

**International Social Survey Programme**  
**ISSP 2012 -Family & Changing Gender Roles IV**

**Study Monitoring Report**

**Lausanne, December 2016**

Phil Gendall, Dominique Joye, & Marlène Sapin (ed.)

Swiss Center of Expertise in the Social Sciences - FORS  
Lausanne, Switzerland  
issp@fors.ch

# International Social Survey Programme

## Contents

Introduction .....	3
Monitoring Findings Chart.....	4
Appendix: Information about other studies the ISSP was fielded with .....	41
Calculation of Response Figures Based on Reported Figures .....	43

## Introduction

The aim of ISSP monitoring and reporting is twofold: to record for internal ISSP purposes how ISSP studies were conducted in each country and how implementations met or failed to meet ISSP requirements as defined by the ISSP Working Principles. These aims are related to the pursuit of basic good or best practices in ISSP studies but also to comparability of data across ISSP datasets.

For users of ISSP data, the Study Monitoring Reports bring together information of relevance for analysis not otherwise available in such a compact form. The documentation provided on major aspects of each member's fielding and outcomes goes a considerable way towards guiding researchers on which differences between ISSP countries they might ignore and which they should consider.

This report is based on the study monitoring survey conducted by Methodology Committee of the ISSP for the 2012 Family and Changing Gender Roles module. Forty-one member countries completed the monitoring questionnaire for this module. Details of the individual answers members provided are presented in the summary charts which follow. The information we received was checked with members, who were given the opportunity to make corrections. The report is available on the ISSP Archive web site.

**Monitoring Findings Chart  
For**

<b>Argentina (AR)</b>	<b>Korea South (KR)</b>
<b>Australia (AU)</b>	<b>Latvia (LV)</b>
<b>Austria (AT)</b>	<b>Lithuania (LT)</b>
<b>Belgium (BE)</b>	<b>Mexico (MX)</b>
<b>Bulgaria (BG)</b>	<b>Netherlands (NL)</b>
<b>Canada (CA)</b>	<b>Norway (NO)</b>
<b>Chile (CL)</b>	<b>Philippines (PH)</b>
<b>China (CN)</b>	<b>Poland (PL)</b>
<b>Croatia (HR)</b>	<b>Portugal (PT)</b>
<b>Czech Republic (CZ)</b>	<b>Russia (RU)</b>
<b>Denmark (DK)</b>	<b>Slovak Republic (SK)</b>
<b>Finland (FI)</b>	<b>Slovenia (SL)</b>
<b>France (FR)</b>	<b>South Africa (ZA)</b>
<b>Germany (DE)</b>	<b>Spain (ES)</b>
<b>Great Britain (GB)</b>	<b>Sweden (SE)</b>
<b>Hungary (HU)</b>	<b>Switzerland (CH)</b>
<b>Iceland (IS)</b>	<b>Taiwan (TW)</b>
<b>India (IN)</b>	<b>Turkey (TR)</b>
<b>Ireland (IE)</b>	<b>United States of America (US)</b>
<b>Israel (IL)</b>	<b>Venezuela (VE)</b>
<b>Japan (JP)</b>	

### Language(s) and translation

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>																	
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	Spanish	English	German	Dutch	Bulgarian	English	Spanish	Chinese	Croatian	Czech	Danish	Finnish	French	German	English	Hungarian	Icelandic
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>				French		French						Swedish					
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>																	
<i>Was the questionnaire translated?</i>																	
<b>Yes, translated:</b>																	
<b>- by member(s) of research team</b>	X			L1-L2	X		X	X	X	X	X	L1-L2	X			X	X
<b>- by translation bureau</b>				L1-L2													
<b>- by specially trained translator(s)</b>					X	L2						L1-L2		X			
<b>- other</b>			X <sup>AT</sup>								X <sup>DK</sup>						
<b>No, not translated</b>		X													X		

<sup>AT</sup> Used the German questionnaire (i.e., the one attached to the German ALLBUS 2012)

<sup>DK</sup> Two members of the research team each made a translation that was given to a third member making the final translation.

**Translation (continued)**

	IN <sup>IN</sup>	IE	IL	JP	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>																
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	Hindi	English	Hebrew	Japanese	Korean	Latvian	Lithuanian	Spanish	Dutch	Norwegian	Filipino	Polish	Portuguese	Russian	Slovak	Slovenian
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>	Marathi	Gaeilge	Arabic			Russian					Cebuano					
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>	Gujarati		Russian								Iluko					
<b>Language 4 (L4)</b>											Hiligaynon					
<b>Language 5 (L5)</b>											Maguindanaon					
<b>Language 6 (L6)</b>											Bicolano					
<b>Language 7 (L7)</b>											Waray					
<i>Was the questionnaire translated?</i>																
<b>Yes, translated:</b>																
<b>- by member(s) of research team</b>		L2	L1	X	X	L1-L2	X		X	X	L1-L7	X		X	X	X
<b>- by translation bureau</b>	L1-L10															
<b>- by specially trained translator(s)</b>				X				X					X			
<b>- other</b>																
<b>No, not translated</b>																

<sup>IN</sup> Indian module fielded in ten languages: Hindi, Marathi, Gujarati, Bengali, Oriya, Telugu, Tamil, Malayalam, Kannada, Assamese.

**Translation (continued)**

	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>								
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	English	Spanish	Swedish	German	Chinese	Turkish	English	Spanish
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>	Afrikaans			French			Spanish	
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>	Zulu			Italian				
<b>Language 4 (L4)</b>	Tsonga							
<b>Language 5 (L5)</b>	Tswana							
<b>Language 6 (L6)</b>	Xhosa							
<b>Language 7 (L7)</b>								
<i>Was the questionnaire translated?</i>								
<b>Yes, translated:</b>								
<b>- by member(s) of research team</b>		X	X	L1-L3	X	X		
<b>- by translation bureau</b>								
<b>- by specially trained translator(s)</b>	L1-L6						L2	X
<b>- other</b>								
<b>No, not translated</b>							L1	

## Translation

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>																	
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	Spanish	English	German	Dutch	Bulgarian	English	Spanish	Chinese	Croatian	Czech	Danish	Finnish	French	German	English	Hungarian	Icelandic
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>				French		French						Swedish					
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>																	
<i>Was the translated questionnaire assessed/checked or evaluated?</i>																	
<b>Yes:</b>																	
- group discussion					X		X	X	X	X		L1-L2	X	X			X
- expert checked it				L1-L2								L1-L2		X		X <sup>HU</sup>	
- back translation						L2			X								
- other	X <sup>AR</sup>			L1-L2 <sup>BE</sup>							X						
<b>No</b>																	
<b>Not applicable</b>		X	X												X		

<sup>AR</sup> Comments exchanged with people linked to ISSP in Spain and Chile.

<sup>BE</sup> **Dutch**: First phase: expert check within institute Flanders (Belgium); Second phase: The ISSP module 2012 was independently translated by the institute in Flanders (Belgium) and the Netherlands. Both translations were compared to each other and in a discussion with H. Ganzeboom, H. Schröder and A. Carton the differences were cleared out and final decisions were taken although respecting “local” differences in use of language. **French**: First phase: expert check within institute Wallonia (Belgium). Second phase: The ISSP module 2012 was independently translated by the institute in Wallonia (Belgium) and France. Both translations were compared to each other and the differences were cleared out and final decisions were taken although respecting “local” differences in use of language.

<sup>HU</sup> Only the new questions.



**Translation (continued)**

	IN	IE	IL	JP	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>																
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	Hindi	English	Hebrew	Japanese	Korean	Latvian	Lithuanian	Spanish	Dutch	Norwegian	Filipino	Polish	Portuguese	Russian	Slovak	Slovenian
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>	Marathi	Gaeilge	Arabic			Russian					Cebuano					
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>	Gujarati		Russian								Iluko					
<b>Language 4 (L4)</b>											Hiligaynon					
<b>Language 5 (L5)</b>											Maguindanaon					
<b>Language 6 (L6)</b>											Bicolano					
<b>Language 7 (L7)</b>											Waray					
<i>Was the translated questionnaire assessed/checked or evaluated?</i>																
<b>Yes:</b>																
- group discussion			L1 <sup>IL</sup>				X			X	L1-L7	X	X <sup>PT</sup>		X	X
- expert checked it		L2		X	X	L1-L2								X		
- back translation	L1-L10							X								
- other									X <sup>NL</sup>		L1-L7 <sup>PH</sup>					
<b>Not applicable</b>																

<sup>IL</sup> Both the Arabic and the Russian translations were carried out by one or more specially trained translators. In Arabic the translation was not checked, in Russian the translation was checked by an expert.

<sup>PT</sup> Only the new questions.

<sup>NL</sup> Comparison with independent Flemish translation, then we define common wordings as much as we could.

<sup>PH</sup> Cognitive testing with Field Anchors. Pre-tested on 12 randomly selected adults of different ages, sex and classes and then an assessment discussion with those who pre-tested the module.

**Translation (continued)**

	<b>ZA</b>	<b>ES</b>	<b>SE</b>	<b>CH</b>	<b>TW</b>	<b>TR</b>	<b>US</b>	<b>VE</b>
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>								
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	English	Spanish	Swedish	German	Chinese	Turkish	English	Spanish
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>	Afrikaans			French			Spanish	
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>	Zulu			Italian				
<b>Language 4 (L4)</b>	Tsonga							
<b>Language 5 (L5)</b>	Tswana							
<b>Language 6 (L6)</b>	Xhosa							
<i>Was the translated questionnaire assessed/checked or evaluated?</i>								
<b>Yes:</b>								
- group discussion			X	L1-L3 <sup>CH</sup>	X		L2	X
- expert checked it	X	X		L1-L3 <sup>CH</sup>	X	X		
- other							L2 <sup>US</sup>	
<b>Not applicable</b>							L1	

<sup>CH</sup> Translation by two professional translators, then comparison between the two versions in a group discussion and adjudication of best translation by a reviewer of the team. The three language versions are then compared and some adjustments made.

<sup>US</sup> Bilingual survey director reviewed the translation of the professional team.

## Translation

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>																	
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	Spanish	English	German	Dutch	Bulgarian	English	Spanish	Chinese	Croatian	Czech	Danish	Finnish	French	German	English	Hungarian	Icelandic
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>				French		French						Swedish					
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>																	
<i>Was the questionnaire pre-tested?</i>																	
<b>Yes</b>				L1-L2	X		X	X						X			X
<b>No</b>	X					X			X	X	X	X	X			X	
<b>Not applicable</b>		X	X												X		
<i>Were there any questions... which caused problems when translating?</i>																	
<b>Yes</b>										X							
<b>No</b>	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X
<b>Not applicable</b>		X													X		

**Translation (continued)**

	IN	IE	IL	J	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>																
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	Hindi	English	Hebrew	Japanese	Korean	Latvian	Lithuanian	Spanish	Dutch	Norwegian	Filipino	Polish	Portuguese	Russian	Slovak	Slovenian
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>	Marathi	Gaeilge	Arabic			Russian					Cebuano					
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>	Gujarati		Russian								Iluko					
<b>Language 4 (L4)</b>											Hiligaynon					
<b>Language 5 (L5)</b>											Maguindanaon					
<b>Language 6 (L6)</b>											Bicolano					
<b>Language 7 (L7)</b>											Waray					
<i>Was the questionnaire pre-tested?</i>																
<b>Yes</b>	L1-L10				X		X	X					X		X	
<b>No</b>		L2		X		X			X	X	L1-L7	X		X		X
<b>Not applicable</b>			L1													
<i>Were there any questions... which caused problems when translating?</i>																
<b>Yes</b>																
<b>No</b>	L1-L10	L2	L1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	L1-L7	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Not applicable</b>																

**Translation (continued)**

	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Language(s) of the fielded module</i>								
<b>Language 1 (L1)</b>	English	Spanish	Swedish	German	Chinese	Turkish	English	Spanish
<b>Language 2 (L2)</b>	Afrikaans			French			Spanish	
<b>Language 3 (L3)</b>	Zulu			Italian				
<b>Language 4 (L4)</b>	Tsonga							
<b>Language 5 (L5)</b>	Tswana							
<b>Language 6 (L6)</b>	Xhosa							
<b>Language 7 (L7)</b>								
<i>Was the questionnaire pre-tested?</i>								
<b>Yes</b>				L1-L3	X	X	L2	X
<b>No</b>	L1-L6	X	X					
<b>Not applicable</b>							L1	
<i>Were there any questions... which caused problems when translating?</i>								
<b>Yes</b>							L2 <sup>US</sup>	
<b>No</b>	L1-L6	X	X	L1-L3	X	X		X
<b>Not applicable</b>							L1	

<sup>US</sup> There are always words and phrases that are more difficult to translate. These are discussed until a consensus emerges on what is the optimal translation.

### Survey Context

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE
<i>How was the ISSP module fielded?</i>																			
<b>Individual survey</b>	X					X						X	X					X	
<b>Larger survey:</b>																			
- with ISSP at start		X	X						X		X					X	X		X
- with ISSP in middle				X	X		X	X		X					X				
- with ISSP at end														X					

Survey Context (continued)

	IL	JP	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE	
<i>How was the ISSP module fielded?</i>																							
<b>Individual survey</b>		X				X		X		X						X	X						
<b>Larger survey:</b>																							
- with ISSP at start				X							X				X			X	X				X
- with ISSP in middle	X		X		X		X						X						X	X			
- with ISSP at end									X			X		X							X		

## Question Coverage and Order

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL	JP
<i>Were the ISSP questions asked in prescribed order?</i>																					
<b>Yes</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>No</b>								X													
<i>Were all the core ISSP items included?</i>																					
<b>Yes, all included</b>	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	
<b>No, not all included:</b>																					
- from module																					
- background items			X <sup>AT</sup>								X <sup>DK</sup>					X <sup>HU</sup>					X <sup>JP</sup>

<sup>AT</sup> Age of partner and Duration of partnership omitted by mistake.

<sup>DK</sup> NSUP, PARTLIV, TYPORG1, EMPREL: If you are working for own family's business', SPEMPREL: If you are working for own family's business

<sup>HU</sup> The questionnaire did not include: Father's country of birth; Mother's country of birth; the question: Are you self-employed; Old-age/widowed pensioner or disabled pensioner; Partners year of birth.

<sup>JP</sup> Background question on Ethnicity was omitted because ethnicity is a sensitive issue in Japan.



**Question Coverage and Order (continued)**

	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Were the ISSP questions asked in prescribed order?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
<b>No</b>			X <sup>LT</sup>														X <sup>TW</sup>			
<i>Were all the core ISSP items included?</i>																				
<b>Yes, all included</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X				X
<b>No, not all included:</b>																				
- from module							X <sup>PH</sup>				X <sup>SK</sup>									
- background items										X <sup>RU</sup>							X <sup>TW</sup>	X <sup>TR</sup>	X <sup>US</sup>	

<sup>LT</sup> Questions R32, N33, and N34 were asked together with the background questions which concerned the respondent's partner.

<sup>PH</sup> N 33 Age of current spouse/partner: parallel to AGE (If steady partner – including spouse) When was your spouse/partner born? and N 34 Duration of current relationship: (If steady partner – including spouse) How long have you and your spouse/steady partner been living together?, both omitted by mistake.

<sup>RU</sup> BVQ\_27 accidentally omitted.

<sup>SK</sup> Q1 of ISSP 2011 was asked within the ISSP 2012 part of the questionnaire which followed after ISSP 2011 questions.

<sup>TW</sup> The question order was changed to incorporate question items from the TSCS Gender Roles module.

Variables PARTLIV & PARTY\_LR were not included in the questionnaire. Party affiliation (left-right) does not fit Taiwan's political situation

<sup>TR</sup> HOMPOP, HHCHILDR, HHTODD, nat\_RINC, nat\_INC questions were mistakenly erased from the questionnaire before it was printed.

<sup>US</sup> NSUP, SPWRKSUP, TOPBOT, TYPORG1 omitted by mistake.

### Sampling

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL
<i>The sample was designed to be representative of...</i>																				
<b>...only adult citizens of country</b>		X	X			X	X	X	X	X		X						X		X
<b>...adults of any nationality</b>	X			X	X						X		X	X	X	X	X		X	
<i>Was your sample designed to be representative of adults living in...</i>																				
<b>...<u>private</u> accommodation <u>only</u></b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
<b>...<u>private &amp; institutional</u> accommodation</b>											X						X			
<i>Lower age cut-off</i>																				
<b>18</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	
<b>17</b>																				
<b>16</b>																				X
<b>15</b>												X					X			

Sampling (continued)

	JP	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE	
<i>The sample was designed to be representative of...</i>																						
<b>...only adult citizens of country</b>		X			X			X			X	X				X		X	X			
<b>...adults of any nationality</b>	X		X	X		X	X		X	X			X	X	X		X			X	X	
<i>Was your sample designed to be representative of adults living in...</i>																						
<b>...<u>private</u> accommodation only</b>		X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>...<u>private &amp; institutional</u> accommodation</b>	X					X	X															
<i>Lower age cut-off</i>																						
<b>19</b>																	X					
<b>18</b>		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X		
<b>17</b>																						X
<b>16</b>	X													X								

## Sampling

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL
<i>Was there an upper age cut-off?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>																				
<b>Age</b>											79	74								
<b>No</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<i>Did you use any variables for stratification?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>	X <sup>AR</sup>	X	X			X	X	X	X	X <sup>CZ</sup>	X <sup>DK</sup>	X <sup>FI</sup>		X <sup>DE</sup>	X <sup>GB</sup>	X <sup>HU</sup>	X <sup>IS</sup>	X <sup>IN</sup>		X <sup>IL</sup>
<b>No</b>				X	X								X						X	
<i>How many stages does your sampling design have?</i>																				
<b>One stage</b>		X		X		X					X	X								
<b>Two stages</b>			X										X	X		X			X	
<b>Three stages</b>	X				X		X		X						X					
<b>Four or more stages</b>								X		X								X		X

<sup>AR</sup> Sampling design is based on the smallest area unit in 2001 National Census, named 'radio censal'. Each radio censal has around 300 households. The total population of each radio censal was used as its measure of size. The list of radios censales was ordered by their "household material deprivation index" (according to 2001 National Census), an indicator of poverty level.

<sup>CZ</sup> Region (14 categories: NUTS 3) x settlement size (5 categories: 0-999 residents, 1 000 – 4 999 res., 5 000 – 19 999 res., 20 000 – 99 999 res., 100 000 res.)

<sup>DK</sup> In addition to the random representative sample of 2.352 named individuals drawn from the Danish population register, an extra 148 random sample of men between the ages of 18 to 35 was added.

<sup>FI</sup> Implicit geographic stratification (systematic random sampling) variables: residence code, gender, 10 year age groups

<sup>DE</sup> Microstratification of municipalities; stratified according to federal states (Bundesländer) and smaller regional administrative districts (Regierungsbezirke); communities according to BIK regions and municipalities.

<sup>GB</sup> 37 sub-regions, population density, with variable banding used in order to create three equal sized strata per sub-region, ranking by percentage of homes that were owner-occupied.

<sup>HU</sup> Region and type of settlement

<sup>IS</sup> Outside of the capita area we used stratification around certain small towns in the rural area.

<sup>IN</sup> Multiple levels of stratification used starting with Parliamentary Area> Assembly Segment>Polling Booth>Respondent

<sup>IL</sup> Socioeconomics characteristics of statistical area, geographic region of statistical area.

<sup>JP</sup> Region, size of community and ratio of employed population in tertiary industry.

Sampling (continued)

	JP	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE	
<i>Was there an upper age cut-off?</i>																						
<b>Yes</b>																						
<b>Age</b>			74				79															
<b>No</b>	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<i>Did you use any variables for stratification?</i>																						
<b>Yes</b>	X <sup>JP</sup>		X <sup>LV</sup>	X <sup>LT</sup>	X			X <sup>PH</sup>	X <sup>PL</sup>	X <sup>PT</sup>	X		X <sup>SL</sup>	X <sup>ZA</sup>	X <sup>ES</sup>		X <sup>CH</sup>	X <sup>TW</sup>	X	X		X <sup>VE</sup>
<b>No</b>		X				X	X					X				X						
<i>How many stages does your sampling design have?</i>																						
<b>One stage</b>							X									X	X					
<b>Two stages</b>	X					X							X		X							
<b>Three stages</b>		X	X		X				X	X		X		X				X	X			X
<b>Four or more stages</b>				X				X			X									X		

<sup>JP</sup> Region, size of community and ratio of employed population in tertiary industry.

<sup>PT</sup> **NUTS II:** North, Center, Lisbon, Alentejo, Algarve. **Number of inhabitants:** less than 2.000, 2.000-9.999, 10.000-19.999, 20.000-99.999, more than 100000.

<sup>LV</sup> Riga and nine other major cities formed separate strata. The rest of the country was stratified by region (five regions) and three ranks of development for urban and rural areas within each region (for methodology of the development index see the State Regional Development Agency). A total of 39 strata were formed.

<sup>LT</sup> Age, gender and sttlement size.

<sup>MX</sup> The survey was based upon a multi stage stratified random sample through one partition of the universe of the national population aged 18 years and over, and three selection stages for the urban and the rural cases.

<sup>PH</sup> The Philippines was divided into four study areas: National Capital Region (NCR), Balance Luzon, Visayas, and Mindanao. The sample size for each of the four study areas was 300 voting-age adults, with 150 males and 150 females.

<sup>PL</sup> Place of living (voivodship), size of the place of living (the capital of the voivodship, other cities, villages).

<sup>SL</sup> CEA (Cluster Enumeration Areas) as PSU on the first stage of sampling are stratified according to 12 statistical regions \* 6 types of settlement.

<sup>ZA</sup> Province, race, gender and geotype.

<sup>ES</sup> Spanish regions and size of municipalities.

<sup>CH</sup> The gross sample was retrieved by the SFSO, using a random procedure inside each of the 7 regions of Switzerland (NUTS 2). The regional stratification is proportional.

<sup>TW</sup> Population density, education level, proportion of population over and under 65, industrial employment and service sector employment.

<sup>VE</sup> Geographical stratification by states and by socio-economic level within states.

### Sampling

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL	JP
<i>Does your sampling frame consist of...</i>																					
<b>Addresses</b>										X					X	X <sup>HU</sup>			X	X	
<b>Households</b>								X	X				X								
<b>Named individuals (target persons)</b>		X	X	X <sup>BE</sup>							X	X		X			X	X			X
<b>Named individuals (not the target persons)</b>						X															
<b>Areas</b>	X				X																
<b>Something else</b>							X <sup>CL</sup>												X <sup>IE</sup>		
<i>What selection method was used to identify a respondent?</i>																					
<b>Kish grid</b>							X	X		X					X	X				X	
<b>Birthday method</b>	X				X	X			X				X						X		
<b>Quota</b>																					
<b>Other</b>												X <sup>FI</sup>					X <sup>IS</sup>				
<b>Not applicable</b>		X	X	X							X			X				X			X

<sup>BE</sup> The Research Centre of the Flemish Government and the Walloon Institute of assessment, forecasting and statistic are authorized to use the National Register. The register contains information about gender, age, nationality, name, address of target person, name of partner and household composition.

<sup>CL</sup> The sampling frame consists of a cumulative listing of population by region, province, borough, district, locality and blocks (in urban areas) or entities (in rural areas). This listing was prepared using the most reliable digital information at hand, i.e., the 2002 Census data.

<sup>FI</sup> Personal Identification code in the population register.

<sup>HU</sup> We use random walking. The underlying idea of this method is that fieldworkers do not receive names and addresses, but a starting point and a route in all sample localities and a standardized procedure to select the individuals to be asked in the selected household.

<sup>IS</sup> Random selection of named individual in national register.

<sup>IE</sup> Sampling frame based on an address-only product called GeoDirectory.

### Sampling (continued)

	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE	
<i>Does your sampling frame consist of...</i>																					
<b>Addresses</b>			X		X <sup>NL</sup>					X									X	X	
<b>Households</b>	X	X									X		X								
<b>Named individuals (target persons)</b>						X		X <sup>PL</sup>				X		X	X	X <sup>CH</sup>	X				
<b>Named individuals (not the target persons)</b>																					
<b>Areas</b>				X			X		X											X	
<b>Something else</b>																					
<i>What selection method was used to identify a respondent?</i>																					
<b>Kish grid</b>				X			X						X						X	X	X
<b>Birthday method</b>	X	X	X		X				X	X	X										
<b>Quota</b>																					
<b>Other</b>																					
<b>Not applicable</b>						X		X				X		X	X	X	X				

<sup>NL</sup> A simple random sample was drawn from the complete list of addresses in the Netherlands, maintained by Cendris, a subsidiary of PostNL, the Dutch national postal agency.

<sup>PL</sup> The sample was drawn from The Common Electronic Population Evidence System - PESEL (a governmental agency).

<sup>CH</sup> Individual based register sample of the Swiss Federal Statistical Office (SFSO), containing all residents of Switzerland. This complete population register is updated every three months.

## Sampling

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL	JP
<i>Was substitution of individuals permitted at any stage of selection process or during fieldwork?</i>																					
<b>Yes</b>														X <sup>DE</sup>					X <sup>IE</sup>		
<b>No</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X

	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Was substitution of individuals permitted at any stage of selection process or during fieldwork?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>																				
<b>No</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

<sup>DE</sup> Before fieldwork started in 2012, 2 municipalities out of the selected 147 did not cooperate and did not deliver information. Thus these sample points had to be replaced by others with the same structural characteristics (same administrative district, same BIK region, and same type of community) prior to the fieldwork. Substitution of any individual case was not allowed during the fieldwork.

<sup>IE</sup> Initially, the adult in the household whose birthday comes first in the year was asked to complete the questionnaire. If that person was not available, or not interested in participating, then the adult in the household with the next birthday was asked to participate.



## Data Collection

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL	JP
<i>Data collection methods used (substantive &amp; background)?</i>																					
<b>Face-to-face</b>	X		X		X		X	X	X	X				X <sup>DE</sup>		X	X <sup>IS</sup>	X		X	
<b>Self-Completion (with interviewer involvement)</b>														X <sup>DE</sup>	X						X
<b>Self-completion by mail</b>		X		X		X															
<b>Mixed mode</b>											X <sup>DK</sup>	X <sup>FI</sup>	X <sup>FR</sup>						X <sup>IE</sup>		
<i>Length of fieldwork</i>																					
<b>2 weeks or less</b>																X					X
<b>Over 2 weeks &lt; 1 month</b>			X				X		X									X			
<b>1 month &lt; 2 mths</b>					X					X	X										
<b>2 months &lt; 3 mths</b>		X				X															
<b>3 mths or more</b>	X			X				X				X	X	X	X		X		X	X	
<i>Year of fieldwork</i>																					
<b>2011</b>					X																X
<b>2012</b>	X						X	X		X		X	X	X	X						X
<b>2013</b>	X	X	X	X		X			X							X	X		X		
<b>2014</b>				X		X					X						X	X			

<sup>DK</sup> Web based (self-completion). A postal introduction letter containing a link was mailed to all respondents. If non-response, then follow up by telephone (if possible, otherwise postal) where respondents were offered to do a telephone interview (CATI) or have the link send by email. Respondents aged 66 years or older were also offered to receive a postal questionnaire.

<sup>FI</sup> 612 questionnaires mailed back, 728 online.

<sup>FR</sup> Mail with telephone recall.

<sup>DE</sup> ISSP substantive questions asked CASI; some ISSP BV are taken from ALLBUS and asked face-to-face, some ISSP BV are part of the ISSP interview.

<sup>IS</sup> Face-to-face interviews, but those who refused to take part were contacted again and offered the option to answer the questionnaire online.

<sup>IE</sup> In the first mailing, the respondents were given the choice of either filling in the enclosed questionnaire and returning it in the free-post envelope supplied or logging on to a dedicated website wherein they could access an online survey. The majority (96.5% n=1,173) opted for the mailed response compared to online responses (3.5% n=42).

**Data Collection (continued)**

	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Data collection methods used (substantive &amp; background)?</i>																				
<b>Face-to-face</b>	X	X	X	X			X	X <sup>PL</sup>	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
<b>Self-Completion (with interviewer involvement)</b>																				
<b>Self-completion by mail</b>					X	X <sup>NO</sup>									X					
<b>Telephone</b>																				
<i>Length of fieldwork</i>																				
<b>2 weeks or less</b>							X	X												X
<b>Over 2 weeks &lt; 1 month</b>			X						X											
<b>1 month &lt; 2 mths</b>		X		X							X	X		X						
<b>2 months &lt; 3 mths</b>	X					X									X		X	X		
<b>3 mths or more</b>					X				X				X			X			X	
<i>Year of fieldwork</i>																				
<b>2012</b>	X					X	X			X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	
<b>2013</b>		X	X	X	X			X					X					X		X
<b>2014</b>									X											
<b>2015</b>									X											

<sup>NO</sup> Respondents offered option of completing questionnaire on-line or by paper and pencil.

<sup>PL</sup> Substantive questions – Computer Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI) – the interviewer read the questions aloud and at the same time the respondents read questions on monitor.  
Background questions – Computer Assisted Personal interview (CAPI) where the interviewer read questions aloud

**Data Collection: Face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement**

	AR	AT	BG	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IL	JP	KR	LV
<i>Were postal or telephone components used?</i>																
<b>Yes - postal components:</b>																
- advance letter							X <sup>CZ</sup>	X	X		X			X		
- reminder & thank you letters									X							
<b>Yes - telephone components</b>		X					X <sup>CZ</sup>				X <sup>IS</sup>				X <sup>KR</sup>	
<b>No</b>	X		X	X	X	X				X		X	X			X
<i>Were incentives offered?</i>																
<b>Yes:</b>																
- to respondent					X		X	X	X			X		X	X	
- to interviewer					X		X	X		X				X	X	
<b>No</b>	X	X	X	X		X							X			X
<i>Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?</i>																
<b>Yes</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
<b>No</b>												X				

<sup>CZ</sup> Advance letters describing the research and asking for cooperation were mailed to the selected households/addresses. Contacting the randomly selected respondents by telephone was used as a method for back-check control of the interviews.

<sup>IS</sup> Interviews were pre-booked by phone where a telephone number was available.

<sup>KR</sup> Interviewers often made telephone calls to the respondents to explain why and how they want to conduct the interview as well as to make interview schedules.

**Data Collection: Face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement (continued)**

	LT	MX	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Were postal or telephone components used?</i>															
<b>Yes - postal components:</b>															
- advance letter				X <sup>PL</sup>	X <sup>PT</sup>			X		X	X <sup>CH</sup>	X		X	
- reminder & thank you letters															
<b>Yes - telephone components</b>			X		X			X			X <sup>CH</sup>	X		X	
<b>No</b>	X	X				X	X		X				X		X
<i>Were incentives offered?</i>															
<b>Yes:</b>															
- to respondent											X	X		X	
- to interviewer				X			X								
<b>No</b>	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X			X		X
<i>Were interviewers paid according to realized cases?</i>															
<b>Yes</b>			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
<b>No</b>	X	X												X	X

<sup>PT</sup> A contact letter informing about the survey aims, the leading institution and the fieldwork company, as well as a leaflet with more detailed information, including the mode of selection of the respondent was delivered a the first contact with the household. Telephone: interview appointment (only after a personal contact with the household).

<sup>PL</sup> An advance letter was sent to announce the interviewer's visit.

<sup>CH</sup> All sample units received an advance letter with an information flyer. A second letter was used to announce the eventual refusal conversion or a re-contact by telephone. If no contact could be established after 5 face-to-face contact attempts, all sample units with fixed-line telephone number were contacted by telephone to fix an appointment for the face-to-face interview.

**Data Collection: Face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement**

	AR	AT	BG	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IL	JP	KR	LV
<i>Which of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address or household?</i>																
<b>Call at different time of day</b>		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
<b>Call on different days in week</b>	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
<b>None of these</b>												X				
<i>Were a minimum number of calls required?</i>																
<b>Yes:</b>																
<b>Minimum number of required calls</b>	2	5	3	3	6	5	4	4	6	3		3	4	3	5	3
<b>No</b>											X					
<i>Were any interviews supervised?</i>																
<b>Yes:</b>																
<b>Approximate proportion (%)</b>			5	21	40				10			10			5	
<b>No</b>	X	X				X	X	X		X	X		X	X		X

**Data Collection: Face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement (continued)**

	LT	MX	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Which of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address or household?</i>															
<b>Call at different time of day</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
<b>Call on different days in week</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
<b>None of these</b>															X
<i>Were a minimum number of calls required?</i>															
<b>Yes:</b>															
<b>Minimum number of required calls</b>	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	5	3	4	5	3	3		3
<b>No</b>														X <sup>US</sup>	
<i>Were any interviews supervised?</i>															
<b>Yes:</b>															
<b>Approximate proportion (%)</b>		20	20		5				10			<1	15	5	25
<b>No</b>	X			X		X	X	X		X	X				

<sup>US</sup> GSS has no set minimum, but over the six month field period as many attempts are made as are needed for each individual case.

**Data Collection: Face-to-face and self-administered with some interviewer involvement**

	AR	AT	BG	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IL	JP	KR	LV
<i>Were any interviews back-checked?</i>																
<b>Yes:</b>																
<b>Approximate proportion (%)</b>	20	5	5	47	60	20	30	100	10	20	5		30	25	100	10
<b>No</b>												X				

	LT	MX	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Were any interviews back-checked?</i>															
<b>Yes:</b>															
<b>Approximate proportion (%)</b>	10	5	20	5	60	15	20	60	10	7	40	33	38	20	25
<b>No</b>															

**Data Collection: Mail**

	<b>AU</b>	<b>BE</b>	<b>CA</b>	<b>DK</b>	<b>FI</b>	<b>FR</b>	<b>IE</b>	<b>NL</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>SE</b>
<i>Were any contacts made by telephone or interviewer?</i>										
<b>Yes:</b>										
- precontacts by telephone						X				
- reminders by telephone			X	X		X				X
<b>No</b>	X	X			X		X	X	X	
<i>What was sent out in the first mailing?</i>										
<b>Questionnaire</b>		X	X			X	X		X	
<b>Data protection information</b>		X	X	X	X	X			X	
<b>Explanatory letter</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Incentive</b>				X						
<b>Other material</b>										
<i>What was sent out in the second mailing?</i>										
<b>Thank you and reminder combined</b>		X					X		X	
<b>Reminder sent only to non-respondents</b>				X	X		X			
<b>Questionnaire</b>	X				X			X	X	X
<b>Data protection information</b>				X	X		X		X	X
<b>Explanatory letter</b>				X	X		X	X		
<b>Incentive</b>				X						X
<b>Other material</b>					X					
<b>No second mailing</b>			X			X				



**Data Collection: Mail**

	AU	BE	CA	DK	FI	FR	IE	NL	NO	SE
<i>What was sent out in the third mailing?</i>										
<b>Questionnaire</b>		X			X		X		X	X
<b>Data protection information</b>		X			X		X		X	X
<b>Explanatory letter</b>		X			X		X		X	
<b>Incentive</b>										
<b>Other material</b>	X				X			X		
<b>No third mailing</b>			X	X		X				
<i>What was sent out in the fourth (or last) mailing?</i>										
<b>Questionnaire</b>					X				X	X
<b>Data protection information</b>					X				X	X
<b>Explanatory letter</b>					X				X	
<b>Reminder only to non-respondents</b>								X <sup>NL</sup>		
<b>Incentive</b>										
<b>Other material</b>	X	X			X					
<b>No fourth mailing</b>			X	X		X	X			

<sup>NL</sup> A total of five postings. Fifth consisted of questionnaire plus letter.

### Information on Response and Outcome Figures <sup>+</sup>

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL <sup>IL</sup>
<i>Response figures based on reported figures</i>																				
<b>Issued sample (n)</b>	3732	5000	1931	7500	2275	7000	1872	8300	3233	3230	2500	2500	7000	5103	2259	2559	2109	7055	5000	1594
<b>Ineligible (n)</b>	584	1	121	79	260	1520			142	113		3	452	444	212	352	4	399	157	58
<b>Eligible (n)</b>	3148	4999	1810	7421	2015	5480	1872	8300	3091	3117	2500	2497	6548	4659	2047	2207	2105	6656	4843	1536
<b>- refusal (n)</b>	1214	689	343	59	522	75	142	1106	1874	711	465	12	39	2252	701	768	526	1295	66	284
<b>- non-contact (n)</b>	957	2676	285	5006	463	4397	99	925	194	258	448	1142	4100	313	104	230	195	3699	3529	187
<b>- other unproductive (n)</b>		22		154	27	36	67	323	23	344	184	172		328	292	197	212	2	33	40
<b>Interviews (n)</b>	977	1612	1182	2202	1003	972	1564	5946	1000	1804	1403	1171	2409	1766	950	1012	1172	1660	1215	1025
<b>Response Rate (%)</b>	31.0	32.2	65.3	29.7	49.8	17.7	83.5	71.6	32.4	57.9	56.1	46.9	36.8	37.9	46.4	45.9	55.7	24.9	25.1	66.7

<sup>+</sup> for calculation of response figures, see appendix, p. 43.

<sup>IL</sup> These figures pertain to interviews in Jewish and Mixed (Jewish-Arab) communities. In the case of additional 195 interviews conducted in small Arab communities there was no sampling list and we have no information on response rates.

**Information on Response and Outcome Figures (continued)<sup>+</sup>**

	JP	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT <sup>PT</sup>	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE	
<i>Response figures based on reported figures</i>																						
<b>Issued sample (n)</b>	1800	2500	1951	4235	1746	4500	3800	2874	2640	2694	3021	2544	1800	3500	4000	1995	2406	4104	3980	2114	1300	
<b>Ineligible (n)</b>	22	38	188	115		97	94		21	263	112	148	44	397	424	39	37	174	680	290	171	
<b>Eligible (n)</b>	1778	2462	1763	4120	1746	4403	3706	2874	2619	2431	2909	2396	1756	3103	3576	1956	2369	3930	3300	1824	1129	
<b>- refusal (n)</b>	204	392	304	886	75	578	57	228	558	343	869	946	411	368	415	166	706	1059	1184	383	23	
<b>- non-contact (n)</b>	113	221	445	340	104	2516	2113	1212	855	765	426	257	173	39	402	662	171	713	411	43	74	
<b>- other unproductive(n)</b>	249	453	14	1707	40		92	234	91	322	89	65	138	149	164	68	255	86	85	96	35	
<b>Interviews (n)</b>	1212	1396	1000	1187	1527	1315	1444	1200	1115	1001	1525	1128	1034	2547	2595	1060	1237	2072	1620	1302	997	
<b>Response Rate (%)</b>	68.2	56.7	56.7	28.8	87.5	29.8	39.0	41.8	42.6	41.2	52.4	47.1	58.9	82.1	72.6	54.2	52.2	52.7	49.1	71.4	88.3	

<sup>+</sup> for calculation of response figures, see appendix, p. 43.

<sup>PT</sup> Due to fieldwork quality problems detected during supervision, several interviews were invalidated, leading to a total of 898 interviews. In order to achieve the minimum required of 1000 interviews a new company carried out 103 interviews. These 103 interviews were collected in the original localities where interviews were eliminated. A quota sampling procedure was exceptionally used, considering Age (18-34; 35-54; 55 and +) and Sex and the selection of respondents was made in order to correct the systematic known deviations of the sample. Only residents were considered eligible. The 103 cases have been added in the response figure.

**Data**

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL	JP
<i>Were any measures of coding reliability employed?</i>																					
<b>Yes</b>		X			X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X
<b>No</b>	X		X	X		X					X					X	X				
<i>Was the keying of the data verified?</i>																					
<b>Yes:</b>																					
<b>Approximate proportion (%)</b>	20	75		50	10		100	100	30	100		1			100			10	X <sup>IE</sup>	100	100
<b>No</b>			X			X					X		X	X		X	X				
<i>Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?</i>																					
<b>Yes</b>		X		X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
<b>No</b>			X			X					X										
<b>No derived variables</b>	X																			X	

<sup>IE</sup> 7% were verified for 100% of the questions and 50% for 15% of the questions,

Data (continued)

	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Were any measures of coding reliability employed?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X
<b>No</b>					X				X							X <sup>CH</sup>			X <sup>US</sup>	
<i>Was the keying of the data verified?</i>																				
<b>Yes:</b>																				
<b>Approximate proportion (%)</b>	100		10	100		10	100				25	100	100	100	10		2	20		40
<b>No</b>		X			X			X	X	X						X <sup>CH</sup>			X <sup>US</sup>	
<i>Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
<b>No</b>					X															
<b>No derived variables</b>											X									X

<sup>CH</sup> CAPI: these questions do not apply.

<sup>US</sup> Not possible or not relevant with CAPI.

**Data**

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL	JP	
<i>Data checks/edits on:</i>																						
<b>- filters</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>- logic or consistency</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
<b>- ranges</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>None</b>											X											
<i>Were data errors corrected?</i>																						
<b>Yes:</b>																						
- individually	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
- automatically	X	X			X			X		X		X			X	X						X
<b>No</b>											X											
<i>Were the data weighted or post-stratified?</i>																						
<b>Yes</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		
<b>No</b>											X			X							X	X

Data (continued)

	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Data checks/edits on:</i>																				
<b>- filters</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>- logic or consistency</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
<b>- ranges</b>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<i>Were data errors corrected?</i>																				
<b>Yes:</b>																				
- individually	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
- automatically		X								X	X	X		X				X	X	
<b>No</b>																				
<i>Were the data weighted or post-stratified?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>		X	X		X		X	X	X	X	X		X			X	X		X	X
<b>No</b>	X			X		X						X		X	X			X		

### Documentation

	AR	AU	AT	BE	BG	CA	CL	CN	HR	CZ	DK	FI	FR	DE	GB	HU	IS	IN	IE	IL	JP
<i>Is a national methods report available for your study?</i>																					
<b>Yes</b>		X	X	X			X	X						X		X		X			
<b>No</b>	X				X	X			X	X	X	X	X		X		X		X	X	X

	KR	LV	LT	MX	NL	NO	PH	PL	PT	RU	SK	SL	ZA	ES	SE	CH	TW	TR	US	VE
<i>Is a national methods report available for your study?</i>																				
<b>Yes</b>	X		X			X	X	X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	
<b>No</b>		X		X					X			X	X							X



## Appendix

<b>Information about the other study(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name).</b>		
1	Australia	The ISSP module was part of the Australian Survey of Social Attitudes 2012.
2	Austria	The ISSP module was fielded as part of a research project on family farming in Austria. The questionnaire included a number of items on leisure time activities, social networks, health and other issues. These questions, as well as a part of the ISSP-2012 questions, were also fielded on a parallel sample of 250 farmers and farmers' wives.
3	Belgium	Together with the ISSP module 2013 on national identity. The first part of the questionnaire (A1 – A10) contained a first part of the background variables, followed by the ISSP modules Family and Changing Gender Roles and National Identity. The last part of the questionnaire (D1 – D22) contained the other background variables.
4	Bulgaria	The Bulgarian questionnaire has the following structure : A1 – A42 - ISSP Module Environment'2010 B1 – B30 - ISSP Module Health'2011 C1 – C15 - BG additional questions on Health R1 – R34 - ISSP Module Gender and Family'2012 L1 – L13, D34 - Bulgarian General Social Survey T3 – T4 - ISSP settlements info
5	Chile	The ISSP 2012 module was carried out together with questions relating to Chilean political, economic and social attitudes.
6	China	The ISSP 2012 module was fielded with the Chinese General Social Survey(CGSS).
7	Croatia	The ISSP 2012 module was fielded within two research projects. First research project was on the topic of inequality while the second research project was concerning attitudes to environmental issues.
8	Czech Republic	ISSP 2011 on Health and ISSP 2012 on Family and Gender Roles were fielded together. Several Czech specific questions were attached at the end of each of the modules.
9	Denmark	ISSP 2011 and ISSP 2012 were fielded in the same questionnaire. The order was: ISSP 2012, background variables, ISSP 2011.
10	Germany	ISSP 2012 was fielded with German General Survey 2012 (ALLBUS). Main topics: Religion and world view; Gender roles attitudes, Attitudes towards abortion.
11	Great Britain	ISSP 2012 was fielded with British Social Attitudes 2012.
12	Hungary	TARKI Omnibus 2013/03
13	Iceland	ISSP modules 2012 and 2013 were combined in one survey.
14	Ireland	This module was fielded simultaneously with the ISSP national Identity III (2013) module. The research team added a further seven questions: two regarding health; three on bilingualism and two on political attitudes and beliefs.
15	Israel	The ISSP 2012 module was conducted together with the ISSP 2011 module.
16	Korea South	Four topical modules – 2012 ISSP 'Family and Changing Gender RoleIV', 2012 EASS 'Network Social Capital in East Asia', 'Internet/SNS Usage and Addition in Korea' and 'Mental Health in Korea' were fielded as part of the 2012 KGSS (Korean General Social Survey).
17	Latvia	The ISSP 2012 module was conducted together with the ISSP 2013 module.
18	Lithuania	ISSP 2012 was fielded with Monitoring of Social Problems (with special emphasis on societal well being, medicalization and social networks).

<b>Information about the other study(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g., topic, survey name).</b>		
19	Netherlands	The ISSP 2009 and 2012 modules were combined in a single questionnaire: “ISSP-NL 2009 & 2012: Family and Social Inequality”.
20	Philippines	The ISSP 2012 was fielded along with some questions on economic trend indicators, voter preferences for 2013 elections, reasons for voting or not voting for selected candidates, what would change voter’s mind and vote for (candidate), disposition of voting for selected personalities for the 2013 elections, awareness and trust ratings of personalities/groups/institutions and political parties, reasons for trust and distrust in (candidate), awareness and likeability of the advertisement of (candidate), endorsement by selected personalities, agreement/disagreement on issues on elections, attitudes towards command voting, reproductive health bill, interest in the elections and participation in the coming elections.
21	Portugal	Two ISSP modules were fielded: Family and Gender Roles and National Identity. Extra questions (ticks) directly related with these modules were also collected (31 About Family and Gender Roles and 29 about National Identity). 48 other final questions (ticks) on family habits and consuming behavior were also fielded after the ISSP modules.
22	Russia	The ISSP 2012 module was part of a regular omnibus survey, “Vestnik”.
23	Slovak Republic	The ISSP modules 2011 and 2012 were fielded together. The modules appeared in the questionnaire in the following order: ISSP 2011 and ISSP 2012 questions. Some country specific questions were added. Q1 of ISSP 2011 was asked within the ISSP 2012 part of the questionnaire, which followed after ISSP 2011 questions.
24	Slovenia	The ISSP 2011 module was fielded together with: National social survey on national and international security and International post-election survey CSES.
25	South Africa	The ISSP 2012 survey was fielded as part of the South African Social Attitude Survey (SASAS), which consisted of three questionnaires. The ISSP module was fielded in Questionnaire 3. Other topics in the questionnaire were: Perceptions of poverty and inequality; dignity, poverty and social grants; necessities for children; family cohesion; community perceptions and involvement; views on marriage and relationships; views on children and parenting; relationships with partners.
26	Switzerland	The ISSP 2012 was part of MOSAiCH 2013, which included both ISSP 2012 (Family and Gender Roles IV) and 2013 (National Identity III) and a national module on political attitudes towards Switzerland and its institutions.
27	Taiwan	The ISSP 2012 module was fielded with Taiwan Social Change Survey 2012, Questionnaire II Gender Roles.
28	Turkey	The ISSP 2012 module was fielded as part of a survey that also included questions on conservatism, economic evaluations and political preferences.
29	USA	The ISSP 2012 module was fielded as part of the biennial General Social Survey. The GSS covers a very wide range of topics.
30	Venezuela	ISSP 2012 module included in a survey on violence and victimization.

### Calculation of Response Figures Based on Reported Figures

Report Category	Face-to-Face Questionnaire Category	Mail Questionnaire Category
Issued sample (n)	Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size)	Total number of starting or issued names/addresses (gross sample size)
Ineligible (n)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Addresses which could not be traced at all/ selected respondents who could not be traced</li> <li>- Addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Addresses which could not be traced</li> <li>- Addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings</li> <li>- Details of address wrong (street numbers, post codes, etc.)</li> <li>- Addresses with no letter boxes</li> <li>- Selected respondent unknown at address</li> <li>- Selected respondent moved, no forwarding address</li> <li>- Selected respondent deceased</li> </ul>
Eligible (n)	Issued sample minus Ineligible	Issued sample minus Ineligible
Refusal (n)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Personal refusal at selected address</li> <li>- Proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)</li> <li>- Other refusal at selected address</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Refusal by selected respondent</li> <li>- Refusal by another person</li> <li>- Implicit refusals (empty envelopes, empty questionnaires returned)</li> </ul>
Non-contact (n)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No contact at selected address</li> <li>- No contact with selected person</li> </ul>	No contact
Other unproductive (n)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Selected respondent too sick / incapacitated to participate</li> <li>- Selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey</li> <li>- Selected respondent away during survey period</li> <li>- Other type of unproductive reaction</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Selected respondent too sick / incapacitated to participate</li> <li>- Selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey</li> <li>- Selected respondent away during survey period</li> <li>- Other type of unproductive reaction</li> </ul>
Completed cases (n)	Full productive interview	Completed returned questionnaires (net sample size)
Partially completed (n)	Partial productive interview	Partially completed returned questionnaires