

AUTNES PRE- and POST Panel Study 2013

Documentation

(Edition 2.0.1)

AUTNES PRE- and POST Panel Study 2013 - Documentation

Sylvia Kritzinger, Eva Zeglovits, Julian Aichholzer, Christian Glantschnigg, Konstantin Glinitzer, David Johann, Kathrin Thomas, Markus Wagner

(Edition 2.0.1, 2017)

mail@autnes.at

<http://www.autnes.at>

Contents

1.	Introduction	4
	How to cite these data	4
	Changes in Edition 2.0.0	5
	Changes in Edition 2.0.1	5
2.	Conditions of Use	6
	Restrictions	6
	Confidentiality	6
	Deposit Requirement	6
3.	Study Description	7
	Title and Edition	7
	Principal Investigators	7
	Funding / Acknowledgments	7
	Fieldwork Completed by	7
	File Names	8
	Topics/Keywords	8
4.	Study Design	9
	Overall Study Design	9
	Mode, interview duration	9
	Interviewer training and quality control	10
	Field period	11
	Sampling	12
	Response Rates and Measures to Achieve High Response Rates	14
	Weights	17
5.	Questionnaire development	22
	Cognitive Pre-Testing	22
	Quantitative Pretest n=100	22
	Pre-testing by the field company	23
	Language	23
6.	Appendix: Letters to the Respondents	23
7.	Appendix: Codebook	27
	Open-ended questions	27
	Complete codebook	39

1. Introduction

The Documentation Report of the AUTNES PRE- and POST-Election Voter Survey 2013 is a document to accompany and document the data of the AUTNES questionnaire. The election in question is the Austrian National Election held on 29 September 2013. The body elected was the National Council (*Nationalrat*).

The Documentation Report is structured in five sections. The first section contains information about the conditions of use of the data. The Study Description contains some essential information about the study itself. The section Study Design informs about coverage, fieldwork, language, sampling procedure, weighting and other important aspects of the survey design. Another section briefly describes questionnaire development and measures for quality improvement. Next, the report provides an overview of the study's variables, in particular, which variables were asked in the PRE survey only, which ones were asked in the POST survey only, and which questions were asked in both components. Finally, a codebook as well as additional documents that were used to encourage respondents to participate in the survey is included.

How to cite these data

Data users are kindly asked to acknowledge the data *and* the accompanying release document. Please refer to the GESIS data catalogue (www.gesis.org) for a recommendation on how to cite these data and the documentation.

Changes in Edition 2.0.0

For an overview of changes between the first version of this data (Edition 1.0.0; 2014) and the current version 2.0.0, please look at the document “ZA5859_changes_in_v2-0-0.pdf” available on the GESIS website.

Changes in Edition 2.0.1

From version 2.0.0 to version 2.0.1 a few changes were made. One major change concerned the value labels of w2_q34x* and w2_q37x* in the German data set. The labels for the values 8 (= “nie”) and 9 (= “seltener”) were not mapped correctly in earlier versions. They have been swapped for version 2.0.1 and now correctly reflect the intended meaning as documented in the questionnaire and the codebook. The English data sets were not concerned by this error. Furthermore, minor changes were made regarding the omission of umlauts and the replacement of other non-ASCII characters in various variables.

2. Conditions of Use

Restrictions

The data are available for non-profit use without restrictions.

Confidentiality

AUTNES, the Principal Investigators and the funding institution bear no responsibility for the use of the data, or for interpretations or inferences based on their use, neither do they accept liability for indirect, consequential or incidental damages or losses arising from use of the data.

Deposit Requirement

In order to facilitate exchanges within the scientific community and to provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources, users of the AUTNES data are requested to notify the AUTNES team of all forms of publications making use of AUTNES data.

3. Study Description

Title and Edition

Austrian National Election Study (AUTNES), PRE- and POST-Election Survey 2013, including an oversampling of first time voters

Principal Investigators

Wolfgang C. Müller, University of Vienna (Supply Side)

Sylvia Kritzinger, University of Vienna (Demand Side)

Klaus Schönbach, University of Vienna (Media Side)

Funding / Acknowledgments

The survey was carried out under the auspices of the Austrian National Election Study (AUTNES), a National Research Network (NFN) sponsored by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) (S10902-G11). The oversampling of first time voters was funded by *Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft, Familie und Jugend*. The Austrian Parliament (*Parlamentsdirektion*) added some questions for first-time voters.

Fieldwork Completed by

IFES - Institut für empirische Sozialforschung GmbH

Teinfaltstraße 8

A-1010 Wien

<http://www.ifes.at>

File Names

“ZA5859_de_v2-O-1”

“ZA5859_en_v2-O-1”

Topics/Keywords

Issue saliency, issue ownership, issue positions, economy, immigration, left-right placement, satisfaction with democracy, cynicism, party identity, vote choice, discussion in networks, candidate evaluations, political knowledge, media consumption, personality traits, right wing authoritarianism, social dominance orientation, campaign perceptions

4. Study Design

Overall Study Design

The AUTNES Pre-Post election panel includes a face-to-face survey conducted between November 2012 and July 2013. The post-election survey covers important outcome variables (turnout, vote choice), all questions where pre-post dynamics were of interest, and media consumption variables.

The additional sample of 16- to 21-year olds was added to the AUTNES face-to-face pre-election survey, conducted from April to June 2013, and to the post-election panel component

Mode, interview duration

PRE-election survey:

The PRE-election survey was conducted face-to-face using CAPI. CAPI programming enables automatic filters and randomization or rotation of items. These are indicated in the questionnaire. Plausibility checks were employed as well. In case of errors (impossible values), interviewers could go back and correct an answer. Warnings were displayed whenever an unusual value had occurred. Show cards were printed and used in the form of a little booklet. The interviews lasted about 45 minutes. For interviewers and respondents, the field company IFES provides a hotline (telephone and mail) to answer questions.

POST-election survey:

The POST survey lasted about 20 minutes. It was conducted by telephone using CATI computers. Similar to the CAPI procedure, filters, randomisation and rotation of items were implemented (as indicated in the questionnaire), and plausibility checks were employed. If the respondent requested it, the interview could be interrupted and finished at some later time.

Interviewer training and quality control

PRE-election survey:

Interviewers were trained in four workshops held in different regions of Austria. A member of the AUTNES team was present. Interviewers who were unable to participate in one of these training sessions were trained by their regional team leader. All respondents were asked to provide IFES with their telephone number for quality control. 393 respondents were called by IFES telephone interviewers and asked several questions, e.g. whether an interview had been conducted, what it was on, and how long it had lasted. In addition, respondents were asked if the interview was conducted using a notebook or if the show cards were used. Moreover, some demographic characteristics of the respondent were re-checked (age, gender, education). The 393 evaluation interviews covered all interviewers. In total, 96 interviewers conducted the interviews of the PRE-election survey.

POST-election survey:

A total of 132 different interviewers were employed for the AUTNES POST-election telephone survey. Again, an AUTNES team member was present at the first interviewer

training, in which about 40 interviewers participated. Other interviewers were trained by the supervisors.

Interviewers were supervised closely. This is why in the beginning only a small number of interviewers (6-7) worked on the AUTNES POST-election survey simultaneously. For reasons of quality control, supervisors as well as an AUTNES team member regularly listened to some interviews.

Field period

PRE-election survey:

As early elections are frequently called in Austria, a first sample of n=802 respondents was interviewed as early as 5 November 2012 to 14 December 2012.

This ensured at least the collection of some real pre-campaign information.

The larger sample was interviewed in April, May and June, with a slightly different questionnaire (a few questions were dropped, others were added, e.g. on regional elections).

- **Phase 1**

n=802

5 November to 14 December 2012

- **Phase 2**

n=2,020

6 April to 30 June 2013

POST-election survey:

The POST-election survey started on the day after the election (30 September 2013). The last interview was conducted on 2 December 2013.

Additional Sample of First-Time-Voters:

The additional sample of 200 16- to 21-year olds was conducted during phase 2 of the PRE-election interviewes. The panel component was conducted together with the rest of the POST-election survey.

Sampling

PRE-election survey:

While the national register would be the optimal source for sampling, unfortunately, it is only accessible under exceptional circumstances. The sample was therefore drawn on the basis of addresses. The sample pool included all buildings in Austria. The sampling procedure including stratification and clustering occurred in six steps:

1. Stratification on the basis of NUTS3 regions and size of a municipality (number of inhabitants). Vienna was treated differently: each of its 23 districts was defined as a stratum. In total, 193 strata were defined, guaranteeing a perfect regional coverage of Austria.
2. Aiming at 3,200 interviews it was decided to use 525 sample points (= address lists for interviewers) under the assumption that response rates will be around 50% in Vienna and higher in the rest of Austria. The number of sample points per stratum was selected due to the total size of inhabitants per stratum.
3. Each stratum can be divided into smaller regional units, the so-called *Zählsprenkel*. According to the number of sample points assigned to a stratum, a random sample of clusters (*Zählsprenkel*) was drawn.
4. Within each *Zählsprenkel*, there was a random selection of buildings with selection probabilities reflecting the number of private households in a building.

If a building entered the sample twice, two households in this building were selected.

5. For each selected building, addresses of private households were purchased. The company providing these data (profile.adress) cooperates with the postal services.
6. For each selected household, there was a random selection of an individual from the target population (Austrian citizenship, eligible to vote in the 2013 election).

POST-election survey:

The post election survey was designed as a panel component of the pre-election survey. Most importantly, respondents were asked whether they agreed to take part in another survey after the election. They were also asked for the phone number where they could be best reached.

For the POST-election sample, automatic sample management was used to improve response rates (e.g. contacts at different time slots, specific appointments with respondents). The post-election survey respondents are not a random sample of the pre-election survey respondents, but they are a more or less complete subset of respondents that could be interviewed for a second time.

Additional Sample of First-Time-Voters:

To reduce costs, and at the same time to avoid interfering with the sampling process of the PRE-election survey, the extra sample of first time voters was drawn as an additional sample, with a random walk starting from households on an interviewer's address list. Whether or not the interviewer was successful in conducting an interview in the household on their list, they began a random walk to find a household with an Austrian citizen aged 21 or younger. If a household with a target person was identified,

the interviewer tried to interview this target person and conducted the interview as outlined in the original PRE-election survey.

IFES interviewers reported difficulties in conducting face-to-face interviews with 16- and 17-year olds. In many cases, it was the parents who decided whether a target person was allowed to participate in the survey. This might induce some bias in the sample. In the post-election component there was no specific procedure for the oversampled group.

Response Rates and Measures to Achieve High Response Rates

PRE-election survey:

Aviso letters were sent out to selected households in order to prepare the household for the fact that an interviewer from IFES was going to conduct an interview on behalf of AUTNES and the University of Vienna with one target person in their home. The Aviso letter aimed at convincing people that it was important to participate in this university-based, non-partisan survey. Moreover, if telephone numbers were available for a household, interviewers called in advance to make appointments. The German Aviso letter can be found in the Appendix.

If the interviewers did not succeed in making contact at their first attempt, they approached the household four more times (in total 5 contacts were made).

Table 1: Number of Personal Contacts at the Household-Level

	n	%
1st contact	1,815	55.6
2nd contact	857	26.2
3rd contact	406	12.4
4th contact	79	2.4
5th contact	109	3.3
total	3,266	100

In the second phase of the PRE-election survey (April to June 2013), incentives were used. If respondents did not decidedly refuse to participate, interviewers could decide to offer an incentive (a voucher of 7 Euros, to be redeemed in many shops in Austria, including most supermarkets). The total number of vouchers for the survey was limited to 1,000. 477 were handed out mostly to young respondents. The dataset includes a variable that indicates if a respondent was given the incentive.

In June 2013, some parts of Austria were severely affected by floodings. This made it nearly impossible to conduct interviews in these regions for some time.

Table 2: Response Rates PRE-Election Survey

national response rates	survey wave 1		survey wave 2		total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
master sample	1,528	100%	4,064	100%	5,592	100%
dropouts without quality implications (wrong addresses, uninhabited households, not able to be interviewed, language barrier, not entitled to vote)	63	4.1%	195	4.8%	258	4.6%
excluded interviews	2	0.1%	46	1.1%	48	0.9%
adjusted sample (basis for calculating response rates)	1.463	100.0%	3,823	100.0%	5,286	100.0%
refusal	302	20.6%	636	16.6%	938	17.7%
household / target person not encountered despite multiple attempts to get in contact	278	19%	523	13.7%	801	15.2%
early termination of interview	14	1%	38	1%	52	1%
not yet reached/ contacted	67	4.6%	162	4.2%	229	4.3%
completed interviews	802	54.8%	2,464	64.5%	3,266	61.8%

POST-election survey:

All respondents from the PRE-election survey were provided with a letter that reminded them that there would be another survey after the election. 393 respondents also received a phone call by IFES for quality checks.

Conducting the panel interviews was more difficult than expected. Although the intention was to re-interview 50% of the respondents of the face-to-face interviews (1,600 interviews) within 4 weeks, this plan had to be changed during the field period. As quite a few respondents refused to participate, measures were employed to persuade respondents and to collect the data: First, the field time was extended from 4 weeks to 10 weeks in order to increase the number of contacts and to vary time slots. Second, interviewers were closely supervised, in particular when they made contact with the respondents. Third, interviewers were allowed to use incentives (7 Euros) to persuade a respondent to take part in the POST-election survey. The value was further increased to 14 Euros later.

The information on the number of contact attempts, the use and the value of the incentive are provided in the data set.

Finally, 1,504 interviews were conducted.

Table 3: Number of Contacts to Conduct an Interview in the Panel Survey

	n	%
1	421	28.0
2	370	24.6
3	225	15.0
4	155	10.3
5	104	6.9
6	71	4.7
7	58	3.9
8	38	2.5
9	11	0.7
10 and more (max: 21)	51	3.4
total	1,504	100.0

Table 4: Response Rates POST-election survey

Respondents in the face-to-face survey	3,266	100.0%
no contact information provided or available	461	14.1%
wrong telephone number provided	193	5.9%
excluded interviews	44	1.3%
refusal	892	27.3%
household / target person not encountered despite multiple attempts to get in contact	125	3.8%
Target person reached, but interview not conducted, appointment not yet worked off	35	1.1%
early termination of interview	12	0.4%
completed interviews	1,504	46.1%

Contrary to Table 2, we do not consider “no contact information” or “wrong telephone number” as neutral drop outs as it is very likely that respondents from the first wave did not provide information because they chose not to be re-interviewed. This is why we calculate response rates based on the total sample of $n = 3,266$.

Additional Sample First-Time-Voters:

There was no special procedure for first time voters.

Weights

For all 3,266 respondents (PRE-election survey) and the subsample of 1,504 respondents (POST-election survey), the users have two weighting option to choose from: a design weight or a post-stratification weight.

The design weight reflects different selection probabilities due to clustering (household sizes) and disproportional stratification (oversampling of young voters). The post-

stratification sample weight is based on the design weight and adjusts the sample characteristics to those of the population. The target distributions for the population were derived from StatCube in May 2013, an online analysing tool provided by Statistics Austria. Population characteristics that are known to have an impact on political attitudes and behaviors were used: age, gender, job status, education, migrant background and region. To ensure that the distributions are reasonable, also within the oversampled first time voters, the distributions of gender and region were used by age group. The same distributions were used for the complete sample as well as the panel component.

This leads to a total of four variables:

1. Design-Weight PRE-election survey (variable name `designweight`) reflecting selection probabilities due to the sample design. For inferential analysis of the complete data set.
2. Poststratification weight PRE-election survey (variable name `weight`), based on the PRE-election design weight but additionally correcting for unequal response rates in different subgroups. For descriptive purposes with the complete data set.
3. Design-Weight POST-election survey (variable name `designweight_post`) reflecting selection probabilities due to the sample design. For inferential analysis using the cases that participated in both panel waves.
4. Poststratification weight POST-election survey (variable name `weight_post`), which is once again based on the design weight and additionally corrects for unequal response rates. For descriptive purposes using the cases that participated in both panel waves.

Table 5: Target Distributions

means of subsistence (weight 1)	
in work	53.35%
in military service/ alternative civilian service ('Zivildienst')	0.40%
on maternity/paternity leave	1.47%
unemployed	3.00%
receiving a pension	27.19%
permanently incapable of work	1.40%
householder	5.62%
pupil, student from age of 15	7.11%
other	0.46%
<i>total</i>	<i>100.00%</i>
highest education completed	
compulsory education or no degree	24.49%
apprenticeship with degree ('Berufsschule')	36.63%
school providing vocational education (without 'Berufsschule')	13.83%
highschool, A-levels	14.38%
University, college of higher education, higher education related academy	10.67%
<i>total</i>	<i>100.00%</i>
migration background	
no migration background	91.10%
migration background	8.90%
<i>total</i>	<i>100.00%</i>
size of household	
1 person	19.16%
2 people	29.52%
3 people	20.54%
4 people and more	30.77%
<i>total</i>	<i>100.00%</i>

sex * education	
male - compulsory education	8.96 %
male - apprenticeship with degree ('Berufsschule')	23.02 %
male - secondary school providing vocational education (without 'Berufsschule')	4.36 %
male - highschool, A-levels	6.99 %
male - University, college of higher education, higher education related academy	5.22 %
female - compulsory education	15.52 %
female - apprenticeship with degree ('Berufsschule')	13.61 %
female - school providing vocational education (without 'Berufsschule')	9.47 %
female - highschool, A-levels	7.39 %
female - University, college of higher education, higher education related academy	5.44 %
<i>total</i>	<i>100.00 %</i>
sex * age	
male 15-17	2.20 %
male 18-21	2.94 %
male 22-24	2.20 %
male - aged 25 to 34	7.02 %
male - aged 35 to 44	8.63 %
male - aged 45 to 54	9.59 %
male - aged 55 to 64	6.80 %
male - aged 65 and older	9.17 %
female 15-17	2.08 %
female 18-21	2.77 %
female 22-24	2.08 %
female - aged 25 to 34	6.75 %
female - aged 35 to 44	8.53 %
female - aged 45 to 54	9.47 %
female - aged 55 to 64	7.31 %
female - aged 65 and older	12.44 %
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.00 %</i>

region - states	
Burgenland	3.64%
Carinthia	7.04%
Lower Austria	19.94%
Upper Austria	17.19%
Salzburg	6.15%
Styria	15.31%
Tyrol	8.34%
Vorarlberg	4.17%
Vienna	18.21%
region - states divided by age	
Burgenland up to 21 years of age	0.36%
Burgenland from 22 years of age	3.27%
Carinthia up to 21 years of age	0.70%
Carinthia from 22 years of age	6.34%
Lower Austria up to 21 years of age	1.99%
Lower Austria from 22 years of age	17.95%
Upper Austria up to 21 years of age	1.72%
Upper Austria from 22 years of age	15.47%
Salzburg up to 21 years of age	0.62%
Salzburg from 22 years of age	5.54%
Styria up to 21 years of age	1.53%
Styria from 22 years of age	13.78%
Tyrol up to 21 years of age	0.83%
Tyrol from 22 years of age	7.51%
Vorarlberg up to 21 years of age	0.42%
Vorarlberg from 22 years of age	3.75%
Vienna up to 21 years of age	1.82%
Vienna from 22 years of age	16.39%
<i>total</i>	100.00%

5. Questionnaire development

Cognitive Pre-Testing

Once a relatively complete draft was finalized, cognitive interviews were conducted to pre-test the AUTNES survey questionnaires (June to September 2011). The aim in using cognitive interviews was to detect possible ambiguities in question interpretation or deficits in scale design. We made use of the ‘think-aloud’ technique as well as of behaviour coding, which aims to capture specific reactions of the respondents to certain questions. In addition, probe questions were designed for some survey questions or and specific terms. In total, 20 cognitive interviews were conducted, each about an hour in length. The cognitive interviews were recorded and transcribed. Interviewees were recruited carefully to ensure variation in age, gender, education, and political interest of respondents as well as a regional spread (urban/rural, east/west) within Austria. Respondents were paid 20 Euros as an incentive to participate. As the number of questions to be tested was rather high, we designed two different questionnaires with most of the questions asked only on one questionnaire. We then conducted ten interviews with each questionnaire. The cognitive interviews provided us with many insights that were used to adjust the survey questions. As a whole, these findings helped us to greatly improve the quality of the survey instruments.

Quantitative Pretest n=100

Some questions of the questionnaire were tested in a small (n=100) quantitative pre-test in February 2012. Here, we particularly checked for the share of ‘don’t know’ answers or refusals, for variance in distributions, and for the dimensionality of items that were supposed to measure one concept (e.g. right-wing authoritarianism). This again helped to improve the questionnaires.

Pre-testing by the field company

Pre-tests conducted by the field company focused on the length of the interview and burden for respondents, on difficulties that interviewers might have, and on technical aspects as the application of latency measures. Interviewer instructions were adapted after this procedure.

Language

The survey was conducted in German. We provide both the original German questionnaire and an English translation.

6. Appendix: Letters to the Respondents

Aviso letter:

The Aviso letter was used to prepare a household for the visit of an IFES interviewer who would try to conduct an interview with a person in the household.

Service letter:

The service letter was left at the household after the interview. It was used to remind respondents that there would be a second survey after the election. Moreover, it provided information on the IFES Helpline in case there were any questions the respondents had related to the interview.

Thank you letter:

Respondents who were promised an incentive (7 Euro voucher) in the post-election telephone interview received a voucher by mail with an additional thank you letter.

Aviso letter:



universität
wien

Universität Wien
Institut für Staatswissenschaft
Hohenstaufengasse 9/7
1010 Wien



IFES – Institut für empirische
Sozialforschung GmbH
Teinfaltstrasse 8
1010 Wien

<Frau/Herr>
<Titel + Vorname + Nachname>
<Straße und Nummer>
<Postleitzahl und Ort>

Ihre Meinung ist uns wichtig!
Studie zum Thema „Meinungen und Ansichten in Österreich“

Sehr <geehrte/r> <Frau/Herr> <Titel und Nachname>!

Die Universität Wien hat das IFES – Institut für empirische Sozialforschung – beauftragt, eine Befragung zu den Meinungen und Ansichten der Österreicherinnen und Österreicher in verschiedenen Lebensbereichen durchzuführen.

Uns interessiert z.B., welche Themen Ihnen in Österreich derzeit besonders wichtig sind und welche Erwartungen Sie an die Politik für die zukünftige Entwicklung unseres Landes haben.

Durch ein Zufallsverfahren wurden Sie bzw. Ihr Haushalt ausgewählt. Wir würden uns freuen, Sie in nächster Zeit dazu befragen zu dürfen. Sie würden uns damit sehr helfen, herauszufinden, was den Österreicherinnen und Österreichern derzeit besonders wichtig ist.

Ein IFES-Interviewer wird Sie in den nächsten Tagen dazu kontaktieren und um ein persönliches Interview bitten. Es handelt sich um eine rein wissenschaftliche Befragung, es wird Ihnen nichts verkauft und Sie gehen auch keinerlei Verpflichtungen ein. Alle IFES-Interviewer sind mit einem IFES-Ausweis ausgestattet. Bitte verlangen Sie diesen vor Beginn des Interviews!

Selbstverständlich bleiben Ihre Antworten absolut anonym und werden an niemanden weitergeben, d.h. es erfährt niemand, was Sie dem Interviewer bzw. der Interviewerin mitteilen.

Für Ihre Anliegen rund um die Befragung stehen Ihnen die Mitarbeiterinnen und Mitarbeiter des IFES telefonisch unter 0800/22 12 0021 oder per E-Mail unter feld@ifes.at sehr gerne zur Verfügung.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Univ. Prof. Dr. Wolfgang C. Müller
Studienleiter

Dr. Reinhard Raml
Projektleiter im IFES



INSTITUT FÜR EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG GMBH

Markt-, Meinungs- und Motivforschung; soziologische,
psychologische und statistische Erhebungen und Analysen

A-1010 Wien | Teinfaltstraße 8 | feld@ifes.at | www.ifes.at



universität
wien

UNIVERSITÄT WIEN

Institut für Staatswissenschaft

A-1010 Wien | Hohenstaingasse 9/7

Bitte bewahren Sie dieses Schreiben auf!

**HERZLICHEN DANK, DASS SIE SICH ZEIT GENOMMEN HABEN!
IHRE MEINUNG ZÄHLT.**

Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren!

Wir möchten uns bei Ihnen bedanken, dass Sie unsere Arbeit unterstützen. Mit Ihren Informationen können wir wichtige Forschung zur Demokratie in Österreich durchführen.

STRENG VERTRAULICH

Ihre Angaben werden streng vertraulich und anonym behandelt. Ihre persönlichen Daten wie Name und Adresse werden nie zusammen mit Ihren Angaben im Interview verwendet und keinesfalls an Dritte weitergegeben.

HOHER QUALITÄTSANSPRUCH

Um die hohe Qualität unserer Arbeit garantieren zu können, führen wir telefonisch und schriftliche Kontrollen durch, um festzustellen, ob das Interview bei Ihnen ordnungsgemäß durchgeführt wurde. Bitte ermöglichen Sie dem Interviewer den Nachweis seiner korrekten Arbeit, indem Sie ihm zum Zwecke der Qualitätskontrolle Ihre Kontaktdaten zur Verfügung stellen.

TELEFONISCHE WIEDERHOLUNGSBEFRAGUNG

Unser Forschungsprojekt besteht aus zwei Teilen: Aus einer Befragung vor der Nationalratswahl und einer wesentlich kürzeren Befragung danach. Wir würden uns freuen, wenn Sie uns auch bei dieser telefonischen Befragung mit Ihrer Meinung zur Verfügung stellen. Ihr Einverständnis vorausgesetzt, wird Sie IFES dazu nach der Wahl unter der von Ihnen angegebenen Telefonnummer kontaktieren. Die Umfrage dauert ca. 15 bis 20 Minuten.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Univ. Prof. Dr. Wolfgang C. Müller
Studienleiter

Dr. Reinhard Raml
Projektleiter im IFES

Name des Interviewers: _____

Ort des Interviews: _____

Datum: _____ Unterschrift: _____

Sollten Sie noch Rückfragen haben, können Sie sich gerne jederzeit an die IFES-Hotline 0664/814 64 90 oder per E-Mail (feld@ifes.at) an die Zentrale in Wien wenden. Wir freuen uns auch über Ihren Besuch auf unserer Website auf www.ifes.at.

Thank you letter:



universität
wien

Universität Wien
Institut für Staatswissenschaft
Hohenstaufengasse 9/7
1010 Wien



IFES – Institut für empirische
Sozialforschung GmbH
Teinfaltstrasse 8
1010 Wien

<Frau/Herr>
<Vorname Nachname>
<Straße und Nummer>
<Postleitzahl und Ort>

**Herzlichen Dank, dass Sie sich Zeit genommen haben!
Ihre Meinung zählt.**

Sehr geehrte/r Frau/Herr <Nachname>!

Die Universität Wien hat das IFES – Institut für empirische Sozialforschung – beauftragt, eine Befragung zu den Meinungen und Ansichten der Österreicherinnen und Österreicher in verschiedenen Lebensbereichen durchzuführen (z.B., welche Themen Ihnen in Österreich derzeit besonders wichtig sind und welche Erwartungen Sie an die Politik für die zukünftige Entwicklung unseres Landes haben).

Wir möchten uns nun bei Ihnen bedanken, dass Sie unsere Arbeit unterstützt haben. Mit Ihren Informationen können wir wichtige Forschung zu Wahlen in Österreich durchführen.

Telefonische Wiederholungsbefragung

Unser Forschungsprojekt bestand aus zwei Teilen: Aus einer Befragung vor der Nationalratswahl und einer telefonischen Befragung danach. Wir wollen uns mit diesem Gutschein ganz herzlich dafür bei Ihnen bedanken, dass Sie auch für die telefonischen Befragung Zeit genommen haben.

Streng vertraulich

Wie immer gilt: Ihre Angaben werden streng vertraulich und anonym behandelt. Ihre persönlichen Daten wie Name und Adresse werden nie zusammen mit Ihren Angaben im Interview verwendet und keinesfalls an Dritte weitergegeben.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Univ. Prof. Dr. Wolfgang C. Müller
Studienleiter

Dr. Reinhard Raml
Projektleiter im IFES

Sollten Sie noch Rückfragen haben, können Sie sich gerne jederzeit an die IFES-Hotline 0800/22 12 0021 oder per E-Mail (feld@ifes.at) an die Zentrale in Wien wenden. Wir freuen uns auch über Ihren Besuch auf unserer Internetseite www.ifes.at!

7. Appendix: Codebook

Open-ended questions

There were several open-ended questions in the questionnaire. The original answers are provided as string variables in the data set accompanied by the re-coded variables.

Issues:

All questions on issues (most important problem, second most important problem) used a code scheme that was developed for AUTNES and that is also used by the AUTNES Supply Side and the AUTNES Media Side. The code scheme consists of three hierarchical levels (level 1: 16 categories, level 2: 91 categories, level 3: over 700 categories). A pre-test (using 300 randomly chosen issues from the AUTNES PRE-election data was coded by two human coders) revealed that level 3 was too fine-grained for our purposes (see Table 1). All questions on issue were thus coded on the basis of level 2.

Table 6: Inter-Coder Reliability - Pre-Test

	Same number of codes:	Same code:
Level 2:	Krippendorfs Alpha = 0.752 %-Coincidence = 0.950	Krippendorfs Alpha = 0.752 %- Coincidence = 0.760
Level 3:	Krippendorfs Alpha = 0.642 %- Coincidence = 0.897	Krippendorfs Alpha = 0.642 %- Coincidence = 0.647

Note: N=300 (AUTNES PRE 2013), two coders.

All coding was done by two coders. A codebook listing detailed coding rules was provided. Level 2 of the AUTNES code scheme consists of the 91 categories which

are pooled to 16 categories at level 1 (listed in Table 2). Coders tried to match the responses with one of the listed categories. Three additional categories were added: (1) Answers which lacked detailed information were coded as “99999 | not classifiable”; (2) respondents mentioning that no problems prevail in Austria were coded as “99998 | no problem in Austria”; (3) a few respondents gave more than one answer. If all answers could be matched with two categories from level 2, coders used “77777 | multiple answers” and coded the initial answers in additional columns. If all answers fell into the same level 2-category, only one code was assigned.

The inter-coder reliability was repeatedly measured during as well as by the end of the coding process and yielded a high level of coincidence (Same number of codes: %-Coincidence = 95.8%; same code: %-Coincidence = 88.0%; Krippendorfs Alpha = 0.879). Codes that did not match were reviewed and finally matched by a third coder. Since the code scheme is hierarchical, two variables are provided in the data set: issues on level 1, and issues on level 2. In case a respondent mentioned more than one issue, level 1 and level 2 are coded as “77777 | multiple answers”. The coded answers are, however, contained in extra variables (w1_q5mx1, w1_q5mx2, w1_q5mx2; w1_q6mx1, w1_q6mx2, w1_q6mx3; and w2_q2mx1, w2_q2mx2, w2_q2mx3). If all extra variables fell into the same category at level 2, the corresponding level 1-category was coded.

Table 7: Issue Code Scheme Level 1 and Level 2

Level 1		Level 2	
Code	Label	Code	Label
10000	economy	10100	economy (gen.)
		10200	job market/unemployment
		10300	market/liberalisation/deregulation
		10400	globalisation
		10500	financial sector/banks
		10600	economy: businesses
		10700	economy: employees
		10800	consumers/housing
		10900	agriculture

11000 welfare state	11100 welfare state (gen.) 11200 support of the unemployed 11300 health care 11400 health: rights/duties of individuals 11500 nursing & care/disabled persons 11600 pension 11700 family/children/adolescents 11900 risk protection/catastrophes 11950 sports/leisure
12000 budget	12100 budget, government debt 12200 taxes general 12300 taxes on individuals 12400 taxes on businesses
13000 education and culture	13100 education general 13200 kindergarten/pre-school 13300 school 13400 university and college 13500 vocational training 13600 adult vocational training/ education and work 13700 culture
14000 security	14100 fight against crime (gen.) 14200 criminal prosecution 14300 sentencing rules/punishment 14400 prevention of crime 14500 economic crime 14600 terrorism
15000 army	15100 army: general and domestic missions 15200 army: reform/structural change 15300 army: foreign countries
16000 foreign policy	16100 international cooperation 16200 bilateral relations 16300 war and peace

17000 europe	17100 EU: general
	17200 EU: treaties/integration/Euro
	17300 EU: enlargement
	17400 EU: participation/democracy
	17500 EU: policies
18000 infrastructure	18100 road traffic
	18200 rail traffic
	18300 air traffic/shipping
	18400 energy supply
	18500 telecommunication
	18600 infrastructure (gen.)
19000 society	19100 gender equality
	19200 partnership and sexual orientation
	19300 abortion and bioethical questions
	19400 religion
	19500 censorship
	19600 Nazi era/right-wing extremism
	19700 autochthonous minorities
	19800 civil rights/human rights/constitutional rights
	19900 media
	19950 patriotism/nationalism/homeland
20000 environmental protection	20100 environmentalism (gen.)
	20200 measures against environmental damage
	20300 climate
	20400 animal protection
21000 institutional reform	21100 political system/governmental system
	21200 behaviour of politicians/corruption/scandals
	21300 federalism
	21400 administration - public services
	21500 reform of parliament
	21600 reform of political parties
	21700 reform of voting rights
	21800 direct democracy
	21900 judicial system

22000 immigration	22100 immigration general
	22200 asylum/refugees
	22300 integration of foreigners
	22400 citizenship
	22500 Islam
	22600 foreigners and crime
	22700 foreigners and health and welfare system
	22800 foreigners and school/education
	22900 foreigners and job market
	22950 (anti-)racism
23000 government formation	23100 coalition preference/ government formation
	23200 special form of government
24000 ideology	24100 party ideology
25000 politics	25100 election campaign
77777 multiple answers	77777 multiple answers
99998 there is no problem in Austria	99998 there is no problem in Austria
99999 not classifiable	99999 not classifiable

Members of parliament:

All questions regarding members of parliament (knowledge of MP and contact with a MP) were coded with the PAD-Number, an individual number assigned to every member of the Austrian Parliament. Two additional categories were created to account for (1) respondents who were unable to give enough information to code their answer ("99998 | name stated, but not clearly matchable"); (2) respondents who incorrectly named politicians who were no MPs during the XXIV. legislative period; these answers were coded as ("99999 | name stated, but not MP").

The Austrian political system made coding a bit more difficult: politicians who get a mandate and are thus members of the Austrian parliament do not give up their mandate when entering the government. Hence, naming a member of the government who earned a mandate was technically a correct answer, whereas naming a member of the government without a mandate would be an incorrect answer. To account for that, the coding list included all members of the Austrian parliament and all members of the Austrian government during the last legislative period (XXIV. legislative period).

Every mentioned member of the government was coded using the PAD-number. In order to ease analysis an additional variable is included (w1_Q72Agov, w1_Q72Bgov, w1_Q72Cgov and w1_Q74Agov, w1_Q74Bgov, w1_Q74Cgov) indicating the government status of a politician. The variable has four categories: 1 – members of parliament, 2 – members of government with a mandate, 3 – members of government without a mandate, 4 – neither members of parliament nor members of government. Two coders matched respondents' answers to the list. Inter-coder reliability was repeatedly validated and revealed satisfying results (Coincidence was above 95% for all three variables). Non-matching codes were reviewed and recoded by a third coder.

Table 8: Identifier for MPs (PAD-number)

PAD-No:	Name: Last name first names, academic title (PARTY)
03612	Ablinger Sonja (SPÖ)
02819	Amon Werner, MBA (ÖVP)
35467	Aubauer Gertrude, Mag. (ÖVP)
00039	Auer Jakob (ÖVP)
51507	Auer Josef, Mag. (SPÖ)
00060	Bartenstein Martin, Dr. (ÖVP)
14835	Bayr Petra (SPÖ)
14836	Becher Ruth, Mag. (SPÖ)
35468	Belakowitsch-Jenewein Dagmar, Dr. (FPÖ)
52688	Berlakovich Nikolaus Dipl.-Ing. (ÖVP)
00118	Binder-Maier Gabriele (SPÖ)
08239	Brosz Dieter, MSc (Greens)
51586	Brunner Christiane, Mag. (Greens)
14856	Bucher Josef (BZÖ)
63179	Buchmayr Harry Rudolf (SPÖ)
00145	Bures Doris (SPÖ)
00178	Cap Josef, Dr. (SPÖ)
51551	Cortolezis-Schlager Katharina, Mag. (ÖVP)
11957	Csörgits Renate (SPÖ)
22030	Darabos Norbert, Mag. (SPÖ)
35469	Darmann Gernot, Mag. (BZÖ)
51557	Deimek Gerhard, Dipl.-Ing. (FPÖ)
00231	Dolinschek Sigisbert (BZÖ)

02821	Donabauer Karl (ÖVP)
11955	Donnerbauer Heribert, Mag. (ÖVP)
51558	Doppler Rupert (FPÖ)
40627	Durchschlag Claudia (ÖVP)
30035	Einwallner Thomas (ÖVP)
14755	Eßl Franz (ÖVP)
08176	Faul Christian (SPÖ)
36450	Faymann Werner (SPÖ)
35493	Fazekas Hannes (SPÖ)
00311	Fekter Maria Theresia, Mag. Dr. (ÖVP)
35517	Fichtenbauer Peter, Dr. (FPÖ)
14756	Franz Anna (ÖVP)
14757	Fuhrmann Silvia, Mag. (ÖVP)
35488	Fürntrath-Moretti Adelheid Irina (ÖVP)
08209	Gahr Hermann (ÖVP)
51559	Gartelgruber Carmen (FPÖ)
00357	Gartlehner Kurt, Ing. (SPÖ)
04615	Gaßner Kurt, Mag. (SPÖ)
67199	Gerstl Wolfgang, Mag. (ÖVP)
51527	Gessl-Ranftl Andrea (SPÖ)
14758	Glaser Franz (ÖVP)
08240	Glawischnig-Piesczek Eva, Dr. (Greens)
35502	Gradauer Alois (FPÖ)
02834	Graf Martin, Mag. Dr. (FPÖ)
12907	Grillitsch Fritz (ÖVP)
14839	Grossmann Elisabeth, Mag. (SPÖ)
02964	Großruck Wolfgang (ÖVP)
51574	Grosz Gerald (BZÖ)
08241	Greenswald Kurt, Dr. (Greens)
35507	Haberzettl Wilhelm (SPÖ)
59767	Hackl Heinz-Peter, Ing. (FPÖ)
08256	Hagen Christoph (BZÖ), (OK), (STRON)
02009	Hagenhofer Marianne (SPÖ)
36451	Hahn Johannes, Dr. (ÖVP)
51560	Haider Roman, Mag. (FPÖ)
35503	Haimbuchner Manfred, Mag. Dr. (FPÖ)
10256	Hakel Elisabeth (SPÖ)

08695	Hagl Karin, Mag. (ÖVP)
55227	Hammer Michael, Mag. (ÖVP)
12741	Haubner Peter (ÖVP)
02803	Haubner Ursula (BZÖ)
51547	Hechtel Johann (SPÖ)
08177	Heinisch-Hosek Gabriele (SPÖ)
05076	Heinzl Anton (SPÖ)
50187	Hell Johann (SPÖ)
47187	Herbert Werner (FPÖ)
72959	Himmelbauer Eva-Maria, BSc (ÖVP)
51562	Höbart Christian, Ing. (FPÖ)
35521	Hofer Norbert, Ing. (FPÖ)
16234	Höfinger Johann (ÖVP)
08855	Höllerer Anna (ÖVP)
35489	Hörl Franz (ÖVP)
08210	Hornek Erwin (ÖVP)
14759	Huainigg Franz-Joseph, Dr. (ÖVP)
51575	Huber Gerhard (BZÖ), (OK)
51561	Hübner Johannes, Dr. (FPÖ)
52689	Hundstorfer Rudolf (SPÖ)
15181	Ikrath Peter Michael, Mag. (ÖVP)
51563	Jannach Harald (FPÖ)
57367	Jarmer Helene, Mag. (Greens)
02889	Jarolim Johannes, Dr. (SPÖ)
51576	Jury Josef (BZÖ), (OK), (FPÖ)
00755	Kaipel Erwin, Ing. (SPÖ)
14762	Kapeller Norbert, Ing. (ÖVP)
35490	Karl Beatrix, Mag. Dr. (ÖVP)
51564	Karlsböck Andreas, Dr. (FPÖ)
32494	Katzian Wolfgang (SPÖ)
68819	Kaufmann-Bruckberger Elisabeth (BZÖ), (OK), (STRON)
14840	Keck Dietmar (SPÖ)
35520	Kickl Herbert (FPÖ)
35495	Kirchgatterer Franz (SPÖ)
51565	Kitzmüller Anneliese (FPÖ)
51552	Klikovits Oswald (ÖVP)
30036	Klug Gerald Mag. (SPÖ)

35491	Köfer Gerhard (SPÖ), (OK)
08242	Kogler Werner, Mag. (Greens)
14841	Königsberger-Ludwig Ulrike (SPÖ)
02019	Königshofer Werner, DDr. (FPÖ), (OK)
02822	Kopf Karlheinz (ÖVP)
51587	Korun Alev, Mag. (Greens)
08211	Kößl Günter (ÖVP)
14842	Krainer Kai Jan (SPÖ)
00946	Kräuter Günther, Dr. (SPÖ)
14843	Krist Hermann (SPÖ)
51567	Kunasek Mario (FPÖ)
08178	Kuntzl Andrea, Mag. (SPÖ)
65321	Kurz Sebastian (ÖVP)
05075	Kurzmann Gerhard, Dr. (FPÖ)
35494	Kuzdas Hubert, Ing. Mag. (SPÖ)
11570	Lapp Christine, Mag., MA (SPÖ)
51568	Lausch Christian (FPÖ)
51553	Lettenbichler Josef, Mag. (ÖVP)
18447	Lichtenecker Ruperta, Dr. (Greens)
51577	Linder Maximilian (BZÖ), (OK), (FPÖ)
51548	Lipitsch Hermann (SPÖ)
51578	List Kurt (BZÖ)
36987	Lohfeyer Rosa, Mag. (SPÖ)
15526	Lopatka Reinhold, Dr. (ÖVP)
22449	Lueger Angela (SPÖ)
51579	Lugar Robert, Ing. (BZÖ), (OK), (STRON)
08244	Lunacek Ulrike, Mag. (Greens)
06155	Maier Ferdinand, Dr. (ÖVP)
03755	Maier Johann, Mag. (SPÖ)
14764	Marek Christine (ÖVP)
51580	Markowitz Stefan (BZÖ), (OK), (STRON)
14844	Matznetter Christoph, Dr. (SPÖ)
35501	Mayer Elmar (SPÖ)
51554	Mayer Peter (ÖVP)
35499	Mayerhofer Leopold (FPÖ)
08214	Mikl-Leitner Johanna, Mag. (ÖVP)
08696	Mitterlehner Reinhold, Dr. (ÖVP)

01226	Molterer Wilhelm, Mag. (ÖVP)
02853	Moser Gabriela, Dr. (Greens)
35497	Muchitsch Josef (SPÖ)
51569	Mühlberghuber Edith (FPÖ)
51588	Musiol Daniela, Mag. (Greens)
08179	Muttonen Christine, Mag. (SPÖ)
35522	Neubauer Werner (FPÖ)
03604	Neugebauer Fritz (ÖVP)
35509	Oberhauser Sabine, Dr., MAS (SPÖ)
35487	Obernosterer Gabriel (ÖVP)
02854	Öllinger Karl (Greens)
52707	Ostermayer Josef, Dr. (SPÖ)
14765	Pack Jochen (ÖVP)
05375	Pendl Otto (SPÖ)
51581	Petzner Stefan (BZÖ)
01210	Pilz Peter, Dr. (Greens)
08245	Pirkhuber Wolfgang, Dipl.-Ing. Dr. (Greens)
24479	Plassnik Ursula, Dr. (ÖVP)
51549	Plessl Rudolf (SPÖ)
59908	Podgorschek Elmar (FPÖ)
01288	Prähauser Stefan (SPÖ)
04476	Prammer Barbara, Mag. (SPÖ)
14766	Praßl Michael (ÖVP)
30011	Preiner Erwin (SPÖ)
08235	Prinz Nikolaus (ÖVP)
15465	Pröll Josef, Dipl.-Ing. (ÖVP)
14767	Rädler Johann (ÖVP)
02862	Rasinger Erwin, Dr. (ÖVP)
01546	Rauch-Kallat Maria (ÖVP)
61659	Riemer Josef A. (FPÖ)
14768	Riener Barbara (ÖVP)
03599	Riepl Franz (SPÖ)
51570	Rosenkranz Walter, Dr. (FPÖ)
35516	Rossmann Bruno, Mag. (Greens)
36507	Rudas Laura, Mag. (SPÖ)
01565	Sacher Ewald (SPÖ)
35512	Schatz Birgit, Mag. (Greens)

01604	Scheibner Herbert (BZÖ)
51582	Schenk Martina (STRON)
61239	Schickhofer Michael, Mag. (SPÖ)
35504	Schieder Andreas, Mag. (SPÖ)
36908	Schittenhelm Dorothea (ÖVP)
36447	Schmied Claudia, Dr. (SPÖ)
52727	Schmuckenschlager Johannes (ÖVP)
51555	Schönegger Bernd, Mag. (ÖVP)
14848	Schönpass Rosemarie (SPÖ)
14849	Schopf Walter (SPÖ)
09775	Schultes Hermann, Ing. (ÖVP)
01781	Schüssel Wolfgang, Dr. (ÖVP)
51589	Schwentner Judith, Mag. (Greens)
02763	Silhavy Heidrun (SPÖ)
51556	Singer Johann (ÖVP)
18542	Sonnberger Peter, Dr. (ÖVP)
51583	Spadiut Wolfgang, Dr. (BZÖ)
14850	Spindelberger Erwin (SPÖ)
01817	Spindelegger Michael, Dr. (ÖVP)
02849	Stadler Ewald, Mag. (BZÖ)
35492	Stauber Peter (SPÖ)
51571	Stefan Harald, Mag. (FPÖ)
02018	Steibl Ridi Maria (ÖVP)
14852	Steier Gerhard (SPÖ)
14793	Steindl Konrad (ÖVP)
40628	Steinhauser Albert, Mag. (Greens)
59247	Steßl-Mühlbacher Sonja, Mag. (SPÖ)
52687	Stöger Alois (SPÖ)
35518	Strache Heinz-Christian (FPÖ)
55147	Strutz Martin, Dr. (BZÖ), (OK), (FPÖ)
01933	Stummvoll Günter, Dipl.-Kfm. Dr. (ÖVP)
51584	Tadler Erich (BZÖ), (OK), (STRON)
15531	Tamandl Gabriele (ÖVP)
35524	Themessl Bernhard (FPÖ)
65319	Töchterle Karlheinz, Univ.-Prof. Mag. Dr. (ÖVP)
51572	Unterreiner Heidemarie, Mag. (FPÖ)
02856	Van der Bellen Alexander, Dr. (Greens)

67839	Venier Mathias (FPÖ)
02708	Vilimsky Harald (FPÖ)
47147	Vock Bernhard (FPÖ)
51590	Walser Harald, Dr. (Greens)
35500	Weinzinger Lutz (FPÖ)
47087	Weninger Hannes (SPÖ)
02723	Westenthaler Peter, Ing. (BZÖ)
51585	Widmann Rainer, Mag. (BZÖ)
51591	Windbüchler-Souschill Tanja (Greens)
05098	Windholz Ernest (BZÖ)
71899	Windisch Franz, Ing. (ÖVP)
51573	Winter Susanne, Dr. (FPÖ)
04477	Wittmann Peter, Dr. (SPÖ)
14795	Wöger August (ÖVP)
02768	Wurm Gisela, Mag. (SPÖ)
35514	Zanger Wolfgang (FPÖ)
22573	Zinggl Wolfgang, Mag. Dr. (Greens)
99999	Unknown name
99998	Ambiguous name

Complete codebook

Variable label	Variable Name: PRE-election survey	Variable Name: POST-election survey	Codes
Order as in data set, except for post questions that were also asked in the pre survey, in this case the post variable is next to the pre variable			88 don't know 99 refused
RESPONDENT ID	pagnr		number
TIME OF PRE-INTERVIEW 2012 OR 2013	PREwelle		1 nov-dec 2012 2 apr-jun 2013
PARTICIPATION IN PRE- AND POST-SURVEY	prepost		1 PRE-survey only 2 PRE- and POST-survey
NUMBER OF CONTACT ATTEMPTS	contact	contact_post	number
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD	anzges		number
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD AGED 15 PLUS	w1_qanz1		number
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD AGED 6-14	w1_qanz2		number
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD AGED 2-5	w1_qanz3		number
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD AGED <2	w1_qanz4		number
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD (<18 YEARS OLD)	w1_qanz5		number
TARGET PERSON	auszp		1 oldest person 2 2nd oldest person 3 3rd oldest person 4 4th oldest person 5 5th oldest person 6 6th oldest person 7 7th oldest person

SELECTION MECHANISM	persausw		1 subject was randomly selected 2 another subject was selected
GENDER (TARGET PERSON)	zpsex		1 male 2 female
AGE IN YEARS (AT TIME OF THE INTERVIEW)	zpage		number
YEAR OF BIRTH (TARGET PERSON)	zpgebj		number
MONTH OF BIRTH (1992 OR YOUNGER)	zpgebm		number
POLITICAL INTEREST	w1_q4	w2_q4	1 very interested 2 fairly interested 3 a little interested 4 not at all interested
MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE (STATED NO/YES)	w1_q5	w2_q2	1 mentioned 0 not mentioned
MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE (STRING)	w1_Q5o	w2_Q2o	string
MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE: CODED ON LEVEL1	w1_q5x1	w2_q2x1	See Table 7 MII codes
MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE: CODED ON LEVEL2	w1_q5x2	w2_q2x2	
IMPORTANT ISSUE: MULTIPLE STATEMENT 1 (CODED ON LEVEL2)	w1_q5mx1	w2_q2mx1	
IMPORTANT ISSUE: MULTIPLE STATEMENT 2 (CODED ON LEVEL2)	w1_q5mx2	w2_q2mx2	

IMPORTANT ISSUE: MULTIPLE STATEMENT 3 (CODED ON LEVEL2)	w1_q5mx3	w2_q2mx3	See Table 7 MII codes
SECOND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE (STATED NO/YES)	w1_q6		1 mentioned 0 not mentioned
SECOND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE (STRING)	w1_Q6o		string
SECOND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE: CODED ON LEVEL1	w1_q6x1		See Table 7 for MII codes
SECOND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE: CODED ON LEVEL2	w1_q6x2		
SECOND IMPORTANT ISSUE: MULTIPLE STATEMENT 1 (CODED ON LEVEL2)	w1_q6mx1		
SECOND IMPORTANT ISSUE: MULTIPLE STATEMENT 2 (CODED ON LEVEL2)	w1_q6mx2		
SECOND IMPORTANT ISSUE: MULTIPLE STATEMENT 3 (CODED ON LEVEL2)	w1_q6mx3		
PARTY BEST ABLE TO HANDLE THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE	w1_q7	w2_q3	1 SPOE 2 OEVP
PARTY BEST ABLE TO HANDLE THE SECOND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE	w1_q8		3 FPOE 4 FP Kaernten 5 BZOE 6 The Greens 7 KPOE 8 NEOS/LIF/JULIS 9 Team Stronach 10 Pirates 11 other party 12 no party/no one

PARTY WITH BEST PROPOSALS: FINANCIAL AND EURO CRISIS	w1_q9ax1		-1 not asked 1 SPOE
PARTY WITH BEST PROPOSALS: SCHOOL	w1_q9ax2		2 OEVP 3 FPOE
PARTY WITH BEST PROPOSALS: UNEMPLOYMENT	w1_q9ax3		4 BZOE 5 The Greens
PARTY WITH BEST PROPOSALS: IMMIGRATION	w1_q9ax4		6 other party 7 no party/no one
PARTY WITH BEST PROPOSALS: FIGHT CORRUPTION	w1_q9ax5		
PARTY WITH BEST PROPOSALS: HOUSING	w1_q9ax6		
PARTY WITH WORST PROPOSALS: FINANCIAL AND EURO CRISIS	w1_q9bx1		-1 not asked 1 SPOE
PARTY WITH WORST PROPOSALS: SCHOOL	w1_q9bx2		2 OEVP 3 FPOE
PARTY WITH WORST PROPOSALS: UNEMPLOYMENT	w1_q9bx3		4 BZOE 5 The Greens
PARTY WITH WORST PROPOSALS: IMMIGRATION	w1_q9bx4		6 other party 7 no party/no one
PARTY WITH WORST PROPOSALS: FIGHT CORRUPTION	w1_q9bx5		
PARTY WITH WORST PROPOSALS: HOUSING	w1_q9bx6		

PARTY WITH MOST STATEMENTS: FINANCIAL AND EURO CRISIS	w1_q10x1	w2_q8x1	-1 not asked 1 SPOE
PARTY WITH MOST STATEMENTS: SCHOOL	w1_q10x2	w2_q8x2	2 OEVP 3 FPOE
PARTY WITH MOST STATEMENTS: UNEMPLOYMENT	w1_q10x3	w2_q8x3	4 BZOE 5 The Greens
PARTY WITH MOST STATEMENTS: IMMIGRATION	w1_q10x4	w2_q8x4	6 other party 7 no party/no one
PARTY WITH MOST STATEMENTS: FIGHT CORRUPTION	w1_q10x5	w2_q8x5	
PARTY WITH MOST STATEMENTS: HOUSING	w1_q10x6	w2_q8x6	
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: SPOE	w1_q11x1		0 left to
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: OEVP	w1_q11x2		10 right
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: FPOE	w1_q11x3		77 haven't heard of party
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: BZOE	w1_q11x4		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: THE GREENS	w1_q11x5		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: TEAM STRONACH	w1_q11x6		
LEFT-RIGHT SELF-PLACEMENT	w1_q12		0 left 10 right
ASSESSMENT OF ECONOMIC SITUATION - CONFIDENT	w1_q13x1	w2_q26x1	1 very 2 fairly
ASSESSMENT OF ECONOMIC SITUATION - WORRIED	w1_q13x2	w2_q26x2	3 a little 4 not at all
ASSESSMENT OF ECONOMIC SITUATION - ANGRY	w1_q13x3	w2_q26x3	
CHANGES IN THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN AUSTRIA (PAST 12 MONTHS)	w1_q14	w2_q27	1 much better 2 somewhat better 3 stayed the same
CHANGES IN THE ECONOMIC SITUATION IN AUSTRIA (NEXT 12 MONTHS)	w1_q15	w2_q28	4 somewhat worse 5 much worse

HOW STRONGLY CAN GOVERNMENT INFLUENCE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	w1_q16		1 very strongly 2 fairly strongly 3 not very strongly 4 not at all strongly
ASSESSMENT OF IMMIGRATION - CONFIDENT	w1_q17x1	w2_q29x1	1 very 2 fairly
ASSESSMENT OF IMMIGRATION - WORRIED	w1_q17x2	w2_q29x2	3 a little 4 not at all
ASSESSMENT OF IMMIGRATION - ANGRY	w1_q17x3	w2_q29x3	
CHANGES IN THE LIVING TOGETHER OF AUSTRIANS AND IMMIGRANTS (PAST 3 YEARS)	w1_q18	w2_q30	1 much better 2 somewhat better 3 stayed the same 4 somewhat worse 5 much worse
CHANGES IN THE NUMBERS OF IMMIGRANTS (PAST 3 YEARS)	w1_q19	w2_q31	1 increased a lot 2 somewhat increased 3 stayed the same 4 somewhat decreased 5 decreased a lot
HOW STRONGLY CAN GOVERNMENT INFLUENCE THE AMOUNT OF IMMIGRATION	w1_q20		1 very strongly 2 fairly strongly 3 not very strongly 4 not at all strongly
ASSESSMENT OF AUSTRIA'S EU MEMBERSHIP	w1_q21		1 a good thing 2 a bad thing 3 neither good nor bad
ASSESSMENT OF EUROPEAN UNIFICATION	w1_q22		0 gone too far 10 should go further
IN GENERAL I KNOW QUITE A LOT ABOUT POLITICS	w1_q23x1		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree
POLITICIANS DO NOT CARE ABOUT WHAT PEOPLE LIKE ME THINK	w1_q23x2		3 neither agree nor disagree 4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree

DEMOCRACY SATISFACTION IN AUSTRIA	w1_q24		1 very satisfied 2 fairly satisfied 3 fairly dissatisfied 4 very dissatisfied
NUMBER OF POLITICIANS WHO ARE: HONEST WITH VOTERS	w1_q25x1	w2_q32x1	1 almost all of them 2 most of them
NUMBER OF POLITICIANS WHO ARE: IN POLITICS TO ACHIEVE PERSONAL GAIN	w1_q25x2	w2_q32x2	3 about half of them 4 only a few of them 5 almost none of them
POSITION ISSUE: POLITICS SHOULD KEEP OUT OF ECONOMY	w1_q26x1		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree 3 neither agree nor disagree
POSITION ISSUE: POLITICS SHOULD BALANCE DIFF. BETWEEN LARGE AND SMALL INCOMES	w1_q26x2		4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree
POSITION ISSUE: FIGHT UNEMPLOYMENT, EVEN AT EXPENSE OF HIGH NATIONAL DEBT	w1_q26x3		
POSITION ISSUE: FAVOR WOMEN WITH EQUAL QUALIFICATION AT JOB APPLICATION	w1_q26x4		
POSITION ISSUE: CHILDREN ARE WELL LOOKED AFTER IN NURSERIES	w1_q26x5		
POSITION ISSUE: SAME RIGHTS FOR SAME-SEX UNION	w1_q26x6		
POSITION ISSUE: PUNISH CRIMINALS SEVERELY	w1_q26x7		
POSITION ISSUE: COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS FOR ALL CHILDREN UNTIL THE AGE OF 14	w1_q26x8		

POSITION ISSUE: PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF LIVE BECOMES MORE EXPENSIVE	w1_q26x9		
POSITION ISSUE: IMMIGRATION ENRICHES THE AUSTRIAN CULTURAL LIFE	w1_q26x10		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree 3 neither agree nor disagree 4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree
POSITION ISSUE: AUSTRIA SHOULD TAKE TOUGH STANCE ON ADMITTANCE OF ASYLUM SEEKERS	w1_q26x11		
POSITION ISSUE: IMMIGRATION TO AUSTRIA MUST BE STOPPED	w1_q26x12		
Split Ballot 1	ballot1		
RANDOMISATION OF QUESTIONS: ORDER OF BALLOT 1A	reihea		1 27-28-29-30 2 29-30-27-28
RANDOMISATION OF QUESTIONS: ORDER OF BALLOT 1B	reiheb		1 31-32-33-34 2 33-34-31-32
RANDOMISATION OF QUESTIONS: ORDER OF BALLOT 1C	reihec		1 35-36-37-38-39-40 2 38-39-40-35-36-37
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS SELF-ASSESSMENT (SPLIT1A)	w1_q27		0 low taxes and few social benefits 10 high taxes and numerous social benefits
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: SPOE (SPLIT1A)	w1_q28x1		0 low taxes and few social benefits 10 high taxes and numerous social benefits 77 haven't heard of party
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: OEVP (SPLIT1A)	w1_q28x2		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: FPOE (SPLIT1A)	w1_q28x3		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: BZOE (SPLIT1A)	w1_q28x4		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: THE GREENS (SPLIT1A)	w1_q28x5		

IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES SELF-ASSESSMENT (SPLIT1A)	w1_q29		0 only in exceptional cases 10 immigration openly regulated
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: SPOE (SPLIT1A)	w1_q30x1		0 only in exceptional cases 10 immigration openly regulated 77 haven't heard of party
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: OEVF (SPLIT1A)	w1_q30x2		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: FPOE (SPLIT1A)	w1_q30x3		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: BZOE (SPLIT1A)	w1_q30x4		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: THE GREENS (SPLIT1A)	w1_q30x5		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS SELF-ASSESSMENT (SPLIT1B)	w1_q31		0 low taxes and few social benefits 5 things should stay the same 10 high taxes and numerous social benefits
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: SPOE (SPLIT1B)	w1_q32x1		0 low taxes and few social benefits 5 things should stay the same 10 high taxes and numerous social benefits 77 haven't heard of party
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: OEVF (SPLIT1B)	w1_q32x2		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: FPOE (SPLIT1B)	w1_q32x3		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: BZOE (SPLIT1B)	w1_q32x4		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: THE GREENS (SPLIT1B)	w1_q32x5		

IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES SELF-ASSESSMENT (SPLIT1B)	w1_q33		0 only in exceptional cases 5 things should stay the same 10 immigration openly regulated
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: SPOE (SPLIT1B)	w1_q34x1		0 only in exceptional cases 5 things should stay the same 10 immigration openly regulated 77 haven't heard of party
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: OEVF (SPLIT1B)	w1_q34x2		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: FPOE (SPLIT1B)	w1_q34x3		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: BZOE (SPLIT1B)	w1_q34x4		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: THE GREENS (SPLIT1B)	w1_q34x5		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: SITUATION IN AUSTRIA (SPLIT1C)	w1_q35		0 low taxes and few social benefits 10 high taxes and numerous social benefits
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS SELF-ASSESSMENT (SPLIT1C)	w1_q36		0 low taxes and few social benefits 10 high taxes and numerous social benefits
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: SPOE (SPLIT1C)	w1_q37x1		0 low taxes and few social benefits 10 high taxes and numerous social benefits 77 haven't heard of party
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: OEVF (SPLIT1C)	w1_q37x2		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: FPOE (SPLIT1C)	w1_q37x3		
TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: BZOE (SPLIT1C)	w1_q37x4		

TAXES-SOCIAL BENEFITS ASSESSMENT: THE GREENS (SPLIT1C)	w1_q37x5		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: SITUATION IN AUSTRIA (SPLIT1C)	w1_q38		0 only in exceptional cases 10 immigration openly regulated
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES SELF-ASSESSMENT (SPLIT1C)	w1_q39		0 only in exceptional cases 10 immigration openly regulated
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: SPOE (SPLIT1C)	w1_q40x1		0 only in exceptional cases 10 immigration openly regulated 77 haven't heard of party
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: OEVF (SPLIT1C)	w1_q40x2		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: FPOE (SPLIT1C)	w1_q40x3		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: BZOE (SPLIT1C)	w1_q40x4		
IMMIGRATION OPEN-ONLY IN SOME CASES ASSESSMENT: THE GREENS (SPLIT1C)	w1_q40x5		
CLOSENESS TO A POLITICAL PARTY	w1_q41		1 yes 2 no
CLOSER TO ONE PARTY THAN TO ANOTHER	w1_q42		1 yes 2 no

PARTY R. FEELS CLOSER TO	w1_q43		1 SPOE 2 OEVP 3 FPOE 4 FP Kaernten 5 BZOE 6 The Greens 7 KPOE 8 NEOS/LIF/JULIS 9 Team Stronach 10 Pirates 11 other party 12 no party/no one
DEGREE OF CLOSENESS TO THIS PARTY	w1_q44		1 very close 2 fairly close 3 not very close
LIKE-DISLIKE: SPOE	w1_q45x1	w2_q24x1	0 strongly dislike 10 strongly like 77 haven't heard of party
LIKE-DISLIKE: OEVP	w1_q45x2	w2_q24x2	
LIKE-DISLIKE: FPOE	w1_q45x3	w2_q24x3	
LIKE-DISLIKE: BZOE	w1_q45x4		
LIKE-DISLIKE: THE GREENS	w1_q45x5	w2_q24x5	
LIKE-DISLIKE: TEAM STRONACH	w1_q45x6	w2_q24x6	
LIKE-DISLIKE: NEOS		w2_q24x4	
DIRECT ELECTION OF THE CHANCELLOR	w1_q46		1 Werner Faymann 2 Michael Spindelegger 3 Heinz-Christian Strache 4 Josef Bucher 5 Eva Glawischnig 6 Frank Stronach 77 none of the above

LIKE-DISLIKE: WERNER FAYMANN	w1_q47x1	w2_q25x1	0 strongly dislike
LIKE-DISLIKE: MICHAEL SPINDELEGGER	w1_q47x2	w2_q25x2	10 strongly like
LIKE-DISLIKE: HEINZ-CHRISTIAN STRACHE	w1_q47x3	w2_q25x3	
LIKE-DISLIKE: JOSEF BUCHER	w1_q47x4		
LIKE-DISLIKE: EVA GLAWISCHNIG	w1_q47x5	w2_q25x5	
LIKE-DISLIKE: FRANK STRONACH	w1_q47x6	w2_q25x6	
LIKE-DISLIKE: MATTHIAS STROLZ		w2_q25x4	
PTV SPOE	w1_q48x1		0 very unlikely to
PTV OEVP	w1_q48x2		10 very likely
PTV FPOE	w1_q48x3		77 haven't heard of party
PTV BZOE	w1_q48x4		
PTV GRUENE	w1_q48x5		
PTV TEAM STRONACH	w1_q48x6		
PROBABILITY TO VOTE	w1_q49		0 I will definitely not vote 10 I will definitely vote
(w1_q49>4) ANTICIPATED VOTE CHOICE	w1_q50		1 SPOE 2 OEVP
(w1_q49<5) ANTICIPATED VOTE CHOICE	w1_q51		3 FPOE 4 FP Kaernten 5 BZOE 6 The Greens 7 KPOE 8 NEOS/LIF/JULIS 9 Team Stronach 10 Pirates 11 other party 12 no party/no one/cast invalid ballot
PROBABILITY TO VOTE IN THE REFERENDUM ON CONSCRIPTION	w1_q52		0 I will definitely not vote to 10 I will definitely vote

(w1_q52>4) ANTICIPATED VOTE CHOICE IN THE REFERENDUM ON CONSCRIPTION	w1_q53		1 retention of conscription and alternative civilian service 2 implementation of a professional army and a paid year of Social Service
(w1_q52<5) ANTICIPATED VOTE CHOICE IN THE REFERENDUM ON CONSCRIPTION	w1_q54		
VOTING IS A DUTY OF EVERY CITIZEN	w1_q57x1		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree
I FEEL GUILTY IF I DO NOT VOTE	w1_q57x2		3 neither agree nor disagree 4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree
PREFERRED COALITION: SPOE-THE GREENS (SPLIT2A)	w1_q58x1		0 I do not prefer it at all to 10 I very much prefer it
PREFERRED COALITION: SPOE-OEVP (SPLIT2A)	w1_q58x2		
PREFERRED COALITION: OEVP-FPOE (SPLIT2A)	w1_q58x3		
PREFERRED COALITION: SPOE-FPOE (SPLIT2A)	w1_q58x4		
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION SPOE-THE GREENS (SPLIT2A)	w1_q59x1		1 very likely 2 fairly likely 3 fairly unlikely 4 very unlikely
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION SPOE-OEVP (SPLIT2A)	w1_q59x2		
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION OEVP-FPOE (SPLIT2A)	w1_q59x3		
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION SPOE-FPOE (SPLIT2A)	w1_q59x4		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT SPOE-THE GREENS (SPLIT2A)	w1_q60x1		0 left to 10 right
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: SPOE-OEVP (SPLIT2A)	w1_q60x2		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: OEVP-FPOE (SPLIT2A)	w1_q60x3		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT: SPOE-FPOE (SPLIT2A)	w1_q60x4		

PREFERRED COALITION: SPOE-THE GREENS (SPLIT2B)	w1_q61x1		0 I do not prefer it at all to 10 I very much prefer it
PREFERRED COALITION: OEVP-SPOE (SPLIT2B)	w1_q61x2		
PREFERRED COALITION: FPOE-OEVP (SPLIT2B)	w1_q61x3		
PREFERRED COALITION: FPOE-SPOE (SPLIT2B)	w1_q61x4		
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION SPOE-THE GREENS (SPLIT2B)	w1_q62x1		1 very likely 2 fairly likely 3 fairly unlikely 4 very unlikely
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION OEVP-SPOE (SPLIT2B)	w1_q62x2		
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION FPOE-OEVP (SPLIT2B)	w1_q62x3		
LIKELIHOOD OF COALITION FPOE-SPOE (SPLIT2B)	w1_q62x4		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT SPOE-THE GREENS (SPLIT2B)	w1_q63x1		0 left to 10 right
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT OEVP-SPOE (SPLIT2B)	w1_q63x2		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT FPOE-OEVP (SPLIT2B)	w1_q63x3		
LEFT-RIGHT PLACEMENT FPOE-SPOE (SPLIT2B)	w1_q63x4		
Split Ballot 2	ballot2		1 Split 2A 2 Split 2B
ENOUGH IS DONE FOR SOCIAL EQUALITY	w1_q64x1		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree 3 neither agree nor disagree 4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree
IT IS GOOD THAT SOME GROUPS ARE AT THE TOP OF SOCIETY AND SOME ARE AT THE BOTTOM	w1_q64x2		
SOME PEOPLE ARE JUST MORE VALUABLE TO SOCIETY THAN OTHERS	w1_q64x3		

ATTENTIVENESS TO AUSTRIAN POLITICS	w1_q65		1 very closely 2 fairly closely 3 not very closely 4 not closely at all
FREQUENCY OF POLITICAL DISCUSSION WITH FAMILY	w1_q66x1		1 often 2 sometimes 3 rarely 4 never 77 does not apply
FREQUENCY OF POLITICAL DISCUSSION WITH CLOSE FRIENDS	w1_q66x2		
FREQUENCY OF POLITICAL DISCUSSION WITH COLLEAGUES	w1_q66x3		
FREQUENCY OF POLITICAL DISCUSSION WITH NEIGHBOURS	w1_q66x4		
PERSUASION: R. TRIED TO PERSUADE OTHER PEOPLE	w1_q67		1 often 2 sometimes 3 rarely 4 never
POLITICAL INTEREST: FATHER	w1_q68x1		1 very interested 2 fairly interested 3 a little interested 4 not at all interested 77 does not apply
POLITICAL INTEREST: MOTHER	w1_q68x2		
POLITICAL INTEREST: FRIENDS	w1_q68x3		
PARTICIPATION: SIGNED A PETITION	w1_q69x1		1 yes 2 no
PARTICIPATION: CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE	w1_q69x2		
PARTICIPATION: LEGAL DEMONSTRATION OR RALLY	w1_q69x3		
PARTICIPATION: CONTACTED A POLITICIAN	w1_q69x4		
PARTICIPATION: POLITICAL CONSUMERISM	w1_q69x5		

FUTURE PARTICIPATION: SIGN A PETITION	w1_q70x1		1 yes 2 no
FUTURE PARTICIPATION: CITIZEN'S INITIATIVE	w1_q70x2		
FUTURE PARTICIPATION: LEGAL DEMONSTRATION OR RALLY	w1_q70x3		
FUTURE PARTICIPATION: CONTACTED A POLITICIAN	w1_q70x4		
FUTURE PARTICIPATION: POLITICAL CONSUMERISM	w1_q70x5		
RECALL: NAMES OF MPS	w1_q71		1 yes 2 no
NUMBER OF NAMES MENTIONED	w1_q72		number
NAME OF MP FROM DISTRICT OR REGION 1ST MENTION (STRING)	w1_Q72Ao		string
CODED: ID OF 1ST MENTIONED MP	w1_Q72Apad		See Table 8 for MP Identifier
CODED: GOVERNMENT FUNCTION OF 1ST MENTIONED MP	w1_Q72Agov		0 just MP 1 cabinet member and MP 2 cabinet member no MP 3 no MP
NAME OF MP FROM DISTRICT OR REGION 2ND MENTION (STRING)	w1_Q72Bo		string
CODED: ID OF 2ND MENTIONED MP	w1_Q72Bpad		See Table 8 for MP Identifier
CODED: GOVERNMENT FUNCTION OF 2ND MENTIONED MP	w1_Q72Bgov		0 just MP 1 cabinet member and MP 2 cabinet member no MP 3 no MP
NAME OF MP FROM DISTRICT OR REGION 3RD MENTION (STRING)	w1_Q72Co		string
CODED: ID OF 3RD MENTIONED MP	w1_Q72Cpad		See Table 8 for MP Identifier

CODED: GOVERNMENT FUNCTION OF 3RD MENTIONED MP	w1_Q72Cgov		0 just MP 1 cabinet member and MP 2 cabinet member no MP 3 no MP
CONTACT WITH MPS SINCE LAST ELECTION (YES/NO)	w1_q73		1 yes 2 no
CONTACT WITH CANDIDATE DURING CAMPAIGN		w2_q9	
NUMBER OF MPS MENTIONED	w1_q74		number
NAME OF MP (CONTACT) 1ST MENTION (STRING)	w1_Q74Ao		string
CODED: ID OF 1ST MENTIONED MP (CONTACT)	w1_Q74Apad		See Table 8 for MP Identifier
CODED: GOVERNMENT FUNCTION OF 1ST MENTIONED MP (CONTACT)	w1_Q74Agov		0 just MP 1 cabinet member and MP 2 cabinet member no MP 3 no MP
NAME OF MP (CONTACT) 2ND MENTION (STRING)	w1_Q74Bo		string
CODED: ID OF 2ND MENTIONED MP (CONTACT)	w1_Q74Bpad		See Table 8 for MP Identifier
CODED: GOVERNMENT FUNCTION OF 2ND MENTIONED MP (CONTACT)	w1_Q74Bgov		0 just MP 1 cabinet member and MP 2 cabinet member no MP 3 no MP
NAME OF MP (CONTACT) 1ST MENTION (STRING)	w1_Q74Co		string
CODED: ID OF 3RD MENTIONED MP (CONTACT)	w1_Q74Cpad		See Table 8 for MP Identifier
CODED: GOVERNMENT FUNCTION OF 3RD MENTIONED MP (CONTACT)	w1_Q74Cgov		0 just MP 1 cabinet member and MP 2 cabinet member no MP 3 no MP

SHOULD MPS REPRESENT INTERESTS OF THEIR PROVINCE/AUSTRIA AS A WHOLE/BOTH	w1_q75		1 interests of their province 2 interests of Austria as a whole 3 both
POSITION ISSUE: FEELING LIKE A STRANGER DUE TO THE MANY MUSLIMS	w1_q76x1		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree 3 neither agree nor disagree
POSITION ISSUE: EUROPEAN AND MUSLIM LIFESTYLES ARE COMPATIBLE	w1_q76x2		4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: VOTING AGE	w1_q77	w2_q50	1 younger than 14 years 2 14 years 3 15 years 4 16 years 5 17 years 6 18 years 7 19 years 8 20 years 9 21 years 10 22 years
Split Ballot 3	ballot3		1 Split 3A 2 Split 3B
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: ELECTION THRESHOLD (SPLITA)	w1_q78a	w2_q51	1 3% 2 4% 3 5% 4 seat obtained through regional election ["direct mandate"] in a constituency 5 4% or seat obtained through regional election ["direct mandate"] in a constituency 6 x% [where X = 1-4%] or seat obtained through regional election in a constituency

POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: ELECTION THRESHOLD (SPLITB)	w1_q78b	w2_q51b	1 4% 2 5% 3 6% 4 "seat obtained through regional election ["direct mandate"] in a constituency 5 4% or seat obtained through regional election ["direct mandate"] in a constituency 6 X% [where X = 4] or seat obtained through regional election ["direct mandate"] in a constituency"
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: WHO APPOINTS THE CHANCELLOR	w1_q79	w2_q52	1 The Federal President 2 The National Council 3 The Federal Council
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: WHY SHOULD PEOPLE BE ALLOWED TO LOOK AT DOCUMENTS ABOUT GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES	w1_q80		1 so citizens can make a reasoned judgment on government decisions 2 so the government only takes decisions that everyone agrees with 3 so citizens do not criticize government decisions
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: PARTY AFFILIATION: MARIA FEKTER	w1_q81x1	w2_q53x1	1 SPOE 2 OEVF
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: PARTY AFFILIATION: ALOIS STOEGER	w1_q81x2	w2_q53x2	3 FPOE 4 BZOE
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: PARTY AFFILIATION: RUDOLF HUNDSTORFER	w1_q81x3	w2_q53x3	5 The Greens 77 haven't heard of person
QUIZ RESULTS: NUMBER OF CORRECT ANSWERS	w1_quiz	w2_quiz	Number
POSITION ISSUE: GRATEFUL FOR LEADERS	w1_q82x1		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree
POSITION ISSUE: AGE OF DISCIPLINE AND OBEDIENCE SHOULD BE OVER	w1_q82x2		3 neither agree nor disagree 4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree

POSITION ISSUE: CRACK DOWN HARDER ON CRIMINALS	w1_q82x3		
POSITION ISSUE: IMPORTANT TO PROTECT RIGHTS OF CRIMINALS	w1_q82x4		
POSITION ISSUE: THE COUNTRY NEEDS PEOPLE WHO OPPOSE TRADITIONS	w1_q82x5		
POSITION ISSUE: YOUNG PEOPLE SHOULD PAY MORE ATTENTION TO TRADITIONS AND VALUES	w1_q82x6		
BIG 5: EXTRAVERSION (RESERVED)	w1_q83x1		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree 3 neither agree nor disagree 4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree
BIG 5: AGREEABLENESS (GENERALLY TRUSTING, BELIEVE IN THE GOOD IN PEOPLE)	w1_q83x2		
BIG 5: CONSCIENTIOUSNESS (TEND TO BE LAZY)	w1_q83x3		
BIG 5: NEUROTICISM (RELAXED, HANDLE STRESS WELL)	w1_q83x4		
BIG 5: OPENNESS (HAVE FEW ARTISTIC INTERESTS)	w1_q83x5		
BIG 5: EXTRAVERSION (OUTGOING, SOCIABLE)	w1_q83x6		
BIG 5: AGREEABLENESS (TEND TO FIND FAULT WITH OTHERS)	w1_q83x7		
BIG 5: CONSCIENTIOUSNESS (DO A THOROUGH JOB)	w1_q83x8		1 completely agree 2 somewhat agree 3 neither agree nor disagree 4 somewhat disagree 5 completely disagree
BIG 5: NEUROTICISM (GET NERVOUS EASILY)	w1_q83x9		
BIG 5: OPENNESS (ACTIVE IMAGINATION)	w1_q83x10		
TURNOUT IN THE MOST RECENT REGIONAL ELECTION (YES/NO)	w1_z1		1 yes 2 no

VOTE CHOICE IN THE MOST RECENT REGIONAL ELECTION	w1_z2		1 SPOE 2 OEVP 3 FPOE/FPK 4 BZOE 5 The Greens 6 Team Stronach 7 Buergerforum Tyrol - Liste FRITZ 8 Liste Burgenland 9 KPOE 10 Pirates 11 Buergerklub Tyrol - GURGISER and Team 12 Fuer TYROL 13 Vorwaerts TYROL 14 other party 15 invalid
ANTICIPATED VOTE CHOICE IN THE UPCOMING REGIONAL ELECTION (SALZBURG)	w1_z3		1 SPOE 2 OEVP 3 FPOE 5 The Greens 6 Team Stronach 9 KPOE 10 Pirates 14 other party 15 invalid 77 will not vote

ANTICIPATED VOTE CHOICE IN THE UPCOMING REGIONAL ELECTION (TYROL)	w1_z4		1 SPOE 2 OEVP 3 FPOE 5 The Greens 6 Team Stronach 7 Buergerforum Tyrol - Liste FRITZ 9 KPOE 10 Pirates 11 Buergerklub Tyrol - GURGISER 12 Fuer TYROL 13 vorwaerts TYROL 14 other party 15 invalid 77 will not vote
MARITAL STATUS	w1_q84		1 married or living with a partner 2 widowed 3 divorced or separated 4 single
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD	w1_q85		number
NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD (<18 YEARS OLD)	w1_q86		number
R. LIVES WITH PARENTS (YES/NO)	w1_q87		1 yes 2 no

HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION	w1_q88		1 did not attend school 2 did not finish any school 3 elementary school or less 4 primary school or secondary education first stage 5 special school 6 polytechnic school, vocational middle school [HASCH] 7 vocational training, vocational school 8 AHS with diploma 9 BHS with diploma [e.g. HTL, HAK, HBLA, BAKIPAED] 10 university-related college [vocational or educational academy, e.g. Academies in health and/or social work] 11 College 12 Bachelor 13 Magister/Master/chartered engineer/University of Applied Sciences degree 14 Doctorate/PhD 15 other, namely:
HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION: OTHER(String)	w1_q88o		string
RELIGIOUS GROUP (YES/NO)	w1_q89		1 yes 2 no
RELIGIOUS GROUP	w1_q90		1 Roman-Catholic 2 Protestant 3 Islam 4 Christian Orthodox 5 Jewish/Mosaic 6 other, namely:
OTHER RELIGIOUS GROUP (String)	w1_q90o		string

DEGREE OF RELIGIOSITY	w1_q91		1 not at all religious 2 a little religious 3 somewhat religious 4 very religious
CURRENT SITUATION	w1_q92		1 employed 2 retired 3 a student at school 4 a student at university 5 other training 6 on leave 7 a house wife/ house husband 8 doing military or alternative civilian service, or voluntary social year 9 unfit for work 10 unemployed or seeking for work 11 other
CURRENT SITUATION WITH REGARD TO EMPLOYMENT	w1_q93		1 employed, more than 35h weekly 2 employed, 15-35h weekly 3 employed, less than 15h weekly 4 employed by a family member 5 in vocational training
CURRENT OTHER SITUATION (IF EMPLOYED)	w1_q94		1 retired 2 a student at university 3 a student at school 4 other training 5 on leave 6 none of the above
CURRENT OTHER SITUATION (IF NOT EMPLOYED)	w1_q95		1 more than 35h weekly 2 15-35h weekly 3 less than 15h weekly 4 employed by a family member 5 apprentice 6 no

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED	w1_q97		1 yes 2 no
CURRENT OCCUPATION	w1_q96		1 employee 2 manual worker 3 civil servant with employment guarantee
LAST OCCUPATION	w1_q98		4 civil servant on contract basis 5 self-employed without employees 6 self-employed with employees 7 independent contractor 8 farmer
IN SCHOOL: TALKED ABOUT ELECTION	w1_q99x1	w2_q12x1	1 yes 2 no
IN SCHOOL: PARTICIPATED IN SCHOOL DEMOCRACY	w1_q99x2	w2_q12x2	
IN SCHOOL: WORKED IN A PROJECT	w1_q99x3	w2_q12x3	
WATCHED A NATIONAL PARLIAMENTARY DEBATE ON TV	w1_z5x1	w2_q13x1	
VISITED THE PARLIAMENT	w1_z5x2	w2_q13x2	
HEARD OF THE 'DEMOCRACY WORKSHOP'	w1_z5x3	w2_q13x3	
ATTENDED THE 'DEMOCRACY WORKSHOP'	w1_z5x4	w2_q13x4	
VISITED A YOUTH CENTRE	w1_z5x5	w2_q13x5	
PARTICIPATED IN A YOUTH PARLIAMENT	w1_z5x6	w2_q13x6	
AUSTRIAN CITIZENSHIP BY BIRTH	w1_q100		1 since birth 2 naturalised
AUSTRIAN CITIZENSHIP SINCE [YEAR]	w1_q101		number

OTHER LANGUAGE THAN GERMAN SPOKEN IN HOUSEHOLD/IN FAMILY	w1_q102		1 yes 2 no
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): TURKISH	w1_q103R1		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): SERBIAN	w1_q103R2		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): CROATIAN	w1_q103R3		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): BOSNIAN	w1_q103R4		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): SLOVENIAN	w1_q103R5		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): POLISH	w1_q103R6		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): CZECH	w1_q103R7		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): RUSSIAN	w1_q103R8		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): HUNGARIAN	w1_q103R9		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): OTHER	w1_q103R10		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): DON'T KNOW	w1_q103R11		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): REFUSED	w1_q103R12		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): ALBANIAN	w1_q103R13		
OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): ENGLISH	w1_q103R14		

OTHER LANGUAGE (HOUSEHOLD/FAMILY): OTHER (STRING)	w1_q103o		string
COUNTRY OF BIRTH	w1_q104		1 Austria
COUNTRY OF BIRTH (MOTHER)	w1_q105		2 Germany
COUNTRY OF BIRTH (FATHER)	w1_q106		3 Turkey 4 Serbia 5 Croatia 6 Bosnia 7 Slovenia 8 Poland 9 Czech Republic 10 Russia 11 Hungary 12 other, namely:
COUNTRY OF BIRTH: OTHER (STRING)	w1_q104o		string
COUNTRY OF BIRTH (MOTHER): OTHER (STRING)	w1_q105o		string
COUNTRY OF BIRTH (FATHER): OTHER (STRING)	w1_q106o		string
DESCRIPTION OF RESIDENTIAL AREA	w1_q107		1 village 2 small town 3 mid-size town 4 centre of a large city 5 suburbs of a large city
UNION MEMBERSHIP	w1_q108		1 yes 2 no
PARTY MEMBERSHIP	w1_q109		1 member (party official) 2 member (not a party official) 3 former member, not anymore 4 never been a member

PARTY MEMBERSHIP: WHICH PARTY	w1_q110		1 SPOE 2 OEPV 3 FPOE 4 FP Kaernten 5 BZOE 6 The Greens 7 KPOE 8 LIF/NEOS/JULIS 9 other party, namely:
PARTY MEMBERSHIP: WHICH PARTY: OTHER (STRING)	w1_q110o		string
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: HELP TO WIN ELECTIONS	w1_q111R1		0 no 1 yes
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: RESPONSIBLE POSITION	w1_q111R2		
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: PARTY REPRESENTS VIEWS AND BELIEFS	w1_q111R3		
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: INFLUENCE THE PARTY POSITIONS	w1_q111R4		
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: FAMILY AND FRIENDS IN THE PARTY	w1_q111R5		
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: MEMBERSHIP IS BENEFICIAL	w1_q111R6		
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: OTHER REASONS	w1_q111R7		
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: DK	w1_q111R8		
REASON FOR PARTY MEMBERSHIP: REFUSED	w1_q111R9		

ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: DISTRIBUTED LEAFLETS	w1_q112R1		0 no 1 yes
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: HELPED AT A CAMPAIGN STAND	w1_q112R2		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: COLLECTED SIGNATURES	w1_q112R3		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: CO- ORGANIZED PARTY EVENT	w1_q112R4		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: DONATED MONEY TO THE PARTY	w1_q112R5		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: TRIED TO PERSUADE OTHER PEOPLE	w1_q112R6		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: RAN FOR PARTY OFFICE	w1_q112R7		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: NONE OF THESE	w1_q112R8		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: DK	w1_q112R9		
ACTIVITY IN THE PARTY: REFUSED	w1_q112R10		
PARTY MEMBERSHIP: WHICH PARTY	w1_q113		1 SPOE 2 OEVP 3 FPOE 4 FP Kaernten 5 BZOE 6 The Greens 7 KPOE 8 LIF/NEOS/JULIS 9 other party, namely:
PARTY MEMBERSHIP: WHICH PARTY: OTHER (STRING)	w1_q113o		string

PARTY RESIGNATION: MEMBERSHIP TOO EXPENSIVE	w1_q114R1		0 no 1 yes
PARTY RESIGNATION: INVOLVED IN OTHER ORGANIZATIONS	w1_q114R2		
PARTY RESIGNATION: PARTIES CANNOT CHANGE ANYTHING IN SOCIETY	w1_q114R3		
PARTY RESIGNATION: TOO LITTEL INFLUENCE ON PARTY POSITIONS	w1_q114R4		
PARTY RESIGNATION: IDEOLOGICAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ME AND THE PARTY	w1_q114R5		
PARTY RESIGNATION: TOO LITTLE TIME FOR THE PARTY	w1_q114R6		
PARTY RESIGNATION: MEMBERSHIP WAS NO LONGER A BENEFIT TO ME	w1_q114R7		
PARTY RESIGNATION: OTHER REASON	w1_q114R8		
PARTY RESIGNATION: DK	w1_q114R9		
PARTY RESIGNATION: REFUSED	w1_q114R10		
ASSESSMENT OF INCOME SITUATION	w1_q115		1 get along very well 2 get along well 3 get along with difficulty 4 get along with great difficulty

MONTHLY NET INCOME (HOUSEHOLD)	w1_q116		1 < 450 2 450 < 600 3 600 < 750 4 750 < 900 5 900 < 1.050 6 1.050 < 1.200 7 1.200 < 1.350 8 1.350 < 1.500 9 1.500 < 1.650 10 1.650 < 1.800 11 1.800 < 1.950 12 1.950 < 2.100 13 2.100 < 2.250 14 2.250 < 2.400 15 2.400 < 2.700 16 2.700 < 3.000 17 3.000 < 3.300 18 3.300 < 3.600 19 3.600 < 3.900 20 3.900 and more
TURNOUT 2009 EP ELECTION (YES/NO)	w1_z6		1 yes 2 no
VOTE CHOICE 2009 EP ELECTIONS	w1_z7		1 SPOE 2 OEVP 3 MARTIN 4 The Greens 5 FPOE 6 KPOE 7 JuLis 8 BZOE
PHONE NUMBER USED BY RESPONDENT/OTHER PEOPLE	w1_q118		1 no phone number provided 2 number only used by me 3 number also used by other people

LIKELIHOOD TO PARTICIPATE IN FOLLOW-UP SURVEY	w1_q120		1 very likely 2 fairly likely 3 fairly unlikely 4 not at all likely
REGION	bd1		1 Vorarlberg 2 Tyrol 3 Salzburg 4 Upper Austria 5 Carinthia 6 Styria 7 Burgenland 8 Lower Austria 9 Vienna
INTERVIEWER: R.'S COMMAND OF GERMAN	w1_qi4		0 very bad 10 very good
INTERVIEWER: THIRD PERSON PRESENT AT INTERVIEW	w1_qi5		1 yes, the whole time 2 yes, most of the time 3 yes, but only for a short period of time 4 no
INTERVIEWER: INTERRUPTION BY THIRD PERSON	w1_qi6		1 yes 2 no
INTERVIEWER: R. TRIED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS AS ACCURATELY AS POSSIBLE	w1_qi7		1 very often 2 often 3 now and then 4 almost never 5 never
INTERVIEWER: DECLINE OF R'S WILLINGNESS TO ANSWER	w1_qi8		1 yes, very 2 yes, a little 3 no, not really 4 no, not at all
INTERVIEWER: INCENTIVE RECEIVED	w1_qi9		1 yes 2 no
INTERVIEWER: R LOOKED AT QUIZ ANSWERS	w1_qi10		1 yes 2 no

HOUSEHOLD SAMPLE	q01		1 original household according to list 2 succession household 3 substitutional household
DAY	day		number
MONTH	month		1 January 2 February 3 March 4 April 5 May 6 June 7 July 8 August 9 September 10 October 11 November 12 December
YEAR	year		number
DATE	date	date_post	number
INTERVIEWER ID	intnr		number
INTERVIEWER'S AGE (IN YEARS)	iage		number
INTERVIEWER'S LEVEL OF EDUCATION	ibild		1 Elementary school / Vocational training 2 Vocational middle school 3 School with diploma (Matura) 4 Academies / University(-related) degree (Tertiary)
INTERVIEWER'S EXPERIENCE (IN YEARS)	iexp		number
INTERVIEWER'S GENDER	igend		1 male 2 female
ASSIGNMENT: COMMUNAL CODE (GCD)	gemcode		number
DESIGN WEIGHT	designweight	designweight_post	number
ADAPTION WEIGHT	weight	weight_post	number

ATTENTIVENESS TO ELECTION CAMPAIGN		w2_q1	1 very closely 2 fairly closely 3 not very closely 4 not at all
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: SPOE		w2_q7R1	0 no 1 yes
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: OEVF		w2_q7R2	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: FPOE		w2_q7R3	

PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: FP KAERNTE		w2_q7R4	0 no 1 yes
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: BZOE		w2_q7R5	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: THE GREENS		w2_q7R6	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: KPOE		w2_q7R7	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: NEOS/LIF/JULIS		w2_q7R8	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: TEAM STRONACH		w2_q7R9	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: PIRATES		w2_q7R10	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: OTHER PARTY		w2_q7R11	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: ALL THE SAME		w2_q7R12	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR		w2_q7R13	

OPPONENTS THE MOST: NO PARTY/ NOBODY			
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: DON'T KNOW		w2_q7R14	
PARTY THAT ATTACKED THEIR OPPONENTS THE MOST: REFUSED		w2_q7R15	

PERSONAL CONTACT: SPOE		w2_q10R1	0 no 1 yes
PERSONAL CONTACT: OEVP		w2_q10R2	
PERSONAL CONTACT: FPOE		w2_q10R3	
PERSONAL CONTACT: FP KAERNTEN		w2_q10R4	
PERSONAL CONTACT: BZOE		w2_q10R5	
PERSONAL CONTACT: THE GREENS		w2_q10R6	
PERSONAL CONTACT: KPOE		w2_q10R7	
PERSONAL CONTACT: NEOS/LIF/JULIS		w2_q10R8	
PERSONAL CONTACT: TEAM STRONACH		w2_q10R9	
PERSONAL CONTACT: PIRATES		w2_q10R10	
PERSONAL CONTACT: OTHER PARTY		w2_q10R11	
PERSONAL CONTACT: DON'T KNOW		w2_q10R12	
PERSONAL CONTACT: REFUSED		w2_q10R13	
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES MENTIONED		w2_q11	number
NAME OF 1ST MENTIONED CANDIDATE (STRING)		w2_q11Bo	string
NAME OF 1ST MENTIONED		w2_q11Bo	string

CANDIDATE (STRING)			
TURNOUT		w2_q14	<p>1 I did not vote in the national parliamentary election on 29 September</p> <p>2 I considered voting, but did not do so this time</p> <p>3 Usually I vote, but this time I did not</p> <p>4 I am sure that I voted on 29 September</p> <p>5 I cast my vote by mail</p>
VOTE CHOICE		w2_q15	<p>1 SPOE</p> <p>2 OEVP</p> <p>3 FPOE</p> <p>4 FP Kaernten</p> <p>5 BZOE</p> <p>6 The Greens</p> <p>7 KPOE</p> <p>8 NEOS/LIF/JULIS</p> <p>9 Team Stronach</p> <p>10 Pirates</p> <p>11 other party</p> <p>12 cast invalid ballot</p>
TIME OF VOTE DECISION		w2_q16	<p>1 well before the election</p> <p>2 1 to 2 weeks before the election</p> <p>3 a few days before the election</p> <p>4 on the day of the election</p>
PREFERENTIAL VOTE ON FEDERAL LIST (NO/YES)		w2_q17	<p>1 yes</p> <p>2 no</p>
PREFERENTIAL VOTE ON FEDERAL LIST (NAME MENTIONED)		w2_q18	<p>1 mentioned</p> <p>0 not mentioned</p>
PREFERENTIAL VOTE ON FEDERAL LIST (STRING)		w2_q18o	string
PREFERENTIAL VOTE ON		w2_q19	1 yes

REGIONAL LIST (NO/YES)			2 no
PREFERENTIAL VOTE ON REGIONAL LIST (NAME MENTIONED)		w2_q20	1 mentioned 0 not mentioned
PREFERENTIAL VOTE ON REGIONAL LIST (STRING)		w2_q20o	string
PREFERENTIAL VOTE IN CONSTITUENCY (NO/YES)		w2_q21	1 yes 2 no
PREFERENTIAL VOTE IN CONSTITUENCY (NAME MENTIONED)		w2_q22	1 mentioned 0 not mentioned
PREFERENTIAL VOTE IN CONSTITUENCY (STRING)		w2_q22o	string
REASON FOR CASTING A PREFERENTIAL VOTE (MENTIONED)		w2_q23	1 mentioned 0 not mentioned
REASON FOR CASTING A PREFERENTIAL VOTE (STRING)		w2_q23o	string
INFORMATION ON POLITICAL EVENTS: ON TV		w2_q33	1 almost every day 2 several times a week 3 several times a month 4 less frequently 5 never
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: ZIB AT 19:30		w2_q34x1	1 1 day a week 2 2 days a week 3 3 days a week 4 4 days a week 5 5 days a week 6 6 days a week 7 7 days a week 8 never 9 less frequently
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: ZIB 2 AT 22:00		w2_q34x2	
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: ZIB 20 AT 20:00		w2_q34x3	
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: ZIB MAGAZIN AT 19:45		w2_q34x4	
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: ZIB 24 AT MIDNIGHT		w2_q34x5	
DAYS PER WEEK - TV		w2_q34x6	

PROGRAMME: ATV AKTUELL AT 19:20			
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: ATV AKTUELL AZ 20:05		w2_q34x7	
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: GUTEN ABEND OESTERREICH (PULS 4) AT 18:45		w2_q34x8	
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: PRO 7 AUSTRIA NEWS AT 18:00		w2_q34x9	
DAYS PER WEEK - TV PROGRAMME: SAT1 AUSTRIA PULS4 NEWS AT 20:00		w2_q34x10	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: NO OTHER TV PROGRAMME		w2_q35R1	0 not mentioned 1 mentioned
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: ZIB AT 9:00		w2_q35R2	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: ZIB AT 13:00		w2_q35R3	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: ZIB AT 17:00		w2_q35R4	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: ZIB AT 11:00		w2_q35R5	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: ZIB FLASH		w2_q35R6	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: BUNDESLAND HEUTE		w2_q35R7	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: PRESSESTUNDE		w2_q35R8	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: REPORT		w2_q35R9	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: IM ZENTRUM		w2_q35R10	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: HOHES HAUS		w2_q35R11	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: AM		w2_q35R12	

PUNKT			
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: GUTEN ABEND OESTERREICH		w2_q35R13	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: PULS 4 NEWS		w2_q35R14	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: OTHER		w2_q35R15	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: DON'T KNOW		w2_q35R88	
OTHER TV PROGRAMMES: REFUSED		w2_q35R99	
INFORMATION ON POLITICAL EVENTS: IN NEWSPAPERS		w2_q36	1 almost every day 2 several times a week 3 several times a month 4 less frequently 5 never
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: KRONEN ZEITUNG		w2_q37x1	1 1 day a week 2 2 days a week 3 3 days a week 4 4 days a week 5 5 days a week 6 6 days a week 7 7 days a week 8 never 9 less frequently
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: OESTERREICH		w2_q37x2	
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: KURIER		w2_q37x3	
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: HEUTE		w2_q37x4	
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: KLEINE ZEITUNG		w2_q37x5	
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: STANDARD		w2_q37x6	
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: PRESSE		w2_q37x7	
DAYS PER WEEK - NEWSPAPER: SALZBURGER NACHRICHTEN		w2_q37x8	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: NO OTHER NEWSPAPER		w2_q38R1	0 not mentioned 1 mentioned
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: WIRTSCHAFTSBLATT		w2_q38R2	

OTHER NEWSPAPERS: NEUE KAERNTNER TZ		w2_q38R3	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: NEUE VORARLBERGER TZ		w2_q38R4	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: NEUES VOLKSBLATT		w2_q38R5	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: OOE NACHRICHTEN		w2_q38R6	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: SVZ SALZBURGER VZ		w2_q38R7	

OTHER NEWSPAPERS: TIROLER TAGESZEITUNG		w2_q38R8	0 not mentioned 1 mentioned
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: WIENER ZEITUNG		w2_q38R9	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: OTHER		w2_q38R10	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: DON'T KNOW		w2_q38R88	
OTHER NEWSPAPERS: REFUSED		w2_q38R99	
WEEKLY JOURNAL: DIE GANZE WOCH		w2_q39x1	1 all of them 2 most of them 3 about half of them 4 a few of them 5 none of them
WEEKLY JOURNAL: NEWS		w2_q39x2	
WEEKLY JOURNAL: PROFIL		w2_q39x3	
WEEKLY JOURNAL: FORMAT		w2_q39x4	
WEEKLY JOURNAL: FALTER		w2_q39x5	
INFORMATION ON POLITICAL EVENTS: ONLINE		w2_q41	1 almost every day 2 several times a week 3 several times a month 4 less frequently 5 never
DAYS PER WEEK - WEBPAGE: ORF.AT		w2_q42x1	
DAYS PER WEEK - WEBPAGE: DERSTANDARD.AT		w2_q42x2	
DAYS PER WEEK - WEBPAGE: KRONE.AT		w2_q42x3	
DAYS PER WEEK - WEBPAGE: OE24.AT		w2_q42x4	
WEB PAGE: NO OTHER WEB		w2_q43R1	0 not mentioned

PAGE			1 mentioned
WEB PAGE: VOL.AT		w2_q43R2	
WEB PAGE: KLEINEZEITUNG.AT		w2_q43R3	
WEB PAGE: KURIER.AT		w2_q43R4	
WEB PAGE: DIEPRESSE.COM		w2_q43R5	
WEB PAGE: TT.COM		w2_q43R6	
WEB PAGE: HEUTE.AT		w2_q43R7	
WEB PAGE: NACHRICHTEN.AT		w2_q43R8	
WEB PAGE: SALZBURG.COM		w2_q43R9	
WEB PAGE: NOEN.AT		w2_q43R10	
WEB PAGE: WIRTSCHAFTSBLATT.AT		w2_q43R11	0 not mentioned 1 mentioned
WEB PAGE: WIENERZEITUNG.AT		w2_q43R12	
WEB PAGE: KTZ.AT		w2_q43R13	
WEB PAGE: NEWS.AT		w2_q43R14	
WEB PAGE: GMX.AT		w2_q43R15	
WEB PAGE: NEWS.GOOGLE.AT		w2_q43R16	
WEB PAGE: OTHER		w2_q43R17	
WEB PAGE: DON'T KNOW		w2_q43R88	
WEB PAGE: REFUSED		w2_q43R99	1 yes 2 no
WEB PAGE: READ COMMENTS/POSTINGS		w2_q44	
WEB PAGE: POST COMMENTS		w2_q45	
REGISTERED WITH SOCIAL MEDIA NETWORKS		w2_q46	
LINKED WITH POLITICIANS/PARTIES ON SOCIAL NETWORKS		w2_q47	
READ OPINIONS ON SOCIAL NETWORK		w2_q48	
POST OPINIONS ON SOCIAL NETWORK		w2_q49	
POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: WHO APPOINTS THE CHANCELLOR			1 The Federal President 2 The National Council 3 The Federal Council

POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: NAME OF CANDIDATE FROM DISTRICT OR PROVINCE		w2_q54	1 yes 2 no
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FROM DISTRICT OR PROVINCE MENTIONED		w2_q55	1 1 name mentioned 2 2 names mentioned 3 3 names mentioned 4 4 names mentioned 5 5 names mentioned
NAME OF 1ST MENTIONED CANDIDATE FROM DISTRICT OR PROVINCE (STRING)		w2_q55Ao	string
NAME OF 2ND MENTIONED CANDIDATE FROM DISTRICT OR PROVINCE (STRING)		w2_q55Bo	string
VOUCHER YES/NO		voucher	number
INCENTIVE'S VALUE		euro	1 7 EUR 2 14 EUR
SIZE OF MUNICIPALITY		gemgr_gr	1 less than 2,000 2 2,000 to less than 5,000 3 5,000 to less than 10,000 4 10,000 to less than 20,000 5 20,000 to less than 50,000 6 50,000 to less than 1.000,000 7 1.000,000 and more
ASSIGNMENT: REGIONAL CONSTITUENCY (RWK)		rwk_id	string