(4)  -2
(5)  -1
(6)   0
(7)  +1
(8)  +2
(9)  +3
(10) +4
(11) +5  I think a great deal of the party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
Q22F
Scalometer, political parties: Die Linke

INT.: One response only.

What do you think of Die Linke?
(1) 5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) 4
(3) 3
(4) 2
(5) 1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q23
Tie-breaker, party rating 1

INT.: Read out response categories. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if RNK(1,B22A,B22B,B22C,B22D,B22E,B22F)<2 OR (Q22A>90 AND Q22B>90 AND Q22C>90 AND Q22D>90 AND Q22E>90 AND Q22F>90)
(Only ask the question if more than one political party is rated at the top of the political party scalometer (Q22A to Q22F))

Think of the political parties (<C22A>, <C22B>, <C22C>, <C22D>, <C22E>, <C22F>) again. Which of these parties do you like best?

(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Q24
Scalometer, political leaders

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

And what do you think of the political leaders? Please rate them by using the scale from -5 to +5 again. If I come to a political leader you haven’t heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.

Q 24A
Scalometer, political leaders: Angela Merkel

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

What about Angela Merkel?

(1) 5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) 4
(3) 3
(4) 2
(5) -1  (6) 0  (7) +1  (8) +2  (9) +3  (10) +4  (11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(96) Don’t know (politician)  (98) Don’t know (known, but cannot be rated)  (99) No answer

**Q24B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scalometer, political leaders: Karl-Theodor zu Guttenberg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

What about Karl-Theodor zu Guttenberg?

(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all  
(2) -4  
(3) -3  
(4) -2  
(5) -1  
(6) 0  
(7) +1  
(8) +2  
(9) +3  
(10) +4  
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(96) Don’t know (politician)  
(98) Don’t know (known, but cannot be rated)  
(99) No answer

**Q24C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scalometer, political leaders: Frank-Walter Steinmeier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

What about Frank-Walter Steinmeier?

(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all  
(2) -4  
(3) -3  
(4) -2  
(5) -1  
(6) 0  
(7) +1  
(8) +2  
(9) +3  
(10) +4  
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(96) Don’t know (politician)  
(98) Don’t know (known, but cannot be rated)  
(99) No answer

**Q24D**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scalometer, political leaders: Guido Westerwelle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

What about Guido Westerwelle?
(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(96) Don’t know (politician)
(98) Don’t know (known, but cannot be rated)
(99) No answer

Q24E
Scalometer, political leaders: Renate Kuenast

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

What about Renate Kuenast?

(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(96) Don’t know (politician)
(98) Don’t know (known, but cannot be rated)
(99) No answer

Q24F
Scalometer, political leaders: Oskar Lafontaine

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

What about Oskar Lafontaine?

(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(96) Don’t know (politician)
(98) Don’t know (known, but cannot be rated)
(99) No answer
Q24G
Scalometer, political leaders : Gregor Gysi

INT.: Display list. Please read out text.

What about Gregor Gysi?

(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(96) Don’t know (politician)
(98) Don’t know (known, but cannot be rated)
(99) No answer

Q25
General economic situation, current

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Looking now at the economic situation in Germany, how would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany? Please tell me using this list.

(1) Very good
(2) Good
(3) Neither good nor bad
(4) Bad
(5) Very bad

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q26
General economic situation, retrospective

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And has the general economic situation in Germany improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably in the last one to two years?

(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q27
Responsibility for general economic situation

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if Q26>90
(Only ask if a valid response was given to Q26)

To what extent do you think that the government’s policies affect this development - a great deal, a fair
amount, moderately, not very much, or not at all?

(1) A great deal
(2) A fair amount
(3) Moderately
(4) Not very much
(5) Not at all

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q28**
**General economic situation, future**

**Pre-election pre035**

INT.: Display list. One response only.

What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q29**
**Local economic situation, current**

**Pre-election pre036**

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And how is the current economic situation in the region in which you live? Very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad or very bad?

(1) Very good
(2) Good
(3) Neither good nor bad
(4) Bad
(5) Very bad

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q30**
**East-West**

**Pre-election pre037**

INT.: One response only.

When it comes to harmonization of living conditions in East Germany and West German standards, do you think too little has been done, exactly what was necessary or too much?

(1) Too little has been done
(2) Exactly what was necessary has been done
(3) Too much has been done

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q31**
**Worries, economic crisis**

**Pre-election pre134**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
How worried are you about the current economic crisis? Please tell me how worried you are using this scale from 1 to 7. 1 means that you don't worry at all, 7 means that you worry a lot.

(1) Don't worry at all
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) Worry a lot

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q32**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INT.**: Make a note of response.

Looking now at the situation in Germany, in your opinion, what is currently the most important political problem in Germany?

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q33**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Second most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INT.**: Make a note of response.
**FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q32=01**
*(Only ask the question if problem referred to in Q32)*

In your opinion, what is currently the second most important political problem in Germany?

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q34**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INT.**: Make a note of response.
**FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q33=01**
*(Only ask the question if problem referred to in Q33)*

In your opinion, what is currently the third most important political problem in Germany?

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q35A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ability to solve the most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INT.**: Displayed list. One response only.
**FILTER: => /Q36A if NOT Q32=01**
*(Only ask the question if problem referred to in Q32)*

Which party, do you think, would be best suited to solve the problem of <most important problem>?
(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(801) Other party  
(809) All parties are as good as each other  
(808) No party  

(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable  

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(801) Other party
(809) All parties are as good as each other
(808) No party
(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q36B</th>
<th>Ability to solve the second most important problem: other party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: One response; where applicable make a note.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q36A=801
(Only ask the question if a different political party was referred to in Q36)

Which party?
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely
(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q37A</th>
<th>Ability to solve the third most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: List still displayed. One response only.
FILTER: => /Q38 if NOT Q34=01
(Only ask the question if a different political party was referred to in Q34)

Which party, do you think, would be best suited to solve the problem of <third most important problem>?
Q37B

Ability to solve the third most important problem: other party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-election pre028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response; where applicable make a note.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q37A=801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if a different political party was referred to in Q37A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Which party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(801) Other party
(809) All parties are as good as each other
(808) No party
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q38

Preferred chancellor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-election pre020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if Q24A=96 OR Q24C=96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if respondent knows who Merkel and Steinmeier)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now, I would like to know who you would prefer as chancellor after the Federal Election: Angela Merkel or Frank-Walter Steinmeier?
(1) Angela Merkel
(2) Frank-Walter Steinmeier
(3) None of them

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q39A
Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Merkel: assertive and a strong leader

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+4 if Q24A=96
(Only ask the question if respondent knows who Merkel is)

TEXT1:
=> * if IF((Q24C=96 AND NOT Q24A=96),01,02)
Now some more precise questions about the CDU/CSU’s candidate for the position of chancellor, Angela Merkel.
→ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Merkel is

TEXT 2:
Now some more precise questions about both candidates for the position of chancellor: Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier. Let’s start with Angela Merkel.
→ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, if the respondent knows who Merkel and Steinmeier are

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Angela Merkel in your opinion. She is an assertive and a strong leader.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q39B
Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Merkel: She is trustworthy

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

TEXT1:
=> * if IF((Q24C=96 AND NOT Q24A=96),01,02)
Now some more precise questions about the CDU/CSU’s candidate for the position of chancellor, Angela Merkel.
→ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Merkel is

TEXT 2:
Now some more precise questions about both candidates for the position of chancellor: Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier. Let’s start with Angela Merkel.
→ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, if the respondent knows who Merkel and Steinmeier are

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Angela Merkel in your opinion. She is trustworthy.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree
Q39C
Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Merkel: She is a likable person

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

TEXT1:
=> * if IF((Q24C=96 AND NOT Q24A=96),01,02)
Now some more precise questions about the CDU/CSU's candidate for the position of chancellor, Angela Merkel.
⇒ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Merkel is

TEXT 2:
Now some more precise questions about both candidates for the position of chancellor: Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier. Let's start with Angela Merkel.
⇒ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, if the respondent knows who Merkel and Steinmeier are

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Angela Merkel in your opinion. She is a likable person.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q39D
Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Merkel: She has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

TEXT1:
=> * if IF((Q24C=96 AND NOT Q24A=96),01,02)
Now some more precise questions about the CDU/CSU's candidate for the position of chancellor, Angela Merkel.
⇒ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Merkel is

TEXT 2:
Now some more precise questions about both candidates for the position of chancellor: Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier. Let's start with Angela Merkel.
⇒ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, if the respondent knows who Merkel and Steinmeier are

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Angela Merkel in your opinion. She has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
Q40A
Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Steinmeier: He is an assertive and a strong leader

Display list. One response in each case.
FILTER: =>/+4 if Q24C=96
(Only ask the question if respondent knows who Steinmeier is)

TEXT1:
Now some more precise questions about the SPD's candidate for chancellor, Frank-Walter Steinmeier.

TEXT 2:
Now some more precise questions about both candidates for the position of chancellor: Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier. And now please tell me to what extent the following statements describe Frank-Walter Steinmeier.

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Frank-Walter Steinmeier in your opinion.

He is an assertive and a strong leader.

(1) -2  Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2  Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q40B
Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Steinmeier: He is trustworthy

Display list. One response in each case.

TEXT1:
Now some more precise questions about the SPD's candidate for the position of chancellor, Frank-Walter Steinmeier.

TEXT 2:
Now some more precise questions about both candidates for the position of chancellor: Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier. And now please tell me to what extent the following statements describe Frank-Walter Steinmeier.

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Frank-Walter Steinmeier in your opinion. He is trustworthy.

(1) -2  Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2  Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Steinmeier: He is a likable person

Display list. One response in each case.

INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Steinmeier is

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Frank-Walter Steinmeier in your opinion. He is a likable person.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Characteristics of the candidates for position of chancellor, Steinmeier: He has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis

Display list. One response in each case.

INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Steinmeier is

Please tell me, by using this scale from -2 to +2, to what degree these statements apply to Frank-Walter Steinmeier in your opinion. He has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Battery of values: hard working and productive

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.
Now I will read out to you various descriptions of what societies could be like. Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 7, how much you would like to live in the described society. In a society in which people are hard working and productive.

(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) I would definitely like living in that kind of society

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q41B</th>
<th>Battery of values: responsibility for each other</th>
<th>Pre-election pre126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now I will read out to you various descriptions of what societies could be like. Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 7, how much you would like to live in the described society. In a society in which people accept responsibility for each other.

(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) I would definitely like living in that kind of society

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q41C</th>
<th>Battery of values: stick to the rules</th>
<th>Pre-election pre126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now I will read out to you various descriptions of what societies could be like. Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 7, how much you would like to live in the described society. In a society in which people stick to the rules.

(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) I would definitely like living in that kind of society

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q41D</th>
<th>Battery of values: confident and critical</th>
<th>Pre-election pre126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now I will read out to you various descriptions of what societies could be like. Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 7, how much you would like to live in the described society. In a society in which people are confident and critical.
(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) I would definitely like living in that kind of society

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q41E
Battery of values: free to act or not act as they see fit

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now I will read out to you various descriptions of what societies could be like. Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 7, how much you would like to live in the described society. In a society in which people are free to act or not act as they see fit.

(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) I would definitely like living in that kind of society

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q41F
Battery of values: economic security and prosperity

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now I will read out to you various descriptions of what societies could be like. Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 7, how much you would like to live in the described society. In a society in which people live in economic security and prosperity.

(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) I would definitely like living in that kind of society

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q41G
Battery of values: politically active

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now I will read out to you various descriptions of what societies could be like. Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 7, how much you would like to live in the described society. In a society in which people are politically active.

(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) I would definitely like living in that kind of society
(6) Don’t know
(7) No answer

**Q42**
**Eligibility to vote BTW 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-election pre191</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.:</td>
<td>One response only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER:</td>
<td>=&gt; /+1 if Q1A&lt;21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(<em>Only ask this question if the respondent is 21 or older</em>)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Looking now at something completely different, were you eligible to vote in the Federal Election on September 18th, 2005?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99)</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q43A**
**Recall last federal election First/Second vote: First vote**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-election pre005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.:</td>
<td>Display list, example ballot paper. One response only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER:</td>
<td>=&gt; /+4 if NOT Q42=01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(<em>Only ask the question if the respondent was entitled to vote in the 2005 federal election</em>)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you voted in this election, do you still remember for which party you voted? Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for Federal Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>Candidate for SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Candidate for CDU/CSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>Candidate for Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>Candidate for FDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>Candidate for Die Linke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(206)</td>
<td>Candidate for NPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(225)</td>
<td>Candidate for Republikaner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(801)</td>
<td>Candidate for other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(995)</td>
<td>Did not vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(998)</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(999)</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1000)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

**Q43AA**
**Recall last federal election First/Second vote: Other party – First vote**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-election pre005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.:</td>
<td>DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER:</td>
<td>=&gt; /+1 if NOT Q43A=801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(<em>Only ask the question if Q43A=801</em>)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which party was the candidate from?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>CDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>CSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>SPD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

### Q43B

**Recall last federal election First/Second vote: Second vote**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-election pre005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Leave list displayed. One response only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+2 if Q43A=995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent was entitled to vote in the 2005 federal election)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now your second vote.

(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(5) FDP
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(801) Other party

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

### Q43BB

**Recall last federal election First/Second vote: Other party – Second vote**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-election pre005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: NICHT DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q43B=801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if Q43B=801).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
German Longitudinal Election Study: Pre-election Cross Section

(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Wähler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Südostdeutscher Wählerverband)
(801) Other party, namely:

(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

### Q44A
Contact with political parties during the election campaign: election meetings or rallies

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Now let’s have a look at the 2009 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year.

Did you go to any of the election meetings or rallies organised by the political parties?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

### Q44B
Contact with political parties during the election campaign: emails or text messages

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Now let’s have a look at the 2009 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year.

Did you receive any emails or text messages from political parties?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

### Q44C
Contact with political parties during the election campaign: campaign flyers, handbills etc.

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Now let’s have a look at the 2009 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year.

Did you read any information material produced by political parties, such as campaign flyers, handbills, leaflets or posted material?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q44D</th>
<th>Contact with political parties during the election campaign: adverts placed by political parties in newspapers or magazines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre198</td>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only. Now let's have a look at the 2009 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year. Did you read any campaign adverts placed by political parties in newspapers or magazines?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td>(2) No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q44E</th>
<th>Contact with political parties during the election campaign: campaign posters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre198</td>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only. Now let's have a look at the 2009 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year. Did you see any campaign posters for political parties or candidates?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td>(2) No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q44F</th>
<th>Contact with political parties during the election campaign: campaign stands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre198</td>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only. Now let's have a look at the 2009 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year. Did you go to one or several campaign stands here locally?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td>(2) No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q44G</th>
<th>Contact with political parties during the election campaign: political broadcasts on television</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre198</td>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only. Now let's have a look at the 2009 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year. Did you see any party political broadcasts on television?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td>(2) No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Q45m1 – m20
**Contact with political parties: Electoral events - political parties**

*Pre-election pre199*

INT.: Response categories, do NOT read out. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response!

FILTER: \( \Rightarrow /+1 \) if NOT Q44A=01

*(Only ask the question if the respondent has attended election meetings or rallies (Q44A=01))*

Which parties organised the election meetings or rallies you attended?

1. CDU/CSU
2. CDU
3. CSU
4. SPD
5. FDP
6. Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
7. Die Linke
8. NPD
9. Republikaner
10. DVU
11. WASG
12. Tierschutzpartei
13. Die Grauen - Generationspartei
14. FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
15. DIE FRAUEN
16. OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
17. FW Freie Waehler
18. Piratenpartei
19. SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
20. Other party, namely

- (998) Don’t know
- (999) No answer
- (1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

### Q46m1 – m20
**Contact with political parties: E-mails or text messages - political parties**

*Pre-election pre200*

INT.: Response categories, do NOT read out. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response!

FILTER: \( \Rightarrow /+1 \) if NOT Q44B=01

*(Only ask the question if the respondent has received e-mails or text messages from political parties (Q44B=01))*

From which parties did you receive e-mails or text messages?

1. CDU/CSU
2. CDU
3. CSU
4. SPD
5. FDP
6. Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
7. Die Linke
8. NPD
9. Republikaner
10. DVU
11. WASG
12. Tierschutzpartei
13. Die Grauen - Generationspartei
14. FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
15. DIE FRAUEN
16. OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
Q47A  Contact with political parties: E-mails or text messages – Candidates – first case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /Q48 if NOT (Q46<90 AND Q46>0)
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least one political party in Q46)

Was the e-mail or text messages sent by the constituency candidate of the <enter name of first party referred to> personally?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q47B  Contact with political parties: E-mails or text messages – Candidates – second case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NBR(Q46)<2
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least two political parties in Q46)

Was the e-mail or text messages sent by the constituency candidate of the <enter name of second party referred to> personally?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q47C  Contact with political parties: E-mails or text messages – Candidates – third case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NBR(Q46)<3
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least three political parties in Q46)

Was the e-mail or text messages sent by the constituency candidate of the <enter name of third party referred to> personally?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
Q47D
Contact with political parties: E-mails or text messages – Candidates – fourth case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NBR(Q46)<4
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least four political parties in Q46)
Was the e-mail or text messages sent by the constituency candidate of the <enter name of fourth party referred to> personally?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q48m1 – m20
Contact with political parties: Information material - Political parties

INT.: DO NOT read out. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response!
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q44C=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has received information material from political parties (Q44C=01))
From which parties did you read information material, such as campaign flyers, leaflets and similar material?

(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(168) DVU  
(250) WASG  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei  
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely  

(998) Don't know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q49A
Contact with political parties: Information material – Candidates: first case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /Q50 if NOT (Q48<90 AND Q48>0)
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least one political party in Q48)
Was the material provided by the constituency candidate of the <...> personally?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q49B</th>
<th>Contact with political parties: Information material – Candidates: second case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response in each case. FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NBR(Q48)&lt;2 (Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least two political parties in Q48) Was the material provided by the constituency candidate of the &lt;...&gt; personally?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q49C</th>
<th>Contact with political parties: Information material – Candidates: third case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response in each case. FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NBR(Q48)&lt;3 (Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least three political parties in Q48) Was the material provided by the constituency candidate of the &lt;...&gt; personally?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q49D</th>
<th>Contact with political parties: Information material – Candidates: fourth case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response in each case. FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NBR(Q48)&lt;4 (Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least four political parties in Q48) Was the material provided by the constituency candidate of the &lt;...&gt; personally?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q50m1 – m20</th>
<th>Contact with political parties: Campaign adverts - Political parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Response categories, do NOT read out. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response! FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q44D=01 (Only ask the question if the respondent has read campaign adverts placed by the parties in newspapers or magazines (Q44D=1) From which parties did you read campaign adverts in newspapers or magazines?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(168) DVU  
(250) WASG  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei  
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely  

(998) Don't know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q51m1 – m20</th>
<th>Pre-election pre206</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact with political parties: Posters - Political parties</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INT.: Response categories, do NOT read out. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response!  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q44E=01  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has seen posters from political parties on billboards (Q44E=01))

Which party election posters did you see?

(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(168) DVU  
(250) WASG  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei  
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely  

(998) Don't know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).
Q52A
Contact with political parties: Posters – Candidates: first case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /Q53 if NOT (Q51<90 AND Q51>0)
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least one political party in Q51)

Did these posters promote the constituency candidate of the <…>?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q52B
Contact with political parties: Posters – Candidates: second case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NBR(Q51)<2
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least two political parties in Q51)

Did these posters promote the constituency candidate of the <…>?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q52C
Contact with political parties: Posters – Candidates: third case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NBR(Q51)<3
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least three political parties in Q51)

Did these posters promote the constituency candidate of the <…>?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q52D
Contact with political parties: Posters – Candidates: fourth case

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NBR(Q51)<4
(Only ask the question if the respondent referred to at least four political parties in Q51)

Did these posters promote the constituency candidate of the <…>?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
Q53m1 – m20
Contact with political parties: Campaign stands - Political parties

INT.: Response categories, do NOT read out. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response!
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q44F=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has asked for information at a campaign stand (Q44F=01))

Which party campaign stands did you visit?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q54m1 – m20
Contact with political parties: Political broadcasts - Political parties

INT.: Do NOT read out response categories. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response!
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q44G=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has seen party political broadcasts on television (Q44G=01))

Which party political broadcasts did you watch?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don't know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q 55</th>
<th>Campaign advertising: Direct contact with local candidates</th>
<th>Pre-election pre158</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.:</td>
<td>One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you had direct contact with a local candidate at a campaign stand, at an election meeting, on your own doorstep or elsewhere?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99)</td>
<td>No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q56m1 – m20</th>
<th>Campaign advertising, direct contact with local candidate - Political party</th>
<th>Pre-election pre172</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.:</td>
<td>Do NOT read out response categories. Allow respondent to speak freely and then assign response!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q55=01</td>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent has had personal contact the local candidate (Q55=01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which party did he/she belong to?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>CDU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>CSU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>Die Linke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(206)</td>
<td>NPD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(225)</td>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(168)</td>
<td>DVU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(250)</td>
<td>WASG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(237)</td>
<td>Tierschutzpartei</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(149)</td>
<td>Die Grauen - Generationspartei</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(171)</td>
<td>FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(147)</td>
<td>DIE FRAUEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(209)</td>
<td>OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(180)</td>
<td>FW Freie Waehler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(215)</td>
<td>Piratenpartei</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(234)</td>
<td>SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(801)</td>
<td>Other party, namely</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(998)</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(999)</td>
<td>No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1000)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.
Q57A
Left-right classification of political parties: CDU

INT.: Display list and leave displayed.

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the CDU on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

[INT.: If the respondent does not know what is meant, enter “does not know term” in all cases.]

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right

(95) Does not know term
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q57B
Left-right classification of political parties: CSU

INT.: Display list and leave displayed.

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the CSU on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

[INT.: If the respondent does not know what is meant, enter “does not know term” in all cases.]

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right

(95) Does not know term
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q57C
Left-right classification of political parties: SPD

INT.: Display list and leave displayed.

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the SPD on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

[INT.: If the respondent does not know what is meant, enter “does not know term” in all cases.]

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
Q57D
Left-right classification of political parties: FDP

INT.: Display list and leave displayed.

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the FDP on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right? [INT.: If the respondent does not know what is meant, enter “does not know term” in all cases.]

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right
(95) Does not know term
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q57E
Left-right classification of political parties: Buendnis90/Die Gruenen

INT.: Display list and leave displayed.

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place Bündnis 90/Die Grünen on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right? [INT.: If the respondent does not know what is meant, enter “does not know term” in all cases.]

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right
(95) Does not know term
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
### Q57F
**Left-right classification of political parties: Die Linke**

INT.: Display list and leave displayed.

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place Die Linke on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?  [INT.: If the respondent does not know what is meant, enter “does not know term” in all cases.]

(1) Left  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) Right  
(95) Does not know term  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

### Q58A
**Left-right classification of leading candidates: Angela Merkel**

INT.: Leave list displayed.  
FILTER: $\Rightarrow$ /+1 if Q57A=95 OR Q24A=96  
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows who Angela Merkel is and knows the terms “left” and “right”)

Now, let’s look at Angela Merkel, the candidate for chancellor of the CDU. 
$\Rightarrow$ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Merkel is.

Now, let’s look at the candidates for chancellor of the major parties. At first, let’s look at Angela Merkel of the CDU.  
$\Rightarrow$ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, if the respondent knows who Merkel and Steinmeier are.

Please tell me, again using this scale, where you would place her.

(1) Left  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) Right  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable
**Q58B**
Left-right classification of leading candidates: Frank-Walter Steinmeier

INT.: Leave list displayed.
FILTER: => /+1 if Q57A=95 OR Q24C=96
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows who Frank-Walter Steinmeier is and knows the terms "left" and "right")

Now, let's look at Frank-Walter Steinmeier, the candidate for chancellor of the SPD.
⇒ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, only if respondent knows who Steinmeier is.

Now, let's look at the candidates for chancellor of the major parties. At first, let's look at Frank-Walter Steinmeier of the SPD.
⇒ INTRODUCTORY TEXT, if the respondent knows who Merkel and Steinmeier are.

Please tell me, again using this scale, where you would place him.

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q59**
Left-right self assessment

INT.: List still displayed.
FILTER: => /+1 if Q57A=95
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the terms "left" and "right")

And what about you? Where would you place yourself on this scale from 1 to 11?

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q60A**
Party positions, socioeconomic dimension: CDU

INT.: Display list. One response only.
Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the views of the political parties? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the CDU's policy?

(1) The party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) The party prefers to raise taxes and spend more on social services

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

Q60B Pre-election pre041
Party positions, socioeconomic dimension: CSU

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the views of the political parties? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the CSU's policy?

(1) The party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) The party prefers to raise taxes and spend more on social services

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

Q60C Pre-election pre041
Party positions, socioeconomic dimension: SPD

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the views of the political parties? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the SPD's policy?

(1) The party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services
(2)
(3)
Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the views of the political parties? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the FDP's policy?

(1) The party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services
(2) 
(3) 
(4) 
(5) 
(6) 
(7) 
(8) 
(9) 
(10) 
(11) The party prefers to raise taxes and spend more on social services

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the views of the political parties? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the policy of Buendnis90/Die Gruenen?

(1) The party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services
(2) 
(3) 
(4) 
(5) 
(6) 
(7) 
(8) 
(9) 
(10) 
(11) The party prefers to raise taxes and spend more on social services
Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the views of the political parties? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the policy of Die Linke?

1. The party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services
2. The party prefers to raise taxes and spend more on social services

And what about immigration? Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate? What do you think is the political point of view of the parties on this issue? Please use the scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the CDU's policy?

1. Laws on immigration should be easier
2. Laws on immigration should be more difficult
What do you think is the CSU's policy?

(1) Laws on immigration should be easier
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Laws on immigration should be more difficult

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q61C
Party positions, libertarian-authoritarian dimension: SPD

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And what about immigration? Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate? What do you think is the political point of view of the parties on this issue? Please use the scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the SPD’s policy?

(1) Laws on immigration should be easier
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Laws on immigration should be more difficult

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q61D
Party positions, libertarian-authoritarian dimension: FDP

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And what about immigration? Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate? What do you think is the political point of view of the parties on this issue? Please use the scale from 1 to 11.

What do you think is the FDP’s policy?

(1) Laws on immigration should be easier
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Laws on immigration should be more difficult
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q61E</th>
<th>Party positions, libertarian-authoritarian dimension: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td>And what about immigration? Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate? What do you think is the political point of view of the parties on this issue? Please use the scale from 1 to 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do you think is the policy of Buendnis90/Die Gruenen?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(1) Laws on immigration should be easier  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) Laws on immigration should be more difficult  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q61F</th>
<th>Party positions, libertarian-authoritarian dimension: Die Linke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td>And what about immigration? Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate? What do you think is the political point of view of the parties on this issue? Please use the scale from 1 to 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do you think is the policy of Die Linke?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(1) Laws on immigration should be easier  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) Laws on immigration should be more difficult  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q62A</th>
<th>Party positions, nuclear power: CDU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td>And what is the position of the political parties regarding the issue of nuclear power? Should nuclear power continue to be developed or should all nuclear power plants be shut down immediately? What do you think is the position of the different parties? Please answer by using a scale from 1 to 11. What do you think is the political point of view the CDU takes on this issue?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (1) Nuclear power should be continued  
(2) |
And what is the position of the political parties regarding the issue of nuclear power? Should nuclear power continue to be developed or should all nuclear power plants be shut down immediately? What do you think is the position of the different parties? Please answer by using a scale from 1 to 11. What do you think is the political point of view the CDU takes on this issue?

What do you think is the CSU’s policy?

1. Nuclear power should be continued
2. (3)
3. (4)
4. (5)
5. (6)
6. (7)
7. (8)
8. (9)
9. (10)
10. All nuclear power plants should be shut down immediately
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

And what is the position of the political parties regarding the issue of nuclear power? Should nuclear power continue to be developed or should all nuclear power plants be shut down immediately? What do you think is the position of the different parties? Please answer by using a scale from 1 to 11. What do you think is the political point of view the CDU takes on this issue?

What do you think is the SPD’s policy?

1. Nuclear power should be continued
2. (3)
3. (4)
4. (5)
5. (6)
6. (7)
7. (8)
8. (9)
9. (10)
10. All nuclear power plants should be shut down immediately
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

### Q62D

**Party positions, nuclear power: FDP**

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And what is the position of the political parties regarding the issue of nuclear power? Should nuclear power continue to be developed or should all nuclear power plants be shut down immediately? What do you think is the position of the different parties? Please answer by using a scale from 1 to 11. What do you think is the political point of view the CDU takes on this issue?

What do you think is the FDP’s policy?

1. Nuclear power should be continued
2. (3)
3. (4)
4. (5)
5. (6)
6. (7)
7. (8)
8. (9)
9. (10)
10. All nuclear power plants should be shut down immediately
11. (98) Don’t know
12. (99) No answer

### Q62E

**Party positions, nuclear power: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen**

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And what is the position of the political parties regarding the issue of nuclear power? Should nuclear power continue to be developed or should all nuclear power plants be shut down immediately? What do you think is the position of the different parties? Please answer by using a scale from 1 to 11. What do you think is the political point of view the CDU takes on this issue?

What do you think is the policy of Buendnis90/Die Gruenen?

1. Nuclear power should be continued
2. (3)
3. (4)
4. (5)
5. (6)
6. (7)
7. (8)
8. (9)
9. (10)
10. All nuclear power plants should be shut down immediately
11. (98) Don’t know
12. (99) No answer

### Q62F

**Party positions, nuclear power: Die Linke**

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And what is the position of the political parties regarding the issue of nuclear power? Should nuclear power continue to be developed or should all nuclear power plants be shut down immediately? What do you think is the position of the different parties? Please answer by using a scale from 1 to 11. What do you think is the political point of view the CDU takes on this issue?
What do you think is the policy of Die Linke?

(1) Nuclear power should be continued  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) All nuclear power plants should be shut down immediately

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Q63  
Own position, socioeconomic dimension

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

And what is your opinion about taxes and social services? Please use the scale from 1 to 11.

(1) Lower taxes, although this results in less social services  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) More social services, although this results in raising taxes

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Q64  
Own position, libertarian-authoritarian dimension

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

And what is your opinion about immigration? Please use the scale.

(1) Laws on immigration should be easier  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) Laws on immigration should be more difficult

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Q65  
Own position, nuclear power

INT.: List displayed. One response only.
And what is your opinion about nuclear power?
(1) Nuclear power should be continued
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) All nuclear power plants should be shut down immediately
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q66  
Salience, socioeconomic dimension  Pre-election pre045

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

How important is the topic of taxes and social welfare services to you? Please tell me by using this list from “very important” to “not important at all”.
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) So so
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q67  
Salience, libertarian-authoritarian dimension  Pre-election pre047

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

And how important is the topic of immigration to you? Please use this list again.
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) So so
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q68  
Salience, nuclear power  Pre-election pre138

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

How important is the topic of nuclear power to you?
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) So so
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q69A**

**Attitudes to politics in general 1**

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now, I would like to know your opinion about several general statements about politics. Please say from this card ranging from -2 to + 2 how much you agree or disagree with each of these statements.

I often find political issues difficult to understand.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q69B**

**Attitudes to politics in general 2**

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now, I would like to know your opinion about several general statements about politics. Please say from this card ranging from -2 to + 2 how much you agree or disagree with each of these statements.

The political parties are only interested in people's votes, not in what voters think.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q69C**

**Attitudes to politics in general 3**

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now, I would like to know your opinion about several general statements about politics. Please say from this card ranging from -2 to + 2 how much you agree or disagree with each of these statements.

Today's problems are so complex that politicians are no longer able to solve them.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q69D**

**Attitudes to politics in general 4**

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.
Now, I would like to know your opinion about several general statements about politics. Please say from this card ranging from -2 to +2 how much you agree or disagree with each of these statements.

In a democracy it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q69E**
Attitudes to politics in general 5

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now, I would like to know your opinion about several general statements about politics. Please say from this card ranging from -2 to +2 how much you agree or disagree with each of these statements.

Socialism is a good idea which has just been poorly implemented in the past.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q69F**
Attitudes to politics in general 6

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

Now, I would like to know your opinion about several general statements about politics. Please say from this card ranging from -2 to +2 how much you agree or disagree with each of these statements.

The world is so complicated that it's impossible to know how things can carry on.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q70**
Performance of the federal government

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

As to the present Federal Government in Berlin - are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and SPD so far? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with the performance of the government in Berlin, +5 means that you are completely satisfied with the performance of the government in Berlin. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

(1) -5 Not at all satisfied
(2) -4
And when you consider each of the governing parties separately, could you please tell me how satisfied or dissatisfied you are with their performance? Please tell me by using the scale from -5 to +5 again. How satisfied are you with the performance of the CDU?

(1) -5  Not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5  Completely satisfied

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

And when you consider each of the governing parties separately, could you please tell me how satisfied or dissatisfied you are with their performance? Please tell me by using the scale from -5 to +5 again. How satisfied are you with the performance of the CSU?

(1) -5  Not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5  Completely satisfied

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
**Q71C**

**Performance of the governing parties: SPD**

*Pre-election pre012*

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And when you consider each of the governing parties separately, could you please tell me how satisfied or dissatisfied you are with their performance? Please tell me by using the scale from -5 to +5 again. How satisfied are you with the performance of the SPD?

1. *(1) -5* Not at all satisfied  
2. *(2) -4*  
3. *(3) -3*  
4. *(4) -2*  
5. *(5) -1*  
6. *(6) 0*  
7. *(7) +1*  
8. *(8) +2*  
9. *(9) +3*  
10. *(10) +4*  
11. *(11) +5* Completely satisfied

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

**Q72**

**Performance of selected party BTW05**

*Pre-election pre015*

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

**FILTER**: =>/+1 if NOT (Q43B=05,06,07)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent gave his or her second vote to Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen or the FDP or Die Linke at the last federal election in 2005 (Q43B=05,06,07))

And how satisfied are you with the performance of the <party according to Vw005> over the last four years? Please tell me by using the scale from -5 to +5 again.

1. *(1) -5* Not at all satisfied  
2. *(2) -4*  
3. *(3) -3*  
4. *(4) -2*  
5. *(5) -1*  
6. *(6) 0*  
7. *(7) +1*  
8. *(8) +2*  
9. *(9) +3*  
10. *(10) +4*  
11. *(11) +5* Completely satisfied

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**Q73A**

**Other forms of participation: community action group**

*Pre-election pre116*

INT.: One response in each case.

If you think back over the last twelve months, did you do any of the following to exercise political influence and to assert your point of view?

Took part in a community action group.

1. *(1) Yes*  
2. *(2) No*  

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q73B</th>
<th>Other forms of participation: demonstration</th>
<th>Pre-election pre116</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response in each case.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you think back over the last twelve months, did you do any of the following to exercise political influence and to assert your point of view?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Took part in a demonstration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q73C</th>
<th>Other forms of participation: Support the election campaign</th>
<th>Pre-election pre116</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response in each case.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you think back over the last twelve months, did you do any of the following to exercise political influence and to assert your point of view?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supported the election campaign of a political party.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q74A</th>
<th>Forms of participation - Political party</th>
<th>Pre-election pre195</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; +2 if NOT Q73C=01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent supported a political party during the election campaign (Q73=01))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And which party did you support?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) CDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) FDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Die Linke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(801) Other party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(998) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(999) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1000) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).
Q74B
Forms of participation - Political party – other party

INT.: One response only. Where applicable make a note.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q74A=40
(Only ask the question if the respondent said other party (Q74A=40)

Which party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) SPD
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen - Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other Party, namely
(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete "Codierschema Parteienliste" at www.gesis.org/ges.

Q75A
Scalometer, coalitions: Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

Now I would like to ask some questions about the possible composition of the Federal Government. How desirable are the following possible governing coalitions for you personally, regardless of how likely the respective coalition is in your opinion? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. What about a grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)?

(1) -5 I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

Q75B
Scalometer, coalitions: Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.
Now I would like to ask some questions about the possible composition of the Federal Government. How desirable are the following possible governing coalitions for you personally, regardless of how likely the respective coalition is in your opinion? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. What about a black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)?

1. -5  I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
2. -4
3. -3
4. -2
5. -1
6. 0
7. +1
8. +2
9. +3
10. +4
11. +5  I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

---

**Q75C**

**Scalometer, coalitions: Red-green coalition (SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)**

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

Now I would like to ask some questions about the possible composition of the Federal Government. How desirable are the following possible governing coalitions for you personally, regardless of how likely the respective coalition is in your opinion? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. What about a red-green coalition (SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)?

1. -5  I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
2. -4
3. -3
4. -2
5. -1
6. 0
7. +1
8. +2
9. +3
10. +4
11. +5  I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

---

**Q75D**

**Scalometer, coalitions: Social-liberal coalition (SPD and FDP)**

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

Now I would like to ask some questions about the possible composition of the Federal Government. How desirable are the following possible governing coalitions for you personally, regardless of how likely the respective coalition is in your opinion? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. What about a social-liberal coalition (SPD and FDP)?

1. -5  I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
2. -4
3. -3
4. -2
5. -1
6. 0
7. +1
8. +2
9. +3
Would you find the following coalition a very desirable outcome?

Q75E | Pre-election pre010
Scalometer, coalitions: Black-green coalition (CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome

Q75F | Pre-election pre010
Scalometer, coalitions: Traffic light coalition (SPD, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

- (1) -5 I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome

Q75G | Pre-election pre010
Scalometer, coalitions: Jamaica coalition (CDU/CSU, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

- (1) -5 I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome
(1) -5 I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q75H
Scalometer, coalitions: Red-red-green coalition (SPD, Die Linke and Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen)

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.

Now I would like to ask some questions about the possible composition of the Federal Government. How desirable are the following possible governing coalitions for you personally, regardless of how likely the respective coalition is in your opinion? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. What about a red-red-green coalition (SPD, Die Linke and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)?

(1) -5 I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q76A
Perception of coalition signals: Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)

INT.: Display list and read out coalitions.

Regardless of the actual majorities after the Federal Election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other? What about CDU/CSU and SPD (Grand coalition)?

(1) Very likely
(2) Fairly likely
(3) Fairly unlikely
(4) Very unlikely

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q76B
Perception of coalition signals: Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)

INT.: Display list and read out coalitions.
Regardless of the actual majorities after the Federal Election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other? What about CDU/CSU and FDP (Black-yellow coalition)?

(1) Very likely
(2) Fairly likely
(3) Fairly unlikely
(4) Very unlikely

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q76C Pre-election pre048
Perception of coalition signals: Red-green coalition (SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

INT.: Display list and read out coalitions.

Regardless of the actual majorities after the Federal Election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other? What about SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (Red-green coalition)?

(1) Very likely
(2) Fairly likely
(3) Fairly unlikely
(4) Very unlikely

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q76D Pre-election pre048
Perception of coalition signals: Traffic light coalition (SPD, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

INT.: Display list and read out coalitions.

Regardless of the actual majorities after the Federal Election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other? What about SPD, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (traffic light coalition)?

(1) Very likely
(2) Fairly likely
(3) Fairly unlikely
(4) Very unlikely

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q76E Pre-election pre048
Perception of coalition signals: Jamaica coalition (CDU/CSU, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

INT.: Display list and read out coalitions.

Regardless of the actual majorities after the Federal Election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other? What about CDU/CSU, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (Jamaica coalition)?

(1) Very likely
(2) Fairly likely
(3) Fairly unlikely
(4) Very unlikely

(98) Don’t know
Perception of coalition signals: Red-red-green coalition (SPD, Die Linke and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

Regardless of the actual majorities after the Federal Election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other? What about SPD, Die Linke and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (Red-red-green coalition)?

(1) Very likely
(2) Fairly likely
(3) Fairly unlikely
(4) Very unlikely
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Anticipated majorities

And what do you think the result of the federal election will be? Who do you think will win a majority of seats? The CDU/CSU with the FDP or the SPD with Bündnis 90/Die Grünen? Or don't you think that either of these two coalitions will win a majority of seats in the Bundestag?

(1) Majority of seats for black-yellow (CDU/CSU and FDP)
(2) Majority of seats for red-green (SPD and B90/Die Grünen)
(3) None of these coalitions will win a majority of seats
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Expected government

Which parties do you think will actually form the government together after the Federal Election?

(1) CDU/CSU and SPD (grand coalition)
(2) SPD, FDP and B90/Die Grünen (traffic light coalition)
(3) CDU/CSU, FDP and B90/Die Grünen (Jamaica coalition)
(4) SPD, Die Linke and B90/Die Grünen (red-red-green coalition)
(10) Other form of government
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Expected government: other government

(10) Other form of government
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

(99) No answer
Q79  Trustworthiness of SPD-Die Linke

INT.: One response only.

Leading politicians from the SPD have said they would not be willing to form a government with Die Linke on the national level. How trustworthy do you think this statement is? Completely trustworthy, fairly trustworthy, not very trustworthy or not trustworthy at all?

(1) Completely trustworthy
(2) Fairly trustworthy
(3) Not very trustworthy
(4) Not trustworthy at all

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q80A  Constituency winner

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And now, politics and politicians in your constituency. From which political party do you think the winning candidate in your constituency will be from?

(1) Candidate of the CDU or CSU
(4) Candidate of the SPD
(5) Candidate of the FDP
(6) Candidate of Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Candidate of Die Linke

(801) Other Candidate

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Q80B  Constituency winner: Candidate for a different party

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q80A=801
(Only ask the question if the respondent thinks that a candidate from a different political party (Q80a=801) will win in their constituency)

Which political party will the candidate be from?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
Q81
Familiarity with constituency candidates

INT.: DO NOT read out. Multiple response.

Do you know one or several of the local constituency candidates and can you tell me for which party they are running in the Federal Election on September 27th, 2009? Please tell me the names and the corresponding parties of the candidates.

INT.: CAREFUL! If only the name of the party is stated and not the name of the candidate, please do not click the corresponding response category. Only if the name of the candidate is mentioned. Please ask again. Shortened names, such as Willi instead of Wilhelm, or names which are not completely correct, such as Franz instead of Frank or Guttenburg or Gultenberg instead of Guttenberg are counted as correct.

(1) <KAND1> (CDU/CSU)
(4) <KAND2> (SPD)
(6) <KAND3> (Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen)
(5) <KAND4> (FDP)
(7) <KAND5> (DIE LINKE)

(93) One or more candidates wrongly attributed to party
(94) Know no candidate
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

o_q81
Documentation of wrong attributed candidates

Filter: If Q81=93

Q82A
Assessment of the known constituency candidates: CDU/CSU Candidate

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q81=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the name of the CDU/CSU candidate for the local constituency)

And please tell me if you think a great deal of <name of local constituency candidate> or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

(1) -5 I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
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---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q82B</th>
<th>Pre-election pre022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of the known constituency candidates: SPD Candidate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q81=04
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the name of the SPD candidate for the local constituency)

And please tell me if you think a great deal of <name of local constituency candidate> or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>-5 I do not think much of this person at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>+5 I think a great deal of this person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q82C</th>
<th>Pre-election pre022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of the known constituency candidates: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen Candidate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q81=06
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the name of the Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen candidate for the local constituency)

And please tell me if you think a great deal of <name of local constituency candidate> or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>-5 I do not think much of this person at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>+5 I think a great deal of this person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
Q82D  Pre-election pre022
Assessment of the known constituency candidates: FDP Candidate

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q81=05
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the name of the FDP candidate for the local constituency)

And please tell me if you think a great deal of <name of local constituency candidate> or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

(1) -5  I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5  I think a great deal of this person

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q82E  Pre-election pre022
Assessment of the known constituency candidates: Die Linke Candidate

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q81=07
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the name of the Die Linke candidate for the local constituency)

And please tell me if you think a great deal of <name of local constituency candidate> or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

(1) -5  I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5  I think a great deal of this person

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q83A  Pre-election pre039
Left-right position of constituency candidates: CDU/CSU Candidate

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if Q57A=95 OR NOT Q81=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the terms "left" and "right " and has named the local CDU/CSU candidate for the local constituency)
And what do you think of <KAND1>?
Where would you place this candidate on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q83B
Left-right position of constituency candidates: SPD Candidate

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if Q57A=95 OR NOT Q81=04
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the terms "left" and "right" and has named the local SPD candidate for the local constituency)

And what do you think of <KAND2>?
Where would you place this candidate on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Right

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q83C
Left-right position of constituency candidates: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen Candidate

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if Q57A=95 OR NOT Q81=06
(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the terms "left" and "right" and has named the local Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate for the local constituency)

And what do you think of <KAND3>?
Where would you place this candidate on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

(1) Left
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) 
(6) 
(7) 
(8) 
(9) 
(10) 
(11) Right

(98) Don't know 
(99) No answer 
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q83D</th>
<th>Left-right position of constituency candidates: FDP Candidate</th>
<th>Pre-election pre039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: (+1) if Q57A=95 OR NOT Q81=05 (+ if FDP candidate is known)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the terms &quot;left&quot; and &quot;right&quot; and has named the local FDP candidate for the local constituency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And what do you think of <KAND4>?
Where would you place this candidate on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

(1) Left 
(2) 
(3) 
(4) 
(5) 
(6) 
(7) 
(8) 
(9) 
(10) 
(11) Right

(98) Don't know 
(99) No answer 
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q83E</th>
<th>Left-right position of constituency candidates: Die Linke Candidate</th>
<th>Pre-election pre039</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: (+1) if Q57A=95 OR NOT Q81=07 (+ if Die Linke candidate is known)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent knows the terms &quot;left&quot; and &quot;right&quot; and has named the local Die Linke candidate for the local constituency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And what do you think of <KAND5>?
Where would you place this candidate on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

(1) Left 
(2) 
(3) 
(4) 
(5) 
(6) 
(7) 
(8) 
(9) 
(10) 
(11) Right

(98) Don't know
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(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q84A**
**Representation norm, voters 1**

INT.: Display list and read out items. One response only.

There are different views about who a representative should represent. Please tell me, using this scale how important the following is to you: the delegate should represent his/her voters in the local constituency.

(1) Not at all important
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) Very important

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q84B**
**Representation norm, voters 2**

INT.: Display list and read out items. One response only.

There are different views about who a representative should represent. Please tell me, using this scale how important the following is to you: the delegate should represent all citizens living in the constituency.

(1) Not at all important
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) Very important

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q84C**
**Representation norm, voters 3**

INT.: Display list and read out items. One response only.

There are different views about who a representative should represent. Please tell me, using this scale how important the following is to you: the delegate should represent all those who voted for her/his party.

(1) Not at all important
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) Very important

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q84D**
**Representation norm, voters 4**

INT.: Display list and read out items. One response only.

There are different views about who a representative should represent. Please tell me, using this scale how important the following is to you: the delegate should represent a particular group of society.

(1) Not at all important
Q84E
Representation norm, voters 5

INT.: Display list and read out items. One response only.

There are different views about who a representative should represent. Please tell me, using this scale how important the following is to you: the delegate should represent all citizens living in Germany.

(1) Not at all important  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5) Very important

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Q85A
Expectations of parliamentary deputies 1

INT.: Display list. Read out items. One response only.

There are also different views about what a representative should mainly do for her/his constituency. Please tell me, using the scale from 1 to 5 again, how important the following is to you: the delegate should offer help and help individual citizens with specific problems.

(1) Not at all important  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5) Very important

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Q85B
Expectations of parliamentary deputies 2

INT.: Display list. Read out items. One response only.

There are also different views about what a representative should mainly do for her/his constituency. Please tell me, using the scale from 1 to 5 again, how important the following is to you: the delegate should enforce the political interests of the voters in her/his constituency.

(1) Not at all important  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5) Very important

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Q85C
Expectations of parliamentary deputies 3
INT.: Display list. Read out items. One response only.

There are also different views about what a representative should mainly do for her/his constituency.
Please tell me, using this scale from 1 to 5 again, how important the following is to you: the delegate should ensure the economic prosperity of the constituency.

(1) Not at all important
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) Very important

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

Q85D Expectations of parliamentary deputies 4

INT.: Display list. Read out items. One response only.

There are also different views about what a representative should mainly do for her/his constituency.
Please tell me, using the scale from 1 to 5 again, how important the following is to you: the representative should be available for to discuss matters in depth with voters.

(1) Not at all important
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) Very important

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

Q85E Expectations of parliamentary deputies 5

INT.: Display list. Read out items. One response only.

There are also different views about what a representative should mainly do for her/his constituency.
Please tell me, using the scale from 1 to 5 again, how important the following is to you: the delegate should express local customs and safeguard traditions.

(1) Not at all important
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) Very important

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

Q86A Election for parties or candidates: Candidate CDU/CSU

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT Q81=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has referred to the CDU/CSU candidate for the local constituency)

How would you describe the election campaign of <KAND1>? Did <KAND1> tend to emphasize her or his own views or those of her or his party during the election campaign? Please choose the corresponding value on this scale from 1 to 11.

(1) Candidate emphasized own views
(2)
(3)
(4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10)
(11) Candidate emphasized the view of her/his party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q86B</th>
<th>Election for parties or candidates: Candidate SPD</th>
<th>Pre-election pre107</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q81=04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent has referred to the SPD candidate for the local constituency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How would you describe the election campaign of &lt;KAND2&gt;? Did &lt;KAND2&gt; tend to emphasize her or his own views or those of her or his party during the election campaign? Please choose the corresponding value on this scale from 1 to 11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Candidate emphasized own views</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Candidate emphasized the view of her/his party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q86C</th>
<th>Election for parties or candidates: Candidate Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</th>
<th>Pre-election pre107</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q81=06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent has referred to the Buendnis90/DieGruenen candidate for the local constituency)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How would you describe the election campaign of &lt;KAND3&gt;? Did &lt;KAND3&gt; tend to emphasize her or his own views or those of her or his party during the election campaign? Please choose the corresponding value on this scale from 1 to 11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Candidate emphasized own views</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Candidate emphasized the view of her/his party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(98) Don’t know
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(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q86D
Election for parties or candidates: Candidate FDP

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT Q81=05
(Only ask the question if the respondent has referred to the FDP candidate for the local constituency)

How would you describe the election campaign of <KAND4>? Did <KAND4> tend to emphasize her or his own views or those of her or his party during the election campaign? Please choose the corresponding value on this scale from 1 to 11.

(1) Candidate emphasized own views
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Candidate emphasized the view of her/his party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q86E
Election for parties or candidates: Candidate Die Linke

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT Q81=07
(Only ask the question if the respondent has referred to the Die Linke candidate for the local constituency)

How would you describe the election campaign of <KAND5>? Did <KAND5> tend to emphasize her or his own views or those of her or his party during the election campaign? Please choose the corresponding value on this scale from 1 to 11.

(1) Candidate emphasized own views
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Candidate emphasized the view of her/his party

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q87
Most important source of information

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Of all the ways that you can follow news about politics during the election campaign in Germany, which one would you say you get the most information from, regarding the Federal Election campaign -
television, newspaper, radio, internet, personal conversations or another source?

(1) Television
(2) Newspaper
(3) Radio
(4) Internet
(5) Personal conversations
(6) Another source

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q88A**
Use of TV news programmes: Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

This list contains news programmes broadcast by different television channels. Please tell me which of these news programmes you watch occasionally or regularly.

Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD) (Int.: Including on other channels (such as on regional public-service stations or on the public-service station Phoenix) or on the Internet.)

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q88B**
Use of TV news programmes: heute/heute journal (ZDF)

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

This list contains news programmes broadcast by different television channels. Please tell me which of these news programmes you watch occasionally or regularly.

heute/heute journal (ZDF) (Int.: Including on other channels (such as on 3sat or on the public-service station Phoenix) or on the Internet.)

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q88C**
Use of TV news programmes: RTL Aktuell (RTL)

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.

This list contains news programmes broadcast by different television channels. Please tell me which of these news programmes you watch occasionally or regularly.

RTL Aktuell (RTL) (Int.: Including on the Internet.)

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q88D**
Use of TV news programmes: Sat.1 Nachrichten (Sat.1)

INT.: Display list. One response in each case.
This list contains news programmes broadcast by different television channels. Please tell me which of these news programmes you watch occasionally or regularly.

Sat.1 Nachrichten (Sat.1) (Int.: Including on the Internet.)

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q89
Frequency with use of TV news programmes: ARD

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /Q92 if NOT Q88A=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has said that she/he watches ARD news programmes (Q88A=01))

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch the “Tagesschau” or “Tagesthemen” on “ARD”?

[Int.: Including on other channels (such as on regional public-service stations or on the public-service station Phoenix) or on the Internet.]

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q90
Party political bias, TV news on ARD

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q89=01-07, 98)
(Only ask the question if on average the respondent watches at least one ARD news programme a week or answered Q89 with “don’t know”)

When you think in particular about the reports in these programmes on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q91m1 – m3
Party political bias, ARD - Political party

INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q90=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that ARD news programmes show party political bias (Q90=01))
Which parties were they more favourable to?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierverschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/ges.

Q92
Pre-election pre066
Frequency with use of TV news programmes ZDF

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /Q95 if NOT Q88B=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has said that she/he watches ZDF news programmes (Q88B=01))

And on how many days per week, on average, do you watch "Heute" or "Heute-Journal", the newscasts of "ZDF"?

[Int.: Including on other channels (such as 3sat or Phoenix) or on the Internet]

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q93
Pre-election pre067
Party political bias, TV news on ZDF

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q92=01-07,98)
(Only ask the question if on average the respondent watches at least one ZDF news programme a week or answered Q92 with "don’t know")

When you think in particular about the reports in these programmes on the political parties and the
election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q94 m1 – m3  Pre-election pre174
Party political bias, ZDF - Political party

INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q93=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that ZDF news programmes show party political bias
(Q93=01)

Which parties were they more favourable to?
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gesis.

Q95  Pre-election pre226
Frequency with use of TV news programmes RTL

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /Q98 if NOT Q88C=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has said that she/he watches RTL news programmes
(Q88C=01)

And on how many days per week, on average, do you watch “RTL aktuell”?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q96**

**Party political bias, TV news on RTL**

**Pre-election pre227**

**INT.** : One response only.

**FILTER:** \(+/−1\) if NOT \(Q95=01-07,98\)

(Only ask the question if on average the respondent watches at least one RTL news programme a week or answered \(Q95\) with “don’t know”)

When you think in particular about the reports in this programme on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q97m1 – m3**

**Party political bias, ARD - Political party**

**Pre-election pre228**

**INT.** : Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.

**FILTER:** \(+/−1\) if NOT \(Q96=01\)

(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that ARD news programmes show party political bias \((Q96=01)\))

Which parties were they more favourable to?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/ges](http://www.gesis.org/ges).
**Q98**

**Frequency with use of TV news programmes Sat. 1**

*Pre-election pre229*

**INT.**: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.

**FILTER**: => /Q101A if NOT Q88D=01

*(Only ask the question if the respondent has said that she/he watches Sat. 1 news programmes (Q88D=01))*

And on how many days per week, on average, do you watch "Sat.1 Nachrichten"?

- (0) Not at all
- (1) 1 day
- (2) 2 days
- (3) 3 days
- (4) 4 days
- (5) 5 days
- (6) 6 days
- (7) 7 days
- (98) Don’t know
- (99) No answer
- (100) Not applicable

**Q99**

**Party political bias, TV news on Sat. 1**

*Pre-election pre230*

**INT.**: One response only.

**FILTER**: => +1 if NOT (Q98=01-07,98)

*(Only ask the question if on average the respondent watches at least one Sat. 1 news programme a week or answered Q98 with "don’t know")*

When you think in particular about the reports in this programme on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (98) Don’t know
- (99) No answer
- (100) Not applicable

**Q100m1 – m3**

**Party political bias, Sat. 1 - Political party**

*Pre-election pre231*

**INT.**: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.

**FILTER**: => +/1 if NOT Q99=01

*(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that Sat. 1 news programmes show party political bias (Q99=01))*

Which parties were they more favourable to?

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
- (7) Die Linke
- (206) NPD
- (225) Republikaner
- (168) DVU
- (250) WASG
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/ges.

**Q101A Pre-election pre179**

*Use of daily newspapers: BILD*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

[INT: If the online edition of a daily newspaper is explicitly referred to or asked for, this reference must be assigned to the corresponding daily newspaper.]

BILD

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q101B Pre-election pre179**

*Use of daily newspapers: FAZ*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

[INT: If the online edition of a daily newspaper is explicitly referred to or asked for, this reference must be assigned to the corresponding daily newspaper.]

Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (FAZ)

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q101C Pre-election pre179**

*Use of daily newspapers: Die Welt*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

[INT: If the online edition of a daily newspaper is explicitly referred to or asked for, this reference must be assigned to the corresponding daily newspaper.]

Die Welt [Int: if mentioned spontaneously, assign to "Welt kompakt" here as well]

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
### Q101D
**Use of daily newspapers: SZ**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

- Sueddeutsche Zeitung (SZ)
  - (1) Yes
  - (2) No
  - (98) Don’t know
  - (99) No answer

### Q101E
**Use of daily newspapers: FR**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

- Frankfurter Rundschau (FR)
  - (1) Yes
  - (2) No
  - (98) Don’t know
  - (99) No answer

### Q101F
**Use of daily newspapers: taz**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

- taz
  - (1) Yes
  - (2) No
  - (98) Don’t know
  - (99) No answer

### Q101G
**Use of daily newspapers: A local or regional newspaper**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

- A local or regional newspaper
  - (1) Yes
  - (2) No
Q101H Use of daily newspapers: A second, additional local or regional newspaper

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

A second, additional local or regional newspaper

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q101J Use of daily newspapers: daily newspapers which only appear on the Internet

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

One or several daily newspapers which only appear on the Internet (e.g. Spiegel online, Netzeitung)

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q101I Use of daily newspapers: One or several other daily newspapers

INT.: Display list. One response only.
This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.

One or several other daily newspapers

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Q102 Frequency with which daily newspaper read: BILD

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /Q105 if NOT Q101A=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads BILD occasionally or regularly (Q101A=01))

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the "BILD-
**Q103**

**Party political bias, BILD**

Pre-election pre056

**INT.:** One response only.

**FILTER:** => +1 if NOT (Q102=01-07,98)

(Only ask the question if the respondent reads BILD at least one day a week or answered Q102 with "don’t know")

When you think in particular about the reports in "BILD" on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q104m1 – m3**

**Party political bias, BILD - Political party**

Pre-election pre178

**INT.:** Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.

**FILTER:** => +1 if NOT Q103=01

(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that BILD shows party political bias (Q103=01))

Which parties were they more favourable to?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gies.

**Q105**
Frequency with which daily newspaper read: FAZ

| INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely. |
| FILTER: => /Q108 if NOT Q101B=01 (Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads FAZ occasionally or regularly (Q101B=01)) |

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the “Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung”?  

| (0) Not at all |
| (1) 1 day |
| (2) 2 days |
| (3) 3 days |
| (4) 4 days |
| (5) 5 days |
| (6) 6 days |
| (7) 7 days |
| (98) Don’t know |
| (99) No answer |
| (100) Not applicable |

**Q106**
Party political bias, FAZ

| INT.: One response only. |
| FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q105=01-07,98) (Only ask the question if the respondent reads FAZ at least one day a week or answered Q105 with “don’t know”) |

When you think in particular about the reports in “Frankfurter Allgemeine” on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

| (1) Yes |
| (2) No |
| (98) Don’t know |
| (99) No answer |
| (100) Not applicable |

**Q107m1 – m3**
Party political bias, FAZ - Political party

| INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. |
| FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q106=01 (Only ask the question if the respondent believes that FAZ shows party political bias (Q106=01)) |

Which parties were they more favourable to?

| (1) CDU/CSU |
| (2) CDU |
| (3) CSU |
| (4) SPD |
| (5) FDP |
| (6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen |
| (7) Die Linke |
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Wählerei
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Wahlverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/ges.

**Q108**
Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Die Welt

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /Q111 if NOT Q101C=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent reads Die Welt occasionally or regularly (Q101C=01))

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the daily newspaper “Die Welt”?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q109**
Party political bias, Die Welt

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q108=01-07,98)
(Only ask the question if the respondent reads Die Welt at least one day a week or answered Q108 with “don’t know”)

When you think in particular about the reports in the daily newspaper “Die Welt” on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
**Q110m1 – m3**  
**Party political bias, Die Welt - Political party**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDU</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSU</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die Linke</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPD</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVU</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASG</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierschutzpartei</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die Grauen – Generationspartei</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIE FRAUEN</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW Freie Waehler</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piratenpartei</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other party, namely</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

**Q111**  
**Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Sueddeutsche Zeitung**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).
**Q112**

**Party political bias, Sueddeutsche Zeitung**

Pre-election pre058

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => +/-1 if NOT (Q111=01-07,98)

(Only ask the question if the respondent reads Sueddeutsche Zeitung at least one day a week or answered Q111 with "don't know")

When you think in particular about the reports in "Sueddeutsche Zeitung" on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q113m1 – m3**

**Party political bias, Sueddeutsche Zeitung - Political party**

Pre-election pre232

INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
FILTER: => +/-1 if NOT Q112=01

(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that Sueddeutsche Zeitung shows party political bias (Q112=01))

Which parties were they more favourable to?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete "Codierschema Parteienliste" at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

**Q114**

**Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Frankfurter Rundschau**

Pre-election pre061

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /Q117 if NOT Q101E=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads Frankfurter Rundschau occasionally or regularly (Q101E=01))

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the "Frankfurter Rundschau"?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q115</th>
<th>Pre-election pre209</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Frankfurter Rundschau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q114=01-07,98)
(Only ask the question if the respondent reads Frankfurter Rundschau at least one day a week or answered Q114 with “don’t know”)

When you think in particular about the reports in “Frankfurter Rundschau” on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q116m1 – m3</th>
<th>Pre-election pre210</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Party political bias, Frankfurter Rundschau - Political party</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q115=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that Frankfurter Rundschau shows party political bias (Q115=01))

Which parties were they more favourable to?
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q117
Frequency with which daily newspaper read: taz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q118
Party political bias, taz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>No answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q119 m1 – m3
Party political bias, taz - Political party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q117=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent reads taz at least one day a week or answered Q117 with "don't know")
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q120
Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 1st local newspaper (2 newspapers mentioned)

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q101G=01 AND Q101H=01)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads a local or regional newspaper occasionally or regularly (Q101G=01 AND Q101H=01))

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the first local or regional newspaper you just mentioned?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q121
Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 1st local newspaper

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT ((Q101G=01) AND (Q101H=02,98,99))
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads local or regional newspaper occasionally or regularly ((Q101G=01) AND (Q101H=02, 98,99)))

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the local or regional newspaper you just mentioned?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
When you think in particular about the reports in this newspaper on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**Q123 m1 – m3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party political bias, 1st local newspaper - Political party</th>
<th>Pre-election pre222</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q122=01

(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that local or regional newspaper shows party political bias (Q122=01))

Which parties were they more favourable to?

(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(168) DVU  
(250) WASG  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei  
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
(147) DIE FRAUEN  
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
(180) FW Freie Waehler  
(215) Piratenpartei  
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)  
(801) Other party, namely  
(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer
Q124 Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 2nd local newspaper

**Pre-election pre223**

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.
FILTER: => /Q130 if NOT Q101H=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads local or regional newspaper occasionally or regularly (Q101H=01))

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the second local or regional newspaper you just mentioned?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q125 Party political bias, 2nd local newspaper

**Pre-election pre224**

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT (Q124=01-07,98)
(Only ask the question if the respondent reads local or regional newspaper at least one day a week or answered Q124 with "don’t know")

When you think in particular about the reports in the local or regional newspaper on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q126m1 – m3 Party political bias, 2nd local newspaper - Political party

**Pre-election pre225**

INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT Q125=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that local or regional newspaper shows party political bias (Q125=01))

Which parties were they more favourable to?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Wähler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Südschleswigscher Wählerverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q130</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Online newspaper</th>
<th>Pre-election pre217</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /Q127 if NOT Q101J=01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads online newspaper occasionally or regularly (Q101J=01))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the online newspaper you just mentioned?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q131</th>
<th>Party political bias, online newspaper</th>
<th>Pre-election pre218</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT (Q130=01-07,98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent reads online newspaper at least one day a week or answered Q130 with “don’t know”)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When you think in particular about the reports in this online newspaper on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others? Which parties were they more favourable to?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
**Q132m1 – m3**

Party political bias, online newspaper - Political party

**Pre-election pre219**

INT.: Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.

FILTER: :=/+1 if NOT Q131=01

(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that online newspaper shows party political bias (Q131=01))

Which parties were they more favourable to?

1. CDU/CSU
2. CDU
3. CSU
4. SPD
5. FDP
6. Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
7. Die Linke
8. NPD
9. Republikaner
10. DVU
11. WASG
12. Tierschutzpartei
13. Die Grauen – Generationspartei
14. FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
15. DIE FRAUEN
16. OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
17. FW Freie Waehler
18. Piratenpartei
19. SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

20. Other party, namely

21. Don’t know
22. No answer
23. Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

---

**Q127**

Frequency with which daily newspaper read: other national newspaper

**Pre-election pre214**

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.

FILTER: :=/Q133 if NOT Q101I=01

(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that she/he reads other national newspaper occasionally or regularly (Q101I=01))

On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the other newspaper you just mentioned?

0. Not at all
1. 1 day
2. 2 days
3. 3 days
4. 4 days
5. 5 days
6. 6 days
7. 7 days

8. Don’t know
9. No answer
10. Not applicable
Q128
Party political bias, other national newspaper

**INT.:** One response only.
**FILTER:** => +1 if NOT (Q127=01-07,98)
(Only ask the question if the respondent reads other national newspaper at least one day a week or answered Q127 with "don't know")

When you think in particular about the reports in the other national newspaper on the political parties and the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q129m1 – m3
Party political bias, other national newspaper - Political party

**INT.:** Multiple response. Maximum 3 parties. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
**FILTER:** => +1 if NOT Q128=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent believes that other national newspaper shows party political bias (Q128=01))

Which parties were they more favourable to?

1. CDU/CSU
2. CDU
3. CSU
4. SPD
5. FDP
6. Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
7. Die Linke
8. NPD
9. Republikaner
10. DVU
11. WASG
12. Tierschutzpartei
13. Die Grauen – Generationspartei
14. FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
15. DIE FRAUEN
16. OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
17. FW Freie Waehler
18. Piratenpartei
19. SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
20. Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).

Q133
Internet use, political information

**INT.:** DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign freely.

On how many days in the previous week did you use the internet to inform yourself about political parties and the Federal Election?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q134</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of use of the Internet, election campaign</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-election pre074</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT (Q133=01-07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Only ask the question if the respondent used the Internet to find out about political parties and the federal election on at least one day during the previous week.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did you also visit websites of the political parties and candidates?

(1) Yes, I did visit them
(2) No, I did not visit them
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q135A m1 – m7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of the Internet - Political parties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-election pre183</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Multiple response. Display list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q134=01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited the websites of political parties and candidates)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which parties did the websites belong to?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)
(801) Other party, namely
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable
German Longitudinal Election Study: Pre-election Cross Section

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q135Bm1 – m13**
Use of the Internet - Political parties – other parties

INT.: Multiple response. DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q135A=801
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited the websites of other political parties and candidates (Q135A=801))

Which other parties did the websites belong to?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q136A**
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: CDU/CSU

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=01)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the CDU/CSU or their candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the CDU/CSU candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q136B**
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: CDU

INT.: One response in each case.
=> /+1 if NOT (Q135A=02)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the CDU or its candidates)
Did you also visit websites of the CDU candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q136C  
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: CSU  
Pre-election pre193

INT.: One response in each case.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135A=03)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the CSU or its candidates)  

Did you also visit websites of the CSU candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q136D  
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: SPD  
Pre-election pre193

INT.: One response in each case.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135A=04)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the SPD or its candidates)  

Did you also visit websites of the SPD candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q136E  
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: FDP  
Pre-election pre193

INT.: One response in each case.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135A=05)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the FDP or its candidates)  

Did you also visit websites of the FDP candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q136F  
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
Pre-election pre193

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => +/1 if NOT (Q135A=06)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q136G
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: Die Linke

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => +/1 if NOT (Q135A=07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the Die Linke or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the Die Linke candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q136H
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: NPD

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => +/1 if NOT (Q135B=206)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the NPD or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the NPD candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q136I
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: Republikaner

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => +/1 if NOT (Q135B=225)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the Republikaner or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the Republikaner candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q136J
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: DVU

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: \( \Rightarrow /+1 \) if NOT \((Q135B=168)\)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the DVU or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the DVU candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

\textbf{Q136K} Pre-election pre193
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: WASG

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: \( \Rightarrow /+1 \) if NOT \((Q135B=250)\)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the WASG or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the WASG candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

\textbf{Q136L} Pre-election pre193
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: Tierschutzpartei

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: \( \Rightarrow /+1 \) if NOT \((Q135B=237)\)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the Tierschutzpartei or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the Tierschutzpartei candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

\textbf{Q136M} Pre-election pre193
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: Die Grauen-Generationspartei

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: \( \Rightarrow /+1 \) if NOT \((Q135B=149)\)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the Die Grauen-Generationspartei or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the Die Grauen-Generationspartei candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

\textbf{Q136N} Pre-election pre193
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=171)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the FAMILIE or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands) candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q136O
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: DIE FRAUEN

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=147)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the DIE FRAUEN or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the DIE FRAUEN candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q136P
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=209)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the OEDP or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the OEDP (Oekologische-Demokratische Partei) candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

Q136Q
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: FW (Freie Waehler)

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=180)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the FW or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the FW (Freie Waehler) candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer
Q136R
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: Piratenpartei

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=215)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the Piratenpartei or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the Piratenpartei candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q136S
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=234)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of the SSW or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband) candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q136T
Use of the Internet, constituency candidates: andere Partei

INT.: One response in each case.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q135B=801)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has visited websites of another party or its candidates)

Did you also visit websites of the <Q135B:O> (other party) candidate for the local constituency?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q137
Use of the Internet, news

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q133=01-07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent used the Internet to find out about political parties and the federal election on at least one day during the previous week)

And did you use news websites, e.g. of newspapers, magazines, or sites from TV channels?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q138**
Use of the Internet, information offerings

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT (Q133=01-07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent used the Internet to find out about political parties and the federal election on at least one day during the previous week)

And what about special information offerings, such as the "Wahl-o-mat" or "Kandidatenwatch": Did you use any of them during this election campaign?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q139A**
Party identification

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Now, let's look at the political parties. In Germany, many people lean toward a particular party for a long time, although occasionally, they vote for another party. How about you, do you lean toward a particular political party? If yes, which party is that?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party, namely
(808) No party

(998) Don't know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at [www.gesis.org/gles](http://www.gesis.org/gles).
**Q139A**

**Party identification – other party**

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign. One response only.

FILTER: =/+1 if NOT Q139A=801

(Only ask the question if the respondent Q139A=801 (other party))

Which other party?

1. CDU/CSU
2. CDU
3. CSU
4. SPD
5. FDP
6. Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
7. Die Linke
8. NPD
9. Republikaner
10. DVU
11. WASG
12. Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)

(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suuedschleswigscher Waehlverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q140**

**Strength of party identification**

INT.: Display list. One response only.

FILTER: =/+6 if Q139A>B01 OR Q139B>B01

(Only ask the question if the respondent leans toward a particular party)

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this party: very strongly, fairly strongly, moderately, fairly weakly or very weakly?

1. Very strongly
2. Fairly strongly
3. Moderately
4. Fairly weakly
5. Very weakly

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Q141**

**Persistence party identification**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
And for how long have you thought of yourself as being close to this particular political party?

(1) As long as I can remember
(2) For many years
(3) A few years
(4) A few months
(5) A few weeks

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

### Q142A
Pre-election pre192

Form of party identification 1

INT.: Display list. One response only.

As part of our study we would like to find out what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party or identify more closely with one party rather than with other parties. Using this scale from -2 to +2 would you please tell me how accurately the following views reflect yours? This party is very important to me. What happens to this party is not a matter of indifference to me.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

### Q142B
Pre-election pre192

Form of party identification 2

INT.: Display list. One response only.

As part of our study we would like to find out what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party or identify more closely with one party rather than with other parties. Using this scale from -2 to +2 would you please tell me how accurately the following views reflect yours? The party itself does not mean that much to me, but it does have better politicians at the moment.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

### Q142C
Pre-election pre192

Form of party identification 3

INT.: Display list. One response only.

As part of our study we would like to find out what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party or identify more closely with one party rather than with other parties. Using this scale from -2 to +2 would you please tell me how accurately the following views reflect yours? I don't think of myself as being close to this party, but I have often voted for them in the past.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
As part of our study we would like to find out what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party or identify more closely with one party rather than with other parties. Using this scale from -2 to +2 would you please tell me how accurately the following views reflect yours? The party itself does not mean that much to me, but it has better policies at the moment.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

INT.: Display list. One response only.

 Quite generally speaking, on balance, would you say that most people can't be trusted or that most people can be trusted? Please use the scale from 1 to 11 to indicate your view. 1 means that most people can't be trusted and 11 means that most people can be trusted. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

(1) Most people can’t be trusted
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) Most people can be trusted

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you. I see myself as someone who is reserved.
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

Q144B
Personality battery: easily trust other people and see the good in others

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you. I see myself as someone who is generally trusting.

(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

Q144C
Personality battery: thoroughly

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you. I see myself as someone who does a thorough job.

(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

Q144D
Personality battery: active imagination

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you. I see myself as someone who has an active imagination.

(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

Q144E
Personality battery: nervous and uneasy

INT.: Display list. One response only.
Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you. I see myself as someone who gets nervous easily.

(1) Strongly disagree  
(2) Disagree  
(3) Neither agree nor disagree  
(4) Agree  
(5) Strongly agree  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q144F</td>
<td>Personality battery: make up my own mind about everything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q144G</td>
<td>Personality battery: don’t find it satisfying to think deeply about things for hours on end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q144H</td>
<td>Personality battery: make important decisions quickly and confidently</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you. I see myself as someone who doesn’t find it satisfying to think deeply about things for hours on end.

(1) Strongly disagree  
(2) Disagree  
(3) Neither agree nor disagree  
(4) Agree  
(5) Strongly agree  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you. I see myself as someone who usually makes important decisions quickly and confidently.

(1) Strongly disagree  
(2) Disagree  
(3) Neither agree nor disagree  
(4) Agree  
(5) Strongly agree  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer
Q145A
Recall European elections

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Now, let’s look at the State Elections and the European Elections. How about the European Elections on June 7th, 2009? Which party did you vote for, or did you not vote, or were you ineligible to vote?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party
(995) Did not vote
(996) Was not eligible to vote

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q145B
Recall European elections: other party

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT Q145A=801
(Only ask question if the respondent (Q145=801) (other party)).

Which other party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party
(995) Did not vote
(996) Was not eligible to vote

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

WDATA:

Dates of the last state elections:

- 26th March 2006
- 28th September 2008
- 17th September 2006
- 19th September 2004
- 13rd May 2007
- 24th February 2008
- 18th January 2009
- 17th September 2006
- 27th January 2008
- 22nd May 2005
- 26th March 2006
- 5th September 2004
- 30th August 2009
- 22nd February 2005
- 13rd June 2004
- 30th August 2009

Q146A
Recall last elections to the Landtag (state election)

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only. Except Saarland: We are talking about the second vote.

In the last State Election here in <state according to place of interview>, which party did you vote for, or did you not vote, or were you ineligible to vote?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
Q146B
Recall last elections to the Landtag (state election): other Party

Pre-election pre007

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT Q146A=40
((Only ask question if the respondent (Q146A=40) (other party)).

Which other party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party
(995) Did not vote
(996) Was not eligible to vote

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q147A
Recall last elections to the Landtag

Pre-election pre232

INT.: List displayed. One response only
FILTER: => +2 if NOT (DAT>JUL(2009,08,30) AND (LAND=10,14,16))
(Only ask the question after 30 August 2009 in Saarland, Saxony and Thuringia)

In the State Election in 2004 here in <state according to place of interview>, which party did you vote for, or did you not vote, or were you ineligible to vote?
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party
(995) Did not vote
(996) Was not eligible to vote

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q147B**
Recall last elections to the Landtag: other party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-election</th>
<th>pre232</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT Q147A=801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Only ask question if the respondent (Q147A=801) (other party)).</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which other party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei

(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party
(995) Did not vote
(996) Was not eligible to vote
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

WDAT:
Date of state election 2009
FILTER: => * if IF((LAND=10,14,16),01,IF((LAND=01,12),02))
30.08.2009 01
27.09.2009 02

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q148A</th>
<th>Voting intentions, elections to the Landtag</th>
<th>Pre-election pre233</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.:</td>
<td>List displayed. One response only. The question is about the second vote.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER:</td>
<td>=&gt;/+2 if NOT ((DAT&lt;JUL(2009,08,30)) AND (LAND=10,14,16)) OR (DAT&lt;JUL(2009,09,27)) AND (LAND=01,12))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Only ask the question in the following federal states: Saarland, Saxony and Thuringia before 30 August 2009 and in Schleswig-Holstein and Brandenburg before 27 September 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which party will you vote for in the next State Election here in &lt;state according to place of interview&gt;, or will you not vote, or are you ineligible to vote?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) CDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) FDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Die Linke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(206) NPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(225) Republikaner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(168) DVU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(250) WASG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(237) Tierschutzpartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(147) DIE FRAUEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(180) FW Freie Waehler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(215) Piratenpartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(801) Other party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(995) Will not vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(996) Not eligible to vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(998) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(999) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1000) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q148B</th>
<th>Voting intentions, elections to the Landtag: other party</th>
<th>Pre-election pre233</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.:</td>
<td>One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER:</td>
<td>=&gt;/+1 if NOT Q148A=801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Only ask question if the respondent (Q148A=801) (other party)).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which other party?
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party, namely
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

**Q149**

**Discussions about politics**

INT.: One response only.

Now we would like to know more about who you have recently talked about political parties and the Federal Election with. On how many days during the last week did you talk with other persons, such as members of your family, friends or work colleagues, about political parties and the Federal Election?

(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Q150**

**First discussion partner: relationship**

INT.: List displayed. One response only.

FILTER: => /Q160A if NOT (Q149=01-07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent talked with someone else about political parties and the federal election on at least one day during the previous week)

If you now think of the person you talked most about political parties or the Federal Election with, what is your relationship to this person?

(1) Spouse/Partner
(2) Child
(3) Parent
(4) Other relative
(5) Friend
(6) Work colleague/Fellow student
(7) Neighbour
(8) Fellow member of a club or association
(9) Other person

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q151</th>
<th>First discussion partner: Knowledge of politics</th>
<th>Pre-election pre078</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How much do you think this person knows about politics? A great deal, a fair amount, not so much, or nothing at all?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) A great deal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) A fair amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Not so much</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Nothing at all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q152</th>
<th>First discussion partner: Differences of opinion</th>
<th>Pre-election pre079</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When you talk with this person about political questions, how often would you say you are of different opinion: often, sometimes, rarely or never?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Sometimes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Rarely</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Never</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(100) Not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q153</th>
<th>First discussion partner: Voting decision</th>
<th>Pre-election pre080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the Federal Election on September 27th, or do you think she/he will not go vote at all?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) CDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) FDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Die Linke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(206) NPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(225) Republikaner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(168) DVU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(250) WASG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(237) Tierschutzpartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Wähler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Südostdeutscher Wählerverband)

(801) Other party, namely
(995) Will not vote

(991) Has not decided yet
(996) Is not eligible to vote

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q154
Other discussion partners

INT.: One response only. If only "yes", ask whether only one or several people: Was that one person or several?
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (Q149=01-07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent talked with someone else about political parties and the
election on at least one day during the previous week)

Is there another person or are there several other persons you have talked about political parties and
the Federal Election with in the last week?

(1) Yes, one person
(2) Yes, several people
(3) No, no-one

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q155
Second discussion partner: Relationship (A)

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q154=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent has discussed political parties and the federal election with
other people (Q154=01)

What is your relationship to this person?

(1) Spouse/Partner
(2) Child
(3) Parent
(4) Other relative
(5) Friend
(6) Work colleague/Fellow student
(7) Neighbour
(8) Fellow member of a club or association
(9) Other person

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
Q156
Second discussion partner: Relationship (B)

INT.: List displayed. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q154=02
(Only ask the question if the respondent has discussed political parties and the federal election with
more than one other person (Q154=02))

If you now think of the other person you talked most about political parties and the Federal Election
with, what is your relationship to this person?

(1) Spouse/Partner
(2) Child
(3) Parent
(4) Other relative
(5) Friend
(6) Work colleague/Fellow student
(7) Neighbour
(8) Fellow member of a club or association
(9) Other person
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q157
Second discussion partner: Knowledge of politics

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q154=01,02
(Only ask the question if the respondent has discussed political parties and the federal election with
another person (Q54=01,02))

How much do you think this person knows about politics? A great deal, a fair amount, not so much, or
nothing at all?

(1) A great deal
(2) A fair amount
(3) Not so much
(4) Nothing at all
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q158
Second discussion partner: Differences of opinion

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q154=01,02
(Only ask the question if the respondent has discussed political parties and the federal election with
at least one other person (Q154=01,02))

When you talk with this person about political questions, how often would you say you are of different
opinion: often, sometimes, rarely or never?

(1) Often
(2) Sometimes
(3) Rarely
(4) Never
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Q159  Second discussion partner: Voting decision  Pre-election pre086

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign!
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT Q154=01,02
(Only ask the question if the respondent has discussed political parties and the federal election with at least one other person (Q154=01,02))

Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the Federal Election on September 27th, or do you think she/he will not go vote at all?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(250) WASG
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(149) Die Grauen – Generationspartei
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(147) DIE FRAUEN
(209) OEDP (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(180) FW Freie Waehler
(215) Piratenpartei
(234) SSW (Suedschleswigscher Waehlerverband)

(801) Other party, namely

(995) Will not vote
(991) Has not decided yet
(996) Is not eligible to vote

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q160A  Party identification, mother  Pre-election pre125

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.
INT.: In case of multiple responses: What do you think? Which of these political parties did she think of herself as close to strongest or longest?

Now when you think of your mother, does she or did she think of herself as close to any political party? If yes, which party is or was that?

(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke

(801) Other party
(808) No party
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q160B Party identification, mother – other party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Do not read out. One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT Q160A=801
(Only ask question if the respondent (Q160A=801)(other party)).

Which other party?

(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke
(206) NPD
(225) Republikaner
(168) DVU
(229) SED (Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands)
(304) LDPD (Liberal-Demokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(305) DBD (Demokratische Bauernpartei Deutschland)
(197) KPD (Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands)
(256) Zentrum (Deutsche Zentrumspartei)
(306) DNVP (Deutschnationalen Volkspartei)
(207) NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei)

(801) Other party, namely:

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q161A Party identification, father</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election pre124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list and leave displayed. One response only.
INT.: In case of multiple responses: What do you think? Which of these political parties did he think of himself as close to strongest or longest?

And what about your father? Does he or did he think of himself as close to any political party? If yes, which party is or was that?

(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
(7) Die Linke

(801) Other party
(808) No party

(997) Other
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.
Q161B  
Party identification, father - other party  

INT.: Do not read out. One response only.  
FILTER: => / +1 if NOT Q161A=801  
(Only ask question if the respondent (Q161A=801)(other party)).

Which other party?

(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  
(7) Die Linke  
(206) NPD  
(225) Republikaner  
(168) DVU  
(229) SED (Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands)  
(304) LDPD (Liberal-Demokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
(305) DBD (Demokratische Bauernpartei Deutschland)  
(197) KPD (Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands)  
(256) Zentrum (Deutsche Zentrumspartei)  
(306) DNVP (Deutschnationale Volkspartei)  
(207) NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei)  

(801) Other party, namely:

(998) Don't know  
(999) No answer  
(1000) Not applicable  

Note: You can find the complete “Codierschema Parteienliste” at www.gesis.org/gles.

Q162A  
Justice/Egalitarianism 1  

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And now, some statements about what might be considered fair in Germany. Please tell me, using this scale from -2 to +2, whether you agree or disagree with each statement. -2 means that you strongly disagree and +2 means that you strongly agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely. People are only willing to take on additional responsibility at work if they are paid more.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree  
(2) -1 Disagree  
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree  
(4) +1 Agree  
(5) +2 Strongly agree  

(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  

Q162B  
Justice/Egalitarianism 2  

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And now, some statements about what might be considered fair in Germany. Please tell me, using this scale from -2 to +2, whether you agree or disagree with each statement. -2 means that you strongly disagree and +2 means that you strongly agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.
opinion more precisely. The state should guarantee a minimum standard of living for everybody.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q162C</th>
<th>Pre-election pre128</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justice/Egalitarianism 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And now, some statements about what might be considered fair in Germany. Please tell me, using this scale from -2 to +2, whether you agree or disagree with each statement. -2 means that you strongly disagree and +2 means that you strongly agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely. It is fair that some people can afford to pay for a better education for their children.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q162D</th>
<th>Pre-election pre128</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justice/Egalitarianism 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And now, some statements about what might be considered fair in Germany. Please tell me, using this scale from -2 to +2, whether you agree or disagree with each statement. -2 means that you strongly disagree and +2 means that you strongly agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely. The state should determine an upper income limit.

Strongly disagree
(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q162E</th>
<th>Pre-election pre128</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justice/Egalitarianism 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And now, some statements about what might be considered fair in Germany. Please tell me, using this scale from -2 to +2, whether you agree or disagree with each statement. -2 means that you strongly disagree and +2 means that you strongly agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely. It is fair that some jobs are more prestigious than others.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree
(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q162F**

*Justice/Egalitarianism 6*

Pre-election pre128

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And now, some statements about what might be considered fair in Germany. Please tell me, using this scale from -2 to +2, whether you agree or disagree with each statement. -2 means that you strongly disagree and +2 means that you strongly agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely. It is fair enough that entrepreneurs make large profits because everybody benefits from them in the long run.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q163A**

*Fairness of the distribution system 1*

Pre-election pre159

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Here are three more statements we would like to know whether you agree with or not. Please use the last scale again.

Everybody has the same opportunities for getting ahead in Germany today.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer

**Q163B**

*Fairness of the distribution system 2*

Pre-election pre159

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Here are three more statements we would like to know whether you agree with or not. Please use the last scale again.

In Germany today, everyone is paid for the contribution he/she makes.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
**Q163C**
Fairness of the distribution system 3

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Here are three more statements we would like to know whether you agree with or not. Please use the last scale again.

In Germany today, everybody gets what he/she need to live on.

(1) -2 Strongly disagree
(2) -1 Disagree
(3) 0 Neither agree nor disagree
(4) +1 Agree
(5) +2 Strongly agree

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

---

**D1:**
Start of demography.

Finally some questions to your person.

**D201A**
Month of birth, respondent

INT.: One response only.

Month
- January 01
- February 02
- March 03
- April 04
- May 05
- June 06
- July 07
- August 08
- September 09
- October 10
- November 11
- December 12

No answer 99

**Q201B**
Year of birth, respondent

Year

No answer 9999

**D202**
Household size

INT.: One response only.

How many persons live in your household permanently, including yourself and your children?

(1) 1 person
(2) 2 people
(3) 3 people
(4) 4 people
(5) 5 people
(6) 6 people
(7) 7 people
(8) 8 people or more

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**D203A**
**Age of household members: 2nd person**

**Pre-election D01.3**

FILTER: => /D204 if D202<2
(Only ask the question if at least two people live in the respondent's household)

Insert age

(1000) Not applicable

**D203B**
**Age of household members: 3rd person**

**Pre-election D01.3**

FILTER: => /D204 if D202<3
(Only ask the question if at least three people live in the respondent's household)

Insert age

(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

**D203C**
**Age of household members: 4th person**

**Pre-election D01.3**

FILTER: => /D204 if D202<4
(Only ask the question if at least four people live in the respondent's household)

Insert age

(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

**D203D**
**Age of household members: 5th person**

**Pre-election D01.3**

FILTER: => /D204 if D202<5
(Only ask the question if at least five people live in the respondent's household)

Insert age

(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

**D203E**
**Age of household members: 6th person**

**Pre-election D01.3**

FILTER: => /D204 if D202<6
(Only ask the question if at least six people live in the respondent's household)

Insert age

(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

**D203F**
**Age of household members: 7th person**

**Pre-election D01.3**

FILTER: => /D204 if D202<7
(Only ask the question if at least seven people live in the respondent's household)

Insert age

(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D203G</th>
<th>Pre-election D01.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of household members: 8th person</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FILTER: => /D204 if D202<8
(Only ask the question if at least eight people live in the respondent's household)

Insert age

(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D204</th>
<th>Pre-election D04.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

What is your marital status?

(1) Married and living in the same household as husband or wife
(2) Same-sex union together in the same household
(3) Have a husband or wife but don’t live in the same household
(4) Never married
(5) Divorced
(6) Widowed

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

BER1:
⇒ Ask again if implausible response given.

FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D202=01 AND (D204=01,02))
(1) Correction => D204

If you live in a 1-person household you cannot be living with a partner. Please correct your answer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D205</th>
<th>Pre-election D04.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respondent has a partner</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: One response only.

FILTER: => /+1 if (D204=01,02) OR D202=01
(Only as the question if the respondent stated D204=03, 04, 05, 06, 98, 99 or D202>01)

Are you living with a partner?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
### D206
**School leaving certificate**

**Pre-election D05.1**

**INT.:** Display list. One response only.

What's your highest level of general education?

1. Finished school without school leaving certificate
2. Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
4. Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
5. Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur bzw. Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
6. Other school leaving certificate, please enter
7. Still at school
8. Don’t know
9. No answer

### D207
**Envisaged school leaving certificate**

**Pre-election D05.3**

**INT.:** Display list. One response only.

**FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D206=09**

*(Only ask the question if the respondent is still a school student (D206=09))*

And which form of general graduation or certificate are you aiming at?

1. Lowest formal qualification in Germany’s tripartite school system ("Hauptschulabschluss")
2. Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Realschulabschluss, Mittlere Reife, Fachschulreife")
3. Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.")
4. Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur bzw. erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
5. Other school leaving certificate, please enter
6. Don’t know
7. No answer
8. Not applicable

### D208
**Vocational and professional training**

**Pre-election D05.4**

**INT.:** Display list. Multiple response.

**FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D206=01-06,98,99)**

*(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D206 with values between 01-06, 98 or 99)*

And what kind of vocational training did you complete? Please name the appropriate number which corresponds with your vocational training.

1. On-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
2. Compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss", East Germany)
3. Completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
4. Completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmaennische Lehre")
(5) Work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
(6) Specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
(7) Technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
(8) Master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
(9) Polytechnic degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
(10) University degree ("Hochschulabschluss")
(11) Other vocational training certificate, please enter
(12) Still training/studying
(13) No completed vocational training

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D209**  
**Gainful employment**  
*Pre-election D06.6*

INT.: Display list. One response only.

Do you currently work in a full-time or part-time job? Which of the descriptions in this list describes your status?

(1) In full-time employment
(2) In part-time employment
(3) In a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme
(4) Midi job (401 to 800 euros)
(5) Mini job (up to 400 euros)
(6) Currently unemployed
(7) Currently on short-time working, zero work
(8) Currently on a retraining course, i.e. a course financed by the employment office
(9) On maternity leave, parental leave
(10) Housewife/Househusband (not in full or part-time employment)
(11) In early retirement, retirement, on a pension
(12) Doing military/alternative community service
(13) School student
(14) Studying at a polytechnic or university
(15) Incapacitated for work

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D210**  
**Previous gainful employment**  
*Pre-election D06.7*

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT (D209=05,06,08-15,98,99)
(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D209 with values 05, 06, 08-15, 98 or 99)

Have you worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D211**  
**Length of time unemployed**  
*Pre-election D06.9*

INT.: Please state in months.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT ((D209=01-04,07) OR (D209=11 AND D210=01))
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated the following)
If you have been unemployed at any time in the last 10 years, how long were you unemployed in months?

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

**D212 Unemployment over the last 10 years**

INT.: Please state in months.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D209=06
(Only ask the question if the respondent is currently unemployed (D209=06))

How long have you been unemployed altogether over the last 10 years?

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

**D213 Profession**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D209=01-04,07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D209 with 01-04 or 07)

Next we come to the work you do. Which of the descriptions in this list describes your status?

(1) Independent farmer
(2) Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
(5) Employee
(6) Worker
(7) In vocational training
(8) Family member assisting in family business

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D214 Profession, follow-up question, farmer**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D213=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent is an independent farmer (D213=01)

And how large is your farmland or are you a collective farmer?

(1) Less than 10 hectares
(2) 10 ha - less than 20 ha
(3) 20 ha - less than 50 ha
(4) 50 ha and over
(5) Farmer on a collective farm

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
### D215
**Profession, follow-up question, independent professional**
*Pre-election D07.12*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
**FILTER:** => /+1 if NOT D213=02
*(Only ask the question, if the respondent is an independent professional (D213=02))*

And do you work alone or how many employees do you have?

1. I work alone
2. I have one employee
3. I have 2 to 9 employees
4. I have 10 employees or more

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

### D216
**Profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.**
*Pre-election D07.13*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
**FILTER:** => /+1 if NOT D213=03
*(Only ask the question, if the respondent is an independent professional (D213=03))*

And do you work alone or how many employees do you have?

1. I work alone
2. I have one employee
3. I have 2 to 9 employees
4. I have 10 to 49 employees
5. I have 50 employees or more

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

### D217
**Profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier**
*Pre-election D07.14*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
**FILTER:** => /+1 if NOT D213=04
*(Only ask the question, if the respondent is an independent professional (D213=04))*

Are you a low, medium, high or highest level civil servant?

1. A low level civil servant
2. A medium level civil servant
3. A high level civil servant
4. A highest level civil servant

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

### D218
**Profession, follow-up question, employee**
*Pre-election D07.15*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
**FILTER:** => /+1 if NOT D213=05
*(Only ask the question, if the respondent is an independent professional (D213=05))*

Which group of employees do you belong to?
(1) Plant foreman with employee status
(2) Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
(3) Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently
   (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
(4) Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others
   (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
(5) Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers
   (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D219</th>
<th>Profession, follow-up question, manual worker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT D213=06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent is a worker (D213=06))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you belong to the occupational group of…

(1) Unskilled worker
(2) Semi-skilled worker
(3) Skilled worker
(4) Head-workman
(5) Master(craftsman/tradesman), site foreman

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D220</th>
<th>Profession, follow-up question, in vocational training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT (D213=07 OR D208=12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent is still in vocational training (D213=07 or D208=12))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which kind of vocational training is that?

(1) Commercial or administrative traineeship
(2) Industrial training
(3) Home economics/agricultural training
(4) Civil service training
(5) Work placement/internship

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D221</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Make a note of response.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt; /+1 if NOT (D209=01-04,07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent D209=01-04, 07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which professional activity do you practise in your main job? Does this activity have a special name? [Please specify the precise name of your professional activity, in other words do not name "commercial employee" but "forwarding merchant", not "manual worker" but "machinist". If you are a public employee, please specify your official title, e.g., "police officer", or "senior teacher". If you are an apprentice/trainee, please specify the occupation you are training for.]

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Pre-election D07.4 Supervisory function

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT (D213=04-06)

Do your professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they have to do?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Pre-election D07.6 Employment sector

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT (D213=05-07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D213 with 05-07)

Which type of company do you work for?

(1) In the public sector
(2) In a federally or state-owned undertaking
(3) In a charitable company
(4) In a private sector company

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Pre-election D07.7 Sector of the economy

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => +1 if NOT (D209=01-05,07)
(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D209 with 01-05, 07)

What kind of business/industry are you working in? Please name the appropriate number from this list.

(1) Agriculture, forestry, fishery
(2) Energy industry, water sector, mining
(3) Manufacturing
(4) Construction and real estate
(5) Trade
(6) Hotel and catering
(7) Transport
(8) Banking, insurance
(9) Information technology, data processing
(10) Other commercial services (e.g. legal or business consulting, legal services)
(11) Administration  
(12) Education, research and development  
(13) Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)  
(14) Other services  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable  

---  

**D225**  
**Temporary/Agency work**  

*INT.: Display list. One response only.*  
*FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D213=04-06)*  
*(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D213 with 04-06)*  

What kind of employment contract is this?  

(1) Normal, fixed term  
(2) Normal, permanent  
(3) Temporary/Agency, fixed term  
(4) Temporary/Agency, permanent  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable  

---  

**D226**  
**Fear of redundancy**  

*INT.: One response only.*  
*FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D213=04-07)*  
*(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D213 with 04-07)*  

Are you worried that you might lose your job or have to change your job in the next two years?  

(1) No  
(2) Yes, I am worried about becoming unemployed  
(3) Yes, I am worried about having to change my job  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable  

---  

**D227**  
**Fear of loss of professional career**  

*INT.: One response only.*  
*FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D213=01-03)*  
*(Only ask the question if the respondent answered question D213 with 01-03)*  

Are you worried that you might lose your present professional career in the next two years or have to change your profession?  

(1) No  
(2) Yes, I am worried that I might lose my present professional career  
(3) Yes, I am worried that I have to change my profession  

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable  

---  

**D228**  
**Former profession**  

*Pre-election D08.1*
INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D210=01)
(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be in full or part-time employment (D210=01))

From this list please tell me what you did in your last job.

(1) Independent farmer
(2) Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) Self-employed in trade, craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
(5) Employee
(6) Worker
(7) In vocational training
(8) Family member assisting in family business

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D229**
Former profession, follow-up question, farmer

**Pre-election D08.11**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D228=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be an independent farmer (D228=01))

And how large was your farmland or were you a collective farmer?

(1) Less than 10 hectares
(2) 10 ha - less than 20 ha
(3) 20 ha - less than 50 ha
(4) 50 ha and over
(5) Farmer on a collective farm

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D230**
Former profession, follow-up question, independent professional

**Pre-election D08.12**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D228=02
(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be an independent professional (D228=02))

And did you work alone or how many employees did you have?

(1) I worked alone
(2) I had one employee
(3) I had 2 to 9 employees
(4) I had 10 employees or more

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D231**
Former profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.

**Pre-election D08.13**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D228=03
(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector etc. (D228=03)).
And did you work alone or how many employees did you have?

(1) I worked alone
(2) I had one employee
(3) I had 2 to 9 employees
(4) I had 10 to 49 employees
(5) I had 50 employees or more

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

_D232_  
**Pre-election D08.14**

*Former profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier*

*INT.: Display list. One response only.*

*FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D228=04*  
*(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be a civil servant/judge/professional soldier (D228=04))*

Were you a low, medium, high or highest level civil servant?

(1) A low level civil servant
(2) A medium level civil servant
(3) A high level civil servant
(4) A highest level civil servant

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

_D233_  
**Pre-election D08.15**

*Former profession, follow-up question, employee*

*INT.: Display list. One response only.*

*FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D228=05*  
*(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be an employee (D228=05))*

And which group of employees did you belong to?

(1) Plant foreman with employee status
(2) Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
(3) Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently  
(e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
(4) Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others  
(e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
(5) Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

_D234_  
**Pre-election D08.16**

*Former profession, follow-up question, worker*

*INT.: Display list. One response only.*

*FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D228=06*
Did you belong to the occupational group of...

(1) Unskilled worker
(2) Semi-skilled worker
(3) Skilled worker
(4) Head-workman
(5) Master (craftsman/tradesman), site foreman

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D235**

Former profession, follow-up question, in vocational training

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT D228=07
(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be in vocational training (D228=07))

Which kind of vocational training was that?

(1) Commercial or administrative traineeship
(2) Industrial training
(3) Home economics/agricultural training
(4) Civil service training
(5) Work placement/internship

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D236m1 – m11**

Former occupation

INT.: Make a note of response.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT (D210=01)
(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be in full or part-time employment (D210=01))

Which professional activity did you practise in your main job? Does this activity have a special name?
[Please specify the precise name of your professional activity, in other words do not name "commercial employee" but "forwarding merchant", not "manual worker" but "machinist". If you were a public employee, please specify your official title, e.g. "police officer", or "senior teacher". If you were an apprentice/trainee, please specify the occupation you were training for.]

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D237**

Former supervisory function

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT (D228=04-06)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has specified D228=04-06)

Did your professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they had to do?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D 238**  
**Former employment sector**  
*Pre-election D08.6*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D228=05-07)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has specified D228=05-07)

Which type of company did you work for?

1. In the public sector  
2. In a federally or state-owned undertaking  
3. In a charitable company  
4. In a private sector company

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**D239**  
**Former sector of the economy**  
*Pre-election D08.7*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D210=01)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent used to be in full or part-time employment (D210=01))

What kind of business/industry were you working in?

1. Agriculture, forestry, fishery  
2. Energy industry, water sector, mining  
3. Manufacturing  
4. Construction and real estate  
5. Trade  
6. Hotel and catering  
7. Transport  
8. Banking, insurance  
9. Information technology, data processing  
10. Other commercial services (e.g. legal or business consulting, legal services)  
11. Administration  
12. Education, research and development  
13. Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)  
14. Other services

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**D240**  
**Partner’s school leaving certificate**  
*Pre-election D10.01*

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D204=01-03 OR D205=01)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has specified D204=01-03 or D205=01)

And now, some questions concerning the education and occupation of your spouse/partner, beginning with education. What is the highest level of general education of your spouse/partner?

1. Finished school without school leaving certificate  
2. Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling (“Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss”)  
4. Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college
German Longitudinal Election Study: Pre-election Cross Section

("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur bzw. Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
(5) Other school leaving certificate, please enter
(9) Still at school

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D241** Partner’s employment

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D204=01-03 OR D205=01)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has specified D204=01-03 or D205=01.)

Does your partner currently work in a full-time or part-time job? Which of the descriptions in this list describes his/her status?

(1) In full-time employment
(2) In part-time employment
(3) In a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme
(4) Midi job (401 to 800 euros)
(5) Mini job (up to 400 euros)
(6) Currently unemployed
(7) Currently on short-time working, zero work
(8) Currently on a retraining course, i.e. a course financed by the employment office
(9) On maternity leave, parental leave
(10) Housewife/Househusband (not in full or part-time employment)
(11) In early retirement, retirement, on a pension
(12) Doing military/alternative community service
(13) School student
(14) Studying at a polytechnic or university
(15) Incapacitated for work

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D242** Partner’s former employment

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT ((D241=05,06,08-15) AND D210=02)
(Only ask the question if the respondent stated D241=05, 06, 08-15 and D210=02)

Has your partner worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D243** Length of time of partner’s unemployment

INT.: Please state in months.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT ((D241=01-04,07) AND D210=02))
(Only ask the question if the respondent stated D241=01-04, 07 or D210=02)

If your partner has been unemployed at any time in the last 10 years, how long was she/he
unemployed in months?

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

### D244

**Partner’s profession**  
*Pre-election D11.1*

| INT.: Display list. One response only. |
| FILTER: => /+1 if NOT ((D241=01-04,07) AND D210=02) |
| (Only ask the question if the respondent stated (D241=01-04, 07 and D210=02) |

From this list please tell me what she/he does in her/his job.

(1) Independent farmer  
(2) Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)  
(3) Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.  
(4) Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier  
(5) Employee  
(6) Worker  
(7) In vocational training  
(8) Family member assisting in family business  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

### D245

**Partner’s profession, follow-up question, farmer**  
*Pre-election D11.11*

| INT.: Display list. One response only. |
| FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D244=01 |
| (Only ask the question if the respondent's partner is an independent farmer (D244=01)) |

And how large is her/his farmland or is she/he a collective farmer?

(1) Less than 10 hectares  
(2) 10 ha - less than 20 ha  
(3) 20 ha - less than 50 ha  
(4) 50 ha and over  
(5) Farmer on a collective farm  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

### D246

**Partner’s profession, follow-up question, independent professional**  
*Pre-election D11.12*

| INT.: Display list. One response only. |
| FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D244=02 |
| (Only ask the question if the respondent's partner is an independent professional (D244=02)) |

And does your partner work alone or how many employees does she/he have?

(1) She/he works alone  
(2) She/he has one employee  
(3) She/he has 2 to 9 employees  
(4) She/he has 10 employees or more  
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D247**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Partner's profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: \( \Rightarrow +1 \) if NOT D244=03

(Only ask the question, if the respondent's partner is self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc. (D244=03))

And does your partner work alone or how many employees does she/he have?

1. She/he works alone
2. She/he has one employee
3. She/he has 2 to 9 employees
4. She/he has 10 to 49 employees
5. She/he has 50 employees or more

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D248**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Partner's profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: \( \Rightarrow +1 \) if NOT D244=04

(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner works as a civil servant/judge/professional soldier (D244=04))

Is she/he a low, medium, high or highest level civil servant?

1. A low level civil servant
2. A medium level civil servant
3. A high level civil servant
4. A highest level civil servant

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D249**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Partner's profession, follow-up question, service/white-collar worker</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: \( \Rightarrow +1 \) if NOT D244=05

(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner is a service/white-collar worker (D244=05))

And which group of employees does she/he belong to?

1. Plant foreman with employee status
2. Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
3. Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
4. Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
5. Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
Documentation of Questionnaire

**D250**
Partner’s profession, follow-up question, manual worker  
Pre-election D11.16

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D244=06
(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner is a manual worker (D244=06)

Is she/he employed as...

1. Unskilled worker
2. Semi-skilled worker
3. Skilled worker
4. Head-workman
5. Master(craftsman/tradesman), site foreman

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D251**
Partner’s profession, follow-up question, in vocational training  
Pre-election D11.17

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D244=07
(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner is still in vocational training (D244=07))

Which kind of vocational training is that?

1. Commercial or administrative traineeship
2. Industrial training
3. Home economics/agricultural training
4. Civil service training
5. Work placement/internship

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D252m1 – m11**
Partner’s occupation  
Pre-election D11.2

INT.: Make a note of response.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT ((D241=01,02,03,04,07) AND D210=02)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has specified (D241=01,02,03,04,07 and D210=02)

Which professional activity does your partner practise in her/his main job? Does this activity have a special name? [Please specify the precise name of her/his professional activity, in other words do not name "commercial employee" but "forwarding merchant", not "manual worker" but "machinist". If she/he is a public employee, please specify her/his official title, e.g. "police officer", or "senior teacher". If she/he is an apprentice/trainee, please specify the occupation she/he is training for.]

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D253**
Partner’s supervisory function  
Pre-election D11.4

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D244=04-06)
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated D244=04-06)
Do your partner's professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they have to do?

(1) Yes
(2) No

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D254**  
Partner's employment sector

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D244=05-07)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated D244=05-07)

Which type of company does your partner work for?

(1) In the public sector
(2) In a federally or state-owned undertaking
(3) In a charitable company
(4) In a private sector company

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D255**  
Partner's sector of the economy

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT ((D241=01-05,07) AND D210=02)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent stated D241=01-05, 07 and D210=02)

What kind of business/industry is your partner working in?

(1) Agriculture, forestry, fishery
(2) Energy industry, water sector, mining
(3) Manufacturing
(4) Construction and real estate
(5) Trade
(6) Hotel and catering
(7) Transport
(8) Banking, insurance
(9) Information technology, data processing
(10) Other commercial services (e.g. legal or business consulting, legal services)
(11) Administration
(12) Education, research and development
(13) Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
(14) Other services

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D256**  
Partner, temporary/agency work

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D244=04-06)  
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated D244=04-06)

What kind of employment contract is this?
(1) Normal, fixed term
(2) Normal, permanent
(3) Temporary/Agency, fixed term
(4) Temporary/Agency, permanent

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D257**

**Respondent’s fear of partner’s redundancy**

**Pre-election D13.4**

**INT.**: One response only.

**FILTER**: => /+1 if NOT (D244=04-07)

(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated D244=04-07)

Are you worried that your partner might lose her/his job or have to change her/his job in the next two years?

(1) No
(2) Yes, I am worried that she/he might become unemployed
(3) Yes, I am worried that she/he might have to change her/his job

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D258**

**Partner’s fear of loss of professional career**

**Pre-election D13.7**

**INT.**: One response only.

**FILTER**: => /+1 if NOT (D244=01-03)

(Only ask the question if the respondent has specified D244=01-03)

Are you worried that your partner might lose her/his present professional career in the next couple of months or that she/he might have to change her/his profession?

(1) No
(2) Yes, I am worried that she/he might lose her/his present professional career
(3) Yes, I am worried that she/he has to change her/his profession

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D259**

**Partner’s former profession**

**Pre-election D12.1**

**INT.**: Display list. One response only.

**FILTER**: => /+1 if NOT (D242=01)

(Only ask the question if the respondent’s partner used to be in full or part-time employment (D242=01))

From this list please tell me what she/he did in her/his last job.

(1) Independent farmer
(2) Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
(5) Employee
(6) Worker
(7) In vocational training
(8) Family member assisting in family business
**D260**  
Partner's former profession, follow-up question, farmer

INT.: Display list. One response only.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D259=01  
(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner used to be an independent framer (D259=01))

And how large was her/his farmland or was she/he a collective farmer?

1. Less than 10 hectares  
2. 10 ha - less than 20 ha  
3. 20 ha - less than 50 ha  
4. 50 ha and over  
5. Farmer on a collective farm

(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**D261**  
Partner's former profession, follow-up question, independent professional

INT.: Display list. One response only.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D259=02  
(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner used to be an independent professional (D259=02)).

And did your partner work alone or how many employees did she/he have?

1. She/he worked alone  
2. She/he had one employee  
3. She/he had 2 to 9 employees  
4. She/he had 10 employees or more

(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**D262**  
Partner's former profession, follow-up question, civil servant/judge/professional soldier

INT.: Display list. One response only.  
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D259=04  
(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner used to work as a civil servant/judge/professional soldier (D259=04))

Was she/he a low, medium, high or highest level civil servant?

1. A low level civil servant  
2. A medium level civil servant  
3. A high level civil servant  
4. A highest level civil servant

(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable
**D262A**  
*Partner's former profession, follow-up question, self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.*

INT.: Display list. One response only.  
FILTER: \(+1\) if NOT D259=03  
(Only ask the question, if the respondent’s partner used to self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc. (D259=03))

And did your partner work alone or how many employees did she/he have?

(1) She/he worked alone  
(2) She/he had one employee  
(3) She/he had 2 to 9 employees  
(4) She/he had 10 to 49 employees  
(5) She/he had 50 employees or more

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**D263**  
*Partner's former profession, follow-up question, employee*

INT.: Display list. One response only.  
FILTER: \(+1\) if NOT D259=05  
(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner used to be a service/white-collar employee (D259=05))

And which group of employees did she/he belong to?

(1) Plant foreman with employee status  
(2) Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)  
(3) Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)  
(4) Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)  
(5) Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable

**D264**  
*Partner's former profession, follow-up question, manual worker*

INT.: Display list. One response only.  
FILTER: \(+1\) if NOT D259=06  
(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner used to be a manual worker (D259=06))

Was she/he employed as....

(1) Unskilled worker  
(2) Semi-skilled worker  
(3) Skilled worker  
(4) Head-workman  
(5) Master(craftsman/tradesman), site foreman

(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable
**D265**

**Partner’s former profession, follow-up question, in vocational training**

**Pre-election D12.17**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT D259=07

*(Only ask the question if the respondent’s partner used to be in vocational training (D259=07))*

Which kind of vocational training was that?

1. Commercial or administrative traineeship
2. Industrial training
3. Home economics/agricultural training
4. Civil service training
5. Work placement/internship

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D266m1 – m11**

**Partner’s former occupation**

**Pre-election D12.2**

INT.: Make a note of response.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT (D242=01)

*(Only ask the question if the respondent’s partner used to be in full or part-time employment (D242=01))*

Which professional activity did your partner practise in her/his main job? Does this activity have a special name? [Please specify the precise name of her/his professional activity, in other words do not name “commercial employee” but “forwarding merchant”, not “manual worker” but “machinist”. If she/he was a public employee, please specify her/his official title, e.g. “police officer”, or “senior teacher”. If she/he was an apprentice/trainee, please specify the occupation she/he was training for.]

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D267**

**Partner’s former supervisory function**

**Pre-election D12.4**

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT (D259=04-06)

*(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated D259=04-06)*

Did your partner’s professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they had to do?

1. Yes
2. No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D268**

**Partner’s former employment sector**

**Pre-election D12.6**

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: =>/+1 if NOT (D259=05-07)

*(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated D259=05-07)*

Which type of company did your partner work for?
(1) In the public sector
(2) In a federally or state-owned undertaking
(3) In a charitable company
(4) In a private sector company

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D269**

**Partner's former sector of the economy**  
*Pre-election D12.7*

**INT.:** Display list. One response only.
**FILTER:** => /+1 if NOT (D242=01)  
*(Only ask the question if the respondent's partner used to be in full or part-time employment)*

What kind of business/industry was your partner working in?

(1) Agriculture, forestry, fishery
(2) Energy industry, water sector, mining
(3) Manufacturing
(4) Construction and real estate
(5) Trade
(6) Hotel and catering
(7) Transport
(8) Banking, insurance
(9) Information technology, data processing
(10) Other commercial services (e.g. legal or business consulting, legal services)
(11) Administration
(12) Education, research and development
(13) Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
(14) Other services

(98) Don't know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D270A**

**Net household income**  
*Pre-election D15.1*

**INT.:** Enter income in euros in the box below.

Taken all together, would you please indicate what the monthly net income of your household is? By net income, I mean the amount that you have left after taxes and social security.

(99998) Don't know
(99999) No answer

**D270B**

**Net household income, with categories**  
*Pre-election D15.1*

**INT.:** Display list. One response only. If respondent refuses to answer, point out that all the information given remains anonymous.
**FILTER:** => /+1 if NOT D270A<0  
*(Only ask the question if the respondent has not stated any net income)*

If you don't know the exact amount, you can select YOUR HOUSEHOLD'S TOTAL net monthly income from one of these groups and tell me the group letter?

(1) B Less than 500 euros
(2) T 500 to less than 900 euros
(3) P 900 to less than 1300 euros
(4) F 1300 to less than 1500 euros  
(5) E 1500 to less than 2000 euros  
(6) H 2000 to less than 2600 euros  
(7) L 2600 to less than 3500 euros  
(8) N 3500 to less than r 4500 euros  
(9) R 4500 to less than 6000 euros  
(10) M 6000 to less than 8000 euros  
(11) S 8000 euros or more  

(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  
(100) Not applicable  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D271</th>
<th>Subjective perception of class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-election D16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

There is much talk these days about the various social classes. Which of these classes do you consider yourself a part of? Please tell me the respective identification code from this list.

(1) Lower class  
(2) Working class  
(3) Lower middle class  
(4) Middle class  
(5) Upper middle class  
(6) Upper class  

(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D272</th>
<th>Parents’ class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-election D16.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

And when you compare that to your family home, would you say you belong to a lower class, the same class or a higher class than your parents?

(1) Lower class  
(2) Same class  
(3) Higher class  

(98) Don't know  
(99) No answer  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D273</th>
<th>Religious denomination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-election D17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INT.: Display list. One response only.

What is or was your religious denomination? Please tell me using this list.

(2) 1 The German Protestant Church (excluding free churches)  
(3) 2 A Protestant Free Church  
(1) 3 The Roman Catholic Church  
(7) 4 No longer a member of the German Protestant Church  
(6) 5 No longer a member of the Roman Catholic Church  
(5) 6 Judaism  
(5) 7 Islam  
(5) 8 Other  
(8) 9 Never member of a church / denomination
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

[NOTE: Because of data protection the response options "Jewish", "Muslim" and "other confession" were condensed under "other confession". Other responses were recoded corresponding to the coding scheme, which can be downloaded at www.gesis.org/gles.]

Coding:
(1) The Roman Catholic Church
(2) The German Protestant Church (excluding free churches)
(3) A Protestant Free Church
(5) Other
(6) No longer a member of the Roman Catholic Church
(7) No longer a member of the German Protestant Church
(8) Never member of a church / denomination
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

D274 Pre-election D17.21
Church attendance

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D273=01,02,03)
(Only ask the question if the respondent is a member of a Christian church)

Generally, how frequently do you attend church? Please tell me using this list.

(1) Never
(2) Once a year
(3) Several times a year
(4) Once a month
(5) Two to three times a month
(6) Once a week
(7) More often
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

[NOTE: Because of data protection the variables “Church attendance”, “Synagogue attendance” and “Mosque attendance” were condensed under “Church/Synagogue/Mosque attendance” (dkirchg).]

D275 Pre-election D17.22
Synagogue attendance

INT.: Display list. One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D273=06)
(Only ask the question if the respondent is Jewish)

Generally, how often do you go to the synagogue?

(1) Never
(2) Once a year
(3) Several times a year
(4) Once a month
(5) Two to three times a month
(6) Once a week
(7) More often
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable
German Longitudinal Election Study: Pre-election Cross Section

[NOTE: Because of data protection the variables “Church attendance”, “Synagogue attendance” and “Mosque attendance” were condensed under “Church/Synagogue/Mosque attendance” (dkirchg).]

### D276
**Pre-election D17.23**

**Mosque attendance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INT.</th>
<th>Display list. One response only.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILTER:</td>
<td>=&gt; /+1 if NOT D273=07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Only ask the question if the respondent is Muslim)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How often do you pray in the mosque, apart from during Ramadan?

1. Never
2. Once a year
3. Several times a year
4. Once a month
5. Two to three times a month
6. Once a week
7. More often

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

[NOTE: Because of data protection the variables “Church attendance”, “Synagogue attendance” and “Mosque attendance” were condensed under “Church/Synagogue/Mosque attendance” (dkirchg).]

### D277
**Pre-election D17.3**

**Religious views**

| INT. | One response only. |

(1) Not religious at all
(2) Not very religious
(3) Somewhat religious
(4) Very religious

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

### D278
**Pre-election D02.1**

**German citizenship**

| INT. | One response only. |

Have you had German citizenship since birth?

1. Yes
2. No

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

### D279
**Pre-election D02.2**

**German citizenship, since**

| INT. | Note year. |
| FILTER: | => /+1 if NOT D278=02 |
| (Only ask if D278=2) |

Since when do you have German citizenship? Please tell me the year.

(9998) Don’t know
(9999) No answer
(10000) Not applicable

**D280A**

**Country of birth**

*Pre-election D18.1*

**INT.:** Display list. One response only.

Please select the country you were born in from this list.

(2) On the territory of the old federal states
(3) On the territory of the new federal states
(22) In a foreign country
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**D280B**

**Country of birth: Other country**

*Pre-election D18.1*

**INT.:** DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.

FILTER: => +1 if NOT D280A=22

*(Only ask the question if the respondent was born outside Germany)*

Please tell me where you were born.

(1) Germany as a whole
(2) Old federal states, Federal Republic of Germany
(3) New federal states, German Democratic Republic
(4) Former German territories in eastern Europe
(5) Turkey
(6) Italy
(7) Poland
(8) Serbia
(9) Greece
(10) Croatia
(11) Bosnia
(12) Denmark
(13) Netherlands
(14) Belgium
(15) France
(16) Switzerland
(17) Austria
(18) Czech Republic
(19) Vietnam
(20) USA
(21) Russia
(22) Other country, namely

(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Note:** The respondents’ answers to the questions D280 (“Country of birth”), D282 (“Partner’s country of birth”), D284 (“Mother’s country of birth”) and D285 (“Father’s country of birth”) have been recoded in the dataset according to the unified coding scheme “countries” and do not match the codes listed in this questionnaire documentation. In addition, all open statements have been coded and summed up with the predefined codes of the coding scheme into one variable.

**D281**

**Age on immigration**

*Pre-election D18.2*

Please enter the respondent's age in the box below. If the respondent was born in Germany and consequently doesn't know how to answer this question: "The question is when you moved from western Germany to eastern Germany or from eastern Germany to western Germany."

FILTER: => +1 if NOT (D280A=22 OR (D280A=02 AND (LAND=11-16)) OR (D280A=03 AND (LAND=01-10)))))
(Only ask the question if the respondent has stated that they: were born outside Germany, or are living in the new federal states but were born in the old federal states or are living in the old federal states but were born in the new federal states)

And at what age did you move here?

(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D282A</th>
<th>Partner's country of birth</th>
<th>Pre-election D18.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: Display list. One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt;/+1 if NOT ((D204=01,02) OR D205=01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Only ask the question if the respondent has specified D204=01,02 or D205=01)

Could you perhaps tell me where your partner was born?

(2) On the territory of the old federal states
(3) On the territory of the new federal states
(22) In a foreign country

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D282B</th>
<th>Partner's country of birth: Other country</th>
<th>Pre-election D18.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt;/+1 if NOT D282A=22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Only ask the question if the respondent was born outside Germany)

Please tell me where your partner was born.

(1) Germany as a whole
(2) Old federal states, Federal Republic of Germany
(3) New federal states, German Democratic Republic
(4) Former German territories in eastern Europe
(5) Turkey
(6) Italy
(7) Poland
(8) Serbia
(9) Greece
(10) Croatia
(11) Bosnia
(12) Denmark
(13) Netherlands
(14) Belgium
(15) France
(16) Switzerland
(17) Austria
(18) Czech Republic
(19) Vietnam
(20) USA
(21) Russia
(22) Other country, namely

(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

Note: The respondents’ answers to the questions D280 ("Country of birth"), D282 ("Partner's country of birth"), D284 ("Mother's country of birth") and D285 ("Father's country of birth") have been recoded in
the dataset according to the unified coding scheme "countries" and do not match the codes listed in this questionnaire documentation. In addition, all open statements have been coded and summed up with the predefined codes of the coding scheme into one variable.

**D283**

Parents' country of birth

**Pre-election D23.1**

**INT.:** One response only.

Were both your parents born in Germany?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**D284A**

Mother's country of birth

**Pre-election D23.3**

**INT.:** One response only.

FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D283=02
(Only ask the question if the respondent's parents were not both born in Germany (D283=02))

In which country was your mother born?

(1) Germany
(22) In another country
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D284B**

Mother's country of birth: Other country

**Pre-election D23.3**

**INT.:** DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.

FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D284A=22
(Only ask the question if the respondent's mother was not born in Germany)

In which other country?

(1) Germany as a whole
(2) Old federal states, Federal Republic of Germany
(3) New federal states, German Democratic Republic
(4) Former German territories in eastern Europe
(5) Turkey
(6) Italy
(7) Poland
(8) Serbia
(9) Greece
(10) Croatia
(11) Bosnia
(12) Denmark
(13) Netherlands
(14) Belgium
(15) France
(16) Switzerland
(17) Austria
(18) Czech Republic
(19) Vietnam
(20) USA
(21) Russia
(22) Other country, namely
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Note:** The respondents’ answers to the questions D280 (“Country of birth”), D282 (“Partner’s country of birth”), D284 (“Mother’s country of birth”) and D285 (“Father’s country of birth”) have been recoded in the dataset according to the unified coding scheme “countries” and do not match the codes listed in this questionnaire documentation. In addition, all open statements have been coded and summed up with the predefined codes of the coding scheme into one variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D285A</th>
<th>Father’s country of birth</th>
<th>Pre-election D23.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: One response only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt;/+1 if NOT D283=02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Only ask the question if the respondent’s parents were not both born in Germany)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In which country was your father born?

(1) Germany
(22) In another country
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D285B</th>
<th>Father’s country of birth: Other country</th>
<th>Pre-election D23.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FILTER: =&gt;/+1 if NOT D285A=22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Only ask the question if the respondent’s father was not born in Germany)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In which other country?

(1) Germany as a whole
(2) Old federal states, Federal Republic of Germany
(3) New federal states, German Democratic Republic
(4) Former German territories in eastern Europe
(5) Turkey
(6) Italy
(7) Poland
(8) Serbia
(9) Greece
(10) Croatia
(11) Bosnia
(12) Denmark
(13) Netherlands
(14) Belgium
(15) France
(16) Switzerland
(17) Austria
(18) Czech Republic
(19) Vietnam
(20) USA
(21) Russia
(22) Other country, namely
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**Note:** The respondents’ answers to the questions D280 (“Country of birth”), D282 (“Partner’s country of birth”), D284 (“Mother’s country of birth”) and D285 (“Father’s country of birth”) have been recoded in
the dataset according to the unified coding scheme "countries" and do not match the codes listed in this questionnaire documentation. In addition, all open statements have been coded and summed up with the predefined codes of the coding scheme into one variable.

**D286A**

Language spoken at home

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: \(+/1 \text{ if } ((D280A=02,03 \text{ OR } D280B=01) \text{ OR } (D282A=02,03 \text{ OR } D282B=01))\)

(Only ask the question if the respondent or her/his partner was born outside Germany)

Do you mainly speak German or a different language at home?

[NOTE: Filterfehler (min. 65 Fälle). Laut Fragebogen sollte die Frage gestellt werden wenn der Befragte oder seine Partnerin/sein Partner im Ausland geboren ist. Der Filter wurde aber fehlerhaft implementiert (FILTER: \(+/1 \text{ if } ((D280A=02,03 \text{ OR } D280B=01) \text{ OR } (D282A=02,03 \text{ OR } D282B=01))\)). Tatsächlich wurden also nur Personen befragt, die selbst im Ausland geboren sind UND deren Partner im Ausland geboren ist bzw. die keinen Partner haben. Weiterhin wurden Personen befragt, die keine Angabe zum Geburtsland gemacht haben UND die keinen Partner haben oder keine Angabe zum Partner gemacht haben.]

(1) German
(17) A different language
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D286B**

Language spoken at home: Other language

INT.: DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign.
FILTER: \(+/1 \text{ if NOT } D286A=17\)

(Only ask the question if a different language is spoken in the respondent's home)

Which language is spoken?

(1) German
(2) Turkish
(3) Italian
(4) Polish
(5) Serbian
(6) Greek
(7) Croatian
(8) Bosnian
(9) Danish
(10) Dutch
(11) French
(12) Czech
(13) Vietnamese
(14) English
(15) Russian
(16) Arabic
(17) Other language, namely

(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D287**

Membership of organisations

INT.: One response only.
Are you a member of a club, association or other organisation?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**D288**
Labor union membership

*Pre-election D19.3*

INT.: One response only.

Are you a member of a labor union?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**D289**
Membership of organisation - Labor union (A)

*Pre-election D20.1*

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT (D288=02,98,99)
(Only ask the question if the respondent stated D288=02, 98, 99)

And is anyone else in your household a member of a labor union?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**D290**
Membership of organisation - Labor union (B)

*Pre-election D20.2*

INT.: One response only.
FILTER: => /+1 if NOT D288=01
(Only ask the question if the respondent is a member of a trade union)

And apart from you, is anyone else in your household a member of a labor union?

(1) Yes
(2) No
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
(100) Not applicable

**FEDERAL STATE:**

=> /+1 if 1>0
→ Name of the federal state in which the respondent lives.

(1) Schleswig-Holstein
(2) Hamburg
(3) Lower Saxony
(4) Bremen
(5) North Rhine Westphalia
(6) Hesse
(7) Rhineland Palatinate
(8) Baden-Wuerttemberg
(9) Bavaria
(10) Saarland
(11) Berlin
(12) Brandenburg
(13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
(14) Saxony
(15) Saxony Anhalt
(16) Thuringia

KAND1:
CDU/CSU candidate
=> /+1 if 1>0
→ Name of the local CDU/CSU constituency candidate

KAND2:
SPD candidate
=> /+1 if 1>0
→ Name of the local SPD constituency candidate

KAND3:
Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen candidate
=> /+1 if 1>0
→ Name of the local Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen constituency candidate

KAND4:
FDP candidate
=> /+1 if 1>0
→ Name of the local FDP constituency candidate

KAND5:
Die Linke candidate
=> /+1 if 1>0
→ Name of the local Die Linke constituency candidate

WKRNR:
=> /+1 if 1>0
→ Name of the constituency in which the respondent lives.

Scoure:
1   East
2   West

GKBK10:
→ Town size classes

I88(_B, _BV, _BP, _BPV)
→ Classification of occupations according to ISCO-88

siops(_B, _BV, _BP, _BPV)
isei(_B, _BV, _BP, _BPV)
mps(_B, _BV, _BP, _BPV)

dat
→ Date of the interview

zeit
→ Duration of the interview in minutes