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Study title: ISSP 2008 Religion III

Fieldwork dates: 2009-01-22 to 2009-07-12

Principal investigators:
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Assistant prof. Sanne Lund Clement (Director of the Danish ISSP programme)
Prof. Jørgen Goul Andersen
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Associate prof. Lars Torpe
Associate prof. Henrik Lolle
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Graduate student Jesper Møller Pedersen
Graduate student Andreas Pihl Kjærsgård
Graduate student Morten Højmose Andersen

**University of Aarhus**
Department of Political Science
DK-8000 Aarhus C:

Assistant prof. Gitte Sommer Harrits

**University of Copenhagen**
Department of Sociology
Linnésgade 22
DK-1361 Copenhagen K.

Prof. Peter Gundelach
Research assistant, Rikke Skovgaard Christensen

**University of Southern Denmark**
Department of Political Science
Campusvej 55
DK-5230 Odense M.

Associate prof. Ulrik Kjær

Institute of Philosophy, Education and Study of Religions University of Southern Denmark
Campusvej 55
DK-5230 Odense

Assistant professor Peter Lüchau

**The Danish National Institute of Social Research**
The Danish National Institute of Social Research
Herluf Trolles Gade 11
DK-1052 Copenhagen K

Senior Researcher & International Consultant Torben Fridberg
Associate prof. Mads Jæger

Sample type: Sampling-procedure: A representative sample (simple random sample) was drawn from the Central Population Register (CPR) – which is a national register of all Danish citizens – from which respondent’s name and address were identified. Thus, the sampled unit was ‘named individuals’. No stratification, clustering etc. was employed.

Fieldwork institute: SFI SURVEY
Herluf Trolles Gade 11
1052 Copenhagen K
Denmark
Tel: (+ 45) 3348 0900
E-mail: survey@sfi.dk

Fieldwork methods: Postal survey (self-completion). If non-response then telephone interviews where used.

The survey was posted ultimo January 2009. The first reminder was posted 24. February and the second reminder was posted 25. March. From 1. May 2009 telephone reminders were carried out. 396 of the interviews were conducted over the telephone.

N. of respondents: 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details about issued sample:</th>
<th>1. Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size) *</th>
<th>4000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Interviews (1.0)</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete Interviews (1.1)</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial interviews (1.2)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Eligible, Non-Interview</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Refusal/Break-off (2.10)</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Non-Contact (2.20)</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bi. Unavailable during field period (2.26)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bii. Not returned (2.27)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Death (2.31)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Physically or mentally unable (2.32)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Miscellaneous other (“moved abroad”, “moved to unknown”, “no telephone” “other”) (2.35)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Unknown Eligibility, Non-Interview (3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Not Eligible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Not a Residence (4.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Vacant Residence (4.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. No Eligible Respondent (4.70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Other (4.10,4.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* When new sample units are added during the field period via a new dwelling units list or other standard updating procedure, these additional issued units are added to the starting number of units to make up the total gross sample size. Also, when substitution is used, the total must include the originally drawn cases plus all substitute cases. See AAPOR/WAPOR Standard Definitions, pp. 9-10 for further clarification.
Language(s): Danish
Weight present: No
Weighting procedure: None
Known systematic properties of sample: None known
Deviations from ISSP questionnaire:
The ISSP module was the main part of a larger survey. After the ISSP-module an extra question battery was attached with 23 questions and a total of 140 items. The supplementary questions were fielded by Peter Lüchau, University of Copenhagen. The questions were also regarding religion.

NOTE: v48, v50, v52 and v54 do not figure in the Danish ISSP data-file. The specific denominations of protestant religion do not apply.