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Documentation of Questionnaire
The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is a long-term project funded by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) which started with the 2009 federal election and is continued with the 2013 federal election. GLES is the largest and most ambitious election study held so far in Germany.

GLES 2013 was directed by five principal investigators: Prof. Dr. Hans Rattinger (University of Mannheim), Prof. Dr. Sigrid Roßteutscher (University of Frankfurt), Prof. Dr. Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck (University of Mannheim), PD Dr. Bernhard Weßels (Social Science Research Center Berlin) and Prof. Dr. Christof Wolf (GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences), in close cooperation with the German Society for Electoral Studies (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung).

The following documentation refers to the pre- and post-election cross section study (ZA5702) of the GLES 2013 and will give you some general information about the dataset.

**Bibliographic description of the dataset**

- **Study No.** ZA5702
- **Title** Pre- and Post-election Cross Section (GLES 2013)
- **Current Version** 4.0.0, 11/13/2018
- **Doi** 10.4232/1.13168
- **Citation** Rattinger, Hans; Roßteutscher, Sigrid; Schmitt-Beck, Rüdiger; Weßels, Bernhard; Wolf, Christof; Wagner, Aiko; Giebler, Heiko; Bieber, Ina; Scherer, Philipp (2018): Pre- and Post-election Cross Section (GLES 2013). GESIS Data Archive, Cologne. ZA5702 Data file Version 4.0.0, doi:10.4232/1.13168.

**Basic information**

- **Funding Agency** German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)
- **Data Collector** MARPLAN Media- und Sozialforschungsgesellschaft mbH, Frankfurt am Main
- **Date of collection**
  - Pre-election: 07/29/2013 – 09/21/2013
- **Content** The first component of GLES comprises a pre- and a post-election cross-section survey. A total of 3,911 interviews were realized (pre-election 2,003 and post-election 1,908). This documentation is about the pre- and post-election cross section.
  - The cross-sectional data also represents the first wave of a long-term panel in the course of which the same respondents will be surveyed again in the following two federal elections in Germany. In the pre-election Cross Section 47 percent of the respondents in West Germany and 36 percent in East Germany agreed to participate in the panel. In the post-election Cross Section 67 percent of the respondents in West Germany and 34 percent in East Germany agreed to participate in the panel.

**Methodology**

- **Geographic Coverage** Germany (DE)
- **Universe** The population comprises all persons with German citizenship resident in the Federal Republic of Germany, who had a minimum age of 16 years and lived in private households at the time the survey was being conducted.
- **Selection Method** Disproportional stratified multistage random sampling based on the ADM-design. All in all, 210 sampling points (Pre-election) and 211 sampling points (Post-election) were drawn in West Germany with the aim to realize 1,400
Interviews. 96 sampling points (Pre-election) and 95 sampling points (Post-election) were drawn in East Germany to realize 700 interviews. As a result of this procedure, the East German population is overrepresented in the sample. The target person in the household was determined by Kish-Selection-Grid.

Mode of Data Collection
Computer Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI), with an average duration of approximately 66 minutes (Pre-election) and 67 minutes (Post-election) (arithmetic mean).

Field Work
All in all, 306 sampling points were drawn. Interviews were realized in 290 sampling points (pre-election) and 275 sampling points (post-election). On average, 6.5 interviews per sampling point (Pre-election) and 5.9 interviews per sampling point (Post-election) were realized.

The interviewers were compensated based on the number of completed interviews, the number of documented contacts without any interview, and their travel expenses. At the end of the fieldwork of the pre-election study, the compensation for realized interviews and documented contacts without any interview was raised. In the post-election study, an additional bonus was paid when the interview had been conducted during the first two weeks of the field time.

In the pre-election study, all realized interviews were checked via contacting the respondents. As far as possible, the checking should have been done by telephone calls. All interviews, to which the telephone number was not available or contact via telephone was unsuccessful, were contacted by letter. If doubts about the proper realization of the interview could not be cleared up, the respective interviews were deleted from the dataset. 37 interviews were affected by this. Another 17 interviews were deleted due to abnormalities in the dataset. Furthermore, seven interviews of an entire point were not incorporated, because they were not listed in the predefined addresses. Additionally, one interview of an interviewer was not realized at the predefined address, so that this interview was also deleted from the dataset.

In the post-election study, in order to confirm that the interview had actually been held, the respondents were contacted by phone. In case a phone number was not available, the respondents received a postal request to confirm the interview. Overall, 26 interviews had to be deleted from the dataset because of negative interview confirmations. Additionally, another six interviews had to be deleted due to abnormalities in the dataset.

Response Rate
Pre-election cross section:
18.4 percent of 7,650 addresses were losses not specific to the sample. Based on the adjusted 6,240 gross addresses, 2,003 interviews were realized successfully (1,303 West, 700 East). Thus, the response rate is 32.1 percent.

Post-election cross section:
Of 8,050 drawn addresses, 14.3 percent dropped out because of sample neutral losses. This results in a cleared initial sample of 6,902 addresses. Based on that, 1,908 people were surveyed (1,174 in West and 734 in East Germany) resulting in a response rate of 27.6 percent.

Weights
The pre- and post-election cross section comprises three types of weights: a design weight, a transformation weight, and post-stratification weights.

The design weight (w_ow) corrects for the oversampling in East Germany.

The transformation weight (w_tran) transforms the data from a household sample into a personal sample.

Both design weight and transformation weight are also available in a combined variable in the dataset (w_trow).
The post-stratification weights include sociodemographic and regional weights with (and without) transformation weight. Those weights were calculated by using the iterative proportional fitting (IPF). The weights were constructed on the basis of gender, age (4 groups: 16 to 29, 30 to 45, 45 to 59 and 60 years and older), education (three groups: low, middle, high), BIK-regions (three groups) and East-West (for the weighting, all respondents living in Berlin received the East German weighting factor). Missing cases were replaced with the mode. The dataset includes six sociodemographic and regional weights: Germany as a whole as well as East and West Germany individually. For each of these regions, two weights were included: one with the transformation weight and one without it.

Data access
Usage regulations Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: access category A.

Anonymized data According to German data protection laws, only anonymized data can be made accessible for public download. Based on this, some variables had to be deleted from the publicly available dataset. As a matter of course no information is lost: All variables can be used by interested researchers in a Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). Some variables are also available by signing a user contract. If you are interested in those variables please send an E-Mail to gles@gesis.org. An overview of those variables can be found on our homepage (www.gesis.org/gles).

Errata
• One respondent (lfdn = 1755) of the pre-election cross section who answered to have taken part in the Bavarian federal state election (vn133), erroneously did not receive the question concerning the voting decision (vn134aa-bb) and was instead coded to -97 (‘not applicable’).
• Nine respondents of the post-election cross section (lfdn = 2099, 2185, 2766, 2963, 3018, 3046, 3055, 3071, 3737), who stated to have cast their vote in the federal election, did erroneously not get the question n238 concerning the point in time of their voting decision (Code -97 ,Not applicable’).
• Two respondents (lfdn = 2472, 3566) erroneously received code -97 (’Not applicable’) in the rotation variable dvn42.

The most recent errata list is provided by the GESIS Data Catalogue (www.gesis.org/dbk). There you can also find a list of all changes made between the different versions of the dataset.

Further remarks
• One respondent of the pre-election cross section stated in variable v223s (Reason for not voting) to be not eligible to vote in Germany. This case is not in universe and hence, was deleted from the published dataset.
• One interview of the pre-election cross section was deleted from the dataset due to abnormalities concerning the time stamp (temporal proximity to another interview which was conducted by the same interviewer and the simultaneous absence of the respondent’s contact details)
• Code 12 (“all, the population”) that was used in variable vn36 (debt crisis, main blame) of pre-election cross section and is based on open nominations was recoded to code 11 (“someone else”) in the cumulated dataset.
Two respondents of the post-election cross section (lfdn = 2319, 2893) stated that they did vote for the AfD with their first and second vote at the Bavarian federal state election in 2013 (vn134aa-bb). The party did not run for election at the Bavarian federal state election in 2013.

The variable party identification (vn119a/b) has the code 809 (“all parties; all parties are as good as each other”) which was only permitted in the post-election cross section.

This cumulated dataset consists of the pre- and post-election cross section studies of the GLES 2013 (ZA5700 and ZA5701).

A cumulated dataset of the pre- and post-election cross section study has been provided in a similar way within the GLES 2009 (ZA5302).

You can find further information about the study at GESIS (www.gesis.org/gles) as well as on the project website of GLES http://www.gles.eu.

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES data to inform us about publications that utilize this data. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact
GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
GLES
Post Box 12 21 55
68072 Mannheim
Germany
E-Mail: gles@gesis.org
### Overview over the content question programme:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name(s)</th>
<th>Pre-election</th>
<th>Post-election</th>
<th>Variable label¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vn1</td>
<td>q1</td>
<td>q1</td>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn2b-c</td>
<td>q2b-c</td>
<td>q2b-c</td>
<td>Month and year of birth, respondent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn3</td>
<td>q3</td>
<td>q69</td>
<td>Interest in politics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v4</td>
<td>q4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Interest election campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v5</td>
<td>q5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Interest in the outcome of election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn6</td>
<td>q6</td>
<td>q158</td>
<td>Satisfaction with democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn7</td>
<td>q7</td>
<td>q88</td>
<td>Political knowledge: first/second vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v8</td>
<td>q8</td>
<td>q22</td>
<td>Hypothetical intention to vote (under 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v9aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q9aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q23aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>Hypothetical intention to vote (under 18): first/second vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n10</td>
<td>q10</td>
<td>q15</td>
<td>Intention to vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v11aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q11aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q19aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>Intention to vote: first/second vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v12aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q12aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Intention to vote, absentee ballot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v222s</td>
<td>q222s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Reason for voting decision, absentee ballot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v223s</td>
<td>q223s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Reason for not voting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v13</td>
<td>q13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Intention to vote: certainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v14s</td>
<td>q14s</td>
<td>q20s</td>
<td>Reason for voting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn15a</td>
<td>q15a</td>
<td>q89a</td>
<td>Political knowledge: 5% barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v16a-d</td>
<td>q16a-d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Einzugswahrscheinlichkeiten kleine Parteien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn17</td>
<td>q17</td>
<td>q63</td>
<td>+Personal economic situation, current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn18</td>
<td>q18</td>
<td>q64</td>
<td>Personal economic situation, retrospective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn19</td>
<td>q19</td>
<td>q65</td>
<td>Responsibility personal economic situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn20</td>
<td>q20</td>
<td>q66</td>
<td>Personal economic situation, future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn21a-h</td>
<td>q21a-h</td>
<td>q30a-h</td>
<td>Scalometer political parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn22a-e</td>
<td>q22a-e</td>
<td>q31a-j</td>
<td>Scalometer politicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn23s</td>
<td>q23s</td>
<td>q3s</td>
<td>Most important problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn24s</td>
<td>q24s</td>
<td>q4s</td>
<td>Second most important problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn25a-b</td>
<td>q25a-b</td>
<td>q5a-b</td>
<td>Problem solving skills most important problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn26a-b</td>
<td>q26a-b</td>
<td>q6a-b</td>
<td>Problem solving skills second most important problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v27a-b</td>
<td>q27a-b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Subjective ambivalence, negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v28a-b</td>
<td>q28a-b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Subjective ambivalence, positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn29</td>
<td>q29</td>
<td>q9</td>
<td>General economic situation, current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn30</td>
<td>q30</td>
<td>q10</td>
<td>General economic situation, retrospective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn31</td>
<td>q31</td>
<td>q13</td>
<td>Responsibility general economic situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn32</td>
<td>q32</td>
<td>q14</td>
<td>General economic situation, future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn33</td>
<td>q33</td>
<td>q67</td>
<td>Regional economic situation, general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn34</td>
<td>q34</td>
<td>q68</td>
<td>European economic situation, current</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ This questionnaire documentation follows the order of the cumulated dataset. Thus, the variable label is stated if the respective variable refers to one single question. In case several variables refer to one single question, the question title is stated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name(s)</th>
<th>Cumulation</th>
<th>Pre-election</th>
<th>Post-election</th>
<th>Variable label'</th>
<th>Cumulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vn35</td>
<td>q35</td>
<td>q90</td>
<td>Debt crisis, fear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn36</td>
<td>q36</td>
<td>q91</td>
<td>Debt crisis, main blame</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn37</td>
<td>q37</td>
<td>q92</td>
<td>Debt crisis, concernment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v38</td>
<td>q38</td>
<td>q93</td>
<td>Debt crisis, government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v39</td>
<td>q39</td>
<td>q94</td>
<td>Debt crisis, Merkel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn40a-g</td>
<td>q40a-g</td>
<td>q83a-g</td>
<td>Issue battery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v41</td>
<td>q41</td>
<td>q84</td>
<td>Chancellor preference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn42a-e</td>
<td>q42a-e</td>
<td>q85a-e</td>
<td>Attributes of chancellor candidates, Merkel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn43a-e</td>
<td>q43a-e</td>
<td>q86a-e</td>
<td>Attributes of chancellor candidates, Steinbrück</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn44</td>
<td>q44</td>
<td>q25</td>
<td>Right to vote federal election 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn45</td>
<td>q45</td>
<td>q26</td>
<td>Recall last federal election (voter participation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn46aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q46aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q27aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>Recall last federal election, first/second vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn47a-j</td>
<td>q47a-j</td>
<td>q39a-j</td>
<td>Party contacts during election campaign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn48a-i</td>
<td>q48a-i</td>
<td>q40a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, rallies – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn49a-i</td>
<td>q49a-i</td>
<td>q41a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, E-Mails – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn50a-h</td>
<td>q50a-h</td>
<td>q42a-h</td>
<td>Party contacts, E-Mails and SMS - candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn51a-i</td>
<td>q51a-i</td>
<td>q43a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, information material – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn52a-h</td>
<td>q52a-h</td>
<td>q44a-h</td>
<td>Party contacts, information material - candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn53a-i</td>
<td>q53a-i</td>
<td>q45a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, election ads – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn54a-i</td>
<td>q54a-i</td>
<td>q46a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, posters – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn55a-h</td>
<td>q55a-h</td>
<td>q47a-h</td>
<td>Party contacts, posters - candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn56a-i</td>
<td>q56a-i</td>
<td>q48a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, street campaign – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn57a-i</td>
<td>q57a-i</td>
<td>q49a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, commercials – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn224aa-b</td>
<td>q224aa-b</td>
<td>q50a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, direct contact – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn225a-i</td>
<td>q225a-i</td>
<td>q51a-i</td>
<td>Party contacts, via phone – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn226a-i</td>
<td>q226a-i</td>
<td>q52a-i</td>
<td>Election campaign contacts, social networks – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn58</td>
<td>q58</td>
<td>q53</td>
<td>Direct contact with constituency candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn59a-i</td>
<td>q59a-i</td>
<td>q54a-i</td>
<td>Direct contact with constituency candidates – political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn60a-h</td>
<td>q60a-h</td>
<td>q32a-h</td>
<td>Left-/right-wing parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn61a-b</td>
<td>q61a-b</td>
<td>q87a-b</td>
<td>Top candidates, left-/right-wing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn62</td>
<td>q62</td>
<td>q33</td>
<td>Self-perception, left-/right-wing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn63a-f</td>
<td>q63a-f</td>
<td>q96a-f</td>
<td>Position of parties, socio-economic dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn64a-f</td>
<td>q64a-f</td>
<td>q97a-f</td>
<td>Position of parties, libertarian-authoritarian dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn65a-f</td>
<td>q65a-f</td>
<td>q98a-f</td>
<td>Position of parties, climate change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v66a-f</td>
<td>q66a-f</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Position of coalitions, socio-economic dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn67</td>
<td>q67</td>
<td>q99</td>
<td>Own position, socio-economic dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn68</td>
<td>q68</td>
<td>q100</td>
<td>Own position, libertarian-authoritarian dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn69</td>
<td>q69</td>
<td>q101</td>
<td>Own position, climate change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn70</td>
<td>q70</td>
<td>q102</td>
<td>Salience socio-economic dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn71</td>
<td>q71</td>
<td>q104</td>
<td>Salience libertarian-authoritarian dimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn72</td>
<td>q72</td>
<td>q105</td>
<td>Salience climate change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name(s)</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn73a-f</td>
<td>q73a-f</td>
<td>q106a-f</td>
<td>Attitudes to politics in general</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v74</td>
<td>q74</td>
<td>q107</td>
<td>Work of federal government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn75a-c</td>
<td>q75a-c</td>
<td>q108a-c</td>
<td>Work of governing parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn76a-c</td>
<td>q76a-c</td>
<td>q109a-c</td>
<td>Work of opposition parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn77a-c</td>
<td>q77a-c</td>
<td>q110a-c</td>
<td>Other forms of participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn78a-b</td>
<td>q78a-b</td>
<td>q111a-b</td>
<td>Forms of participation, political party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v79a-f</td>
<td>q79a-f</td>
<td>q74a-f</td>
<td>Scalometer coalitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v80a-f</td>
<td>q80a-f</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perceptions of coalition signals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v81a-b</td>
<td>q81a-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Constituency winner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v82a-e</td>
<td>q82a-e</td>
<td>q113a-e</td>
<td>Familiarity with constituency candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n82a-e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v83a-e</td>
<td>q83a-e</td>
<td>q114a-e</td>
<td>Supported question, constituency candidates I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n83a-e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v84a-e</td>
<td>q84a-e</td>
<td>q115a-e</td>
<td>Supported question, constituency candidates II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n84a-e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v85</td>
<td>q85</td>
<td>q116</td>
<td>Election campaign, local constituency candidate, CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn86</td>
<td>q86</td>
<td>q117</td>
<td>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn88</td>
<td>q88</td>
<td>q119</td>
<td>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v89</td>
<td>q89</td>
<td>q120</td>
<td>Election campaign, local constituency candidate, FDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn90</td>
<td>q90</td>
<td>q121</td>
<td>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, FDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v91</td>
<td>q91</td>
<td>q122</td>
<td>Election campaign, local constituency candidate, DIE LINKE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn92</td>
<td>q92</td>
<td>q123</td>
<td>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, DIE LINKE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v93</td>
<td>q93</td>
<td>q124</td>
<td>Election campaign, local constituency candidate, Die Grünen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn94</td>
<td>q94</td>
<td>q125</td>
<td>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, Die Grünen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v95a-e</td>
<td>q95a-e</td>
<td>q126a-e</td>
<td>Representation norm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn96a-d</td>
<td>q96a-d</td>
<td>q127a-d</td>
<td>Expectations of parliamentary deputies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v99</td>
<td>q99</td>
<td>q131</td>
<td>Use of TV news programmes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v100</td>
<td>q100</td>
<td>q132</td>
<td>Use of TV news programmes, ARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v101</td>
<td>q101</td>
<td>q133</td>
<td>Use of TV news programmes, ZDF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v102</td>
<td>q102</td>
<td>q134</td>
<td>Use of TV news programmes, RTL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v103a-i</td>
<td>q103a-i</td>
<td>q135a-i</td>
<td>Use of daily newspapers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n103a-i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v104</td>
<td>q104</td>
<td>q136</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: BILD-Zeitung</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v105</td>
<td>q105</td>
<td>q137</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: FAZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v106</td>
<td>q106</td>
<td>q138</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: Die Welt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name(s)</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>Variable label’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v107</td>
<td>q107</td>
<td>q139</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: SZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v108</td>
<td>q108</td>
<td>q140</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: Frankfurter Rundschau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v109</td>
<td>q109</td>
<td>q141</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: taz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v110</td>
<td>q110</td>
<td>q142</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: a local/regional newspaper if 2 mentioned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v111</td>
<td>q111</td>
<td>q143</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: a local or regional newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v112</td>
<td>q112</td>
<td>q144</td>
<td>Frequency of using daily newspapers: a second, additional local/regional newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn114</td>
<td>q114</td>
<td>q146</td>
<td>Use of the Internet, general</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn115</td>
<td>q115</td>
<td>q147</td>
<td>Use of the Internet, news</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn116</td>
<td>q116</td>
<td>q148</td>
<td>Use of the Internet, political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn117a-i</td>
<td>q117a-i</td>
<td>q149a-i</td>
<td>Use of the Internet, political parties separately</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn118</td>
<td>q118</td>
<td>q150</td>
<td>Use of the Internet, special information services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn119a-b</td>
<td>q119a-b</td>
<td>q159a-b</td>
<td>Party identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn120</td>
<td>q120</td>
<td>q160</td>
<td>Strength of party identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn121</td>
<td>q121</td>
<td>q161</td>
<td>Persistence of party identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn122</td>
<td>q122</td>
<td>q162</td>
<td>Form of party identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v123</td>
<td>q123</td>
<td></td>
<td>General trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn124a-h</td>
<td>q124a-h</td>
<td>q157a-h</td>
<td>Personality battery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn125</td>
<td>q125</td>
<td>q77</td>
<td>European Parliament – Intention to vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn126a-b</td>
<td>q126a-b</td>
<td>q78a-b</td>
<td>European Parliament – Intention to vote, ballot paper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v127</td>
<td>q127</td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal state election Bavaria, intention to vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v128</td>
<td>q128</td>
<td>q79</td>
<td>Federal state election Hesse, intention to vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v129aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q129aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Voting decision federal state election Bavaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q130aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q81aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>Voting decision federal state election Hesse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n130aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v131aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q131aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Voting decision federal state election Bavaria, absentee ballot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v132aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q132aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Voting decision federal state election Hesse, absentee ballot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn133</td>
<td>q133</td>
<td>q80</td>
<td>Federal state election voter turnout Bavaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn134aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q134aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>q82aa-b,ba-b</td>
<td>Recall federal state election Bavaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v135</td>
<td>q135</td>
<td>q151</td>
<td>Discussions about politics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v136</td>
<td>q136</td>
<td></td>
<td>Network size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v137</td>
<td>q137</td>
<td></td>
<td>First discussion partner, relationship (A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v138</td>
<td>q138</td>
<td></td>
<td>First discussion partner, relationship (B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v139</td>
<td>q139</td>
<td></td>
<td>First discussion partner, frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v140</td>
<td>q140</td>
<td></td>
<td>First discussion partner, knowledge of politics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v141</td>
<td>q141</td>
<td></td>
<td>First discussion partner, differences of opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v142a-b</td>
<td>q142a-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>First discussion partner, voting decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v143</td>
<td>q143</td>
<td></td>
<td>Second discussion partner, relationship (A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v144</td>
<td>q144</td>
<td></td>
<td>Second discussion partner, relationship (B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name(s)</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v145</td>
<td>q145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Second discussion partner, frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v146</td>
<td>q146</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Second discussion partner, knowledge of politics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v147</td>
<td>q147</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Second discussion partner, differences of opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v148a-b</td>
<td>q148a-b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Second discussion partner, voting decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v149</td>
<td>q149</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Voter turnout, neighbourhood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn150</td>
<td>q150</td>
<td>q152</td>
<td>Macrojustice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn151</td>
<td>q151</td>
<td>q153</td>
<td>Justice, development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn152</td>
<td>q152</td>
<td>q154</td>
<td>Justice, ego</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn153</td>
<td>q153</td>
<td>q155</td>
<td>Importance of a just society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn154a-b</td>
<td>q154a-b</td>
<td>q156a-b</td>
<td>Justice political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v155a-c</td>
<td>q155a-c</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Political knowledge, assignment politicians/parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn156a-b</td>
<td>q156a-b</td>
<td>q163a-b</td>
<td>Party identification, father</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn157a-b</td>
<td>q157a-b</td>
<td>q164a-b</td>
<td>Party identification, mother</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn158</td>
<td>q158</td>
<td>q165</td>
<td>Household size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn159a-g</td>
<td>q159a-g</td>
<td>q166a-g</td>
<td>Members of household, age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn161</td>
<td>q161</td>
<td>q167</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn162</td>
<td>q162</td>
<td>q168</td>
<td>Partner existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn163</td>
<td>q163</td>
<td>q169</td>
<td>School leaving certificate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v164a-o</td>
<td>q164a-o</td>
<td>q170a-r</td>
<td>Vocational/professional training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v165</td>
<td>q165</td>
<td>q171</td>
<td>Current employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v166</td>
<td>q166</td>
<td>q172</td>
<td>Previous gainful employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v167</td>
<td>q167</td>
<td>q173</td>
<td>Main occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn168b-e</td>
<td>q168b-e</td>
<td>q174b-e</td>
<td>Occupation ego</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn169</td>
<td>q169</td>
<td>q175</td>
<td>Temporary, agency work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn170</td>
<td>q170</td>
<td>q176</td>
<td>Supervision function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn171</td>
<td>q171</td>
<td>q177</td>
<td>Employment sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn172</td>
<td>q172</td>
<td>q178</td>
<td>Sector of the economy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn173a-c</td>
<td>q173a-c</td>
<td>q179a-c</td>
<td>Unemployment over the last 10 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn174</td>
<td>q174</td>
<td>q180</td>
<td>Fear of redundancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn175</td>
<td>q175</td>
<td>q182</td>
<td>Fear of loss of company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn176</td>
<td>q176</td>
<td>q183</td>
<td>Former profession</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn227b-e</td>
<td>q227b-e</td>
<td>q184b-e</td>
<td>Former occupation ego</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn177</td>
<td>q177</td>
<td>q185</td>
<td>Former supervision function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn178</td>
<td>q178</td>
<td>q186</td>
<td>Former employment sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn179</td>
<td>q179</td>
<td>q187</td>
<td>Former sector of the economy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn180</td>
<td>q180</td>
<td>q188</td>
<td>Partner’s school leaving certificate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn181</td>
<td>q181</td>
<td>q189</td>
<td>Partner’s employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn182</td>
<td>q182</td>
<td>q190</td>
<td>Partner’s former employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn183</td>
<td>q183</td>
<td>q191</td>
<td>Partner’s main occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn184b-e</td>
<td>q184b-e</td>
<td>q192b-e</td>
<td>Occupation partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn185</td>
<td>q185</td>
<td>q193</td>
<td>Partner’s supervision function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn186</td>
<td>q186</td>
<td>q194</td>
<td>Partner’s employment sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn187</td>
<td>q187</td>
<td>q195</td>
<td>Partner’s sector of the economy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name(s)</td>
<td>Cumulation</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>Variable label’</td>
<td>Cumulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn188</td>
<td>q188</td>
<td>q197</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partner’s former profession</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn189b-e</td>
<td>q189b-e</td>
<td>q198b-e</td>
<td></td>
<td>Former occupation partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn190</td>
<td>q190</td>
<td>q199</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partner’s former supervision function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn191</td>
<td>q191</td>
<td>q200</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partner’s former employment sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn229</td>
<td>q229</td>
<td>q201</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partner’s former sector of the economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn192</td>
<td>q192</td>
<td>q204</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subjective perception of class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn228</td>
<td>q228</td>
<td>q205</td>
<td></td>
<td>Religious denomination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn193</td>
<td>q193</td>
<td>q206</td>
<td></td>
<td>Church attendance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn194</td>
<td>q194</td>
<td>q207</td>
<td></td>
<td>Religious views</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn195</td>
<td>q195</td>
<td>q208</td>
<td></td>
<td>German citizenship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn196</td>
<td>q196</td>
<td>q209</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn197</td>
<td>q197</td>
<td>q210</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth, Federal State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn198</td>
<td>q198</td>
<td>q211</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth, other country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn199</td>
<td>q199</td>
<td>q212</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age on immigration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn200</td>
<td>q200</td>
<td>q213</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age on immigration, East Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn201</td>
<td>q201</td>
<td>q214</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age on immigration, West Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn202</td>
<td>q202</td>
<td>q215</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth, parents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn203</td>
<td>q203</td>
<td>q216</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth, father</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn204</td>
<td>q204</td>
<td>q217</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth, mother</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn205</td>
<td>q205</td>
<td>q218</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth, partner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn230</td>
<td>q230</td>
<td>q219</td>
<td></td>
<td>Country of birth, partner, other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn206</td>
<td>q206</td>
<td>q220</td>
<td></td>
<td>Registration of migration in 3rd generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn207</td>
<td>q207</td>
<td>q221</td>
<td></td>
<td>Immigration father</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn208</td>
<td>q208</td>
<td>q222</td>
<td></td>
<td>Immigration mother</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn209</td>
<td>q209</td>
<td>q223</td>
<td></td>
<td>Language spoken at home</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn210</td>
<td>q210</td>
<td>q224</td>
<td></td>
<td>Language spoken at home, other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn211</td>
<td>q211</td>
<td>q225</td>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of resettler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn212</td>
<td>q212</td>
<td>q226</td>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of asylum seekers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn213a-i</td>
<td>q213a-i</td>
<td>q227</td>
<td></td>
<td>Membership of organisation, list</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn214</td>
<td>q214</td>
<td>q228</td>
<td></td>
<td>Labor union membership in household</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn215</td>
<td>q215</td>
<td>q229</td>
<td></td>
<td>Net household income with categories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n223s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q16s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for not voting Nw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n231a-h</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q7a-h</td>
<td></td>
<td>Governmental spending, policy area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n232</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement of living standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n233</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q11</td>
<td></td>
<td>General economic situation, retrospective improved a lot or a bit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n234</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q12</td>
<td></td>
<td>General economic situation, retrospective got a lot or a bit worse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n235</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision non-voting, difficulty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n236</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Absentee ballot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n237</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty of voting decision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n238</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Point in time of voting decision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n239</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Difference government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Difference which party one votes for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n241</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Satisfaction with democracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name(s)</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n242</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q35</td>
<td>Party identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n243</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q36</td>
<td>Party identification, request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n244a-b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q37a-b</td>
<td>Party identification, political party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n245</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q38</td>
<td>Strength of party identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n246</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q55</td>
<td>Persuasion: passive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n247a-f</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q56a-f</td>
<td>Form of persuasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n248</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q57</td>
<td>Persuasion. active</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n249</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q58</td>
<td>Information on parties/candidates: online registration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q59</td>
<td>Political knowledge – minister of finance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n251</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q60</td>
<td>Political knowledge – unemployment rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n252</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q61</td>
<td>Political knowledge – second strongest political party at federal election 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n253</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q62</td>
<td>Political knowledge – UN Secretary-General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n254</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q70</td>
<td>Representation of interests, political party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n255a-b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q71a-b</td>
<td>Representation of interests, which political party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n256</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q72</td>
<td>Representation of interests, candidate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n257</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q73</td>
<td>Which party leader representation of interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n258</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q75</td>
<td>Differences election campaign, parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n259</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q76</td>
<td>Satisfaction range of political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n260a-g</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q95a-g</td>
<td>Representation of interests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n261a-f</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q103a-f</td>
<td>Salience of socio-economic issue: taxes, political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n262</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q112</td>
<td>Attention to election campaign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n263a-h</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q128a-h</td>
<td>Propensity to Vote, political parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n264</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q181</td>
<td>Finding a new employment, respondent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n265</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q196</td>
<td>Finding a new employment, partner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n266a-d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q202a-d</td>
<td>Ownership/property (list)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n267</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q203</td>
<td>Household income, prospective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n268</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>q231</td>
<td>Residential area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn218</td>
<td>q218</td>
<td>q233</td>
<td>Assessment of the Interviewer, difficulty of interview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn219</td>
<td>q219</td>
<td>q234</td>
<td>Assessment of the Interviewer, effort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn220</td>
<td>q220</td>
<td>q235</td>
<td>Assessment of the Interviewer, understanding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vn221</td>
<td>q221</td>
<td>q236</td>
<td>Assessment of the Interviewer, distraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**vn1**  | **Gender**  
---|---
Vw q1  | Pre-election Int01  
Nw q1  | Post-election D01

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw:**
[Do not read out, but select without respondent; only ask if in doubt]

**Question text:**
[Interviewer]
Is the respondent male or female?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Male  
(2) Female

| vn2b-c | Month and year of birth, respondent  
---|---
Vw q2b-c  | Pre-election D01.1  
Nw q2b-c  | Post-election D01.1

**filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Would you please tell me your date of birth?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
DAY
----------------------------------
(1) 1
(2) 2
(3) 3
... 
(31) 31

MONTH
----------------------------------
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

YEAR
----------------------------------
(1910) 1910
... 
(1997) 1997

(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn3</th>
<th>Interest in politics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q3</td>
<td>Vw Vw087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q69</td>
<td>Nw Nw376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list and leave displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Quite generally, how interested are you in politics: very interested, somewhat interested, in between, not very interested, or, not at all interested?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very interested
(2) Somewhat interested
(3) In between
(4) Not very interested
(5) Not at all interested

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v4</th>
<th>Interest election campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q4</td>
<td>Vw Vw088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:

Interviewer instruction Vw:
Question text Vw:
And how interested are you in particular in this Federal Election campaign?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) Very interested
(2) Somewhat interested
(3) In between
(4) Not very interested
(5) Not at all interested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v5</th>
<th>Interest in the outcome of the election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q5</td>
<td>Vw Vw089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list]

Question text Vw:
And how important is the outcome of the upcoming Federal Election to you personally? Very important, somewhat important, in between, not very important, or not important at all?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) In between
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn6</th>
<th>Satisfaction with democracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q6</td>
<td>Vw Vw155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw k158</td>
<td>Nw Nw375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Germany?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) Not very satisfied
(5) Not at all satisfied

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn7</th>
<th>Political knowledge: First vote/second vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q7</td>
<td>Vw Vw112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q88</td>
<td>Nw Nw095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
In the Federal Elections you have two votes, the first vote and the second vote. What do you think: Which vote decides how many seats each party will have in parliament?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) The first vote
(2) The second vote
(3) Both are equally important

(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v8</th>
<th>Hypothetical intention to vote (under 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q8</td>
<td>Vw Vw161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q22</td>
<td>Nw Nw322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
D01.1 > 22.09.1995 (younger than 18 years)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Nw: -

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons. Just assuming you were eligible to vote: How likely is it that you would vote in the Federal Election on September 22nd? Please use this list for your answer.

Nw: Assuming you had been eligible to vote in the Federal Election on September 22nd: would you have voted or not?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw:
Vw:
(1) Certain to vote
(2) Likely to vote
(3) Might vote
(4) Not likely to vote
(5) Certain not to vote

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Scale Nw:
(1) Yes, I would have voted
(2) No, I wouldn’t have voted

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v9aa-b, ba-b</th>
<th>Hypothetical intention to vote, ballot paper (under 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n9aa-b, ba-b</td>
<td>Vw q9aa-b, ba-b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nw q23aa-b, ba-b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw161 = 1-3, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state that they will vote definitely, probably or perhaps or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the hypothetical voting intention or c) don’t answer the question concerning the hypothetical voting intention)

Nw: Nw322=1,-98,-99 (only respondents who a) state that they would have voted at Federal Election or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the hypothetical voting intention or c) don’t answer the question concerning the hypothetical voting intention)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display model ballot paper]
Note answers on list of parties for interviewer
Respondent is only allowed to mention ONE party per vote

Nw: [display model ballot paper; Note answers on list of parties for interviewer] Respondent is only allowed to mention ONE party per vote; if necessary, note open answer

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw:
You would have two votes in the Federal Election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for Federal Elections. How would you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

Nw:
You would have two votes in the Federal Election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for Federal Elections. How would you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) First vote
(B) Second vote

Scale Vw/Nw:
Model ballot paper for respondents:
First vote:
(01) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(07) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(08) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(09) Other party: __________
Second vote:
(21) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(27) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(28) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(29) Other party: __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) 1/21 CDU/CSU
(4) 2/22 SPD
(5) 3/23 FDP
(7) 4/24 DIE LINKE
(6) 5/25 GRÜNE
(215) 6/26 PIRATEN
(206) 7/27 NPD
(322) 8/28 AfD
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) 9/29 – other party: _______________

(83) Would cast an invalid vote
(97) Not applicable
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v10</th>
<th>Intention to vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q10</td>
<td>Vw Vw001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q15</td>
<td>Nw Nw305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
D01.1 < 22.09.1995 (18 years and older)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]

Nw: [If there is a request regarding absentee ballot, please note that there will follow an extra question. Absentee ballot implies voting (1) in this question.]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons. How likely is it that you will vote in the Federal Election on September 22nd? Please tell me using this list.

Nw: In the Federal Election on September 22nd a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you? Did you vote or did you not vote?

Items Vw/Nw:
Scale Vw/Nw:

**Vw:**
1. Certain to vote
2. Likely to vote
3. Might vote
4. Not likely to vote
5. Certain not to vote
6. Have already made a postal vote

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

**Nw:**
1. I did vote
2. I did not vote

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q11aa-b, ba-b</th>
<th>Federal Election first/second vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n11aa-b, ba-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q19aa-b, ba-b</td>
<td>Nw Nw031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw001 = 1-3, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state that they will vote definitely, probably or perhaps or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the hypothetical voting intention or c) don’t answer the question concerning the hypothetical voting intention

**Nw:** Nw035=1 (only respondents who stated that they voted for Federal Election on 22nd September)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** [display model ballot paper; Note answers on list of parties for interviewer]
Respondent is only allowed to mention ONE party per vote

**Nw:** [display model ballot paper; Note answers on list of parties for interviewer; Respondent is only allowed to mention ONE party per vote, if necessary, note open answer]

**Programming note:** Request for “09 other party ______” has to be: “Which other party did you vote with your first vote?” Request for “29 other party ______” has to be: “Which other party did you vote with your second vote?”

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:**
You have two votes in the Federal Election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for Federal Elections. How will you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

**Nw:**
You had two votes in the Federal Election. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for Federal Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.
Items Vw/Nw:
(A) First vote
(B) Second vote

Scale Vw/Nw:
Modell ballot paper for respondents:
First vote:
(01) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRUENE (BUENDNIS90/DIE GRUENEN)
(06) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(07) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(08) AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)
(09) Other party: __________

Second vote:
(21) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRUENE (BUENDNIS90/DIE GRUENEN)
(26) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(27) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(28) AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)
(29) Other party: __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) 1/21 - CDU/CSU
(2) 2/22 - SPD
(3) 3/23 - FDP
(4) 24/24 - DIE LINKE
(5) 25/25 - GRUENE
(6) 6/26 - PIRATEN
(7) 27/27 - NPD
(8) 28/28 - AfD
(9) 29/29 - other party: _______________

(-83) Will cast an invalid vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v12aa-b, ba-b</th>
<th>Intention to vote, absentee ballot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q12aa-b, ba-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW -</td>
<td>NW -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw001 = 6 (only respondents who state that they have already done postal vote)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
Question text Vw:
You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for Federal Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

Items Vw:
(A) First vote
(B) Second vote

Scale Vw:
Model ballot paper for respondents:
First vote:
(01) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(07) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(08) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(09) Other party: __________

Second vote:
(21) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(27) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(28) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(29) Other party: __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) 1/21 - CDU/CSU
(4) 2/22 - SPD
(5) 3/23 - FDP
(7) 4/24 - DIE LINKE
(6) 5/25 - GRÜNE
(215) 6/26 - PIRATEN
(206) 7/27 - NPD
(322) 8/28 - AfD
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayempartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) 9/29 – other party: __________

-83 I have cast an invalid vote
-97 Not applicable
-98 Don't know
Filter by question number Vw:
Vw234 = 1-801 (only respondents who mention a party concerning the voting behaviour at absentee ballot)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[Note open answer; If there are questions: we are talking about the second vote; Please note only the most important reason; If respondent mentions multiple reasons, ask him which the utmost important one is.] Programming note: fill in party, which has been mentioned in Vw234

Question text Vw:
And why did you vote for <second vote according to Vw234>? Please tell me the reason that is most important to you.

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
<Note reason>

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

Filter by question number Vw:
Vw001 = 4, 5 (only respondents who state that they will definitely or probably not vote)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[Note open answer]

Question text Vw:
And why will you probably not vote? Please tell me the reason that is most important to you.

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
<Note reason>

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

Filter by question number Vw:
Vw002 = 1-801 (only respondents who mention a party concerning the intended voting behaviour)
Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list; if there are questions: we are talking about the second vote]

Question text Vw:
How sure are you about the voting decision you just told me: very sure, fairly sure, rather unsure or very unsure?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) Very sure
(2) Fairly sure
(3) Rather unsure
(4) Very unsure

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v14s</th>
<th>Vote: Reason for the decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n14s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q14s</td>
<td>Vw Vw350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q20s</td>
<td>Nw Nw515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw002 = 1-801 (only respondents who mention a party concerning the intended voting behaviour)

Nw: Nw031=1-801 in Item B (only respondents who stated that they voted for a political party with their second vote in Federal Election 2013)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [note open answer; if there are questions: we are talking about the second vote; Please note only the most important reason; If respondent mentions multiple reasons, ask him which the utmost important one is.]

Programming note: Fill in party mentioned in Vw002

Nw: [note open answer; if there are questions: we are talking about the second vote; Please note only the most important reason; If respondent mentions multiple reasons, ask him which the utmost important one is.]

Programming note: Fill in party mentioned in federal election, second vote.

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw:
And why will you vote for <second vote according to Vw002>? Please tell me the reason that is most important to you.

Nw:
And why did you vote for <second vote according to Nw031>? Please tell me the reason that is most important to you.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:

<note reason>

-----------------------------------
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn15a</th>
<th>Political knowledge: 5% barrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q15a</td>
<td>Vw Vw113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q89a</td>
<td>Nw Nw513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; read out parties]

Question text Vw/Nw:
How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the Federal Election? Is it absolutely certain, fairly certain, neither/nor, fairly uncertain or completely improbable? What about…?

Items Vw:
(A) FDP
(B) DIE LINKE
(C) PIRATEN
(D) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Scale Vw:
(1) Absolutely certain
(2) Fairly certain
(3) Neither certain nor improbable
(4) Fairly uncertain
(5) Completely improbable

(-71) Haven’t heard
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn17</th>
<th>Personal economic situation, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q17</td>
<td>Vw Vw030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q63</td>
<td>Nw Nw541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation. How would you evaluate your own current economic situation? Please tell me using this list.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very good
(2) Good
(3) Neither good nor bad
(4) Bad
(5) Very bad

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn18</th>
<th>Personal economic situation, retrospective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q18</td>
<td>Vw Vw029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q64</td>
<td>Nw Nw046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Has your own economic situation improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably in the last one to two years?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v19</th>
<th>Responsibility personal economic situation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q19</td>
<td>Vw Vw014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q65</td>
<td>Nw Nw019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw029 = 1-5 (only respondents who make a statement concerning their own economic situation (retrospective))
Nw: Nw046=1-5 (only respondents who make a statement concerning their own economic situation (retrospective))

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]
**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:**
To what extent do you think that the government’s policies affect this development – a great deal, a fair amount, moderately, not very much, or not at all?

**Nw:**
To what extent do you think that the government’s policies in the last four years have affected this development - a great deal, a fair amount, moderately, not very much, or not at all?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) A great deal
(2) A fair amount
(3) Moderately
(4) Not very much
(5) Not at all

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn20</th>
<th>Personal economic situation, future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q20</td>
<td>Vw Vw031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q66</td>
<td>Nw Nw048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn21a-h</th>
<th>Scalometer, political parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q21a-h</td>
<td>Vw Vw351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q30a-h</td>
<td>Nw Nw543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list; read out parties]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
What do you think of the different parties in general? Please tell me using this scale. -5 means that you do not think much of the party at all, +5 means that you think a great deal of the party. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely. What do you think of the …?

**Items Vw/Nw:**
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) DIE LINKE
(F) GRÜNE
(G) PIRATEN
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-71) I don’t have sufficient knowledge about this party
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn22a-e</th>
<th>Scalometer political leaders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n22f-j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q22a-e</td>
<td>Vw Vw352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q31a-j</td>
<td>Nw Nw544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; read out politicians]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And what do you think of the political leaders? Please rate them by using the scale from -5 to +5 again. If I come to a political leader you haven’t heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. What do you think of …?

Items Vw/Nw:
Vw:
(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Peer Steinbrück
(C) Rainer Brüderle
(D) Gregor Gysi
(E) Jürgen Trittin

Nw:
(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Peer Steinbrück
(C) Rainer Brüderle
(D) Gregor Gysi
(E) Jürgen Trittin

(F) Horst Seehofer
(G) Sigmar Gabriel
(H) Philipp Rösler
(I) Katja Kipping
(J) Claudia Roth

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

----------------------------------
(-71) I don’t know enough about this politician
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn23s</th>
<th>Most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q23s</td>
<td>Vw Vw353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q3s</td>
<td>Nw Nw517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

[Note open answer in keywords; One problem max.!
If respondent mentions multiple important problems, ask which one of them is the utmost important; if there are doubts, point out that you can name a second problem in the next question. It is important that you only note one problem, because this problem will be filtered in the questionnaire.]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

Looking now at the situation in Germany, please name the two most important political problems. In your opinion, what is currently the most important political problem in Germany? At first, please specify only the most important one.

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

<most important problem>

----------------------------------
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn24s</th>
<th>Second most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q24s</td>
<td>Vw Vw354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q4s</td>
<td>Nw Nw518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw353 = Most important problem mentioned (only respondents who mention a most important problem)
**Nw:** Nw517 if mentioned in Nw517 (only respondents who stated a most important problem)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

[Note open answer in keywords; One problem max.!
If respondent mentions multiple important problems, ask which one of them is the second most important. It is important that you only note one problem, because this problem will be filtered in the questionnaire]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

In your opinion, what is currently the second most important political problem in Germany? Please specify only one problem.
Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
<second most important problem>

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn25a-b     Problem solving skills most important problem
Vw q25a-b   Vw Vw361
Nw q5a-b    Vw Vw502

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw353 = Most important problem mentioned (only respondents who mention a most important problem)
Nw: Nw517 if mentioned in Nw517 (only respondents who stated a most important problem)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list and leave displayed]
Nw: [display list and leave displayed; if necessary, note open answer]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw:
Which party, do you think, would be best suited to solve the problem of <first mentioned most important problem according to Vw353>?

Nw:
Which party, do you think, would be best suited to solve the problem of <most important problem>?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
List of parties for respondent:
CDU/CSU
SPD
FDP
DIE LINKE
GRÜNE
PIRATEN
NPD
AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
Other party: __________
No party
All parties are equally qualified

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [also DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party: _______________
(808) None of the parties
(809) All parties are equally qualified

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn26a-b</th>
<th>Problem solving skills second most important problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q26a-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q8a-b</td>
<td>Nw Nw503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw354 = Second most important problem mentioned (only respondents who mention a second most important problem)
Nw: Nw518 if mentioned in Nw518 (only respondents who stated a second most important problem)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [List is still displayed]
Nw: [List is still displayed; if necessary note open answer]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw:
Which party, do you think, would be best suited to solve the problem of <first mentioned second most important problem according to Vw354>?

Nw:
Which party, do you think, would be best suited to solve the problem of <second most important problem>?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
List of parties for respondent:
CDU/CSU
SPD
FDP
DIE LINKE
GRÜNE
PIRATEN
NPD
AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
Other party: __________
None of the parties
All parties are equally qualified

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [also DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801 Other party: _______________
(808) None of the parties
(809) all parties are equally qualified
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v27a-b</th>
<th>Subjective ambivalence, negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q27a-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw352 (Item A) ≠ -71; Vw352 (Item B) ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective politician)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[item A: display list
Item B: if Vw352A≠71: List is still displayed; if Vw352A=-71: display list]

Question text Vw:
Some people have only positive or negative feelings towards the leading candidates. Others have both positive and negative feelings. How is that for you? We would now like to ask you to indicate how strong your negative and how strong your positive feelings towards the leading candidates are. How strong are your negative feelings towards . . . ?

Items Vw:
(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Peer Steinbrück

Scale Vw:
(1) Very strong
(2) Strong
(3) Moderate
(4) Not very strong
(5) No negative feelings at all
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v28a-b</th>
<th>Subjective ambivalence, positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q28a-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw352 (Item A) ≠ -71; Vw352 (Item B) ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective politician)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[item A: display list
Item B: if Vw352A≠71: List is still displayed; if Vw352A=-71: List is displayed]
Question text Vw:
And how strong are your positive feelings towards…?

Items Vw:
(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Peer Steinbrück

Scale Vw:
(1) Very strong
(2) Strong
(3) Moderate
(4) Not very strong
(5) No positive feelings at all

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn29</th>
<th>General economic situation, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q29</td>
<td>Vw Vw034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q9</td>
<td>Nw Nw051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Now, let us shift attention to the economic situation. How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very good
(2) Good
(3) Neither good nor bad
(4) Bad
(5) Very bad

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v30</th>
<th>General economic situation, retrospective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q30</td>
<td>Vw Vw032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q10</td>
<td>Nw Nw398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Nw: -

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw:
And has the general economic situation in Germany improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably in the last one to two years?

Nw:
And has the general economic situation in Germany improved, remained the same or deteriorated in the last one to two years?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:**
1. Improved considerably
2. Improved somewhat
3. Remained the same
4. Deteriorated somewhat
5. Deteriorated considerably

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

**Nw:**
1. Improved
2. Remained the same
3. Deteriorated

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q31</th>
<th>Responsibility general economic situation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw032 = 1-5 (only respondents who make a statement concerning the general economic situation (retrospective))

**Nw:** Nw398=1-3 (only respondents who make a statement concerning the general economic situation (retrospective))

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
To what extent do you think that the government’s policies affect this development - a great deal, a fair amount, moderately, not very much, or not at all?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:**
1. A great deal
2. A fair amount
3. Moderately
4. Not very much
5. Not at all

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q32</th>
<th>General economic situation, future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn33  Regional economic situation, current
Vw q33  Vw Vw036
Nw q67  Nw Nw377

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list and leave displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And how is the current economic situation in the region in which you live? Very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad or very bad?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very good
(2) Good
(3) Neither good nor bad
(4) Bad
(5) Very bad

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn34  European economic situation, current
Vw q34  Vw Vw250
Nw q68  Nw Nw327

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[List is still displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And what about the current economic situation in Europe? Very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad or very bad?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very good
(2) Good
(3) Neither good nor bad
(4) Bad
(5) Very bad

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn35</th>
<th>Debt crisis, fear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q35</td>
<td>Vw Vw251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q90</td>
<td>Nw Nw328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw:
How worried are you about the European debt crisis?

Question text Nw:
Now let's look at the current debt crisis in Europe. How worried are you about the European debt crisis?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very worried
(2) Fairly worried
(3) Moderately worried
(4) A little worried
(5) Not worried at all

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn36</th>
<th>Debt crisis, main blame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q36</td>
<td>Vw Vw253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q91</td>
<td>Nw Nw330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; read out list; only one response]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What do you think, who is to blame for the European debt crisis?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) the banks
(2) Investors
(3) Managers/entrepreneurs
(4) the economy
(5) the Federal Government
(6) German politics (politicians, parties)
(7) the USA
(8) Heavily indebted countries (like Greece, Ireland)
(9) the European Union
(10) the Euro
(11) Somebody else: __________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Debt crisis, concernment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q37</td>
<td>Vw Vw252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q92</td>
<td>Nw Nw329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

[display list]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

How much do you think Germany is affected by the European debt crisis – very strongly, strongly, moderately, a little or not at all?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(1) Very strongly
(2) Strongly
(3) Moderately
(4) A little
(5) Not at all

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Debt crisis, government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n38</td>
<td>Vw Vw254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q38</td>
<td>Nw Nw506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

Vw: [display list and leave displayed]
Nw: [Display list and leave displayed if necessary]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** And how satisfied are you with the way the Federal Government has tackled the European debt crisis?

**Nw:** And how satisfied are you with the way the Federal Government has tackled the European debt crisis in the last four years?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) Not very satisfied
(5) Not at all satisfied

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Debt crisis, Merkel

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw352 (Item A) ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know Angela Merkel)
Nw: Nw544A ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know Angela Merkel)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[List is still displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: And how satisfied are you with the way the chancellor Angela Merkel has tackled the European debt crisis?
Nw: And how satisfied are you with the way the chancellor Angela Merkel has tackled the European debt crisis in the last four years?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) Not very satisfied
(5) Not at all satisfied

------------------
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; read out items]
Programming note: Items rotate

Question text Vw:
There are various opinions on different political issues. What do you think of the following statements? Please use the list.

Question text Nw:
Please say to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements. Do you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) Immigrants should be obliged to assimilate into the German culture.
(B) There should be a statutory quota of women for the supervisory boards of large companies.
(C) The government should stay out of the economy.
(D) The government should take measures to reduce the discrepancies in income.
(E) Regarding the European debt crisis, Germany should provide financial support for the EU member states with financial and economic difficulties.
(F) Citizens should be able to induce a binding referendum at federal level.
(G) The power supply should be secured even by the use of nuclear power.

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Strongly agree
(2) Agree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Disagree
(5) Strongly disagree

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v41</th>
<th>Chancellor preference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q41</td>
<td>Vw Vw020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q84</td>
<td>Nw Nw332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw352 (Item A) ≠ -71 AND Vw352 (Item B) ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know Angela Merkel and Peer Steinbrueck)
Nw: Nw544 (Item A) ≠ -71 AND Nw544 (Item B) ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know Angela Merkel and Peer Steinbrück)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: Now, I would like to know who you would prefer as chancellor after the Federal Election: Angela Merkel or Peer Steinbrück?
Nw: Angela Merkel and Peer Steinbrück were the candidates for chancellor of the two large parties. Which of these two would you prefer as chancellor?

Items Vw/Nw:
Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Angela Merkel
(2) Peer Steinbrück
(3) None of them

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn42a-e</th>
<th>Attributes of the candidates for the position of chancellor, Merkel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q42a-e</td>
<td>Vw Vw019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q85a-e</td>
<td>Nw Nw333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw352 (Item A) ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know Angela Merkel)
Nw: Nw544 (Item A) ≠ -71 (nur Befragte, die angeben, Angela Merkel zu kennen)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list and leave displayed]

Programming note: Items rotate (for both chancellor candidates identically [q42a-e, q43a-e] → viz. if for Merkel (B), (D) (A) (E) (C) then identical order for Steinbrück)

Question text Vw/Nw:
And now a little bit more about Angela Merkel. Please tell me to what degree these statements apply to Angela Merkel in your opinion.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) She is assertive.
(B) She is trustworthy.
(C) She is a likable person.
(D) She has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis.
(E) She redisplays the values and political ideas of the CDU/CSU.
**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn43a-e</th>
<th>Attributes of the candidates for the position of chancellor, Steinbrück</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q43a-e</td>
<td>Vw Vw355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q86a-e</td>
<td>Nw Nw404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
Vw: Vw352 (Item B) ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know Peer Steinbrück)
Nw: Nw544B ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know Peer Steinbrück)

**Interviewer instruction Vw:**
[display list (if Vw018 = -71); List is still displayed (if Vw018B dissimilar -71); read out items]

Programming note: Items rotate (for both chancellor candidates identically [q42a-e, q43a-e] --> viz. if for Merkel (B), (D) (A) (E) (C) then identical order for Steinbrück)

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Please tell me, by using this scale, to what degree these statements apply to Peer Steinbrück.

**Items Vw/Nw:**
(A) He is assertive.
(B) He is trustworthy.
(C) He is a likable person.
(D) He has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis.
(E) He redisplay the values and political ideas of the SPD.

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn44</th>
<th>Right to vote federal election 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q44</td>
<td>Vw Vw191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q25</td>
<td>Nw Nw523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
D01.1 <= 27.09.1991 (up to and including born on 9/27/1991)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[if respondent is confused: this question is necessary, because some respondents might not have had German citizenship back then and thus have not been allowed to vote.]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Looking now at something completely different, were you eligible to vote in the Federal Election on September 27th, 2009?
Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn45 Recall last federal election (voter participation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q45</th>
<th>Vw Vw289</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q26</td>
<td>Nw Nw548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw191 = 1 (only respondents who state that they had been eligible to vote at the federal election in 2009)
Nw: Nw523=1 (only respondents who state that they had been eligible to vote at the federal election in 2009)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: In the last Federal Election on September 27th, 2009 a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you? Did you vote or did you not vote?

Nw: Back then, a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you? Did you vote or did you not vote?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) I did vote
(2) I did not vote

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn46aa-b, ba-b Recall last federal election First/Second vote

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q46aa-b, ba-b</th>
<th>Vw Vw005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q27aa-b, ba-b</td>
<td>Nw Nw243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw289 = 1 (only respondents who state that they cast their vote at the last federal election in 2009)
Nw: Nw548 = 1 Nw548=1 (only respondents who state that they cast their vote at the last federal election in 2009)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Vw: [display model ballot paper; Note answers on list of parties for interviewer; Respondent is only allowed to mention ONE party per vote]

Nw: [display model ballot paper; Note answers on list of parties for interviewer; Respondent is only allowed to mention ONE party per vote; if necessary, note open answer]
Programming note: Request for "09 other party ______" has to be: "Which other party did you vote with your first vote?" Request for "29 other party ______" has to be: "Which other party did you vote with your second vote?"

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** And do you still remember for which party you voted? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

**Nw:** Do you still remember for which party you voted? Please tell me the code on this ballot paper for your first and second vote.

**Items Vw/Nw:**

(A) First vote
(B) Second vote

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

Model ballot paper for respondents:
First vote:
(01) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(07) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(08) Other party: __________

Second vote:
(21) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(27) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(28) Other party: __________

List of parties for interviewer:

(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN
(206) NPD
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayempartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party: ____________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-83) I have cast an invalid vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Question text Vw:
Now let's have a look at the 2013 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year.

Question text Nw:
Now let's have a look at the 2013 Federal Election campaign and the activities of the parties and candidates. Please leave aside all the other elections that have taken place this year.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) Did you go to any of the election meetings or rallies organised by the political parties?
(B) Did you receive any E-Mails or text messages from political parties?
(C) Did you read any information material produced by political parties, such as campaign flyers, handbills, leaflets or posted material?
(D) Did you read any campaign adverts placed by political parties in newspapers or magazines?
(E) Did you see any campaign posters for political parties or candidates?
(F) Did you go to one or several campaign stands here locally?
(G) Did you see any party political broadcasts on television? [ask from 08/25/13]
(H) Have you had direct contact with a local candidate at a campaign stand, at an election meeting, on your own doorstep or elsewhere?
(I) Have you been contacted by phone? [INT.: only calls, no SMS]
(J) Have you been contacted via social networks on the internet, such as Facebook?

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which parties organised the election meetings or rallies you attended?

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [statements are about candidates and parties]
Nw: [display list; statements are about candidates and parties]
Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q49a-i</th>
<th>Party contacts: E-Mails - Parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw Vw200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw Nw284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item B (only respondents who state that they have received e-mails or text
messages during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382=1 in Item B (only respondents who state that they have received e-mails or text messages
during election campaign 2013)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not
mentioned); but multiple answers are possible --> viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them
as 1 via clicking of interviewer

Question text Vw/Nw:
From which parties did you receive e-mails or text messages?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer
Party contacts: E-Mails - candidates

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw200 only ask for items that were mentioned in Vw200
Nw: Nw284 only ask for items that were stated in Nw284

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw: “personally” means “via the candidate”, not “in direct contact with candidate”

Question text Vw/Nw:
Was the e-mail or text message sent by the constituency candidate of the...

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU personally?
(B) SPD personally?
(C) FDP personally?
(D) DIE LINKE personally?
(E) GRÜNE personally?
(F) PIRATEN personally?
(G) NPD personally?
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) personally?

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Party contacts: Info material - parties

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item C (only respondents who state that they have read information material during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382 = 1 in Item C (only respondents who state that they have read information material during election campaign 2013)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible --> viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

Question text Vw/Nw:
From which parties did you read information material, such as campaign flyers, leaflets and similar material?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)
Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn52a-h</th>
<th>Party contacts: Info material - candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q52a-h</td>
<td>Vw Vw357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q44a-h</td>
<td>Nw Nw521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Only ask for items which were mentioned in Vw202
Nw: Nw286 only ask for items which were stated in Nw286

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
“personally” means “via the candidate”, not “in direct contact with candidate”

Question text Vw/Nw:
Was the material provided by the constituency candidate of the...

Items Vw:
(A) CDU/CSU personally?
(B) SPD personally?
(C) FDP personally?
(D) DIE LINKE personally?
(E) GRÜNEN personally?
(F) PIRATEN personally?
(G) NPD personally?
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) personally?

Items Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRUENEN
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn53a-i</th>
<th>Party contacts: campaign adverts - parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q53a-i</td>
<td>Vw Vw205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q45a-i</td>
<td>Nw Nw288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item D (only respondents who state that they have read advertisements during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382=1 in Item D (only respondents who state that they have read advertisements during election campaign 2013)
Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible → viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

Question text Vw/Nw:
From which parties did you read campaign adverts in newspapers or magazines?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn54a-i    Party contacts: posters - parties
Vw q54a-i    Vw Vw206
Nw q46a-i    Nw Nw289

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item E (only respondents who state that they have seen election posters during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382=1 in Item E (only respondents who state that they have seen election posters during election campaign 2013)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible → viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which party election posters did you see?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn55a-h</th>
<th>Party contacts: posters - candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q55a-h</td>
<td>Vw Vw358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q47a-h</td>
<td>Nw Nw522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Only ask for items which were mentioned in Vw206

**Nw:** Nw289 only ask for items which were stated in Nw289

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

Did these posters promote the constituency candidate of the <…>?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

(A) CDU/CSU?
(B) SPD?
(C) FDP?
(D) DIE LINKE?
(E) GRÜNEN?
(F) PIRATEN?
(G) NPD?
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)?

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn56a-i</th>
<th>Party contacts: election booths - parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q56a-i</td>
<td>Vw Vw208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q48a-i</td>
<td>Nw Nw291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw198 = 1 in Item F (only respondents who state that they have been to election stands during election campaign 2013)

**Nw:** Nw382=1 in Item F (only respondents who state that they have been to election stands during election campaign 2013)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

**Nw:** [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

**Programming note:** Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible -> viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

Which party election booths did you visit?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
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(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn57a-i  Party contacts: TV spots - parties
Vw q57a-i  Vw Vw204
Nw q49a-i  Nw Nw292

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item G (only respondents who state that they have seen commercials of parties on TV during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382=1 in Item G (only respondents who state that they have seen commercials of parties on TV during election campaign 2013)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible --> viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which party TV spots did you watch?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
**vn224a-i**  | **Party contacts: direct contact - parties**
---|---
Vw q224a-i | Vw Vw317
Nw q50a-i | Nw Nw509

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item H (only respondents who state that they have been approached directly during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382 = 1 in Item H (only respondents who state that they have been approached directly during election campaign 2013)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible --> viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Which party did he/she belong to?

**Items Vw/Nw:**
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

-------------------

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

---

**vn225a-i**  | **Party contacts: via phone - parties**
---|---
Vw q225a-i | Vw Vw318
Nw q51a-i | Nw Nw511

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item I (only respondents who state that they have received phone calls during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382 = 1 in Item I (only respondents who state that they have received phone calls during election campaign 2013)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible --> viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Which parties contacted you by telephone?
Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn228a-i | Party contacts: social networks - parties
---------|-----------------------------------
Vw q226a-i | Vw Vw319
Nw q52a-i | Nw Nw512

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw198 = 1 in Item J (only respondents who state that they have been contacted via social networks on the internet during election campaign 2013)
Nw: Nw382=1 in Item J (only respondents who state that they have been contacted via social networks on the internet during election campaign 2013)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]
Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Programming note: Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible --> viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which parties contacted you via social networks on the internet (such as Facebook etc.)?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
**Documentation of Questionnaire**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q58</th>
<th>Vw q53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw Vw359</td>
<td>Nw Nw400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

Did you have direct contact with constituency candidates, e.g. at an election meeting or a house call?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(1) Yes
(2) No

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q59a-i</th>
<th>Vw q54a-i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw Vw172</td>
<td>Nw Nw386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

Vw: Vw359 = 1 (only respondents who state that they had personal contact to constituency candidates)

Nw: Nw400=1 (only respondents who state that they had personal contact to constituency candidates)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

Vw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

Nw: [Do NOT read out parties, let respondent mention them spontaneously]

**Programming note:** Please use >-99< as default; if a party is mentioned all other items = >0< (not mentioned); but multiple answers are possible → viz. if respondent mentions another party, mark them as 1 via clicking of interviewer

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

Which party did he/she belong to?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) DIE LINKE
(E) GRÜNE
(F) PIRATEN
(G) NPD
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(I) Other party(-ies)

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
vc60a-h  Left-/right-wing parties
Vw q60a-h  Vw Vw038
Nw q32a-h  Nw Nw018

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
**Vw:** Only ask for items which are ≠ -71 in Vw016 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)
**Nw:** Nw543: only ask for items which are ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
**Vw:** [display list and leave displayed; read out parties]
Programming note: if respondent answers “-71” to item A, don’t ask about other items

**Nw:** [display list and leave displayed; read out parties]
Programming note: only display parties which were known in Nw541

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the following parties on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right?

**Items Vw/Nw:**
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) DIE LINKE
(F) GRÜNE
(G) PIRATEN
(H) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-71) I don’t know these terms
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

**vn61a-b  Top candidates: left-/right-wing**
Vw q61a-b  Vw Vw360
Nw q87a-b  Nw Nw405

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
**Vw:** Only ask Vw038 Item A if Item A in Vw038 ≠ -71 AND Vw352 Item A ≠ -71
(only respondents who state that they know a) the terms and b) Angela Merkel)

Only ask Vw038 Item B if Item A in Vw038 ≠ -71 AND Vw352 Item B ≠ -71
(only respondents who state that they know a) the terms and b) Peer Steinbrueck)
Nw: Nw018A: if Nw018 ≠ -71 AND Nw544A ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know a) the terms and b) Angela Merkel)
Nw018B: if Nw018 ≠ -71 AND Nw544B ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know a) the terms and b) Peer Steinbrueck)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [List is still displayed, leave displayed]
Nw: [display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And when you consider the distinction between left and right in politics again?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) What about Angela Merkel?
(B) And what about Peer Steinbrueck?

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

vn62 | Self-perception: left-right-wing
Vw q62 | Vw Vw040
Nw q33 | Nw Nw019

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw038 Item A ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the terms)
Nw: Nw018 ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the terms)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[List is still displayed]

Question text Vw:
And what about you? How would you describe your own views on a scale from 1 to 11?

Question text Nw:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn63a-f</th>
<th>Position of parties socio-economic dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q63a-f</td>
<td>Vw Vw370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q66a-f</td>
<td>Nw Nw504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Only ask for items which are ≠ -71 for Vw351 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)
Nw: Nw543: only ask items if ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Programming note Vw: only ask for parties which were known in Vw016
Nw: [display list]
Programming note: only ask for parties which were known in Nw543

Frageext Vw/Nw:
Now, several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the views of the political parties, using a scale from 1 to 11. Where would you put the views of ...

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) DIE LINKE
(F) GRÜNE

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 The party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 The party prefers to raise taxes and spend more on social services

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn664a-f</th>
<th>Party position libertarian-authoritarian dimension (integration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q64a-f</td>
<td>Vw Vw371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q67a-f</td>
<td>Nw Nw505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Only ask for items which are ≠ -71 for Vw351 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)
Nw: Nw543: only ask items if ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Programming note: only ask for parties which were known in Vw016
Nw: [display list]
Programming note: only ask for parties which were known in Nw543

Question text Vw/Nw:
And what about immigration? Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate? What do you think is the political point of view of the parties on this issue? Please use the scale from 1 to 11. What do you think is the political point of view of the ...

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) DIE LINKE
(F) GRÜNE

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 Laws on immigration should be easier
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Laws on immigration should be more difficult

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn65a-f</th>
<th>Party position climate change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q65a-f</td>
<td>Vw Vw372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q88a-f</td>
<td>Nw Nw338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Only ask for items which are ≠ -71 for Vw351 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)
Nw: Nw543: only ask item if ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Programming note: only ask for parties which were known in Vw016
Nw: [display list]
Programming note: only ask for parties which were known in Nw543

Question text Vw/Nw:
Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change. What do you think is the opinion of... regarding this issue?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) DIE LINKE
(F) GRÜNE

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 Fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
Economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v66a-f</th>
<th>Position of coalitions socioeconomic dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q66a-f</td>
<td>Vw Vw288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list]

Question text Vw:
What do you think are the views of the following coalitions regarding taxes and social services?

Items Vw:
(A) Black-Yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
(B) Red-Green coalition (SPD and GRUENE)
(C) Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
(D) Black-Green coalition (CDU/CSU and GRUENE)
(E) Traffic light-coalition (SPD, FDP and GRUENE)
(F) Red-Red-Green coalition (SPD, GRUENE and DIE LINKE)

Scale Vw:
(1) 1 Lower taxes, although this results in less social services
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 More social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn67</th>
<th>Own position socioeconomic dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q67</td>
<td>Vw Vw042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q99</td>
<td>Nw Nw525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Nw: [display list and leave displayed]
Question text Vw/Nw:
And what is your opinion about taxes and social services? Please use this scale.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 Lower taxes, although this results in less social services
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 More social services, although this results in raising taxes

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn68</th>
<th>Own position libertarian-authoritarian dimension (integration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q68</td>
<td>Vw Vw044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q100</td>
<td>Nw Nw545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Nw: [display list and leave displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And what is your opinion about immigration? Please use the scale.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 Laws on immigration should be easier
(2) 2
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Laws on immigration should be more difficult

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn69</th>
<th>Own position climate change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q69</td>
<td>Vw Vw258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q101</td>
<td>Nw Nw339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Question text Vw/Nw:
And what is your opinion about the fight against climate change and economic growth? Please use this scale.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn70</th>
<th>Salience socioeconomic dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q70</td>
<td>Vw Vw045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q102</td>
<td>Nw Nw407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list and leave displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
How important is the topic of taxes and social welfare services to you? Please tell me by using this list from "very important" to "not important at all".

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) In between
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn71</th>
<th>Salience, libertarian-authoritarian dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q71</td>
<td>Vw Vw047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q104</td>
<td>Nw Nw150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [List is still displayed]
Nw: [List is still displayed, leave displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And how important is the topic of immigration to you? Please use this list again.
Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) In between
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn72</th>
<th>Salience, climate change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q72</td>
<td>Vw Vw259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q105</td>
<td>Nw Nw340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[List is still displayed]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And how important is the topic of climate change and economic growth to you? Please use this list again.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) In between
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn73a-f</th>
<th>Attitudes to politics in general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q73a-f</td>
<td>Vw Vw117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q106a-f</td>
<td>Nw Nw379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Programming note: Items rotate
Nw: [display list and read out items]
Programming note: Items rotate

Question text Vw/Nw:
Now, I would like to know your opinion about several general statements about politics. Please say from this card how much you agree or disagree with each of these statements.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) I often find political issues difficult to understand.
(B) The political parties are only interested in people’s votes, not in what voters think.
(C) Today’s problems are so complex that politicians are no longer able to solve them.
(D) In a democracy it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.
(E) Socialism is a good idea which has just been poorly implemented in the past.
(F) The world is so complicated that it’s impossible to know how things can carry on.
Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q74</th>
<th>Performance of the federal government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q107</td>
<td>Nw323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Nw: [display list and leave displayed if necessary]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: As to the present Federal Government in Berlin - are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and FDP in the last four years? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with the performance of the government so far, +5 means that you are completely satisfied with the performance of the government. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Nw: Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and FDP in the last four years? Please tell me by using this scale from -5 to +5. -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with the performance of the government so far, +5 means that you are completely satisfied with the performance of the government. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(11) +5 Completely satisfied
(10) +4
(9) +3
(8) +2
(7) +1
(6) 0
(5) -1
(4) -2
(3) -3
(2) -4
(1) -5 Not at all satisfied

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q75a-c</th>
<th>Performance of the governing parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw351 ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)
Nw: Nw543 ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Question text Vw/Nw:
And when you consider each of the governing parties separately, could you please tell me how satisfied or dissatisfied you are with their performance over the last four years? Please tell me by using the scale from -5 to +5 again. How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) FDP

Scale Vw/Nw:
(11) +5 Completely satisfied
(10) +4
(9) +3
(8) +2
(7) +1
(6) 0
(5) -1
(4) -2
(3) -3
(2) -4
(1) -5 Not at all satisfied

--------------------
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn76a-c</th>
<th>Performance of the opposition parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q76a-c</td>
<td>Vw Vw260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q109a-c</td>
<td>Nw Nw409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw351 ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)
Nw: Nw543 ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective party)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Programming note: only ask for parties which were known in Vw351
Nw: [List is still displayed]
Programming note: only ask for parties which were known in Nw543

Question text Vw/Nw:
And how satisfied are you with the performance of each of the opposition parties over the last four years? Please tell me by using the scale from -5 to +5 again. How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) SPD
(B) DIE LINKE
(C) GRÜNE

Scale Vw/Nw:
(11) +5 Completely satisfied
(10) +4
(9) +3
(8) +2
(7) +1
(6) 0
(5) -1
(4) -2
(3) -3
(2) -4
(1) -5 Not at all satisfied

-----------------------------
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn77a-c</th>
<th>Other forms of participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q77a-c</td>
<td>Vw Vw116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q110a-c</td>
<td>Nw Nw098</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:[read out list]

Programming note: Items rotate

Question text Vw/Nw:
If you think back over the last twelve months, did you do any of the following to exercise political influence and to assert your point of view?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) Took part in a community action group.
(B) Took part in a demonstration.
(C) Supported the election campaign of a political party

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

-----------------------------
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn78a-b</th>
<th>Forms of participation – Political party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q78a-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q111a-b</td>
<td>Nw Nw178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw116 = 1 in Item C (only respondents who state that they supported a party in the election campaign)
Nw: Nw098=1 in Item C (only respondents who state that they supported a party in the election campaign)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: -
Nw: [if necessary note open answer]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And which party did you support?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [INT: auch DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler (FW Freie Wähler Bayern e.V.)
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(37) Tierschutzpartei
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) REP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(24) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) other party, namely _______________

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v79a-f</th>
<th>n79a-f</th>
<th>Scalometer, coalitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q79a-f</td>
<td>Vw Vw261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q74a-f</td>
<td>Nw Nw351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list]
Nw: [Display list; read out coalitions]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: Now I would like to ask a question about the possible composition of the Federal Government after the next Federal Election. How desirable are the following possible governing coalitions for you personally, regardless of how likely the respective coalition is in your opinion?

Nw: How desirable are the following possible governing coalitions for you personally, regardless of the outcome of the Federal Election?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
(B) Red-green coalition (SPD and GRUENE)
(C) Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
(D) Black-green coalition (CDU/CSU and GRUENE)
(E) Traffic light coalition (SPD, FDP and GRUENE)
(F) Red-red-green coalition (SPD, GRUENE and DIE LINKE)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome
(10) +4
(9) +3
(8) +2
(7) +1
(6) 0
(5) -1
(4) -2
(3) -3
(2) -4
(1) -5 I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all

(-98) Don't know
And now we would like to ask you about the prospects of success for the different coalition possibilities. How likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other?

Please use the scale from 1 (very unlikely) to 11 (very likely).

**Items Vw:**
- Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
- Red-green coalition (SPD and GRUENE)
- Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
- Black-green coalition (CDU/CSU and GRUENE)
- Traffic light coalition (SPD, FDP and GRUENE)
- Red-red-green coalition (SPD, GRUENE and DIE LINKE)

**Scale Vw:**
1. Very unlikely
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. 7
8. 8
9. 9
10. 10
11. Very likely

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party, namely ________________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v82a-e</th>
<th>Familiarity with constituency candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n82a-e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q82a-e</td>
<td>Vw Vw021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q113a-e</td>
<td>Nw Nw342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

[Do not read out the candidates and parties. Let the respondent mention them spontaneously. Shortened names, such as Willi instead of Wilhelm, or names which are not completely correct, such as Angelika instead of Angela or similar, are counted as correct. If "(2) right name, wrong party", note only the party. Multiple responses are possible. Make sure that the respondent doesn't know further names before you switch to the next question. If no name of any candidate is mentioned, then switch to the next question.]

Programming note: Please use >-5< as default; Interviewerscreen with matrix design (A to E and (1) to (5))

Question text Vw/Nw:

Vw: Do you know one or several of the local constituency candidates and can you tell me for which party they are running in the Federal Election on September 22nd, 2013? Please tell me the names and the corresponding parties of the candidates.

Nw: Do you know one or several of the local constituency candidates and can you tell me for which party they ran in the Federal Election on September 22nd, 2013? Please tell me the names and the corresponding parties of the candidates.

Items Vw/Nw:

(A) <KAND1> (CDU/CSU) 01
(B) <KAND2> (SPD) 04
(C) <KAND4> (FDP) 05
(D) <KAND5> (DIE LINKE) 07
(E) <KAND3> (GRÜNE) 06

Scale Vw/Nw:

(1) Correct name, correct party
(2) Correct name, wrong party; which party:_______
(3) Correct name, no party
(4) Wrong name, but party mentioned
(5) Neither party nor name mentioned
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw021 Item A only if 4, 5 AND no additional 1, 2, 3
Vw021 Item B only if 4, 5 AND no additional 1, 2, 3
Vw021 Item C only if 4, 5 AND no additional 1, 2, 3
Vw021 Item D only if 4, 5 AND no additional 1, 2, 3
Vw021 Item E only if 4, 5 AND no additional 1, 2, 3
(only respondents who state a wrong name or did not give an answer to the open question concerning the constituency candidates and who did not make any further correct answers)
Nw: Nw342: only ask items if Nw342 = 4, 5 AND no additional 1, 2, 3 (only respondents who state a wrong name or did not give an answer to the open question concerning the constituency candidates and who did not make any further correct answers)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Read out ONLY the candidate's name; do not read out the parties; only serves to detect if the respondent has assigned the candidate to the correct party; if "(3) wrong party", note the mentioned party]
Nw: [Read out ONLY the candidate's name; do not read out the parties; only serves to detect if the respondent has assigned the candidate to the correct party]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: In addition to the persons you already mentioned there are further persons who are running as direct candidates in your local constituency. Do you know one or several of them? Can you also tell me for which parties they are competing?
Nw: In addition to the persons you already mentioned there were further persons who ran as direct candidates in your local constituency. Do you know one or several of them? Can you also tell me for which parties they were competing?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) <KAND1> (CDU/CSU) 01
(B) <KAND2> (SPD) 04
(C) <KAND4> (FDP) 05
(D) <KAND5> (DIE LINKE) 07
(E) <KAND3> (GRUENE) 06

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) I don’t know the candidate
(2) Correct party
(3) Wrong party, which ____________

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw021 if all items in Vw021 = 5 (only respondents who did not give open statements to the question concerning the constituency candidates)
Nw: Nw342: if all items =5 (only respondents who did not give open statements to the question concerning the constituency candidates)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[Do NOT read out the parties in brackets; only serves to detect if the respondent has assigned the candidate to the correct party; if "(3) wrong party", note the mentioned party]
Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: Now I will read out to you a list with persons who are running as direct candidates in your local constituency. Do you know one or several of them? Can you also tell me for which party they are competing?

Nw: Now I will read out to you a list with persons who ran as direct candidates in your local constituency. Do you know one or several of them? Can you also tell me for which party they were competing?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) <KAND1> (CDU/CSU) 01
(B) <KAND2> (SPD) 04
(C) <KAND4> (FDP) 05
(D) <KAND5> (DIE LINKE) 07
(E) <KAND3> (GRUENE) 06

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) I don’t know the candidate
(2) Correct party
(3) Wrong party, which ______________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

v85 Election campaign – local constituency candidate, CDU/CSU
n85
Vw q85 Vw Vw280
Nw q116 Nw Nw345

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw021 = 1 in item A
Vw263 = 2 in item A
Vw264 = 2 in item A
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of CDU/CSU)
Nw: Nw342A=1 or Nw343A=2 or Nw344A=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of CDU/CSU)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign (q85, q87, q89, q91, q93)]

Nw: [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign:
Adapt sex, depending on candidate]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: Now we would like to know how you perceive the election in your local constituency. When you think of the election campaign of [name of CDU or CSU local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that he wants to draw as much attention to himself or to his party? How would you classify the campaign on a scale from 1 to 11, at which 1 means, he directs "as much attention to the person" and 11 means he directs "as much attention to the party".

Nw: Now, we would like to know how you perceive the election campaign in your constituency. When you think of the election campaign of [name of CDU or CSU local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that she/he wants to draw as much attention as possible to herself/himself or to her/his party? Please tell me, using a scale from 1 to 11, how you would classify the election campaign. 1 means, she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the person" and 11 means she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the party".

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 As much attention as possible to herself/himself
As much attention as possible to her/his party

(-72) Have not perceived the candidate in the election campaign
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn86</th>
<th>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, CDU/CSU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q86</td>
<td>Vw Vw300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q117</td>
<td>Nw Nw365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Vw: Vw021 = 1 in item A
Vw263 = 2 in item A
Vw264 = 2 in item A

(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of CDU/CSU)

Nw: Nw342A=1 or Nw343A=2 or Nw344A=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the
constituency candidate of CDU/CSU)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Vw: [display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate (q86, q88, q90, q92, q94)]

Nw: [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate]

Question text Vw/Nw:

And please tell me if you think a great deal of [name of CDU or CSU local constituency candidate] or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:

(1) -5 I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of this person

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v87</th>
<th>Election campaign – local constituency candidate, SPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q87</td>
<td>Vw Vw281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q118</td>
<td>Nw Nw346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Vw: Vw021 = 1 in item B
Vw263 = 2 in item B
Vw264 = 2 in item B
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of SPD)
Nw: Nw342B=1 or Nw343B=2 or Nw344B=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of SPD)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
Vw: [display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign (q85, q87, q89, q91, q93)]
Nw: [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign; adapt sex, depending on candidate]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Vw: When you think of the election campaign of [name of SPD local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that she/he wants to draw as much attention as possible to herself/himself or to her/his party? Please tell me, using a scale from 1 to 11, how you would classify the election campaign. 1 means, she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the person" and 11 means she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the party."

Nw: When you think of the election campaign of [name of SPD local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that she/he wants to draw as much attention as possible to herself/himself or to her/his party? Please tell me, using a scale from 1 to 11, how you would classify the election campaign. 1 means, she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the person" and 11 means she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the party."

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) 1 As much attention as possible to herself/himself
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 As much attention as possible to her/his party

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-72) Have not perceived the candidate in the election campaign
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn88</th>
<th>Valuation oft he known local constituency candidate, SPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q88</td>
<td>Vw Vw301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q119</td>
<td>Nw Nw366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
Vw: Vw021 = 1 in item B
Vw263 = 2 in item B
Vw264 = 2 in item B
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of SPD)
Nw: Nw342B=1 or Nw343B=2 or Nw344B=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of SPD)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
Vw: [display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate (q86, q88, q90, q94)]
Nw: [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
And please tell me if you think a great deal of [name of SPD local constituency candidate] or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) -5 I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of this person

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Election campaign – local constituency candidate, FDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>q89</td>
<td>Vw Vw283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q120</td>
<td>Nw Nw348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw021 = 1 in item C
Vw263 = 2 in item C
Vw264 = 2 in item C

(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of FDP)

**Nw:** Nw342C=1 or Nw343C=2 or Nw344C=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of FDP)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** [display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign (q85, q87, q89, q91, q93)]

**Nw:** [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign; adapt sex, depending on candidate]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Now we would like to know how you perceive the election in your local constituency. When you think of the election campaign of [name of FDP local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that he wants to draw as much attention to himself or to his party? How would you classify the campaign on a scale from 1 to 11, at which 1 means, he directs “as much attention to the person” and 11 means he directs “as much attention to the party”?

**Nw:** When you think of the election campaign of [name of FDP local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that she/he wants to draw as much attention to herself/himself or to her/his party? Please tell me, using a scale from 1 to 11, how you would classify the election campaign. 1 means, she/he directs “as much attention as possible to the person” and 11 means she/he directs “as much attention as possible to the party”.

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) 1 As much attention as possible to herself/himself
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 As much attention as possible to her/his party

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-72) Have not perceived the candidate in the election campaign
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn90</th>
<th>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, FDP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q90</td>
<td>Vw Vw303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q121</td>
<td>Nw Nw368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

**Vw:** Vw021 = 1 in item C
Vw263 = 2 in item C
Vw264 = 2 in item C
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of FDP)

**Nw:** Nw342C=1 or Nw343C=2 or Nw344C=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of FDP)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** [display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate (q86, q88, q90, q94)]

**Nw:** [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

And please tell me if you think a great deal of [name of FDP local constituency candidate] or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(1) -5 I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of this person

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn91</th>
<th>Election campaign – local constituency candidate, DIE LINKE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n91</td>
<td>Vw q91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw Vw284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q122</td>
<td>Nw Nw349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw021 = 1 in item D
Vw263 = 2 in item D
Vw264 = 2 in item D  
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of DIE LINKE)  
Nw: Nw342D=1 or Nw343D=2 or Nw344D=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of DIE LINKE)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**  
**Vw:** [display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign (q85, q87, q89, q91, q93)]  
**Nw:** [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign; adapt sex, depending on candidate]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**  
**Vw:** When you think of the election campaign of [name of DIE LINKE local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that she/he wants to draw as much attention as possible to herself/himself or to her/his party? Please tell me, using a scale from 1 to 11, how you would classify the election campaign. 1 means, she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the person" and 11 means she/he directs "as much attention as possible to the party".  
**Nw:** When you think of the election campaign of [name of DIE LINKE local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that she/he wanted to draw as much attention as possible to herself/himself or to her/his party? Please tell me, using a scale from 1 to 11, how you would classify the election campaign. 1 means, she/he directed "as much attention as possible to the person" and 11 means she/he directed "as much attention as possible to the party".

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**  
(1) 1 As much attention as possible to herself/himself  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7  
(8) 8  
(9) 9  
(10) 10  
(11) 11 As much attention as possible to her/his party

-------------
(-72) Have not perceived the candidate in the election campaign  
(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don't know  
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn92</th>
<th>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, DIE LINKE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q92</td>
<td>Vw Vw304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q123</td>
<td>Nw Nw369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**  
**Vw:** Vw021 = 1 in item D  
Vw263 = 2 in item D  
Vw264 = 2 in item D  
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of DIE LINKE)  
**Nw:** Nw342D=1 or Nw343D=2 or Nw344D=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of DIE LINKE)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**  
**Vw:** [display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate (q86, q88, q90, q94)]  
**Nw:** [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**  
And please tell me if you think a great deal of [name of DIE LINKE local constituency candidate], or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

**Items Vw/Nw:**
Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) -5 I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of this person
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q93</th>
<th>Vw282</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q124</td>
<td>Nw347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Election campaign – local constituency candidate, GRÜNE

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw021 = 1 in item E
Vw263 = 2 in item E
Vw264 = 2 in item E
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of GRÜNE)

Nw: Nw342E=1 or Nw343E=2 or Nw344E=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of GRÜNE)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign (q85, q87, q89, q91, q93)]
Nw: [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to election campaign; adapt sex, depending on candidate]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: Now we would like to know how you perceive the election in your local constituency.
When you think of the election campaign of [name of GRÜNE local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that he wants to draw as much attention to himself or to his party? How would you classify the campaign on a scale from 1 to 11, at which 1 means, he directs "as much attention to the person" and 11 means he directs "as much attention to the party."
Nw: When you think of the election campaign of [name of GRÜNE local constituency candidate], do you have the impression that she/he wanted to draw as much attention as possible to herself/himself or to her/his party? Please tell me, using a scale from 1 to 11, how you would classify the election campaign. 1 means, she/he directed "as much attention as possible to the person" and 11 means she/he directed "as much attention as possible to the party."

Items Vw/Nw:
Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 As much attention as possible to herself/himself
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 As much attention as possible to her/his party
(-72) Have not perceived the candidate in the election campaign
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn94</th>
<th>Valuation of the known local constituency candidate, GRÜNE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q94</td>
<td>Vw Vw302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q125</td>
<td>Nw Nw367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw021 = 1 in item E
Vw263 = 2 in item E
Vw264 = 2 in item E
(only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of GRÜNE)
Nw: Nw342E=1 or Nw343E=2 or Nw344E=2 (only respondents who have knowledge of the constituency candidate of GRÜNE)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate (q86, q88, q90, q94)]
Nw: [Display list and use it for all inquiries related to valuation of the local constituency candidate]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And please tell me if you think a great deal of [name of GRÜNE local constituency candidate] or if you do not think much of him/her at all. Please refer to the corresponding value on this list.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) -5 I do not think much of this person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of this person

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn95a-e</th>
<th>Representation norm, voters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q95a-e</td>
<td>Vw Vw119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q126a-e</td>
<td>Nw Nw360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
There are different views about who a representative should represent. Please tell me, using this scale how important the following is to you: the delegate should...

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) represent his/her voters in the local constituency.
(B) represent all citizens living in the constituency.
(C) represent all those who voted for her/his party.
(D) represent a particular group of society.
(E) represent all citizens living in Germany.

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) In between
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn96a-d</th>
<th>Expectations of parliamentary deputies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q96a-d</td>
<td>Vw Vw120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q127a-d</td>
<td>Nw Nw380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
There are also different views about what a representative should mainly do for her/his constituency. Please tell me how important the following is to you: the delegate should…

**Items Vw/Nw:**
(A) Offer help and help individual citizens with specific problems.
(B) Enforce the political interests of the voters in her/his constituency.
(C) Ensure the economic prosperity of the constituency.
(D) be available for to discuss matters in depth with voters.

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) In between
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn97</th>
<th>Most important source of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q97</td>
<td>Vw Vw053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q129</td>
<td>Nw Nw526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Vw: Of all the ways that you can follow news about politics during the election campaign in Germany, which one would you say you get the most information from, regarding the parties and the Federal Election campaign - television, newspaper, radio, internet, personal conversations or another source?  
Nw: Of all the ways that you could follow news about politics during the election campaign in Germany, which one would you say you got the most information from, regarding the parties and the Federal Election campaign - television, newspaper, radio, internet, personal conversations or another source?

**Items Vw/Nw:**
(1) Television
(2) Newspaper
(3) Radio
(4) Internet
(5) Personal conversations
(6) Another source

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v98a</th>
<th>Use of TV news programmes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n98a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q98a-e</td>
<td>Vw Vw054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q130a-e</td>
<td>Nw Nw247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [display list; read out each TV news programme;
for (A) to (E): also if watched on the Internet;
for (A): also if watched on other channels (such as on regional public-service stations or on the public-service station Phoenix);
for (B): also if watched on other channels (such as 3sat or Phoenix).
Nw: [Display list and read out each TV news programme],
for (A) to (E): also if watched on the Internet;
for (A): also if watched on other channels (such as on regional public-service stations or on the public-service station Phoenix);
for (B): also if watched on other channels (such as 3sat or Phoenix).]

[Programming note: Please set default to >-99<; if a TV news programme or channel is mentioned, set all other items to (2) no -> Multiple response is possible, --> that means, if respondent names other TV news programmes, set them to 1 by clicking of the interviewer]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: This list contains news programmes broadcast by different television channels. Please tell me which of these news programmes you watch occasionally or regularly.
Nw: This list contains news programmes broadcast by different television channels. Please tell me which of these news programmes you have watched occasionally or regularly during the election campaign of the federal election.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
(B) heute/heute journal (ZDF)
(C) RTL Aktuell (RTL)
(D) Sat.1 Nachrichten (Sat.1)
(E) News programmes broadcast by other channel (e.g. n-tv, Pro7)

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v99</th>
<th>Use of TV news programmes: ARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q99</td>
<td>Vw Vw064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q131</td>
<td>Nw Nw527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw054 = 1 in item A (only respondents who state to watch TV news of ARD)
Nw: Nw247 = 1 in Item A (only respondents who state to watch TV news of ARD)
Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[Including on other channels (such as on regional public-service stations or on the public-service station Phoenix) or on the Internet]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: On how many days per week, on average, do you watch the "Tagesschau" or "Tagesthemen" on "ARD"?
Nw: On how many days per week, on average, did you watch "Tagesschau" or "Tagesthemen" on ARD during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Use of TV news programmes: ZDF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n100</th>
<th>Vw q100</th>
<th>Vw Vw066</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vw q100</td>
<td>Vw Vw066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw054 = 1 in item B (only respondents who state to watch TV news of ZDF)
Nw: Nw247B=1 (only respondents who state to watch TV news of ZDF)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[Including on other channels (such as 3sat or Phoenix) or on the Internet]

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[Including on other channels (such as on regional public-service stations or on the public-service station Phoenix) or on the Internet]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: And on how many days per week, on average, do you watch "Heute" or "Heute-Journal", the newscasts of "ZDF"?
Nw: And on how many days per week, on average, did you watch the newscasts "heute" or "heute-Journal" on ZDF during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v101</th>
<th>Use of TV news programmes: RTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q101</td>
<td>Vw Vw226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q133</td>
<td>Nw Nw252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw054 = 1 in item C (only respondents who state to watch TV news of RTL)
Nw: Nw247C=1 (only respondents who state to watch TV news of RTL)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[also if watched on the Internet]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: And on how many days per week, on average, do you watch "RTL aktuell"?
Nw: And on how many days per week, on average, did you watch "RTL Aktuell" during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

---------

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v102</th>
<th>Use of TV news programmes: Sat. 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q102</td>
<td>Vw Vw229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q134</td>
<td>Nw Nw254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw054 = 1 in item D (only respondents who state to watch TV news of Sat.1)
Nw: Nw247D=1 (only respondents who state to watch TV news of Sat. 1)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[also if watched on the Internet]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: And on how many days per week, on average, do you watch "Sat.1 Nachrichten"?
Nw: And on how many days per week, on average, did you watch "Sat. 1 Nachrichten" during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v103a-i</th>
<th>Use of daily newspapers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n103a-i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q103a-i</td>
<td>Vw Vw179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q135a-i</td>
<td>Nw Nw256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list; only print version; for (C): if "Welt kompakt" is mentioned spontaneously assign to (C)]

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[Display list; only print version not online; for (C): if "Welt kompakt" is mentioned spontaneously assign to (C)]

Programming note: please set default to >-99<; if a daily newspaper is mentioned, set all others to (2) no

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly.
Nw: This list includes various daily newspapers or kinds of daily newspaper. Please tell me which of these newspapers you read occasionally or regularly during election campaign of the federal election.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) BILD
(B) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (FAZ)
(C) Die Welt
(D) Sueddeutsche Zeitung (SZ)
(E) Frankfurter Rundschau (FR)
(F) die tageszeitung (taz)
(G) A local or regional newspaper
(H) A second, additional local or regional newspaper
(I) One or more other daily newspapers

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n104</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: BILD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q104</td>
<td>Vw Vw055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q136</td>
<td>Nw Nw529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw179 = 1 in item A (only respondents who state to read the BILD newspaper)
Nw: Nw256=1 in item A (only respondents who state to read the BILD newspaper)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[only print version, not online]
**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the "BILD-Zeitung"?

**Nw:** On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the "BILD-Zeitung" during the election campaign?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

- (0) Less than 1 day per week
- (1) 1 day
- (2) 2 days
- (3) 3 days
- (4) 4 days
- (5) 5 days
- (6) 6 days
- (7) 7 days

**(-97) Not applicable**

**(-98) Don’t know**

**(-99) No answer**

---

**v105**  
**Frequency with which daily newspaper read: FAZ**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q105</th>
<th>Vw Vw057</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q137</td>
<td>Nw Nw530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw179 = 1 in item B (only respondents who state to read the FAZ newspaper)

**Nw:** Nw256=1 in item B (only respondents who state to read the FAZ newspaper)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[only print version, not online]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"?

**Nw:** On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung" during the election campaign?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

- (0) Less than 1 day per week
- (1) 1 day
- (2) 2 days
- (3) 3 days
- (4) 4 days
- (5) 5 days
- (6) 6 days
- (7) 7 days

**(-97) Not applicable**

**(-98) Don’t know**

**(-99) No answer**

---

**v106**  
**Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Die Welt**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q106</th>
<th>Vw Vw060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q138</td>
<td>Nw Nw531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[only print version, not online]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the daily newspaper "Die Welt"?

**Nw:** On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the daily newspaper "Die Welt" during the election campaign?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q107</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Süddeutsche Zeitung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw Vw177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw Vw177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW q139</td>
<td>NW NW532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw179 = 1 in item D (only respondents who state to read the Süddeutsche Zeitung newspaper)

**Nw:** Nw256=1 in item D (only respondents who state to read the Süddeutsche Zeitung newspaper)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[only print version, not online]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the "Süddeutsche Zeitung"?

**Nw:** On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the "Süddeutsche Zeitung" during the election campaign?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v108</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: Frankfurter Rundschau</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q108</td>
<td>Vw Vw061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW q140</td>
<td>NW NW533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw179 = 1 in item E (only respondents who state to read the Frankfurter Rundschau newspaper)
Nw: Nw256=1 in item E (only respondents who state to read the Frankfurter Rundschau newspaper)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[only print version, not online]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the "Frankfurter Rundschau"?
Nw: On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the "Frankfurter Rundschau" during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v109</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: taz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q109</td>
<td>Vw Vw211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW q141</td>
<td>NW NW534</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw179 = 1 in item F (only respondents who state to read the taz newspaper)
Nw: Nw256=1 in item F (only respondents who state to read the taz newspaper sometimes or regularly during the election campaign)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[only print version, not online]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the "taz"?
Nw: On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the "taz" during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v110</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 1st local newspaper (2 newspapers mentioned)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q110</td>
<td>Vw Vw236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q142</td>
<td>Nw Nw535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw179 = 1 in item G AND Vw179 = 1 in item H
(only respondents who state to read two different local daily newspapers)
Nw: Nw256G=1 AND Nw256H=1 (only respondents who state to read two different local daily newspapers)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[only print version]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: On how many days per week, on average, do you read political reports in the first local or regional newspaper you just mentioned?
Nw: On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the first local or regional newspaper you just mentioned during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v111</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 1st local newspaper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q111</td>
<td>Vw Vw202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q143</td>
<td>Nw Nw536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw179 = 1 in item G AND Vw179 = 2, -98, -99 in item H
(only respondents who state to read one local daily newspaper)
Nw: Nw256G=1 AND Nw256H=2, -98, -99 (only respondents who state to read one local daily newspaper)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[only print version, not online]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the local or regional newspaper you just mentioned?
Nw: On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the local or regional newspaper you just mentioned during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

---------

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v112</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: 2nd local newspaper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n112</td>
<td>Vw q112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nw q144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw179 = 1 in item H (only respondents who state to read two different local daily newspapers)
Nw: Nw256=1 in item H (only respondents who state to read two different local daily newspapers)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[only print version, not online]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the second local or regional newspaper you just mentioned?
Nw: On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the second local or regional newspaper you just mentioned during the election campaign?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

---------

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v113</th>
<th>Frequency with which daily newspaper read: other national daily newspaper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n113</td>
<td>Vw q113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nw q145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw179 = 1 in item I (only respondents who state to read an additional local daily newspaper)
**Nw**: Nw256=1 in item I (only respondents who state to read an additional local daily newspaper)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[only print version, not online]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw**: On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about politics in Germany in the other daily newspaper you just mentioned?

**Nw**: On how many days per week, on average, did you read political reports in the other newspaper you just mentioned during the election campaign?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn114</th>
<th>Use of the Internet, general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q114</td>
<td>Vw Vw305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q146</td>
<td>Nw Nw387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

On how many days per week, on average, do you use the internet?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(0) Less than 1 day per week
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(8) Never use the Internet
(9) No Internet Access

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
**Use of the Internet, news**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q115</th>
<th>Vw Vw306</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q147</td>
<td>Nw Nw388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
- **Vw**: Vw305 = 0-7 (only respondents who state to use the Internet)
- **Nw**: Nw387=0-7 (only respondents who state to use the Internet)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[are meant news sites like e.g.: Spiegel online or t-online; in newspapers / magazines: also ePaper editions; regardless of the device (also App on Smartphone or Tablet-PC]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
On how many days per week, on average, do you use the websites of newspapers, television channels or other providers to inform yourself about political news?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Vw/Nw:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0) Less than 1 day per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) 1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) 2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) 4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) 5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) 6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) 7 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

**Use of the Internet, parties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q116</th>
<th>Vw Vw307</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q148</td>
<td>Nw Nw389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
- **Vw**: Vw305 = 0-7 (only respondents who state to use the Internet)
- **Nw**: Nw387=0-7 (only respondents who state to use the Internet)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
What about websites of political parties and candidates? Did you use these websites during this election campaign?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Vw/Nw:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

**Use of the Internet, parties, single**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q117a-i</th>
<th>Vw Vw308</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q149a-i</td>
<td>Nw Nw390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
**Vw:** Vw307 = 1 (only respondents who state that they have used the Internet services of parties/candidates)

**Nw:** Nw389=1 (only respondents who state that they have used the Internet services of parties/candidates)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** [if name of candidate is mentioned, ask and register the related party]

**Nw:** [if name of candidate is mentioned, ask and register the related party]

**Programming note:** set default to >-99<, if respondent mentions something, set all other items to >0<

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

Which parties did the websites belong to?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

(A) Website: CDU/CSU

(B) Website: SPD

(C) Website: FDP

(D) Website: DIE LINKE

(E) Website: GRUENE

(F) Website: PIRATEN

(G) Website: NPD

(H) Website: AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)

(I) Website: other party

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(0) Not mentioned

(1) Mentioned

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable

(-98) Don’t know

(-99) No answer

---

vn118 | Use of the Internet special information services
--- | ---
Vw q118 | Vw Vw309
Nh q150 | Nh Nh39

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** Vw305 = 0-7 (only respondents who state to use the Internet)

**Nw:** Nw387=0-7 (only respondents who state to use the Internet)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

[information services on the Internet are meant]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:** And what about special information offerings, such as the "Wahl-o-mat" or "Kandidatenwatch": Did you use any of them during this election campaign?

**Nw:** And what about special information offerings, such as the "Wahl-o-mat" or "Kandidatenwatch": Did you use any of them during the election campaign?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

(1) Yes

(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable

(-98) Don’t know

(-99) No answer
Now, let's look at the political parties. In Germany, many people lean toward a particular political party for a long time, although occasionally, they vote for another party. How about you, do you lean toward a particular political party? If yes, which party is that?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

List of parties for interviewer:

1. CDU/CSU
2. CDU
3. CSU
4. SPD
5. FDP
6. DIE LINKE
7. GRÜNE
8. PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
9. NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
10. AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
11. Freie Wähler
12. REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
13. Tierschutzpartei
14. ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
15. FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
16. RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
17. RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
18. BP (Bayernpartei)
19. PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
20. BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
21. DIE VIOLETTEN
22. Other party, namely ________________
23. No party

-98 Don’t know
-99 No answer
Documentation of Questionnaire

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very strongly
(2) Fairly strongly
(3) Moderately
(4) Fairly weakly
(5) Very weakly

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn121</th>
<th>Persistence party identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q121</td>
<td>Vw Vw123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q161</td>
<td>Nw Nw104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw121 = 1-801 (only respondents who have mentioned a party concerning the question on party identification)
Nw: Nw542=1-801 (only respondents who have mentioned a party concerning the question on party identification)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And for how long have you thought of yourself as being close to this particular political party?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) As long as I can remember
(2) For many years
(3) A few years
(4) A few months
(5) A few weeks

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn122</th>
<th>Form of party identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q122</td>
<td>Vw Vw087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q162</td>
<td>Nw Nw381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Vw121 = 1-801 (only respondents who have mentioned a party concerning the question on party identification)
Nw: Nw542=1-801 (only respondents who have mentioned a party concerning the question on party identification)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; read out values]

Question text Vw/Nw:
As part of our study we would like to find out what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party or identify more closely with one party rather than with other parties. Please tell me which of these statements best applies to you.
Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 This party is very important to me. What happens to this party is not a matter of indifference to me.
(2) 2 The party itself is not all that important to me, but it does have better politicians than the others at the moment.
(3) 3 I don’t feel particularly attached to this party, but in the past I did vote for it frequently.
(4) 4 The party itself is not all that important to me, but it does have better policies than the others at the moment.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v123</th>
<th>General Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q123</td>
<td>Vw Vw118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list]

Question text Vw:
Quite generally speaking, on balance, would you say that most people can't be trusted or that most people can be trusted? Please use the scale from 1 to 11 to indicate your view. 1 means that most people can't be trusted and 11 means that most people can be trusted. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) 1 Most people can't be trusted
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Most people can be trusted

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn124a-h</th>
<th>Personality battery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q124a-h</td>
<td>Vw Vw153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q157a-h</td>
<td>Nw Nw165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Programming note: separate rotation respectively from A to E and from F to H --> i.e. A to E rotate first, afterwards F to G

Question text Vw/Nw:
Please tell me for each of the following statements, using this scale, to what extent they apply to you.
Items Vw/Nw:
(A) I tend to be somewhat shy and reserved.
(B) I easily trust other people and see the good in others.
(C) I perform tasks very thoroughly.
(D) I have an active imagination and am inventive.
(E) I easily get nervous and uneasy.
(F) I make up my own mind about everything.
(G) I don't find it satisfying to think deeply about things for hours on end.
(H) I usually make important decisions quickly and confidently.

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Agree
(5) Strongly agree

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn125</th>
<th>European Parliament – Intention to vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q125</td>
<td>Vw Vw266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q77</td>
<td>Nw Nw324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q126a-b</th>
<th>European Parliament – Intention to vote, ballot paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q126a-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q78a-b</td>
<td>Nw Nw325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Vw: Vw266 = 1-3, -98, -99 (only respondents who – concerning the European election – a) state that they will vote definitely, probably or perhaps or b) answer "don't know" to the question concerning the intention to vote or c) don't answer the question concerning the intention to vote)

Nw: Nw324=1-3, -98, -99 (only respondents who – concerning the European election – a) state that they will vote definitely, probably or perhaps or b) answer "don't know" to the question concerning the intention to vote or c) don’t answer the question concerning the intention to vote)
German Longitudinal Election Study 2013: Pre- and Post-election Cross Section (Cumulation)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Display example ballot paper; fill in answers in the interviewer’s party list]
Nw: [Display example ballot paper; Fill in answers in the interviewer’s party list; Respondent is only allowed to mention one party; if necessary note open answer]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for the elections to the European Parliament. How will you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your vote.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
Example ballot paper for respondents:
(1) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(2) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(3) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(4) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(5) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(6) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(7) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(8) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(9) Other party, namely __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) 1 CDU/CSU
(4) 2 SPD
(5) 3 FDP
(7) 4 DIE LINKE
(6) 5 GRÜNE
(215) 6 PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) 7 NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
(322) 8 AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) 9 other party, namely __________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-83) Annul ballot
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Vw:
Ask question up to and including 14.9.13 AND
Federal state = 2 (Bavaria) AND
D01.1 < 14.9.1995 (18 years and older)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list]

Question text Vw:
On September 15th, 2013 State Elections will take place in Bavaria. Please tell me according to this list how likely it is that you will vote in the State Elections in Bavaria.

**Items Vw:**

**Scale Vw:**
1. Certain to vote
2. Likely to vote
3. Might vote
4. Not likely to vote
5. Certain not to vote
6. Already voted

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-86) Not entitled to vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q128</th>
<th>Federal state election Hesse – Intention to vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw q79</td>
<td>Vw Vw312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nw Nw362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw:**
Federal state = 7 (Hesse) AND D01.1 < 23.9.1995 (18 years and older)

**Filtered by question number Nw:**
Federal state=7 (Hesse) AND D01.1: up to 22.09.1995 (only respondents who live in Hesse and are 18 years or older)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
Vw: [display list]
Nw: -

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Vw: On September 22nd, 2013 State Elections will take place in Hesse. Please tell me according to this list how likely it is that you will vote in the State Elections in Hesse.
Nw: In the State Election on September 22nd a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you? Did you vote or did you not vote?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
Vw:
1. Certain to vote
2. Likely to vote
3. Might vote
4. Not likely to vote
5. Certain not to vote
6. Already voted

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-86) Not entitled to vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Nw:
(1) I did vote
(2) I did not vote

(-86) Not entitled to vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v129aa-b, ba-b</th>
<th>Federal state election Bavaria – Intention to vote, ballot paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q129aa-b,</td>
<td>Vw Vw269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba-b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Ask question up to and including 14.9.13 AND
Federal state = 2 (Bavaria) AND
Vw310 = 1-3, -98,-99 (only respondents who – concerning the state election in Bavaria – a) state that they will vote definitely, probably or perhaps or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the voting intention or c) don’t answer the question concerning the voting intention)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[Display example ballot paper;
Fill in answers in the interviewer’s party list
Respondent may call only ONE party per vote]

Question text Vw:
You have two votes in the State Election in Bavaria. The first vote is for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote is for a candidate in your local constituency. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for State Elections. How will you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

Items Vw:
(A) First vote
(B) Second vote

Scale Vw:
Example ballot paper for respondents

First vote
(01) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) FW Freie Wähler (FW Freie Wähler Bayern e.V.)
(07) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(08) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(09) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(10) Other party, namely __________

Second vote
(21) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) FW Freie Wähler (FW Freie Wähler Bayern e.V.)
(27) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(28) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(29) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(30) Other party, namely __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(3) 1/21 CSU
(4) 2/22 SPD
(5) 3/23 FDP
(7) 4/24 DIE LINKE
(6) 5/25 GRÜNE
(180) 6/26 FW Freie Wähler
(215) 7/27 PIRATEN
(206) 8/28 NPD
(322) 9/29 AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(128) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(209) 10/30 other party, namely _______________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-83) Annul ballot
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Notice:
Model ballot paper valid till 13/08/2013.
As from 13/08/2013: without AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) since AfD did not run in the Federal State Election in Bavaria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v130aa-b, ba-b</th>
<th>Federal state election Hesse – Intention to vote, ballot paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n130aa-b, ba-b</td>
<td>Vw q130aa-b, ba-b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q81aa-b, ba-b</td>
<td>Nw Nw392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Federal state = 7 (Hesse) AND
Vw312 = 1-3, -98, -99 (only respondents who – concerning the state election in Hesse – a) state that they will vote definitely, probably or perhaps or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the voting intention or c) don’t answer the question concerning the voting intention)
Nw: Nw362=1 (only respondents who stated that they voted at Federal State Election in Hesse)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
Vw: [Display example ballot paper; Fill in answers in the interviewer’s party list; Respondent may call only ONE party per vote]
Nw: [Display example ballot paper, insert answer in party list for interviewer, respondent is only allowed to mention one party per vote]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: You have two votes in the State Election in Hesse. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you receive for State Elections. How will you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.
Nw: You had two votes in the State Election in Hesse. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for State Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) First vote
(B) Second vote
Scale Vw/Nw:
**Vw:**
Example ballot paper for respondents:
First vote
(01) CDU (Christlich-Demokratische Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(07) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(08) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(09) Other party, namely __________
Second vote
(21) CDU (Christlich-Demokratische Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) PIRATEN
(27) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(28) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(29) Other party, namely __________
List of parties for interviewer:
(2) 1/21 CDU
(4) 2/22 SPD
(5) 3/23 FDP
(7) 4/24 DIE LINKE
(6) 5/25 GRÜNE
(215) 6/26 PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) 7/27 NPD (INT.: auch DVU)
(322) 8/28 AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) 9/29 other party, namely _______________

---------------------------------
(-83) Annul ballot
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

**Nw:**
Example ballot paper for respondents:
First vote:
01 CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
02 SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
03 FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
04 DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
05 GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
06 PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
07 NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
08 AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
09 Other party, namely __________

Second vote:
21 CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
22 SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
23 FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
24 DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
25 GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
26 PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
27 NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
28 AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
29 Other party, namely __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN
(206) NPD
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party, namely _______________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-83) I cast an invalid vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v131aa-b, ba-b</th>
<th>Federal state election absentee ballot Bavaria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q131aa-b,</td>
<td>Vw Vw311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ba-b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Federal state = 2 (Bavaria) AND Vw310 = 6 (only respondents who state that they have already cast their vote in the state election in Bavaria)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[Display example ballot paper; Fill in answers in the interviewer’s party list; Respondent may call only ONE party per vote]

Question text Vw:
You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for State Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
Example ballot paper for respondents:

First vote
(01) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) FW Freie Wähler (FW Freie Wähler Bayern e.V.)
(07) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(08) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(09) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(10) Other party, namely __________

Second vote
(21) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) FW Freie Wähler (FW Freie Wähler Bayern e.V.)
(27) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(28) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(29) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(30) Other party, namely __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(3) 1/21 CSU
(4) 2/22 SPD
(5) 3/23 FDP
(7) 4/24 DIE LINKE
(6) 5/25 GRÜNE
(180) 6/26 FW Freie Wähler
(215) 7/27 PIRATEN
(206) 8/28 NPD
(322) 9/29 AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) 10/30 other party, namely _______________

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-83) Annul ballot
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Notice:
Model ballot paper valid till 13/08/2013.
As from 13/08/2013: without AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) since AfD did not run in the Federal State Election in Bavaria
Filtered by question number Vw:
Federal state = 7 (Hesse) AND
Vw312 = 6 (only respondents who state that they have already cast their vote in the state election in Hesse)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[Display example ballot paper; Fill in Answers in the interviewer’s party list; Respondent may call only ONE party per vote]

Question text Vw:
You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote was for a candidate in your constituency. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for State Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for each vote.

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
First vote
(01) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(07) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(08) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(09) Other party, namely __________

Second vote
(21) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(27) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(28) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(29) Other party, namely __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) 1/21 CDU/CSU
(4) 2/22 SPD
(5) 3/23 FDP
(7) 4/24 DIE LINKE
(6) 5/25 GRÜNE
(215) 6/26 PIRATEN
(206) 7/27 NPD
(322) 8/28 AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DiE VIOLETTEN
(801) 9/29 other party, namely __________

vn133 Federal state election voter turnout Bavaria
Vw q133 Vw Vw291
Nw q80 Nw Nw361
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Ask question from 16.9.2013 AND
Federal state = 2 (Bavaria)
Nw: Federal state=2 AND D01.1: up to 15.09.1995 (only respondents who live in Bavaria and are 18 years or older)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
In the State Election in Bavaria on September 15th a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you? Did you vote or did you not vote?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
Vw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-86) Was not entitled to vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Nw:
(1) I did vote
(2) I did not vote

(-86) Not entitled to vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn134aa-b, ba-b Recall federal state election Bavaria
Vw q134aa-b, ba-b Vw Vw268
Nw q82aa-b, ba-b Nw Nw391

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
Vw: Ask question from 16.9.2013 AND
Federal state = 2 (Bavaria) AND
Vw291 = 1 (only respondents who state that they have already cast their vote in the state election in Bavaria)
Nw: Nw361=1 (only respondents who stated that they voted at Federal State Election in Bavaria)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[Display example ballot paper; Fill in answers in the interviewer’s party list; Respondent may call only ONE party per vote]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Vw: Do you still remember for which party you voted? Please tell me the code on this ballot paper for your first and second vote..
Nw: You had two votes in the State Election in Bavaria. The first vote was for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote was for a candidate in your local constituency. Here is a ballot paper similar to the one you received for State Elections. How did you mark your ballot? Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) First vote
(B) Second vote

Scale Vw/Nw:

Vw:
Example ballot paper for respondents:

First vote
(01) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(02) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(03) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(04) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(05) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(06) FW Freie Wähler (FW Freie Wähler Bayern e.V.)
(07) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(08) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(10) Other party, namely __________

Second vote
(21) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(22) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(23) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(24) DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
(25) GRÜNE (BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN)
(26) FW Freie Wähler (FW Freie Wähler Bayern e.V.)
(27) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(28) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(30) Other party, namely __________

List of parties for interviewer:
(3) 1/21 CSU
(4) 2/22 SPD
(5) 3/23 FDP
(7) 4/24 DIE LINKE
(6) 5/25 GRÜNE
(180) 6/26 FW Freie Wähler
(215) 7/27 PIRATEN
(206) 8/28 NPD
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) 10/30 other party, namely _______________ 
------------------------------------------------------------------
(-83) Annul ballot
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Nw:
Model ballot paper for respondents:
First vote:
01 CSU (Christlich Soziale Union)
02 SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
03 FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
04 DIE LINKE (DIE LINKE)
Discussions about politics

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:

Vw: Now we would like to know more about who you have recently talked about political parties or the Federal Election with. On how many days during the last week did you talk with other persons, such as members of your family, friends or work colleagues, about political parties or the Federal Election?

Nw: On how many days during the last week did you talk with other persons, such as members of your family, friends or work colleagues, about political parties and politics?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:

Vw:
(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Nw:
(0) Never
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v136</th>
<th>Network size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q136</td>
<td>Vw Vw292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw075 = 1-7 (only respondents who state that they have talked about the federal election)

Interviewer instruction Vw:

Question text Vw:
What would you say, with how many persons did you talk?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) 1 person
(2) 2 persons
(3) 3 persons
(4) 4 persons
(5) 5 persons
(6) 6 persons
...
(20) 20 persons
(21) More than 20 persons

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-71) Multiple persons, but exact number unknown
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 = 1 (only respondents who state to have talked with just one person)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list; in case of multiple responses: Friend has priority over work colleagues and neighbours]

Question text Vw:
What relationship do you have with this person?

Items Vw:
Scale Vw:
(1) Spouse/Partner
(2) Child
(3) Parent
(4) Another relative
(5) Friend
(6) Colleague/classmate
(7) Neighbour
(8) Club mate
(9) Other person

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 1, -71, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state to have talked with more than one person or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the number of persons or c) don’t answer the question concerning the number of persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list; in case of multiple responses: Friend has priority over work colleagues and neighbours]

Question text Vw:
If you now think of the person amongst these other persons you talked most about political parties or the Federal Election with, what is your relationship to this person?

Items Vw:
Scale Vw:
(1) Spouse/Partner
(2) Child
(3) Parent
(4) Another relative
(5) Friend
(6) Colleague/classmate
(7) Neighbour
(8) Club mate
(9) Other person
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v139</th>
<th>First discussion partner: frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q139</td>
<td>Vw Vw295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 0, -71, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state to have talked with at least one person or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the number of persons or c) don’t answer the question concerning the number of persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw:

Question text Vw:
On how many days during the last week did you talk with this person about political parties and the Federal Election?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v140</th>
<th>First discussion partner: Knowledge of politics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q140</td>
<td>Vw Vw078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 0, -71, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state to have talked with at least one person or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the number of persons or c) don’t answer the question concerning the number of persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw:

Question text Vw:
How much do you think this person knows about politics? A great deal, a fair amount, not so much, or nothing at all?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) A great deal
(2) A fair amount
(3) Not so much
(4) Nothing at all

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v141</th>
<th>First discussion partner: Difference of opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q141</td>
<td>Vvw079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW -</td>
<td>NW -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 0, -71, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state to have talked with at least one person or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the number of persons or c) don’t answer the question concerning the number of persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw:

Question text Vw:
When you talk with this person about political questions, how often would you say you are of different opinion: often, sometimes, rarely or never?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) Often
(2) Sometimes
(3) Rarely
(4) Never

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v142a-b</th>
<th>First discussion partner: Voting decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q142a-b</td>
<td>Vvw277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW -</td>
<td>NW -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 0, -71, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state to have talked with at least one person or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the number of persons or c) don’t answer the question concerning the number of persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw:

Question text Vw:
Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the Federal Election on September 22nd, or do you think she/he will not go vote at all?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
Second discussion partner: Relationship (A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q143</th>
<th>Vw Vw296</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 = 2 (only respondents who state to have talked with just two persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list; in case of multiple responses: Friend has priority over work colleagues and neighbours]

Question text Vw:
If you now think of the other person you talked most about political parties and the Federal Election with, what is your relationship to this person?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
1. Spouse/Partner
2. Child
3. Parent
4. Another relative
5. Friend
6. Colleague/classmate
7. Neighbour
8. Club mate
9. Other person

-97 Not applicable
-98 Don’t know
-99 No answer

Second discussion partner: Relationship (B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q144</th>
<th>Vw Vw297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 2, -71 (only respondents who state to have talked with more than two persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list; in case of multiple responses: Friend has priority over work colleagues and neighbours]

Question text Vw:
If you now think of the other person you talked most about political parties and the Federal Election with, what is your relationship to this person?

**Items Vw:**

**Scale Vw:**
(1) Spouse/Partner  
(2) Child  
(3) Parent  
(4) Another relative  
(5) Friend  
(6) Colleague/classmate  
(7) Neighbour  
(8) Club mate  
(9) Other person

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v145</th>
<th>Second discussion partner: Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q145</td>
<td>Vw Vw265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 1, -71 (only respondents who state to have talked with more than one person)

**Interviewer instruction Vw:**

**Question text Vw:**
On how many days during the last week did you talk with this person about political parties and the Federal Election?

**Items Vw:**

**Scale Vw:**
(0) Not at all  
(1) 1 day  
(2) 2 days  
(3) 3 days  
(4) 4 days  
(5) 5 days  
(6) 6 days  
(7) 7 days

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v146</th>
<th>Second discussion partner: Knowledge of politics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q146</td>
<td>Vw Vw285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw:
Vw292 > 1, -71 (only respondents who state to have talked with more than one person)

**Interviewer instruction Vw:**

**Question text Vw:**
How much do you think this person knows about politics? A great deal, a fair amount, not so much, or nothing at all?

**Items Vw:**


**Scale Vw:**
(1) A great deal
(2) A fair amount
(3) Not so much
(4) Nothing at all
---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v147</th>
<th>Second discussion partner: Differences of opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q147</td>
<td>Vw Vw279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw:**
Vw292 > 1, -71 (only respondents who state to have talked with more than one person)

**Interviewer instruction Vw:**

**Question text Vw:**
When you talk with this person about political questions, how often would you say you are of different opinion: often, sometimes, rarely or never?

**Items Vw:**

**Scale Vw:**
(1) Often
(2) Sometimes
(3) Rarely
(4) Never
---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v148a-b</th>
<th>Second discussion partner: Voting decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q148a-b</td>
<td>Vw Vw086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw:**
Vw292 > 1, -71 (only respondents who state to have talked with more than one person)

**Interviewer instruction Vw:**

**Question text Vw:**
Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the Federal Election on September 22nd, or do you think she/he will not go vote at all?

**Items Vw:**

**Scale Vw:**
List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party, namely _______________
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-81) Has not decided yet
(-85) Will not vote
(-86) Is not eligible to vote
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

v149 Voter turnout, neighbourhood
Vw q149 Vw Vw286
Nw - Nw -

Filtered by question number Vw:

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[display list]

Question text Vw:
What do you think, how many people from your neighbourhood will vote in the federal election: almost everybody, many, not so many, a few or almost nobody?

Items Vw:

Scale Vw:
(1) Almost everybody
(2) Many
(3) Not so many
(4) A few
(5) Almost nobody
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn150 Macrojustice
Vw q150 Vw Vw270
Nw q152 Nw Nw169

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Let’s talk about the state of society in Germany as a whole. How just or unjust would you say things are generally going?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very just
(2) Just
(3) Neither just nor unjust
(4) Unjust
(5) Very unjust

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn151</th>
<th>Justice, development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q151</td>
<td>Vw Vw271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q153</td>
<td>Nw Nw353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And how has the society developed in Germany in recent years? Has the society become much more just, a little more just, stayed the same, a little more unjust or much more unjust?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Much more just
(2) Little more just
(3) Stayed the same
(4) Little more unjust
(5) Much more unjust

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn152</th>
<th>Justice, ego</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q152</td>
<td>Vw Vw272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q154</td>
<td>Nw Nw354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
In comparison to how other people live here in Germany, do you think that you get a fair share or not? Do you get a lot less than your fair share, a bit less, your fair share, a bit more or a lot more than your fair share?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) A lot less than the fair share
(2) A bit less than the fair share
(3) The fair share
(4) A bit more than the fair share
(5) A lot more than the fair share

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer
 vn153 Importance of a just society
 Vw q153 Vw Vw273
 Nw q155 Nw Nw355

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
How important is it to you personally that politics provides a fair distribution of income among people?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very important
(2) Somewhat important
(3) In between
(4) Not very important
(5) Not important at all

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

 vn154a-b Justice political parties
 Vw q154a-b Vw Vw274
 Nw q156a-b Nw Nw356

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
From your point of view, which political party does the most for a fair distribution of income?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
List of parties for respondent:
CDU/CSU
SPD
FDP
DIE LINKE
GRÜNE
PIRATEN
NPD
AfD
Other party, namely ___________
All parties equally well
No party

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party, namely _______________
(808) No party
(809) All parties equally well

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v155a-b</th>
<th>Political knowledge: Assignment politicians/parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q155a-c</td>
<td>Vw Vw275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw -</td>
<td>Nw -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[Show pictures from booklet list successively; respondent is required to assign the images to the parties listed below.]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which party do the following politicians belong to?

Items Vw/Nw:
(A) Picture q155A in booklet list (Peer Steinbrueck)
(B) Picture q155B in booklet list (Rainer Bruederle)
(C) Picture q155C in booklet list (Katrin Goering-Eckardt)

Scale Vw/Nw:
List of parties for respondent:
CDU
CSU
SPD
FDP
DIE LINKE
GRÜNE
PIRATEN
AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

List of parties for interviewer:
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
vn156a-b  Party identification, father
Vw q156a-b  Vw Vw124
Nw q163a-b  Nw Nw540

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list and leave displayed; in case of multiple responses: What do you think? Which of these political parties did he think of himself as close to strongest or longest?]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Now when you think of your father, does he or did he think of himself as close to any political party? If yes, which party is or was that?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
List of parties for respondent:
CDU/CSU
CDU
CSU
SPD
FDP
DIE LINKE
GRÜNE
PIRATEN
NPD
AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
DBD (Demokratische Bauernpartei Deutschlands)
DDP (Deutsche Demokratische Partei)
DNVP (Deutschnationalen Volkspartei)
DVP (Deutsche Volkspartei)
KPD (Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands)
LDPD (Liberal-Demokratische Partei Deutschlands)
NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeitpartei)
SED (Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands)
ZENTRUM (Deutsche Zentrumspartei)
Other parties, namely _________________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(305) DBD (Demokratische Bauernpartei Deutschlands)
(140) DDP (Deutsche Demokratische Partei)
(306) DNVP (Deutschnationalen Volkspartei)
(187) DVP (Deutsche Volkspartei)
(197) KPD (Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands)
(304) LDPD (Liberal-Demokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(207) NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeitpartei)
(229) SED (Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands)
(256) ZENTRUM (Deutsche Zentrumspartei)
(801) Other parties, namely _________________
(808) No party

----------------------------------
Documentation of Questionnaire

vn157a-b | Party identification, mother
---------|-------------------------
Vw q157a-b | Vw Vw125
Nw q164a-b | Nw Nw541

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[List is still displayed; in case of multiple responses: What do you think? Which of these political parties did she think of herself as close to strongest or longest?]

Question text Vw/Nw:
And what about your mother? Does she or did she think of herself as close to any political party? If yes, which party is or was that?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
List of parties for respondent:
CDU/CSU
CDU
CSU
SPD
FDP
DIE LINKE
GRÜNE
PIRATEN
NPD
AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
DBD (Demokratische Bauernpartei Deutschlands)
DDP (Deutsche Demokratische Partei)
DNVP (Deutschnationale Volkspartei)
DVP (Deutsche Volkspartei)
KPD (Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands)
LDPD (Liberal-Demokratische Partei Deutschlands)
NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei)
SED (Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands)
ZENTRUM (Deutsche Zentrumspartei)
Other parties, namely __________________

List of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRÜNE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [INT.: auch DVU]
(322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(180) Freie Wähler
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(305) DBD (Demokratische Bauernpartei Deutschlands)
(140) DDP (Deutsche Demokratische Partei)
(306) DNVP (Deutschnationale Volkspartei)
(167) DVP (Deutsche Volkspartei)
(197) KPD (Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands)
(304) LDPD (Liberal-Demokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(207) NSDAP (Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei)
(229) SED (Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands)
(256) ZENTRUM (Deutsche Zentrumspartei)
(801) Other parties, namely __________________
(808) No party

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn158</th>
<th>Household size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q158</td>
<td>Vw T01.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q165</td>
<td>Nw T01.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Finally, some questions concerning you personally. How many persons live in your household permanently, including yourself and all children?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 person
(2) 2 people
(3) 3 people
(4) 4 people
(5) 5 people
(6) 6 people
(7) 7 people
(8) 8 people or more

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn159a-g</th>
<th>Members of HH, age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q159a-g</td>
<td>Vw D01.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q166a-g</td>
<td>Nw D01.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T01.1 = 2-8 (only respondents who state that the size of their household is 2-8 persons)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
And how old are these people who live in your household?

Items Vw/Nw:

(A) 2. Person: _____________________
(B) 3. Person: _____________________
(C) 4. Person: _____________________
(D) 5. Person: _____________________
(E) 6. Person: _____________________
(F) 7. Person: _____________________
(G) 8. Person: _____________________

Scale Vw/Nw:
<AGE IN YEARS>

-----------------------------
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn161</th>
<th>Marital status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q161</td>
<td>Vw T04.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q167</td>
<td>Nw T04.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[Let respondent answer spontaneously; ask whether people live together or are separated, e.g. if only mentioned married]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What is your marital status?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Married and living in the same household as husband or wife
(2) Have a husband or wife but don’t live in the same household
(3) Same-sex union together in the same household
(4) Same-sex union but not living in the same household
(5) Never married
(6) Divorced
(7) Widowed

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn162</th>
<th>Partner existing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q162</td>
<td>Vw T04.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q168</td>
<td>Nw T04.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T04.1 = 2, 4-7, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state to be married and to live apart from their partner or b) state to live in a civil partnership apart from their partner or c) state to be single or d) state to be divorced or e) state to be widowed or f) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the marital status or g) don’t answer the question concerning the marital status)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Do you have a partner? And if yes, are you living with him / her in the same household?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes, do not live in the same household
(2) Yes, do live in the same household
(3) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn163</th>
<th>School leaving certificate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q163</td>
<td>Vw T05.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q169</td>
<td>Nw T05.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What's your highest level of general education?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 Finished school without school leaving certificate
(2) 2 Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss", "Volksschulabschluss")
(3) 3 Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife", "Realschulabschluss" resp. "Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
(4) 4 Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
(5) 5 Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur" resp. "Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)"
(6) 6 Other school leaving certificate, please enter:_______
(9) 9 Still at school

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v164a-m</th>
<th>Vocational and professional training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n164a-p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vw q164a-o</td>
<td>Vw T05.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q170a-r</td>
<td>Nw T05.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T05.1 = 1-6, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state not to be a school student or b) answer "don't know" to the question concerning the certificate or c) don't answer the question concerning the certificate)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; multiple response is possible]

Programming note: Multiple response is possible

Question text Vw/Nw:
And what kind of vocational training did you complete? Please name the appropriate letter which corresponds with your vocational training.

Items Vw/Nw:

Vw:
(A) D - On-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
(B) G - Compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss", East Germany)
(C) I - Completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
(D) B - Completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmaennische Lehre")
(E) E - Work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
(F) M - Specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
(G) P - Technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
(H) H - Master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
(I) K - Polytechnic degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
(J) N - University degree ("Hochschulabschluss")
(K) C - Other vocational training certificate, please enter:_______
(L) F - Still training/studying
(M) L - No completed vocational training
**Nw:**
-

**Scale Vw/Nw:**

**Vw:**
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer  

**Nw:**
List for respondents/interviewer:
(1) D - On-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")  
(2) G - Compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss", East Germany)  
(3) I - Completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche or landwirtschaftliche Lehre")  
(4) B - Completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmaennische Lehre")  
(5) E - Work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")  
(6) M - Specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")  
(7) A - Vocational academy certificate ("Fachakademie-/Berufsakademieabschluss")  
(8) P - Technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")  
(9) H - Master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")  
(10) K - Polytechnic degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")  
(11) N - University degree, Bachelor ("Hochschulabschluss: Bachelor")  
(12) O - University degree, Master ("Hochschulabschluss: Master, Diplom, Magister, Staatsexamen")  
(13) L - Doctoral degree ("Promotion")  
(14) C - Other vocational training certificate, please enter: ______  
(15) F - Still training/studying  
(16) L - No completed vocational training  

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn165</th>
<th>Gainful employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q165</td>
<td>Vw T06.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q171</td>
<td>Nw T06.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Do you currently work in a full-time or part-time job? Which of the descriptions in this list describes your status?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) 1 In full-time employment (more than 30 h/week)  
(2) 2 In part-time employment (up to 30 h/week)  
(3) 3 In a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme  
(4) 4 School student  
(5) 5 Studying at a polytechnic or university  
(6) 6 Currently on a retraining course  
(7) 7 Currently unemployed  
(8) 8 Currently on short-time working
(9) Community service (Bundesfreiwilligendienst, Freiwilliges Soziales Jahr (FSJ), Freiwilliges Oekologisches Jahr (FOEJ))
(10) Retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)
(11) On maternity leave, parental leave
(12) Not in full or part-time employment (Housewife/Househusband)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

### vn166  Previous gainful employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Wv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>q166</td>
<td>T06.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q172</td>
<td>T06.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T06.6 = 4-7, 9-12, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state not to be employed or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the employment status or c) don’t answer the question concerning the employment status)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Have you worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

### vn167  Profession

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Wv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>q167</td>
<td>T07.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q173</td>
<td>T07.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T06.6 = 1-3, 8 (only respondents who state to be employed)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list; if someone pursues two jobs: meant is the job, one works mainly]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Next we come to the work you do. Do you belong to the occupational group of…

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
Worker
(11) unskilled and semiskilled worker
(12) skilled worker and crafter
(13) foreman, master, site foreman
Employee
(21) foreman and head workman in employment
(22) Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
(23) Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
(24) Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
(25) Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)
Officials /judges / professional soldier
(31) lower grade of the civil service (up to and including “Oberamtsleiter”)
(32) middle grade of the civil service (from “Assistant” to “Hauptsekretaer/Amtsinspektor”)
(33) upper grade of the civil service (from “Inspektor” to “Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat”)
(34) higher grade of the civil service, judges (“Regierungsrat” and higher)
Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(41) without employees
(42) 1-9 employees
(43) 10 employees and more
Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(51) without employees
(52) 1-9 employees
(53) 10 employees and more
(61) Independent farmer
(62) Family member assisting in family business
(63) In vocational training

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn168b-e</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q168b-e</td>
<td>Vw T07.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q174b-e</td>
<td>Nw T07.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T06.6 = 1-3, 8 (only respondents who state to be employed)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[note answer]

Coding note (Vw): Coding according to ISCO88, ISCO08

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which professional activity do you practise in your main job? Does this activity have a special name?
[Please specify the precise name of your professional activity, in other words do not name "commercial employee" but "forwarding merchant", not "manual worker" but "machinist". If you are a public employee, please specify your official title, e.g. "police officer", or "senior teacher". If you are an apprentice/trainee, please specify the occupation you are training for.]

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
<Make a note of response>

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn169</th>
<th>Temporary/agency work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q169</td>
<td>Vw T09.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q175</td>
<td>Nw T09.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T07.1 = 11-34 (only respondents who state to be employed as worker, employee or official/judge/professional soldier)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]
Question text Vw/Nw:  
What kind of employment contract is this?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:  
(1) Normal, fixed term  
(2) Normal, permanent  
(3) Temporary/Agency, fixed term  
(4) Temporary/Agency, permanent  

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don't know  
(-99) No answer

vn170  Supervisory function  
Vw q170  Vw T07.4  
Nw q176  Nw T07.4

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:  
T07.1 = 11-34 (only respondents who state to be employed as worker, employee or official/judge/professional soldier)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:  
Do your professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they have to do?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:  
(1) Yes  
(2) No  

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don't know  
(-99) No answer

vn171  Employment sector  
Vw q171  Vw T07.6  
Nw q177  Nw T07.6

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:  
T07.1 = 11-25, 63 (only respondents who state to be employed as worker or employee or who state to be in vocational training)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:  
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:  
Which type of company do you work for?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:  
(1) In the public sector  
(2) In a federally or state-owned undertaking  
(3) In a charitable company  
(4) In a private sector company
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn172</th>
<th>Sector of the economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q172</td>
<td>Vw T07.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q178</td>
<td>Nw T07.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T06.6 = 1-3, 8 (only respondents who state to be employed)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What kind of business/industry are you working in? Please tell me the identification code from this list.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 - Agriculture, forestry, fishery
(2) 2 - Energy industry, water sector, mining
(3) 3 - Manufacturing
(4) 4 - Construction and real estate
(5) 5 - Trade
(6) 6 - Hotel and catering
(7) 7 - Transport
(8) 8 - Banking, insurance
(9) 9 - Information technology, data processing
(10) 10 - Other commercial services (e.g. legal or business consulting, legal services)
(11) 11 - Administration
(12) 12 - Education, research and development
(13) 13 - Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
(14) 14 - Other services

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn173a-c</th>
<th>Unemployment over the last 10 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q173a-c</td>
<td>Vw T06.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q179a-c</td>
<td>Nw T06.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[If Respondent was not unemployed enter "0"; specify in years, months and weeks; if respondent is retired / pensioner: the time before you went into retirement is meant]

Question text Vw/Nw:
How long have you been unemployed altogether over the last 10 years?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
<Note years, months and weeks>

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T07.1 = 11-34, 63 (only respondents who state to be employed as worker, employee or official/judge/professional soldier or who state to be in vocational training)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[Read out list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Are you worried that you might lose your job or have to change your job in the next two years?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) No
(2) Yes, I am worried about becoming unemployed
(3) Yes, I am worried about having to change my job
(4) Yes, I am worried about both

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T07.1 = 41-62 (only respondents who state to work in an independent profession, self-employed or as an assisting family member)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[read out list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Are you worried that you might lose your present professional career in the next two years or have to change your profession?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) No
(2) Yes, I am worried that I might lose my present professional career
(3) Yes, I am worried that I have to change my profession
(4) Yes, I am worried about both

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T06.7 = 1 (only respondents who state to have been employed full-time or part-time in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]
Question text Vw/Nw:
From this list please tell me what you did in your last job.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:

Worker
(11) unskilled and semiskilled worker
(12) skilled worker and crafter
(13) foreman, master, site foreman
Employee
(21) foreman and head workman in employment
(22) Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
(23) Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
(24) Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
(25) Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)
Officials /judges / professional soldier
(31) lower grade of the civil service (up to and including “Oberamtsleiter”)
(32) middle grade of the civil service (from “Assistant” to “Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor”)
(33) upper grade of the civil service (from “Inspektor” to “Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat”)
(34) higher grade of the civil service, judges (“Regierungsrat” and higher)
Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(41) without employees
(42) 1-9 employees
(43) 10 employees and more
Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(51) without employees
(52) 1-9 employees
(53) 10 employees and more
(61) Independent farmer
(62) Family member assisting in family business
(63) In vocational training

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q227b-e</th>
<th>Former occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw T08.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q184b-e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw T08.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

T06.7 = 1 (only respondents who state to have been employed full-time or part-time in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[note answer]

Coding note: Coding according to ISCO88, ISCO08

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which professional activity did you practise in your main job? Does this activity have a special name? [Please specify the precise name of your professional activity, in other words do not name "commercial employee" but "forwarding merchant", not "manual worker" but "machinist". If you are a public employee, please specify your official title, e.g. "police officer", or "senior teacher". If you are an apprentice/traineer, please specify the occupation you are training for.]

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:

<Make a note of response>
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn177</th>
<th>Former supervisory functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q177</td>
<td>Vw T08.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q185</td>
<td>Nw T08.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T08.1 = 11-34 (only respondents who state to have been employed as worker, employee or official/judge/professional soldier)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Did your professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they had to do?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn178</th>
<th>Former employment sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q178</td>
<td>Vw T08.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q186</td>
<td>Nw T08.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T08.1 = 11-25, 63 (only respondents who state to have been employed as worker or employee or who state to have been in vocational training in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which type of company did you work for?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) In the public sector
(2) In a federally or state-owned undertaking
(3) In a charitable company
(4) In a private sector company

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn179</th>
<th>Former sector of the economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q179</td>
<td>Vw T08.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q187</td>
<td>Nw T08.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T06.7 = 1 (only respondents who state to have been employed full-time or part-time in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]
**Question text Vw/Nw:**
What kind of business/industry were you working in?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
1. Agriculture, forestry, fishery
2. Energy industry, water sector, mining
3. Manufacturing
4. Construction and real estate
5. Trade
6. Hotel and catering
7. Transport
8. Banking, insurance
9. Information technology, data processing
10. Other commercial services (e.g. legal or business consulting, legal services)
11. Administration
12. Education, research and development
13. Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
14. Other services

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw q180</th>
<th>Partner’s school leaving certificate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw T10.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Nw q188 | Nw T10.01 |

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
T04.1 = 1, 3 OR
T04.2 = 2
(only respondents who state to live together with their (marriage) partner)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
And now, some questions concerning the education and occupation of your spouse/partner, beginning with education. What is the highest level of general education of your spouse/partner?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
1. Finished school without school leaving certificate
2. Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss", "Volksschulabschluss")
4. Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
6. Other school leaving certificate, please enter: _______
7. Still at school

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T04.1 = 1, 3 OR
T04.2 = 2
(only respondents who state to live together with their (marriage) partner)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Does your partner currently work in a full-time or part-time job? Which of the descriptions in this list describes his/her status?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:

Scale:
(1) 1 In full-time employment (more than 30 h/week)
(2) 2 In part-time employment (up to 30 h/week)
(3) 3 In a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme
(4) 4 School student
(5) 5 Studying at a polytechnic or university
(6) 6 Currently on a retraining course
(7) 7 Currently unemployed
(8) 8 Currently on short-time working
(9) 9 Community service (Bundesfreiwilligendienst, Freiwilliges Soziales Jahr (FSJ), Freiwilliges Oekologisches Jahr (FOEJ))
(10) 10 Retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)
(11) 11 On maternity leave, parental leave
(12) 12 Not in full or part-time employment (Housewife/Househusband)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T10.6 = 4-7, 9-12, -98, -99 (only respondents who a) state that their (marriage) partner is not employed at the moment or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning the employment status of the (marriage) partner or c) don’t answer the question concerning the employment status of the (marriage) partner)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Has your partner worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:

(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Partner’s profession

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn183</th>
<th>Partner’s profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q183</td>
<td>Vw T11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q191</td>
<td>Nw T11.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T10.6 = 1-3, 8 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner is employed)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; if someone pursues two jobs: meant is the job, one works mainly]

Question text Vw/Nw:
From this list please tell me what she/he does in her/his job.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
Worker
   (11) unskilled and semiskilled worker
   (12) skilled worker and crafter
   (13) foreman, master, site foreman
Employee
   (21) foreman and head workman in employment
   (22) Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
   (23) Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
   (24) Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
   (25) Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)
Officials /judges / professional soldier
   (31) lower grade of the civil service (up to and including “Oberamtsleiter”)
   (32) middle grade of the civil service (from “Assistant” to “Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor”)
   (33) upper grade of the civil service (from “Inspektor” to “Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat”)
   (34) higher grade of the civil service, judges (“Regierungsrat” and higher)
Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
   (41) without employees
   (42) 1-9 employees
   (43) 10 employees and more
Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
   (51) without employees
   (52) 1-9 employees
   (53) 10 employees and more
(61) Independent farmer
(62) Family member assisting in family business
(63) In vocational training

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn184b-e | Partner’s occupation |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q184b-e</td>
<td>Vw T11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q192b-e</td>
<td>Nw T11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T10.6 = 1-3, 8 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner is employed)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[note answer]
Coding note: Coding according to ISCO88, ISCO08
Question text Vw/Nw:
Which professional activity does your partner practise in her/his main job? Does this activity have a special name? [Please specify the precise name of her/his professional activity, in other words do not name "commercial employee" but "forwarding merchant", not "manual worker" but "machinist". If she/he is a public employee, please specify her/his official title, e.g. "police officer", or "senior teacher". If she/he is an apprentice/trainee, please specify the occupation she/he is training for.]

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
<Make a note of response>

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn185 | Partner’s supervisory function
---|---
Vw q185 | Vw T11.4
Nw q193 | Nw T11.4

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T11.1 = 11-34 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner is employed as worker, employee or official/judge/professional soldier)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Did your partner's professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they had to do?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

vn186 | Partner’s employment sector
---|---
Vw q186 | Vw T11.6
Nw q194 | Nw T11.6

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T11.1 = 11-25, 63 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner is employed as worker or employee or that he/she is in vocational training)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which type of company does your partner work for?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) In the public sector
(2) In a federally or state-owned undertaking
(3) In a charitable company
(4) In a private sector company
Documentation of Questionnaire

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn187</th>
<th>Partner’s sector of the economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q187</td>
<td>Vw T11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q195</td>
<td>Nw T11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T10.6 = 1-3, 8 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner is employed)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What kind of business/industry is your partner working in? Please tell me the identification code from this list.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) 1 - Agriculture, forestry, fishery
(2) 2 - Energy industry, water sector, mining
(3) 3 - Manufacturing
(4) 4 - Construction and real estate
(5) 5 - Trade
(6) 6 - Hotel and catering
(7) 7 - Transport
(8) 8 - Banking, insurance
(9) 9 - Information technology, data processing
(10) 10 - Other commercial services (e.g. legal or business consulting, legal services)
(11) 11 - Administration
(12) 12 - Education, research and development
(13) 13 - Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
(14) 14 - Other services

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn188</th>
<th>Partner’s former profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q188</td>
<td>Vw T12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q197</td>
<td>Nw T12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T10.7 = 1 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner has been employed full-time or part-time in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
From this list please tell me what she/he did in her/his last job.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
Worker
(11) unskilled and semiskilled worker
(12) skilled worker and crafter
(13) foreman, master, site foreman
Employee
(21) foreman and head workman in employment
(22) Employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
(23) Employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
(24) Employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
(25) Employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)
Officials / judges / professional soldier
(31) lower grade of the civil service (up to and including “Oberamtsleiter”)  
(32) middle grade of the civil service (from “Assistent” to “Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor”)  
(33) upper grade of the civil service (from “Inspektor” to “Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat”)  
(34) higher grade of the civil service, judges (“Regierungsrat” and higher)
Independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(41) without employees  
(42) 1-9 employees  
(43) 10 employees and more
Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(51) without employees  
(52) 1-9 employees  
(53) 10 employees and more
(61) Independent farmer  
(62) Family member assisting in family business  
(63) In vocational training

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn189b-e</th>
<th>Partner’s former occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q189b-e</td>
<td>Vw T12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q198b-e</td>
<td>Nw T12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T10.7 = 1 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner has been employed full-time or part-time in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[note answer]

Coding note (Vw): Coding according to ISCO88, ISCO08

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which professional activity did your partner practise in her/his main job? Does this activity have a special name? [Please specify the precise name of her/his professional activity, in other words do not name “commercial employee” but “forwarding merchant”, not “manual worker” but “machinist”. If she/he is a public employee, please specify her/his official title, e.g. “police officer”, or “senior teacher”. If she/he is an apprentice/trainee, please specify the occupation she/he is training for.]

Items Vw/Nw:
Scale Vw/Nw:
<Make a note of response>

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn190</th>
<th>Partner’s former supervisory function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q190</td>
<td>Vw T12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q199</td>
<td>Nw T12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T12.1 = 11-34 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner has been employed as worker, employee or official/judge/professional soldier in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Did your partner's professional duties include supervising other employees or telling them what they had to do?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

vn191 | Partner's former employment sector
---|---
Vw q191 | Vw T12.6
Nw q200 | Nw T12.6

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T12.1 = 11-25, 63 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner has been employed as worker or employee or that he/she has been in vocational training in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Which type of company did your partner work for?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) In the public sector
(2) In a federally or state-owned undertaking
(3) In a charitable company
(4) In a private sector company

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

vn229 | Partner's former sector of economy
---|---
Vw q229 | Vw T12.7
Nw q201 | Nw T12.7

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T10.7 = 1 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner has been employed full-time or part-time in the past)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What kind of business/industry was your partner working in?
Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
1. Agriculture, forestry, fishery
2. Energy industry, water sector, mining
3. Manufacturing
4. Construction and real estate
5. Trade
6. Hotel and catering
7. Transport
8. Banking, insurance
9. Information technology, data processing
10. Other commercial services (e.g., legal or business consulting, legal services)
11. Administration
12. Education, research and development
13. Social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
14. Other services

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn192</th>
<th>Subjective perception of class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q192</td>
<td>Vw T16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q204</td>
<td>Nw T16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
There is much talk these days about the various social classes. Which of these classes do you consider yourself a part of? Please tell me the respective identification code from this list.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
1. Lower class
2. Working class
3. Lower middle class
4. Middle class
5. Upper middle class
6. Upper class

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn228</th>
<th>Religious denomination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q228</td>
<td>Vw T17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q205</td>
<td>Nw T17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list]

Question text Vw/Nw:
What is your religious denomination? Please tell me using this list.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) The German Protestant Church (excluding free churches)
(2) A Protestant Free Church
(3) The Roman Catholic Church
(4) Another Christian denomination
(5) Another non-Christian denomination
(6) No religion or denomination

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn193</th>
<th>Church attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q193</td>
<td>Vw T17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q206</td>
<td>Nw T17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[display list; participation in church service / prayer in a place of worship (church, mosque, synagogue; concerning Muslims outside of Ramadan-time)]

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Generally, how frequently do you attend religious services? Please tell me using this list.

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Never
(2) Once a year
(3) Several times a year
(4) Once a month
(5) Two to three times a month
(6) Once a week
(7) More often

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn194</th>
<th>Religious views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q194</td>
<td>Vw T17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q207</td>
<td>Nw T17.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
What would you say about yourself, are you not religious at all, not very religious, somewhat religious or very religious?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Not religious at all
(2) Not very religious
(3) Somewhat religious
(4) Very religious

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Have you been a German citizen since birth?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No

Were you born on current German territory?

- (1) On current German territory
- (2) In a foreign country

Please tell me in which federal state or on the current territory of which federal state you were born.

- (1) Baden-Wuerttemberg
- (2) Bavaria
(3) Berlin
(4) Brandenburg
(5) Bremen
(6) Hamburg
(7) Hesse
(8) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
(9) Lower Saxony
(10) North Rhine Westphalia
(11) Rhineland Palatinate
(12) Saarland
(13) Saxony
(14) Saxony Anhalt
(15) Schleswig-Holstein
(16) Thuringia

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn198</th>
<th>Country of birth: Other country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q198</td>
<td>Vw T18.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q211</td>
<td>Nw T18.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T18.1 = 2 (only respondents who state that they were born in a foreign country)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Please tell me where you were born.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. Silesia, East Prussia)
(2) Turkey
(3) Italy
(4) Poland
(5) Russian Federation, Former Soviet Union (USSR)
(6) Greece
(7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Former Yugoslavia
(8) Austria
(9) Slovak Republic, Czech Republic, Former Czechoslovakia
(10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
(11) Netherlands
(12) Belgium
(13) France
(14) Switzerland
(15) USA
(16) Other country, namely _____

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn199</th>
<th>Age on immigration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q199</td>
<td>Vw T18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q212</td>
<td>Nw T18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T18.1 = 2 (only respondents who state that they were born in a foreign country)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[Enter year]
Programming note: only entries <= year of birth are possible

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Since when do you live on current German territory?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
<enter year>

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn200</th>
<th>Age on immigration: East Germany</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q200</td>
<td>Vw T18.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q213</td>
<td>Nw T18.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
Federal state = 4, 8, 13, 14, 16 AND
T18.12 = 1, 2, 5-7, 9-12, 15
(only respondents who live in East Germany and state that they were born in West Germany)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[Enter year]
Programming note: only entries <= year of birth are possible

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Since when do you live in East Germany? Please tell me the year.

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
<enter year>

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn201</th>
<th>Age on immigration: West Germany</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q201</td>
<td>Vw T18.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q214</td>
<td>Nw T18.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**
Federal state = 1, 2, 5-7, 9-12, 15 AND
T18.12 = 4, 8, 13, 14, 16
(only respondents who live in West Germany and state that they were born in East Germany)

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**
[Enter year]
Programming note: only entries <= year of birth are possible

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Since when do you live in West Germany? Please tell me the year.

**Items Vw/Nw:**
Scale Vw/Nw:
<enter year>

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

 vn202  Parent’s country of birth
     Vw q202  Vw T23.1
     Nw q215  Nw T23.1

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[If respondent was born in the former territory of Germany, that means “In a foreign country”]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Were both your parents born on current German territory?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No, mother was not born on current German territory
(3) No, father was not born on current German territory
(4) No, mother and father were not born on current German territory

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

 vn203  Father’s country of birth
     Vw q203  Vw T23.2
     Nw q216  Nw T23.2

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T23.1 = 3, 4 (only respondents who state that their father or both parents were not born on current Germany territory)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Please tell me where your father was born.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. Silesia, East Prussia)
(2) Turkey
(3) Italy
(4) Poland
(5) Russian Federation, Former Soviet Union (USSR)
(6) Greece
(7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Former Yugoslavia
(8) Austria
(9) Slovak Republic, Czech Republic, Former Czechoslovakia
(10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
(11) Netherlands
(12) Belgium
(13) France
(14) Switzerland  
(15) USA  
(16) Other country, namely _____  

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer  

vn204 | Mother's country of birth  
Vw q204 | Vw T23.3  
Nw q217 | Nw T23.3  

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:  
T23.1 = 2, 4 (only respondents who state that their mother or both parents were not born on current German territory)  

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:  
[DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign]  

Question text Vw/Nw:  
Please tell me where your mother was born.  

Items Vw/Nw:  

Scale Vw/Nw:  
(1) Former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. Silesia, East Prussia)  
(2) Turkey  
(3) Italy  
(4) Poland  
(5) Russian Federation, Former Soviet Union (USSR)  
(6) Greece  
(7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Former Yugoslavia  
(8) Austria  
(9) Slovak Republic, Czech Republic, Former Czechoslovakia  
(10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland  
(11) Netherlands  
(12) Belgium  
(13) France  
(14) Switzerland  
(15) USA  
(16) Other country, namely _____  

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer  

vn205 | Partner's country of birth  
Vw q205 | Vw T18.3  
Nw q218 | Nw T18.3  

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:  
T04.1 = 1, 3 OR  
T04.2 = 2  
(only respondents who state to live together with their (marriage) partner)  

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:  
[If partner was born in the former territory of Germany, that means „In a foreign country“]  

Question text Vw/Nw:  
Would you please tell me where your partner was born?  

Items Vw/Nw:  

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) On current German territory
(2) In a foreign country

-----------------------------

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn230</th>
<th>Partner’s country of birth: Other country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q230</td>
<td>Vw T18.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q219</td>
<td>Nw T18.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T18.3 = 2 (only respondents who state that their (marriage) partner was born in a foreign country)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[DO NOT read out. Get respondent to choose and assign]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Please tell me where your partner was born.

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. Silesia, East Prussia)
(2) Turkey
(3) Italy
(4) Poland
(5) Russian Federation, Former Soviet Union (USSR)
(6) Greece
(7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Former Yugoslavia
(8) Austria
(9) Slovak Republic, Czech Republic, Former Czechoslovakia
(10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
(11) Netherlands
(12) Belgium
(13) France
(14) Switzerland
(15) USA
(16) Other country, namely _____

-----------------------------

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn206</th>
<th>Registration of migration in 3rd generation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q206</td>
<td>Vw T23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q220</td>
<td>Nw T23.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gefiltert von Fragenummer(n) Vw/Nw:
Vw: T18.1 = 1 OR T23.1 = 1 (only respondents who state a) that they were born on current German territory or b) that their parents were born on current German territory
Nw: T18.1=1 AND T23.1=1 (only respondents who state a) that they were born on current German territory or b) that their parents were born on current German territory

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[Germany = current German territory, i.e. if born in former German territories that means "In a foreign country" and therefore (2) "no"]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Were all your grandparents born on current German territory?
Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn207</th>
<th>Immigration father</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q207</td>
<td>Vw T23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q221</td>
<td>Nw T23.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T23.1 = 3, 4 (only respondents who state that their father or both parents were not born on current Germany territory)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[enter year]

Question text Vw/Nw:
In which year did your father move to Germany?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1850) 1850
... (2013) 2013

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn208</th>
<th>Immigration mother</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q208</td>
<td>Vw T23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q222</td>
<td>Nw T23.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T23.1 = 2, 4 (only respondents who state that their mother or both parents were not born on current Germany territory)

Interviewer instruction Vw:
[enter year]

Question text Vw/Nw:
In which year did your mother move to Germany?
Table: Language spoken at home

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vv209</th>
<th>Language spoken at home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q209</td>
<td>Vw T23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q223</td>
<td>Nw T23.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T23.1 = 2-4 OR T18.1 = 2 OR T18.3 = 2
(only respondents who state a) that their mother or father or both parents were not born on current German territory or b) that they were born in a foreign country or c) that their (marriage) partner was born in a foreign country)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Do you mainly speak German or a different language at home?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
1. German
2. A different language

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

Table: Language spoken at home: Other language

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vv210</th>
<th>Language spoken at home: Other language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q210</td>
<td>Vw T23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q224</td>
<td>Nw T23.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T23.4 = 2 (only respondents who state that another language than German is spoken predominantly in their household)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
Which language is mainly spoken at home?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
2. Turkish
3. Italian
4. Polish
5. Serbian
6. Greek
7. Croatian
8. Bosnian
9. Danish
10. Dutch
11. French
12. Czech
13. Vietnamese
14. English
15. Russian
16. Arabic
17. Other language, namely: _____

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-99) No answer
Identification of resettler

 vn211
 Vw q211  Vw T23.8
 Nw q225  Nw T23.8

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T18.1 = 2 OR T23.1 = 2-4 (only respondents who state a) that they were born in a foreign country or b) that their mother or father or both parents were not born on current German territory)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Did your mother or your father come to Germany as a resettler or repatriate?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Identification of asylum seekers

 vn212
 Vw q212  Vw T23.9
 Nw q226  Nw T23.9

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:
T18.1 = 2 OR T23.1 = 2-4 (only respondents who state a) that they were born in a foreign country or b) that their mother or father or both parents were not born on current German territory)

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
Did you, your mother or your father ever made an application for asylum in Germany?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Membership of organisation: List

 vn213a-i
 Vw q213a-i  Vw T19.2
 Nw q227  Nw T19.2

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
[display list; for religious/churchly groups: a non-formal membership to a church/religion is meant]

Question text Vw/Nw:
Here is a list of organisations. Are you presently a member of one of them? Please read through this list and tell me the organisations you are a member of. Please also tell me whether you are just a passive member, whether you participate in the activities of the association or organisation and whether you hold an office.
Items Vw/Nw:
(A) Labor union
(B) Entrepreneurs' / employer association
(C) Professional association
(D) Farmers' or agriculture association
(E) religious group / churchly group
(F) Sports or hobby club
(G) Ecological group
(H) Anti-globalisation organisation like e.g. ATTAC
(I) Party namely __________

Scale Vw:
(1) Yes, I am member and execute an office
(2) Yes, I am member, don’t execute an office but participate actively
(3) Yes, I am a passive member
(4) No, I am not a member

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Scale Nw:
(1) Yes, I am a member and hold an office
(2) Yes, I am a member, don’t hold an office but participate in the activities of the association/organisation
(3) Yes, I am a passive member
(4) No, I am not a member

List of parties for intervier (Item I):
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRUENE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [also DVU] [Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion]]
(322) AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)
(180) FW Freie Waehler (FW Freie Waehler Bayern e.V.)
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) oedp (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BueSo (Buergerrechtsbewegung Solidaritaet)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party, namely __________

(-98) Don’t know
Labor union membership HH (Ego is not a labor union member)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q214</td>
<td>Vw T20.1</td>
<td>And is anyone else in your household a member of a labor union?</td>
<td>(1) Yes  (2) No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q228</td>
<td>Nw T20.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net household income, with categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q215</td>
<td>Vw T15.1</td>
<td>Taken all together, would you please indicate what the monthly net income of your household is? By net income, I mean the amount that you have left after taxes and social security. Please select the monthly net income of your household from one of these groups and tell me the group letter.</td>
<td>(1) B - Less than 500 euros  (2) T - 500 to less than 750 euros  (3) P - 750 to less than 1000 euros  (4) F - 1000 to less than 1250 euros  (5) E - 1250 to less than 1500 euros  (6) H - 1500 to less than 2000 euros  (7) L - 2000 to less than 2500 euros  (8) N - 2500 to less than 3000 euros  (9) R - 3000 to less than 4000 euros  (10) M - 4000 to less than 5000 euros  (11) S - 5000 to less than 7500 euros  (12) A - 7500 to less than 10000 euros  (13) D - 10000 euros or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q229</td>
<td>NW T15.1</td>
<td>[display list; if respondent refuses to answer, point out that all the information given remains anonymous]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for not voting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q16</td>
<td>Vw -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q16s</td>
<td>NW516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw305=2 (only respondents who stated that they did not vote for Federal Election on 22nd September)

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[if necessary, note open answer]

Question text Nw:
And why didn’t you vote? Please tell me the reason most important to you.

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
<note reason>

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

n231a-h Governmental spending, policy areas (list)

Vw -
Nw q7a-h Nw300

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[display list and read out question]

Question text Nw:
Please say whether public expenditure in each of the following areas should be higher or lower. Remember if you say “higher” it could require a tax increase, and if you say “lower” it could require a reduction in those services.

Items Nw:
(A) If you are thinking about public expenditure for health, should public expenditure be a lot higher, a little higher, equally high, a little lowers or a lot lower than today?
(B) And what about public expenditure for education?
(C) And what about public expenditure for unemployment aid?
(D) And what about public expenditure for Federal Armed Forces?
(E) And what about public expenditure for pensions?
(F) And what about public expenditure for a promotion of economy and industry?
(G) And what about public expenditure for fighting crime?
(H) And what about public expenditure for social benefits?

Scale Nw:
(1) A lot higher than today
(2) A little higher than today
(3) Equally high
(4) A little lower than today
(5) A lot lower than today

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Filtered by question number Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
Over the next ten years or so, how likely or unlikely is it that you will improve your standard of living? Very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?

**Items Nw:**

**Scale Nw:**
(1) Very likely
(2) Somewhat likely
(3) Somewhat unlikely
(4) Very unlikely

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
Would you say that the economic situation has improved considerably or improved somewhat?

**Items Nw:**

**Scale Nw:**
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Nw:

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
Would you say that the economic situation has deteriorated considerably or deteriorated somewhat?

**Items Nw:**
Scale Nw:
(1) Deteriorated considerably
(2) Deteriorated somewhat

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n235</th>
<th>Decision non-voting, difficulty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q17</td>
<td>Nw189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw305=2 (only respondents who stated that they did not vote for Federal Election on 22nd September)

Interviewer instruction Nw:

Question text Nw:
Was it very difficult, fairly difficult, fairly easy or very easy for you to decide not to vote in this Federal Election?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Very difficult
(2) Fairly difficult
(3) Fairly easy
(4) Very easy

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n236</th>
<th>Absentee ballot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q18</td>
<td>Nw500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw305=1 (only respondents who stated that they did not vote for Federal Election on 22nd September)

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[If respondent has voted in the polling station prior to 22. September 2013, treat answer as “via absentee ballot”]

Question text Nw:
Have you voted previously via absentee ballot or at election day in the polling station?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Via absentee ballot
(2) In the polling station

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Difficulty of voting decision

Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw031=1-801 in Item B (only respondents who stated that they voted for a political party with their second vote in Federal Election 2013)

Interviewer instruction Nw:

Question text Nw:
Was it very difficult, fairly difficult, fairly easy or very easy for you to decide whom to vote for in this Federal Election?

Items Nw:
(1) Very difficult
(2) Fairly difficult
(3) Fairly easy
(4) Very easy

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Point in time of voting decision

Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw031=1-801 (only respondents who stated that they voted (first or second vote) for a political party at Federal Election 2013)

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[display list]

Question text Nw:
When did you decide how to vote in this Federal Election? A long time ago, a few months ago, a few weeks or days before the election or on election day?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) A long time ago
(2) A few months ago
(3) A few weeks before the election
(4) A few days before the election
(5) On election day

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
n239  Difference government
Vw -
Nw q28  Nw008

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[Display list; if there is a request: question is referred to the federal government in Berlin, not provincial government.]

Question text Nw:
Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Using the scale on this card, (where one means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power in Berlin and five means that it makes a big difference who is in power), where would you place yourself?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) 1 it doesn't make any difference who is in power.
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 it makes a big difference who is in power.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

n240  Difference which party one votes for
Vw -
Nw q29  Nw547

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[display list]

Question text Nw:
Looking now at voting, some people say that it makes no difference in politics who you vote for. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. Using the scale on this card, (where one means that voting won't make any difference to what happens in politics and five means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) 1 Voting won't make any difference to what happens in politics
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 Voting can make a big difference.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
### Satisfaction with democracy

**Filtered by question number Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Germany?

**Items Nw:**

**Scale Nw:**
- (1) Very satisfied
- (2) Fairly satisfied
- (3) Not very satisfied
- (4) Not at all satisfied

---

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

### Party identification

**Filtered by question number Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?

**Items Nw:**

**Scale Nw:**
- (1) Yes
- (2) No

---

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

### Party identification, request

**Filtered by question number Nw:**

Nw025=2,-98,-99 (only respondents who a) state that they are not close to a particular party or b) answer “don’t know” to the question concerning party identification or c) don’t answer the question concerning party identification)

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

**Items Nw:**
Scale Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n244a-b</th>
<th>Party identification, political party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q37a-b</td>
<td>Nw027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw025=1 or Nw026=1 (only respondents who a) state that they are close to a political party or b) that they feel a little closer to a political party)

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[if necessary, note open answer]

Question text Nw:
Which party is that?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Coding list of parties for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRIENE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschland)
(322) AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)
(180) FW Freie Waehler (FW Freie Waehler Bayern e.V.)
(168) DUV (DEUTSCHE VOLKSUMION)
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) oedp (oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
(134) BueSo (Buergerrechtsbewegung Solidaritaet)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party_______________
**Strength of party identification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Nw q38</th>
<th>Nw028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Nw:**
Nw027=1-801 (only respondents who have mentioned a party concerning the question on party identification)

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?

**Items Nw:**

**Scale Nw:**
- (1) Very close
- (2) Somewhat close
- (3) Not very close

- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don’t know
- (-99) No answer

**Persuasion: passive**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Nw q55</th>
<th>Nw401</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

**Question text Nw:**
During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbor, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate?

**Items Nw:**

**Scale Nw:**
- (1) Yes
- (2) No

- (-98) Don’t know
- (-99) No answer

**Form of persuasion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Nw q56a-f</th>
<th>Nw315</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Nw:**
Nw401=1 (only respondents who state that they tried to persuade family, friends or others to vote for a certain party/candidate during election campaign)

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**
[Display list; multiple responses are possible]

**Question text Nw:**
Please tell me on which way people tried to persuade you.
Items Nw:
(A) in person, face to face [Int.: e.g. on the street or at your entry door; not via telephone]
(B) by mail [Int.: flyers, too]
(C) by phone [Int.: call on the landline or mobile phone; NO SMS]
(D) by SMS
(E) by E-mail
(F) through a social network like Facebook or the like

Scale Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Nw:

Persuasion: active

Information on parties/candidates, online registration

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[via SMS, Newsletter, RSS news, Blogs, E-mail, Internet, Twitter, Facebook or the like please mark as „yes“]

Question text Nw:
Prior to or during the campaign, did you use the Internet or your mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate?

Options Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

n250  Political knowledge - minister of finance
Vw -
Nw q59  Nw317

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[display list]

Question text Nw:
Which of these persons was the Finance Minister before the recent election - Rainer Brüderle, Thomas de Maizière, Wolfgang Schäuble or Dirk Niebel?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Rainer Brüderle
(2) Thomas de Maizière
(3) Wolfgang Schäuble
(4) Dirk Niebel

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

n251  Political knowledge – unemployment rate
Vw -
Nw q60  Nw501

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[display list]

Question text Nw:
What is the current unemployment rate in Germany?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) 4,8%
(2) 6,8%
(3) 8,8%
(4) 10,8%

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

n252  Political knowledge – second strongest political party at federal election
2013
Vw -
Nw q61  Nw514

Filtered by question number Nw:
Interviewer instruction Nw:
[Display list; question refers to federal election 2013]

Question text Nw:
Which party came in second in seats in the federal election - FDP, GRÜNE, SPD or CDU?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) FDP
(2) GRÜNE
(3) SPD
(4) CDU

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

n253  Political knowledge – UN Secretary-General
Ww -
Nw q62  Nw320

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[display list]

Question text Nw:
Who is the current Secretary-General of the United Nations - Kofi Annan, Kurt Waldheim, Ban Ki-moon or Boutros Boutros-Ghali?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Kofi Annan
(2) Kurt Waldheim
(3) Ban Ki-moon
(4) Boutros Boutros-Ghali

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

n254  Representation of interests, political party
Ww -
Nw q70  Nw012

Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:

Question text Nw:
Would you say that any of the parties in Germany represents your views reasonably well?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n255a-b</th>
<th>Representation of interests, which political party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q71a-b</td>
<td>Nw013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw012 = 1 (only respondents who state that a political party represents their interests reasonably well)

Interviewer instruction Nw:
[Display list; if necessary note open answer]

Question text Nw:
Which party represents your views best?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
List of political parties for respondent:
CDU/CSU
SPD
FDP
DIE LINKE
GRUENE
PIRATEN
NPD
AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)
Other party, namely __________
No party
All parties are as good as each other

List precoding for interviewer:
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(7) DIE LINKE
(6) GRUENE
(215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
(206) NPD [also DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
(322) AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)
(180) FW Freie Waehler (FW Freie Waehler Bayern e.V.)
(225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
(237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
(209) oedp (Oekologisch-Demokratische Partei)
(171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
(226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
(224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
(126) BP (Bayernpartei)
(214) PBC (Partei Bibelfreuer Christen)
(134) BueSo (Buergerrechtsbewegung Solidaritaet)
(152) DIE VIOLETTEN
(801) Other party, namely __________
(808) No party
(809) All parties are as good as each other

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
And regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say that any of the top candidates who ran for office in the Federal Election 2013 represent your views reasonably well?

Items:

Scale:
(1) Yes
(2) No

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Which top candidate represents your views best?

Items:

Scale:
List precoding for interviewer:
(1) Angela Merkel
(2) Peer Steinbrueck
(3) Rainer Brüderle
(4) Jürgen Trittin
(5) Gregor Gysi
(6) Gerda Hasselfeldt
(7) Sahra Wagenknecht
(8) Katrin Goering-Eckardt
(9) Philipp Roesler
(10) Katja Kipping
(11) Bernd Rixinger
(12) Horst Seehofer
(13) Sigmar Gabriel
(14) Guido Westerwelle
(15) Other, namely_________________
During the election campaign, would you say that there were major political differences between the parties, minor differences, or no differences at all?

Items:
(1) Major differences
(2) Minor differences
(3) No differences at all

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied with the range of political positions and suggested solutions presented by political parties during the election campaign?

Items:
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Not very satisfied
(4) Not at all satisfied

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Represented interests, organisations

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
Please take a look at the following list of groups and organisations which many citizens feel represented by.
For each of these groups or organisations, please tell me - regardless of whether you are a member or not - whether you think they represent your interests or are opposed to your interests. Please use this scale for this purpose.

**Items Nw:**
(A) Labor unions  
(B) Industry/Employers' confederation or association  
(C) Farmers' and agricultural associations  
(D) The Roman Catholic Church  
(E) Protestant Church(es)  
(F) Environmental groups  
(G) Groups critical of globalisation (e.g. ATTAC)

**Scale Nw:**
(1) The aims of the group/organisation are contrary to my interests  
(2) The aims of the group/organisation are against my interests to some extent  
(3) The aims of the group/organisation neither represent nor don’t represent my interests  
(4) The aims of the group/organisation represent my interests to some extent  
(5) The aims of the group/organisation represent my interests

(-71) I do not know this organisation  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n261a-f</th>
<th>Socio-economic issue: taxes, salience, party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q103a-f</td>
<td>Nw359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Nw:**
Nw543: only ask items if ≠ -71 (only ask parties which are stated as known)

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**
[List is still displayed, leave displayed]
Programming note: only show parties which were known at Nw543

**Question text Nw:**
How important was the topic of taxes and social welfare services to the following political parties over the last 12 months?

**Items Nw:**
(A) CDU  
(B) CSU  
(C) SPD  
(D) FDP  
(E) DIE LINKE  
(F) GRÜNE

**Scale Nw:**
(1) Very important  
(2) Somewhat important  
(3) In between  
(4) Not very important  
(5) Not important at all

(-97) Not applicable  
(-98) Don’t know  
(-99) No answer
Filtered by question number Nw:

Interviewer instruction Nw:

Question text Nw:
How much attention did you pay to the Federal Election campaign? A great deal, quite a bit, a little, or none?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) A great deal
(2) Quite a bit
(3) A little
(4) None

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

Filtered by question number Nw:
Nw543: only ask items if ≠ -71 (only ask for parties if they were stated as known)

Interviewer instruction Nw:
(display list)
Programming note: only show parties which were known at Nw543

Question text Nw:
There are a lot of political parties in Germany. Every party would love to get your vote. Please tell me for each party how likely it is that you will vote for this party.

Items Nw:
(A) The CDU?
(B) The CSU?
(C) The SPD?
(D) The FDP?
(E) The Partei DIE LINKE?
(F) The GRUENEN?
(G) The PIRATEN?
(H) The AfD (Alternative fuer Deutschland)?
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(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n264</th>
<th>Finding a new employment, respondent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q181</td>
<td>Nw T27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Nw:
T06.6=1-3.8 (only respondents who a) state to work full time, part time or to be an apprentice or b) state to work in reduced hours currently)

Interviewer instruction Nw:

Question text Nw:
If you lost your job, how easy or difficult would it be to find another job in the next twelve months? Very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Very easy
(2) Quite easy
(3) Quite difficult
(4) Very difficult

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n265</th>
<th>Finding a new employment, partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q196</td>
<td>Nw395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Nw:
T10.6=1-3.8 (only respondents who a) state that their (marriage) partner is currently working in full time, part time or in vocational training or b) state that their (marriage) partner is currently working in reduced hours)

Interviewer instruction Nw:

Question text Nw:
If your spouse/partner lost their job, how easy or difficult would it be for them to find another job in the next twelve months? Very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Very easy
(2) Quite easy
(3) Quite difficult
(4) Very difficult

(-97) Not applicable
(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer
### Ownership/property (list)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Nw q202a-d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nw T28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

[display list]

**Question text Nw:**

Do you or a member of your household own one or more of the following things?

**Items Nw:**

- Residential property, e.g. house or flat [Int.: beach house, country house and holiday house]
- A corporation, ground or a farm [Int.: estate and common ownership, too]
- Shares or bond issues
- A bank giro account or account book

**Scale Nw:**

1. Yes
2. No

- Don’t know
- No answer

### Household income, prospective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Nw q203</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nw T26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

[We mean the sum after discounting taxes and social insurances.]

**Question text Nw:**

How likely or unlikely do you think it is that your household’s income could be severely reduced in the next twelve month? Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain or completely improbable?

**Items Nw:**

1. Absolutely certain
2. Fairly certain
3. More uncertain
4. Completely improbable

- Don’t know
- No answer

### Residential area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vw</th>
<th>Nw q231</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nw549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Nw:**

[Interviewer]

How would you describe the residential area of the respondent?
Items Nw:

Scale Nw:
(1) Rural area or village
(2) Small or medium sized town
(3) Suburb of a large city
(4) Large city

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn218</th>
<th>Assessment of the interviewer: Difficulty interview participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q218</td>
<td>Vw Int03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q233</td>
<td>Nw Int03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
And how difficult was it to convince the respondent to participate in the interview?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Very difficult
(2) Fairly difficult
(3) Fairly easy
(4) Very easy

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn219</th>
<th>Assessment of the interviewer: Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q219</td>
<td>Vw Int04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q234</td>
<td>Nw Int04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:

Question text Vw/Nw:
From your point of view, how often did the respondent endeavour to answer the questions as good as he/she could?

Items Vw/Nw:

Scale Vw/Nw:
(1) Never
(2) Almost never
(3) Sometimes
(4) Often
(5) Very often

(-98) Don’t know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn220</th>
<th>Assessment of the interviewer: Understanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q220</td>
<td>Vw Int05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q235</td>
<td>Nw Int05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:

Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:
**Question text Vw/Nw:**
All in all - how often did you have the impression that the respondent has understood the questions?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Never
(2) Almost never
(3) Sometimes
(4) Often
(5) Very often

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vn221</th>
<th>Assessment of the interviewer: Distraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vw q221</td>
<td>Vw Int06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nw q236</td>
<td>Nw Int06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filtered by question number Vw/Nw:**

**Interviewer instruction Vw/Nw:**

**Question text Vw/Nw:**
How often did you have the impression that the respondent was distracted during the interview?

**Items Vw/Nw:**

**Scale Vw/Nw:**
(1) Never
(2) Almost never
(3) Sometimes
(4) Often
(5) Very often

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

(-98) Don't know
(-99) No answer