

gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal
Election Study



GLES 2017

Short-term Campaign Panel

Control group III

(to Panel Wave 8)

ZA6807, Version 2.0.0

Documentation of Questionnaire

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific infrastructure in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of the GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviours of voters and candidates. The GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences.

The following documentation refers to the Short-term Campaign Panel, Control Group I of the GLES 2017 and will give you some general information about the dataset.

Bibliographic description of the dataset

Study No.	ZA6807
Title	Short-term Campaign Panel 2017, Control Group III (GLES 2017)
Current Version	2.0.0, 11.12.2019
doi	10.4232/1.13423
Citation	Roßteutscher, Sigrid; Schmitt-Beck, Rüdiger; Schoen, Harald; Weßels, Bernhard; Wolf, Christof; Preißinger, Maria; Kratz, Agatha; Wuttke, Alexander; Manger, Lea (2019): Short-term Campaign Panel 2017 – Control Group III (to Panel Wave 8) (GLES). GESIS Data Archive, Cologne: ZA6807 Data file Version 2.0.0, doi: 10.4232/1.13423.
Number of Observations	1156
Number of Variables	312 substantial variables

Basic information

Funding Agency	German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)	
Data Collector	University of Mannheim, Chair of Political Science, Political Psychology	
Date of Collection	Short-term Campaign Panel	(06.10.2016 – 09.10.2017)
	Control Group III	(27.09.2017 – 09.10.2017)

Content In addition to the panellists of the Short-term Campaign Panel 2017 (ZA6804), three independent samples were drawn which are then interviewed with the same questionnaire and at the same time as the panel waves four, six, and eight. By comparing the panel and cross-section respondents, it is possible to analyse if and to what extent the repeated interview of the same respondent influences the measurement of political attitudes and political behaviour.

Each control group contains questions that were raised in each panel wave, such as voting behaviour, media use or the evaluation of the election campaign. The control group to the eighth wave also includes questions on the perception of the economy, attitudes towards politics in general and retrospective voting in the last federal election in 2013.

Methodology

Geographic Coverage Germany (DE)

Universe	The target population of the Campaign Panel and the Control Group III comprises all German citizens who were eligible to vote in the 2017 election to the German Bundestag. Due to the decision to collect the data of the Campaign Panel online, the frame population is restricted to members of the nonprobability online panel of the Respondi AG and GapFish GmbH who were eligible to vote. The members of the access panels are mainly recruited online. A smaller proportion is recruited by telephone.
Selection Method	Quota sampling of the frame population of the online access panels by age, gender, and education
Mode of Data Collection	Online Panel Survey with a standardized questionnaire
Survey software	QuestBack, EFS Survey Version 10.7
Field work	On the first two days, 28.2% of the interviews were conducted. In order to meet predefined quotas, groups with a low probability to participate were contacted first (i.e. younger persons, people with lower educational status); the invitations for the other groups were sent out a few days later. From the tenth field day onwards, GapFish panellists were also invited to fill quotas that were still understaffed.
Response rate	Respondi and GapFish have sent 16.054 survey invitations in total, resulting in 1,156 complete or partially complete interviews (response rate: 7.2%). In the final sample, 106 respondents are from the access panel Gap Fish.
Incentive	Participants from the Respondi panel received €2.00 for the 25-minute survey and participants from the GapFish panel received a slightly higher incentive of €2.50.
Weights	The post-stratification weights were calculated according to the marginal distributions of the (N)Onliner Atlas 2014 and the distributions of the Microcensus 2013. Only persons entitled to vote in private households situated in their main residence were included in the calculation of the target distribution of Microcensus 2013. It was attuned to socio-demographic and regional structural features: Gender, age, education, and the old West German states or the states of former East Germany (Berlin included). The weights were calculated using the IPF method (iterative proportional fitting).

Data access

Usage regulations	Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: access category A
Anonymized data	<p>According to German privacy, only anonymized data can be made accessible for public download. Thus, some variables had to be deleted from the publicly available dataset, or answers were summarized in larger categories.</p> <p>As a matter of course, no information is lost: All variables can be used by interested researchers in a Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). Some variables are also available by signing a user contract. If you are interested in those variables, please send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org. An overview of those variables can be found on our homepage (www.gesis.org/gles).</p>

Errata

The most recent errata list is provided by the GESIS Data Catalogue (www.gesis.org/dbk). There you can also find a list of all changes made between the different versions of the dataset.

You can find more information about GLES at www.gesis.org/gles and <http://www.gles.eu>.

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

Postfach 12 21 55

68072 Mannheim

E-mail: gles@gesis.org

Overview of the variables in the third Control Group to the Short-term Campaign Panel 2017

Variable	Label
kpx_screen1	German nationality
kpx_2280	Gender
kpx_2291	Month of birth
kpx_2290	Year of birth
kpx_2320	School leaving certificate
kpx_2601	Federal state
kp8_010	Interest in politics, in general
kp8_020	Satisfaction with democracy
kp8_160a,b,j,k,o,p,q	Confidence in institutions
kp8_180	Turnout
kp8_200a,b	Actual vote: first/second vote
kp8_201a	Actual vote: first vote, other party
kp8_201b	Actual vote: second vote, other party
kp8_252	Reasons for decision not to vote, closed
kp8_191a,b	Vote postal voter
kp8_193a	Vote postal voter: first vote, other party
kp8_193b	Vote postal voter: second vote, other party
kp8_260_c1-c3	Reasons for voting decision (1st – 3rd coding)
kp8_260s	Reasons for voting decision, open
kp8_221a,c-g,i	Consideration Set
kp8_211a,c-g,i	Consideration Set, hypothetical
kp8_2751a,c-g,i	Consideration Set on the day of the postal vote
kp8_320	Time of final voting decision
kp8_321	Time of decision not to vote
kp8_330	Difficulty of voting decision
kp8_340	Satisfaction with election outcome
kp8_341a,c-f,i	Election outcome: winner and loser
kp8_280	Hypothetical voting decision
kp8_290a,b	Hypothetical voting decision after federal election
kp8_291a	Hypothetical first vote after federal election, other party
kp8_291b	Hypothetical second vote after federal election, other party
kp8_342	Fair election
kp8_730	Scalometer government
kp8_731a-c	Scalometer governing parties
kp8_840_c1-c5	Most important problem (1st – 5th coding)
kp8_840s	Most important problem
kp8_850	Ability to solve the most important problem
kp8_851	Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
kp8_860_c1-c5	Second most important problem (1st – 5th coding)
kp8_860s	Second most important problem
kp8_870	Ability to solve the second most important problem
kp8_871	Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
kp8_050a,e,h,k,l,q	Principles of democracy

kp8_2880v,y,aa,w	Ego positions I
kp8_5020	Difference government
kp8_430a-f,i	Scalometer parties
kp8_780	Personal economic situation, current
kp8_770	Responsibility for personal economic situation
kp8_820	General economic situation, current
kp8_810	Responsibility for general economic situation
kp8_650a,z,t,c,s,w,y,p,j1	Scalometer politicians
kp8_5021	Difference parties
kp8_040a-c,e,f,h,j,l,q	Attitudes to parties in general
kp8_671	Preferred chancellor
kp8_910a-c,e-h	Scalometer coalitions
kp8_912	SPD in opposition, exposure
kp8_913	SPD in opposition, opinion
kp8_911	Participation of AfD in a coalition
kp8_3103a-h	Populism
kp8_1090	Socio-economic dimension, ego
kp8_1130	Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego
kp8_1210	Integration, ego
kp8_1290	Climate change, ego
kp8_1411	Security and privacy, ego
kp8_1250	European integration, ego
kp8_1100; kp8_1140; kp8_1220; kp8_1300; kp8_1421	Socio-economic dimension, importance; Libertarian- authoritarian dimension, importance; Integration foreigners, importance; Climate change, importance; Security and privacy, importance
kp8_2880j,ae,b,ac	Ego positions II
kp8_421ka-ei,y	Contact with parties I
kp8_421ka-ei_c2,p1	Contact with parties I, follow-up question
kp8_421ha-ii,z	Contact with parties II
kp8_421ha-ii_c2,p2	Contact with parties II, follow-up question
kp8_1600	Internet use, politically current
kp8_1681a-f	Use of TV, news, current
kp8_1681a-e_c2,p	Use of TV, news, current, follow-up question
kp8_1661a-h	Use of print media, politically current
kp8_1661a-g_c2,p	Use of print media, politically current, follow-up question
kp8_1701aa-dc	News magazines, current
kp8_1702	News magazines, SPIEGEL Online
kp8_2780	Turnout federal election 2013
kp8_350a,b	Recall previous federal election
kp8_353a	Recall previous federal election first vote, other party
kp8_353b	Recall previous federal election second vote
kp8_1933	Conversations about politics, in general
kp8_1933_c2,p	Conversations about politics, follow-up question
kp8_1937a-c	Name generator
kp8_1941a-c	Discussion partner, relationship
kp8_1945a-c	Discussion partner, frequency
kp8_1945a-c_c2,p	Discussion partner, frequency, follow-up question

kp8_1973a-c	Discussion partner, voting decision
kp8_1974a-c	Discussion partner, voting decision, other party
kp8_2880af,x,u,z	Ego positions III
kp8_2090	Party identification
kp8_2091	Party identification, other party
kp8_2100	Party identification, strength
kp8_2095	Multiple party identification
kp8_2096	Multiple party identification, other party
kp8_2101	Multiple party identification, strength
kpx_2441	Household size
kpx_2450	Number members of the household under 18 years
kpx_2301	Marital status
kpx_2311	Respondent has a partner
kpx_2312	Partner living in the same household
kpx_2330	Vocational and professional training
kpx_2340	Gainful employment
kpx_2350	Former gainful employment
kpx_2380	Profession
kpx_3610	Employee - differentiation
kpx_3620	Worker - differentiation
kpx_3630	Independent professional - differentiation
kpx_3640	Civil servant - differentiation
kpx_3650	Employment sector
kpx_3660	Sector of the economy
kpx_2390	Former profession
kpx_3710	Former profession, employee - differentiation
kpx_3720	Former profession, worker - differentiation
kpx_3730	Former profession, independent professional - differentiation
kpx_3740	Former profession, civil servant - differentiation
kpx_3750	Former profession, employment sector
kpx_3760	Former profession, sector of the economy
kpx_2590	Net household income, with categories
kpx_2580	Subjective perception of class
kpx_2481	Religious denomination
kpx_2491	Church attendance
kpx_3910	Religiousness
kpx_2600	Residence
kpx_2602	Postal code
kp8_4240	Rating of survey
kp8_4270s	Comment, open

Variable: kpx_screen1**Topic:** Screening**Item:** German nationality**Filter:****Presentation:**

must answer; Single response list (vertical); Plausibility check: If no answer was given, then the following text will be displayed: "Answering this question is important for the rest of the survey. Please state whether you have German citizenship."

Question:

Do you have German citizenship?

- yes

- no

- don't know

Transfer from filter: kpX_screen1= 2 or -98 >> survey finished.**Coding:**

(1) yes

(2) no

(-98) don't know

Variables: kpx_2280; kpx_2291; kpx_2290**Topic:** Sociodemography**Items:** Gender; Month of birth; Year of birth**Presentation:**

must answer; the following four questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions".

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question kpX_2280:

Please state your gender.

- male

- female

Coding:

(1) male

(2) female

Filter:**Presentation:**

Closed response list

Question kpX_2291:

In what month were you born?

- Please select

- January

- February

- March

- April

- May

- June

- July

- August

- September

- October

- November

- December

Coding:

(0) Please select

(1) January

(2) February

(3) March

(4) April

- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

Filter:**Presentation:**

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1900 and 2000 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kpX_2290:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g. 1978

Transfer from filter: Screen out people born later than May 1999.

[NOTE: Due to a programming error, people who were born later than 31 December 1998 have been screened out. This concerns 16 respondents.]

Variable: kpx_2320

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: School leaving certificate

Filter:**Presentation:**

must answer; single response list (vertical); plausibility check: Please note that an answer to this question is mandatory for continuing the survey. If you have acquired your school leaving certificate outside of Germany, please state the respective German certificate.

Question:

What's your highest level of general education?

- Finished school without school leaving certificate
- Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss, or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
- Still at school

Coding:

- (1) Finished school without school leaving certificate
- (2) Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- (3) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- (4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- (5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
- (9) Still at school

Variable: kpx_2601

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Federal state

Filter:**Presentation:**

must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."

Question:

And in which federal state do you live?

Instructions:

If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

Coding:

- (8) Baden-Württemberg
- (9) Bavaria
- (11) Berlin
- (12) Brandenburg
- (4) Bremen
- (2) Hamburg
- (6) Hesse
- (13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- (3) Lower Saxony
- (5) North Rhine-Westphalia
- (7) Rhineland-Palatinate
- (10) Saarland
- (14) Saxony
- (15) Saxony-Anhalt
- (1) Schleswig-Holstein
- (16) Thuringia

Variable: kp8_010

Topic: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Interest in politics, in general

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_020**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Satisfaction with democracy**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:

- (1) very satisfied
- (2) fairly satisfied
- (3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- (4) not very satisfied
- (5) not at all satisfied

(-99) no answer**Variable:** kp8_160a,b,j,k,o,p,q**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Confidence in institutions**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Let's talk about the following public institutions.

Question:

Please state if you trust these institutions or not.

How about ...

- (A) the Bundestag?
- (B) the Federal Constitutional Court?
- (J) the German armed forces?
- (K) the trade unions?
- (O) the banks?
- (P) the media?
- (Q) the police?

Coding:

- (1) I do not trust at all
- (2) I rather do not trust
- (3) neither trust nor distrust
- (4) I rather trust
- (5) I fully trust

(-99) no answer**Variable:** kp8_180**Topic:** Voting decision**Item:** Turnout**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response (vertical)

Question:

At the federal election on 24 September a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?

- I did vote
- I did not vote
- I had already cast a postal vote

Coding:

- (1) I did vote
- (2) I did not vote
- (6) already cast a postal vote

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_200a,b	Item: Actual vote: first/second vote
-----------------------------	---

Topic: Voting decision	Item: Actual vote: first/second vote
-------------------------------	---

Filter: kp8_180=1	Item: Actual vote: first/second vote
--------------------------	---

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; settled out down below "I did not cast a first/second vote"-category

Intro:

You had two votes in the federal election. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.

Question:

How did you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- I did not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- I did not cast a second vote

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(-84) I didn't cast a first/second vote

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Second vote:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(-84) I didn't cast a first/second vote

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_201a,b were recoded into the variables kp8_200aa/kp8_200ab and kp8_200ba/kp8_200bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_201a

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Actual vote: first vote, other party

Filter: kp8_200a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party did you vote for with your FIRST vote in this federal election?

- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (351) Buendnis C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_200a were recoded into the variables kp8_200aa and kp8_200ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_201b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Actual vote: second vote, other party

Filter: kp8_200b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in this federal election?

- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN

(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_200b were recoded into the variables kp8_200ba and kp8_200bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_252

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Reasons for decision not to vote, closed

Filter: kp8_180=2

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); italicize "most important"

Question:

Please state the most important reason for why you did not vote.

- I didn't have time.
- I wasn't at home on election day.
- I couldn't participate for health reasons.
- I am not interested in politics.
- I didn't know who I should vote for.
- Voting does not change anything.
- I am dissatisfied with politics and/or politicians.
- One single vote has too little influence.
- other reason

Coding:

- (1) no time
- (2) absent on election day
- (3) for health reasons
- (4) not interested in politics
- (5) didn't know who I should vote for
- (6) voting does not change anything
- (7) dissatisfaction with politics/politicians
- (8) single vote has too little influence
- (9) other reason

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_191a,b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Vote postal voter

Filter: kp8_180=6

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote

Question:

You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.

How did you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

(B) Second vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke

- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

Coding:

First vote:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Second vote:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_193a,b were recoded into the variables kp8_191aa/kp8_191ab and kp8_191ba/kp8_191bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_193a**Topic:** Voting decision**Item:** Vote postal voter: first vote, other party**Filter:** kp8_191a=801**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (351) Buendnis C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_191a were recoded into the variables kp8_191aa and kp8_191ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_193b	Item: Vote postal voter: second vote, other party
---------------------------	--

Filter: kp8_191b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_191b were recoded into the variables kp8_191ba and kp8_191bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_260s	Item: Reasons for voting decision, open
---------------------------	--

Filter: (kp8_180=1 | kp8_180=6) & kp8_200b!=-99 & kp8_201b!=-99 & kp8_191b!=-99 & kp8_193b!=-99 & kp8_200b!=-84

Presentation:

Text field four rows with visually 50 characters per line; no maximum character limit; italicize "most important"

Question:

Why did you give your second vote to this party?

Instructions:

Please state the *most important* reason.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by the coding pattern „Gründe für Parteiwahl“]

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_221a,c-g,i	Item: Consideration Set
---------------------------------	--------------------------------

Filter: (kp8_200b=1-322) | (kp8_201b=151-323) >> Receive intro and question
 kp8_200b=801 & (kp8_201b= -99 | kp8_201b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question 1
 (kp8_200b= -84 | -99) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question 2

Presentation:

Standard matrix, Column labels as coding labels

The party that was chosen for the second vote in kp8_200b must not appear in the answering options.

Intro:

You said that you cast your second vote for (insert party).

Question 1:

Did you consider any other parties for your second vote on federal election day?

Question 2:

Which parties did you consider for your second vote?

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD
- (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) considered
- (2) rather considered
- (3) rather did not consider
- (4) did not consider

 (-97) not applicable [either because the respondent was previously filtered out or he/she already selected the party under second vote]

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_211a,c-g,i

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Consideration Set, hypothetical

Filter: kp8_180=2,-99

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Let's assume you would have taken part in the election. Which party would you have considered for your second vote?

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
- (I) AfD
- (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) would have considered
- (2) would probably have considered
- (3) would probably not have considered
- (4) would not have considered

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_2751a,c-g,i

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Consideration Set on the day of the postal vote

Filter:

kp8_180=6 & ((kp8_191b=1-322) | (kp8_193b=151-323)) >> Receive intro and question 1

kp8_180=6 & kp8_191b=801 & (kp8_193b=-99 | kp8_193b=801) >> Do not receive intro but ONLY question 1

kp8_180=6 & kp8_191b=-99 >> Do not receive intro but ONLY question 2

Presentation:

Standard matrix; Column labels like coding labels.

The party that was chosen for the second vote in kp8_191b must not appear in the answering options.

Intro:

You said you cast your second vote for (insert party).

Question 1:

Did you consider any other parties for your second vote on the day of your postal vote?

Question 2:

Which parties did you consider for your second vote on the day of your postal vote?

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD

- (D) FDP
 (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
 (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
 (I) AfD
 (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) considered
 (2) rather considered
 (3) rather not considered
 (4) not considered

 (-97) not applicable [either because the respondent was previously filtered out or he/she already selected the party under second vote]

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_320

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Time of final voting decision

Filter: kp8_180=6 | kp8_180=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

When did you decide how to vote in this federal election?

- That was decided a long time ago.
- That was decided a year ago.
- That was decided a few months ago.
- I decided in the final weeks before the election.
- I decided in the final days before the election.
- I didn't decide until the day of the federal election.

Coding:

- (1) long time ago
 (6) year ago
 (2) few months ago
 (3) few weeks before the election
 (4) few days before the election
 (5) on election day

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_321

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Time of decision not to vote

Filter: kp8_180=2

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

When did you decide not to vote in this federal election?

- That was decided a long time ago.
- That was decided a year ago.
- That was decided a few months ago.
- I decided in the final weeks before the election.
- I decided in the final days before the election.
- I didn't decide until the day of the federal election.

Coding:

- (1) long time ago
 (6) year ago
 (2) a few months ago
 (3) few weeks before the election
 (4) few days before the election
 (5) on election day
-

(-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_330	Item: Difficulty of voting decision
--------------------------	--

Topic: Voting decision

Filter: kp8_180=1 | kp8_180=6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How difficult was it for you to decide how to vote in this federal election?

- very difficult
- fairly difficult
- moderately
- not very difficult
- not difficult at all

Coding:

- (1) very difficult
- (2) fairly difficult
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very difficult
- (5) not difficult at all

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_340	Item: Satisfaction with election outcome
--------------------------	---

Topic: Voting decision

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the outcome of the federal election?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:

- (1) very satisfied
- (2) fairly satisfied
- (3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- (4) not very satisfied
- (5) not at all satisfied

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_341a,c-f,i	Item: Election outcome: winner and loser
---------------------------------	---

Topic: Voting decision

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix "don't-know"-category settled out a bit

Question:

If you think about the outcome of the federal election, which parties do belong to the winners and which to the losers?

- (A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- (C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- (D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:

- (1) clearly to the losers
- (2) rather to the losers
- (3) neither to the losers nor to the winners
- (4) rather to the winner
- (5) clearly to the winners

(-98) don't know

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_280

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Hypothetical voting decision

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Let's assume you could vote again today. Would you make the same voting decision today as you did at the federal election, or would you decide differently?

I would...

- make the same decision
- make a different decision

Coding:

- (1) make the same decision
- (2) make a different decision

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_290a,b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Hypothetical voting decision after federal election

Filter: kp8_280= 2 | -99

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; "don't-know"-category and "I would not cast a first/second vote" below

Question:

Let's assume you could vote again today: How would you decide today?

(A) First vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don't know

- I would not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don't know

- I would not cast a second vote

Coding:

First vote:

(1) CDU/CSU
 (4) SPD
 (5) FDP
 (6) GRUENE
 (7) DIE LINKE
 (322) AfD
 (801) other party

(-98) don't know
 (-84) would not cast a first/second vote

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Second vote:
 (1) CDU/CSU
 (4) SPD
 (5) FDP
 (6) GRUENE
 (7) DIE LINKE
 (322) AfD
 (801) other party

(-98) don't know
 (-84) would not cast a first/second vote

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_291a,b were recoded into the variables kp8_290aa/kp8_290ab and kp8_290ba/kp8_290bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_291a

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Hypothetical first vote after federal election, other party

Filter: kp8_290a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these candidates would you vote for with your FIRST vote today?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG
 (351) Buendnis C
 (151) Die PARTEI
 (323) DIE RECHTE
 (171) FAMILIE
 (180) FREIE WAEHLER
 (331) LKR
 (206) NPD
 (209) oedp
 (215) PIRATEN
 (218) pro Deutschland
 (225) REP

(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_290a were recoded into the variables kp8_290aa and kp8_290ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_291b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Hypothetical second vote after federal election, other party

Filter: kp8_290b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties would you vote for with your SECOND vote today?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Buendnis C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_290b were recoded into the variables kp8_290ba and kp8_290bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_342

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Fair election

Filter:

Intro:

Here are some statements on the election campaign and the 2017 federal election with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) During the election campaign, all parties had a fair chance to present their views to the voter.
- (B) The federal election was carried out correctly and fairly by the authorities.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_730

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer government

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and SPD in the last four years?

- 5 not at all satisfied
- 4
- 3
- 2
- 1
- 0
- +1
- +2
- +3
- +4
- +5 completely satisfied

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_731a-c

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer governing parties

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance over the last four years? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1

- (6) 0
 (7) +1
 (8) +2
 (9) +3
 (10) +4
 (11) +5 completely satisfied

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_840s

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Most important problem

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern "Agendafragen"]

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_850

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem

Filter: kp8_840s!=-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party

- all parties equally good

- no party

Coding:

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(4) SPD

(5) FDP

(6) GRUENE

(7) DIE LINKE

(322) AfD

(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_851 were recoded into the variables kp8_850a and kp8_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_851	Item: Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
Topic: Valence issues	

Filter: kp8_850=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Buendnis C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_850 were recoded into the variables kp8_850a and kp8_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_860s	Item: Second most important problem
Topic: Valence issues	

Filter: kp8_840s != -99

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Question:

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention *only one* problem.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern "Agendafragen"]

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_870**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem**Filter:** kp8_860s != -97 & kp8_860s != -99**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

(1) CDU/CSU

(2) CDU

(3) CSU

(4) SPD

(5) FDP

(6) GRUENE

(7) DIE LINKE

(322) AfD

(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good

(808) no party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_871 were recoded into the variables kp8_870a and kp8_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_871**Topic:** Valence issues**Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party**Filter:** kp8_870=801**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG

(351) Buendnis C
 (151) Die PARTEI
 (323) DIE RECHTE
 (171) FAMILIE
 (180) FREIE WAEHLER
 (331) LKR
 (206) NPD
 (209) oedp
 (215) PIRATEN
 (218) pro Deutschland
 (225) REP
 (237) Tierschutzpartei
 (801) other party

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_870 were recoded into the variables kp8_870a and kp8_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_050a,e,h,k,l,q

Topic: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Efficacy and duty to vote

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here are some common statements on politics and society.

Question:

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
 (E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
 (H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
 (K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
 (Q) Please choose "agree" for testing the functioning of the questionnaire.
 (L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
 (2) disagree
 (3) neither agree nor disagree
 (4) agree
 (5) strongly agree

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_2880v,y,aa,w

Topic: Political positions **Item:** Ego positions I

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

How about you? Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (V) All things considered, globalisation is a good thing.
 (Y) Germany's defence expenditure should be increased over the next few years.
 (AA) The European Union should reduce funding for member states that refuse to take in refugees.
 (W) From 2030 onwards, no new cars with petrol or diesel engines should be registered in Germany.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
 (2) disagree
 (3) neither agree nor disagree
 (4) agree

(5) strongly agree

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_5020

Topic: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Difference government

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question:

Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?

Coding:

- (1) 1 It doesn't make any difference who is in power.
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_430a-f, i

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

Question:

What do you think of the different parties in general?

Instructions:

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
- (I) AfD

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_780

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Personal economic situation, current

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.

Question:

How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_770

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Responsibility for personal economic situation

Filter: kp8_780=1-5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for this development?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) a great deal
- (2) a fair amount
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very much
- (5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_820

Topic: Valence issues

Item: General economic situation, current

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_810	Item: Responsibility for general economic situation
Topic: Valence issues	
Filter: kp8_820=1-5	
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)	
Question: To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for this development?	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a great deal - a fair amount - moderately - not very much - not at all - don't know 	
Coding:	
(1) a great deal	
(2) a fair amount	
(3) moderately	
(4) not very much	
(5) not at all	
(-98) don't know	

(-97) not applicable	
(-99) no answer	

Variable: kp8_650a,z,t,c,s,w,y,p,j1	Item: Scalometer politicians
Topic: Candidates	
Filter:	
Presentation: Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the politician at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the politician"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit	
Question: Please state what you think of some leading politicians.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (A) Angela Merkel (Z) Martin Schulz (T) Sigmar Gabriel (C) Horst Seehofer (S) Christian Lindner (W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt (Y) Sahra Wagenknecht (P) Frauke Petry (J1) Alexander Gauland 	
Coding:	
(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all	
(2) -4	
(3) -3	
(4) -2	
(5) -1	
(6) 0	
(7) +1	
(8) +2	
(9) +3	
(10) +4	
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician	
(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]	

(-99) no answer	

Variable: kp8_5021	Item: Difference parties
Topic: General attitudes towards politics	
Filter:	
Presentation:	

Standard matrix

Question:

Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:

- (1) 1 no differences at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5 major differences

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_040a-c,e,f,h,j,l,q

Topic: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Attitudes to parties in general

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question on screen 1:

Here you can find some statements on parties in Germany.

Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (A) The parties are only interested in people's votes, not in what voters think.
- (C) Most politicians are trustworthy and honest people.
- (E) Even ordinary party members are able to exert influence on their parties.
- (J) Our country would be governed worse with parties having no professional politicians.
- (L) Citizens barely have any possibilities to influence politics.

Question on screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

- (F) The parties' only concern is their power.
- (Q) Please choose "disagree" for testing the functioning of the questionnaire.
- (H) The parties take too much influence in the society.
- (B) The parties consider the state to be a self-service store.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_671

Topic: Candidates

Item: Preferred chancellor

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz are the candidates for chancellor of the two major parties.

Question:

Who would you prefer to see as chancellor?

- Angela Merkel
- Martin Schulz

- none of them

Coding:

- (1) Angela Merkel
- (2) Martin Schulz
- (3) none of them

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_910a-c,e-h

Topic: Strategic voting

Item: Scalometer coalitions

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

Question:

Regardless of the outcome of the federal election, how desirable are the following coalition governments for you personally?

- (A) Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
- (B) Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
- (C) Red-green coalition (SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
- (E) Black-green coalition (CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
- (F) Traffic-light-coalition (SPD, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
- (G) Jamaica coalition (CDU/CSU, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
- (H) Red-red-green coalition (SPD, Die Linke and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

Coding:

- (1) -5 not desirable at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 very desirable

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_912

Topic: Strategic voting

Item: SPD in opposition, exposure

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Have you heard or read what the SPD said about continuing the grand coalition after the federal election?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_913

Topic: Strategic voting

Item: SPD in opposition, opinion

Filter: kp8_912=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How do you rate the SPD's intention not to continue the grand coalition and to go into opposition instead?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-97) no applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_911

Topic: Strategic voting

Item: Participation of AfD in a coalition

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

Question:

Regardless of how likely a coalition with the AfD is, how desirable would you find AfD's participation in the federal government?

Coding:

- (1) -5 not desirable at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 very desirable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_3103a-h

Topic: General attitudes towards politics

Item: Populism

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro on screen:

Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question on screen 1:

How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements.

Question on screen 2:

And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements

Screen 1:

- (A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.
- (B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.
- (C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.
- (D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Screen 2:

- (E) Differences between the elite and the people are larger than the differences among the people.
- (F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
- (G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.
- (H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree

- (4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1090

Topic: Political positions

Item: Socio-economic dimension, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
-
-
-
-
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1130

Topic: Political positions

Item: Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your personal view on immigration of foreigners?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1210

Topic: Political positions

Item: Integration, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture"

Question:

There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
-
-
-
-
-
- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:

- (1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1290

Topic: Political positions

Item: Climate change, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
-
-
-
-
-
- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1411

Topics: Political positions

Item: Security and privacy, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "in favour of strong state interference", "against strong state interference"

Question:

Some people think that the state should interfere without restrictions in the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens in order to combat terrorism. Others think that the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens should always be protected even if it hampers the fight against terrorism.

What is your personal view on state interference in order to combat terrorism?

- in favour of strong state interference
-
-
-
-
-
- against strong state interference

Coding:

- (1) in favour of strong state interference
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) against strong state interference

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1250

Topics: Political positions

Item: European integration, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far"

Intro:

Let's turn to the issue of European unification.

Question:

Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your personal view on European unification?

- European unification should be pushed further
-
-
-
-
-
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:

- (1) European unification should be pushed further
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) European unification has already gone too far

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1100; kp8_1140; kp8_1220; kp8_1300; kp8_1421

Topic: Political positions

Item: Socio-economic dimension, importance;
 Libertarian- authoritarian dimension, importance;
 Integration foreigners, importance;
 Climate change, importance;
 Security and privacy, importance

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; label from left "not important at all" to right "very important"

Question:

And how important are these issues to you personally?

(1100) social services and taxes

(1140) immigration of foreigners

(1220) integration of foreigners

(1300) economic growth and fight against climate change

(1421) state interference in order to combat terrorism

Coding:

(1) very important

(2) somewhat important

(3) in between

(4) not very important

(5) not important at all

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_2880j,ae,b,ac

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions II

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

How about you? Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.

(AE) EU accession negotiations with Turkey should be broken off.

(B) Refugees who come to Germany for economic reasons should be deported.

(AC) The federal government reacted appropriately to manipulations of exhaust emission by German car manufacturers.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_421aa-kf,y

Topic: Involvement in election campaign

Item: Contact with parties I

Filter:

Presentation:

Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata kp8_421[Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox "None of the above applies."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox "None of the above applies."); italicize "past week"/" week before the election"

Intro:

During the election campaign, there were different ways of acquiring information about politics in Germany.

Question:

From which parties did you receive information during the *week before the federal election*?

Instructions:

Please checkmark all the parties to which the following statements apply.

I...

- (K) visited websites of a party or a candidate
- (G) saw campaign posters
- (A) attended election meetings or rallies
- (D) saw party political broadcasts on TV
- (E) listened to party political broadcasts on the radio

(Y) none of the above applies

Coding parties:

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
- (I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

Variable: kp8_421aa-kf_c2,p1

Topic: Involvement in Election Campaign **Item:** Contact with parties I, follow-up question

Filter: kp8_421aa-ai=0 & kp8_421da-di=0 & kp8_421ea-ei=0 & kp8_421ga-gi=0 & kp8_421ka-ki=0 & kp8_421y=0

Presentation:

must answer; text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note, that an answers is necessary for continuing the survey."; Above the checkbox matrix, there are two radio buttons with the answer options "None of the above applies" and "I didn't want to answer this question."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and one of the radio buttons.); text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your answers."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that none of the above applies or did you not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to answer the question, you can checkmark the statements that apply.

- None of the above applies.
- I didn't want to answer this question.

I ...

- (K) visited websites of a party or a candidate
- (G) saw campaign posters
- (A) attended election meetings or rallies
- (D) saw party political broadcasts on TV
- (E) listened to party political broadcasts on the radio

Coding parties:

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
- (I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

Coding kp8_421p1:

- (1) none of the above applies

- (2) didn't want to answer this question
 (3) not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp8_421aa-ki, y. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp8_421flag1.]

Variable: kp8_421ba-lf,z

Topic: Involvement in election campaign **Item:** Contact with parties II

Filter:

Presentation:

Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata kp8_421 [Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox "None of the above applies."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox "None of the above applies."); Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible. Please check your answers"; italicize "past week"/"week before the election"

Question:

And which of the following information did you receive during the *week before the federal election*?

Instructions:

Please tick the boxes of all the parties to which the following statements apply.

I...

- (H) had conversations at an election campaign booth
 (B) received campaign flyers, e-mails, text messages
 (L) donated money for a candidate or a party
 (I) received information material via a social network like for example Facebook or others.

(Z) none of the above applies

Coding parties:

- (A) CDU/CSU
 (C) SPD
 (D) FDP
 (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
 (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
 (I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

- (0) not mentioned
 (1) mentioned

Variable: kp8_421ba-lf_c2,p2

Topic: Involvement in election campaign **Item:** Contact with parties II, follow-up question

Filter: kp8_421ba-bi=0 & kp8_421la-li=0 & kp8_421ha-hi=0 & kp8_421ia-ii=0 & kp8_421z=0

Presentation:

must answer; text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note, that an answers is necessary for continuing the survey."; Above the checkbox matrix, there are two radio buttons with the answer options "None of the above applies" and "I didn't want to answer this question."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and one of the radio buttons."); text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your answers."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that none of the above applies or did you not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to answer the question, you can checkmark the statements that apply.

- None of the above applies.
 - I didn't want to answer this question.

I ...

- (H) had conversations at an election campaign booth
 (B) received campaign flyers, e-mails, text messages
 (L) donated money for a candidate or a party

(I) received information material via a social network like, for example, Facebook or others.

Coding parties:

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
- (I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

Coding kp8_421p2:

- (1) None of the above applies
- (2) Didn't want to answer this question
- (3) Not mentioned

(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp8_421ba-li, z. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp8_421flag2.]

Variable: kp8_1600

Topic: Media use

Item: Internet use, politically current

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "week before the federal election"

Question:

On how many days during the *week before the federal election* did you use the Internet to inform yourself about politics?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1681a-f

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of TV, news, current

Filter:

Presentation:

Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Below the sliders: check box with label "I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read political reports on their websites."; Italicize "past week"/"week before the federal election". Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Question:

On how many days during the *week before the federal election* did you watch one of the following news programmes or read political reports on their websites?

Instructions:

It is irrelevant whether you watched the news programmes on television or online or read political reports on the news programme's website.

- (A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
- (B) Heute/Heute Journal (ZDF)
- (C) RTL Aktuell
- (D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
- (E) news programme of other channel (e.g. n-tv, Pro7)

(F) I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read any political reports on their websites.

Coding kp8_1681a-e:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-99) no answer

Coding kp8_1681f:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1681a-e_c2.p

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of TV, news, current, follow-up question

Filter: kp8_1681a=1 & kp8_1681b=1 & kp8_1681c=1 & kp8_1681d=1 & kp8_1681e=1 & kp8_1681f=2

Presentation:

must answer: Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days".

Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read political reports on their websites" and "I didn't want to answer this question"; Italicize "past week"/"the week before the federal election". Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you did not watch any news programmes in the *week before the federal election* or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders. Please move the slider even if you only read political reports on the websites of the news programmes.

- I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read any political reports on their websites.
- I didn't want to answer this question.

- (A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
- (B) Heute/Heute Journal (ZDF)
- (C) RTL Aktuell
- (D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
- (E) news programme of other channel (e.g. n-tv, Pro7)

Coding kp8_1681a-e_c2:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days

- (7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Coding plausibility check variable kp8_1681p:

- (1) didn't watch any news programmes on TV/online
(2) didn't want to answer this question
(3) not mentioned

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp8_1681a-f. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp8_1681flag.]

[NOTE II: One respondent did not see the follow-up question even though he or she did not give a valid answer in the previous question. The answer was recoded to -92 "error in data".]

Variable: kp8_1661a-h

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of print media, politically current

Filter:

Presentation:

Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Below the sliders: check box with label "I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers."; Italicize "past week"/"week before the federal election"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Question:

On how many days during the *week before the federal election* did you read political reports in the following newspapers or on their websites?

Instructions:

It is irrelevant whether you read the print or the online edition of the newspaper or read political reports on the newspaper's website.

- (A) Bild-Zeitung
(B) Frankfurter Rundschau
(C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung
(D) Süddeutsche Zeitung
(E) Die tageszeitung (taz)
(F) Die Welt
(G) other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt,...)

(H) I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers.

Coding kp8_1661a-g:

- (1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

(-99) no answer

Coding kp8_1661h:

- (1) mentioned
(2) not mentioned

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1661a-g_c2,p**Topic:** Media use**Item:** Use of print media, politically current, follow-up question**Filter:** kp8_1661a=1 & kp8_1661b=1 & kp8_1661c=1 & kp8_1661d=1 & kp8_1661e=1 & kp8_1661f=1 & kp8_1661g=1 & kp8_1661h=2**Presentation:**

must answer; Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."; Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers." and "I didn't want to answer this question"

Italicize "past week"/"week before the federal election"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you did not read any articles in the newspapers on politics in the *week before the federal* election or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders. Please move the slider even if you only read political reports on the websites of the newspapers.

- I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers
- I didn't want to answer this question

- (A) Bild-Zeitung
- (B) Frankfurter Rundschau
- (C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung
- (D) Süddeutsche Zeitung
- (E) Die tageszeitung (taz)
- (F) Die Welt
- (G) other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt,...)

Coding kp8_1661a-g_c2:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Coding plausibility check variable kp8_1661p:

- (1) didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers
- (2) didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp8_1661a-h. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp8_1661flag.]

[NOTE II: One respondent did not see the follow-up question even though he or she did not give a valid answer in the previous question. The answer was recoded to -92 "error in data."]

Variable: kp8_1701aa-dc**Topic:** Media use**Item:** News magazines, current**Filter:****Presentation:**

Checkbox matrix; italicize "past week"; Rows: News magazines and weekly newspapers; Columns: Response options: (A) "website", (B) "print edition/online edition", (C) "didn't read". Please program so that respondents cannot give any inconsistent answers, i.e. respondents cannot tick the boxes "website" and "didn't read" at the same time.

Question:

Did you read any political articles in the following news magazines or weekly newspapers in the *week before the federal election*?

Instructions:

If you read both the magazine/weekly newspaper (printed or online edition) and political reports on its website, please click both.

- (A) Der Spiegel
- (B) Focus
- (C) Die Zeit
- (D) Stern

- a) website
- b) print edition/online edition
- c) didn't read

Coding:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1702

Topic: Media use

Item: News magazines, SPIEGEL Online

Filter: kp8_1701aa=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); scale starts at 1 day because we already know that the respondent read Spiegel

Question:

On how many days during the week before the federal election did you read political reports on the website of Der Spiegel (SPIEGEL ONLINE)?

Coding:

- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_2780

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Turnout federal election 2013

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); display "2013 federal election" in bold and red

Intro:

Let's turn to the 2013 federal election. At this election, a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons.

Question:

What about the last federal election 2013: Did you vote or not?

- Yes, I voted.
- No, I didn't vote.

- I wasn't entitled to vote.
- I don't know anymore.

Coding:

- (1) yes, I voted
- (2) no, I didn't vote

(-86) not entitled to vote

(-98) don't know

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_350a,b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Recall previous federal election

Filter: kp8_2780==1 | -99

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first/second vote; "don't know"-category below

Question:

Which parties did you vote for at the last federal election in September 2013?

(A) First vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- I didn't cast a first vote
- Don't know anymore

(B) Second vote:

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- I didn't cast a second vote
- Don't know anymore

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

- (-84) didn't cast a first/second vote
- (-98) don't know

- (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_353a,b were recoded into the variables kp8_350aa/kp8_350ab and kp8_350ba/kp8_350bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_353a

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Recall previous federal election first vote, other party

Filter: kp8_350a = 801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE (DIE RECHTE)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)

- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WÄHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (214) PBC
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_350a were recoded into the variables kp8_350aa/kp8_350ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_353b

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Recall previous federal election second vote, other party

Filter: kp8_350b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE (DIE RECHTE)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WÄHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (214) PBC
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_350a,b were recoded into the variables kp8_350aa/kp8_350ab and kp8_350ba/kp8_350bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_1933

Topic: Networks

Item: Conversations about politics, in general

Filter:

Presentation:

Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election")

Question:

On how many days in the *week before the federal election* did you talk with other people about politics?

Instructions:

Please move the slider.

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1933_c2,p

Topic: Networks

Item: Conversations about politics, follow-up question

Filter: kp8_1933=1

Presentation:

must answer: Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't talk about politics" and "I didn't want to answer this question"; Italicize "usually"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."; Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election")

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you didn't talk about politics in the *week before the federal election* or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders.

- I didn't talk about politics
- I didn't want to answer this question

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Coding plausibility check variable kp8_1933p:

- (1) I didn't talk about politics
- (2) I didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp8_1933. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp8_1933flag.]

[NOTE II: Six respondents were not shown the follow-up question even though they had not moved the sliders. Their answers were recoded into -92 "error in data".]

Variable: kp8_1937a-c

Topic: Networks

Item: Name generator

Filter: kp8_1933=2-8 | kp8_1933_c2=2-8

Presentation:

Three rows with two text fields each (bordered in blue and green, see published screenshots); plausibility check: allow only letters (also with umlauts), no numbers or special characters. Instruction text: "Please enter letters only." Program in such a way that only one letter can be entered in a box. Show no instruction text if no box is filled; treat as -99 = "no answer" (i.e. not a "must answer!"). Instruction text if either only the blue or only the green box is filled in a row: "Please enter both the first letter of the first name and the first letter of the last name." Show this instruction text only once; even if respondent still refuses to give a complete answer, forward to kpX_1941a-c and display incomplete initials. Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election").

Intro:

We would now like to ask you a few questions about the people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the *week before the federal election*. These questions will be easier to answer if you think of specific people.

Question:

Please enter the initials of up to three people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the *week before the federal election*.

Instructions:

Enter the first letter of the first name of your discussion partner in the blue box and the first letter of the last name in the green box.

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1941a-c

Topic: Networks

Item: Discussion partner, relationship

Filter: kp8_1937a!=-99 & kp8_1937a!-97 | kp8_1937b!=-99 & kp8_1937b!-97 | kp8_1937c!=-99 & kp8_1937c!-97

Presentation:

Matrix, columns = initials of the discussion partner (see published screenshots); radio buttons; show as many columns as discussion partners named in kp8_1937

Question if only one discussion partner:

And what is your relationship to your discussion partner?

Question if several discussion partners:

And what is your relationship to your discussion partners?

Instructions:

Please click on the option that best describes your relationship.

Coding:

- (1) spouse/partner
- (2) friend
- (3) relative
- (4) colleague
- (5) acquaintance/neighbour
- (6) other contact

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1945a-c**Topic:** Networks**Item:** Discussion partner, frequency**Filter:** If more than one discussion partner, kp8_1937a!=-99 & kp8_1937a!-97 | kp8_1937b!=-99 & kp8_1937b!-97 | kp8_1937c!=-99 & kp8_1937c!-97**Presentation:**

Display social relationship and initials of the discussion partners in rows (see published screenshots); horizontal sliders from 1 to 7 days; default set to 1 day (respondents have already indicated that they spoke to these people in the past week (in the week before the federal election), so at least one day); italicize "week before the federal election".

Question:

On how many days during the *week before the federal election* did you talk about politics with your individual discussion partners?

Instructions:

Please move the slider.

[Social relationship]	
[Initials person 1]	
[Social relationship]	
[Initials person 2]	
[Social relationship]	
[Initials person 3]	

Coding:

kpX_1945a – First discussion partner
 kpX_1945b – Second discussion partner
 kpX_1945c – Third discussion partner

- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_1945a-c_c2.p**Topic:** Networks**Item:** Discussion partner, frequency, follow-up question**Filter:** kp8_1945a=2 & kp8_1945b=2 & kp8_1945c=2 (Filter = if the slider was moved in kp8_1945a-c; number of sliders depends on the number of people named in kp8_1937a-c)**Presentation:**

must answer; radio box at the top, display in rows below it the social relationship and initials of the discussion partners (see published screenshots); horizontal sliders from 1 to 7 days; default set to 1 day (respondents have already indicated that they spoke to these people in the past week (in the week before the federal election), so at least one day). Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I talked to these people on one day in each case" and "I didn't want to answer this question." Please program in such a way so that no inconsistent answers are possible, i.e. slider position indicates conversation with person(s) but check box "I didn't want to answer this question." was ticked. Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response." Italicize "week before the federal election".

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you talked to each of these people one day at a time in the *week before the federal election* or that you did not want to answer the question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to the question now, you can also move the positions of the sliders.

- I talked to these people on one day in each case
- I didn't want to answer this question.

Coding:

kp8_1945a_c2 – First discussion partner
 kp8_1945b_c2 – Second discussion partner

kp8_1945c_c2 – Third discussion partner

- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

 (-97) not applicable

Coding plausibility check variable kp8_1945p:

- (1) I talked to these people on one day in each case
- (2) didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp8_1945a-c. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp8_1945flag.]

Variable: kp8_1973a-c

Topic: Networks

Item: Discussion partner, vote intention

Filter: kp8_1937a!=-99 & kp8_1937a!=-97 | kp8_1937b!=-99 & kp8_1937b!=-97 | kp8_1937c!=-99 & kp8_1937c!=-97

Presentation:

Matrix, rows=parties, columns = display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners named (see published screenshots)

Question if only one discussion partner:

Which party do you think your discussion partner would vote for if there was a federal election next Sunday?

Question if several discussion partners:

Which party do you think your discussion partners would vote for if there was a federal election next Sunday?

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party

- wouldn't vote
- don't know

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

- (-85) wouldn't vote
- (-98) don't know

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_1974a-c were recoded into the variables kp8_1973aa/ kp8_1973ba/ kp8_1973ca and kp8_1973ab/ kp8_1973bb/ kp8_1973cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]

Variable: kp8_1974a-c**Topic:** Networks**Item:** Discussion partner, vote intention, other party**Filter:** kp8_1973?==801**Presentation:**

Matrix, rows = parties, columns = display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners with the intention to vote for an "other party" named in kp8_1973 (see published screenshots).

Intro if several discussion partners intended to vote for an "other party":

You have just indicated that your discussion partners would vote for an "other party".

Intro if only one discussion partner intended to vote for an "other party":

You have just indicated that your discussion partner would vote for an "other party".

Question:

Which party is that?

- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_1973a-c were recoded into the variables kp8_1973aa/ kp8_1973ba/ kp8_1973ca and kp8_1973ab/ kp8_1973bb/ kp8_1973cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]

Variable: kp8_2880af,x,u,z**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** Ego positions III**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

How about you? Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

- (AF) All EU member states should adopt the euro as a common currency.
- (X) Germany needs an annual upper limit ("Obergrenze") for refugees.
- (U) The power supply should be secured even by the use of nuclear power.
- (Z) Germany should accept the annexation of Crimea by Russia for the time being.

Coding:

- (1) strongly disagree
- (2) disagree
- (3) neither agree nor disagree
- (4) agree
- (5) strongly agree

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_2090	Item: Party identification
Topic: Predispositions	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

Question:

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/ Christlich-Soziale Union)
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party

- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

- (808) no party

 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_2091 were recoded into the variables kp8_2090a and kp8_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_2091	Item: Party identification, other party
Topic: Predispositions	

Filter: kp8_2090=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPd
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) Buendnis C

(151) Die PARTEI
 (323) DIE RECHTE
 (171) FAMILIE
 (180) FREIE WAELER
 (331) LKR
 (206) NPD
 (209) oedp
 (215) PIRATEN
 (218) pro Deutschland
 (225) REP
 (237) Tierschutzpartei
 (801) other party

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_2090 were recoded into the variables kp8_2090a and kp8_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_2100

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Party identification, strength

Filter: kp8_2090>0 & <=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_2095

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Multiple party identification

Filter: kp8_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp8_2090 anymore. If kp8_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kp8_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 at kp8_2095 anymore.

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/ Christlich-Soziale Union)
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party
- no other party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRÜNE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(811) no other party

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_2096 were recoded into the variables kp8_2095a and kp8_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_2096

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Multiple party identification, other party

Filter: kp8_2095=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (332) Buendnis C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) KR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp8_2095 were recoded into the variables kp8_2095a and kp8_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp8_2101**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Multiple party identification, strength**Filter:** kp8_2095=1-801**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2441**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Household size**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Finally, some questions concerning you personally.

Question:

How many people live in your household permanently, including yourself and all children?

- one person
- two people
- three people
- four people
- five people
- six people
- seven people
- eight people and more

Coding:

- (1) one person
- (2) two people
- (3) three people
- (4) four people
- (5) five people
- (6) six people
- (7) seven people
- (8) eight people and more

(-99) no answer**Variable:** kpx_2450**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Number members of the household under 18 years**Filter:** kpx_2441!=1 & kpx_2441!=-99**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); Answer options are dependent on the question before. If n household members, the answer categories from "nobody" to "(n-1) people" will be shown.

Question:

How many people living in your household are younger than 18 years?

- nobody
- 1 person

- 2 people
- 3 people
- 4 people
- 5 people
- 6 people
- 7 people and more

Coding:

- (1) nobody
- (2) 1 person
- (3) 2 people
- (4) 3 people
- (5) 4 people
- (6) 5 people
- (7) 6 people
- (8) 7 people and more

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2301

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Marital status

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What is your marital status?

- married and living in the same household as husband or wife
- same-sex union
- have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household
- never married
- divorced
- widowed

Coding:

- (1) married and living in the same household as husband or wife
- (2) same-sex union
- (3) have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household
- (4) never married
- (5) divorced
- (6) widowed

-
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2311

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Respondent has a partner

Filter: kpx_2301=3-6 -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Do you have a partner?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2312**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Partner living in the same household**Filter:** kpx_2311=1 | kpx_2301=2**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Do you live with your partner together in one household?

- yes
- no

Coding:

(1) yes

(2) no

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2330**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Vocational and professional training**Filter:** kpx_2320=1-5 | -99**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of vocational training did you complete?

- on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
- compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
- completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
- completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
- work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
- specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
- technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
- master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
- polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
- university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")
- other vocational training certificate
- still training/studying
- no completed vocational training

Coding:

- (1) on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
- (2) compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
- (3) completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
- (4) completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
- (5) work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
- (6) specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
- (7) technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
- (8) master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
- (9) polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
- (10) university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")
- (12) other vocational training certificate
- (13) still training/studying
- (14) no completed vocational training

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2340**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Gainful employment**Filter:** kpx_2320!=9**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Let's move on to your gainful employment and profession.

Question:

Which of the categories from this list applies to you?

- in full-time employment (more than 30 hours/week)
- in part-time employment (up to 30 hours/week)
- in a traineeship or apprenticeship
- high school student
- college student
- currently on a retraining course
- currently unemployed
- currently on short-time work
- alternative community service
- in early retirement, retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)
- on maternity leave, parental leave
- not in full or part-time employment (Housewife/househusband)

Coding:

- (1) in full-time employment
- (2) in part-time employment
- (3) in a traineeship or apprenticeship
- (4) high school student
- (5) college student
- (6) retraining course
- (7) unemployed
- (8) short-time work
- (9) alternative community service
- (10) in early retirement, retirement, on a pension
- (11) maternal/parental leave
- (12) no employment (housewife/househusband)

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2350

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former gainful employment

Filter: kpx_2340=3-7 | 9-12 | -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Have you worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2380

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Profession

Filter: kpx_2340=1-3, 8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Let's move on to your profession.

Question:

Which of the categories from this list applies best to you?

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)

- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges/professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker
- (7) in vocational training
- (8) family member assisting in family business

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3610

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Employee - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2380=5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- foreman and head workman in employment
- employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

Coding:

- (1) foreman
- (2) simple duties
- (3) complex tasks under loose supervision
- (4) tasks with responsibility
- (5) wide managerial responsibilities

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3620

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Worker - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2380=6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- unskilled and semiskilled worker
- skilled worker and crafter
- foreman, master, site foreman

Coding:

- (1) unskilled and semiskilled worker
- (2) skilled worker and crafter
- (3) foreman, master, site foreman

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3630

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Independent professional - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2380=2 | 3

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How many employees does your business have?

- no employees
- 1-9 employees
- 10 employees and more

Coding:

- (1) no employees
- (2) 1-9 employees
- (3) 10 employees and more

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3640

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Civil servant - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2380=4

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In what service class do you work?

- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistant" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")
- higher grade of the civil service, judges ("Regierungsrat" and higher)

Coding:

- (1) lower grade
- (2) middle grade
- (3) upper grade
- (4) higher grade of civil service, judge

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3650

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Employment sector

Filter: kpx_2380 =5-7

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which type of company do you work for?

- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

Coding:

- (1) public sector
- (2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- (3) non-profit company
- (4) private sector company

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3660	Item: Sector of the economy
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2340 =1-3,8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of business/industry are you working in?

- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade
- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development
- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

Coding:

- (1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
- (2) energy industry, water sector, mining
- (3) manufacturing
- (4) construction and real estate
- (5) trade
- (6) hotel and catering
- (7) transport
- (8) banking, insurances
- (9) information technology, data processing
- (10) other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- (11) administration
- (12) education, research and development
- (13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- (14) other services

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2390	Item: Former profession
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2350=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What was your former profession? Please select the category that applies best to you.

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges / professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker

- (7) in vocational training
 (8) family member assisting in family business

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3710

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, employee - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2390=5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?

- foreman and head workman in employment
- employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

Coding:

- (1) foreman
- (2) simple duties
- (3) complex tasks under loose supervision
- (4) tasks with responsibility
- (5) wide managerial responsibilities

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3720

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, worker - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2390=6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?

- unskilled and semiskilled worker
- skilled worker and crafter
- foreman, master, site foreman

Coding:

- (1) unskilled and semiskilled worker
- (2) skilled worker and crafter
- (3) foreman, master, site foreman

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3730

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, independent professional - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2390=2 | 3

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How many employees did the business have you worked in last?

- no employees
- 1-9 employees
- 10 employees and more

Coding:

- (1) no employees
- (2) 1-9 employees
- (3) 10 employees and more

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3740**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Former profession, civil servant -differentiation**Filter:** kpx_2390=4**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In what service class did you work?

- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistant" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")
- higher grade of the civil service, judge ("Regierungsrat" and higher)

Coding:

- (1) lower grade
- (2) middle grade
- (3) upper grade
- (4) higher grade, judge

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3750**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Former profession, employment sector**Filter:** kpx_2390=5-7**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which type of company did you work for?

- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

Coding:

- (1) public sector
- (2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- (3) non-profit company
- (4) private sector company

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3760**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Former profession, sector of the economy**Filter:** kpx_2350=1**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of business/industry were you working in?

- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade

- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development
- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

Coding:

- (1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
- (2) energy industry, water sector, mining
- (3) manufacturing
- (4) construction and real estate
- (5) trade
- (6) hotel and catering
- (7) transport
- (8) banking, insurances
- (9) information technology, data processing
- (10) other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- (11) administration
- (12) education, research and development
- (13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- (14) other services

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2590

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Net household income, with categories

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical); italicize "your household's total"; plausibility check: If no answer was given show text: "We assure that your answers will be used anonymously and for scientific purposes only.", within the plausibility check there are two buttons "correct" and "forward".

Question:

Taken all together, would you please indicate what the monthly net income of your household is? By net income, I mean the amount that you have left after taxes and social security.

- below 500€
- 500 up to 750€
- 750 up to 1,000€
- 1,000 up to 1,250€
- 1,250 up to 1,500€
- 1,500 up to 2,000€
- 2,000 up to 2,500€
- 2,500 up to 3,000€
- 3,000 up to 4,000€
- 4,000 up to 5,000€
- 5,000 up to 7,500€
- 7,500 up to 10,000€
- 10,000€ and more

Coding:

- (1) below 500€
- (2) 500 up to 750€
- (3) 750 up to 1,000€
- (4) 1,000 up to 1,250€
- (5) 1,250 up to 1,500€
- (6) 1,500 up to 2,000€
- (7) 2,000 up to 2,500€
- (8) 2,500 up to 3,000€
- (9) 3,000 up to 4,000€
- (10) 4,000 up to 5,000€
- (11) 5,000 up to 7,500€
- (12) 7,500 up to 10,000€

(13) 10,000€ and more

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2580	Item: Subjective perception of class
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

People talk a lot about social classes these days.

Question:

Which of these classes do you consider yourself a part of?

- lower class
- working class
- lower middle class
- middle class
- upper middle class
- upper class

Coding:

- (1) lower class
- (2) working class
- (3) lower middle class
- (4) middle class
- (5) upper middle class
- (6) upper class

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2481	Item: Religious denomination
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list

Question:

What is your religious denomination?

- Protestant
- independent Protestant Church
- Roman Catholic
- other Christian denomination
- Muslim community/Islam
- other non-Christian denomination
- undenominational

Coding:

- (1) Protestant
- (2) independent Protestant Church
- (3) Roman Catholic
- (4) other Christian confession
- (6) Muslim community/Islam
- (5) other non-Christian denomination
- (9) undenominational

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2491	Item: Church attendance
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2481=1-6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How frequently do you attend religious services?

- never
- once a year
- several times a year
- once a month
- two to three times a month
- once a week
- more often

Coding:

- (1) never
- (2) once a year
- (3) several times a year
- (4) once a month
- (5) two to three times a month
- (6) once a week
- (7) more often

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3910

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Religiousness

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What would you say about yourself: how religious are you?

- very religious
- somewhat religious
- moderately
- not very religious
- not religious at all

Coding:

- (1) very religious
- (2) somewhat religious
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very religious
- (5) not religious at all

-
- (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2600

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Residence

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Please characterize the place you live in. Do you live in a...?

- large city
- small-town or medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
- small-town or medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
- rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
- rural area close to smaller towns

Coding:

- (1) large city
 - (2) small-town, medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
 - (3) small-town, medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
 - (4) rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
 - (5) rural area close to smaller towns
-

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2602

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Postal code

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (single row); maximum number of digits = 5; plausibility check, whether 5 numbers and no letters were entered with the instruction "Please enter your postal code."; When no answer was given, show the following text: "We assure that we will treat your information confidentially. We need your complete postal code in order to identify the candidate in your constituency."; Within the plausibility check there are to buttons "correct" or "forward".

Question:

What is your postal code?

Coding:

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_4240

Topic: Quality of Data

Item: Rating of survey

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp8_4270s

Topic: Quality of Data

Item: Comment, open

Filter:

Presentation:

Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, now maximum limit of characters

Intro:

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

Coding:

(-99) no answer