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Documentation of Questionnaire (all waves)
### General attitudes to politics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_0010</th>
<th>Waves: 2, 4, 6, 7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Interest in politics, in general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In general terms: How interested in politics are you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extremely interested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Very interested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Moderately interested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Slightly interested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not interested at all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter redirection:**
**Coding:**
(1) Extremely interested
(2) Very interested
(3) Moderately interested
(4) Slightly interested
(5) Not interested at all
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_020</th>
<th>Waves: 1, 3, 5, 7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Satisfaction with democracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with democracy in Germany? Are you ... ?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Very satisfied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fairly satisfied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Neither/nor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fairly dissatisfied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Very dissatisfied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter redirection:**
**Coding:**
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly dissatisfied
(5) Very dissatisfied
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_030</th>
<th>Waves: 5, 7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Notion of democracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking 3</td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 2, scale ranging from 1 to 6 without numerical marking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking of endpoints: ‘1’ “Democracy is the worst conceivable state idea”, ‘6’ “Democracy is the best conceivable state idea”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**
**Question:**
How do you rate the idea of democracy compared to other state ideas?
**Coding:**
(1) 1 Democracy is the worst conceivable state idea
Democracy is the best conceivable state idea. (99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_040</th>
<th>Waves: 2,5</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Attitudes to parties in general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: DFG94-02</td>
<td>Presentation: Split items to 2 screens, scale from 0 to 5 without numerical marking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>(1) Strongly disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>(2) Rather disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>(3) Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>(4) Rather agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>(5) Strongly agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_050</th>
<th>Waves: 1,4,6,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Attitudes to politics and society in general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: DFG94-02</td>
<td>Presentation: Figure 2, if needed split to 2 screens! Five-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>(1) Strongly disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>(2) Rather disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>(3) Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>(4) Rather agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>(5) Strongly agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here you can find some statements on parties in Germany. Please state whether you agree or disagree.

A. The parties are only interested in people’s votes, not in what voters think.
B. The parties consider the state to be a self-service store.
C. Most politicians are trustworthy and honest people.
D. Citizens cannot influence politics without having good relationships to parties.
E. Even ordinary party members are able to exert influence on their parties.
F. The parties’ only concern is their power.
G. The parties differ considerably when it comes to their goals, thus providing the citizens with clear alternatives.
H. The parties take too much influence in the society.
J. Our country would be governed worse with parties having no professional politicians.
K. Most parties and politicians are corrupt.

Filter redirection:
Coding: (1) Strongly disagree (2) Rather disagree (3) Neither agree nor disagree (4) Rather agree (5) Strongly agree (99) No answer

Here are some common statements on politics and society. Please tell me, whether you agree or disagree.

A. Politicians care about what people like me think.
B. People like me have no influence on the government.
C. Principally, each democratic party should have the chance to assume government responsibilities.
D. Politics is such a complex issue that people like me cannot understand what is going on.
E. The members of the Bundestag try to get in close contact with the population.
F. Citizens can hardly influence politics.
G. Everybody should have the right to defend his own view even if the majority dissents on that aspect.
H. I feel I could play an active role in a group dealing with political issues.
J. A democracy will not work without a political opposition.
K. I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
L. In a democracy it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Filter redirection:
Coding: (1) Strongly disagree (2) Rather disagree (3) Neither agree nor disagree (4) Rather agree (5) Strongly agree (99) No answer
Variable: KPX_060
Waves: 3
Topic: General attitudes to politics
Item: Battery of extremism 1
Origin: DFG94-02
Presentation: Figure 2, five-point-scale without numerical marking.

Split:
Filter:
Question:
Here you can find several statements to which some people agree and some don’t. Please tell me your view on these issues.
A I am proud to be German.
B The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
C We should finally dare to have strong national feelings for our country.
D The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.
E Under certain circumstances a dictatorship is the better form of government.
F The GDR had more good than bad aspects.
G Socialism is a good idea which has just been poorly implemented in the past.
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_070
Waves: 3,7
Topic: General attitudes to politics
Item: Other forms of participation, retrospective
Origin: Q09 modified
Presentation: Figure 2
Split:
Filter:
Question:
If you think back over the last twelve months, did you do any of the following things to exercise political influence and to assert your point of view?
A I contributed to a citizens’ initiative.
B I participated in a legal demonstration.
C I participated in a violent demonstration.
D I blocked the traffic.
E I tried to get support from a party/a politician.
F I signed a petition.
G I participated in an election campaign of a party/politician.
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_080
Waves: 2
Topic: General attitudes to politics
Item: Other forms of participation, prospective
Origin: DFG94-02
Presentation: Figure 2, five-point-scale without numerical marking.
Split:
Filter:
Question:
If you aimed to exercise political influence in an issue of importance to you and express your point of view, which of the following things would you do?
A Contribute to a citizens’ initiative.
B Participate in a legal demonstration.
C Participate in a demonstration even if it might be violent.
D Block the traffic.
E Try to get support from a party/politician.
F Sign a petition.
G Participate in an election campaign of a party/politician.
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) I would definitely not do
(2) I would likely not do
(3) I would possibly do
(4) I would likely do
(5) I would definitely do
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_090</th>
<th>Waves: 2,4,6,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Political knowledge: 5%-threshold, difficult</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Presentation: Text field permitting several lines to be written in. With “Don’t know”-category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How many percent of the votes must a party gain to be definitely represented in the Bundestag?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:
Coding:
[Coding by institute ]
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_100</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Political knowledge: Secrecy of the ballot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What does the term “secrecy of the ballot” mean?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The secrecy of the ballot does not exist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- You are not to talk about your vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- You don’t know which person you voted for because you may only vote for a party.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Nobody can figure out who you voted for unless you tell it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Don’t know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) The secrecy of the ballot does not exist.
(2) You are not to talk about your vote.
(3) You don’t know which person you voted for because you may only vote for a party.
(4) Nobody can figure out who you voted for unless you tell it.
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_110</th>
<th>Waves: 1,4,6,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Political knowledge: First/second vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Presentation: Figure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>You have two votes in the federal election, a first vote and a second vote. Which one is more important, thus determining how strongly the party is represented in the Bundestag?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The first vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The second vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Both votes are equally important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Don’t know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) The first vote
(2) The second vote
(3) Both votes are equally important
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_120</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Political knowledge: Number of federal states</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong> DFG94-02</td>
<td><strong>Split:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong> Text field only permitting figures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong> Do you know how many federal states in total the Federal Republic of Germany consists of today if you add up the old and the new federal states?</td>
<td>XX Federal states in total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 Don’t know</td>
<td><strong>Filter redirection:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong> (XX) Coding by institute.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(998) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(999) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_130</th>
<th>Waves: 3,6</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Political knowledge: Electoral law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>German</strong></td>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong> Cf. Figure 1;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Split:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong> Who elects the Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The Federal Council (Bundesrat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The Federal Assembly (Bundesversammlung)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The Federal Parliament (Bundestag)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter redirection:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong> (1) The Federal Council (Bundesrat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) The Federal Assembly (Bundesversammlung)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) The Federal Parliament (Bundestag)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) The people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(98) Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_140</th>
<th>Waves: 3,6</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Political knowledge: The Federal Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong> Tracking 2</td>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong> Figure 1;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Split:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong> Do you happen to know who currently holds the majority in the Federal Council (Bundesrat)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The states (Bundesländer) led by SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The states (Bundesländer) led by CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- None of the parties holds the majority in the Federal Council.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) The states (Bundesländer) led by SPD
(2) The states (Bundesländer) led by CDU/CSU
(3) None of the parties holds the majority in the Federal Council
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_141</th>
<th>Waves: 6,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Political knowledge: Overhang seats, filter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Figure 1;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Have you ever heard of overhang seats?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection: If 1 -> KPX_142
If 2 or no answer is given -> KPX_2640 (wave 6); End of interview (wave 7)
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_142</th>
<th>Waves: 6,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Overhang seats, evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Figure 1;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Only if 1 at KPX_141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>The electoral system for the federal election is to be altered over the next years. Should overhang seats in your opinion then still exist or not?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- I don’t care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection: Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(3) I don’t care
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_143</th>
<th>Waves: 6,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Overhang seats, statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Figure 2;</td>
<td>pre-election A-C, post-election: only A and C!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Only if 1 at KPX_141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>To what extent do you agree with the following statements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(A) Especially the “big” parties benefit from overhang seats.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(B) Overhang seats will be the decisive factor for the formation of government at the upcoming federal election.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(C) Overhang seats distort the electoral will.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_144
Waves: 6,7  Topic: General attitudes to politics  Item: Overhang seats, importance
Origin: new
Presentation: Figure 1;
Split: Filter: Only if 1 at KPX_141
Question:
Have overhang seats ever been the decisive factor for the formation of government after federal elections?
- Yes
- No

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_145
Waves: 6,7  Topic: General attitudes to politics  Item: Political knowledge: Overhang seats
Origin: new
Presentation: Figure 1;
Split: Filter: Only if 1 at KPX_141
Question:
What does the term “overhang seats” mean? Overhang mandates …
- are the seats in the Bundestag the party was able to gain compared to the previous election.
- are the seats the government does not necessarily need to hold the majority in the Bundestag.
- result by the fact that not all the seats in the Bundestag can be allocated due to a low turnout of voters.
- result by the fact that a party receives more constituency seats in a Bundesland than it would get according to the second votes.
- Don’t know

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Overhang seats are the seats in the Bundestag the party was able to gain compared to the previous election.
(2) Overhang seats are the seats the government does not necessarily need to hold the majority in the Bundestag.
(3) Overhang seats result by the fact that not all seats in the Bundestag can be allocated due to a low turnout of voters.
(4) Overhang seats result by the fact that a party receives more constituency seats in a Bundesland than it would get according to the second votes.
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_146a-f
Waves: 6  Topic: General attitudes to politics  Item: Overhang seats, retrospective
Origin: new
Presentation: Figure 1; Multiple answers are possible
Split: Filter: Only if 1 at KPX_141
Question:
Which party / which parties gained overhang seats at previous elections?

a) CDU/CSU  
b) SPD  
c) FDP  
d) GRÜENE  
e) DIE LINKE  
f) No party

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_147a-f</th>
<th>Waves: 6,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Overhang seats, federal election 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1; Multiple answers are possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Only if 1 at KPX_141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question pre-election:**
Which party / which parties will gain overhang seats at the federal election on September 27th?

**Question post-election:**
Which party / which parties gained overhang seats at the last federal election on September 27th?

a) CDU/CSU  
b) SPD  
c) FDP  
d) GRÜENE  
e) DIE LINKE  
f) No party

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_148</th>
<th>Waves: 6</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Overhang seats, collective rationality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Only if 1 at KPX_141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
Do you think that it is possible for voters to vote in a way that more overhang seats will result at federal elections?

- Yes  
- No

**Filter redirection:** If 1 -> KPX_149  
If 2 or no answer is given -> KPX_2640

**Coding:**
(1) Yes  
(2) No  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_149</th>
<th>Waves: 6</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Overhang seats, behavior in general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Presentation:**
Figure 1;
**Split:**
**Filter:** Only if 1 at KPX_141

**Question:**
Do you think that some voters could do so, i.e. vote in such a way that more overhang seats will result at federal elections?

- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:**
**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_150</th>
<th>Waves: 5,7</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Satisfaction with range of political offers and methods of resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question pre-election:</td>
<td></td>
<td>How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the range of political offers and methods of resolution the parties are presenting during the electoral campaign?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question post-election:</td>
<td></td>
<td>How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the range of political offers and methods of resolution the parties presented during the electoral campaign?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Very satisfied
- Fairly satisfied
- Fairly dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

**Filter redirection:**
**Coding:**
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Fairly dissatisfied
(4) Very dissatisfied
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_151</th>
<th>Waves: 6</th>
<th>Topic: General attitudes to politics</th>
<th>Item: Overhang seats, behaviorego</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Only if 1 at KPX_141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Would you vote in such a way that more overhang seats could result at federal elections?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:**
**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer
Variable: KPX_160
Waves: 2-6  Topic: General attitudes to politics  Item: Confidence in institutions

Origin: DFG94-02
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Split to two displays, no numerical description

Question:
Let’s talk about the following public institutions. Please state if you trust these institutions or not.
A  How about the Bundestag?
B  ...the Federal Constitutional Court?
C  ...federal government?
D  ...the courts?
E  ...the police?
F  ...the administration?
G  ...the churches?
H  ...the parties?
J  ...the German armed forces?
K  ...the trade unions?
L  ...the trade and employers’ associations?
M  ...the environmental groups?
N  ...the members of the German parliament?

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) I do not trust at all
(2) I rather do not trust
(3) Neither trust nor distrust
(4) I rather trust
(5) I fully trust
(99) No answer

Voting decision

Variable: KPX_170
Waves: 1-6  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Intention to vote

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Figure 1

Question:
And now let’s turn a bit more precisely to the next federal election.
When elections occur, many people participate. Others don’t make it to the polls or don't take part in the election for other reasons.
Looking at this list please say how likely it is that you will vote in the federal election on September 27th.

- I will certainly vote
- I will probably vote
- I will maybe vote
- I will probably not vote
- I will certainly not vote
- I have already cast my postal vote
- Don’t know

Filter redirection:
- Certainly vote >> continue with KPX_190 ("Voting intention")
- Probably vote >> continue with KPX_190 ("Voting intention")
- Maybe vote >> continue with KPX_190 ("Voting intention")
- Probably not vote >> continue with KPX_240/250 ("Reasons for decision not to vote")
- Certainly not vote >> continue with KPX_240/250 ("Reasons for decision not to vote")
- I have already cast my postal vote >> continue with KPX_191 ("Vote postal voter")
- Don’t know >> continue with KPX_210 ("Vote (hypothetical)")
- No answer >> continue with KPX_210 ("Vote (hypothetical")
Coding:
(1) Will certainly vote
(2) Will probably vote
(3) Will maybe vote
(4) Will probably not vote
(5) Will certainly not vote
(6) Have already cast my postal vote
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_171</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Change of intention to vote after 30 August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Strongly increased
- Rather increased
- Not changed
- Rather decreased
- Strongly decreased

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Strongly increased
(2) Rather increased
(3) Not changed
(4) Rather decreased
(5) Strongly decreased
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_172</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Change of intention to vote after televised debate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Strongly increased
- Rather increased
- Not changed
- Rather decreased
- Strongly decreased

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Strongly increased
(2) Rather increased
(3) Not changed
(4) Rather decreased
(5) Strongly decreased
(99) No answer
Variable: KPX_180
Waves: 7
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Voter turnout

Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter:
Question:
Many people didn’t make it to the polls at the federal election on September 27th or didn’t vote due to other reasons. How about you? Did you vote or not?
- Yes, I voted
- No, I did not vote

Filter redirection:
If 1 or 99 -> KPX_200; if 2 -> KPX_250
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_190
Waves: 1-6
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Voting intention

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Presentation:
Display ballot with first and second vote
"Don’t know"-category;
Description field for "other party, namely ___" --> if this was selected then the description field must be filled in (plausibility check)

Split:
Filter:
Filter of KPX_170 ("Intention to vote")

Question:
You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate from your constituency and the second is for a party. Please tell me where you will place your crosses on the ballot.

(A) First vote:
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely _____

- Do not know yet

(B) Second vote
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely _____

- Do not know yet

Filter redirection:
If a party was stated for second vote
- CDU/CSU >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- SPD >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- FDP >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- GRUENE >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- DIE LINKE >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- Other party, namely ____ >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
If “do not know yet” was selected for first and second vote >> continue with KPX_670
No answer >> continue with KPX_670

**Coding:**
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely ___ [coding by institute]
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_191  
**Waves:** 3-6  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Vote postal voter

**Origin:** RCS

**Presentation:**
Display ballot with first and second vote.
"Don’t know"-category;
Description field for "other party, namely ____" --> if this was selected then the description field must be filled in (plausibility check)

**Split:**

**Filter:**
Filter of KPX_170 ("Intention to vote"), if “6” (I have already cast my postal vote) was selected there.

**Question:**
When you cast your postal vote for the federal election you had two votes. The first vote was for a candidate from your constituency and the second for a party. Where did you place your crosses on the ballot paper?

(A) First vote:
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ______
- Don’t know

(B) Second vote
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ______
- Don’t know

**Filter redirection:**
If party was stated for second vote
- CDU/CSU >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- SPD >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- FDP >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- GRUENE >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- DIE LINKE >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- Other party, namely ___ >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")

If “Don’t know” was selected for first and second vote >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
No answer >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")

**Coding:**
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP  
(6) GRUENE  
(7) DIE LINKE  
(801) Other party, namely ___ [coding by institute]  
(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_200</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Federal election first/second vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Origin: Q09       |                        |                                         |
| Split:            |                        |                                         |
| Filter:           |                        | Filter of KPX_180 ("Turnout")          |

Presentation:
Display ballot with first and second vote (for parties and candidates please refer to "coding");  
Description field for "other party, namely ____" --> if this was selected then the description field has to be filled in (plausibility check)

Question:
You had two votes in the federal election. The first vote was for a candidate from your constituency and the second for a party. Where did you place your crosses on the ballot?

(A) First vote  
- CDU/CSU  
- SPD  
- FDP  
- GRUENE  
- DIE LINKE  
- Other party, namely _____

(B) Second vote  
- CDU/CSU  
- SPD  
- FDP  
- GRUENE  
- DIE LINKE  
- Other party, namely _____

Filter redirection:
If party was stated for "second vote"  
- CDU >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- CSU >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- SPD >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- FDP >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- GRUENE >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- DIE LINKE >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- Other party, namely ___ >> continue with KPX_260 ("Reasons for voting decision, open")
- No answer >> continue with KPX_670

Coding:  
(1) CDU/CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) GRUENE  
(7) DIE LINKE  
(801) Other party, namely ___ [coding by institute]  
(998) Don’t know  
(999) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_210</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Vote (hypothetical)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presentation:  
Cf. Figure 1:  
No ballot paper, just ask for the second vote
"Don't know"-category
Description field for "other party, namely ______":
Plausibility check if "other party, namely" was selected

Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_170 ("Intention to vote") and of KPX_240/250 ("Reasons for decision not to vote")

Question:
And which political party would you vote for, assuming you voted at all?
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ______
- Don't know

Filter redirection:
- CDU/CSU >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
- SPD >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
- FDP >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
- GRUENE >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
- DIE LINKE >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
- Other party, namely ___ >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
- Don’t know >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")
- No answer >> continue with KPX_670 ("Preferred chancellor")

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely ___ [coding by institute]
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Variable: KPX_220
Waves: 7  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Alternatively eligible party
Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: If a party was stated (second vote) at KPX_200
Presentation:
Question:
Did you perhaps consider voting for a political party other than [party from KPX_200, second vote] during the election campaign?
- Yes
- No

Filter redirection: If yes -> KPX_230
If no -> KPX_320
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_230
Waves: 7  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Which party was alternatively eligible?
Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: If yes at KPX_220
Presentation: Plausibility check for other party.
Question: Which party was that?
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely __________

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely ___ [coding by institute]
(999) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_240</th>
<th>Waves: 1</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision open</th>
<th>Item: Reasons for decision not to vote,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Of KPX_170, if “likely not to vote” or “certain not to vote” was selected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Description field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Why would you probably not take part in the election? Please state the most important reasons.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td>&gt;&gt; continue with KPX_210 “Vote (hypothetical)”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td>Coding by institute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(999) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_250</th>
<th>Waves: 2-7</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision closed</th>
<th>Item: Reasons for decision not to vote,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>of KPX_170, if “likely not to vote” or “certain not to vote” was selected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Two screens, one displaying the most important reason, the other the second most important reason. Input field for “other reason, namely ___”, with plausibility check for “other reason”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Pre-election:</td>
<td>1. Screen: Please state the most important reason for you probably not to vote.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Screen: Please state the second most important reason for you probably not to vote.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Post-election:</td>
<td>1. Screen: Please state the most important reason for not taking part in the election.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Screen: Please state the second most important reason for not taking part in the election.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items pre-election:</td>
<td>- No time/too busy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Absent/too far from polling station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- For health reasons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Not interested in politics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Don’t know who I should vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Voting does not change anything</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Disappointment /dissatisfaction with politics/politicians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- My vote does not count/too less influence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- I rarely/never vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other reason, namely _______</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Items post-election:

- No time/too busy
- Absent/too far from polling station
- For health reasons
- Not interested in politics
- Don’t know who I should vote
- Voting does not change anything
- Disappointment/dissatisfaction with politics/politicians
- My vote does not count/too less influence
- I rarely/never vote
- Other reason, namely____

Filter redirection: >>KPX_210 (Vote (hypothetical))
Coding:
(1) No time/too busy
(2) Absent/too far from polling station
(3) For health reasons
(4) Not interested in politics
(5) Don’t know who I should vote
(6) Voting does not change anything
(7) Disappointment/dissatisfaction with politics/politicians
(8) My vote does not count/too less influence
(9) I rarely/never vote
(XX) Other reason, namely [coding by institute]
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_251
Waves: 7
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Reasons for decision not to vote, battery

Origin: T7
Presentation: Cf. Figure 2, without numerical marking
Filter: Filter of KPX_180, if there abstention was stated
Question:
There are several reasons for citizens not to vote. To what extent do you personally agree with the following statements?

(A) My vote wouldn’t have changed the result at all.
(B) I simply didn’t know who to vote for.
(C) Politicians are all the same.
(D) I did not vote because my favorite party had no chance to win the election at all.
(E) I did not find the time for voting.
(F) I am not interested in politics and elections.
(G) I never participate in elections.

Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_260
Waves: 1-7
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Reasons for voting decision, open

Attention: Two different questions: one for respondents of the question “voting intention” (KPX_190) the other for respondents of the question “postal voter” (KPX_191)?
Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Filter of KPX_190 (second vote) and of KPX_191 (second vote), if party was stated;
Presentation:
Description field, open question
Question pre-election (if KPX_190):
Why would you vote for this party/these parties? Please state your most important reasons.

Question postal voting (if KPX_191):
Why did you vote for this party/these parties? Please state your most important reasons.

Question POST-ELECTION:
Why did you vote for this party/these parties? Please state your most important reasons.

Filter redirection:
Coding:
Coding by institute
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_261
Waves: 7
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Reasons for voting decision, battery

Origin: 17
Presentation:
Cf. Figure 2; without numerical marking
Filter: Filter of KPX_180, if the respondent voted.

Question:
There are many reasons for voters to make their vote decision. To what extent do you agree with the following statements concerning the federal election?

[Screen 1]
(A) I feel attached to the party I voted for.
(B) I voted for the party I have always voted for.
(C) I made my vote decision on instinct.
(D) The parties' positions on important topics were the decisive factor for me.
(E) The top candidates were the decisive factor for me.

[Screen 2]
(F) The televised debate influenced my vote decision.
(G) Surveys influenced my vote decision.
(H) I intended to allow for a particular coalition with my vote decision.
(I) The campaign of the party I voted for convinced me.
(J) Personal conversations influenced my vote decision.
(K) I wanted to teach the established parties a lesson.

Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_270
Waves: 1-6
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Certainty of voting decision

Presentation:
Cf. Figure 1;

Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_190 (second vote), if a party was stated there; not hypothetical!

Question:
How certain are you about the voting decision you just told me?
- Absolutely certain
- Fairly certain
- Fairly uncertain
- Absolutely uncertain

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
- (1) Absolutely certain
- (2) Fairly certain
- (3) Fairly uncertain
- (4) Absolutely uncertain
- (99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_271</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision after 30 August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** new

**Presentation:**
Cf. Figure 1;

**Split:**

**Filter:** Filter of KPX_394, if there 3 or 4 was answered and of KPX_170, if there not 6 (postal vote) was answered.

**Question:**
Has the certainty of your voting decision for the federal election changed due to the results of the state elections in Saarland, Thuringia, and Saxony on August 30th? The certainty of my voting decision has…

- Strongly increased
- Rather increased
- Not changed
- Rather decreased
- Strongly decreased

**Filter redirection:** If 4 or 5 → KPX_272
If 1,2,3 or 99 → KPX_1930

**Coding:**
- (1) Strongly increased
- (2) Rather increased
- (3) Not changed
- (4) Rather decreased
- (5) Strongly decreased
- (99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_272</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision after 30 August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Presentation:**
Cf. Figure 1;

**Split:**

**Filter:** Filter of KPX_271, if there 4 or 5 was answered.

**Question:**
Has your voting decision for the federal election changed due to the results of the state elections in Saarland, Thuringia, and Saxony on August 30th?

- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
- (1) Yes
- (2) No
Variable: KPX_273
Waves: 6  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Change of certainty of voting decision after televised debate

Origin: new
Presentation: Cf. Figure 1;
Split:
Filter: If the answer at KPX_1800 was 1 or 2 and of KPX_170, if there not 6 (postal vote) was selected
Question: Has the certainty of your voting decision for the federal election changed due to the televised debate between Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier on September 13th? The certainty of my voting decision has…
- Strongly increased
- Rather increased
- Not changed
- Rather decreased
- Strongly decreased

Filter redirection: If 4 or 5 \(\rightarrow\) KPX_274
If 1, 2, 3 or 99 \(\rightarrow\) KPX_380

Coding:
(1) Strongly increased
(2) Rather increased
(3) Not changed
(4) Rather decreased
(5) Strongly decreased
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_274
Waves: 6  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Change of voting decision after televised debate

Presentation: Cf. Figure 1;

Split:
Filter: Filter of KPX_273, if there 4 or 5 was answered.
Question: Has your voting decision for the federal election changed due to the televised debate between Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier on September 13th?
- Yes
- No

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_280
Waves: 7  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Voting decision (hypothetical)

Origin: DFG9402
Split:
Filter: all
Question: If next Sunday was the day of the federal election, would you take the same voting decision as last time or not?
- Take the same decision
- Take a different decision
Filter redirection: If 1 -> KPX_670
If 2 or 99 -> KPX_290

Coding:
(1) Take the same decision
(2) Take a different decision
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_290</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Voting decision (hypothetical), party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Origin: DFG9402
Split:
Filter: Of KPX_280
Presentation: ballot, plausibility check for other party

Question:
You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate from your constituency and the second for a party. If next Sunday was the day of the federal election, where would you place your crosses on the ballot?

(A) First vote:
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ______

(B) Second vote
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ______

- I would not vote

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely ___ [coding by institute]
(995) I would not vote
(999) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_300</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Ineligible parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 3,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:

Question:
Is there a party/are there several parties you would never vote for?

- Yes
- No

Filter redirection:
- Yes >> continue with KPX_310
- No or no answer >> continue with KPX_2660 (wave 3); KPX_141 (wave 6)

Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_310a-g  
**Waves:** 3, 6  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Which party is ineligible

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09  
**Split:**
**Filter:**  
Of KPX_300 ("Ineligible parties"), if the answer was (1)

**Presentation:**  
Always place the last category “other parties, namely” at the end and integrate a description field for the answers;  
Plausibility check for “other parties”!

**Question:**  
Which party is that/which parties are that?

A) CDU  
B) CSU  
C) SPD  
D) FDP  
E) GRÜENE  
F) DIE LINKE  
G) Other party/other parties, namely ______

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**  
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_320  
**Waves:** 7  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Time of voting decision

**Origin:** Q09  
**Split:**
**Filter:** If a party is stated (first or second vote) at KPX_200

**Presentation:**

**Question:**  
When did you decide how you were going to vote in the federal election?

- A long time before the election  
- A few months before the election  
- In the last few weeks before the election  
- In the last few days before the election  
- Not until election day

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**  
(1) A long time before the election  
(2) A few months before the election  
(3) In the last few weeks before the election  
(4) In the last few days before the election  
(5) Not until election day  
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_321  
**Waves:** 7  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Time of decision not to vote

**Origin:** Q09  
**Split:**
**Filter:** If 2 at KPX_180

**Presentation:**

**Question:**  
When did you decide not to vote in the federal election?

- A long time before the election  
- A few months before the election
- In the last few weeks before the election.
- In the last few days before the election.
- Not until election day.

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**

(1) A long time before the election.
(2) A few months before the election.
(3) In the last few weeks before the election.
(4) In the last few days before the election.
(5) Not until election day.
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_330</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Difficulty of voting decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: If 1 at KPX_180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Figure 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>How difficult was it for you to decide how to vote in this federal election?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Very easy
- Fairly easy
- Fairly difficult
- Very difficult

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**

(1) Very easy
(2) Fairly easy
(3) Fairly difficult
(4) Very difficult
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_331</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Difficulty of turnout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Of KPX_180, if abstention was stated there</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Figure 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>How difficult was it for you to decide whether you should vote or not in this federal election?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Very easy
- Fairly easy
- Fairly difficult
- Very difficult

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**

(1) Very easy
(2) Fairly easy
(3) Fairly difficult
(4) Very difficult
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_340</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Satisfaction with election result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Figure 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the result of the federal election?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Very satisfied
- Fairly satisfied
- Neither/nor
- Fairly dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied
Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly dissatisfied
(5) Very dissatisfied
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_341
Waves: 7
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Election result: Winner and loser
Origin: T7

Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Cf. Figure 2, without numerical marking, left endpoint: clearly to the losers, right endpoint: clearly to the winners
Question:
If you think about the result of the federal election, which parties do belong to the winners and which to the losers?

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRUENE
(F) DIE LINKE

Coding:
(1) Clearly to the losers
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5) Clearly to the winners
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_350
Waves: 1,4,7
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Recall previous federal election (first/second vote)
Origin: Tracking, Q09

Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Cf. Figure 1:
Portray a ballot with first- and second vote;
Only one common field for first and second vote for the response categories "I wasn’t entitled to vote" and "I didn’t vote" placement in the center;
If one of these answers ("I wasn’t entitled to vote" and "I didn’t vote") was provided, then no answer may be provided for the first and second vote;
with "Don’t know"-category;
If the answer "other party, namely" was given then a text has to be entered (plausibility check)

Filter:

Question pre-election:
Let us now turn to the last federal election.
Please think back to the last federal election in September 2005: Who did you vote for then?

Question post-election:
Let us now turn to the federal election 2005.
Please think back to the second last federal election in September 2005: Who did you vote for then?

(A) First vote:
  - CDU/CSU
  - SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE, PDS
- Other party, namely _____

- Don’t know
- I didn’t cast my first vote for anyone

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE, PDS
- Other party, namely _____

- Don’t know
- I didn’t cast my second vote for anyone

At first and second vote:
- I wasn’t entitled to vote
- I didn’t vote

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE, PDS
(801) Other party, namely [coding by institute]
(994) I didn’t cast a first or second vote
(995) I didn’t vote
(996) I wasn’t entitled to vote
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Note: Due to a programming error of the field institute, the answer category for second vote does not mention “Other party” in wave 4. Furthermore they failed to program the answer option “I didn’t cast a first or second vote” in wave 4 and 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_360</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Recall European elections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>With &quot;Don’t know&quot;-category; no ballot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Question:         | Let us now turn to the elections to the European Parliament on 7 June 2009. Which party did you vote for then?
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE, PDS
- Other party, namely _____

- Don’t know
- I wasn’t entitled to vote
- I did not vote

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE, PDS
(801) Other party, namely [coding by institute]
(995) I didn’t vote
(996) I wasn’t entitled to vote
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Variable: KPX_370
Waves: 3
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Recall election to the Landtag (state election)
Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: If no state was entered at question KPX_2601, please enter “the state where you live in“
Presentation:
with ”Don’t know“-category; description field at “other party, namely ___“, plausibility check!
Question:
Now let’s turn to the last election to the Landtag in [enter respondent’s state]. Which party did you vote for at the last election to the Landtag?

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE or DIE LINKE. PDS
- Other party, namely _____

- I don’t know
- I wasn’t entitled to vote
- I didn’t vote

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE, PDS
(801) Other party, namely [coding by institute]
(995) I didn’t vote
(996) I wasn’t entitled to vote
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Election campaign

Variable: KPX_380
Waves: 2-6
Topic: Election campaign
Item: Interest in the outcome of the election
Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Question:
How important are the results of the forthcoming federal election to you personally?

- Very important
- Important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Completely unimportant
(2) Fairly unimportant
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Important
(5) Very important
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_390</th>
<th>Topic: Election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Interest, election campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 2-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

How interested are you particularly in the current campaign for the forthcoming federal election?

- Very strongly
- Strongly
- Fairly strong
- Less strongly
- not at all

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Not at all
(2) Less strongly
(3) Fairly strong
(4) Strongly
(5) Very strongly
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_391</th>
<th>Topic: Election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Interesting election campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09, RCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**

How interesting or uninteresting was the election campaign for the federal election on 27 September?

- Very interesting
- Fairly interesting
- Fairly uninteresting
- Very uninteresting

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very uninteresting
(2) Fairly uninteresting
(3) Fairly interesting
(4) Very interesting
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_392</th>
<th>Topic: Election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Attention to election campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09, RCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
How closely did you follow the election campaign for the federal election?

- Very closely
- Fairly close
- Not very closely
- I didn’t follow the election campaign at all

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) I didn’t follow the election campaign at all
(2) Not very closely
(3) Fairly close
(4) Very closely
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_393  
**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Election campaign  
**Item:** Opinions on the election campaign

**Presentation:**
Figure 2; five-point-scale without numerical marking

**Filter:**

**Question:**
To what extent do you agree to the following statements?

(A) The election campaign is an event purely for show.
(B) The parties use the election campaign to debate seriously about future politics.
(C) The parties’ election campaign is too negative.
(D) The election campaign focuses too much on people.
(E) The election campaign deals insufficiently with political issues.
(F) The election campaign helps me to make up my mind about my voting decision.
(G) Telecasts on parties and the election campaign mostly make me switch the channel.

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_394  
**Waves:** 5  
**Topic:** Election campaign  
**Item:** Attention to the elections to the Landtag on 30 August

**Origin:** new

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Question:**
How closely did you follow the Landtag elections in Saarland, Thuringia, and Saxony on 30 August?

- Very closely
- Fairly closely
- Not very closely
- I did not follow the elections to the Landtag at all

**Filter redirection:** If 3 or 4 -> KPX_171  
If 1, 2 or no answer was given -> KPX_1930

**Coding:**
(1) I did not follow the elections to the Landtag at all
(2) Not very closely
(3) Fairly closely
(4) Very closely
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_395</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Helpfulness of election campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: RCS</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To what extent did the election campaign help you to make up your mind about your voting decision?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Was the election campaign...?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Very helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Fairly helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Less helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Not helpful at all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Not helpful at all  
(2) Less helpful  
(3) Fairly helpful  
(4) Very helpful  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_396</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Media reliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: RCS</td>
<td>Presentation: Figure 1, leave one line empty before the last three items</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>During the election campaign there are different ways of catching up on political parties and their policies. What would you say: which of the following sources of information did you find really helpful in making up your mind about how to vote?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The parties' campaign advertising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- News programmes on television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Articles in newspapers or magazines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Information provided on the Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Statements issued by groups and associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Discussions with relatives, friends, and acquaintances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Several or all similarly helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Another information source not mentioned here was most helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- None of the information sources was helpful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) The parties' campaign advertising  
(2) News programmes on television  
(3) Articles in newspapers or magazines  
(4) Information provided on the Internet  
(5) Statements issued by groups and associations  
(6) Discussions with relatives, friends and acquaintances  
(7) Several or all similarly helpful  
(8) Another information source not mentioned here was most helpful  
(9) None of the information sources was helpful  
(99) No answer
Variable: KPX_400  
Waves: 2-6  
Topic: Election campaign  
Item: Contact with political parties

Origin: Tracking modified
Presentation:
Figure 1;

Split:

Filter:

Question:
Did you get any information from the parties on the forthcoming federal election last week, or did you look for information on the federal election on your own?

- Yes
- No

Filter redirection:
- Yes >> continue with KPX_410
- No or no answer >> continue with KPX_360 (wave 2); KPX_2090 (wave 3a); KPX_2440 (wave 3b); KPX_2640 (wave 4); KPX_150 (wave 5); KPX_300 (wave 6)

Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_401  
Waves: 7  
Topic: Election campaign  
Item: Contact with political parties, election campaign

Origin: Tracking modified
Presentation:
Figure 1;

Split:

Filter:

Question:
Did you get any information from the parties on the forthcoming federal election during the election campaign, or did you look for information on the federal election on your own?

- Yes
- No

Filter redirection:
- Yes >> continue with KPX_410
- No >> continue with KPX_2090

Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_410  
Waves: 2-7  
Topic: Election campaign  
Item: Contact with political parties, way

Origin: Tracking
Presentation:
Figure 2;
Klickbox;
Write everything in bold {xxx}
Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_400 ("Election campaign – contact with political parties") -->(if the answer at "Election campaign, contact with political parties" was "yes").

Question:
Where and in which form did you get the information/did you look for information?

(A) I attended {election meetings or rallies}.
(B) I received {emails or text messages} from the parties or the candidates.
(C) I received {campaign flyers, handbills, leaflets or posted material} from the parties or the candidates.
(D) I saw party or candidate broadcasts on {television}.
(E) I listened to canvassing of parties or candidates on the {radio}.
(F) I saw {campaign adverts} of parties or candidates in newspapers or magazines.
(G) I saw {campaign posters} from the parties or the candidates.
(H) I visited the {election campaign stand} of parties or candidates.
(I) I got {home visits from campaigners} of the parties or the candidates.
(J) I got {phone calls} from parties or candidates.
(K) I visited a party’s or a candidate’s {website}.

Filter redirection:
If affirmed >> continue with KPX_420 "Contact with political parties, way, party"

Coding:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_420
Waves: 2-7   Topic: Election campaign   Item: Contact with political parties, way, party

Origin: Tracking
Presentation: input field for “other party, namely___”, with plausibility check
Figure 1;
Enter:
(A) I attended {election meetings or rallies}.
(B) I received {emails or text messages}.
(C) I received {campaign flyers, handbills, leaflets or posted material}.
(D) I saw party or candidate broadcasts on {television}.
(E) I listened to canvassing on the {radio}.
(F) I saw {campaign adverts} in newspapers or magazines.
(G) I saw {campaign posters}.
(H) I visited the {election campaign stand}.
(I) I received {home visits from campaigners}.
(J) I got {phone calls}.
(K) I visited a {website}.

--> Write {} everything bold and in italics

Split:

Filter:
If the answer at KPX_410 "Election campaign, contact with political parties, way" was "yes".

Question:
Which political party was that [insert A, B, C, ...] ?

1) CDU/CSU
2) SPD
3) FDP
4) GRUENE
5) DIE LINKE
6) Other party
Filter redirection:

Coding:
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Cf. Figure 2;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale ranging from -5 to +5, with zero point;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking: -5 &quot;I have a very negative view of this party&quot; and +5 &quot;I have a very positive view of this party&quot;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: How do you feel about the political parties in general? Please use a scale ranging from -5 to +5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRUENE
(F) DIE LINKE

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) -5 I have a very negative view of this party
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I have a very positive view of this party
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_440</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Party ratings in general</th>
<th>Item: Representation of interests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09 modified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Five-point-scale without numerical marking. Please place the parties on the second screen!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 1 screen: Please have a look at the following list of groups and organizations which many people feel represented by. Now, please tell me for each of these groups or organizations - regardless of whether you are a member or not - whether you think they represent or oppose your interests.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Question 2 screen: Do the following parties or organizations represent your interests or are they opposed to your interests?
A  Trade unions
B  Industry/Employers’ confederation or association
C  Farmers’ and agricultural associations
D  The Roman Catholic Church
E  Protestant Church(es)
F  Environmental groups
G  Groups critical of globalisation (e.g., ATTAC)
H  CDU/CSU
I  SPD
J  FDP
K  GRUENE
L  DIE LINKE

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Is/are completely opposed to my interests
(2) Is/are partly opposed to my interests
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Partly represents/represent my interests
(5) Completely represents/represent my interests
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_450
Waves: 2,4,6,7
Topic: Party ratings in general
Item: Government, differences
Origin: Tracking modified
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Figure 2; one line only;
Scale: Five-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: left "no difference at all", right "very big difference"
Question:
Does it make a big difference to you who governs in Berlin or doesn’t it make any difference at all?
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 No difference at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 Very big difference
(99) No answer
Note: A great deal of the answers given at KP4_450 “Government, differences” were mistakenly saved as 99 “No answer”, an error which could not be fixed.

Variable: KPX_460
Waves: 2,4,6,7
Topic: Party ratings in general
Item: Parties, differences
Origin: Tracking modified
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Figure 2; one line only;
Scale: Five-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: left "no difference at all", right "very big difference"
Question Pre-election:
How about the current election campaign to the federal election? Are there very big differences between the parties or are there no differences at all?
Question Post-election:
How about the election campaign to the last federal election? Were there very big differences between the parties or were there no differences at all?
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 No difference at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 Very big difference
(99) No answer

Note: A great deal of the answers given at KP4_460 “Parties, differences” were mistakenly saved as 99 “No answer”, an error which could not be fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_470</th>
<th>Waves: 4</th>
<th>Topic: Party ratings in general</th>
<th>Item: Grand coalition, problem solving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Scale: 1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking: 1 &quot;no important contribution&quot;, 5 &quot;important contribution&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Did the grand coalition considerably contribute to the solution of the problems in Germany?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td>(1) 1 No important contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) 2</td>
<td>(3) 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) 4</td>
<td>(5) 5 Important contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_480</th>
<th>Waves: 4</th>
<th>Topic: Party ratings in general</th>
<th>Item: Grand coalition, influence of political parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Scale:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whose influence in the grand coalition was bigger in your opinion?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) SPD</td>
<td>(3) Both parties were equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td>(1) CDU/CSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) SPD</td>
<td>(3) Both parties were equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_490</th>
<th>Waves: 4</th>
<th>Topic: Party ratings in general</th>
<th>Item: Grand coalition, obstruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Scale: Five-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>The governing parties CDU/CSU and SPD are blamed for not having been able to agree on important political issues and of having blocked each other. Do you agree or disagree?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td>(1) Strongly disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Rather disagree</td>
<td>(3) Neither agree nor disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Rather agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(5) Strongly agree  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_500</th>
<th>Waves: 4</th>
<th>Topic: Party ratings in general</th>
<th>Item: Grand coalition, obstruction, who is to blame?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Of KPX_490</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: And who is to blame for it mainly?</td>
<td>- CDU</td>
<td>- CSU</td>
<td>- SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection: Coding:</td>
<td>(1) CDU</td>
<td>(2) CSU</td>
<td>(3) SPD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_501</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Party ratings in general</th>
<th>Item: CDU/CSU-FDP-coalition, ability to solve problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Figure 2, without numerical marking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: Will the new government consisting of CDU/CSU and FDP solve the following political problems better or worse than the last federal government consisting of CDU/CSU and SPD?</td>
<td>- Much worse</td>
<td>- Rather worse</td>
<td>- Neither/nor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A) Abandoning nuclear energy</td>
<td>B) Cutting Taxes</td>
<td>C) Combat against the economic crisis</td>
<td>D) Withdrawal of the German Armed Forces from Afghanistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection: Coding:</td>
<td>(1) Much worse</td>
<td>(2) Rather worse</td>
<td>(3) Neither/nor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: It was originally planned to ask for the good and bad aspects of all parties as depicted below. Due to bad experiences in the long-term online-tracking (dramatic increase in breakup of interviews) we decided to not ask these questions and to include the good and bad aspects of the chancellor candidates of CDU/CSU and SPD only (KPX_690-KPX_720).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_510</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Party ratings in general</th>
<th>Item: Grand coalition, good aspects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following questions deal with the aspects you like about parties and politicians: What do you like about the grand coalition?

**Variable:** KPX_520  
**Waves:** 5  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** Grand coalition, bad aspects

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:** Description field  
**Question:** What do you dislike about the grand coalition?

**Variable:** KPX_530  
**Waves:** 3  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** CDU, good aspects

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!  
**Question:** What do you like about the CDU?

**Variable:** KPX_540  
**Waves:** 5  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** CDU, bad aspects

**Origin:** Tracking 4  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!  
**Question:** What do you dislike about the CDU?

**Variable:** KPX_550  
**Waves:** 3  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** CSU, good aspects

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!  
**Question:** What do you like about the CSU?

**Variable:** KPX_560  
**Waves:** 5  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** CSU, bad aspects

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:** What do you dislike about the CSU?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPX_570</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_580</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_590</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_600</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_610</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>Grüne, good aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:** What do you like about the SPD?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPX_570</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_580</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_590</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_600</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_610</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>Grüne, good aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:** What do you dislike about the SPD?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPX_570</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_580</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_590</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_600</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_610</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>Grüne, good aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:** What do you like about the FDP?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPX_570</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_580</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_590</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_600</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_610</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>Grüne, good aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:** What do you dislike about the FDP?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPX_570</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_580</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>SPD, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_590</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, good aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_600</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>FDP, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPX_610</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>Grüne, good aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:** What do you like about the Grüne?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute
### Variable: KPX_620

**Waves:** 5  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** Grüne, bad aspects

**Note:** Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:**
What do you dislike about GRUENE?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

---

### Variable: KPX_630

**Waves:** 3  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** Linke, good aspects

**Note:** Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:**
What do you like about the party DIE LINKE?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

---

### Variable: KPX_640

**Waves:** 5  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** Linke, bad aspects

**Note:** Was removed from the questionnaire on 4 August!

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Description field, randomize sequence of the parties!

**Question:**
What do you dislike about the party DIE LINKE?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:** By institute

---

### Variable: KPX_641

**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Party ratings in general  
**Item:** Party images, disunity

**Origin:** Tracking 6

**Split:**

**Presentation:** Figure 2; columns: "very disunited", "fairly disunited", "fairly united", "very united"; "I cannot make an assessment"-category

**Question:**
What do you think, how united or disunited are the following parties concerning important political issues?

(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) GRUENE
(E) DIE LINKE

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very disunited
(2) Fairly disunited
(3) Fairly united
(4) Very united
(98) I cannot make an assessment
(99) No answer
Front-runners

Variable: KPX_650
Waves: 1-7
Topic: Front-runners
Item: Scalometer politicians

Note: Always ask for chancellor candidates and alternately select 4 other politicians from the list. If necessary, add currently important politicians.
Origin: Tracking, Q09 modified
Split:
Presentation:
Scale: -5 to +5
Marking: -5 "I have a very negative view of this politician", +5 "I have a very positive view of this politician"; "Don’t know" category;
Question:
Now, please tell me what you think about these leading politicians. Please use again the scale from -5 to +5.

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier
(C) Horst Seehofer
(D) Karl-Theodor zu Guttenberg
(E) Franz Müntefering
(F) Guido Westerwelle
(G) Renate Künast
(H) Jürgen Trittin
(I) Oskar Lafontaine
(J) Gregor Gysi
(K) Peer Steinbrück
(L) Ursula von der Leyen
(M) Wolfgang Schäuble
(N) Cem Özdemir
(O) Rainer Brüderle
(P) Sabine Leutheusser-Schnarrenberger

Filter redirection: If Merkel and Steinmeier are both "unknown" >> KPX_1620. If only one person is unknown, then do not ask for the characteristics of this person at KPX_660!
Otherwise >> KPX_660
Coding:
(1) -5 I have a very negative view of this politician
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I have a very positive view of this politician
(98) Don’t know (politician)
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_660
Waves: 1-7
Topic: Front-runners
Item: Characteristics of chancellor candidates

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter: Of KPX_650: Only if Merkel and/or Steinmeier were assessed.
Presentation:
Cf. Figure 2;
Scale ranging from -2 to +2 with numerical marking
Marking: -2 "not true at all", +2 "definitely true";
Question:
Let’s turn to some more precise questions about the candidates for the position of chancellor for the 2009 federal election. Please state to what extent the following characteristics in your opinion are true or not for Angela Merkel or Frank-Walter Steinmeier.

Only ask for items I-L and M-P in wave 4!

(A) Angela Merkel is an assertive person and a strong leader.
(B) Angela Merkel is trustworthy.
(C) Angela Merkel is a likable person.
(D) Angela Merkel has sensible ideas about how to boost the economy.
(I) Angela Merkel is able to work in a team.
(J) Angela Merkel is doing well in representing Germany’s interests abroad.
(K) Angela Merkel is unanimously supported by her party.
(L) Angela Merkel is in touch with the people.
(Q) Angela Merkel has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis.

(E) Frank-Walter Steinmeier is an assertive person and a strong leader.
(F) Frank-Walter Steinmeier is trustworthy.
(G) Frank-Walter Steinmeier is a likable person.
(H) Frank-Walter Steinmeier has sensible ideas about how to boost the economy.
(M) Frank-Walter Steinmeier is able to work in a team.
(N) Frank-Walter Steinmeier is doing well in representing Germany’s interests abroad.
(O) Frank-Walter Steinmeier is unanimously supported by his party.
(P) Frank-Walter Steinmeier is in touch with the people.
(R) Frank-Walter Steinmeier has sensible ideas about how to manage the economic crisis.

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) -2 Not true at all
(2) -1
(3) 0
(4) +1
(5) +2 Definitely true
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Note: Due to a series of programming errors respondents were shown the response option “I can’t evaluate this statement” in wave 1, whereas respondents in wave 2, 3, and 5 received a “don’t know” response option. In the waves 4, 6, and 7 there was no such response option at all.
Filter redirection:

**Coding:**
(1) Angela Merkel
(2) Frank-Walter Steinmeier
(3) Neither of them
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_680

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>Front-runners candidates</td>
<td>Left-right assessment top</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Scale: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking;
Marking: "left" and "right";
with "Don't know"- category

**Question:**
And how would you assess the chancellor candidates?
A  Angela Merkel
B  Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:

**Coding:**
(1) 1 Left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Right
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_690

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Front-runners</td>
<td>Merkel, good aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Filter:** Of KPX_650, only if Merkel was assessed there!

**Presentation:** Description field

**Question:**
The following question is about aspects you like about the chancellor candidates. What do you like about Angela Merkel?

Filter redirection:

**Coding:**

**Variable:** KPX_700

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Front-runners</td>
<td>Merkel, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**

**Filter:** Of KPX_650, only if Merkel was assessed there!

**Presentation:** Description field

**Question:**
The following question is about aspects you dislike about the chancellor candidates. What do you dislike about Angela Merkel?

Filter redirection:

**Coding:**

**Variable:** KPX_710

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Front-runners</td>
<td>Steinmeier, good aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking 4

**Split:**
Filter: Of KPX_650, only if Steinmeier was assessed there!
Presentation: Description field
Question:
What do you like about Frank-Walter Steinmeier?
Filter redirection:
Coding: By institute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_720</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Front-runners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Steinmeier, bad aspects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Origin: Tracking 4
Split:
Filter: Of KPX_650, only if Steinmeier was assessed there!
Presentation: Description field
Question:
What do you dislike about Frank-Walter Steinmeier?
Filter redirection:
Coding: By institute

Performance rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_730</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 2,4,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Performance rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Scalameter government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Cf. Figure 2 (with one line);
Scale: -5 to +5, with zero point;
Marking: -5 "completely dissatisfied", +5 "completely satisfied";
Question:
On the whole, are you rather satisfied or rather dissatisfied with the way the CDU/CSU and SPD federal government in Berlin is running the country? Are you fairly satisfied or fairly dissatisfied? Please describe how you feel using a scale from -5 to +5.

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) -5 Completely dissatisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 Completely satisfied
(99) No answer

Note: A great deal of the answers given at KP4_730 "Scalameter government" were mistakenly saved as 99 “No answer”, an error which could not be fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_740</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 2,4,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Performance rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Performance of government parties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Cf. Figure 2;
Scale: -5 to +5; with zero point;
Marking: -5 "completely dissatisfied", +5 "completely satisfied";
Question:
And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the work of each of the political parties in the federal government?
(A) CDU  
(B) CSU  
(C) SPD  

Filter redirection:  

Coding:  
(1) -5 Completely dissatisfied  
(2) -4  
(3) -3  
(4) -2  
(5) -1  
(6) 0  
(7) +1  
(8) +2  
(9) +3  
(10) +4  
(11) +5 Completely satisfied  
(99) No answer  

Note: A great deal of the answers given at KP4_740a-c "Performance of government parties" were mistakenly saved as 99 "No answer", an error which could not be fixed.

| Variable: KPX_750 | Waves: 2,4,6 | Topic: Assessment of performance | Item: Performance of opposition parties | Origin: Tracking, Q09 | Split:  
| Filter:  
| Presentation:  
| Cf. Figure 2;  
| Scale: -5 to +5;  
| Marking: -5 "completely dissatisfied", +5 "completely satisfied";  
| Question:  
| How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the work of the opposition parties in the Bundestag?  

(A) FDP  
(B) GRUENE  
(C) DIE LINKE  

Filter redirection:  

Coding:  
(1) -5 Completely dissatisfied  
(2) -4  
(3) -3  
(4) -2  
(5) -1  
(6) 0  
(7) +1  
(8) +2  
(9) +3  
(10) +4  
(11) +5 Completely satisfied  
(99) No answer  

Note: A great deal of the answers given at KP4_750 a-c "Performance of opposition parties" were mistakenly saved as 99 "No answer", an error which could not be fixed.

Valence issues  

| Variable: KPX_760 | Waves: 1,4,6,7 | Topic: Valence issues | Item: Own economic situation, retrospective | Origin: Tracking, Q09 | Split:  
| Filter:  
| Presentation:  
| Cf. Figure 1;  

**Question:**
Let’s turn to another topic.
How has your own economic situation developed in the last one to two years? My economic situation has...

- Improved a lot
- Improved a bit
- Stayed the same
- Got a bit worse
- Got a lot worse

**Filter redirection:**
- Improved a lot >> continue with KPX_770 (“Responsibility for own economic situation”)
- Improved a bit >> continue with KPX_770 (“Responsibility for own economic situation”)
- Stayed the same >> continue with KPX_770 (“Responsibility for own economic situation”)
- Got a bit worse >> continue with KPX_770 (“Responsibility for own economic situation”)
- Got a lot worse >> continue with KPX_770 (“Responsibility for own economic situation”)
- No answer >> continue with KPX_790 (wave 1); KPX_780 (wave 4, 6, 7)

**Coding:**
(1) Improved a lot
(2) Improved a bit
(3) Stayed the same
(4) Got a bit worse
(5) Got a lot worse
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_770</th>
<th>Waves: 1,4,6,7</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Responsibility for own economic situation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>if KPX_760 (“Own economic situation, retrospective”) was answered with 1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Pre-election:**
In your opinion: How strongly have the policies of the federal government affected the way your economic situation has changed?

- Very strongly
- Fairly strong
- Moderately
- Not very strongly
- Not at all

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very strongly
(2) Fairly strong
(3) Moderately
(4) Not very strongly
(5) Not at all
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_780</th>
<th>Waves: 2,4,6,7</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Own economic situation, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
How do you currently rate your own economic situation?

- Very good
- Good
- Partly good, partly bad
- Bad
- Very bad

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very good
(2) Good
(3) Partly good, partly bad
(4) Bad
(5) Very bad
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_790</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Own economic situation, prospective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1,3,5,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
What do you think? How will your own economic situation be like in a year's time?

- Much better
- Somewhat better
- Unchanged
- Somewhat worse
- Much worse

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Much better
(2) Somewhat better
(3) Unchanged
(4) Somewhat worse
(5) Much worse
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_800</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: General economic situation, retrospective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1,4,6,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
How has the general economic situation in Germany developed in the last one to two years? The general economic situation has......?

- Improved a lot
- Improved a bit
- Stayed the same
- Got a bit worse
- Got a lot worse

**Filter redirection:**

- Improved a lot >> continue with KPX_810 ("Responsibility for general economic situation")
- Improved a bit >> continue with KPX_810 ("Responsibility for general economic situation")
- Stayed the same >> continue with KPX_810 ("Responsibility for general economic situation")
- Got a bit worse >> continue with KPX_810 ("Responsibility for general economic situation")
- Got a lot worse >> continue with KPX_810 ("Responsibility for general economic situation")
- No answer >> continue with KPX_110 (wave 1); KPX_210 (wave 4); KPX_830 (wave 6); KPX_820 (wave 7)

**Coding:**
(1) Improved a lot
(2) Improved a bit
(3) Stayed the same
(4) Got a bit worse
(5) Got a lot worse
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_810</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Responsibility for general economic situation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1,4,6,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter of KPX_800 (&quot;General economic situation, retrospective&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Pre-election:</td>
<td></td>
<td>In your opinion: How strongly have the policies of the federal government affected the way the general economic situation has changed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Post-election:</td>
<td></td>
<td>In your opinion: How strongly did the policies of the last federal government affect the way the general economic situation changed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Very strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fairly strong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Moderately</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not very strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not at all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td>(1) Very strongly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Fairly strong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Moderately</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Not very strongly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5) Not at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_820</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: General economic situation, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1,3,5,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>How would you generally rate the current economic situation in Germany?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Very good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Partly good, partly bad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Very bad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td>(1) Very good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Partly good, partly bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5) Very bad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_830</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: General economic situation, prospective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 2,4,6,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be like in a year’s time?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Much better</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Somewhat better</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Much better
(2) Somewhat better
(3) Unchanged
(4) Somewhat worse
(5) Much worse
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_840
Waves: 1-7    Topic: Valence issues    Item: Most important issue

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Description field
Question:
If you now consider the current political situation – in your opinion, what is the most important political issue Germany is facing?

Filter redirection:
If answer is provided >> continue with KPX_850 ("Ability to solve the most important issue")
If no answer is provided >> continue with KPX_050 (wave 1, 6, 7); KPX_040 (wave 2, 5); KPX_060 (wave 3); KPX_1020 (wave 4)

Coding:
Coding by institute
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_850
Waves: 1-7    Topic: Valence issues    Item: Ability to solve the most important issue

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Presentation:
Cf. Figure 1;
Description field for "other party, namely ____";
If "Other party, namely" was selected, then the description field has to be filled in (plausibility check!)

Split:
Filter:
Filter of "Most important issue" (KPX_840)

Question:
And which political party do you think is best able to solve this problem?

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ______
- All parties are equally good
- No party

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely ____[coding by institute]
(808) No party
(809) All parties are equally good
(999) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_860</th>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Second most important issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Filter of KPX_840 (&quot;Most important issue&quot;), if a response was given to this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presentation:**
Description field

**Question:**
In your opinion what is the second most important political issue Germany is facing at the moment?

**Filter redirection:**
If answer is provided >> continue with KPX_870 ("Ability to solve the second most important political issue")
If no answer is provided >> continue with KPX_050 (wave 1); KPX_040 (wave 2, 5); KPX_060 (wave 3); KPX_1020 (wave 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding:</th>
<th>Coding by institute</th>
<th>(99) No answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_870</th>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Ability to solve the second most important political issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cf. Figure 1;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description field for &quot;Other party, namely___&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If &quot;Other party, namely&quot; was selected, then the description field has to be filled in (plausibility check!)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Split:**
Filter of KPX_860 ("Second most important issue"), if a response was given to this question

**Question:**
And which political party do you think is best able to solve this problem?

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ______
- All parties are equally good
- No party

**Filter redirection:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding:</th>
<th>(1) CDU/CSU</th>
<th>(4) SPD</th>
<th>(5) FDP</th>
<th>(6) GRUENE</th>
<th>(7) DIE LINKE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(801) Other party, namely ____[coding by institute]</td>
<td>(808) No party</td>
<td>(809) All parties are equally good</td>
<td>(999) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable: KPX_880
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Third most important political issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter of KPX_860 (&quot;Second most important issue&quot;), if a response was given to this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description field</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In your opinion what is the third most important political issue Germany is facing at the moment?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If answer is provided &gt;&gt; continue with KPX_890 (&quot;Ability to solve the third most important issue&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If no answer is provided &gt;&gt; continue with continue with KPX_050 (wave 1); KPX_040 (wave 2, 5); KPX_060 (wave 3); KPX_1020 (wave 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coding by institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Variable: KPX_890
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: Ability to solve the third most important political issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter of KPX_880 (&quot;Third most important issue&quot;)</td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cf. Figure 1:</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description field for &quot;Other party, namely___&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If &quot;Other party, namely&quot; was selected, then the description field has to be filled in (plausibility check!)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And which political party do you think is best able to solve this problem?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- SPD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- FDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- GRUENE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DIE LINKE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other party, namely ______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- All parties are equally good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- No party</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) CDU/CSU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4) SPD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5) FDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6) GRUENE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7) DIE LINKE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(801) Other party, namely ____[coding by institute]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(808) No party</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(809) All parties are equally good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(999) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Variable: KPX_900
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Valence issues</th>
<th>Item: East-West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09 modified</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How do you rate the efforts undertaken to bring the standards of living in eastern and western Germany closer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
together? I think that...

- not enough has been done yet.
- enough has been done yet.
- too much has been done.

**Coding:**
(1) Not enough has been done yet to bring the standards closer together.
(2) Enough has been done yet to bring the standards closer together.
(3) Too much has been done to bring the standards closer together.
(99) No answer

**Strategic voting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_910</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: Scalometer coalitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Will be replaced by “Desirable coalition” in VW1-4 and VW6!

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09 modified

**Split:**

**Presentation:**
Cf. Figure 2; split to 2 screens

Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical marking; with zero point;
Marking: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "absolutely desirable";

**Question screen 1:**
And now some questions about possible coalition options for the federal government. Regardless of how likely or not you think such a coalition might be, how desirable would you find the following coalition options? Please use a scale ranging from -5 to +5 for this purpose.

**Question screen 2:**

How desirable would you find the following governments or coalitions?

(A) Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
(B) Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
(C) Red-green coalition (SPD and GRUENE)
(D) Social-liberal coalition (SPD and FDP)
(E) Black-green coalition (CDU/CSU and GRUENE)
(F) Traffic-light-coalition (SPD, FDP and GRUENE)
(G) Jamaica-coalition (CDU/CSU, FDP and GRUENE)
(H) Red-red-green coalition (SPD, DIE LINKE and GRUENE)
(I) One party-CDU/CSU government
(J) One party-SPD government

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) -5 Not desirable at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 Absolutely desirable
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_920a-f</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: Desirable coalition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1-4,6,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** new

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Multiple entries possible, description field for “other party, namely…”
Plausibility check for “other party”

**Question Pre-election:**
Regardless of the outcome of the federal election, which parties would you personally prefer to govern Germany after the federal election?

**Question Post-election:**
Regardless of the outcome of the federal election, which parties would you personally prefer to govern Germany over the next four years?

a) CDU/CSU
b) SPD
c) FDP
d) GRUENE
e) DIE LINKE
f) Other party, namely ____[coding by institute]

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_930</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: Perception of coalition signals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09
**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Figure 2
**Question:**
Regardless of the real majorities after the election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to enter into a coalition with each other?

(A) CDU/CSU and SPD (grand coalition)
(B) CDU/CSU and FDP (black-yellow coalition)
(C) SPD and GRUENE (red-green coalition)
(D) SPD, FDP and GRUENE (traffic-light-coalition)
(E) CDU/CSU, FDP and GRUENE (Jamaica-coalition)
(F) SPD, DIE LINKE and GRUENE (red-red-green coalition)

- Very unlikely
- Rather unlikely
- Rather likely
- Very likely

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very unlikely
(2) Rather unlikely
(3) Rather likely
(4) Very likely
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_940</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: Anticipated majorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Will be replaced in VW1-4 and VW6 by KPX_960 “Anticipated coalition”!

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09

**Split:**
**Question TRACKING:**
What do you think the results of the federal election will be?

- Majority of seats for black-yellow (CDU/CSU and FDP)
- Majority of seats for red-green (SPD and GRUENE)
- Neither of these coalitions will win a majority of seats
Filter redirection:
In case of 1 or 2 >> continue with KPX_380
In case of 3, “neither of these coalitions will win a majority of seats” >> continue with KPX_950 (“Expected government”)

Coding:
(1) Majority of seats for CDU/CSU-FDP
(2) Majority of seats for SPD-GRUENE
(3) Neither of these coalitions will win a majority of seats.
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_950
Waves: 5

Note: Will be replaced by “Expected coalition“ in VW1-4 and VW6“!

Origin: Tracking, Q09

Split:
if KPX_940 (“Anticipated majorities”) was answered with (3)

Presentation:
Description field after “other coalition, namely”, with plausibility check

Question:
Which parties do you think will actually form a government after the federal election?
- CDU/CSU and SPD (grand coalition)
- SPD, FDP and GRUENE (traffic-light-coalition)
- CDU/CSU, FDP and GRUENE (Jamaica-coalition)
- SPD, DIE LINKE and GRUENE (red-red-green coalition)
- Other coalition, namely ______

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Grand coalition consisting of SPD and CDU/CDU
(2) SPD-FDP-GRUENE
(3) CDU/CSU-FDP-GRUENE
(4) SPD-GRUENE-DIE LINKE
(5) Other coalition, namely ______ [coding by institute]
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_960a-f
Waves: 1-4,6,7

Origin: new

Split:

Presentation:
Multiple entries possible, description field for “Other party, namely…“
Plausibility check for “other party“

Question Pre-election:
Which party or parties do you think will actually form a government after the federal election?

Question Post-election:
Which party or parties do you think will actually form a government?

a) CDU/CSU
b) SPD
c) FDP
d) GRUENE
e) DIE LINKE
f) Other party, namely ____[coding by institute]
Variable: KPX_970
Waves: 4  Topic: Strategic voting  Item: Coalition signals CDU/CSU
Origin: Tracking 4
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Matrix, only permitting one marking per line.
Question:
If you think of the statements of CDU/CSU made so far in the election campaign, what do you think how likely or not are CDU and CSU to form a coalition with the following parties?

CDU and CSU and ….
(A) SPD
(B) FDP
(C) GRÜENE
(D) The party DIE LINKE

- would never form a coalition
- are unlikely to form a coalition
- are likely to form a coalition
- are very likely to form a coalition

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never form a coalition
(2) Unlikely to form a coalition
(3) Likely to form a coalition
(4) Very likely to form a coalition
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_980
Waves: 4  Topic: Strategic voting  Item: Coalition signals SPD
Origin: Tracking 4
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Matrix, only permitting one marking per line.
Question:
And what about the SPD? How likely or not is the SPD to form a coalition with the following parties?

SPD and …
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) FDP
(C) GRÜENE
(D) The party DIE LINKE

- would never form a coalition
- are unlikely to form a coalition
- are likely to form a coalition
- are very likely to form a coalition

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never form a coalition
(2) Unlikely to form a coalition
(3) Likely to form a coalition
(4) Very likely to form a coalition
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_990
Waves: 4  Topic: Strategic voting  Item: Coalition signals FDP
Origin: Tracking 4
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Matrix, only permitting one marking per line.

Question:
And what about the FDP? How likely or not is the FDP to form a coalition with the following parties?

FDP and …
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) GRUENE
(D) The party DIE LINKE

- would never form a coalition
- are unlikely to form a coalition
- are likely to form a coalition
- are very likely to form a coalition

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never form a coalition
(2) Unlikely to form a coalition
(3) Likely to form a coalition
(4) Very likely to form a coalition
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1000
Waves: 4
Topic: Strategic voting
Item: Coalition signals GRUENE

Origin: Tracking 4
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Matrix, only permitting one marking per line.

Question:
And what about GRUENE? How likely or not is GRUENE to form a coalition with the following parties?

GRUENE and …
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) The party DIE LINKE

- would never form a coalition
- are unlikely to form a coalition
- are likely to form a coalition
- are very likely to form a coalition

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never form a coalition
(2) Unlikely to form a coalition
(3) Likely to form a coalition
(4) Very likely to form a coalition
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1010
Waves: 4
Topic: Strategic voting
Item: Coalition signals DIE LINKE

Origin: Tracking 4
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Matrix, only permitting one marking per line.

Question:
And what about the party Die Linke? How likely or not is the party Die Linke to form a coalition with the following parties?

The party DIE LINKE and …
(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) GRUENE

- would never form a coalition
- are unlikely to form a coalition
- are likely to form a coalition
- are very likely to form a coalition

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never form a coalition
(2) Unlikely to form a coalition
(3) Likely to form a coalition
(4) Very likely to form a coalition
(99) No answer

| Variable: KPX_1020 |
| Waves: 4,6 |
| Topic: Strategic voting |
| Item: Percentage of votes federal election 2009, estimated |
| Origin: Tracking 4 |
| Split: |
| Filter: |
| Presentation: Input fields for each party, add up automatically for checking purposes |
| Question: |
What percentage of the second votes do you think will the parties gain at the federal election on 27 September 2009?

(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) GRUENE
(E) DIE LINKE
(F) Other parties

Filter redirection:
Coding:

| Variable: KPX_1030 |
| Waves: 4,6 |
| Topic: Strategic voting |
| Item: Percentage of votes federal election 2009, wished |
| Origin: Derived from tracking 4 |
| Split: |
| Filter: |
| Presentation: Input fields for each party, add up automatically for checking purposes |
| Question: |
If you could wish for a result of the federal election on 27 September 2009, what percentage of the second votes would you wish for each party?

(A) CDU/CSU
(B) SPD
(C) FDP
(D) GRUENE
(E) DIE LINKE
(F) Other parties

Filter redirection:
Coding:

| Variable: KPX_1040 |
| Waves: 2,6 |
| Topic: Strategic voting |
| Item: Constituency winner |
| Origin: Q09 |
| Split: |
| Filter: |
**Presentation:**

**Question:**
What do you think, which candidate of which party will win your constituency?

Candidate of the CDU or CSU
Candidate of the SPD
Candidate of the FDP
Candidate of the GRUENE
Candidate of DIE LINKE

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Candidate of the CDU or CSU
(2) Candidate of the SPD
(3) Candidate of the FDP
(4) Candidate of the GRUENE
(5) Candidate of DIE LINKE
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1050
**Waves:** 1-6  **Topic:** Strategic voting  **Item:** Perception opinion polls

**Origin:** Q09

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
Did you read or see any current opinion polls on the expected result of the federal election during the last few days?
- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1051
**Waves:** 1-6  **Topic:** Strategic voting  **Item:** Credibility opinion polls

**Origin:** new

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
If you now think of the results of opinion polls considering the expected result of the federal election, how credible do you think these polls are?
- Very credible
- Fairly credible
- Partly credible/partly noncredible
- Fairly noncredible
- Very noncredible

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very credible
(2) Fairly credible
(3) Partly credible/partly noncredible
(4) Fairly noncredible
(5) Very noncredible
(99) No answer
**Variable**: KPX_1060  
**Waves**: 2, 6  
**Topic**: Strategic voting  
**Item**: Strongest party federal election

- **Origin**: DFG94-02  
- **Split**:  
- **Filter**:  
- **Presentation**:  
- **Question**: Which party will gain most of the votes on the next federal election?  
  - CDU/CSU  
  - SPD  
- **Filter redirection**:  
- **Coding**:  
  - (1) CDU/CSU  
  - (2) SPD  
  - (99) No answer

**Variable**: KPX_1061  
**Waves**: 5  
**Topic**: Strategic voting  
**Item**: Coalition vignettes

- **Origin**: T4 modified  
- **Split**:  
- **Presentation**: Cf. Figure 1; 6 screens;  
- **Filter**: In each case the filters refer to the second vote:  
  - If KPX_190 = 2, the ask question A) and C)  
  - If KPX_190=4, the ask question B) and D)  
  - If KPX_190=1, then ask question E)  
  - If KPX_190=3, then ask question F)  
- **Question for voters of SPD (KPX_190=2) and Die Gruenen (KPX_190=4)**:  
  Here are some examples of possible coalitions that parties could form.  
- **Question for voters of CDU (KPX_190=1) and FDP (KPX_190=3)**:  
  Here is an example of a possible coalition that parties could form.

Which party would you vote for at the federal election if…  
(A) SPD would form a coalition with the party DIE LINKE in Thuringia?  
(B) Buendnis 90/ Die Gruenen would form a coalition with CDU and FDP in Saarland?  
(C) SPD would form a coalition with the party DIE LINKE and Gruene in Saarland?  
(D) Gruene would form a coalition with SPD and the party DIE LINKE in Saarland?  
(E) CDU would form a coalition with FDP and Gruene in Saarland?  
(F) FDP would form a coalition with CDU and Gruene in Saarland?  
- CDU/CSU  
- SPD  
- FDP  
- Gruene  
- DIE LINKE  
- Other party, namely ____  
- Would not vote  

**Filter redirection**:  
- **Coding**:  
  - (1) CDU/CSU  
  - (4) SPD  
  - (5) FDP  
  - (6) Buendnis90/Die Gruenen  
  - (7) DIE LINKE  
  - (801) Other party, namely ____  
  - (995) Would not vote  
  - (999) No answer

**Political positions**
**Variable:** KPX_1070  
**Waves:** 1,4,7  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Socio-economic dimension, parties

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09 modified  
Split: Attention: Split half, half the respondents get a seven-point-scale, the others an eleven-point-scale. The eleven-point-scale is only marked at the endpoints, too!

**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
Cf. Figure 2  
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Second half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Marking: “Lower taxes and less government spending on welfare state benefits”, “higher taxes and more government spending on welfare state benefits” with "Don’t know"- category

**Question:**  
Some people would like to see lower taxes even if that would imply some reductions in welfare state benefits; others would like to see more government spending on welfare state benefits even if that would entail higher taxes. In your view, what are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use the depicted scale.  
(A) CDU  
(B) CSU  
(C) SPD  
(D) FDP  
(E) GRUENE  
(F) DIE LINKE

**Coding split 1:**  
(1) 1 Lower taxes and less government spending on welfare state benefits  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7 Higher taxes and more government spending on welfare state benefits  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**  
(1) 1 Lower taxes and less government spending on welfare state benefits  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7  
(8) 8  
(9) 9  
(10) 10  
(11) 11 Higher taxes and more government spending on welfare state benefits  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

**Note:** The answers 1 "Lower taxes and less government spending on welfare state benefits" given at KPI_1070a Political positions (11) Socio-economic dimension, parties, CDU were all mistakenly saved as 99 “No answer”. This error could not be fixed.

**Variable:** KPX_1080  
**Waves:** 4  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Socio-economic dimension, chancellor candidates

**Origin:** RCS modified  
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
Cf. Figure 2  
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Question:
How is the position of the two chancellor candidates concerning taxes and spending on welfare state benefits?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:

Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Lower taxes and less government spending on welfare state benefits
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Lower taxes and less government spending on welfare state benefits
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Note: Too many respondents refused to answer question KP4_1080a_7 Political positions (7): Socio-economic dimension, Angela Merkel (99 “No answer”). This error could not be fixed.
(7) Higher taxes and more government spending on welfare state benefits
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) 1 Lower taxes and less government spending on welfare state benefits
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Higher taxes and more government spending on welfare state benefits
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Note:** Far too many respondents refused to answer question KP4_1090 Political positions: Socio-economic dimension, ego (99 “No answer”). This error could not be fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1100</th>
<th>Waves: 1,4,7</th>
<th>Topic: Political positions</th>
<th>Item: Socio-economic dimension, importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>How important is the issue government spending on welfare state benefits and taxes to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

**Note:** Instead of “Neither/nor” the answer category in wave 1 was "Partly important/partly unimportant".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1110</th>
<th>Waves: 1,4,7</th>
<th>Topic: Political positions</th>
<th>Item: Left-right-authoritarian, parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09 modified</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Let’s turn to the issue of immigration. Should laws on immigration of foreigners be relaxed or made tougher? What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use again the following scale.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
Filter redirection:

Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Relax immigration restrictions
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Make immigration restrictions tougher
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Relax immigration restrictions
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Make immigration restrictions tougher
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1120
Waves: 4
Topic: Political positions
Item: Left-right-authoritarian chancellor candidates
Origin: RCS modified
Split: Attention: Split half, half the respondents get a seven-point-scale, the others an eleven-point-scale. The eleven-point-scale is only marked at the endpoints, too!
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "Relax immigration restrictions", "make immigration restrictions tougher";
with "Don’t know"- category

Question:
What is the chancellor candidate’s position to immigration of foreigners?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:

Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Relax immigration restrictions
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Make immigration restrictions tougher
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Relax immigration restrictions
(2) 2
And what is your personal opinion on immigration of foreigners?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**

1. Relax immigration restrictions
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. Make immigration restrictions tougher
8. 8
9. 9
10. 10
11. Make immigration restrictions tougher
98. Don’t know
99. No answer

**Coding split 2:**

1. Relax immigration restrictions
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. Make immigration restrictions tougher
8. 8
9. 9
10. 10
11. Make immigration restrictions tougher
98. Don’t know
99. No answer

Note: Far too many respondents refused to answer question KP4_1130 Political positions: Left-right authoritarian, ego (99 "No answer"). This error could not be fixed.

**Variable: KPX_1140**

**Waves:** 1, 4, 7  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Left-right-authoritarian, importance

**Origin:** Tracking

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

**Question:**

And how important is the issue of immigration of foreigners to you?
- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
1. Very important
2. Fairly important
3. Neither/nor
4. Fairly unimportant
5. Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

**Note:** Instead of “Neither/nor” the answer category in wave 1 was "Partly important/partly unimportant".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1150</th>
<th>Waves: 2.5</th>
<th>Topic: Political positions</th>
<th>Item: Economic policy, parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking modified

**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking

**Marking:** “Government investment in companies”, “no government investment in companies”; with "Don’t know"-category

**Question:**
Some people express the view that the government should make investments in companies in times of economic crisis, others refuse this even in times of crisis. In your view, what are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use again the following scale.

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) GRÜNE
- (F) DIE LINKE

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**
1. 1 Government investment in companies
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. 7 No government investment in companies
8. 8 Don’t know
9. 9 Don’t know
10. 10
11. 11
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
1. 1 Government investment in companies
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. 7
8. 8
9. 9
10. 10
11. 11 No government investment in companies
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer
Variable: KPX_1160  
Waves: 2  
Topic: Political positions  
Item: Economic policy, chancellor candidates

Origin: RCS modified  
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale  
Filter:  
Presentation:  
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Marking: "Government investment in companies", "no government investment in companies";  
with "Don’t know"-category  
Question:  
What is the chancellor candidate's position to government investments in companies in times of economic crisis?  

(A) Angela Merkel  
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:  
Coding split 1:  
(1) 1 Government investment in companies  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7 No government investment in companies  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:  
(1) 1 Government investment in companies  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7  
(8) 8  
(9) 9  
(10) 10  
(11) 11 No government investment in companies  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1170  
Waves: 2,5  
Topic: Political positions  
Item: Economic policy, ego

Origin: Tracking modified  
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale  
Filter:  
Presentation:  
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Marking: "Government investment in companies", "no government investment in companies";  
with "Don’t know"-category  
Question:  
What is your personal opinion to government investments in times of economic crisis?  
Filter redirection:  
Coding split 1:  
(1) 1 Government investment in companies  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 No government investment in companies
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Government investment in companies
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 No government investment in companies
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1180
Waves: 2,5 Topic: Political positions Item: Economic policy, importance
Origin: Tracking
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Question:
And how important is the issue of government investments in companies in times of crisis to you?
- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1190
Waves: 2,5 Topic: Political positions Item: Integration, parties
Origin: Tracking modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture" with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people express the view that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture, while others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.
What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use the following scale.

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
Documentation of Questionnaire (all waves)

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1200
Waves: 2
Topic: Political positions
Item: Integration, chancellor candidates

Origin: RCS modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: “Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture”, “Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture” with “Don’t know”-category

Question:
What is the chancellor candidate’s position on integration of foreigners?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2) 2
(3) 3
Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Variable: KPX_1210
Waves: 2,5
Topic: Political positions
Item: Integration, ego

Origin: Tracking modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture" with "Don't know"-category

Question:
What is your personal opinion on the integration of foreigners?
Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1220
Waves: 2,5
Topic: Political positions
Item: Integration, importance

Origin: Tracking
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Question:
And how important is the issue of integration of foreigners to you?

- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**

(1) Very important  
(2) Fairly important  
(3) Neither/nor  
(4) Fairly unimportant  
(5) Completely unimportant  
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1230  
**Waves:** 3  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Europe, parties

**Origin:** Tracking modified  
**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking

Marking: “Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon”, “European unification already goes much too far”

with "Don’t know"-category

**Question:**

Let’s turn to the issue of European unification. Should the European unification be further promoted in order to establish a joint government soon or does European unification already go much too far? What are the positions of the political parties on this issue in your view? Please use the following scale.

(A) CDU  
(B) CSU  
(C) SPD  
(D) FDP  
(E) GRUENE  
(F) DIE LINKE

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**

(1) 1 Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7 European unification already goes much too far  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**

(1) 1 Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7  
(8) 8  
(9) 9  
(10) 10  
(11) 11 European unification already goes much too far  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1240  
**Waves:** 3  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Europe chancellor candidates

**Origin:** RCS modified  
**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon", “European unification already goes much too far”
with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
What is the chancellor candidate’s position on European unification?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 European unification already goes much too far
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 European unification already goes much too far
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

| Variable: | KPX_1250 |
| Waves: | 3 |
| Topic: | Political positions |
| Item: | Europe, ego |

Origin: Tracking modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon", “European unification already goes much too far”
with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
And what is your personal opinion on European unification?

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 European unification already goes much too far
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) Promote European unification in order to establish a joint government soon
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) European unification already goes much too far
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1260
**Waves:** 3
**Topic:** Political positions
**Item:** Europe, importance

**Origin:** Tracking
**Split:**
**Presentation:**
Cf. Figure 1;

**Question:**
And how important is the issue of European unification to you personally?

- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1270
**Waves:** 2, 5
**Topic:** Political positions
**Item:** Climate protection, parties

**Origin:** Tracking modified
**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale

**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "Combating climate change even if this damages the economy", "no combat of climate change if this damages the economy"
with "Don’t know"-category

**Question:**
Some people think that combating climate change should be a priority, even if this damages the economy. Others say that combating climate change should be renounced if this damages the economy. In your view, what are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use again the following scale,

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRÜENE
(F) DIE LINKE

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**
(1) 1 Combating climate change even if this damages the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 No combat of climate change if this damages the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Combating climate change even if this damages the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 No combat of climate change if this damages the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1280
Waves: 2
Topic: Political positions
Item: Climate protection, chancellor candidates
Origin: RCS modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "Combating climate change even if this damages the economy", "no combat of climate change if this damages the economy" with "Don’t know"-category
Question:
What is the chancellor candidate’s position to the combat of climate change?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Combating climate change even if this damages the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 No combat of climate change if this damages the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Combating climate change even if this damages the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 No combat of climate change if this damages the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1290</th>
<th>Waves: 2.5</th>
<th>Topic: Political positions</th>
<th>Item: Climate protection, ego</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking modified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking: &quot;Combating climate change even if this damages the economy&quot;, &quot;no combat of climate change if this damages the economy&quot; with &quot;Don’t know&quot;-category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:**
What is your personal opinion to the combat of climate change?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**
(1) 1 Combating climate change even if this damages the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 No combat of climate change if this damages the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) 1 Combating climate change even if this damages the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 No combat of climate change if this damages the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1300</th>
<th>Waves: 2.5</th>
<th>Topic: Political positions</th>
<th>Item: Climate protection, importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: How important is the combat of climate change to you personally?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Very important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fairly important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Neither/nor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fairly unimportant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Completely unimportant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
German Longitudinal Election Study 2009: Short-term Campaign Panel

(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1310

**Waves:** 3,6  **Topic:** Political positions  **Item:** Generational fairness, parties

**Origin:** Tracking modified

**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: “More taxes and lower standard of living in old age for the labor force, but a fair standard of living for elderly people”, “less taxes and a higher standard of living in old age for the labor force, but lower standard of living for elderly people” with "Don’t know”-category

**Question W3:**
Currently people are discussing pensions and old-age provisions a lot. Some people think that it is the gainfully employed’s duty to ensure a fair living standard for elderly people by increased taxes and dues, even if these people must expect a lower living standard once they are old. Others think that the gainfully employed’s taxes and dues should decrease in order to enable people to make their own provisions, even if that implies elderly people having a lower living standard. In your view, what are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use again the following scale.

**Question W6:**
Currently people are discussing pensions and old-age provisions a lot. Some people think that it is the gainfully employed’s duty to ensure a fair living standard for elderly people by increased taxes and dues, even if these people must expect a lower living standard once they are old. Others think that the gainfully employed’s taxes and dues should decrease in order to enable people to make their own provisions, even if that implies elderly people having a lower living standard. In your view, what are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use again the following scale.

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRÜNE
(F) DIE LINKE

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**
(1) 1 More taxes and lower standard of living in old age for the labor force, but a fair standard of living for elderly people
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Less taxes and a higher standard of living in old age for the labor force, but lower standard of living for elderly people
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) 1 More taxes and lower standard of living in old age for the labor force, but a fair standard of living for elderly people
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Less taxes and a higher standard of living in old age for the labor force, but lower standard of living for elderly people
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1320</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Political positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Generational fairness, chancellor candidates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin: RCS modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking

**Marking:** "More taxes and a lower living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a fair living standard for elderly people", "less taxes and a higher living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a lower living standard for elderly people" with "Don’t know"-category

**Question:**
What is the chancellor candidate’s position pensions and old-age provisions?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**
1. More taxes and a lower living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a fair living standard for elderly people
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. Less taxes and a higher living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a lower living standard for elderly people
8. Don’t know
9. No answer

**Coding split 2:**
1. More taxes and a lower living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a fair living standard for elderly people
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. 7
8. 8
9. 9
10. 10
11. Less taxes and a higher living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a lower living standard for elderly people
8. Don’t know
9. No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1330</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Political positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Generational fairness, ego</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin: Tracking modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Split: Split half: seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking

**Marking:** "More taxes and a lower living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a fair living standard for elderly people", "less taxes and a higher living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a lower living standard for elderly people"
with "Don’t know"-category

And what is your personal opinion to pensions and old-age provisions?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**
(1) 1 More taxes and a lower living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a fair living standard for elderly people
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Less taxes and a higher living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a lower living standard for elderly people
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) 1 More taxes and a lower living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a fair living standard for elderly people
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Less taxes and a higher living standard in old age for the gainfully employed, but a lower living standard for elderly people
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1340
**Waves:** 3, 6
**Topic:** Political positions
**Item:** Generational fairness, importance

**Origin:** Tracking modified

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

How important is the issue of pensions and old-age provisions to you personally?

- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1350
**Waves:** 1, 4, 7
**Topic:** Political positions
**Item:** Nuclear power, parties

**Origin:** Tracking modified

**Attention:** Split half, half the respondents get a seven-point-scale, the others an eleven-point-scale. The eleven-point-scale is only marked at the endpoints, too!

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Cf. Figure 2
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "More nuclear power plants should be built", "immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants";
with "Don't know"-category

**Question:**
Let us now turn to the issue of nuclear power.
Should more nuclear power plants be built or should all nuclear power plants be closed down immediately?
What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use again the following scale.

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRUENE
(F) DIE LINKE

Filter redirection:

**Coding split 1:**
(1) 1 More nuclear power plants should be built
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) 1 More nuclear power plants should be built
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Note: Too many respondents refused to answer KP4_1350a Political positions: Nuclear power, parties: CDU (99 "No answer"). This error could not be fixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1360</th>
<th>Waves: 4</th>
<th>Topic: Political positions</th>
<th>Item: Nuclear power, chancellor candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** RCS modified
**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale

Filter:
**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "More nuclear power plants should be built", "immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants";

**Question:**
What is the chancellor candidate’s position on nuclear power?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 More nuclear power plants should be built
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 More nuclear power plants should be built
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1370</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1,4,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Political positions Item: Nuclear power, ego</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split: Attention: Split half, half the respondents get a seven-point-scale, the others an eleven-point-scale. The eleven-point-scale is only marked at the endpoints!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Presentation: Cf. Figure 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking: ”More nuclear power plants should be built“, ”immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants“;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: How would you describe your own view on nuclear power?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 More nuclear power plants should be built
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 More nuclear power plants should be built
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Immediate shutdown of all nuclear power plants
(98) Don’t know
Note: Far too many respondents refused to answer question KP4_1370 Political positions: Nuclear power, ego (99 “No answer”). This error could not be fixed.

**Variable:** KPX_1380  
**Waves:** 1, 4, 7  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Nuclear power, importance

**Origin:** Tracking  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
**Question:**

How important is the issue of nuclear power to you personally?

- Very important  
- Fairly important  
- Neither/nor  
- Fairly unimportant  
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**

(1) Very important  
(2) Fairly important  
(3) Neither/nor  
(4) Fairly unimportant  
(5) Completely unimportant  
(99) No answer

Note: Instead of “Neither/nor” the answer category in wave 1 was "Partly important/partly unimportant".

**Variable:** KPX_1390  
**Waves:** 3, 6  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Criminality, parties

**Origin:** Tracking modified  
**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
**Question:**

Some people think that the state should interfere in the privacy of the citizens without restrictions in order to combat crime. Others express the opinion that the privacy of the citizens should always be protected even if this might have a negative impact on the combat of crime. What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please use the following scale.

(A) CDU  
(B) CSU  
(C) SPD  
(D) FDP  
(E) GRUENE  
(F) DIE LINKE

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**

(1) 1 In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7 Against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime  
(98) Don’t know  
(99) No answer
Coding split 2:
(1) In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1400
Waves: 3
Topic: Political positions
Item: Criminality, chancellor candidates
Origin: RCS modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime"; "against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime";
with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
What is the chancellor candidate’s position to governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Frank-Walter Steinmeier

Filter redirection:

Coding split 1:
(1) In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1410
Waves: 3,6
Topic: Political positions
Item: Criminality, ego
Origin: Tracking modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime","against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime";
with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
What is your position to governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime?

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 In favor of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Against governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1420
Waves: 3,6
Topic: Political positions
Item: Criminality, importance

Origin: Tracking
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Question:
How important is the issue of governmental interference in privacy in the combat against crime to you personally?

- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1430
Waves: 6
Topic: Political positions
Item: Role of the state – economic policy, parties

Origin: Tracking modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "The state should largely stay out of the economy", "the state should strongly interfere in the economy";
with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
Currently people are discussing a lot about the role the state is playing in the economy. Some think the state
should strongly interfere in the economy in future, while others say the state should completely stay out of the
economy.
What are the parties’ positions on this issue in your opinion? Please use again the following scale.

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRUENE
(F) DIE LINKE

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 The state should largely stay out of the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 The state should strongly interfere in the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 The state should largely stay out of the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 The state should strongly interfere in the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1440
Waves: 6
Topic: Political positions
Item: Role of the state – economic policy, ego

Origin: Tracking modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "The state should largely stay out of the economy", "the state should strongly interfere in the economy";
with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
What is your opinion on the role of the state in the economy?

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 The state should largely stay out of the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 The state should strongly interfere in the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) 1 The state should largely stay out of the economy
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 The state should strongly interfere in the economy
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

| Variable: KPX_1450 |
| Waves: 6 | Topic: Political positions | Item: Role of the state – economic policy, importance |

**Origin:** Tracking
**Split:**
**Presentation:**
**Question:**
How important to you personally is the role of the state in the economy?
- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

**Filter redirection:**
**Coding:**
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

| Variable: KPX_1460 |
| Waves: 5 | Topic: Political positions | Item: Contraction of debts, parties |

**Origin:** Tracking modified
**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "State should contract more debts", "state should contract less debts"; with "Don’t know"-category

**Question:**
Some people say that the state should contract more debts in order to boost the economy even if this will burden future generations. Others say that the state should contract less debts, because more debts will burden future generations and thus weaken the economy in the long run. What do you think are the parties’ positions on this issue? Please use again the following scale.

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRUENE
(F) DIE LINKE

Coding split 1:
(1) 1 State should contract more debts
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 State should contract less debts
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 State should contract more debts
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 State should contract less debts
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1470
Waves: 5
Topic: Political positions
Item: Contraction of debts, ego

Origin: Tracking
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: "State should contract more debts", "State should contract less debts";
with "Don’t know"-category

Question:
What is your personal opinion on the contraction of debts?

Filter redirection:
Coding split 1:
(1) 1 State should contract more debts
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 State should contract less debts
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 State should contract more debts
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) State should contract less debts
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1480
Waves: 5
Topic: Political positions
Item: Contraction of debts, importance

Origin: Tracking
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
How important to you personally is the issue of contraction of debts?
- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1481
Waves: 6
Topic: Political positions
Item: Foreign policy, parties

Origin: Wehrpolitische Lage im Auftrag des BMVg 2006 modified
Split: Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale
Filter:
Presentation:
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: “Germany should take part in the resolution of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world”,
“Germany should stay out of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world”;
with “Don’t know”-category

Question:
There is much talk about Germany’s worldwide responsibility which has increased after reunification. Some
people view that Germany should pursue an active policy, taking part in the resolution of problems, crises and
conflicts all over the world. Others say that Germany should mainly concentrate on resolving its own problems
and stay out of problems, crises, and conflicts all over the world.
What do you think are the parties’ positions on this issue? Please use again the following scale.

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRUENE
(F) DIE LINKE

Coding split 1:
(1) 1 Germany should take part in the resolution of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Germany should stay out of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Coding split 2:
(1) 1 Germany should take part in the resolution of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
German Longitudinal Election Study 2009: Short-term Campaign Panel

(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Germany should stay out of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1482  
**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Foreign policy, ego

**Origin:** Tracking

**Split:** Split half: Seven-point-scale/eleven-point-scale

**Presentation:**
One half: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Other half: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking

**Filter:**
Marking: "Germany should take part in the resolution of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world", "Germany should stay out of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world"; with "Don’t know"-category

**Question:**
What is your position on Germany’s role in the field of foreign policy?

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding split 1:**
(1) 1 Germany should take part in the resolution of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Germany should stay out of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Coding split 2:**
(1) 1 Germany should take part in the resolution of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Germany should stay out of problems, crises and conflicts all over the world
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1483  
**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Foreign policy, importance

**Origin:** Tracking

**Split:**

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
How important to you personally is Germany’s role in the field of foreign policy?
- Very important
- Fairly important
- Neither/nor
- Fairly unimportant
- Completely unimportant

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Very important
(2) Fairly important
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly unimportant
(5) Completely unimportant
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>KPX_1490</th>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic:</th>
<th>Political positions</th>
<th>Item:</th>
<th>Left-right assessment, parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin:</td>
<td>Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scale: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marking: &quot;left&quot; and &quot;right&quot;;</td>
<td></td>
<td>with &quot;Don't know&quot;-category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In politics people often talk about &quot;left&quot; and &quot;right&quot;. How would you describe the following parties? Please use again the following scale.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRUENE
(F) DIE LINKE

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 Left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Right
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>KPX_1500</th>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic:</th>
<th>Political positions</th>
<th>Item:</th>
<th>Left-right self-assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin:</td>
<td>Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scale: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marking: &quot;left&quot; and &quot;right&quot;;</td>
<td></td>
<td>with &quot;Don't know&quot;-category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>And how about you? How would you describe yourself?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 Left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
Variable: KPX_1510
Waves: 4,6  Topic: Political positions  Item: Left-right assessment coalitions
Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split: Filter:
Presentation:
Randomize sequence;
Scale: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking;
Marking: "left" and "right";
with "Don’t know"-category
Question:
And where would you place the following coalitions using the same scale?

(A) Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
(B) Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
(C) Red-green coalition (SPD and GRUENE)
(D) Traffic-light- coalition (SPD, FDP and GRUENE)
(E) Jamaica-coalition (CDU/CSU, FDP and GRUENE)
(F) Red-red-green coalition (SPD, DIE LINKE and GRUENE)

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 Left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 Right
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Note: Many respondents refused to answer question KP4_1510a-f Left-right-assessment: coalitions, a fact which cannot be explained and could not be corrected.

Psychological concepts

Variable: KPX_1520
Waves: 2,5,7  Topic: Psychological concepts  Item: Emotions: Anger, intensity, Merkel
Origin: Tracking
Split: Filter:
Presentation:
Scale: Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking
Marking: Left "not angry at all", right "very angry"
Question:
If you think of Angela Merkel or what she did, how angry does this make you?
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 Not angry at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
Variable: KPX_1530
Waves: 2, 5, 7  
Topic: Psychological concepts  
Item: Emotions: Enthusiasm, intensity, Merkel

Origin: Tracking
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Scale: Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking
Marking: Left "not enthusiastic at all", right "very enthusiastic";

Question:
If you think of Angela Merkel or what she did, how enthusiastic does this make you?

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 Not enthusiastic at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Very enthusiastic
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1540
Waves: 2, 5, 7  
Topic: Psychological concepts  
Item: Emotions: Anger, intensity, Steinmeier

Origin: Tracking
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Scale: Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking
Marking: Left "not angry at all", right "very angry";

Question:
If you think of Frank-Walter Steinmeier or what he did, how angry does this make you?

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 Not angry at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Very angry
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1550
Waves: 2, 5, 7  
Topic: Psychological concepts  
Item: Emotions: Enthusiasm, intensity, Steinmeier

Origin: Tracking
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Scale: Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking
Marking: Left "not enthusiastic at all", right "very enthusiastic";

Question:
If you think of Frank-Walter Steinmeier or what he did, how enthusiastic does this make you?

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) 1 Not enthusiastic at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Very enthusiastic
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1551</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Psychological concepts</th>
<th>Item: Emotions: Anger, intensity, federal election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marking:</td>
<td>&quot;not angry at all&quot;, right &quot;very angry&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) 1 Not angry at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(7) 7 Very angry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1552</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Psychological concepts</th>
<th>Item: Emotions: Enthusiasm, intensity, federal election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marking:</td>
<td>&quot;not enthusiastic at all&quot;, right &quot;very enthusiastic&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) 1 Not enthusiastic at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(7) 7 Very enthusiastic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1553</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Psychological concepts</th>
<th>Item: Emotions: Anger, intensity, election result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marking:</td>
<td>&quot;not angry at all&quot;, right &quot;very angry&quot;;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) 1 Not angry at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 Very angry
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1554</th>
<th>Waves: 7</th>
<th>Topic: Psychological concepts</th>
<th>Item: Emotions: Enthusiasm, intensity, election result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seven-point-scale, without numerical marking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>If you think of the result of the federal election, how enthusiastic does this make you?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) 1 Not enthusiastic at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(7) 7 Very enthusiastic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1560</th>
<th>Waves: 2,5,7</th>
<th>Topic: Psychological concepts</th>
<th>Item: Emotions: Worries, intensity, battery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>How worried are you about the…</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) 1 Not worried at all</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(7) 7 Worry a lot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Originally the questions on emotions were designed as single items with individual item numbers. For the sake of completeness, these individual items are included in the questionnaire once again.
**Question:** How worried are you about the current economic crisis?

**Coding:**
- (1) 1 Not worried at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 Worry a lot
- (99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1561

| Waves: 2,5,7 | Topic: Psychological concepts | Item: Emotions: Worries, intensity, swine flu |

**Origin:** Tracking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split:</th>
<th>Filter:</th>
<th>Presentation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
<td>Marking: Left &quot;not worried at all&quot;, right &quot;worry a lot&quot;;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:** How worried are you about the current swine flu?

**Coding:**
- (1) 1 Not worried at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 Worry a lot
- (99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1562

| Waves: 2,5,7 | Topic: Psychological concepts | Item: Emotions: Worries, intensity, nuclear energy |

**Origin:** Tracking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Split:</th>
<th>Filter:</th>
<th>Presentation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
<td>Marking: Left &quot;not worried at all&quot;, right &quot;worry a lot&quot;;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:** How worried are you about the use of the nuclear energy?

**Coding:**
- (1) 1 Not worried at all
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 Worry a lot
- (99) No answer
Variable: KPX_1563  
Waves: 2,5,7  
Topic: Psychological concepts  
Item: Emotions: Worries, intensity, climate change  

Origin: Tracking  
Split:  
Filter:  
Presentation:  
Scale: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Marking: Left "not worried at all", right "worry a lot";  
Question:  
How worried are you about global warming?  
Filter redirection:  
Coding:  
(1) 1 Not worried at all  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7 Worry a lot  
(99) No answer  

Variable: KPX_1564  
Waves: 2,5,7  
Topic: Psychological concepts  
Item: Emotions: Worries, intensity, terrorism  

Origin: Tracking  
Split:  
Filter:  
Presentation:  
Scale: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking  
Marking: Left "not worried at all", right "worry a lot";  
Question:  
How worried are you about international terrorism?  
Filter redirection:  
Coding:  
(1) 1 Not worried at all  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7 Worry a lot  
(99) No answer  

Variable: KPX_1570  
Waves: 4,6  
Topic: Psychological concepts  
Item: Need …  

Origin: Tracking, Q09  
Split: nur  
Filter:  
Presentation:  
Cf. Figure 2; randomized;  
Scale: Five-point-scale, without numerical marking;  
Marking: See below  
Question:  
Now let’s briefly talk about you. Here you can see some statements that describe yourself as a person. Please tell me to what extent you agree or disagree.  

(A) I make up my mind about everything.  
(B) I don't find it satisfying to think deeply about things for hours.  
(C) I usually make important decisions quickly and confidently.  
Filter redirection:  
Coding:  
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1571</th>
<th>Topic: Psychological concepts</th>
<th>Item: Willingness to take risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split: only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Cf. Figure 2; Scale: Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking; Marking of the endpoints: Left &quot;seek to avoid risks&quot;, right: “prepared to take risks”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: How would you assess yourself: Are you a person prepared to take risks or do you seek to avoid risks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection: Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Seek to avoid risks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Prepared to take risks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1572</th>
<th>Topic: Psychological concepts</th>
<th>Item: Collective efficacy/conflict avoidance/locus of control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split: only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Cf. Figure 2; Scale: Five-point-scale without numerical marking;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: Please state if you agree with the following statements on certain topics or not.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) If enough people are committed to parties or other organizations we will, all together, be able to overcome obstacles and achieve political aims.
(B) If people join together and are actively committed to organizations, politicians will come to react to political demands.
(C) I don't feel comfortable about getting involved in arguments about politics.
(D) I often keep my views to myself because I fear the negative consequences of saying what I think.
(E) When I have plans I am sure that they will become true.
(F) Life and everyday situations are often directed by other persons.

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer
**Media use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1580</th>
<th>Waves: 2,4</th>
<th>Topic: Media reliance</th>
<th>Item: Most important information source, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking modified, Q09  
**Split:** 
**Filter:** 
**Presentation:** “In the last week“ in italics  
**Question Tracking:**
There are several ways to catch up on politics. Which were your main sources of information on politics and parties during the last week?  
- TV  
- Newspaper  
- Radio  
- Internet  
- Personal discussions  
- Other sources  

- I did not catch up on politics.  
**Filter redirection:**  
If 1-6 >> KPX_1590  
If 7 or no answer >> KPX_1040 (wave 2); KPX_650 (wave 4)  
**Coding:**  
(1) TV  
(2) Newspaper  
(3) Radio  
(4) Internet  
(5) Personal discussions  
(6) Other sources  
(7) I did not catch up on politics.  
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1590</th>
<th>Waves: 2-7</th>
<th>Topic: Media reliance</th>
<th>Item: General Internet use, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Q09  
**Split:** 
**Filter:** if 1-6 at KPX_1580 (only in wave 2 and wave 4)  
**Presentation:**  
“In the last week“ in italics  
**Question:**
On how many days of the last week did you use the Internet for personal reasons, that is not for professional purposes?  
- not at all  
- on one day  
- on 2 days  
- on 3 days  
- on 4 days  
- on 5 days  
- on 6 days  
- on 7 days  
**Filter redirection:**  
- on at least one day >> continue with KPX_1600 (Internet use, politically current)  
- not at all or no answer>> continue with KPX_1660  
**Coding:**  
(1) Not at all  
(2) 1 day  
(3) 2 days  
(4) 3 days  
(5) 4 days  
(6) 5 days  
(7) 6 days  
(8) 7 days  
(99) No answer
Variable: KPX_1600
Waves: 2-7
Topic: Media reliance
Item: Internet use, politically current

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Note: Plausibility check with KPX_1590, the value of KPX_1600 may not be higher than the KPX_1590 value.

Filter: If “General Internet use” > 1 and <=8
Presentation: “In the last week” in italics

Question:
On how many days of the last week did you use the Internet to catch up on politics and parties?
- Not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Filter redirection:
- at least one day >> continue with KPX_1610 (Internet use, frequently visited pages, based)
- not at all or no answer >> continue with KPX_1660

Coding:
(1) Not at all
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1610
Waves: 2-7
Topic: Media reliance
Item: Internet use, frequently visited pages, based

Origin: new
Presentation: “In the last week” in italics
Two screens, one with the web page visited most frequently, the other with further pages. In case of other important pages please filter out the page visited most frequently!
On the second screen, multiple responses are possible.

Split:
Filter of KPX_1600 (Internet use, politically current), if the Internet was used on at least one day

Question:
1. screen: Which page did you visit most frequently to catch up on politics and parties last week?
   2. screen: Which other pages did you visit last week to catch up on politics and parties?

(A) www.spiegel.de/Spiegel-online
(B) www.focus.de/Focus-online
(C) www.stern.de
(D) www.tagesschau.de/www.ard.de
(E) www.heute.de/www.zdf.de
(F) Web page of another TV-station
(G) www.bild.de
(H) web page of another daily newspaper
(I) www.t-online.de
(J) www.google.de
(K) www.web.de
(L) www.gmx.de
(M) www.yahoo.de
(N) web page of another e-mail-provider
Filter redirection:

Coding first screen:
1. www.spiegel.de/Spiegel-online
2. www.focus.de/Focus-online
3. www.stern.de
4. www.tagesschau.de/www.ard.de
5. www.heute.de/www.zdf.de
6. Web page of another TV-station
7. www.bild.de
8. Web page of another daily newspaper
9. www.t-online.de
10. www.google.de
11. www.web.de
12. www.gmx.de
13. www.yahoo.de
14. Web page of another e-mail-provider
15. Other web page
99. No answer

Coding second screen:
0. Not mentioned
1. Mentioned

Variable: KPX_1620
Waves: 1
Topic: Media reliance
Item: Most important information source, in general

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Presentation: "usually" in italics
Question:
There are several ways to catch up on politics. Which are in general your main sources of information on politics and parties?
- TV
- Newspaper
- Radio
- Internet
- Personal discussions
- Other sources
- I usually do not catch up on politics.

Filter redirection: If 1-6 >> KPX_1630
If 7 or no answer >> KPX_1490

Coding:
1. TV
2. Newspaper
3. Radio
4. Internet
5. Personal discussions
6. Other sources
7. I usually do not catch up on politics.
99. No answer

Variable: KPX_1630
Waves: 1
Topic: Media reliance
Item: General Internet use in general

Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: Of KPX_1620 1-6.
Presentation: "usually" in italics
No input field!
Question:
On how many days of the week do you in general use the Internet for personal reasons, that is not for professional purposes?
- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Filter redirection:
- on at least one day >> continue with KPX_1640 (Internet use, political, in general)
- not at all or no answer >> continue with KPX_1720

Coding:
(1) Not at all
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1640
Waves: 1
Topic: Media reliance
Item: Internet use, political, in general
Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter: [If "General Internet use, in general“ KPX_1630 > 1 and <=8], Plausibility check: the value of KPX_1640 may not be higher than the KPX_1630 value.
Presentation: "usually“ in italics, no input field
Question:
On how many days of the week do you in general use the Internet to catch up on politics and parties?
- Not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Filter redirection:
- on at least one day >> continue with KPX_1650 (Internet use, pages in general, open)
- not at all or no answer >> continue with KPX_1720

Coding:
(1) Not at all
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1650
Waves: 1
Topic: Media reliance
Item: Internet use, pages in general, open
Origin: new
Presentation: "usually“ in italics
Description field for 5 answers

Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_1640 (Internet use, political, in general), if the Internet is used on at least one day

Question:
Which web pages do you in general visit most frequently to catch up on politics and parties?

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(xx) Coding by institute
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1660</th>
<th>Waves: 2-7</th>
<th>Topic: Media reliance</th>
<th>Item: Use of print media – politically current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Origin: Tracking
Presentation: “last week“ in italics
Cf. Figure 2;
Rows: Newspapers (Bild, Welt, ..);
Columns: Response options ”Not at all”, ”1 day”, ”2 days”, ”3 days”, ”4 days”, ”5 days”, ”6 days”, ”7 days”

Split:

Filter:

Question:
On how many days of the last week did you read articles on politics in the following newspapers?

(A) Bild
(B) Frankfurter Rundschau (FR)
(C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (FAZ)
(D) Süddeutsche Zeitung (SZ)
(E) die tageszeitung (taz)
(F) Die Welt
(G) Other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt, Financial Times, ..)
(H) Online-newspaper (e.g. Spiegel-online, Focus-online, Netzeitung, ..)

Filter redirection:
- Bild >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1670 (“Use of print media - bias”)
- Frankfurter Rundschau >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1670 (“Use of print media - bias”)
- Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1670 (“Use of print media - bias”)
- Süddeutsche Zeitung >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1670 (“Use of print media - bias”)
- taz (tageszeitung) >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1670 (“Use of print media - bias”)
- Die Welt >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1670 (“Use of print media - bias”)
- Other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt, Financial Times, ..) >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1680
- Online newspaper (e.g. Spiegel-online, Focus-online, Netzeitung, ..) >> if the answer was at least ”on 1 day” continue with KPX_1680

Coding:
(1) Not at all
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
Variable: KPX_1670  
Waves: 2-7  
Topic: Media reliance  
Item: Use of print media – bias, current

Origin: Tracking  
Presentation: “last week” in italics  

Figure 1;  
Newspapers to be inserted in [...]:  
A): "Bild"  
B): "Frankfurter Rundschau"  
C): "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"  
D): "Sueddeutsche Zeitung (SZ)"  
E): "tageszeitung (taz)"  
F): "Die Welt"  
[other online-newspapers or daily newspapers will not be asked for]

--> Always write the newspapers in italics -->
Write parties and "no party" in bold
Plausibility check for "not in favour".

Split:

Filter:  
Filter of KPX_1660 (if the respondent answered that he read political reports in the requested newspapers)

Question:
If you particularly think about reports on the political parties in [newspaper of KPX_1660] in the last week, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable for some parties than for others? If yes, for which political party?

1) Yes, for CDU/CSU  
2) Yes, for SPD  
3) Yes, for FDP  
4) Yes, for GRUENE  
5) Yes, for DIE LINKE  
6) Yes, more favourable for another party  
7) No, the reports were not in favour of a certain party

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1680  
Waves: 2-7  
Topic: Media reliance  
Item: Use of TV, news, current

Origin: Tracking  
Presentation: “last week” in italics  

Cf. Figure 2;  
Rows: Newscasts (Tagesschau, ..);  
Columns: Response options "Not at all", "1 day", "2 days", "3 days", "4 days", "5 days", "6 days", "7 days"

Split:

Filter:

Question:  
On how many days of the last week did you watch one of the following newscasts?
A) Tagesschau or Tagesthemen
B) Heute or Heute Journal
C) RTL Aktuell
D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
E) Pro 7 Newstime

Filter redirection:
- Tagesschau >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1690 (Use of TV, bias)
- Heute >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1690 (Use of TV, bias)
- RTL Aktuell >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1690 (Use of TV, bias)
- Sat.1 Nachrichten >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1690 (Use of TV, bias)
- Pro 7 Newstime >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1690 (Use of TV, bias)

Coding:
(1) Not at all
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1690
Waves: 2-7
Topic: Media reliance
Item: Use of TV, bias, current

Origin: Tracking
Presentation: "last week" in italics
Figure 1:
Put newscasts to be requested in [...] A) "Tagesschau or "Tagesthemen"
B) "Heute or "Heute-Journal"
C) "RTL Aktuell"
D) "Sat.1 Nachrichten"
E) "Pro 7 Newstime"

-> Always write newscasts in italics
Write parties and "no party" in bold
Plausibility check for "not in favour".

Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_1680 (if the respondent answered at KPX_1680 that he watched the requested news on at least "1 day")

Question:
If you particularly think about the reports of [newscasts of KPX_1680] on political parties in the last week, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable for some parties than for others? If yes, for which political party?

1) Yes, for CDU/CSU
2) Yes, for SPD
3) Yes, for FDP
4) Yes, for GRUENE
5) Yes, for DIE LINKE
6) Yes, more favourable for another party
7) No, the reports were not in favour of a certain party

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(0) Not mentioned
Variable: KPX_1700
Waves: 2-7  Topic: Media reliance  Item: News magazines, current

Origin: RCS modified
Split:
Filter: Of KPX_1620 1-6.
Presentation: "last week“ in italics
Cf. Figure 2:
Rows: News magazines and weekly newspapers.
Columns: Response options "yes","no".

Question:
How about the following news magazines and weekly newspapers? Please state if you read articles on politics and parties in these papers in the last week.

(A) Der Spiegel
(B) Focus
(C) Die Zeit
(D) Stern

Filter redirection:
- Der Spiegel >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1710
- Focus >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1710
- Die Zeit >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1710
- Stern >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1710
- if all answers are "no" or "no answer“ is given continue with KPX_1490

Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1710
Waves: 2-7  Topic: Media reliance  Item: News magazines – bias, current

Origin: Tracking
Presentation: "last week“ in italics
Figure 1:
News magazines/weekly newspapers to be inserted in [...]:
A) "Spiegel"
B) "Focus"
C) "Die Zeit"
D) "Stern"

--> Always write names in italics
Write parties and "no party" in bold
Plausibility check for "not in favour".

Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_1700 (if the respondend answered that he read political articles in the requested news magazines)

Question:
If you particularly think about the reports of [news magazines of KPX_1700] on parties in the last week, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable for some parties than for others? If yes, for which political party?

1) Yes, for CDU/CSU
2) Yes, for SPD
3) Yes, for FDP
4) Yes, for GRUENE
5) Yes, for DIE LINKE
6) Yes, more favourable for another party
7) No, the reports were not in favour of a certain party

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1720

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves</th>
<th>Topic: Media reliance</th>
<th>Item: Use of print media – political, in general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking

**Presentation:** "usually" in italics

Cf. Figure 2;

Rows: Newspapers (Bild, Welt, ..);
Columns: Response options "Not at all", "1 day", "2 days", "3 days", "4 days", "5 days", "6 days", "7 days"

**Split:**

**Filter:** Of KPX_1620 1-6.

**Question:**
On how many days of the week do you in general read articles on politics in the following newspapers?

(A) Bild
(B) Frankfurter Rundschau (FR)
(C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (FAZ)
(D) Süddeutsche Zeitung (SZ)
(E) Die tageszeitung (taz)
(F) Die Welt
(G) Other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt, Financial Times, ...)
(H) Online-newspaper (e.g. Spiegel-online, Focus-online, Netzzeitung, ...)

**Filter redirection:**
- Bild >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1730 ("Use of print media - bias")
- Frankfurter Rundschau >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1730 ("Use of print media - bias")
- Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1730 ("Use of print media - bias")
- Süddeutsche Zeitung >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1730 ("Use of print media - bias")
- taz (tageszeitung) >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1730 ("Use of print media - bias")
- Die Welt >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1730 ("Use of print media - bias")
- Other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt, Financial Times, ...) >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1740 ("Use of TV, news in general")
- Online-newspaper (e.g. Spiegel-online, Focus-online, Netzzeitung, ...) >> if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1740 ("Use of TV, news in general")
- if the answer was "not at all" for all choices continue with KPX_1740 ("Television use, news in general")

**Coding:**
(1) Not at all
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days
(99) No answer
**Variable: KPX_1730**

**Waves:** 1  
**Topic:** Media reliance  
**Item:** Use of print media – bias, in general

**Origin:** Tracking  
**Presentation:** "usually“ in italics  
Figure 1;  
Newspapers to be inserted in [...]:
- A) "Bild"  
- B) "Frankfurter Rundschau"  
- C) "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"  
- D) "Süddeutsche Zeitung"  
- E) "tageszeitung (taz)"  
- F) "Die Welt"  
[other online-newspapers or daily newspapers will not be requested]

--> Always write newspapers in italics  
Write parties and "no party" in bold  
Multiple responses possible. Please tell the respondents.

**Split:**

**Filter:**  
Filter of KPX_1720 (if respondent answered that he read political reports in the requested newspaper)

**Question:**  
If you particularly think about the reports on parties in [newspaper of KPX_1720], what is your impression? Are these reports usually more favourable for some parties than for others? If yes, for which political party?

1) Yes, for CDU/CSU  
2) Yes, for SPD  
3) Yes, for FDP  
4) Yes, for GRUENE  
5) Yes, for DIE LINKE  
6) Yes, more favourable for another party  
7) No, the reports were not in favour of a certain party

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**  
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  
(99) No answer

**Variable: KPX_1740**  
**Waves:** 1  
**Topic:** Media reliance  
**Item:** Television use, news in general

**Origin:** Tracking  
**Presentation:** "usually“ in italics  
Cf. Figure 2;  
Rows: Newscasts (Tagesschau, ..);  
Columns: Response options "Not at all", "1 day", "2 days", "3 days", "4 days", "5 days", "6 days", "7 days"

**Split:**

**Filter:** If KPX_1620 1-6.

**Question:**  
On how many days of the week do you usually watch one of the following newscasts?

(A) Tagesschau or Tagesthemen  
(B) Heute or Heute Journal
Filter redirection:
- Tagesschau if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1750 (television use, bias)
- Heute if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1750 (television use, bias)
- RTL Aktuell if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1750 (television use, bias)
- Sat.1 Nachrichten if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1750 (television use, bias)
- Pro 7 Newstime if the answer was at least "on 1 day" continue with KPX_1750 (television use, bias)
- if the answer was "not at all" for all choices or no answer was given continue with KPX_1760 (news magazines, in general)

Coding:
(1) Not at all
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1750
Waves: 1
Topic: Media reliance
Item: Television use – bias, in general

Origin: Tracking
Presentation: "usually“ in italics

Figure 1:
Put newscasts to be requested in [...] (A) "Tagesschau or "Tagesthemen"
(B) "Heute or "Heute-Journal"
(C) "RTL Aktuell"
(D) "Sat.1 Nachrichten"
(E) "Pro 7 Newstime"

-> Always write newscasts in italics

Write parties and "no party" in bold
Multiple answers are possible

Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_1740 (if the respondend answered at KPX_1740 that he watched the requested newscasts on at least "1 day")

Question:
If you particularly think about the reports on parties of [newscasts of KPX_1740], what is your impression? Are these reports usually more favourable for some parties than for others? If yes, for which political party?

1) Yes, for CDU/CSU
2) Yes, for SPD
3) Yes, for FDP
4) Yes, for GRUENE
5) Yes, for DIE LINKE
6) Yes, more favourable for another party
7) No, the reports were not in favour of a certain party

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(0) Not mentioned
Variable: KPX_1760
Waves: 1  Topic: Media reliance  Item: News magazines, in general

Origin: RCS modified

Split:
Filter: Of KPX_1620 1-6.
Presentation: "usually" in italics
Cf. Figure 2:
Rows: News magazines and weekly newspapers.
Columns: Response options "yes","no".

Question:
How about the following news magazines and weekly newspapers? Please state if you usually read articles on politics and parties in these papers.

- Yes
- No

(A) "Spiegel"
(B) "Focus"
(C) "Die Zeit"
(D) "Stern"

Filterweiterleitung:
- Spiegel >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1770
- Focus >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1770
- Die Zeit >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1770
- Stern >> if "yes" continue with KPX_1770
- if all answers are "no" or "no answer" is given continue with KPX_1490

Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1770
Waves: 1  Topic: Media reliance
Item: News magazines – bias, in general

Origin: Tracking
Presentation: "usually" in italics
Figure 1:
News magazines/weekly newspapers, to be inserted in [...]:
A) "Spiegel"
B) "Focus"
C) "Die Zeit"
D) "Stern"

---> Always write titles in italics

Write parties and "no parties" in bold
Please tell the respondents that multiple answers are possible.

Split:

Filter:
Filter of KPX_1760 (if the respondent answered that he read political reports in the requested news magazines)

Question:
If you particularly think about the reports on parties of [news magazine of KPX_1760], what is your impression? Are these reports usually more favourable for some parties than for others? If yes, for which political party?

1) Yes, for CDU/CSU
2) Yes, for SPD
3) Yes, for FDP
4) Yes, for GRÜENE
5) Yes, for DIE LINKE
6) Yes, more favourable for another party
7) No, the reports were not in favour of a certain party

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(0) Not mentioned
(1) Mentioned
(99) No answer

### Televised debate

**Variable:** KPX_1780  
**Waves:** 4,5  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Probability of reception

**Origin:** Televised debate

**Presentation:** with "don’t know"

**Split:** Split half, half the respondents is asked question KPX_1780 and KPX_1790, the others will not be asked.

**Question:**  
On 13 September, there will be a televised debate between Chancellor Angela Merkel and the chancellor candidate of SPD, Frank-Walter Steinmeier. Will you watch this debate?

**Coding:**  
(1) Certainly
(2) Probably
(3) Perhaps
(4) Probably not
(5) Certainly not
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1790  
**Waves:** 4,5  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Expected result Ausgang

**Origin:** Televised debate

**Presentation:** with "don’t know"

**Question:**  
What do you think, who will perform better in this debate?

**Coding:**  
(1) Angela Merkel
(2) Frank-Walter Steinmeier
(3) Both equally well
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1800  
**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Reception

**Origin:** Q09

**Split:**

**Presentation:**

**Question:**  
Did you watch the televised debate between Angela Merkel and Frank-Walter Steinmeier on 13 September?
- Yes, I watched it completely.
- Yes, I watched it partly.
- No, I didn’t watch the debate.

**Filter redirection:** If 1 or 2 -> KPX_1810
If 3 or no answer -> KPX_1870

**Coding:**  
(1) Yes, I watched debate completely
(2) Yes, I watched debate partly
(3) No
(99) No answer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How well do you think Angela Merkel came out of the debate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Very well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Neither well nor poorly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Poorly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) Very poorly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How well do you think Frank-Walter Steinmeier came out of the debate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Very well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Neither well nor poorly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Poorly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) Very poorly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1830</th>
<th>Waves: 6</th>
<th>Topic: Televised debate</th>
<th>Item: Televised debate: Positive aspects Merkel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Televised debate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Please now think of Angela Merkel. What did you like most about her performance in the televised debate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coding by institute.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1840</th>
<th>Waves: 6</th>
<th>Topic: Televised debate</th>
<th>Item: Televised debate: Negative aspects Merkel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Televised debate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>And what didn’t you like at all about her performance in the televised debate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coding by institute.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Televised debate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please think of Frank-Walter Steinmeier now. What did you like most about his performance in the televised debate?

**Coding:**
Coding by institute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1860</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Televised debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Televised debate: Negative aspects Steinmeier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Televised debate

**Split:**
If question KPX_1800 was answered with 1 or 2:

**Presentation:** Open question, description field

**Question:** And what didn’t you like at all about his performance in the televised debate?

**Coding:**
Coding by institute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1870</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Televised debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Televised debate: Reception of media response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Televised debate

**Split:**
If KPX_1870 was answered with "yes".

**Presentation:**
Regardless of whether you watched the televised debate or not: Have you heard or read reports on the outcome of the televised debate in the media?

- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:** If yes -> KPX_1880

If no or no answer is given -> KPX_1900

**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1880</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Televised debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Televised debate: Perceived media response, Merkel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Televised debate

**Split:**
If KPX_1870 was answered with "yes".

**Presentation:**
How well did the media think Angela Merkel came out of the debate?

**Coding:**
(1) Very well
(2) Well
(3) Neither well nor poorly
(4) Poorly
(5) Very poorly
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1890</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Televised debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Televised debate: Perceived media response, Steinmeier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Origin:** Televised debate

**Split:**
If KPX_1870 was answered with "yes".

**Presentation:**
How well did the media think Frank-Walter Steinmeier came out of the debate?

**Coding:**
(1) Very well
(2) Well
(3) Neither well nor poorly
(4) Poorly
(5) Very poorly
(99) No answer

**Variable: KPX_1900**
**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Reception of response of social environment

**Origin:** Televised debate
**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
**Question:** Did you discuss the outcome of the debate with other people, for example relatives, friends, or work colleagues?
**Filter redirection:** If yes (1 or 2) -> KPX_1910  
If no or no answer is given -> KPX_380
**Coding:**
(1) Yes, in depth  
(2) Yes, but just in passing  
(3) No  
(99) No answer

**Variable: KPX_1910**
**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Reception of response of social environment, Merkel

**Origin:** Televised debate
**Split:**
**Filter:** If KPX_1900 was answered with "yes".
**Presentation:**
**Question:** How well did the people you talked to think Angela Merkel came out of the debate?
**Coding:**
(1) Very well  
(2) Well  
(3) Neither well nor poorly  
(4) Poorly  
(5) Very poorly  
(99) No answer

**Variable: KPX_1920**
**Waves:** 6  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Reception of response of social environment, Steinmeier

**Origin:** Televised debate
**Split:**
**Filter:** If KPX_1900 was answered with "yes".
**Presentation:**
**Question:** And what about Frank-Walter Steinmeier? How well did the people you talked to think he came out of the debate?
**Coding:**
(1) Very well  
(2) Well  
(3) Neither well nor poorly  
(4) Poorly  
(5) Very poorly  
(99) No answer

**Networks**

**Variable: KPX_1930**
**Waves:** 1-7  
**Topic:** Networks  
**Item:** Discussions about politics

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09
**Split:**
**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
On how many days of the last week did you talk about politics and political parties with other people?

- Not at all
- On 1 day
- On 2 days
- On 3 days
- On 4 days
- On 5 days
- On 6 days
- On 7 days

**Filter redirection:**
- On at least one day >> continue with KPX_1940 ("First discussion partner, relationship")
- Not at all or no answer >> continue with KPX_960 (wave 1); KPX_400 (wave 2); KPX_370 (wave 3);
  KPX_470 (wave 4); KPX_2660 (wave 5); KPX_641 (wave 6); KPX_900 (wave 7)

**Coding:**
(0) Not at all
(1) 1 day
(2) 2 days
(3) 3 days
(4) 4 days
(5) 5 days
(6) 6 days
(7) 7 days
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1940</th>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic: Networks</th>
<th>Item: First discussion partner, relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09 modified

**Split:**

**Filter:**
Filter of KPX_1930 ("Discussions about politics"), if the answer was (>=1 and <=7)

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
If you now think about the person who you talked about politics and political parties most frequently within the last week: What relationship do you have with this person?

- Spouse/Partner
- Parents
- Child
- Other relative
- Friend
- Work colleague or fellow student
- Neighbour
- Other person

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Spouse/Partner
(2) Parents
(3) Child
(4) Other relative
(5) Friend
(6) Work colleague or fellow student
(7) Neighbour
(8) Other person
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_1950</th>
<th>Waves: 1-7</th>
<th>Topic: Networks</th>
<th>Item: First discussion partner, knowledge of politics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09 modified

**Split:**
Filter:
Filter of KPX_1930 ("Discussions about politics"), if the respondent talked about politics with another person on at least one day of the previous week.

Presentation:
Question:
In your opinion, how much does this person know about politics?
- A great deal
- A fair amount
- Not so much
- Nothing at all

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Nothing at all
(2) Not so much
(3) A fair amount
(4) A great deal
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1960
Waves: 1-7
Topic: Networks
difference of opinion
Item: First discussion partner, difference of opinion

Origin: Tracking, Q09 modified
Split:
Filter:
Filter of KPX_1930 ("Discussions about politics"), if the respondent talked about politics with another person on at least one day.

Presentation:
Question:
When you talk about politics with this person, how often, would you say, do you have different opinions?
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never
(2) Rarely
(3) Sometimes
(4) Often
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_1970
Waves: 1-7
Topic: Networks
decision
Item: First discussion partner, voting decision

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Presentation:
Cf. Figure 1;
Description field for "Other party, namely ____":
If "Other party, namely" was selected, then the description field has to be filled in (plausibility check!)

Filter:
Filter of KPX_1930 ("Discussions about politics"), if the respondent talked about politics with another person on at least one day.

Question pre-election:
Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the federal election on 27 September, or do you think he/she will not vote at all?

Question post-election:
Which party do you think this person voted for in the federal election on 27 September, or do you think he/she didn’t vote at all?

Pre-election:
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRÜNE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ____
- Not yet decided
- Will not vote
- Is not eligible to vote
- Don’t know

**Post-election:**

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRÜNE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ____
- Did not vote
- Was not eligible to vote
- Don’t know

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**

(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely (coding by institute)
(991) Not yet decided
(995) Will not vote/did not vote
(996) Is not eligible to vote/wasn’t eligible to vote (starting in W3)
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_1980

**Waves:** 1-7

**Topic:** Networks

**Item:** First discussion partner, party identification

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09

**Note:** Attention, please program two versions, depending on the filter transmitting the respondent to the question. See below!

**Split:**

**Variant KPX_1980a:**

**Filter:** [If the respondent stated a party at the question “voting decision of discussion partner” KPX_1970:]

**Presentation:**

**Question:**

If you now think about this person, is he/she inclined...

(1) to support this party permanently?
(2) to actually support another party permanently?
(3) not to support a particular party permanently?

**Filter redirection:** If 1 or 3 >> KPX_960 (wave 1); KPX_400 (wave 2); KPX_370 (wave 3); KPX_470 (wave 4); KPX_2660 (wave 5); KPX_641 (wave 6); KPX_900 (wave 7)
If 2 >> KPX_1990

**Coding:**

(1) Discussion partner is inclined to support this party permanently
(2) Discussion partner is actually inclined to support another party permanently
(3) Discussion partner is inclined not to support a particular party permanently
(99) No answer
Variant KPX_1980b:
Filter: [If no party was stated at question “voting decision of discussion partner“ (abstention, not yet decided, don’t know or no answer:]

Presentation:
Question:
What do you think, is this person...
(2) inclined to support a particular party permanently, or
(3) not inclined to support a particular party permanently?

Filter redirection: If 3 >> KPX_960 (wave 1); KPX_400 (wave 2); KPX_370 (wave 3); KPX_470 (wave 4); KPX_2660 (wave 5); KPX_641 (wave 6); KPX_900 (wave 7)
If 2 >> KPX_1990

Coding:
(2) Discussion partner is inclined to support a particular party permanently
(3) Discussion partner is not inclined to support a particular party permanently
(99) No answer

Note: Actually the filter redirection for “no answer” at KPX_1970 was only in effect in waves 1 and 2. Respondents providing “No answer” at KPX_1970 were filtered out in waves 3 to 7 and, consequently, show “Not applicable” at KPX_1980b in the data set.

Variable: KPX_1990
Waves: 1-7
Topic: Networks
Item: First discussion partner, party
Identification, party

Origin: new
Split:
Filter: [If the answer at “first discussion partner, party identification” KPX_1980 was “2”, valid for both variants of KPX_1980!]. Filter out party mentioned at KPX_1970!

Presentation: Plausibility check for other party

Question:
Which party is that?
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ____
- No party
- Don’t know

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely (coding by institute)
(808) No party
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Notes: The field institute wrongly put CDU and CSU in just one answer category, thus resulting in the inconsistency between question and coding. Waves 1 to 4 wrongly showed the answer category “No party”; this was replaced by "Don’t know” as of wave 5!

Variable: KPX_2000
Waves: 3
Topic: Networks
Item: Further discussion partners

Note: If there are a lot of answers in VW3, then integration into further VW-waves.
Origin: Q09
**Split:**
**Filter:** If the value stated at KPX_1930 was \( \geq 1 \).

**Presentation:**

**Question**
Is there another person or are there even several persons you talked to about parties and the federal election during the last week?
1. Yes, one person
2. Yes, several persons
3. No, nobody

**Filter redirection:**
- 1 or 2 >> continue with KPX_2010 ("Second discussion partner, relationship")
- 3, 99 >> continue with KPX_370

**Coding:**
(1) Yes, one person
(2) Yes, several persons
(3) No, nobody
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Networks</th>
<th>Item: Second discussion partner, relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09 modified

**Split:**
**Filter 1:** [If 1 at KPX_2000, thus one person:]
**Filter 2:** [If 2 at KPX_2000, thus several persons:]

**Presentation:**

**Question 1:**
What is your relation to this person?

**Question 2:**
Please think of the person you talked to most often about parties and the federal election, what is your relation to this person?
- Spouse/Partner
- Parents
- Child
- Other relative
- Friend
- Work colleague or fellow student
- Neighbour
- Other person

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Spouse/Partner
(2) Parents
(3) Child
(4) Other relative
(5) Friend
(6) Work colleague or fellow student
(7) Neighbour
(8) Other person
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2020</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Networks</th>
<th>Item: Second discussion partner, knowledge of politics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09 modified

**Split:**
**Filter:**
Filter of KPX_2000 ("Discussions about politics"), if (1 or 2) was answered

**Presentation:** Figure 1

**Question:**
In your opinion, how much does this person know about politics?
- A great deal
- A fair amount
- Not so much
- Nothing at all

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Nothing at all
(2) Not so much
(3) A fair amount
(4) A great deal
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2030</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Networks</th>
<th>Item: Second discussion partner, difference of opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09 modified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Filter of KPX_2000 (&quot;Discussion about politics&quot;), if the answer was (1 or 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Figure 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: When you talk about politics with this person, how often would you say you have different opinions?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never
(2) Rarely
(3) Sometimes
(4) Often
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2040</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Networks</th>
<th>Item: Second discussion partner, voting decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Cf. Figure 1; Description field for &quot;other party, namely ____&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If &quot;other party, namely&quot; was selected, then the description field has to be filled in (plausibility check!)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Filter of KPX_2000 (&quot;Discussion about politics&quot;), if the answer was (1 or 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the federal election on 27 September, or do you think he/she will not vote at all?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ____
- Not yet decided
- Will not vote
- Is not eligible to vote
- Don’t know

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely (coding by institute)
(991) Not yet decided
(995) Will not vote
(996) Is not eligible to vote
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

| Variable: KPX_2050 | Waves: 3 | Topic: Networks | Item: Second discussion partner, party identification |

Note: Attention, please program two versions, depending on the filter transmitting the respondent to the question. See below!

Split:
Filter: [If the respondent stated a party at the question “voting decision of the discussion partner” KPX_2040:]
Presentation:
Question: What do you think, is this person inclined...
(1) to support this party permanently?
(2) to actually support another party permanently?
(3) not to support a particular party permanently?

Filter redirection: If 1 or 3 >> KPX_400
If 2 >> KPX_2060

Filter: [If no party was stated at question “voting decision of discussion partner“ (abstention, not yet decided, don’t know or no answer):]
Presentation:
Question: What do you think, is this person...
(2) inclined to support a specific party permanently, or
(3) not inclined to support a specific party permanently?

Filter redirection: If 3 >> KPX_400
If 2 >> KPX_2060

Coding:
(1) Discussion partner is inclined to support this party permanently
(2) Discussion partner is inclined to support another party permanently
(3) Discussion partner is not inclined to support a particular party permanently
(99) No answer

| Variable: KPX_2060 | Waves: 3 | Topic: Networks | Item: Second discussion partner, party identification, party |

Origin: new
Split:
Filter: [If at question “second discussion partner, party identification“ KPX_2050 ”2“ was stated, valid for both variants of KPX_2050!]
Presentation: Plausibility check for other party
Question:
Which party is that?
- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ____
- No party
- Don’t know

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) Other party, namely (coding by institute)
(808) No party
(998) Don’t know
(999) No answer

Predispositions

Variable: KPX_2070
Waves: 2,5,7
Topic: Predispositions
Item: Battery of values
Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Scale: Seven-point-scale without numerical marking
Marking: left "I would definitely not like living in that kind of society", right "I would definitely like living in that kind of society"

Question:
Here are a few descriptions of different types of societies. Please tell me if you would like living in such a society.
A society that attaches importance to the fact that people, ...
(A) may live in self-fulfilment.
(B) are hard working and productive.
(C) accept responsibility for each other.
(D) stick to the rules.
(E) are confident and critical.
(F) are free to act or not act as they want.
(G) live in economic security and prosperity.
(H) are politically active.

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) I would definitely not like living in that kind of society
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) I would definitely like living in that kind of society
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2080
Waves: 6
Topic: Predispositions
Item: Inglehart-Items
Origin: DFG94-02
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Figure 2; Put targets in rows and “most important”, “second most important”, “third most important” in columns. Only one marking is possible per column and per objective.

Question:
Even in politics it is impossible to pursue all goals at the same time. Here you can find some goals politicians may pursue. If you had to choose between these objectives, which one would you consider to be the most important, which one the second most important and which one the third most important?

A Maintaining law and order in this country
B Increasing the citizens’ influence on government decisions
C Fight against rising prices
D Protecting the right to freedom of expression

Filter redirection:
**Variable:** KPX_2090  
**Waves:** 1,3,5,7  
**Topic:** Predispositions  
**Item:** Party identification  

**Origin:** Tracking, Q09  

**Split:**  

**Presentation:** half-open, description field for "other party"; plausibility check  

**Question:**  
Many people in Germany feel close to a particular political party for a longer period of time even if they occasionally vote for another party. What about you? In general terms, are you feel close to a particular political party? And if so, which one?  

- CDU  
- CSU  
- SPD  
- FDP  
- GRUENE  
- DIE LINKE  
- Other party  
- No party  

**Filter redirection:**  
- Party stated >> continue with KPX_2100 ("Party identification, strength")  
- No party >> KPX_2601 (wave 1); KPX_2602 (wave 3); KPX_2150 (wave 5); KPX_141 (wave 7)  
- No answer >> KPX_2601 (wave 1); KPX_2602 (wave 3); KPX_2150 (wave 5); KPX_141 (wave 7)  

**Coding:**  
(1) Very strongly  
(2) Fairly strongly  
(3) Moderately  
(4) Fairly weakly  
(5) Very weakly  
(9) No answer  
(99) No answer
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2110</th>
<th>Waves: 1,3,5,7</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Party identification, persistence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Filter of KPX_2090 (&quot;Party identification&quot;), if the answer was 1-801.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: How long have you been attached to this party?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- As long as I can remember</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- For many years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- For a few years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- For a few months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- For a few weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) As long as I can remember</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) For many years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) For a few years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) For a few months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) For a few weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2120</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Party identification, inquiries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: DFG9402</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: Filter of KPX_2090 (&quot;Party identification&quot;), if PID is available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Figure 2, five-point-scale without numerical marking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: As part of our study we would like to find out what people mean when they say that they are attached to a particular political party or identify more closely with one party rather than with others. Would you please tell me how accurately the following views reflect yours?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A This party is very important to me. What happens to this party is not a matter of indifference to me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B The party does not mean that much to me, but its politicians are currently better than those of other parties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C I don't feel particularly attached to this party, but in the past I did vote for it frequently.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D The party is not all important to me, but it currently pursues better policies than other parties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Strongly disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Rather disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Rather agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Strongly agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2130</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Party identification father</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Other party, namely, with input field, plausibility check!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: If you now think about your father, is he or was he close to a particular political party? If so, which party?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you now think about your mother, is she or was she close to a particular political party? If so, which party?

- SPD
- CDU
- CSU
- FDP
- GRUENE
- DIE LINKE
- Other party, namely ___
- No party

Variable: KPX_2140
Waves: 5
Topic: Predispositions
Item: Party identification mother

Let’s look at some statements about what might be considered just and fair in Germany. Please state whether you agree or not with these statements.

(A) People are only then willing to take on additional responsibility at work if they are being paid for it.
(B) The state should guarantee a minimum standard of living for all.
(C) It is fair that some people can afford to pay for a better education of their children.
(D) The state should introduce an upper income limit.
(E) It is fair that some professions are considered to be more prestigious than others.
(F) It is quite correct that employers make good profits because in the long run everybody will benefit.
- Strongly disagree
- Rather disagree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Rather agree
- Strongly agree

**Coding:**
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>KPX_2160</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: General trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong></td>
<td>Q09 modified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Split:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td>Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking. Marking of left endpoint “you can’t be too careful” right “most people are trustworthy”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td>Generally speaking, do you think that most people are trustworthy or, on the contrary, that you can’t be too careful in dealings with other people? Please tell me what you think using the following scale.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong></td>
<td>(1) 1 You can’t be too careful (2) 2 (3) 3 (4) 4 (5) 5 (6) 6 (7) 7 (8) 8 (9) 9 (10) 10 (11) 11 Most people are trustworthy (99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>KPX_2170</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: General well-being</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong></td>
<td>Q09 modified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Split:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td>Eleven-point-scale without numerical marking. Marking of left endpoint ”completely dissatisfied“ and right ”completely satisfied“</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td>All in all, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you currently with your life? Please tell me what you think using the following scale.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong></td>
<td>(1) 1 Completely dissatisfied (2) 2 (3) 3 (4) 4 (5) 5 (6) 6 (7) 7 (8) 8 (9) 9 (10) 10 (11) 11 Completely satisfied (99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>KPX_2180</th>
<th>Waves: 1.6</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Personality battery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong></td>
<td>Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Figure 2, no numerical marking.
Split to two screens, first screen: A-E, second screen: F-J

**Question:**
Please tell me whether you agree or disagree that the following statements accurately describe you.

A  I tend to be somewhat shy and reserved.
B  I easily trust other people and see the good in others.
C  I perform tasks very thoroughly.
D  I am relaxed and remain unruffled even in times of stress.
E  I have an active imagination and am inventive.
F  I am a convivial person and can let myself go.
G  I tend to criticize other people.
H  I am an idle person and tend to be lazy.
I  I easily get nervous and uneasy.
J  I have only little interest in creative things.

**Coding:**
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2190</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: ASKO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** DFG94-02
**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Fields to be clicked on next to the terms

**Question:**
Now we would like to know how you perceive yourself and the world around you in general. Please look at the following terms and state which one you like best at first sight – please note that these terms do not necessarily contradict each other.

A  Constant change  or  Orderly conditions
B  New ideas  or  Longstanding views
C  Surprising situations  or  Clear conditions
D  Tranquility and order  or  Flow and innovation
E  Integration and submission  or  Rebellion
F  Receptiveness to change  or  Ties to traditions
G  Strict rules  or  Improvisation
H  New, unknown things  or  Well-known things
I  Preservation of traditions  or  Reforms

**Coding:**
(1) [first term]
(2) [second term]
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2200</th>
<th>Waves: 1.5</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Attachment battery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Origin:** Tracking
**Presentation:** Figure 2

**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Question:**
People feel attached to Germany, Europe, their state and their community to a different degree. How about you?
How strongly do you feel attached to…

(A)  The community you live in?
(B)  The state you live in?
(C)  Germany?
The European Union?
Europe?
- not attached at all
- less attached
- fairly attached
- very attached

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Not attached at all
(2) Less attached
(3) Fairly attached
(4) Very attached
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2250
Waves: 5
Topic: Predispositions
Item: Fairness social order
Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Figure 1
Question:
Please now think of the state of the society in Germany. How fair or unfair are the current conditions?

- Very fair
- Quite fair
- Neither fair nor unfair
- Quite unfair
- Very unfair

Coding:
(1) Very fair
(2) Quite fair
(3) Neither fair nor unfair
(4) Quite unfair
(5) Very unfair
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2260
Waves: 5
Topic: Predispositions
Item: Fairness population group
Origin: DFG94-02
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Figure 1
Question:
Regardless of how fair a society is, as a matter of fact there are always some people or population groups who are privileged and some who are disadvantaged. In your opinion, do you belong to those in our society who are...

- ...rather disadvantaged.
- ...neither disadvantaged nor privileged.
- ...rather privileged.

Coding:
(1) ...rather disadvantaged.
(2) ...neither disadvantaged nor privileged.
(3) ...rather privileged.
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2270
Waves: 5
Topic: Predispositions
Item: Fairness ego
Origin: Allbus, Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation: Figure 1
**Question:**
Compared to the way other people live here in Germany: Do you think you receive your fair share or do you think you don’t?

- Much less than the fair share
- slightly less
- the fair share
- slightly more
- Much more than the fair share

**Coding:**
(1) Much less than the fair share
(2) Slightly less
(3) The fair share
(4) Slightly more
(5) Much more than the fair share
(99) No answer

---

**Socio-demography**

**Variable:** KPX_2280
**Waves:** 1-7
**Topic:** Socio-demography
**Item:** Sex

**Origin:** Q09
**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
**Question:**
Please specify your gender.
1 Male
2 Female

**Coding:**
(1) Male
(2) Female
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2290
**Waves:** 1-7
**Topic:** Socio-demography
**Item:** Date of birth

**Origin:** Q09
**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Input field with 2 digits, plausibility check: Figures allowed only in the range between 1900 and 1991!
**Question:**
Please enter your date of birth.
---

**Variable:** KPX_2300
**Waves:** 1,(3)
**Topic:** Socio-demography
**Item:** Marital status

**Origin:** Q09 modified
**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
**Question:**
Please state your marital status.
1 single
2 married
3 married but living separated
4 civil union
5 divorced
6 widowed

**Filter redirection:**
**Coding:**
(1) Single
(2) Married
(3) Married but living separated
(4) Civil union
(5) Divorced
(6) Widowed
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2310
**Waves:** 1,2,(3)
**Topic:** Socio-demography
**Item:** Respondent has a partner

**Origin:** Q09 modified
**Split:**
**Filter:** Of KPX_2440, if the answer there was at least “2 persons”.

**Presentation:**
**Question:** Do you live with a partner?
- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2320
**Waves:** 1-7
**Topic:** Socio-demography
**Item:** School leaving certificate

**Origin:** Q09
**Split:**
**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
**Question:** What general school leaving certificate do you have?
1 Finished school without school leaving certificate
2 Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling (“Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss”)
3 Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling (“Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss, or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse”)
4 Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences (“Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)”)
5 Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university (“Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)”)  
6 I am still a school student

**Filter redirection:** If 1-5 >> KPX_2330
If 6 >> KPX_2090

**Coding:**
(1) Finished school without school leaving certificate
(2) Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling (“Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss”)
(3) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling (“Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse”)
(4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences (“Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)”)
(5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university (“Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)”)  
(6) I am still a school student
(99) No answer
Variable: KPX_2330  
Waves: 1,(3)  
Topic: Socio-demography  
Item: Vocational and professional training  

Origin: Q09 modified  
Split:  
Filter: Of KPX_2320, if the answer was 1 to 5  
Presentation: Multiple answers are possible! Description field for “other vocational training certificate, namely”  
Question:  
What vocational or professional training do you have?  
A) On-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme (“Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre”)  
B) Compact vocational training course (“Teilfacharbeiterabschluss”)  
C) Completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship (“Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre”)  
D) Completed commercial traineeship (“Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre”)  
E) Work placement/internship (“Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat”)  
F) Specialized vocational college certificate (“Berufsfachschulabschluss”)  
G) Technical or vocational college certificate (“Fachschulabschluss”)  
H) Master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate (“Meister, Technikerabschluss”)  
I) Polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree (“Fachhochschulabschluss”)  
J) University degree (“Hochschulabschluss”)  
K) No completed vocational training  
L) Other vocational training certificate, namely  

Filter redirection:  
Coding:  
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  

Variable: KPX_2340  
Waves: 1,(3)  
Topic: Socio-demography  
Item: Employment status  

Origin: Q09 modified  
Split:  
Filter: Of KPX_2320, if the answer was 1-5. Do not ask if answer was 6.  
Presentation:  
Question:  
Are you currently in gainful employment? Which of the categories from this list applies to you best?  
- In full-time employment  
- In part-time employment  
- In a traineeship or apprenticeship  
- Working on a hourly basis  
- Currently unemployed  
- Currently on short-time work, zero work  
- Currently on a retraining course, i.e. a course financed by the employment agency  
- On maternity leave, parental leave  
- Housewife/househusband (not in full or part-time employment)  
- In early retirement, retirement, on a pension  
- Doing military/alternative community service  
- School student  
- Studying at a polytechnic/university of applied sciences or university  
- Incapacitated for work  

Filter redirection: If 1 to 4 and 6>> KPX_2360  
If 5, 7 to 11, 13, 14>> KPX_2350  
If 12>> KPX_2090  

Coding:  
(1) In full-time employment  
(2) In part-time employment  
(3) In a traineeship or apprenticeship  
(4) Working on a hourly basis  
(5) Currently unemployed  
(6) Currently on short-time work, zero work  
(7) Currently on a retraining course, i.e. a course financed by the employment agency
(8) On maternity leave, parental leave
(9) Housewife/househusband (not in full or part-time employment)
(10) In early retirement, retirement, on a pension
(11) Doing military/alternative community service
(12) School student
(13) Studying at a polytechnic/university of applied sciences or university
(14) Incapacitated for work
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2350</th>
<th>Waves: 1,(3)</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Former gainful employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: If 5, 7-11, 13, 14 at KPX_2340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever been in full-time employment in the past?</td>
<td>1 Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2360</th>
<th>Waves: 1,(3)</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Unemployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: If 1-11, 13, 14 at KPX_2340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever been unemployed during the last 10 years?</td>
<td>1 Yes 2 No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection: If 1>> KPX_2370
If 2>> KPX_2380
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2370</th>
<th>Waves: 1,(3)</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Duration of unemployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: If 1 at KPX_2360 or 5 at KPX_2340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long were you unemployed for altogether during the last 10 years?</td>
<td>......................... months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(999) No answer

Note: The field institute failed to program filter redirection to KPX_2340.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2371</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Unemployment at the moment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you or is anyone close to you unemployed at the moment?
- Yes, I am unemployed
- Yes, someone close to me is unemployed
- Yes, I am unemployed and someone close to me is also unemployed
- No, I am not unemployed nor is anyone close to me unemployed

Filter redirection: If 1 or 3 -> KPX_2372, if 2 or 4 -> KPX_2640
Coding:
(1) Yes, I am unemployed
(2) Yes, someone close to me is unemployed
(3) Yes, I am unemployed and someone close to me is also unemployed
(4) No, I am not unemployed nor is anyone close to me unemployed
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2372
Waves: 5  
Topic: Socio-demography  
Item: Duration of unemployment at the moment
Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: If 1 or 3 at KPX_2371
Presentation: Pull-down menu for months and years
Question:
How long have you been unemployed?
Please state month and year.
Since [month] [year]
Filter redirection:
Coding:
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2380
Waves: 1,(3)  
Topic: Socio-demography  
Item: Profession
Origin: Q09 modified
Split:
Filter: If 1-4, 6 at KPX_2340
Presentation:
Question:
Now let’s talk about your profession. Which of the categories from this list applies best to you?
- Self-employed farmer
- Self-employed professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- Civil servant/Judge/Professional solider
- Employee
- Worker
- In vocational training
- Family member assisting in family business

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Self-employed farmer
(2) Self-employed professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) Civil servant/Judge/Professional solider
(5) Employee
(6) Worker
(7) In vocational training
(8) Family member assisting in family business
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2390
Waves: 1,(3)  
Topic: Socio-demography  
Item: Former profession
Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: If 1 at KPX_2350
Presentation:
Question:
Let’s talk about your former profession. Which of the categories from this list applies best to you?
- Self-employed farmer
- Self-employed professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
- Employee
- Worker
- In vocational training
- Family member assisting in family business

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Self-employed farmer
(2) Self-employed professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
(5) Employee
(6) Worker
(7) In vocational training
(8) Family member assisting in family business
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2391
**Waves:** 1,(3)
**Topic:** Socio-demography
**Item:** School leaving certificate partner

**Origin:** Q09
**Split:**
**Filter:** If 1 at KPX_2310 or 2-4 at KPX_2300

**Presentation:**
**Question:** What general school leaving certificate does your spouse/partner have?
1. Finished school without school leaving certificate
2. Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
3. Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
4. Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc."")
5. Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)"")
6. Is still a school student

**Filter redirection:** If 1-5 >> KPX_2400
If 6>> KPX_2090

**Coding:**
(1) Finished school without school leaving certificate
(2) Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
(3) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
(4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.")
(5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)"")
(6) Is still a school student
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2400
**Waves:** 1,(3)
**Topic:** Socio-demography
**Item:** Gainful employment partner

**Origin:** Q09 modified
**Split:**
**Filter:** If 1 at KPX_2310 and KPX_2391 ≠ 6.

**Presentation:**
**Question:** Is your partner currently in gainful employment? If yes, which of the categories from this list applies to him/her?
- In full-time employment
- In part-time employment
- In a traineeship or apprenticeship
- Working on a hourly basis
- Currently unemployed
- Currently on short-time work, zero work
- Currently on a retraining course, i.e. a course financed by the employment agency
- On maternity leave, parental leave
- Housewife/househusband (not in full or part-time employment)
- In early retirement, retirement, on a pension
- Doing military/alternative community service
- School student
- Studying at a polytechnic/university of applied sciences or university
- Incapacitated for work

Filter redirection: If 1 to 4 or 6>> KPX_2420
If 5-11, 13, 14>> KPX_2410
If 12>> KPX_2090

Coding:
(1) In full-time employment
(2) In part-time employment
(3) In a traineeship or apprenticeship
(4) Working on a hourly basis
(5) Currently unemployed
(6) Currently on short-time work, zero work
(7) Currently on a retraining course, i.e. a course financed by the employment agency
(8) On maternity leave, parental leave
(9) Housewife/househusband (not in full or part-time employment)
(10) In early retirement, retirement, on a pension
(11) Doing military/alternative community service
(12) School student
(13) Studying at a polytechnic/university of applied sciences or university
(14) Incapacitated for work
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2410
Waves: 1,(3)
Topic: Socio-demography
Item: Former employment partner
Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: If the answer was 1-4 at KPX_2400
Presentation:
Question:
Has your partner ever been in full-time employment in the past?
1 Yes
2 No
Filter redirection: If 1>> KPX_2430
If 2>> KPX_2090
Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2420
Waves: 1,(3)
Topic: Socio-demography
Item: Profession partner
Origin: Q09 modified
Split:
Filter: If the answer was 1-4 at KPX_2400
Presentation:
Question:
Now we turn to your partner’s profession. Which of the categories from the following list applies best to your partner?
A: Self-employed farmer
B: Self-employed professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
C: Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
D: Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
Variable: KPX_2430
Waves: 1,(3)  Topic: Socio-demography  Item: Former profession partner

Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter: If 1 at KPX_2410
Presentation:
Question:
Now we turn to your partner’s former profession. Which of the categories from the following list applies best to your partner?

A: Self-employed farmer
B: Self-employed professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
C: Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
D: Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
E: Employee
F: Worker
G: In vocational training
H: family member assisting in family business

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Self-employed farmer
(2) Self-employed professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) Self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) Civil servant/Judge/Professional soldier
(5) Employee
(6) Worker
(7) In vocational training
(8) Family member assisting in family business
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2440
Waves: 1,(3)  Topic: Socio-demography  Item: Household size

Origin: Q09 modified
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Question:
How many people do permanently live in the same household with you, including you and your children?
- 1 person
- 2 persons
- 3 persons
- 4 persons
- 5 persons and more

Filter redirection: If 1>> KPX_2300
If 2 or more>> KPX_2450
Coding:
(1) 1 person
(2) 2 persons
(3) 3 persons
(4) 4 persons
(5) 5 persons and more
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2450  
**Waves:** 1, (3)  
**Topic:** Socio-demography  
**Item:** Persons under 18

**Origin:** Q09 modified  
**Split:**  
**Filter:** Of KPX_2440, if more than one person lives in the household  
**Presentation:**  
**Question:** How many persons living in your household are younger than 18 years?  
- Nobody  
- 1 person  
- 2 persons  
- 3 persons  
- 4 persons  
- 5 persons and more  
**Filter redirection:**  
**Coding:**  
(0) Nobody  
(1) 1 person  
(2) 2 persons  
(3) 3 persons  
(4) 4 persons  
(5) 5 persons and more  
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2460  
**Waves:** 2  
**Topic:** Socio-demography  
**Item:** Membership of organizations

**Origin:** Tracking modified, Q09  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
**Response options:** Check-off boxes  
Multiple answers are possible  
**Question:** Now, let's talk about memberships in organizations and associations. Are you a member of one of the following clubs, associations or organizations?  
(A) Trade union  
(B) Trade and employers' associations  
(C) Professional associations  
(D) Farmer’s associations or agricultural associations  
(E) Religious/church groups  
(F) Sports club/hobby club  
(G) Party  
(H) Groups critical to globalization, e.g. ATTAC  
(I) Women’s organizations  
(J) Environmental groups  
**Filter redirection:** If (G) “party” was answered, then ask which party  
**Coding:**  
(0) Not mentioned  
(1) Mentioned  
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2470  
**Waves:** 2  
**Topic:** Socio-demography  
**Item:** Membership of trade unions, household

**Origin:** Q09  
**Split:**  
**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
**Question:** Is anyone else in your household a member of a trade union?
1  Yes
2  No

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

**Note:** The field institute failed to program the answer "Don’t know" as originally designed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2480</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Religion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong> Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Split:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong> Answer category &quot;other&quot; with description field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong> What is your religion?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Roman Catholic
- Protestant
- Evangelical Free Church
- Other Christian denomination
- Jewish
- Islam
- Undenominational
- Other __________

**Filter redirection:**

**Transmission:**
- Roman Catholic >> continue with KPX_2490 ("Church attendance, Christian")
- Protestant >> continue with KPX_2490 ("Church attendance, Christian")
- Evangelical Free Church >> continue with KPX_2490 ("Church attendance, Christian")
- Other Christian denomination >> continue with KPX_2490 ("Church attendance, Christian")
- Jewish >> continue with KPX_2500 ("Synagogue attendance, Jewish")
- Islam >> continue with KPX_2510 ("Mosque attendance, Islamic")
- Other >> continue with KPX_2310
- Undenominational >> continue with KPX_2310
- No answer >> continue with KPX_2310

**Coding:**
(1) Roman Catholic
(2) Protestant
(3) Evangelical Free Church
(4) Other Christian confession
(5) Jewish
(6) Islam
(XX) Other, namely __________ (coding by institute)
(11) Undenominational
(99) No answer

**Note:** Due to considerations of data protection the answer options 'jewish', 'islam', and 'other' were collapsed into 'other confession'. All other answers were recoded according to the coding scheme 'religion'. The coding scheme can be downloaded at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2490</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Church attendance, Christian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong> Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Split:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong> Filter of KPX_2480 (&quot;Religion&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How often do you usually go to church?

- Never
- Once a year
- Several times a year
- Once a month
- Two to three times a month
- Once a week
- More than once a week

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never
(2) Once a year
(3) Several times a year
(4) Once a month
(5) Two to three times a month
(6) Once a week
(7) More than once a week
(99) No answer

Note: Due to considerations of data protection the answers to the questions KPX_2490 'Church attendance, Christian', KPX_2500 'Synagogue attendance, Jewish', and KPX_2500 'Mosque attendance, Islamic' were merged in the variable kirchg 'Church/mosque/synagogue attendance'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Socio-demography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Synagogue attendance, Jewish</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Filter of KPX_2480 ("Religion")
Presentation:
Question:
How often do you usually go to the synagogue?

- Never
- Once a year
- Several times a year
- Once a month
- Two to three times a month
- Once a week
- More than once a week

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never
(2) Once a year
(3) Several times a year
(4) Once a month
(5) Two to three times a month
(6) Once a week
(7) More than once a week
(99) No answer

Note: Due to considerations of data protection the answers to the questions KPX_2490 'Church attendance, Christian', KPX_2500 'Synagogue attendance, Jewish', and KPX_2500 'Mosque attendance, Islamic' were merged in the variable kirchg 'Church/mosque/synagogue attendance'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2510</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic: Socio-demography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item: Mosque attendance, Islamic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:
Filter:
Filter of KPX_2480 ("Religion")
Presentation:
Question:
How often do you pray in the mosque apart from during Ramadan?
- Never
- Once a year
- Several times a year
- Once a month
- Two to three times a month
- Once a week
- More than once a week

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Never
(2) Once a year
(3) Several times a year
(4) Once a month
(5) Two to three times a month
(6) Once a week
(7) More than once a week
(99) No answer

Note: Due to considerations of data protection the answers to the questions KPX_2490 'Church attendance, Christian', KPX_2500 'Synagogue attendance, Jewish', and KPX_2500 'Mosque attendance, Islamic' were merged in the variable kirchg 'Church/mosque/synagogue attendance'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2520</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: German citizenship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you been a German citizen since birth?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Yes &gt;&gt; continue with KPX_2540 (&quot;Country of birth&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No &gt;&gt; continue with KPX_2530 (&quot;German citizenship, since when&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(99) No answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2530</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: German citizenship, since when</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter of KPX_2520 (&quot;German citizenship&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plausibility check, only allow entries in the range between 1900-2009.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input field with 4 digits, note for the respondent: “Please enter 4 digits!”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since when do you have the German citizenship?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter redirection: KPX_2540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2540</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Country of birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking, Q09 modified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Description field for category &quot;abroad, namely&quot;;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plausibility check, if this was selected, then respondent must enter an answer!

Question: Where were you born?

1) Old federal states, West Germany
2) New federal states, East Germany or former German Democratic Republic
3) Former German territories in Eastern Europe, e.g. East Prussia, Silesia, Pomerania
XX) Abroad, namely ______

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Old federal states
(2) New federal states
(3) Former German territories in Eastern Europe
(XX) Abroad, namely
(99) No answer

Note: The respondents' answers to the questions KPX_2540 'Country of birth', KPX_2560 'Country of birth, partner', KPX_2570a 'Country of birth, mother', and KPX_2570b 'Country of birth, father' were recoded according to the coding scheme 'states'. The coding scheme can be downloaded at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2550</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Age, immigration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09 modified</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter: If “abroad” was stated at KPX_2540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Input field with 2 digits</td>
<td>Question: How old were you when you moved to Germany? At the age of ………………… years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(999) No answer
(1000) Not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2560</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Country of birth, partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Q09</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter: If 1 at KPX_2310.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Description field for category &quot;abroad, namely&quot;. Plausibility check, if this was selected, then respondent must enter an answer!</td>
<td>Question: Where was your partner born?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Old federal states, West Germany
2) New federal states, East Germany or former German Democratic Republic
3) Former German territories in Eastern Europe, e.g. East Prussia, Silesia, Pomerania
XX) Abroad, namely ______

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Old federal states
(2) New federal states
(3) Former German territories in Eastern Europe
(XX) Abroad, namely
(99) No answer

Note: The respondents' answers to the questions KPX_2540 'Country of birth', KPX_2560 'Country of birth, partner', KPX_2570a 'Country of birth, mother', and KPX_2570b 'Country of birth, father' were recoded according to the coding scheme 'states'. The coding scheme can be downloaded at www.gesis.org/gles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2570</th>
<th>Waves: 2</th>
<th>Topic: Socio-demography</th>
<th>Item: Country of birth, parents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Origin: Tracking modified</td>
<td>Split:</td>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Presentation: Description field for category "abroad, namely".
Plausibility check, if this was selected, then respondent must enter an answer!

Question:
(A) What country was your mother born in?

1) Old federal states, West Germany
2) New federal states, East Germany or former German Democratic Republic
3) Former German territories in Eastern Europe, e.g. East Prussia, Silesia, Pomerania
XX) Abroad, namely _____

(B) What country was your father born in?

1) Old federal states, West Germany
2) New federal states, East Germany or former German Democratic Republic
3) Former German territories in Eastern Europe, e.g. East Prussia, Silesia, Pomerania
XX) Abroad, namely _____

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Old federal states
(2) New federal states
(3) Former German territories in Eastern Europe
(XX) Abroad, namely
(99) No answer

Note: The respondents' answers to the questions KPX_2540 'Country of birth', KPX_2560 'Country of birth, partner', KPX_2570a 'Country of birth, mother', and KPX_2570b 'Country of birth, father' were recoded according to the coding scheme 'states'. The coding scheme can be downloaded at www.gesis.org/gles.

Variable: KPX_2580
Waves: 2  Topic: Socio-demography  Item: Subjective perception of class
Origin: Tracking, Q09
Split:  
Presentation:
Question:
People talk a lot about social classes these days. To which social class do you think you belong to?
- Working class
- Lower middle class
- Middle class
- Upper middle class
- Upper class

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Working class
(2) Lower middle class
(3) Middle class
(4) Upper middle class
(5) Upper class
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2590
Waves: 2  Topic: Socio-demography  Item: Net household income
Origin: Tracking modified, Q09
Split:  
Presentation:
Question:
How high is your household’s total net monthly income i.e. the total amount remaining after tax and other deductions? Please be sure that your data will be evaluated without revealing your name and for statistical purposes only.
- up to 499 Euro
- 500 - 999 Euro
- 1000 - 1499 Euro
- 1500 - 1999 Euro
Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Up to 499 Euro
(2) 500 - 999 Euro
(3) 1000 - 1499 Euro
(4) 1500 - 1999 Euro
(5) 2000 - 2499 Euro
(6) 2500 - 2999 Euro
(7) 3000 - 3499 Euro
(8) 3500 - 3999 Euro
(9) 4000 - 4499 Euro
(10) 4500 - 4999 Euro
(11) 5000 Euro and more
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2600
Waves: 2
Topic: Socio-demography
Item: Residence

Origin: Q09
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Question:
Please characterize the place you live in. Do you live in a…?
1 Large city
2 Small-town or medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
3 Small-town or medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
4 Rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
5 Rural area close to smaller towns
98 Don’t know
99 No answer

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) City
(2) Small-town, medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
(3) Small-town, medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
(4) Rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
(5) Rural area close to smaller towns
(98) Don’t know
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2601
Waves: 1,(3)
Topic: Socio-demography
Item: Federal state

Origin: new
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Question:
Please name the federal state you are currently living in.
- Baden-Wuerttemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine Westphalia
Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Schleswig-Holstein
(2) Hamburg
(3) Lower Saxony
(4) Bremen
(5) North Rhine Westphalia
(6) Hesse
(7) Rhineland Palatinate
(8) Baden-Wuerttemberg
(9) Bavaria
(10) Saarland
(11) Berlin
(12) Brandenburg
(13) Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
(14) Saxony
(15) Saxony-Anhalt
(16) Thuringia
(99) No answer

Note: Information about the federal state was combined from waves 1 and 3 and compared with postal codes from waves 1 and 3 and data from the online-panel account of the respondents. The released dataset contains the verified variable fedstate. A detailed explanation of the verification procedure is provided in the study description.

### Economic situation

Variable: KPX_2610
Waves: 5
Topic: Economic situation
Item: Satisfaction social market economy

Origin: Tracking 3
Split:
Filter:
Presentation:
Question:
On the whole, how satisfied or not are you with the social market economy in Germany? Are you..., ?

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) Very satisfied
(2) Fairly satisfied
(3) Neither/nor
(4) Fairly dissatisfied
(5) Very dissatisfied
(99) No answer
### Documentation of Questionnaire (all waves)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2620</th>
<th>Waves: 5</th>
<th>Topic: Economic situation</th>
<th>Item: Notion of social market economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong> Tracking 3&lt;br&gt;<strong>Presentation:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Figure 2, scale ranging from 1 to 6 without numerical marking&lt;br&gt;Marking: ‘1’ &quot;Social market economy is the worst conceivable economic system&quot;; ‘6’ &quot;social market economy is the best conceivable economic system&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Question:**<br>How do you rate the social market economy compared to other economic systems?

**Coding:**
1. 1 Social market economy is the worst conceivable economic system
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6 Social market economy is the best conceivable economic system

(99) No answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2630</th>
<th>Waves: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Economic situation</th>
<th>Item: Priority government spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong> Tracking, Allbus/ISSP&lt;br&gt;<strong>Presentation:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Cf. Figure 2&lt;br&gt;Marking: Five-point-scale, without numerical marking&lt;br&gt;Including category &quot;can’t tell&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Question:**
Please state if you think the government should spent more or less money for the following policies. However, please take into consideration that higher spending may entail higher taxes.

- (A) Environmental protection
- (B) Health care
- (C) Police and law enforcement
- (D) Education
- (E) Defense
- (F) Retirement payments and pensions
- (G) Unemployment benefits
- (H) Culture and Arts
- (I) Economy

**Coding:**
1. Spend much less
2. Spend less
3. Maintain expenses
4. Spend a bit more
5. Spend a lot more
(98) Can’t tell
(99) No answer

### Panel participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: KPX_2640</th>
<th>Waves: 1-6</th>
<th>Topic: Panel participation</th>
<th>Item: Participation next wave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Origin:</strong> new&lt;br&gt;<strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filter:

**Question waves 1-5:**
Thank you very much for your interest and your willingness to take part in this survey! As already announced in the invitation we would like to ask you again a number of questions about the federal election in the next few weeks. Your regular participation gives you the chance to win cash prizes. Of course, this does not affect your right not to take part in a survey due to vacation, business trips or due to other reasons. We will contact you again within the next 2 weeks and ask you to take part in another survey. Will it be possible for you to participate again?

**Question wave 6:**
Thank you very much for your interest and your willingness to take part in this survey! We will contact you again within the next 2 weeks for the very last time and ask you to take part in a survey. Will it be possible for you to participate again?

- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:** If “no”, then KPX_2650; if “yes”, then end of interview

**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

**Variable:** KPX_2650
**Waves:** 1-5
**Topic:** Panel participation
**Item:** Participation subsequent waves

**Origin:** new

**Presentation:**

**Filter:** If “no” at KPX_2640

**Question:**
Will it be possible for you to take part in the subsequent surveys?

- Yes
- No

**Filter redirection:**

**Coding:**
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

**Current topics**

**Variable:** KPX_2660
**Waves:** 3,5-7
**Topic:** Current topics
**Item:** German Armed Forces, foreign assignments

**Origin:** Deutschland-Trend Juli 2009 modified

**Presentation:** Figure 2

**Filter:**

**Question:**
Let’s talk about foreign policy now. Should the German Armed Forces continue to stay in the following regions or not?

(A) Afghanistan
(B) Kosovo
(C) The Horn of Africa
(D) Off the Lebanese coast
(E) Bosnia-Herzegovina

- Yes
- No
Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Yes
(2) No
(99) No answer

| Variable: KPX_2670 | Waves: 3,5-7 | Topic: Current topics | Item: German Armed Forces, war in Afghanistan |

Origin: Deutschland-Trend Juli 2009 modified
Presentation: Figure 1
Filter:
Question:
How appropriate is the term “war” for the Afghanistan-mission of the German Armed Forces?

- Very appropriate
- Fairly appropriate
- Partly appropriate/partly inappropriate
- Fairly inappropriate
- Completely inappropriate

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Completely inappropriate
(2) Fairly inappropriate
(3) Partly appropriate/partly inappropriate
(4) Fairly appropriate
(5) Very appropriate
(99) No answer

| Variable: KPX_2680 | Waves: 3,5 | Topic: Current topics | Item: Nuclear power, options |

Origin: Politbarometer 1993-2006 modified
Presentation: Figure 1
Filter:
Question:
Here you can find possible ways Germany could deal with nuclear power. Which statement is closest to your own opinion? Germany should...

- built more nuclear power plants.
- use all existing nuclear power plants without building new ones.
- shut down old nuclear power plants and only the new ones should be kept on running without limit.
- shut down all nuclear power plants immediately.

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) In Germany more nuclear power plants should be built.
(2) In Germany all existing nuclear power plants should be used without building new ones.
(3) In Germany the old nuclear power plants should be shut down and only the new ones should be kept on running without limit.
(4) In Germany all nuclear power plants should be shut down immediately.
(99) No answer

| Variable: KPX_2690 | Waves: 3,5 | Topic: Current topics | Item: Nuclear power, statements |

Origin: CIQ, proposal Thurner
Presentation: Figure 2, 5-point-scale without numerical marking
Filter:
Question:
Here are some statements concerning nuclear power. Please state whether you agree with each statement or not.

(A) We can’t stop climate change without the use of nuclear power.
(B) Nuclear power is the only way to limit the price increase of electricity.
(C) Germany’s nuclear power plants are safe.

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2700
Waves: 3,5
Topic: Current topics
Item: Old vs. young, statements

Origin: Old age survey modified, APuZ
Presentation: Figure 2, 5-point-scale without numerical marking, if necessary split to 2 screens
Filter:

Question:
Here are some statements concerning the relations between old and young people. Please state whether you agree with each statement or not.

(A) Elderly people care to less about the future of the younger.
(B) Elderly people built up all the things the younger now live off.
(C) Everybody should make provisions for his own retirement so that he won’t be a burden to anyone in the future.
(D) Gainfully employed persons are obliged to pay their contributions and taxes, thus enabling elderly people to maintain their standard of living.
(E) Elderly people earn their pensions because they paid their duties earlier.
(F) The younger generation will not be able to bear the financial burdens of the older generation in future.
(G) Pensions are too low and should be increased even if this may entail an increase of duties or taxes.
(H) Our pensions will continue to be safe in the future.
(I) Our pension system is unfair to the younger generation.

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2710
Waves: 3.5
Topic: Current topics
Item: Old vs. young, linking of pensions to net salaries

Origin: Politbarometer 1985 modified
Presentation: Figure 1
Filter:

Question:
People talk a lot about the linking of pensions to net salaries and net wages these days. What do you think? In future, pensions should…

- increase more than net wages and net salaries.
- increase just like net wages and net salaries.
- increase less than net wages and net salaries.

Filter redirection:
Coding:
(1) In future pensions should increase more than net wages and net salaries
(2) In future pensions should increase just like net wages and net salaries
(3) In future pensions should increase less than net wages and net salaries
(99) No answer

Variable: KPX_2720
Waves: 4  Topic: Current topics  Item: Economic crisis, satisfaction

Origin: Tracking 3
Presentation:
Scale ranging from -5 to +5, with zero point; endpoints: “completely dissatisfied”, “completely satisfied”

Filter:
How satisfied are you with the way the federal government combats the financial and economic crisis?
Please describe it using a scale from -5 to +5.

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) -5 Completely dissatisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 Completely satisfied
(99) No answer

Note: A great deal of the answers given at KP4_2720 “Economic crisis, satisfaction federal government” were mistakenly saved as 99 “No answer”, an error which could not be fixed.

Variable: KPX_2730
Waves: 4  Topic: Current topics  Item: Economic crisis, statements

Origin: Tracking 3, Deutschland-Trend
Presentation: Figure 2, 5-point-scale without numerical marking, if necessary split to two screens

Filter:
Here are some statements on the financial and economic crisis. Please state whether you agree with each statement or not.

(A) The combat against the economic crisis is a matter of experts, not of politicians.
(B) The savings in Germany are safe.
(C) The worst part of the crisis is still to come.
(D) It is the state’s duty to prevent the banks from going bankrupt.
(E) It is the state’s duty to prevent enterprises providing a lot of jobs from going bankrupt.
(F) The state should purchase company shares of the major and most important enterprises in times of crisis in order to take influence on the economy.
(G) The combat against the financial and economic crisis is a top priority.
(H) The costs resulting from the financial and economic crisis will be charged to the taxpayer.
(I) Germany is the victim of mistakes made in the U.S.
(J) The opposition should not criticize the government in the combat against the financial and economic crisis but support it.
(K) It is unfair to save only the big enterprises from going bankrupt.

Filter redirection:

Coding:
(1) Strongly disagree
(2) Rather disagree
(3) Neither agree nor disagree
(4) Rather agree
(5) Strongly agree
(99) No answer