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Introduction and preliminary remarks

In 1998, the present study was carried out by Prof. Dr. Falter, Prof. Dr. Gabriel, and Prof. Dr. Rattinger accompanying the parliamentary election. It was financed by DFG. The study comprised a pre-electoral and a post-electoral cross section survey, each in East and West Germany. At the same time it served as the second wave of the long-term panel regarding the parliamentary elections in 1994-1998-2002 (ZA4301). The questionnaire of the study has been developed in cooperation with the preparatory group ‘Deutsche Nationale Wahlstudie’ (DNW) founded on an informal base. It covers opinions and attitudes of the eligible population towards parties, politicians, the election and possible governing coalitions but also towards general social developments. It is part of the group ‘German Election studies 1949-2009’ in the GESIS Data Archive for the Social Sciences.

This report comprises five parts: part one presents a description of the study Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998, including bibliographic information, an abstract, methodological comments, notes about weighting and general information on the data processing. The second part includes a correspondence list of the variables. Part three offers general information on the documentation standard. Part four provides a short explanation of the variable documentation itself. Part five contains the variable documentation: the documentation of each variable including complete question text with all answer categories enriched with archival remarks. In addition, this Variable Report presents frequency distributions for almost all substantial and demographic variables. All frequency distributions are based on unweighted data.

Data access

Data for statistical analysis and related documentation (original questionnaires, Variable Reports) are made available by GESIS – Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences by the online platforms GESIS Data Catalogue (https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/home.asp?db=e) or by ZACAT (http://zacat.gesis.org), the GESIS Online Study Catalogue. Access to the Data Catalogue and ZACAT is free of charge; download of data sets requires registration.

The GESIS Data Catalogue offers study descriptions of the German Election Studies 1949-2009, which comprise methodological information, version history and errata. In addition, the GESIS Data Catalogue provides datasets and related documentation for download.

ZACAT offers data and documentation for full-text search as well as for online analysis.

1 The German Election studies 1949-2009 in the GESIS Data Catalogue: https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/gdesc2.asp?no=0012&tsearch=wahlstudien&search2=&db=e&tab=0&notabs=&ntf=1&taf=1&tl=10

Usage requirements

To get an overview over the use of the GESIS Data Archive, all users are requested to send bibliographic citations for each completed manuscript or thesis abstracts. Please explain in an accompanying letter, which data (study as well as respective variables) you have used.

Disclaimer

Neither the original collector of the data, nor GESIS bear any responsibility for the use of the data or any data analyses.
1 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

1.1 Bibliographic information

1.1.1 Archive study number

GESIS Study number: ZA3066 Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

Current Version: 4.0.0, 2015-06-30, doi:10.4232/1.11968

1.1.2 Title and subtitle

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

1.1.3 Principal investigator

Jürgen W. Falter, Universität Mainz

Oscar W. Gabriel, Universität Stuttgart

Hans Rattinger, Universität Bamberg

1.1.4 Bibliographic citation

Publications based on data provided by GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations should appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

How to cite the data:

1.2 Content

1.2.1 Abstract

Political attitudes and political participation in reunified Germany. Cross-section surveys at two different survey times in West and East Germany.

Topics: judgement on current general economic situation as well as economic situation in the previous year; expected economic situation; knowledge about the importance of first vote and second vote; satisfaction with democracy; interest in politics; certainty of one’s own intent to participate in the election and one’s own voting decision (pre); type of voting as absentee ballot or polling place (post); same voting decision after knowledge about results of the election (post); party preference (Sunday question, first vote and second vote, post); voting decision for candidate (pre) and recall (post), voting decision for party (pre) and recall (post); respondent has answered the question regarding voting intent and voting decision; time of voting decision (post); most important reasons for one’s own voting decision (post); certainty of one’s own voting decision (pre); reasons for voting intent and not voting; eligible to vote 1998; estimated certainty of selected smaller parties taking seats in Federal Parliament (FDP, Bündnis90/Die Grünen, PDS, Republikaner, and DVU (pre); expected election winner in the Federal Parliament election (CDU/CSU or SPD, pre); evaluation of democracy as a conception of a state; personal financial situation; personal financial situation has improved and expectations for the next year; most important reasons for dissatisfaction with parties; coalition preference; satisfaction with result of Federal Parliament election (post); intensity of following the election campaign (post); responsivity: judgement on party politicians and their job as well as their relation with citizens (scale); most important problems of the country; issue ability of parties; external efficacy: possibilities of citizens to influence parties; internal efficacy: ability of citizens to influence parties and governments (scale); electoral duty; attitude towards democracy; assessment of the position of the most important parties on the topics nuclear energy, immigration, and European unification; personal opinion on these topics and importance of problem solution; importance of providing jobs, support of new technologies the promotion of equal opportunities for women (issue importance); state responsibility for these issues; satisfaction with task fulfillment of the government on these issues; place of residence in July 1989; retrospective judgement on personal standard of living, fairness of income distribution, social security, company of people, and crime protection in the FRG 1989 and in the GDR compared to today; knowledge of the number of federal states; sympathy scale for the parties CDU, CSU, SPD, FDP, Bündnis90/Die Grünen, Republikaner, PDS, and DVU; postmaterialism (Inglehart index); trust in institutions: trust in Federal Parliament, the Federal Constitutional Court, Federal Government, the judiciary, the police, administration, churches, parties, the Federal German Armed Forces, trade unions, ecological groups and in trade associations as well as employer’s associations; preference for chancellor; sympathy scale for candidates for chancellor Kohl and Schroeder; assessment of characteristics of the two candidates for chancellor regarding sympathy, trustworthiness, energy and their concepts to improve the economy (economic skills); preference of political participation (scale); left-right self-placement; feeling of representation in selected associations, institutions and parties; assessment of closeness of trade unions, trade and employer’s associations, ecological groups and churches to selected parties; social moral orientations (scale); satisfaction with the Federal Government; geographic connection: connected to the community, the city, the region, the federal state, old FRG / GDR, and Germany; left-right placement of parties; media usage: frequency of reading ‘BILD-Zeitung’, local, regional and national daily newspapers; frequency of reception of political news on television (ARD, ZDF and private TV); assessment of the fairness of the social system in the Federal Republic; belonging to the underprivileged or to the privileged section of population; behavior at the polls in the Federal Parliament election 1994; extremism scale: national pride, nationalization of important companies, courage to sense of nationality, group interests subordinated to public welfare, dictatorship as the better type of state, good aspects of National Socialism, without extermination of Jews, Hitler
as a great statesman, foreign infiltration of the Federal Republic, GDR with more good than bad aspects, foreigners should marry countrymen, influence of Jews still too big, socialism as good concept but badly executed, Jews don’t fit in society and sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum.

Demography: sex; age (month of birth, year of birth); religious denomination; frequency of church attendance; memberships in citizen’s initiative, party, trade association or trade union; party preference; party identification; reasons for party preference (scale); strength and length of this party preference; education and school degree; aimed form of graduation; completed apprenticeship; present or previous employment; previous employment: until when; involuntary change of job or unemployment since 1994; withdraw from working life since 1994; employment in the civil service; employment status; marital status; living together with a partner; information on partner: education and school degree; aimed form of graduation; completed apprenticeship; present or previous employment; previous employment: until when; involuntary change of job or unemployment since 1994; withdraw from working life since 1994; employment in the civil service, and employment status; household size; number of persons 16 years old or older in household; number of persons contributing to the income of the household; household’s net income; self-assessment of social class (respondent’s subjective strata); which part of strata; strata compared to the parents; telephone in the household; willingness to participate in a further interview 2002.

Additionally encoded was: respondent number, West-East split, date of Interview (pre/post); year of interview; date of interview; length of interview; interviewer-ID; size of town (politically and BIK (Boustedt); district of the interview; federal state; weighting factors.

1.2.2 Topic overview

- Cultural and national identity
- Social behaviour and attitudes
- Mass media
- Mass political behaviour, attitudes / opinion
- Government, political systems and organisation
- Elections
- Economic conditions and indicators
- Economic systems and development

1.3 Universe

All German persons who were at least 16 years old at the time of survey and whose residence is in the Federal Republic Germany as well as living in private households

3337 persons were asked.

1.4 Selection method

The ADM Master Sample is a stratified, three-step random selection and is based on election precinct in Federal Parliament elections. Two nets of the ADM sample system were used such that in Eastern Germany a double net was used which means that for an over-sample this was doubled. The target households were selected according to the random route procedure, the target person in the household was determined by Sweden key.
1.5 Date of collection

The data collector is BASIS Research, Frankfurt:

Date of collection in all: August 1998 – November 1998

Date of collection in detail:
- Pre-election West: 26 August 1998 to 26 September 1998;
- Pre-election East: 30 August 1998 to 25 September 1998;
- Post-election West: 08 October 1998 to 21 November 1998;

1.6 Mode of data collection

Telephone survey with standardized questionnaire

1.7 Weighting

All frequency distributions in this Variable Report are based on unweighted data.

1.8 Data processing

Generally, the variable structure of the dataset is based on the structure of the questionnaire. Preceding, there are some technical variables. These comprise archive identification variables (ZA-study number, version and DOI) as well as survey identification variables (respondent ID, federal state). At the bottom of the dataset, you find variables with information on the interview (date and length of the interview as well as interviewer identification), size of the municipality, and weighting variables (household, representative and overall).

In general, the variables adopt the codes of the questionnaire.

The affiliation of a question for the pre-electoral survey and the post-electoral survey is documented by the prefix ‘VW’ (pre-election), or ‘NW’ (post-election) to the question number. If a question number is without this prefix, it was raised in both surveys and had in both questionnaires the same question number. If a question was asked only in the pre-electoral survey or only in the post-election survey, one recognizes this by the interrogative number as well as an additional note below the actual question documentation.

For questions that allow for multiple responses a dummy variable is created for each answer category. E.g., a question with four answer categories in an item battery has four dummy variables as final data. In general, a value “1” means that the respondent chose the particular category as an answer (mentioned, yes, ...). In case of a value “0” the respondent did not choose the particular category as answer (not mentioned, no, ...). Dummy variables are also created for “none of these”, “Don’t know” or other residual responses.
The variables which are only asked to a split, receive a code for the respondents not asked. This code (in general zero) represents the cases to whom the respective question was not asked.

Number of variables: 297
2 Correspondence List

Correspondence list of the variables in the study ‘Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998’

Table 1: Variable correspondence list of the pre-election and post-election studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Variable label</th>
<th>Pre-election</th>
<th>Post-election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za_nr</td>
<td>ZA Study Number</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>version</td>
<td>GESIS Archive Version</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>doi</td>
<td>Digital Object Identifier</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vvpnid</td>
<td>Respondent number</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vsplitwo</td>
<td>West-East split</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vvornach</td>
<td>Date of interview</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vprojekt</td>
<td>Year of interview</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vland</td>
<td>Federal state - Bundesland</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vaddressnr</td>
<td>Sequential address number</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v10</td>
<td>Economic situation today</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v20</td>
<td>Economic situation improved</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v30</td>
<td>Economic situation in a year</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v31</td>
<td>Which vote more important?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v40</td>
<td>Democracy in Germany</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v50</td>
<td>Interest in politics: strength</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v60</td>
<td>Will respondent vote in next election?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v62</td>
<td>Vote: polling place/absentee</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v63</td>
<td>Same vote decision as last election</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v64</td>
<td>Party vote intention, post-election</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v65</td>
<td>Candidate vote, post-election</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v66</td>
<td>Party, post: constructed</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v67</td>
<td>Candidate, post: constructed</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v69</td>
<td>Candidate (pre) + recall (post)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v70</td>
<td>Party (pre) + recall (post)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v71</td>
<td>Voting decision, will respondent vote?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v72</td>
<td>Voting decision: when?</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v73a</td>
<td>Most important: 98 voting</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v73b</td>
<td>2nd most important: 98 voting</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v80</td>
<td>Sure of voting decision</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v81a</td>
<td>Voting decision: 1st reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v81b</td>
<td>Voting decision: 2nd reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v81c</td>
<td>Voting decision: 3rd reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v81d</td>
<td>Voting decision: 4th reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v81e</td>
<td>Voting decision: 5th reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v82</td>
<td>Eligible to vote 1998</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v83a</td>
<td>Non-voter: 1st reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v83b</td>
<td>Non-voter: 2nd reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v83c</td>
<td>Non-voter: 3rd reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v83d</td>
<td>Non-voter: 4th reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v83e</td>
<td>Non-voter: 5th reason</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v84a</td>
<td>Certainty of representation in Bundestag: FDP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v84b</td>
<td>Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v84c</td>
<td>Certainty of representation in Bundestag: PDS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v84d</td>
<td>Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Republikaner</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v84e</td>
<td>Certainty of representation in Bundestag: DVU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v85</td>
<td>Which party gets most votes?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v86</td>
<td>Evaluation concept state democracy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v90</td>
<td>Personal financial situation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v100</td>
<td>Personal financial situation improved</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v110</td>
<td>Personal financial situation in a year</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v120a</td>
<td>Dissatisfied with parties: 1st</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v120b</td>
<td>Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v120c</td>
<td>Dissatisfied with parties: 3rd</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v120d</td>
<td>Dissatisfied with parties: 4th</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v120e</td>
<td>Dissatisfied with parties: 5th</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v121</td>
<td>Respondent: wanted government coalition</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v122</td>
<td>Satisfied election outcome 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v123</td>
<td>Follow election campaign 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130a</td>
<td>Parties only want votes</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130b</td>
<td>Parties: state as self-service</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130c</td>
<td>Party politicians trustworthy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130d</td>
<td>Good relations to parties</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130e</td>
<td>Party member can produce idea</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130f</td>
<td>Parties only interest in power</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130g</td>
<td>Objectives of parties clearly different</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130h</td>
<td>Parties too much influence in society</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130i</td>
<td>Worse without professional politicians</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v130k</td>
<td>Parties, politicians corrupt</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v140aa</td>
<td>Important problems FRG: 1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v140ab</td>
<td>Important problems FRG: 2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v140ac</td>
<td>Important problems FRG: 3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v140ad</td>
<td>Important problems FRG: 4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v140ae</td>
<td>Important problems FRG: 5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v140af</td>
<td>Important problems FRG: 6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vwiprob1</td>
<td>Most important problem FRG</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vwiprob2</td>
<td>2nd most important problem FRG</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v150</td>
<td>Qualified: most important problem</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v160</td>
<td>Qualified: 2nd most important problem</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170a</td>
<td>Politicians pay attention to people</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170b</td>
<td>People no influence on government</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170d</td>
<td>Politics too complicated</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170f</td>
<td>Members of the Bundestag: contact with population</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170g</td>
<td>Citizens hardly influence politics</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170h</td>
<td>Right to stand up own opinion</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170i</td>
<td>Respondent: active role in political group</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170k</td>
<td>No democracy without opposition</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170l</td>
<td>Respondent: understand political issues</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170m</td>
<td>Duty of citizens: electoral participation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v170o</td>
<td>Every party: chance government</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v171a</td>
<td>Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v171b</td>
<td>Nuclear energy: SPD</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v171c</td>
<td>Nuclear energy: FDP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v171d</td>
<td>Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v171e</td>
<td>Nuclear energy: Republikaner</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v171f</td>
<td>Nuclear energy: PDS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v171g</td>
<td>Nuclear energy: DVU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v172a</td>
<td>Immigration: CDU/CSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v172b</td>
<td>Immigration: SPD</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v172c</td>
<td>Immigration: FDP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v172d</td>
<td>Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v172e</td>
<td>Immigration: Republikaner</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v172f</td>
<td>Immigration: PDS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v172g</td>
<td>Immigration: DVU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v173a</td>
<td>European unification: CDU/CSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v173b</td>
<td>European unification: SPD</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v173c</td>
<td>European unification: FDP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v173d</td>
<td>European unification: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v173e</td>
<td>European unification: Republikaner</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v173f</td>
<td>European unification: PDS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v173g</td>
<td>European unification: DVU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v174a</td>
<td>Personal opinion: nuclear energy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v174b</td>
<td>Personal opinion: immigration</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v174c</td>
<td>Personal opinion: European unification</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v175a</td>
<td>Important: nuclear energy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v175b</td>
<td>Important: immigration</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v175c</td>
<td>Important: European unification</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v176a</td>
<td>Important: providing jobs</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v176b</td>
<td>Important: support new technologies</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v176c</td>
<td>Important: promotion of equal opportunities for women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v177a</td>
<td>State responsible: provide job</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v177b</td>
<td>State responsible: support new technologies</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v177c</td>
<td>State responsible: promotion of equal opportunities for women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v178a</td>
<td>Satisfied state: provide jobs</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v178b</td>
<td>Satisfied state: support new technologies</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v178c</td>
<td>Satisfied state: promotion of equal opportunities for women</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v179</td>
<td>Respondent lived where July 1989?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180aspw</td>
<td>FRG 89: standard of living</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180bspw</td>
<td>FRG 1989: fair distribution income</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180cspw</td>
<td>FRG 1989: social security</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180dspw</td>
<td>FRG 1989: company of people</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180espw</td>
<td>FRG 1989: protection crime</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180aspo</td>
<td>GDR 1989: standard of living</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180bspo</td>
<td>GDR 1989: fair distribution income</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180cspo</td>
<td>GDR 1989: social security</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180dspo</td>
<td>GDR 1989: company of people</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v180espo</td>
<td>GDR 1989: protection crime</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v181</td>
<td>Know: number federal states</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200a</td>
<td>Think of: CDU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200b</td>
<td>Think of: CSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200c</td>
<td>Think of: SPD</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200d</td>
<td>Think of: FDP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200e</td>
<td>Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200f</td>
<td>Think of: Republikaner</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200g</td>
<td>Think of: PDS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v200h</td>
<td>Think of: DVU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v210a</td>
<td>Political goal: order in nation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v210b</td>
<td>Political goal: more say in government decisions</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v210c</td>
<td>Political goal: fight rising prices</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v210d</td>
<td>Political goal: freedom of speech</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220a</td>
<td>Trust: Bundestag</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220b</td>
<td>Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220c</td>
<td>Trust: federal government</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220d</td>
<td>Trust: courts</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220e</td>
<td>Trust: police</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220f</td>
<td>Trust: administration</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220g</td>
<td>Trust: churches</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220h</td>
<td>Trust: parties</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220i</td>
<td>Trust: Bundeswehr</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220k</td>
<td>Trust: unions</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220l</td>
<td>Trust: trade and employers' associations</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v220m</td>
<td>Trust: ecological groups</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v230</td>
<td>Preferred chancellor</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v231k</td>
<td>Scalometer: Kohl</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v231s</td>
<td>Scalometer: Schroeder</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232ak</td>
<td>Kohl: economic skills</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232as</td>
<td>Schroeder: economic skills</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232bk</td>
<td>Kohl: likeable human being</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232bs</td>
<td>Schroeder: likeable human being</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232ck</td>
<td>Kohl: politically trustworthy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232cs</td>
<td>Schroeder: politically trustworthy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232dk</td>
<td>Kohl: dynamic</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v232ds</td>
<td>Schroeder: dynamic</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v240a</td>
<td>Political participation: citizens‘ initiative</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v240b</td>
<td>Political participation: legal demonstration</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v240c</td>
<td>Political participation: violent demonstration</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v240e</td>
<td>Political participation: blockade traffic</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v240f</td>
<td>Political participation: get support from party</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v250</td>
<td>Left-right self-placement</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260a</td>
<td>Interests: trade unions</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260b</td>
<td>Interests: trade and employers’ associations</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260c</td>
<td>Interests: ecological groups</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260f</td>
<td>Interests: churches</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260g</td>
<td>Interests: CDU/CSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260h</td>
<td>Interests: SPD</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260i</td>
<td>Interests: FDP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260j</td>
<td>Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260k</td>
<td>Interests: Republikan</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260l</td>
<td>Interests: DVU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v260m</td>
<td>Interests: PDS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v280a</td>
<td>Close to party: trade unions</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v280b</td>
<td>Close to party: Trade and employers’ associations</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v280c</td>
<td>Close to party: ecological groups</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v280f</td>
<td>Close to party: churches</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v281a</td>
<td>Society: respects established</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v281b</td>
<td>Society: citizens participation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v281c</td>
<td>Society: achieve through merit</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v281d</td>
<td>Society: respect law and order</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v281e</td>
<td>Society: open to new ideas</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v281f</td>
<td>Society: man more important than money</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v282</td>
<td>Satisfied CDU/CSU-FDP government</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v283a</td>
<td>Connected to: community, city</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v283b</td>
<td>Connected to: region</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v283c</td>
<td>Connected to: federal state</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v283d</td>
<td>Connected to: old FRG/GDR</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v283e</td>
<td>Connected to: Germany</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284a</td>
<td>Left-right: CDU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284b</td>
<td>Left-right: SPD</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284c</td>
<td>Left-right: FDP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284d</td>
<td>Left-right: CSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284e</td>
<td>Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284f</td>
<td>Left-right: Republikaner</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284g</td>
<td>Left-right: PDS</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v284h</td>
<td>Left-right: DVU</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v285a</td>
<td>How often: Bild-Zeitung</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v285b</td>
<td>How often: national newspaper</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v285c</td>
<td>How often: local or regional newspaper</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v286a</td>
<td>How often: news ARD, ZDF</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v286b</td>
<td>How often: news private TV</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v310</td>
<td>Social order fair or unfair</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v320</td>
<td>Belonging to underprivileged/privileged section of population</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v330</td>
<td>Recall: party vote 1994</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350a</td>
<td>Proud to be German</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350b</td>
<td>Nationalise important companies</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350c</td>
<td>Courage to sense of nationality</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350d</td>
<td>Group interests subordinate to public welfare</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350f</td>
<td>Dictatorship better type of state</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350g</td>
<td>National Socialism also positive</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350k</td>
<td>Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350l</td>
<td>FRG foreign-controlled</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350m</td>
<td>GDR: more good than bad aspects</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350n</td>
<td>Foreigners should marry countrymen</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350o</td>
<td>Influence of Jews still too big</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350p</td>
<td>Socialism good concept, badly executed</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350q</td>
<td>Jews don’t fit in society</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v350r</td>
<td>Sympathy with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vsex</td>
<td>Sex of respondent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmonat</td>
<td>Month of birth of respondent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vjahr</td>
<td>Year of birth of respondent</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vrelig</td>
<td>Respondent’s religious denomination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vkirchg</td>
<td>Frequency attend church</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vbildga</td>
<td>Education, kind of school</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vbildgb</td>
<td>Aimed form of graduation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vbildgc</td>
<td>Completed apprenticeship</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vberuftg</td>
<td>Present employment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vfrbertg</td>
<td>Previous employment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vfrbejah</td>
<td>Previous employment: until when</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vwechs94</td>
<td>Involuntary job change 1994</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>varlos94</td>
<td>Unemployed since 1994</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vbausg94</td>
<td>Withdraw from working life 1994</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voeff</td>
<td>Employed: public servant</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vberuf</td>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vfamstnd</td>
<td>Respondent: marital status</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpartner</td>
<td>Live together with partner</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpbildga</td>
<td>Partner: kind of school</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpbildgb</td>
<td>Partner: aimed graduation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpbildgc</td>
<td>Partner: completed apprenticeship</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpberuft</td>
<td>Partner: present employment</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpfbertg</td>
<td>Partner: previous employment</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpfrbaja</td>
<td>Partner: previous employment: until when</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpwech94</td>
<td>Partner: involuntary job change 1994</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vparlo94</td>
<td>Partner: unemployed since 1994</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpbau94</td>
<td>Partner: withdraw from working life 1994</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpoeff</td>
<td>Partner: employed: public servant</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpberuf</td>
<td>Partner: employment status</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vinitmg</td>
<td>Member: citizens's initiative</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpartmg</td>
<td>Member: party</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vberufmg</td>
<td>Member: trade association</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgewermg</td>
<td>Member: trade union</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpid</td>
<td>Party preference</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpidstrk</td>
<td>Strength of party preference</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpidzeit</td>
<td>Length of party preference</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpidad</td>
<td>Party preference: party means a lot to me</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpidb</td>
<td>Party preference: better politicians</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpidc</td>
<td>Party preference: often voted for party</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpidd</td>
<td>Party preference: better policy</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhppeink</td>
<td>How many contribute to income of household</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhheinko</td>
<td>Household’s net income</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vschicht</td>
<td>Respondent’s subjective strata</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vwoschi</td>
<td>Which part of strata</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vschielt</td>
<td>Strata compared to parents</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhpers</td>
<td>Household size</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhper16</td>
<td>Household size, Germans over 16</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vtelefon</td>
<td>Telephone household</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vteiln</td>
<td>Agree: further interview 2002</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vdatum</td>
<td>Date of interview</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vdauber</td>
<td>Length of interview</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vinterrn</td>
<td>Interviewer identification</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vortsgrp</td>
<td>Size of town - politically</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vortsgrb</td>
<td>Size of town BIK (Boustedt)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vortsg98</td>
<td>Size town BIK (Boustedt) differential version 1998</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vregbez</td>
<td>District of the interview</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgwwow1</td>
<td>absolute East-West weight, pre-election or post-election</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgwwow2</td>
<td>absolute East-West weight, pre-election + post-election</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgwwnw</td>
<td>Representative weights pre-election or post-election, East or West</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgges</td>
<td>Representative weights East pre- + post-election or West pre- + post</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable name</td>
<td>Variable label</td>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>Post-election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgwewnwow</td>
<td>Representative weights all-German pre-election or post-election</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vggesow</td>
<td>Representative weights all-German pre-election + post-election</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 International documentation standard

The variable documentation is produced according to the international metadata specifications in the social sciences, which are defined by the Data Documentation Initiative <DDI>. The Variable Reports are based on the XML representation of DDI Version 2.
4 Explanation of the variable documentation

The variable documentation part describes each variable in the analysis data file in terms of relevant metadata and frequency distributions. It is set up by means of the GESIS Dataset Documentation Manager (DSDM) and in accordance with the international metadata specification for the social and behavioral sciences, established by the Data Documentation Initiative (DDI-Codebook/XML).

The documentation combines the variable description (variable name, values, and labels) of the dataset with the exact wording and sequence of question components (question text, interviewer instruction, response categories, etc.) from the questionnaire. The example explained below reproduces the information appearing in the variable documentation part for a typical substantive variable.

The frequency distributions of the substantive variables are based on unweighted data. Due to rounding, users may find slightly different figures if using other statistical packages, especially if the number of cases is very low.
Figure 1: Description of the variable documentation

GESIS STUDY NUMBER:
Header with study number, title and doi linked to the
study description in the GESIS Data Catalogue.

VARIABLE NAME (serial number from questionnaire
or assigned by the archive and VARIABLE LABEL, as
defined in the dataset).

QUESTION NUMBER and full QUESTION TEXT from
the questionnaire, including interviewer instructions.
The numbers or letters that may appear together with
the variable name reflect the item order (multiple item
or multiple response questions), if applicable filter
information.

CODE VALUES occurring in the data for this variable
and complete ANSWER TEXT from the questionnaire.
Code values may differ from the questionnaire
specification due to standardization and
harmonization.

FREQUENCY COUNTS: The frequencies of the
occurrence of values (count) refer to the number of
cases in the dataset (including missing data), which is
not weighted.
5 Variable Documentation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za_nr</td>
<td>ZA Study Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZA-Study Number 3066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

3066  GESIS Study ZA3066
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

version - GESIS Archive Version
Version and release date of the archive data set

This variable identifies the GESIS archive version number of this data set and the corresponding release date, recorded as a string.

The version number is composed of a sequence of three numbers. The major number is incremented when there are changes in the composition of the data set (e.g. additional variables or cases), the minor or second number is incremented when significant errors have been fixed (e.g. coding errors, misleading value labels), and the third or revision number is incremented when minor bugs are fixed (e.g. spelling errors in variable or value labels).

Version 4.0.0 (2015-06-30)
This variable holds the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) for the dataset at hand as registered via the da|ra registration agency for economic and social science data. Each dataset version (see variable 'version') has a unique persistent DOI.

For direct access to the complete archive dissemination package for this study, including new dataset versions, the DOI needs to be appended to the resolver service URL: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11968

In terms of good scientific practice, the DOI is an important element for the correct citation of a dataset.
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vvpnid - Respondent number
Respondent number

This variable uniquely identifies each respondent.
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

vsplitwo - West-East split

Geographic coverage

1  West
2  East

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>66,8</td>
<td>66,8</td>
<td>66,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>33,2</td>
<td>33,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, vsplitwo: West-East split (N=3337)**

Valid C: 3337
Variable, Label  
Question Text (English Language)  

vbornach – Date of interview  
Date of interview  

1 before the federal election  
2 after the federal election  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>before the Bundestag elections</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td></td>
<td>48,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>after the Bundestag elections</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, vbornach: Date of interview (N=3337)
### Variable, Label
**Question Text (English Language)**

vprojekt – Year of interview

Year of interview

1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid C:</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable, Label

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vland - Federal state - Bundesland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal state</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 1 Sleswig-Holstein
- 2 Hamburg
- 3 Lower Saxony
- 4 Bremen
- 5 Northrhine-Westphalia
- 6 Hesse
- 7 Rhineland-Palatinate
- 8 Baden-Wuerttemberg
- 9 Bavaria
- 10 Saarland
- 11 Berlin
- 12 Brandenburg
- 13 Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania
- 14 Saxony
- 15 Saxony-Anhalt
- 16 Thuringia

### ZA3066, vland: Federal state - Bundesland (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sleswig-Holstein</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hamburg</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lower Saxony</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bremen</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Northrhine-Westphalia</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>19,1</td>
<td>19,1</td>
<td></td>
<td>32,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hesse</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td></td>
<td>39,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rhineland-Palatinate</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td></td>
<td>42,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Baden-Wuerttemberg</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>51,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bavaria</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>62,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Saarland</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>63,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td></td>
<td>70,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Brandenburg</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td></td>
<td>75,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td></td>
<td>79,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Saxony</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td></td>
<td>88,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Saxony-Anhalt</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td></td>
<td>94,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Thuringia</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yadresnr</td>
<td>Sequential address number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sequential address number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hello – today we ask you to participate in a survey which we carry out on behalf of the universities of Stuttgart, Mainz and Bamberg. The scientific direction is performed by Professor Dr. Oscar W. Gabriel, Professor Dr. Jürgen W. Falter and Professor Dr. Hans Rattinger. Our institute, the GFM-GETAS/WBA, society for marketing, communication and social research, Hamburg, is one of the biggest and leading market research institutes in the Federal Republic of Germany.

The study serves purely scientific aims, it is financed from research funds of the German Research Foundation (DFG). Thematically it treats the population’s opinions and expectations towards the politics in Germany.

Your address has been reached by a statistical chance procedure in the interviewee’s random check. The participation in the interview conversation is voluntary. All your data is treated anonymously, i.e. the answers are evaluated without names and addresses. The research project is subject to the data privacy act. It is absolutely made sure that your answers can not be connected to your person.

We are sure that the questions attract your interest and would like to thank you for your interview participation.

Q1a
SPLIT WEST
How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the old federal states: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

Q1a
SPLIT EAST
How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the new federal states: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

1 very good
2 good
3 part good/part bad
4 bad
5 very bad
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>
ZA3066, v10: Economic situation today  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very good</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>22,3</td>
<td></td>
<td>22,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part good/part bad</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>49,1</td>
<td>49,1</td>
<td>71,4</td>
<td></td>
<td>71,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>bad</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>22,8</td>
<td>22,8</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
<td>94,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>very bad</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
<td>98,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

v20 - Economic situation improved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2a</th>
<th>SPLIT WEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has the general economic situation in the old federal states in the last one to two years got substantially better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, substantially worse or is it the same?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2a</th>
<th>SPLIT EAST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has the general economic situation in the new federal states in the last one to two years got substantially better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, substantially worse or is it the same?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1  substantially better  
2  somewhat better  
3  the same  
4  somewhat worse  
5  substantially worse  
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>  
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZA3066, v20: Economic situation improved  (N=3337)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sum | 3337 | 100,0 | 100,0 |

**Valid Cases**: 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v30 - Economic situation in a year

Q3a

SPLIT WEST
And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the old federal states will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

Q3a

SPLIT EAST
And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the new federal states will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

1  substantially better
2  somewhat better
3  the same
4  somewhat worse
5  substantially worse
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v30: Economic situation in a year  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>substantially better</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>somewhat better</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>25,0</td>
<td>25,0</td>
<td>25,7</td>
<td>73,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>47,9</td>
<td>47,9</td>
<td>73,7</td>
<td>90,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>somewhat worse</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>90,4</td>
<td>93,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>substantially worse</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>93,6</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;Int.: don’t read &gt;</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V31 - Which vote more important?

04

In the elections for the Bundestag each voter has two votes, a first vote and a second vote. Which vote is more important and ultimately decides about the strength of the parties in the Bundestag: the first vote, the second vote or are the two votes equally important?

1   the first vote
2   the second vote
3   both equally important
8   don't know <Int.: don't read>
9   refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v31: Which vote more important? (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>the first vote</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>the second vote</td>
<td>1643</td>
<td>49,2</td>
<td>49,2</td>
<td>66,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>both equally important</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>24,7</td>
<td>24,7</td>
<td>90,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v40 - Democracy in Germany
Q5
Taken all together, how satisfied are you with existing democracy in Germany? Are you...
<int.: Read list.>

1   very satisfied
2   fairly satisfied
3   part/part
4   fairly dissatisfied
5   very dissatisfied
8   don’t know <int.: don’t read>
9   refused <int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v40: Democracy in Germany  (N=3337)
v40

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very satisfied</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly satisfied</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>35,5</td>
<td>35,5</td>
<td>44,4</td>
<td>103,9</td>
<td>103,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>37,8</td>
<td>37,8</td>
<td>82,2</td>
<td>186,1</td>
<td>186,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>fairly dissatisfied</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>12,9</td>
<td>12,9</td>
<td>95,1</td>
<td>281,2</td>
<td>281,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>very dissatisfied</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td>280,2</td>
<td>280,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td>281,0</td>
<td>281,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v50 - Interest in politics: strength

06
How strongly are you interested in politics? Would you say...
<int.: Read list.>

1 very strongly,
2 fairly strongly,
3 moderately,
4 less strongly or
5 not at all?
8 don’t know <int.: don’t read>
9 refused <int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v50: Interest in politics: strength  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very strongly</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly strongly</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>20,7</td>
<td>20,7</td>
<td>28,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>moderately</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>42,4</td>
<td>42,4</td>
<td>71,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>less strongly</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>19,7</td>
<td>19,7</td>
<td>90,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases  3337
V variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v60 - Will respondent vote in next election?
VW Q10
In the upcoming elections for the Bundestag:
are you definitely, probably, maybe, probably not or definitely not going to vote?

<if code 1, 2, or 9, go to question 11.>

0   INAP.: post-election; not eligible to vote (pre-election)
1   definitely going to vote
2   probably going to vote
3   maybe going to vote
4   probably not going to vote
5   definitely not going to vote
8   don't know <Int.: don't read>
9   refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v60: Will respondent vote in next election?  (N=3337)
v60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>52,1</td>
<td>52,1</td>
<td>52,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>definitely going to vote</td>
<td>1353</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td>92,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>probably going to vote</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>maybe going to vote</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>97,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>probably not going to vote</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>definitely not going to vote</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v62 - Vote: polling place/absentee

NW Q6a

<INT.: hand white list 1 to respondent>

The election for the Bundestag was held on 27th October. How did you cast your vote in these Bundestag elections: at the local polling place or by absentee ballot? Or weren't you eligible to vote or didn't you vote for any other reason?

<If code 3, go to question 12.>  
<If code 4, go to question 10.>

0   INAP.: pre-election  
1   at the local polling place  
2   by absentee ballot  
3   wasn't eligible to vote  
4   didn't vote for any other reasons  
8   don't know <Int.: don't read>  
9   refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):  
This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>voted at the local polling place</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>40,2</td>
<td>40,2</td>
<td>89,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>voted by absentee ballot</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>94,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>wasn't eligible to vote</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>95,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>didn't vote for any other reasons</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

v63 - Same vote decision as last election

NW Q11

If elections for the Bundestag were held again next Sunday, would you make the same decision or would you make a different decision?

*If Code 1, go to question Q13.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: pre-election; not eligible to vote (post-election)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>the same decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>different decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):**

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v63: Same vote decision as last election  (N=3337)
If elections for the Bundestag were held next Sunday, how would you vote? You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?
Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

NW Q12_A second vote for a party

0 INAP.: pre-election; same voting decision as in V70 (post-election)
1 CDU/CSU
2 SPD
3 FDP
4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5 Republikaner
6 PDS
7 DVU
8 ÖDP
9 Die Grauen
10 Statt Partei
11 NPD
12 other party
97 wouldn't vote
98 don't know
99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 [ZA3066]:
This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.
ZA3066, v64: Party vote intention, post-election (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>3071</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td>92,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>93,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>94,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>94,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>94,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>94,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>95,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DVU</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OeDP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>95,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Die Grauen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Statt-Partei</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NDP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>other party</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>wouldn't vote</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>95,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v65 - Candidate vote, post-election
NW Q12

<int.: Hand (again) beige list 2 [ballot] to respondent.>
If elections for the Bundestag were held next Sunday, how would you vote? You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?
Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<int.: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out. Encircle identification code for first and second vote.>
<int.: Encircle code number for first vote and second vote. >

NW Q12_B first vote for a candidate

0 INAP.: pre-election; same voting decision as in V70 (post-election)
1 CDU/CSU candidate
2 SPD candidate
3 FDP candidate
4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen candidate
5 Republikaner candidate
6 PDS candidate
7 DVU candidate
8 ÖDP candidate
9 Die Grauen candidate
10 Statt Partei candidate
11 NPD candidate
12 other party candidate
97 wouldn't vote
98 don't know
99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 [ZA3066]:
This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3071</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU candidate</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>92,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD candidate</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>93,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP candidate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS candidate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>94,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OeDP candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>94,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>wouldn't vote</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
v66 - Party, post: constructed
Party, post-election.

This variable was constructed from V70, V63 and V64.

0  INAP.: pre-election; not eligible to vote [post-election]
1  CDU/CSU
2  SPD
3  FDP
4  Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5  Republikaner
6  PDS
7  DVU
8  ÖDP
9  Die Grauen
10 Statt Partei
11 NPD
12 other party
97 wouldn't vote
98 don't know
99 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 [ZA3066]:
This variable is part of the post-electoral study.

ZA3066, v66: Party, post: constructed  (N=3337)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v67 - Candidate, post: constructed</td>
<td>Candidate, post-election.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This variable was constructed from V69, V63 and V65.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0   INAP  
1   CDU/CSU candidate  
2   SPD candidate  
3   FDP candidate  
4   Bündnis90/Die Grünen candidate  
5   Republikaner candidate  
6   PDS candidate  
7   DVU candidate  
8   ÖDP candidate  
9   Die Grauen candidate  
10  Statt-Partei candidate  
11  NPD candidate  
12  candidate of another party  
97  wouldn't vote  
98  don't know  
99  refused  

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 [ZA3066]:  
This variable is part of the post-electoral study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU candidate</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD candidate</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP candidate</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner candidate</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS candidate</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DVU candidate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OeDP candidate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Die Grauen candidate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Statt-Partei candidate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NPD candidate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>candidate of another party</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>wouldn't vote</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The next elections for the Bundestag will be held on 27th September. You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?
Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

NW Q7
You had two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you received for elections for the Bundestag.

How did you mark your ballot?
Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

NW Q7_A / NW Q7_A first vote for a candidate

1. CDU/CSU candidate
2. SPD candidate
3. FDP candidate
4. Bündnis90/Die Grünen candidate
5. Republikaner candidate
6. PDS candidate
7. DVU candidate
8. ÖDP candidate
9. Die Grauen candidate
10. Statt-Partei candidate
11. NPD candidate
12. candidate of another party
96. didn't vote, but was eligible to vote (only post-election)
97. wasn't eligible to vote (only post-election)
98. don't know
99. refused
ZA3066, v69: Candidate [pre] + recall [post]  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU candidate</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD candidate</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP candidate</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Buendnis90/Die Gruenen candidate</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner candidate</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS candidate</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DVU candidate</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DeDP candidate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Die Grauen candidate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Statt-Partei candidate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NPD candidate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>candidate of another party</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>didn’t vote [only post-el.]</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>wasn’t eligible to vote [only post-el.]</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The next elections for the Bundestag will be held on 27th September. You have two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you receive for elections for the Bundestag.

If you would vote, how would you mark your ballot?
Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.>

<INT: encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

NW Q7
You had two votes in the Bundestag elections. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. Here is an exemplary voting ballot similar to the one you received for elections for the Bundestag.

How did you mark your ballot?
Please just tell me the identification code for your first and second vote.

<INT: If required, use the following sentence: I would again like to explicitly point out that the analysis of interviews is done absolutely anonymously in our institute. The possibility of finding out who provided which information is completely ruled out.>

<INT: encircle identification code for first and second vote.>

VW Q7 / NW Q7_B second vote for a party

1 CDU/CSU
2 SPD
3 FDP
4 Bündnis90/Die Grünen
5 Republikaner
6 PDS
7 DVU
8 ÖDP
9 Graue
10 Statt Partei
11 NPD
12 another party
96 didn’t vote, but was eligible to vote (only post-election)
97 wasn’t eligible to vote (only post-election)
98 don’t know
99 refused
ZA3066, v70: Party (pre) + recall (post) (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>23,9</td>
<td>23,9</td>
<td>23,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>33,4</td>
<td>33,4</td>
<td>57,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>60,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>67,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>68,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>74,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DVU</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>75,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>OeDP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>75,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Die Grauen</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>75,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Statt-Partei</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>75,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NPD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>75,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>other party</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>76,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>didn’t vote [only post-el.]</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>80,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>wasn’t eligible [only post-el.</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>81,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>89,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
### Variable, Label

#### Question Text (English Language)

v71 - Voting decision, will respondent vote?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: not eligible to vote/didn't vote (post-election)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2582</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>2582</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>82.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v71: Voting decision, will respondent vote? (N=3337)
**v72 - Voting decision: when?**

NW Q9

When did you decide how to vote in these Bundestag elections? A long time ago, a few months ago, a few weeks or days before the elections or on the election day itself?

<Int.: read list>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: pre-election; code 2 in v71 (post-election)</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a long time ago</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>25,9</td>
<td>25,9</td>
<td>85,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>a few months ago</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>92,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>in the last weeks before the elections</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>96,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>in the last days before the elections</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>on election day</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum:

Valid Cases: 3337

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.
v73a - Most important: 98 voting

NW Q9a

<INT.: hand white list 2a to the respondent>
What was most important for your voting in the Bundestag elections?
<INT.: allow only one response each>

0  INAP: pre-election; code 2 in v71 (post-election)
1  the candidates for chancellor, Gerhard Schröder and Helmut Kohl
2  the parties' statements on the problems which are especially important to you personally
3  the parties' election campaign
4  the desire for a change of government
5  the tie to a certain party
9  refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 [ZA3066]:
This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>candidates for chancellor</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>67,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Issue statements</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>80,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>election campaign</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>81,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>change of government</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>94,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>the tie to a certain party</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

**v73b – 2nd most important: 98 voting**

NW Q9b

And what was second most important for your voting in the Bundestag elections?

*<INT.: encircle answer in the scheme to question 9a/b above.>*

*<INT.: after responding to question 9b --> continue with question 11.>*

0  INAP: pre-election; code 2 in v71 (post-election)
1  the candidates for chancellor Gerhard Schröder and Helmut Kohl
2  the parties’ statements on the problems which are especially important to you personally
3  the parties’ election campaign
4  the desire for a change of government
5  the tie to a certain party
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused<Int.: don’t read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):

This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

---

**ZA3066, v73b: 2nd most important: 98 voting (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percenct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>candidates for chancellor</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>68,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Issue statements</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>80,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>election campaign</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>83,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>change of government</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>91,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>the tie to a certain party</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>98,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v80 - Sure of voting decision
VW Q8a
How sure are you about the voting decision you just told me: very sure, fairly sure, rather unsure, not quite sure or very unsure?

0 INAP.: code 2 in V71 (pre-election); post-election
1 very sure
2 fairly sure
3 rather unsure
4 very unsure
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 [ZA3066]:
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v80: Sure of voting decision (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>2108</td>
<td>63,2</td>
<td>63,2</td>
<td>63,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very sure</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>83,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly sure</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>95,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>rather unsure</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>very unsure</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v81a - Voting decision: 1st reason

VW Q8b
And why would you vote for this party?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q8b
And why did you vote for this party?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_A; NW Q8b_A 1st reason for voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v71
11 habit
12 tradition
13 emotional relationship
14 unspecific liking
15 conviction
16 trust
17 end of tie to other party
18 loyal voter, member
21 the party's candidate for chancellor
22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party
23 other politician(s) of the party
24 against other politician(s) of another party
31 group-specific support
32 against group-specific support of another party
33 support for the youth
34 support for seniors
41 good achievements
42 bad achievements of another party
43 good party program
44 against party program of another party
45 good election campaign
46 bad election campaign of another party
51 fight against unemployment
52 economic policy
53 financial policy
54 social policy
55 security of pensions
56 family policy
57 fight against crime
58 environmental policy
59 policy on foreigners
60 reunification
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>East German interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>education policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>pro asylum policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>pro change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>against change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>general rejection of another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>pro strong opposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>formation of a 'good' coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>unspecific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>floating voter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>most people vote for this party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused, NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>habit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>tradition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>emotional relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>unspecific liking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>conviction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>end of tie to other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>loyal voter, member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>party's candidate for chancellor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>other politician(s) of party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>agnst oth politician(s) another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>group-specific support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>agnst group-spec sprt another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>support for the youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>support for seniors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>good achievements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>bad achievements of another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>good party program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>against party program of another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>good election campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>fight against unemployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>economic policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>financial policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>social policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>security of pensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>family policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>fight against crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>environmental policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>policy on foreigners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>reunification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>East German interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>pro asylum policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>pro change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>against change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>general rejection of another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>pro strong opposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>formation of a 'good' coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>unspecific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>floating voter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>most people vote for this party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused, NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum: 3337 100,0 100,0

Valid Cases: 3337
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v81b - Voting decision: 2nd reason</td>
<td>And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Q8b</td>
<td>And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW Q8b_B; NW Q8b_B 2nd reason for voting decision</td>
<td>0 INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason 11 habit 12 tradition 13 emotional relationship 14 unspecific liking 15 conviction 16 trust 17 end of tie to other party 18 loyal voter, member 21 party's candidate for chancellor 22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party 23 other politician(s) of party 24 against oth politician(s) another party 31 group-specific support 32 against group-specific support of another party 33 support for the youth 41 good achievements 42 bad achievements of another party 43 good party program 44 against party program of another party 45 good election campaign 51 fight against unemployment 52 economic policy 53 financial policy 54 social policy 55 security of pensions 56 family policy 57 fight against crime 58 environmental policy 59 policy on foreigners 60 reunification 61 East German interests 62 education policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>pro asylum policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>pro change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>against change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>general rejection of another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>pro strong opposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>formation of a 'good' coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>unspecific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ZA3066, v81b: Voting decision: 2nd reason (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>2259</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>habit</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>tradition</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>emotional relationship</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>unspecific liking</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>conviction</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>trust</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>end of tie to other party</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>loyal voter, member</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>party's candidate for chancellor</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>other politician(s) of party</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>agnst oth politician(s) another party</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>group-specific support</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>agnst group-spec sprt another party</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>support for the youth</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>good achievements</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>bad achievements of another party</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>good party program</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>against party program of another party</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>good election campaign</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>fight against unemployment</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>economic policy</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>financial policy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>social policy</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>security of pensions</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>family policy</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>fight against crime</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>environmental policy</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>policy on foreigners</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>reunification</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>East German interests</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>education policy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>foreign policy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>pro asylum policy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>pro change of government</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>against change of government</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>general rejection of another party</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>pro strong opposition</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>formation of a 'good' coalition</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>unspecific</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
v81c - Voting decision: 3rd reason

VW Q8b
And why would you vote for this party?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q8b
And why did you vote for this party?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q8b_C; NW Q8b_C 3rd reason for voting decision

0   INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason
11  habit
12  tradition
13  emotional relationship
14  unspecific liking
15  conviction
16  trust
21  party's candidate for chancellor
22  opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party
23  other politician(s) of party
24  against oth politician(s) another party
31  group-specific support
32  against group-specific support of another party
33  support for the youth
34  support for seniors
41  good achievements
42  bad achievements of another party
43  good party program
44  against party program of another party
45  good election campaign
46  bad election campaign of another party
51  fight against unemployment
52  economic policy
53  financial policy
54  social policy
55  security of pensions
56  family policy
57  fight against crime
58  environmental policy
59  policy on foreigners
60  reunification
61  East German interests
62  education policy
63  foreign policy
64  pro asylum policy
71  pro change of government
72  against change of government
74  pro strong opposition
75  formation of a 'good' coalition
80  other
82  floating voter
ZA3066, v81c: Voting decision: 3rd reason (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3033</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td>90,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>habit</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>91,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>tradition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>91,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>emotional relationship</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>91,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>unspecific liking</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>91,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>conviction</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>91,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>trust</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>91,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>party's candidate for chancellor</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>92,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>other politician(s) of party</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>92,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>agnst oth politician(s) another party</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>92,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>group-specific support</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>93,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>agnst group-spec spprt another party</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>93,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>support for the youth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>93,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>support for seniors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>93,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>good achievements</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>94,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>bad achievements of another party</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>good party program</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>94,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>against party program of another party</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>95,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>good election campaign</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>bad election campaign of another party</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>fight against unemployment</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>95,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>economic policy</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>96,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>financial policy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>social policy</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>97,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>security of pensions</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>97,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>family policy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>97,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>fight against crime</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>97,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>environmental policy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>policy on foreigners</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>reunification</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>East German interests</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>education policy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>foreign policy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>pro asylum policy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>pro change of government</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>against change of government</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>pro strong opposition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>formation of a 'good' coalition</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>other</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>floating voter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v81d - Voting decision: 4th reason</td>
<td>And why would you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW Q8b</td>
<td>And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Q8b</td>
<td>And why did you vote for this party? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Q8b_D; NW Q8b_D 4th reason for voting decision</td>
<td>0 INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason 12 tradition 15 conviction 16 trust 21 party's candidate for chancellor 22 opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party 23 other politician(s) of party 24 against oth politician(s) another party 31 group-specific support 32 against group-specific support of another party 33 support for the youth 41 good achievements 42 bad achievements of another party 43 good party program 44 against party program of another party 51 fight against unemployment 52 economic policy 53 financial policy 54 social policy 55 security of pensions 56 family policy 58 environmental policy 59 policy on foreigners 60 reunification 63 foreign policy 64 pro asylum policy 71 pro change of government 72 against change of government 74 pro strong opposition 75 formation of a 'good' coalition 80 other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>tradition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>conviction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>party's candidate for chancellor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>other politician(s) of party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>agnst oth politician(s) another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>group-specific support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>agnst group-spec sprt another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>support for the youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>good achievements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>bad achievements of another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>good party program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>against party program of another party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>fight against unemployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>economic policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>financial policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>social policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>security of pensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>family policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>environmental policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>policy on foreigners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>reunification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>pro asylum policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>pro change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>against change of government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>pro strong opposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>formation of a 'good' coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

**v81e - Voting decision: 5th reason**

**VW Q8b**

And why would you vote for this party?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

**NW Q8b**

And why did you vote for this party?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

**VW Q8b_E; NW Q8b_E 5th reason for voting decision**

0   INAP: code 2 in v71, no further reason
22   opposed to candidate for chancellor of another party
24   against oth politician(s) another party
32   against group-specific support of another party
33   support for the youth
41   good achievements
42   bad achievements of another party
43   good party program
44   against party program of another party
52   economic policy
53   financial policy
54   social policy
58   environmental policy
73   general rejection of another party
75   formation of a 'good' coalition
### Table: Voting decision: 5th reason (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>3320</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td>99,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>oppsd to cand. for chanc. another party</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>agnst oth politician(s) another party</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>agnst group-spec spport another party</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>support for the youth</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>good achievements</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>bad achievements of another party</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>good party program</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>against party program of another party</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>economic policy</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>financial policy</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>social policy</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>environmental policy</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>general rejection of another party</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>formation of a 'good' coalition</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v82 - Eligible to vote 1998
VW 09
Are you eligible to vote in the upcoming Bundestag elections on 27th September?
<INT.: In case of queries --> at least 18 years old and German nationality.>

<if code 2, go to question 11.>

0 INAP: post-election
1 yes
2 no

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 [ZA3066]:
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v82: Eligible to vote 1998 (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>47,9</td>
<td>47,9</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valid</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v83a - Non-voter: 1st reason
VW Q10a
And why would you possibly not vote?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<Int.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a
And why didn’t you vote?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<Int.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_A; NW Q10a_A 1st reason for non-voting decision

0   INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election)
11   individual lack of political influence
12   no integrity
13   insignificance of politics
14   indifference of parties
21   protest against own party
22   protest against all parties
23   unspecified protest
24   dissatisfaction with government
25   dissatisfaction with the system
31   no interest
32   ignorance
33   habitual non-voter
34   indifference
35   rejection of political parties
36   rejection of politicians/candidates for chancellor
37   profit aspect
41   politics as a 'dirty business'
42   general loss of trust
43   no confidence in electoral procedure
51   no time
52   indecisiveness
53   I'm too old, ill
54   I can't be bothered
55   not eligible to vote
56   legitimacy of not voting
71   no acceptance
72   no agreement with own perceptions
73   state dominated by political parties
96   other
98   don't know <Int.: don't read>
99   refused <Int.: don't read>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3108</td>
<td>93,1</td>
<td>93,1</td>
<td>93,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>individ. lack of pol. influence</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>94,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>no integrity</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>94,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>insignificance of politics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>94,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>indifference of parties</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>95,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>protest against all parties</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>dissatisfaction with gvmnt</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>dissatisfaction with stm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>no interest</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>96,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ignorance</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>habitual non-voter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>indifference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>rejection of political parties</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>rejection of politicians</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>96,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>profit aspect</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>96,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>politics as ‘dirty business’</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>96,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>general loss of trust</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>97,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>no time</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>indecisiveness</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>I'm too old, ill</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>I can't be bothered</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>not eligible to vote</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>no acceptance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>no agreement w own perc.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>state dmndt by pol. parties</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v83b - Non-voter: 2nd reason
VW Q10a
And why would you possibly not vote?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INF.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a
And why didn't you vote?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INF.: Note literally and exactly.>

VW Q10a_B; NW Q10a_B 2nd reason for non-voting decision

0   INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election); no further reason
11   individual lack of political influence
12   no integrity
13   insignificance of politics
14   indifference of parties
21   protest against own party
22   protest against all parties
24   dissatisfaction with gvrnmnt
25   dissatisfaction with system
31   no interest
32   ignorance
34   indifference
37   profit aspect
41   politics as a 'dirty business'
42   general loss of trust
51   no time
52   indecisiveness
55   not eligible to vote
72   no agreement with own perception
73   state dominated by political parties
### ZA3066, v83b: Non-voter: 2nd reason (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3289</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
<td>98,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>individ. lack of pol. influence</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>no integrity</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>insignificance of politics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>indifference of parties</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>protest against own party</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>protest against all parties</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>dissatisfaction with govmmnt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>dissatisfaction with sttm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>no interest</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ignorance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>indifference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>profit aspect</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>politics as a 'dirty business'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>general loss of trust</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>no time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>indecisiveness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>not eligible to vote</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>no agreement w own perc.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>state dmtnd by pol. parties</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sum   |                         | 3337    | 100,0 | 100,0   |               |               |

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v83c - Non-voter: 3rd reason
WV Q10a
And why would you possibly not vote?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

NW Q10a
And why didn’t you vote?
Please tell me the reasons most important to you.
<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>

WV Q10a_C; NW Q10a_C 3rd reason for non-voting decision

0 INAP: code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election); no further reason
11 individual lack of political influence
12 no integrity
14 indiff of parties
24 dissatisfaction with government
41 politics as a ‘dirty business’
51 no time
54 I can’t be bothered
72 no agreement with own perception
96 other

ZA3066, v83c: Non-voter: 3rd reason (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3327</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>indiv. lack of pol. influence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no integrity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>indiff of parties</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dissatisfaction with government</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>politics as a ‘dirty business’</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>no time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I can’t be bothered</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>no agreement with own perception</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v83d - Non-voter: 4th reason</td>
<td>And why would you possibly not vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Q10a</td>
<td>And why didn’t you vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW Q10a</td>
<td>And why didn’t you vote? Please tell me the reasons most important to you. &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VW Q10a_D; NW Q10a_D 4th reason for non-voting decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3336</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>politics as 'dirty business'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, v83d: Non-voter: 4th reason (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v83d</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3336</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>politics as 'dirty business'</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

| Valid Cases | 3337 |
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v83e - Non-voter: 5th reason

**VW Q10a**

And why would you possibly not vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

&lt;\textsc{int.:} Note literally and exactly.&gt;

**NW Q10a**

And why didn't you vote?

Please tell me the reasons most important to you.

&lt;\textsc{int.:} Note literally and exactly.&gt;

**VW Q10a\_E; NW Q10a\_E 5th reason for non-voting decision**

0 \textsc{INAP:} code 2 in v72; code 2 in v82 (pre-election); no further reason

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Valid C: |         | 3337 | 100,0 | 100,0 | 100,0 |
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v84a - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: FDP

VW Q12

<Int.: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>
How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?
Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?
<Int.: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_A FDP

0 INAP: post-election
1 absolutely certain
2 fairly certain
3 more uncertain
4 completely improbable
8 don’t know
9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v84a: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: FDP [N=3337]
v84a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>absolutely certain</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>52,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly certain</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>64,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>more uncertain</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>26,0</td>
<td>26,0</td>
<td>26,0</td>
<td>90,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>completely improbable</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>95,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v84b - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

VW Q12

<int: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?
Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?
<int: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_B Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen

0 INAP: post-election
1 absolutely certain
2 fairly certain
3 more uncertain
4 completely improbable
8 don’t know
9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

ZA3066, v84b: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)
v84b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>absolutely certain</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td>57,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly certain</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>25,6</td>
<td>25,6</td>
<td>83,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>more uncertain</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>93,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>completely improbable</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v84c - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: PDS

**VW Q12**

<int: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?
Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?
<int: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

**VW Q12_C PDS**

0 INAP: post-election
1 absolutely certain
2 fairly certain
3 more uncertain
4 completely improbable
8 don't know
9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

### ZA3066, v84c: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: PDS (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>absolutely certain</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>52,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly certain</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>64,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>more uncertain</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>20,2</td>
<td>20,2</td>
<td>84,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>completely improbable</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>95,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v84d - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Republikaner

VW Q12

<int: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>

How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?
Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?
<int: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_D Republikaner

0 INAP: post-election
1 absolutely certain
2 fairly certain
3 more uncertain
4 completely improbable
8 don’t know
9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>absolutely certain</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>51,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly certain</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>54,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>more uncertain</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>66,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>completely improbable</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>28,8</td>
<td>28,8</td>
<td>28,8</td>
<td>95,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>99,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v84d: Certainty of representation in Bundestag: Republikaner  (N=3337)
v84e - Certainty of representation in Bundestag: DVU

VW Q12

<INT.: Hand beige list 2 to respondent.>
How certain do you think is it for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag?
Absolutely certain, fairly certain, more uncertain, completely improbable?

<INT.: Read parties and encircle each answer code.>

VW Q12_E DVU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: post-election</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td>51,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>absolutely certain</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td></td>
<td>51,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly certain</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>53,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>more uncertain</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>63,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>completely improbable</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>30,2</td>
<td>30,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>93,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>99,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.
**Variable, Label**
**Question Text (English Language)**

v85 - Which party gets most votes?

*VW Q13*

What do you think: which party will receive the most votes in the upcoming Bundestag elections, the CDU/CSU or the SPD?

<INT.: Don't read the parties, only names of individual parties, don't accept responses regarding coalitions of various parties.>

0   INAP: post-election
1   CDU/CSU
2   SPD
3   other party mentioned
8   don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated pre-electoral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZA3066, v85: Which party gets most votes? (N=3337)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>v85</strong> Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**v86 - Evaluation concept state democracy**

**Q14**

<INT.: Hand white list 3 to respondent.>

Compared to other conceptions of a state, what would you say about democracy? Please use this scale to do so. The value '1' means that a democracy is the best conception of a state imaginable, the value '6' means that a democracy is the worst conception of a state imaginable. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 democracy is the best conception of a state imaginable</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>46,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>28,2</td>
<td>28,2</td>
<td>74,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>15,1</td>
<td>15,1</td>
<td>89,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>94,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 democracy is the worst conception of a state imaginable</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>95,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question Text (English Language)**

**v90 - Personal financial situation**

Q15

How do you evaluate your own financial situation today: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad or very bad?

1 very good
2 good
3 part good/part bad
4 bad
5 very bad
8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very good</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part good/part bad</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>bad</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>very bad</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
### v100 - Personal financial situation improved

**Q16**

Has your own financial situation improved substantially, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated substantially in the last one to two years?

1. improved substantially
2. improved somewhat
3. remained the same
4. deteriorated somewhat
5. deteriorated substantially
8. don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9. refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>improved considerably</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>improved somewhat</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>remained the same</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>deteriorated somewhat</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>deteriorated considerably</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v110 - Personal financial situation in a year
Q17
What do you think your own financial situation will be in a year from now? Do you expect that your own financial situation then will be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse or substantially worse?

1   substantially better
2   somewhat better
3   the same
4   somewhat worse
5   substantially worse
8   don't know <Int.: don't read>
9   refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v110: Personal financial situation in a year  (N=3337)
v110

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>substantially better</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>somewhat better</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>20,9</td>
<td>20,9</td>
<td>41,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>2041</td>
<td>61,2</td>
<td>61,2</td>
<td>82,0</td>
<td>82,0</td>
<td>103,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>somewhat worse</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>93,5</td>
<td>93,5</td>
<td>196,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>substantially worse</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td>291,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td>295,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>305,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>305,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

Q18_A 1st reason for dissatisfaction with parties

1  lack of economic competence
2  don't do enough against unemployment
3  bad budgetary and financial policy
4  unfair tax policy
5  too pro-industry and pro-business
6  little commitment for the new federal states
7  missing price stability
8  missing social dedication
9  wrong youth welfare policy
10 wrong family and feminist policy
11 bad policy concerning seniors and pensions
12 neglect of housing construction
13 wrong health policy and nursing care insurance
14 neglect of internal security
15 wrong policy concerning foreigners and asylum-seekers
16 not enough dedication to the environment
17 European policy, EURO
18 other relevant political issues
19 don't show enough dedication
20 wooing of votes
21 there's no clear line
22 opportunists
23 empty promises
24 bad party politics
25 no stamina
26 party discipline is disturbing
27 too much power
28 unfair politics
29 no people's representatives
30 no trend-setting politics
31 lack of realism
32 incapability
33 unimaginative, uninspired
34 no calibre/character
35 no personalities
36 cult of personality
37 army of bureaucrats
38 missing idealism
50 dishonest, liars
51 obscure, slippery as an eel
52 unobjective, polemics
53 unfair, intolerant, unjust
54 party wrangling
55 office hunter, professional politicians
56 practise self-support
57 bribable, party sleaze
58 power-hungry
59 egomaniacs
60 tacticians, calculating
61 unscrupulous, no morals
62 arrogant, self-conceited
63 inflexible
64 dubious practices
68 not a lot public relations
69 grand appearances in the media
70 no popular touch
71 can't sell themselves
72 brainwashing [of] the people
75 too many political parties
76 it's necessary to outlaw leftist parties
77 it's necessary to outlaw rightist parties
78 predominance of large parties
79 too wide influence of small parties
84 ageing of the parties
85 disagreement within the parties
95 don't like anything
96 no interest in political parties
97 other
98 nothing, no criticism
99 NA, don't know
V120b - Dissatisfied with parties: 2nd

Q18

<INT.: Allow only one response!>

Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

<INT.: Note literally and exactly>

Q18_B 2nd reason for dissatisfaction with parties

0   INAP: no further reason mentioned
1   lack of economic competence
2   don’t do enough against unemployment
3   bad budgetary and financial policy
4   unfair fiscal policy
5   too pro-industry and pro-business
6   little commitment for new federal states
7   missing price stability
8   missing social dedication
9   wrong youth welfare policy
10  wrong family and feminist policy
11  bad policy concerning seniors
12  wrong health policy
13  neglect of internal security
14  wrong policy concerning foreigners
15  not enough dedication to environment
16  European policy, EURO
17  other relevant political issues
18  don't show enough dedication
19  wooing of votes
20  there's no clear line
21  opportunists
22  empty promises
23  bad party politics
24  no stamina
25  party discipline is disturbing
26  unfair politics
27  no people's representatives
28  no trend-setting politics
29  lack of realism
30  incapability
31  unimaginative, uninspired
32  no calibre/character
33  no personalities
34  cult of personality
35  army of bureaucrats
36  missing idealism
37  dishonest, liars
51 obscure, slippery as an eel
52 unobjective, polemics
53 unfair, intolerant, unjust
54 party wrangling
55 office hunter, professional politicians
56 pursue self-support
57 bribable, party sleaze
58 power-hungry
59 egomaniacs
60 tacticians, calculating
61 unscrupulous, no morals
62 arrogant, self-conceited
63 inflexible
64 dubious practices
65 not a lot public relations
66 grand appearances in the media
67 no popular touch
68 can't sell themselves
69 brainwashing (of) the people
70 it's necessary to outlaw leftist parties
71 it's necessary to outlaw rightist parties
72 too wide influence of small parties
73 ageing of the parties
74 disagreement within the parties
75 no interest in political parties
76 other
Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?

Q18_C 3rd reason for dissatisfaction with parties

0  INAP: no further reason mentioned
2  don't do enough against unemployment
3  bad budgetary and financial policy
4  unfair fiscal policy
5  too pro-industry and pro-business
7  missing price stability
8  missing social dedication
11 bad policy concerning seniors
13 wrong health policy
14 neglect of internal security
15 wrong policy concerning foreigners
18 not enough dedication to environment
19 European policy, EURO
22 other relevant political issues
24 don't show enough dedication
25 wooing of votes
27 there's no clear line
28 opportunists
29 empty promises
30 bad party politics
31 no stamina
33 too much power
37 no people's representatives
39 lack of realism
40 incapability
41 unimaginative, uninspired
42 no calibre/character
43 no personalities
44 cult of personality
46 missing idealism
50 dishonest, liars
51 obscure, slippery as an eel
52 unobjective, polemics
54 party wrangling
55 office hunter, professional politicians
56 pursue self-support
57 bribable, party sleaze
58 power-hungry
59 egomaniacs
60 tacticians, calculating
61 unscrupulous, no morals
62 arrogant, self-conceited
64 dubious practices
69 grand appearances in the media
70 no popular touch
72 brainwashing of the people
77 it’s necessary to outlaw rightist parties
84 ageing of the parties
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| v120d - Dissatisfied with parties: 4th | Q18  
<INT.: Allow only one response!>  
Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties?  
<INT.: Note literally and exactly.>  
Q18_D 4th reason for dissatisfaction with parties  
0   INAP: no further reason mentioned  
2   don’t do enough against unemployment  
3   bad budgetary and financial policy  
11  bad policy concerning seniors  
13  wrong health policy  
14  neglect of internal security  
15  wrong policy concerning foreigners  
24  don’t show enough dedication  
25  wooing of votes  
27  there’s no clear line  
29  empty promises  
30  bad party politics  
37  no people’s representatives  
38  no trend-setting politics  
41  unimaginative, uninspired  
50  dishonest, liars  
55  office hunter, professional politicians  
56  pursue self-support  
58  power-hungry  
59  egomaniacs  
62  arrogant, self-conceited  
63  inflexible  
64  dubious practices  
70  no popular touch  
84  ageing of the parties |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v120e - Dissatisfied with parties: 5th</td>
<td>Q18 &lt;INT.: Allow only one response!&gt; Today many people are dissatisfied with the political parties in the FRG. How about you: what bothers you most about the parties? &lt;INT.: Note literally and exactly.&gt; Q18_E 5th reason for dissatisfaction with parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: no further reason mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>unfair fiscal policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>missing social dedication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>wrong family and feminist policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>power-hungry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v121 - Respondent: wanted government coalition
NW Q54c
According to the 27th September elections result there are different coalition possibilities: SPD and CDU/CSU, SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen as well as SPD and FDP. Irrespective of how the parties will decide, which parties do you personally think should govern Germany during the next four years?

<INT.: Read list.>

0  INAP.: pre-election
2  SPD and CDU/CSU
5  SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
6  SPD and FDP
7  none of the above/other coalition
8  don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9  refused <INT.: don’t read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v121: Respondent: wanted government coalition  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CDU and SPD</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>65,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SPD and Grüne</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>21,8</td>
<td>21,8</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>87,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SPD and FDP</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>90,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>none of the above/other</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>98,0</td>
<td>98,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v122 - Satisfied election outcome 1998
NW Q54b
And how satisfied are you with the result of the Bundestag elections? Are you...
<int.: Read list.>

0   INAP: pre-election
1   very satisfied
2   fairly satisfied
3   part/part
4   fairly dissatisfied
5   very dissatisfied
8   don’t know <int.: don’t read>
9   refused <int.: don’t read>

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very satisfied</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>55,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fairly satisfied</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>16,4</td>
<td>16,4</td>
<td>72,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>85,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>fairly dissatisfied</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>93,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>very dissatisfied</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>97,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
V123 - Follow election campaign 1998
NW Q54a

One more question about the Bundestag elections 1998. How intensively did you follow the election campaign?

0 INAP: pre-election
1 very intensively
2 intensively
3 part/part
4 less intensively
5 not at all
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused

Note to cross-sectional study 1998 (ZA3066):
This variable has only been evaluated post-electoral.

ZA3066, v123: Follow election campaign 1998 (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very intensively</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>54,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>intensively</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>14,6</td>
<td>14,6</td>
<td>69,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>86,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>less intensively</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
v130a - Parties only want votes

F19

<int: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>
Im'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. -2 means that you completely disagree, +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.
<int: encircle value for each statement>
<int: take note of signs!>

Q19_A The parties only want the people's votes, they're not interested in their opinions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>13,4</td>
<td>13,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>25,8</td>
<td>25,8</td>
<td>39,2</td>
<td>39,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>70,1</td>
<td>70,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>28,4</td>
<td>28,4</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td>98,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v130a: Parties only want votes (N=3337)
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v130b - Parties: state as self-service

F19

<INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. -2 means that you completely disagree, +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_B The parties regard the state as a self-service shop.

1 -2 completely disagree
2 -1 tend to disagree
3 0 part/part
4 +1 tend to agree
5 +2 completely agree
8 don’t know
9 refused

**ZA3066, v130b: Parties: state as self-service  (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>13,8</td>
<td>13,8</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>22,3</td>
<td>22,3</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>31,6</td>
<td>31,6</td>
<td>72,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>96,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
**variable, label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v130c - Party politicians trustworthy

F19

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. -2 means that you completely disagree, +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<i>INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<i>INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_C Most party politicians are trustworthy and honest people.

1 -2 completely disagree
2 -1 tend to disagree
3 0 part/part
4 +1 tend to agree
5 +2 completely agree
8 don’t know
9 refused

---

**ZA3066, v130c: Party politicians trustworthy (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>22,1</td>
<td>22,1</td>
<td>32,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>33,9</td>
<td>33,9</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>24,8</td>
<td>24,8</td>
<td>91,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
F19

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. -2 means that you completely disagree, +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<i>INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<i>INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_D Without good relations to the parties citizens nowadays cannot achieve anything.

1   -2 completely disagree
2   -1 tend to disagree
3   0 part/part
4   +1 tend to agree
5   +2 completely agree
8   don't know
9   refused

ZA3066, v130d: Good relations to parties (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Varibale, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v130e - Party member can produce idea
F19

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q19_E Even simple party members have the chance to produce their ideas in the parties.

1 -2 completely disagree
2 -1 tend to disagree
3 0 part/part
4 +1 tend to agree
5 +2 completely agree
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v130e: Party member can produce idea (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>33,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>25,6</td>
<td>25,6</td>
<td>59,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>24,1</td>
<td>24,1</td>
<td>83,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>88,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>10,9</td>
<td>10,9</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**v130f - Parties only interest in power**

F19

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. -2 means that you completely disagree, +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<i>INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<i>INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_F The parties are only interested in power.

1 -2 completely disagree
2 -1 tend to disagree
3 0 part/part
4 +1 tend to agree
5 +2 completely agree
8 don’t know
9 refused

**ZA3066, v130f: Parties only interest in power (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
**F19**

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. -2 means that you completely disagree, +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_G The parties are so different in their objectives that the citizens have clear alternatives.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don’t know
9  refused

**ZA3066, v130g: Objectives of parties clearly different (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td>14,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>25,2</td>
<td>25,2</td>
<td>39,3</td>
<td>65,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>25,9</td>
<td>25,9</td>
<td>65,2</td>
<td>96,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>86,6</td>
<td>99,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>94,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q19_H The parties exert too much influence in the society.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don't know
9  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>19,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>28,0</td>
<td>28,0</td>
<td>47,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>78,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>94,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>99,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v130i - Worse without professional politicians
F19

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 4 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from - 2 to + 2, if you agree with it or not. - 2 means that you completely disagree, + 2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<i>INT.: encircle value for each statement>

<i>INT.: take note of signs!>

Q19_I Without professional politicians in the parties our country would be governed worse.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, v130i: Worse without professional politicians  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>22,5</td>
<td>22,5</td>
<td>45,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>28,3</td>
<td>28,3</td>
<td>73,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>16,7</td>
<td>16,7</td>
<td>90,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases | 3337
I'm now going to read some statements about the political parties in Germany. Please tell me for each of these statements, using this scale from -2 to +2, if you agree with it or not. -2 means that you completely disagree, +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q19_K: Most parties and politicians are corrupt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>24,4</td>
<td>24,4</td>
<td>34,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>29,6</td>
<td>29,6</td>
<td>64,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>82,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>92,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v140aa - Important problems FRG: 1
Q20
What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?
<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!
<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_A First mention

1 unemployment in general
2 employment creation
3 secure jobs
4 fight youth unemployment
5 improvement of employment conditions
7 other problems connected with job market
8 price stability
9 monetary stability
10 wage policy
11 interest rate policy
12 EURO
13 stable economy
14 economic boom
15 economic recovery in the new federal states
16 standard of living
17 intensify exports
18 investment incentives
19 support for research
20 infrastructural measures
21 agricultural policy
22 fiscal policy
23 reduction of national debt
24 appropriation of public funds
25 financing the new federal states
26 cut down of development aid
27 finances in general
28 economic policy in general
29 European policy
30 ‘Ostpolitik’
31 security policy, NATO
32 peacekeeping
33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
35 support for the Third World
36 foreign policy in general
37 education policy
38 higher education policy
39 promotion of further education
40 educational policy in general
41 drug abuse
42 AIDS problem
43 expense aspects in the health care system
44 health policy in general
45 consolidation of the 'social network'
46 cut down social benefits
47 support for welfare service
48 support for families
49 more child care
50 equal opportunities for men and women
51 youth policy
52 policy for senior citizens
53 policy concerning pensions
54 more public housing
55 cultural and educational policy
56 preservation of mores and morals
57 compliance with human dignity
58 against foreigners
59 pro foreigners
60 immigration problem in general
61 reduction of bureaucracy
62 more referendums
63 reunification
64 clarification of ownership structures
65 social policy
66 internal security
67 improvement of the constitutional state
70 fighting extremism
71 fighting right-wing extremism
72 fighting left extremism
73 juvenile delinquency
74 local government and (federal) state politics
75 traffic transport policy
76 domestic policy in general
77 environmental protection
78 solving the waste problems
79 nuclear energy
80 energy policy
81 other problems connected with environment/energy
82 safeguarding the future
83 Treuhand problem
84 coming to terms with the past
85 encourage national consciousness
86 populist politics
87 contain corruption
88 cooperation of the parties
89 better/other government
91 preservation of democracy
96 there are only problems
97 other
98  no problems

99  NA, don't know
Q20

What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_B Second mention

0   INAP: no further problems mentioned
1   unemployment in general
2   employment creation
3   secure jobs
4   fight youth unemployment
5   improvement of employment conditions
7   other problems connected with job market
8   price stability
9   monetary stability
10  wage policy
11  interest rate policy
12  EURO
13  stable economy
14  economic boom
15  economic recovery in the new federal states
16  standard of living
17  intensify exports
18  investment incentives
19  support for research
20  infrastructural measures
21  agricultural policy
22  fiscal policy
23  reduction of national debt
24  appropriation of public funds
25  financing the new federal states
26  cut down of development aid
27  finances in general
28  economic policy in general
29  European policy
30  'Ostpolitik'
31  security policy, NATO
32  peacekeeping
33  disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
35  support for the Third World
36  foreign policy in general
37  education policy
38  higher education policy
39  promotion of further education
40 educational policy in general
41 drug abuse
42 AIDS problem
43 expense aspects in the health care system
44 health policy in general
45 consolidation of the ‘social network’
46 cut down social benefits
47 support for welfare service
48 support for families
49 more child care
50 equal opportunities for men and women
51 youth policy
52 policy for senior citizens
53 policy concerning pensions
54 more public housing
55 cultural and educational policy
56 preservation of mores and morals
57 compliance with human dignity
58 against foreigners
59 pro foreigners
60 immigration problem in general
61 reduction of bureaucracy
62 more referendums
63 reunification
64 clarification of ownership structures
65 social policy
66 internal security
67 improvement of the constitutional state
68 fighting extremism
69 fighting right-wing extremism
70 fighting left extremism
71 juvenile delinquency
72 local government and (federal) state politics
73 traffic transport policy
74 domestic policy in general
75 environmental protection
76 solving the waste problems
77 nuclear energy
78 energy policy
79 other problems connected with environment/energy
80 safeguarding the future
81 Treuhand problem
82 coming to terms with the past
83 encourage national consciousness
84 populist politics
85 contain corruption
86 cooperation of the parties
87 better/other government
88 preservation of democracy
89 there are only problems
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>no problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>NA, don't know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>

<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.>

Q20_C Third mention

0  INAP: no further problems mentioned
1  unemployment in general
2  employment creation
3  secure jobs
4  fight youth unemployment
5  improvement of employment conditions
7  other problems connected with job market
8  price stability
9  monetary stability
10  wage policy
12  EURO
13  stable economy
14  economic boom
15  economic recovery in the new federal states
16  standard of living
17  intensify exports
18  investment incentives
19  support for research
20  infrastructural measures
21  agricultural policy
22  fiscal policy
23  reduction of national debt
24  appropriation of public funds
25  financing the new federal states
26  cut down of development aid
27  finances in general
28  economic policy in general
29  European policy
30  ‘Ostpolitik’
31  security policy, NATO
32  peacekeeping
33  disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
36  foreign policy in general
37  education policy
38  higher education policy
39  promotion of further education
40  educational policy in general
41  drug abuse
42 AIDS problem
43 expense aspects in the health care system
44 health policy in general
45 consolidation of the ‘social network’
46 cut down social benefits
48 support for families
49 more child care
50 equal opportunities for men and women
51 youth policy
52 policy for senior citizens
53 policy concerning pensions
54 more public housing
55 cultural and educational policy
56 preservation of mores and morals
57 compliance with human dignity
58 against foreigners
59 pro foreigners
60 immigration problem in general
61 reduction of bureaucracy
62 more referendums
63 reunification
67 social policy
68 internal security
69 improvement of the constitutional state
70 fighting extremism
71 fighting right-wing extremism
72 fighting left extremism
73 juvenile delinquency
74 local government and (federal) state politics
75 traffic transport policy
76 domestic policy in general
77 environmental protection
79 nuclear energy
80 energy policy
81 other problems connected with environment/energy
82 safeguarding the future
83 Treuhand problem
84 coming to terms with the past
85 encourage national consciousness
86 populist politics
87 contain corruption
88 cooperation of the parties
89 better/other government
91 preservation of democracy
97 other
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| v140ad - Important problems FRG: 4 | Q20  
What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?  
<INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!>  
<INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.> |

Q20_D Fourth mention

0   INAP: no further problems mentioned  
1   unemployment in general  
2   employment creation  
3   secure jobs  
4   fight youth unemployment  
5   improvement of employment conditions  
7   other problems connected with job market  
8   price stability  
9   monetary stability  
10  wage policy  
12  EURO  
13  stable economy  
14  economic boom  
15  economic recovery in the new federal states  
16  standard of living  
18  investment incentives  
19  support for research  
20  infrastructural measures  
21  agricultural policy  
22  fiscal policy  
23  reduction of national debt  
24  appropriation of public funds  
25  financing the new federal states  
26  cut down of development aid  
27  finances in general  
28  economic policy in general  
29  European policy  
30  'Ostpolitik'  
31  security policy, NATO  
32  peacekeeping  
33  disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback  
35  support for the Third World  
36  foreign policy in general  
37  education policy  
38  higher education policy  
39  promotion of further education  
40  educational policy in general  
41  drug abuse
43 expense aspects in the health care system
44 health policy in general
45 consolidation of the 'social network'
46 cut down social benefits
48 support for families
49 more child care
50 equal opportunities for men and women
51 youth policy
52 policy for senior citizens
53 policy concerning pensions
54 more public housing
55 cultural and educational policy
56 preservation of mores and morals
57 compliance with human dignity
58 against foreigners
59 pro foreigners
60 immigration problem in general
61 reduction of bureaucracy
62 more referendums
63 reunification
67 social policy
68 internal security
69 improvement of the constitutional state
70 fighting extremism
71 fighting right-wing extremism
72 fighting left extremism
73 juvenile delinquency
74 local government and (federal) state politics
75 traffic transport policy
76 domestic policy in general
77 environmental protection
79 nuclear energy
80 energy policy
81 other problems connected with environment/energy
82 safeguarding the future
83 Treuhand problem
84 coming to terms with the past
85 encourage national consciousness
86 populist politics
87 contain corruption
89 better/other government
91 preservation of democracy
97 other
Q20
What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?

<i>INT.: Make sure you note all answers literally and in the order they were mentioned in the scheme below (concerning question 20)!</i>

<i>INT.: Up to 6 statements are possible.</i>

Q20_E Fifth mention

0  INAP: no further problems mentioned
1  unemployment in general
2  employment creation
3  secure jobs
4  fight youth unemployment
5  improvement of employment conditions
7  other problems connected with job market
8  price stability
10  wage policy
12  EURO
13  stable economy
14  economic boom
15  economic recovery in the new federal states
16  standard of living
18  investment incentives
19  support for research
20  infrastructural measures
22  fiscal policy
23  reduction of national debt
24  appropriation of public funds
25  financing the new federal states
27  finances in general
28  economic policy in general
29  European policy
31  security policy, NATO
32  peacekeeping
35  support for the Third World
36  foreign policy in general
37  education policy
38  higher education policy
39  promotion of further education
40  educational policy in general
41  drug abuse
43  expense aspects in the health care system
44  health policy in general
45  consolidation of the 'social network'
46  cut down social benefits
47  support for welfare service
48 support for families
49 more child care
50 equal opportunities for men and women
51 youth policy
52 policy for senior citizens
53 policy concerning pensions
54 more public housing
55 cultural and educational policy
56 preservation of mores and morals
57 compliance with human dignity
58 against foreigners
59 pro foreigners
60 immigration problem in general
61 reduction of bureaucracy
62 more referendums
63 reunification
64 clarification of ownership structures
65 social policy
66 internal security
67 improvement of the constitutional state
68 fighting extremism
69 fighting right-wing extremism
70 fighting left extremism
71 juvenile delinquency
72 local government and (federal) state politics
73 traffic transport policy
74 environmental protection
75 nuclear energy
76 energy policy
77 other problems connected with environment/energy
78 safeguarding the future
79 coming to terms with the past
80 encourage national consciousness
81 populist politics
82 contain corruption
83 cooperation of the parties
84 preservation of democracy
85 other
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v140af - Important problems FRG: 6

Q20
What do you think are the most important problems which have to be solved in the FRG today?
<br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br><br>:
59 pro foreigners
60 immigration problem in general
61 reduction of bureaucracy
62 more referendums
63 reunification
67 social policy
68 internal security
69 improvement of the constitutional state
70 fighting extremism
71 fighting right-wing extremism
73 juvenile delinquency
75 traffic transport policy
76 domestic policy in general
77 environmental protection
79 nuclear energy
80 energy policy
81 other problems connected with environment/energy
82 safeguarding the future
85 encourage national consciousness
86 populist politics
88 cooperation of the parties
89 better/other government
97 other
Q21
I am going to read the problems, which you have mentioned again. Would you please tell me which of these problems is most important and which
is second most important to you.

<INT.: Read annotations from A to F and encircle the most important and second most important problem mentioned in the scheme to question
21.>

Q21_1 Most important problem

0  INAP.: no problems (DK/NA in V140AA)
1  unemployment in general
2  employment creation
3  secure jobs
4  fight youth unemployment
5  improvement of employment conditions
6  other problems connected with job market
7  price stability
8  monetary stability
9  wage policy
10  interest rate policy
11  EURO
12  stable economy
13  economic upswing
14  economic recovery in the new federal states
15  standard of living
16  intensify exports
17  investment incentives
18  support for research
19  infrastructural measures
20  agricultural policy
21  fiscal policy
22  reduction of national debt
23  appropriation of public funds
24  financing the new federal states
25  cut down of development aid
26  finances in general
27  economic policy in general
28  European policy
29  'Ostpolitik'
30  security policy, NATO
31  peacekeeping
32  disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
33  support for the Third World
34  foreign policy in general
35  education policy
36  higher education policy
39 promotion of further education  
40 educational policy  
41 drug abuse  
42 AIDS problem  
43 cost aspects in the health care system  
44 health policy in general  
45 consolidation of the 'social net'  
46 cut down social benefits  
47 support for welfare service  
48 support for families  
49 more child care  
50 equal opportunities for men and women  
51 youth policy  
52 policy for senior citizens  
53 policy concerning pensions  
54 more public housing  
55 cultural and educational policy  
56 preservation of mores and morals  
57 compliance with human dignity  
58 against foreigners  
59 pro foreigners  
60 immigration problem in general  
61 reduction of bureaucracy  
62 more referendums  
63 reunification  
64 clarification of ownership structures  
65 social policy  
66 internal security  
67 improvement of the constitutional state  
68 fighting extremism  
69 fighting right-wing extremism  
70 fighting left extremism  
71 juvenile delinquency  
72 local government and (federal) state politics  
73 traffic policy  
74 domestic policy in general  
75 environmental protection  
76 solving the waste problems  
77 nuclear energy  
78 energy policy  
79 other problems connected with environment/energy  
80 safeguarding the future  
81 Treuhand problem  
82 coming to terms with the past  
83 encourage national consciousness  
84 populist politics  
85 contain corruption  
86 cooperation of the parties  
87 better/other government  
88 preservation of democracy
96 there are only problems
97 other
98 no problems
99 NA, don't know
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vwiprob2 - 2nd most important problem FRG

Q21

I am going to read the problems, which you have mentioned again. Would you please tell me which of these problems is most important and which is second most important to you.

<INT.: Read annotations from A to F and encircle the most important and second most important problem mentioned in the scheme to question 21.>

Q21_2 Second most important problem

0 INAP.: no problems (DK/NA in V140AA)
1 unemployment in general
2 employment creation
3 secure jobs
4 fight youth unemployment
5 improvement of employment conditions
7 other problems connected with job market
8 price stability
9 monetary stability
10 wage policy
11 interest rate policy
12 EURO
13 stable economy
14 economic upswing
15 economic recovery in the new federal states
16 standard of living
17 intensify exports
18 investment incentives
19 support for research
20 infrastructural measures
21 agricultural policy
22 fiscal policy
23 reduction of national debt
24 appropriation of public funds
25 financing the new federal states
26 cut down of development aid
27 finances in general
28 economic policy in general
29 European policy
30 'Ostpolitik'
31 security policy, NATO
32 peacekeeping
33 disarmament, Bundeswehr cutback
35 support for the Third World
36 foreign policy in general
37 education policy
38 higher education policy
39 promotion of further education
40 educational policy
41 drug abuse
42 AIDS problem
43 cost aspects in the health care system
44 health policy in general
45 consolidation of the 'social net'
46 cut down social benefits
47 support for welfare service
48 support for families
49 more child care
50 equal opportunities for men and women
51 youth policy
52 policy for senior citizens
53 policy concerning pensions
54 more public housing
55 cultural and educational policy
56 preservation of mores and morals
57 compliance with human dignity
58 against foreigners
59 pro foreigners
60 immigration problem in general
61 reduction of bureaucracy
62 more referendums
63 reunification
64 clarification of ownership structures
65 social policy
66 internal security
67 improvement of the constitutional state
68 fighting extremism
69 fighting right-wing extremism
70 fighting left extremism
71 juvenile delinquency
72 local government and (federal) state politics
73 traffic policy
74 domestic policy in general
75 environmental protection
76 solving the waste problems
77 nuclear energy
78 energy policy
79 other problems connected with environment/energy
80 safeguarding the future
81 Treuhand problem
82 coming to terms with the past
83 encourage national consciousness
84 populist politics
85 contain corruption
86 cooperation of the parties
87 better/other government
88 preservation of democracy
96 there are only problems
97 other
98 no problems
99 NA, don’t know
v150 - Qualified: most important problem

Q22

<INT.: Hand white list 5 to respondent.>
The most important problem to you is ...

<INT.: read the most important problem from Q21>
Which party, do you think, would be more qualified to solve this problem?

<INT.: Allow only one response.>

0   INAP.: no (important) problems mentioned/refused in V140AA
1   CDU (or: CSU)
2   SPD
3   FDP
4   Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5   Republikaner
6   PDS
7   DVU
8   other party
9   all equally qualified
10  neither
98 don't know <INT.: don't read>
99   refused <INT.: don't read>

ZA3066, v150: Qualified: most important problem  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU (or: CSU)</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>21,8</td>
<td>21,8</td>
<td>22,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td>57,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>59,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>62,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>63,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>66,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DVU</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>67,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>other party</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>67,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>all equally qualified</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>73,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>neither</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>17,9</td>
<td>17,9</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases

3337
**Question Text (English Language)**

Q22_a

<INT.: Hand white list 5 again to respondent.>

The second most important problem to you is ...

<INT.: read the second most important problem from Q21>

Which party, do you think, would be more qualified to solve this problem?

<INT.: Allow only one response.>

0  INAP: only one/no (important) problem mentioned
1  CDU (or: CSU)
2  SPD
3  FDP
4  Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5  Republikaner
6  PDS
7  DVU
8  other party
9  all equally qualified
10  neither
98  don't know <INT.: don't read>
99  refused

**ZA3066, v160: Qualified: 2nd most important problem  (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: only one/no (important) problem mentioned</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU (or: CSU)</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>1055</td>
<td>31,6</td>
<td>31,6</td>
<td>57,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>58,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>64,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>66,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>70,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DVU</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>71,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>other party</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>71,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>all equally qualified</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>77,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>neither</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>90,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know &lt;INT.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v170a - Politicians pay attention to people

Q23

<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>
We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>
<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23: A Politicians pay attention to what common people think.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don't know <Int.: don't read>
9  refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170a: Politicians pay attention to people  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 -2 completely disagree</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>25,6</td>
<td>25,6</td>
<td>25,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  -1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>32,8</td>
<td>32,8</td>
<td>58,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  0 part/part</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>81,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  +1 tend to agree</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>14,4</td>
<td>14,4</td>
<td>95,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  +2 completely agree</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  don't know</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v170b - People no influence on government
Q23
<INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>
We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.
<INT.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>
<INT.: take note of signs>

Q23_B People like me don't have any influence on the government.

1 -2 completely disagree
2 -1 tend to disagree
3 0 part/part
4 +1 tend to agree
5 +2 completely agree
8 don't know <INT.: don't read>
9 refused <INT.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170b: People no influence on government  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>19,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>34,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>29,9</td>
<td>29,9</td>
<td>64,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>34,6</td>
<td>34,6</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q23_D Politics seem so complicated that a person like me cannot understand what's going on.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don't know <Int.: don't read>
9  refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170d: Politics too complicated  (N=3337)
We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q23_F The members of the Bundestag try to stay in close contact with the population.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v170f: Members of the Bundestag: contact with population  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>31,3</td>
<td>31,3</td>
<td>55,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>81,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>13,4</td>
<td>13,4</td>
<td>94,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>97,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Validity Cases 3337
We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q23_G The citizens hardly have a possibility to influence politics.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9  refused <INT.: don’t read>
VARIABLE, LABEL

Question Text (English Language)

v170h - Right to stand up own opinion

Q23

<Int.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<Int.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>

<Int.: take note of signs>

Q23_H Everybody should have the right to argue for his/her own opinion, even if the majority has a different opinion.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don't know <Int.: don't read>
9  refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170h: Right to stand up own opinion (N=3337)

v170h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>31,7</td>
<td>31,7</td>
<td>42,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>56,5</td>
<td>56,5</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Q23
<br>Var: v170i - Respondent: active role in political group
<br><INT.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>
We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (the value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q23_I I believe that I'm capable of playing an active role in a group which is concerned with political issues.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don't know <INT.: don’t read>
9  refused <INT.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>45,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>66,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>86,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>97,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know &lt;INT.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;INT.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Valid Cases | 3337 |
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v170k_ K A viable democracy isn't possible without a political opposition.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don't know <nt: don't read>
9  refused <nt: don't read>

ZA3066, v170k: No democracy without opposition  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>29,2</td>
<td>29,2</td>
<td>44,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>51,2</td>
<td>51,2</td>
<td>95,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;nt: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;nt: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v170l - Respondent: understand political issues

Q23

<Int.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<Int.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>
<Int.: Take note of signs>

Q23_L I’m capable of understanding and evaluating political issues.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3   0 part/part
4   +1 tend to agree
5   +2 completely agree
8   don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v170l: Respondent: understand political issues  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>10,1</td>
<td>10,1</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>25,7</td>
<td>25,7</td>
<td>39,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>76,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>22,0</td>
<td>22,0</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Q23

We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q23_M In a democracy regular electoral participation is the duty of the citizens.

1 -2 completely disagree
2 -1 tend to disagree
3 0 part/part
4 +1 tend to agree
5 +2 completely agree
8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v170m: Duty of citizens: electoral participation  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>11,9</td>
<td>11,9</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td>42,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>55,6</td>
<td>55,6</td>
<td>98,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v170o - Every party: chance government
Q23
<Int.: Hand beige list 6 to respondent.>
We have put together a number of often expressed opinions on politics and society. Please tell me, if you agree with these opinions or not. (The value) -2 means completely disagree, (the value) +2 means that you completely agree. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.
<Int.: Encircle scale value for each parameter.>
<Int.: take note of signs>

Q23.0 On principle, every democratic party should have the chance to come to power.

1  -2 completely disagree
2  -1 tend to disagree
3  0 part/part
4  +1 tend to agree
5  +2 completely agree
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v170o: Every party: chance government  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 part/part</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>24,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>32,8</td>
<td>32,8</td>
<td>57,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>39,6</td>
<td>39,6</td>
<td>97,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**v171a - Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU**

Q24

<int: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<int: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<int: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

1 1 continued development
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 immediate shut-down
8 don’t know
9 refused

---

**ZA3066, v171a: Nuclear energy: CDU/CSU  (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 continued development</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>21,7</td>
<td>21,7</td>
<td>21,7</td>
<td>21,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>44,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>65,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>79,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>84,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>86,1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 immediate shut-down</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>86,9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

Q24 What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 continued development</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>38,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>28,9</td>
<td>28,9</td>
<td>67,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>81,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 immediate shut-down</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>86,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v171b: Nuclear energy: SPD  (N=3337)
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v171c - Nuclear energy: FDP

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_C What do you think is the political point of view of the F.D.P.?

1 1 continued development
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 immediate shut-down
8 don't know
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 continued development</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>27,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>20,6</td>
<td>20,6</td>
<td>48,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>67,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>73,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>75,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 immediate shut-down</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>76,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v171c: Nuclear energy: FDP  (N=3337)

v171c
v171d - Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen?

1 1 continued development
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 immediate shut-down
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v171d: Nuclear energy: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 continued development</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>4,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>14,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>22,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>42,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 immediate shut-down</td>
<td>2331</td>
<td>69,9</td>
<td>69,9</td>
<td>90,0</td>
<td>90,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

Q24_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner?

1 1 continued development
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 immediate shut-down
8 don’t know
9 refused
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v171f - Nuclear energy: PDS

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS?

1  1 continued development
2  2
3  3
4  4
5  5
6  6
7  7 immediate shut-down
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, v171f: Nuclear energy: PDS  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 continued development</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>9,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>23,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>34,8</td>
<td>34,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>43,3</td>
<td>43,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 immediate shut-down</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>50,8</td>
<td>50,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>48,0</td>
<td>48,0</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td>98,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v171g - Nuclear energy: DVU

Q24

<INT.: Hand white list 7 to respondent.>

Each of the following questions deals with two opposite opinions about various political tasks. Please tell me where you think each party stands on this matter. If you cannot answer one of the question, then please just tell me.

The first topic is nuclear energy. The value 1 means continued development of nuclear power, the value 7 means immediate shut-down of all nuclear power plants. Should there be a continued development of nuclear power or should all nuclear power plants be shut down?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q24_G What do you think is the political point of view of the DVU?

1 1 continued development
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 immediate shut-down
8 don’t know
9 refused

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v171g: Nuclear energy: DVU (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 continued development</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>23,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>27,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>30,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 immediate shut-down</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>35,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>2121</td>
<td>63,6</td>
<td>63,6</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Now let’s talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

**Q25_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?**

1 1 easier immigration possibilities  
2 2  
3 3  
4 4  
5 5  
6 6  
7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities  
8 don’t know <don’t read>  
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 easier immigration poss.</td>
<td></td>
<td>157</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>10,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>19,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>478</td>
<td>14,3</td>
<td>14,3</td>
<td>33,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>666</td>
<td>20,0</td>
<td>20,0</td>
<td>53,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>681</td>
<td>20,4</td>
<td>20,4</td>
<td>74,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 restriction of immigration poss.</td>
<td></td>
<td>571</td>
<td>17,1</td>
<td>17,1</td>
<td>91,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td>257</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>98,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sum   |                          |         | 3337  | 100,0   | 100,0         | 100,0          |
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v172b - Immigration: SPD
Q25
(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<int: Hand beige list B to respondent.>
Now let’s talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?
<int: As questions A to G individually.>
<int: Encircle scale value.>

Q25_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

1  1 easier immigration possibilities
2  2
3  3
4  4
5  5
6  6
7  7 restriction of immigration possibilities
8  don’t know <don’t read>
9  refused <int: don’t read>

ZA3066, v172b: Immigration: SPD  (N=3337)
v172b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>easier immigration poss.</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>410</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>17,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>772</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td>40,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>905</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>68,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>81,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>209</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>87,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>restriction of immigration poss.</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>90,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>99,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Q25
(See Q24 [v171a - v171g] for complete question text)

Now let’s talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

Q25_C What do you think is the political point of view of the F.D.P. on this issue?

1 1 easier immigration possibilities
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
8 don’t know <don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 easier immigration poss.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>18,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>41,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>18,6</td>
<td>18,6</td>
<td>60,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>73,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 restriction of immigration poss.</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>80,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>18,9</td>
<td>18,9</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Now let’s talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

Q25_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen on this issue?

1 1 easier immigration possibilities
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
8 don’t know <don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 easier immigration poss.</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>24,8</td>
<td>24,8</td>
<td>24,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>47,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>60,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>71,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>76,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>80,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 restriction of immigration poss.</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>83,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v172d: Immigration: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen (N=3337)
Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

Q25, E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner on this issue?

1 1 easier immigration possibilities
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
8 don't know <don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>
Now let’s talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

***Q25_F* What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS on this issue?

1 1 easier immigration possibilities
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
8 don’t know <don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>
Now let's talk about the immigration possibilities for foreigners. Should the immigration possibilities for foreigners be made easier or should they be restricted?

Q25 G What do you think is the political point of view of the DVU on this issue?

1 1 easier immigration possibilities
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
8 don't know <don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).
Q26
[See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text]

<int: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>
Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?
<int: Ask questions A to G individually.>
<int: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_A What do you think is the political point of view of the CDU/CSU on this issue?

1 1 speed up the European unification
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 European unification already goes too far
8 don’t know <int: don’t read>
9 refused <int: don’t read>

ZA3066, v173a: European unification: CDU/CSU  (N=3337)
v173a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 speed up the EU unification</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>42,1</td>
<td>42,1</td>
<td>42,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>21,3</td>
<td>21,3</td>
<td>63,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>74,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>80,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>84,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>87,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 EU unification already too far</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>90,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>v173b - European unification: SPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(See Q24 [v171a - v171g] for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_B What do you think is the political point of view of the SPD on this issue?

1  speed up the European unification
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  European unification already too far
8  don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9  refused <INT.: don’t read>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZA3066, v173b: European unification: SPD (N=3337)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v173b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>speed up the EU unification</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>662</td>
<td>19,8</td>
<td>19,8</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>821</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>57,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>649</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>76,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>248</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>84,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>86,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>EU unification already too far</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>88,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases** 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v173c - European unification: FDP

Q26

(See Q24 [v171a – v171g] for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_C What do you think is the political point of view of the F.D.P. on this issue?

1 1 speed up the European unification
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 European unification already too far
8 don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9 refused <INT.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v173c: European unification: FDP (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 speed up the EU unification</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>19,8</td>
<td>19,8</td>
<td>19,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>21,3</td>
<td>21,3</td>
<td>41,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>58,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>13,8</td>
<td>13,8</td>
<td>72,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>78,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>81,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 EU unification already too far</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>82,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

Q26_D What do you think is the political point of view of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen on this issue?

1 1 speed up the European unification
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 European unification already goes too far
8 don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9 refused <INT.: don’t read>
**Variable, Label**
Question Text (English Language)

**v173e - European unification: Republikaner**

Q26

(See Q24 [v171a - v171g] for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_E What do you think is the political point of view of the Republikaner on this issue?

1  speed up the European unification
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  European unification already goes too far
8  don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9  refused <INT.: don’t read>

**ZA3066, v173e: European unification: Republikaner  (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>speed up the EU unification</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>192</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>433</td>
<td>13,0</td>
<td>13,0</td>
<td>28,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>EU unification already too far</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>42,0</td>
<td>42,0</td>
<td>70,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>28,7</td>
<td>28,7</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v173f - European unification: PDS

Q26

(See Q24 (v171a - v171g) for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>

Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?

<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_F What do you think is the political point of view of the PDS on this issue?

1 1 speed up the European unification
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 European unification already goes too far
8 don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9 refused <INT.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v173f: European unification: PDS  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 speed up the EU unification</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>9,4</td>
<td>9,4</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>32,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>43,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>49,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 EU unification already too far</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>60,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>38,5</td>
<td>38,5</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337

page 140
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v173g - European unification: DVU
Q26
(See Q24 [v171a - v171g] for complete question text)

<INT.: Hand white list 9 to respondent.>
Should the European unification be speeded up so that there is a common European government soon or does the European unification already go too far?
<INT.: Ask questions A to G individually.>
<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q26_G What do you think is the political point of view of the DVU on this issue?

1  1 speed up the European unification
2  2
3  3
4  4
5  5
6  6
7  7 European unification already goes too far
8  don't know <INT.: don't read>
9  refused <INT.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

### Value Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 speed up the EU unification</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 EU unification already too far</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases** 3337
**Q27**

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

- [ ] Q27_A What's your opinion on nuclear energy?

1. 1 continued development
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. 7 immediate shut-down
8. don’t know
9. refused

### Table: v174a - Personal opinion: nuclear energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 continued development</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>12,9</td>
<td>12,9</td>
<td>23,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>48,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>67,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>79,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 immediate shut-down</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>13,8</td>
<td>13,8</td>
<td>93,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, v174a: Personal opinion: nuclear energy** (N=3337)
v174b - Personal opinion: immigration

Q27

<INT.: Hand beige list 10 to respondent.>

And what's your own opinion on these questions?

<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>

<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q27_B What's your opinion on immigration possibilities for foreigners?

1 1 easier immigration possibilities
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7 restriction of immigration possibilities
8 don't know
9 refused

ZA3066, v174b: Personal opinion: immigration  (N=3337)
v174b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 easier immigration poss.</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>52,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>69,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 restriction of immigration poss.</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>96,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases | 3337 |
v174c - Personal opinion: European unification

Q27

<INT.: Hand beige list 10 to respondent.>
And what’s your own opinion on these questions?
<INT.: Ask questions A to C individually.>
<INT.: Encircle scale value.>

Q27_C What’s your opinion on European unification?

1  1 speed up the EU unification
2  2
3  3
4  4
5  5
6  6
7  7 EU unification already too far
8  don’t know
9  refused

ZA3066, v174c: Personal opinion: European unification  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 speed up the EU unification</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td></td>
<td>38,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>62,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td></td>
<td>73,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td></td>
<td>82,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 EU unification already too far</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>93,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td></td>
<td>98,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v175a - Important: nuclear energy
Q28
    INT.: Hand white list 11 to respondent.>
And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.
    INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q28_A Nuclear energy

1 very important
2 important
3 part/part
4 not so important
5 completely unimportant
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v175a: Important: nuclear energy  (N=3337)
v175a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very important</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>26,5</td>
<td>26,5</td>
<td>26,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>important</td>
<td>1307</td>
<td>39,2</td>
<td>39,2</td>
<td>65,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td>88,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not so important</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>96,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely unimportant</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>97,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v175b - Important: immigration

Q28

<INT.: Hand white list 11 to respondent.>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q28_B Immigration possibilities for foreigners

1 very important
2 important
3 part/part
4 not so important
5 completely unimportant
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v175b: Important: immigration  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very important</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>important</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not so important</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely unimportant</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v175c - Important: European unification

Q28

<INT.: Hand white list 11 to respondent.>

And how important is the solution of these problems to you? Please evaluate them using this list from 'very important' to 'completely unimportant'.

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q28_C European unification

1   very important
2   important
3   part/part
4   not so important
5   completely unimportant
8   don’t know
9   refused

ZA3066, v175c: Important: European unification  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very important</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>important</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>56,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>27,0</td>
<td>27,0</td>
<td>83,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not so important</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>10,9</td>
<td>10,9</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely unimportant</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>97,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v176a - Important: providing jobs

Q29

<INT.: Hand beige list 12 to respondent.>

Now here are some more topics. How important do you think are these measures?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q29_A Providing a job for every person who wants to work

1 very important
2 important
3 part/part
4 not so important
5 completely unimportant
8 don’t know
9 refused

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very important</td>
<td></td>
<td>2529</td>
<td>75,8</td>
<td>75,8</td>
<td>75,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>important</td>
<td></td>
<td>651</td>
<td>19,5</td>
<td>19,5</td>
<td>95,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>98,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not so important</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>99,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely unimportant</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V176b - Important: support new technologies
Q29

Now here are some more topics. How important do you think are these measures?

Q29_B Support for the industry's development of new products and technologies

1 very important
2 important
3 part/part
4 not so important
5 completely unimportant
8 don't know
9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v176b: Important: support new technologies (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very important</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>38,6</td>
<td>38,6</td>
<td>38,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>important</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>39,2</td>
<td>39,2</td>
<td>77,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>93,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not so important</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>96,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely unimportant</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>97,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v176c - Important: promotion of equal opportunities for women

Q29

<INT.: Hand beige list 12 to respondent.>

Now here are some more topics. How important do you think are these measures?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q29_C Promotion of equal opportunities for women

1 very important
2 important
3 part/part
4 not so important
5 completely unimportant
8 don’t know
9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v176c: Important: promotion of equal opportunities for women  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very important</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>37,6</td>
<td>37,6</td>
<td>37,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>important</td>
<td>1231</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td>74,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>92,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not so important</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>97,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely unimportant</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
VARIABLE, LABEL

Question Text (English Language)

v177a - State responsible: provide job

Q30

<INT.: Hand white list 13 to respondent.>

Please tell me using this list, to what extent the state should be responsible for the listed items. The state should...

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q30_A Providing a job for every person who wants to work

1 definitely be responsible,
2 partially be responsible,
3 rather not be responsible or
4 definitely not be responsible.
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 [ZA3066].

ZA3066, v177a: State responsible: provide job  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>definitely be responsible</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>49,7</td>
<td>49,7</td>
<td>49,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>partially be responsible</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>39,8</td>
<td>39,8</td>
<td>89,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>rather not be responsible or</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>96,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>definitely not be responsible</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>98,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v177b - State responsible: support new technologies

Q30

<INT.: Hand white list 13 to respondent.>
Please tell me using this list, to what extent the state should be responsible for the listed items. The state should...

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q30_B Support for the industry's development of new products and technologies.

1  definitely be responsible,
2  partially be responsible,
3  rather not be responsible or
4  definitely not be responsible.
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 [ZA3066].

ZA3066, v177b: State responsible: support new technologies  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>definitely be responsible</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>partially be responsible</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>81,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>rather not be responsible</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>94,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>definitely not be responsible</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>96,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
v177c - State responsible: promotion of equal opportunities for women

Q30

<INT.: Hand white list 13 to respondent.>

Please tell me using this list, to what extent the state should be responsible for the listed items. The state should...

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q30_C Promotion of equal opportunities for women

1 definitely be responsible,
2 partially be responsible,
3 rather not be responsible or
4 definitely not be responsible.
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).
**v178a - Satisfied state: provide jobs**

Q31

<INT.: Hand beige list 14 to respondent.>

Please tell me how satisfied you are with how the state executes these tasks. Are you very satisfied, satisfied, partly satisfied/partly not satisfied, rather dissatisfied or completely dissatisfied with it?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q31_A Providing a job for every person who wants to work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very satisfied</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>satisfied</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>partly satisfied/partly not satisfied</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>31,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>rather dissatisfied</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>65,9</td>
<td>65,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely dissatisfied</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td>98,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td>99,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

**ZA3066, v178a: Satisfied state: provide jobs  (N=3337)**
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v178b - Satisfied state: support new technologies

Q31

<INT.: Hand beige list 14 to respondent.>

Please tell me how satisfied you are with how the state executes these tasks. Are you very satisfied, satisfied, partly satisfied/partly not satisfied, rather dissatisfied or completely dissatisfied with it?

<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>

Q31_B Support for the industry's development of new products and technologies

1 very satisfied
2 satisfied
3 partly/partly
4 rather dissatisfied
5 completely dissatisfied
8 don't know
9 refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very satisfied</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>satisfied</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>partly satisfied/partly not satisfied</td>
<td>1463</td>
<td>43,8</td>
<td>43,8</td>
<td>64,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>rather dissatisfied</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>81,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely dissatisfied</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>88,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v178b: Satisfied state: support new technologies  (N=3337)
v178c - Satisfied state: promotion of equal opportunities for women

Q31
<<INT.: Hand beige list 14 to respondent.>>
Please tell me how satisfied you are with how the state executes these tasks. Are you very satisfied, satisfied, partly satisfied/partly not satisfied, rather dissatisfied or completely dissatisfied with it?
<<INT.: Encircle one answer code per list item.>>

Q31_C Promotion of equal opportunities for women

1  very satisfied
2  satisfied
3  partly/partly
4  rather dissatisfied
5  completely dissatisfied
8  don’t know
9  refused

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v178c: Satisfied state: promotion of equal opportunities for women  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very satisfied</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>satisfied</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>20,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>partly satisfied/partly not satisfied</td>
<td>1337</td>
<td>40,1</td>
<td>40,1</td>
<td>60,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>rather dissatisfied</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>84,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely dissatisfied</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
### v179 - Respondent lived where July 1989?

Q32

Where did you live in July 1989, i.e. just before the 'Wende': in the former FRG, in the former GDR or already abroad for a few years?

- If code 2, go to question 33b.
- If code 3, go to question 34.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>former FRG</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>65,0</td>
<td>65,0</td>
<td>65,0</td>
<td>65,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>former GDR</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>33,3</td>
<td>33,3</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>already abroad for a few years</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

F33a_A Personal standard of living

0 INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179
1 a lot better
2 better
3 the same
4 worse
5 a lot worse
8 don’t know
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>38,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>19,1</td>
<td>19,1</td>
<td>57,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>92,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>98,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>98,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V
ariable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v180bspw - FRG 1989: fair distribution income
Q33a
<iNT.: Hand white list 15 A to respondent>
Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?
<iNT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33a_B Fair distribution of income

0  INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179
1  a lot better
2  better
3  the same
4  worse
5  a lot worse
8  don't know
9  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>38,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>58,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>30,1</td>
<td>30,1</td>
<td>88,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>95,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>96,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
variable, label

Question Text (English Language)

v180cspw - FRG 1989: social security
Q33a

<INT.: Hand white list 15 A to respondent>
Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33a_C Social security

0  INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179
1  a lot better
2  better
3  the same
4  worse
5  a lot worse
8  don’t know
9  refused

ZA3066, v180cspw: FRG 1989: social security  (N=3337)

v180cspw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>41,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>24,4</td>
<td>24,4</td>
<td>65,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>22,3</td>
<td>22,3</td>
<td>88,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
variable, label
question text (english language)

v180dspw - FRG 1989: company of people
Q33a
<int: hand white list 15 a to respondent>
Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?
<int: read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

F33a_ D Sticking together of the people among each other

0 INAP: code 2 or 9 in v179
1 a lot better
2 better
3 the same
4 worse
5 a lot worse
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v180dspw: FRG 1989: company of people (N=3337)
v180dspw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td></td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>38,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td></td>
<td>575</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>55,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td></td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>31,9</td>
<td>31,9</td>
<td>87,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>95,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>97,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valid cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Think back to your life in the former FRG before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former FRG before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse or a lot worse than in West Germany today?

- a lot better
- better
- the same
- worse
- a lot worse
- don't know
- refused

ZA3066, v180espw: FRG 1989: protection crime  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>35,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>42,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>17,5</td>
<td>17,5</td>
<td>59,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>26,5</td>
<td>26,5</td>
<td>86,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>93,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>98,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

Q33b, A Personal standard of living

0  INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179
1  a lot better
2  better
3  the same
4  worse
5  a lot worse
8  don’t know
9  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>68,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>76,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>85,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>97,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v180bspo - GDR 1989: fair distribution income

Q33b

<INT.: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33b_B Fair distribution of income

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179
1 a lot better
2 better
3 the same
4 worse
5 a lot worse
8 don’t know
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>69,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>83,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>88,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>96,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v180cspo - GDR 1989: social security
Q33b
<int>: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent>
Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?
<int>: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.>

Q33b_C Social security

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179
1 a lot better
2 better
3 the same
4 worse
5 a lot worse
8 don't know
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td>80,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>92,5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>95,3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>97,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v180cspo: GDR 1989: social security (N=3337)

v180cspo
v180dspo - GDR 1989: company of people

Q33b

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 15 B to respondent</i>

Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

<i>INT.: Read list items and encircle respective answer code.</i>

Q33b_D Sticking together of the people among each other

0  INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179
1  a lot better
2  better
3  the same
4  worse
5  a lot worse
8  don’t know
9  refused

---

**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a lot better</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>better</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>the same</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>worse</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>a lot worse</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Think back to your life in the former GDR before July 1989. I am now going to read different political and social areas to you. Do you think that in the former GDR before the reunification the following areas were a lot better, better, the same, worse, or a lot worse than in East Germany today?

Q33b_E Protection of the people against crime

0 INAP: code 1 or 9 in v179
1 a lot better
2 better
3 the same
4 worse
5 a lot worse
8 don't know
9 refused
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v181 - Know: number federal states
Q34
Do you know of how many federal states the FRG altogether consists today, old and new federal states added up?

98  don't know <Int.: don't read>
99  refused <Int.: don't read>

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v181: Know: number federal states  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 federal states</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 federal states</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 federal states</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 federal states</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9 federal states</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 federal states</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 federal states</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 federal states</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13 federal states</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>8,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14 federal states</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>12,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15 federal states</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>22,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16 federal states</td>
<td>1494</td>
<td>44,8</td>
<td>44,8</td>
<td>67,4</td>
<td>67,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17 federal states</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>71,0</td>
<td>71,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18 federal states</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>72,4</td>
<td>72,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19 federal states</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>73,1</td>
<td>73,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20 federal states</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>73,4</td>
<td>73,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21 federal states</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>73,7</td>
<td>73,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22 federal states</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>73,9</td>
<td>73,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23 federal states</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>73,9</td>
<td>73,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24 federal states</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>74,0</td>
<td>74,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25 federal states</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>74,0</td>
<td>74,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26 federal states</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td>74,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>27 federal states</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td>74,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>28 federal states</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td>74,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30 federal states</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>74,3</td>
<td>74,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td>99,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum   3337  100,0  100,0

Valid Cases 3337
Q35
What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don’t think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q35_A What do you think of the CDU?

1  -5  don’t think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0  undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10   +4
11  +5  think a great deal of the party
98   don’t know
99   refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don’t think much of the party at all</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>23,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>32,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>38,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>50,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>57,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>68,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>81,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>89,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of the party</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>97,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don’t think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

What do you think of the CSU?

1  -5  don’t think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0  undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5  think a great deal of the party
98  don’t know
99  refused

ZA3066, v200b: Think of: CSU  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don’t think much of the party at all</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>30,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>43,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0  undecided</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>55,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>62,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>70,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>80,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>88,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of the party</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum  |                                           | 3337    | 100,0 | 100,0    |               |

Valid Cases | 3337
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

v200c - Think of: SPD

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don’t think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35.C What do you think of the SPD?

1  -5  don’t think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0  undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5  think a great deal of the party
98  don’t know
99  refused

### ZA3066, v200c: Think of: SPD  (N=3337)

v200c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**  3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v200d - Think of: FDP

Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don't think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_D What do you think of the FDP?

1  -5 don't think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0 undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5 think a great deal of the party
98   don't know
99   refused

ZA3066, v200d: Think of: FDP  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don't think much of the party at all</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>26,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>46,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>21,2</td>
<td>21,2</td>
<td>68,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>10,1</td>
<td>10,1</td>
<td>78,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>85,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>91,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>93,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of the party</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v200e - Think of: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
Q35

<int: Hand list 16 to respondent>
What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don’t think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<int: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>
<int: Take note of signs>

Q35_E What do you think of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen?

1   -5 don’t think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0 undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5 think a great deal of the party
98  don’t know
99  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don’t think much of the party at all</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>23,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>7,2</td>
<td>7,2</td>
<td>30,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>38,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>18,7</td>
<td>18,7</td>
<td>57,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>70,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>80,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>88,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>93,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of the party</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>96,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v200f - Think of: Republikaner

Q35

<int: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don’t think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<int: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>
<int: Take note of signs>

Q35_F What do you think of the Republikaner?

1  -5  don’t think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0  undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5  think a great deal of the party
98  don’t know
99  refused

ZA3066, v200f: Think of: Republikaner  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don’t think much of the party at all</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td>65,4</td>
<td>65,4</td>
<td>65,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>73,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>78,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>81,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>83,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>88,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>90,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>91,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>93,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>93,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of the party</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**
**Question Text (English Language)**

**v200g - Think of: PDS**

**Q35**

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>

What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don’t think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_G What do you think of the PDS?

1  -5  don’t think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0  undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5  think a great deal of the party
98  don’t know
99  refused

**ZA3066, v200g: Think of: PDS (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don’t think much of the party at all</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>43,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>7,2</td>
<td>7,2</td>
<td>50,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>55,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>58,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>71,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>78,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>84,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>88,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>89,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of the party</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998
GESIS Study No. ZA3066, v4.0.0, http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11968

Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v200h - Think of: DVU
Q35

<INT.: Hand list 16 to respondent>
What do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party, -5 means that you don’t think much of it at all. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle particular scale value.>
<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q35_H What do you think of the DVU?

1   -5 don’t think much of the party at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0 undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5 think a great deal of the party
98  don’t know
99  refused

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

ZA3066, v200h: Think of: DVU  [N=3337]
v200h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don’t think much of the party at all</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>67,0</td>
<td>67,0</td>
<td>67,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>73,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>77,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>79,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>81,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>85,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>87,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>88,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>88,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>89,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of the party</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>89,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sum   |                                    | 3337    | 100,0 | 100,0   |               |               |

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v210a - Political goal: order in nation

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of theses variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:

Q36_1

<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2

And which aim would be the second most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3

And which aim would be the third most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation
B Giving the people more say in government decisions
C Fight against rising prices
D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

A Political goal: Maintaining order in the nation

1 most important
2 second most important
3 third most important
4 fourth most important
8 don't know
9 refused
Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

GESIS Study No. ZA3066, v4.0.0, http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11968

ZA3066, v210a: Political goal: order in nation  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>most important</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>44,5</td>
<td>44,5</td>
<td>44,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>second most important</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>26,3</td>
<td>26,3</td>
<td>70,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>third most important</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>89,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>fourth most important</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
v210b - Political goal: more say in government decisions
The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of theses variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:
Q36_1
<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>
Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?
<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2
And which aim would be the second most important to you?
<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3
And which aim would be the third most important?
<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>
<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:
A Maintaining order in the nation
B Giving the people more say in government decisions
C Fight against rising prices
D Protecting the freedom of speech
END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

B Political goal: Giving the people more say in government decisions

1   most important
2   second most important
3   third most important
4   fourth most important
8   don't know
9   refused
ZA3066, v210b: Political goal: more say in government decisions  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>most important</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>second most important</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>52,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>third most important</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>30,2</td>
<td>30,2</td>
<td>82,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>fourth most important</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v210c - Political goal: fight rising prices
The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not contained of this dataset. Even though, the original question text of these variables is documented here.

[ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:
Q36_1
<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>
Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?
<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2
And which aim would be the second most important to you?
<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3
And which aim would be the third most important?
<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>
<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:
A Maintaining order in the nation
B Giving the people more say in government decisions
C Fight against rising prices
D Protecting the freedom of speech
END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT]

C Political goal: Fight against rising prices

1  most important
2  second most important
3  third most important
4  fourth most important
8  don't know
9  refused
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>most important</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>25,0</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>1,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>second most important</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>62,4</td>
<td>25,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>third most important</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td>37,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>fourth most important</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td>62,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v210d - Political goal: freedom of speech

The variables V210A to V210D are derived variables. The original variables are not part of this dataset.

**ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT:**

Q36_1

<INT.: Hand white list 17 to respondent>

Also in politics one cannot have everything all at once. On this list you find some aims which one can pursue in the politics. If you had to choose between this different sighting which aim would seem to you personally most important?

<INT.: only one naming possible, select the most important aim and put down a "1" in the scheme.>

Q36_2

And which aim would be the second most important to you?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the second most important aim and put down a "2" in the scheme.>

Q36_3

And which aim would be the third most important?

<INT.: Only one naming possible, select the third most important aim and put down a "3" in the scheme.>

<INT.: put in number "4" in the answer box of the leftover statement>

Answer categories:

A Maintaining order in the nation
B Giving the people more say in government decisions
C Fight against rising prices
D Protecting the freedom of speech

END OF ORIGINAL QUESTION TEXT

D Political goal: Protecting the freedom of speech

1 most important
2 second most important
3 third most important
4 fourth most important
8 don’t know
9 refused
### Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998

**GESIS Study No. ZA3066, v4.0.0, http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11968**

**ZA3066, v210d: Political goal: freedom of speech (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>most important</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>15,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>second most important</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>22,3</td>
<td>22,3</td>
<td>37,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>third most important</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>24,8</td>
<td>24,8</td>
<td>62,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>fourth most important</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

v220a - Trust: Bundestag

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_A What about the Bundestag?

1  don't trust this institution at all
2  I rather don't trust this institution
3  I somewhat trust this institution
4  I more or less trust this institution
5  I completely trust this institution
8  don't know
9  refused

---

### ZA3066, v220a: Trust: Bundestag (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don't trust this institution at all</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don't trust this institution</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>33,3</td>
<td>33,3</td>
<td>51,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>37,6</td>
<td>37,6</td>
<td>89,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

v220b - Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_B What about the Bundesverfassungsgericht?

1. don’t trust this institution at all
2. I rather don’t trust this institution
3. I somewhat trust this institution
4. I more or less trust this institution
5. I completely trust this institution
8. don’t know
9. refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don’t trust this institution at all</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don’t trust this institution</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>10,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>30,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>40,1</td>
<td>40,1</td>
<td>70,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>24,3</td>
<td>24,3</td>
<td>94,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, v220b: Trust: Bundesverfassungsgericht  [N=3337]**

**v220b**
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v220c - Trust: federal government

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>
I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_C What about the federal government?

1 don't trust this institution at all
2 I rather don't trust this institution
3 I somewhat trust this institution
4 I more or less trust this institution
5 I completely trust this institution
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v220c: Trust: federal government  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don't trust this institution at all</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don't trust this institution</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>16,6</td>
<td>16,6</td>
<td>25,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>31,6</td>
<td>31,6</td>
<td>57,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>32,4</td>
<td>32,4</td>
<td>89,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>97,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337


Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v220d - Trust: courts
Q38

<Int.> Hand white list 19 to respondent>
I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.
<Int.> Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>
<Int.> take note of signs>

Q38_D What about the courts?

1  don't trust this institution at all
2  I rather don't trust this institution
3  I somewhat trust this institution
4  I more or less trust this institution
5  I completely trust this institution
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, v220d: Trust: courts (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don't trust this institution at all</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don't trust this institution</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>15,6</td>
<td>21,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>25,9</td>
<td>25,9</td>
<td>47,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>37,2</td>
<td>37,2</td>
<td>84,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>96,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v220e - Trust: police
Q38
"<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>"
I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.
"<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>"
"<INT.: take note of signs>"

Q38_E What about the police?

1  don't trust this institution at all
2  I rather don't trust this institution
3  I somewhat trust this institution
4  I more or less trust this institution
5  I completely trust this institution
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, v220e: Trust: police  (N=3337)
v220e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don't trust this institution at all</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don't trust this institution</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>13,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>43,9</td>
<td>43,9</td>
<td>80,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>17,6</td>
<td>17,6</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v220f - Trust: administration

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_F What about the administration?

1 don’t trust this institution at all
2 I rather don’t trust this institution
3 I somewhat trust this institution
4 I more or less trust this institution
5 I completely trust this institution
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v220f: Trust: administration  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don’t trust this institution at all</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don’t trust this institution</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>24,5</td>
<td>24,5</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>34,7</td>
<td>68,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>68,9</td>
<td>97,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>24,4</td>
<td>24,4</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>34,7</td>
<td>93,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>97,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v220g - Trust: churches
Q38

<Int.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>
I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.
<Int.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>
<Int.: take note of signs>

Q38_G What about the churches?

1 don't trust this institution at all
2 I rather don't trust this institution
3 I somewhat trust this institution
4 I more or less trust this institution
5 I completely trust this institution
8 don't know
9 refused

ZA3066, v220g: Trust: churches (N=3337)
v220g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don't trust this institution at all</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don't trust this institution</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>34,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>30,1</td>
<td>30,1</td>
<td>64,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>21,2</td>
<td>21,2</td>
<td>85,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>94,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v220h - Trust: parties

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_H What about the political parties?

1 don’t trust this institution at all
2 I rather don’t trust this institution
3 I somewhat trust this institution
4 I more or less trust this institution
5 I completely trust this institution
8 don’t know
9 refused

### ZA3066, v220h: Trust: parties (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don’t trust this institution at all</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don’t trust this institution</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>22,2</td>
<td>22,2</td>
<td>31,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>44,4</td>
<td>44,4</td>
<td>75,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>97,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**v220i - Trust: Bundeswehr**

Q38

*I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.*

*I: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value*

*I: take note of signs*

**Q38_I What about the Bundeswehr?**

1. don’t trust this institution at all
2. I rather don’t trust this institution
3. I somewhat trust this institution
4. I more or less trust this institution
5. I completely trust this institution
8. don’t know
9. refused

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don’t trust this institution at all</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don’t trust this institution</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>26,7</td>
<td>26,7</td>
<td>40,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>37,3</td>
<td>37,3</td>
<td>77,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>17,5</td>
<td>17,5</td>
<td>95,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td><strong>3337</strong></td>
<td><strong>100,0</strong></td>
<td><strong>100,0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases** 3337
**Variable, Label**  
**Question Text (English Language)**

v220k - Trust: unions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don't trust this institution at all</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don't trust this institution</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**  
3337
v220l - Trust: trade and employers’ associations

Q38

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

Q38_L What about the trade and employers’ association

1. don’t trust this institution at all
2. I rather don’t trust this institution
3. I somewhat trust this institution
4. I more or less trust this institution
5. I completely trust this institution
8. don’t know
9. refused

ZA3066, v220l: Trust: trade and employers’ associations (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don’t trust this institution at all</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don’t trust this institution</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>23,4</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>23,4</td>
<td>36,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>33,8</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>33,8</td>
<td>70,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>87,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>90,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>99,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

**v220m - Trust: ecological groups**

**Q38**

<INT.: Hand white list 19 to respondent>

I am now going to read a list of public institutions to you. Please tell me using this list, if you trust this institution or not. Tell me the scale value.

<INT.: Read list and encircle the mentioned scale value>

<INT.: take note of signs>

**Q38_M What about ecological groups?**

1. don’t trust this institution at all
2. I rather don’t trust this institution
3. I somewhat trust this institution
4. I more or less trust this institution
5. I completely trust this institution
8. don’t know
9. refused

**ZA3066, v220m: Trust: ecological groups  (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>don’t trust this institution at all</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I rather don’t trust this institution</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I somewhat trust this institution</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I more or less trust this institution</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I completely trust this institution</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases** 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v230 - Preferred chancellor
VW Q11
Now I would like to ask the following question: Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder are the candidates for chancellor of the two large parties. Which of these two would you prefer as chancellor after the federal election?

NW Q13
Now I would like to ask the following question: Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder are the candidates for chancellor of the two large parties. Which of these two have you preferred as chancellor?

1  Helmut Kohl
2  Gerhard Schröder
3  neither <Int.: don’t read>
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v230: Preferred chancellor  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Helmut Kohl</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Schroeder</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>47,2</td>
<td>47,2</td>
<td>74,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>neither</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>93,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>97,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
What do you think, in general, about Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder? Please tell me by using the following scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder, -5 means that you do not think much of the politician at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

**Q41** What do you think of Helmut Kohl?

1  -5 don't think much of this politician at all
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0 undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5 think a great deal of this politician
98  don't know
99  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don't think much of this politician at all</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>17,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>26,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>34,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>39,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>50,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>59,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>69,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>80,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>89,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of this politician</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>97,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
v231s - Scalometer: Schroeder

Q41

<INT.: Hand white list 21 to respondent.>
What do you think, in general, about Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder? Please tell me by using the following scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder, -5 means that you do not think much of the politician at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value for each question.>

Q41_B And what do you think of Gerhard Schröder?

1 -5 don’t think much of this politician at all
2 -4
3 -3
4 -2
5 -1
6 0 undecided
7 +1
8 +2
9 +3
10 +4
11 +5 think a great deal of this politician
98 don’t know
99 refused

ZA3066, v231s: Scalometer: Schroeder (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 don’t think much of this politician at all</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>7,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>11,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>17,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>23,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>40,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>53,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>69,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>82,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>91,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 think a great deal of this politician</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>95,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v232ak - Kohl: economic skills
Q42

<int.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>
I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.
<int.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42A
The first statement: he's got reasonable ideas to boost the economy.
<int.: Take note of signs>

Q42A_A Does that apply to Helmut Kohl or not?

1 -2 doesn't apply at all
2 -1 rather doesn't apply
3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
4 +1 more or less applies
5 +2 completely applies
8 don't know <int.: don't read>
9 refused <int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v232ak: Kohl: economic skills (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't apply at all</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn't apply</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>32,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td>24,0</td>
<td>56,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>81,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>12,5</td>
<td>12,5</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Q42

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q42A

The first statement: he's got reasonable ideas to boost the economy.

F42A_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

1 -2 doesn't apply at all
2 -1 rather doesn't apply
3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
4 +1 more or less applies
5 +2 completely applies
8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v232as: Schroeder: economic skills (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't apply at all</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn't apply</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>25,8</td>
<td>25,8</td>
<td>41,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>39,1</td>
<td>39,1</td>
<td>80,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>11,7</td>
<td>11,7</td>
<td>91,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998
GESIS Study No. ZA3066, v4.0.0, http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11968

Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v232bk - Kohl: likeable human being

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42B

He's likeable as a human being.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

F42B_A Does that apply to Helmut Kohl or not?

1 -2 doesn't apply at all
2 -1 rather doesn't apply
3 0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
4 +1 more or less applies
5 +2 completely applies
8 don't know
9 refused

ZA3066, v232bk: Kohl: likeable human being  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't apply at all</td>
<td>512</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>15,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn't apply</td>
<td>602</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>33,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply</td>
<td>714</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>54,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>849</td>
<td></td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td>80,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,7</td>
<td>16,7</td>
<td>96,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>99,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question Text (English Language)**

v232bs - Schroeder: likeable human being

**Q42**

*I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.*

*I: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.*

**Q42B**

He’s likeable as a human being.

*I: Take note of signs*

**Q42B_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?**

1  -2 doesn’t apply at all
2  -1 rather doesn’t apply
3  0 partly applies/partly doesn’t apply
4  +1 more or less applies
5  +2 completely applies
8  don’t know
9  refused

---

**ZA3066, v232bs: Schroeder: likeable human being (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t apply at all</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn’t apply</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn’t apply</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>23,5</td>
<td>23,5</td>
<td>41,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>38,1</td>
<td>38,1</td>
<td>80,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>96,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases** 3337
### Variable, Label
**Question Text (English Language)**

**v232ck - Kohl: politically trustworthy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't apply at all</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>11,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn't apply</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>27,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>46,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>30,6</td>
<td>30,6</td>
<td>77,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>95,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>99,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, v232ck: Kohl: politically trustworthy** (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't apply at all</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>11,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn't apply</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>27,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>46,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>30,6</td>
<td>30,6</td>
<td>77,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>95,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>99,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
v232cs - Schroeder: politically trustworthy

Q42

<INT.: Hand beige list 22 to respondent.>

I'm now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn't apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.>

Q42C

He is politically trustworthy.

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q42C_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

1  -2 doesn't apply at all
2  -1 rather doesn't apply
3  0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply
4  +1 more or less applies
5  +2 completely applies
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, v232cs: Schroeder: politically trustworthy (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't apply at all</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn't apply</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>18,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn't apply</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>28,7</td>
<td>28,7</td>
<td>47,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>81,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>11,1</td>
<td>11,1</td>
<td>92,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Political Attitudes, Political Participation and Voting Behavior in Reunified Germany 1998
GESIS Study No. ZA3066, v4.0.0, http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4232/1.11968

**v232dk - Kohl: dynamic**

**Q42**

*I* now going to read some characteristics to you. Please tell me to what degree these characteristics apply to Helmut Kohl or Gerhard Schröder in your opinion. The value -2 means that the respective characteristic doesn’t apply to the politician at all, +2 means that the characteristic entirely applies to the politician. Using the values inbetween you can express your opinion more precisely.

*I*: Read list to respondent and encircle one scale value for Helmut Kohl and Gerhard Schröder.

**Q42D**

He is dynamic.

*I*: Take note of signs

**Q42D_A** Does that apply to Helmut Kohl or not?

1  -2 doesn’t apply at all
2  -1 rather doesn’t apply
3  0 partly applies/partly doesn’t apply
4  +1 more or less applies
5  +2 completely applies
8  don’t know
9  refused

---

**ZA3066, v232dk: Kohl: dynamic (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t apply at all</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn’t apply</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn’t apply</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>44,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>1079</td>
<td>32,3</td>
<td>32,3</td>
<td>77,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>18,7</td>
<td>18,7</td>
<td>95,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Q42D

He is dynamic.

Q42D_B Does that apply to Gerhard Schröder or not?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t apply at all</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 rather doesn’t apply</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>9,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 partly applies/partly doesn’t apply</td>
<td>619</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>28,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 more or less applies</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td></td>
<td>39,7</td>
<td>39,7</td>
<td>67,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely applies</td>
<td>806</td>
<td></td>
<td>24,2</td>
<td>24,2</td>
<td>92,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>229</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>98,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v240a - Political participation: citizens' initiative

Q39

<INT.: Hand beige list 20 to respondent>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q39_A work for a citizens' initiative

1   -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
2   -1 I wouldn't probably do that
3   0 I would possibly do that
4   +1 I would probably do that
5   +2 I would definitely do that
8   don't know
9   refused

ZA3066, v240a: Political participation: citizens' initiative  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 definitely wouldn't do that</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 probably wouldn't do that</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>10,4</td>
<td>29,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 would possibly do that</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>48,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 would probably do that</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>79,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 would definitely do that</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v240b - Political participation: legal demonstration

F39

<INT.: Hand beige list 20 to respondent>

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q39_B attend a legal demonstration

1  -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
2  -1 I wouldn't probably do that
3  0 I would possibly do that
4  +1 I would probably do that
5  +2 I would definitely do that
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, v240b: Political participation: legal demonstration  (N=3337)

v240b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 definitely wouldn't do that</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 probably wouldn't do that</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 would possibly do that</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 would probably do that</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 would definitely do that</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v240c - Political participation: violent demonstration
F39

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

<int.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>
<int.: Take note of signs>

Q39_C attend a demonstration even if violence is likely

1  -2 I wouldn't definitely do that
2  -1 I wouldn't probably do that
3  0 I would possibly do that
4  +1 I would probably do that
5  +2 I would definitely do that
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, v240c: Political participation: violent demonstration  (N=3337)
v240c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 definitely wouldn't do that</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>60,3</td>
<td>60,3</td>
<td>60,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 probably wouldn't do that</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>88,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 would possibly do that</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>11,1</td>
<td>11,1</td>
<td>94,9</td>
<td>99,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 would probably do that</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>97,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 would definitely do that</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
variable, label
question text (english language)

v240e - political participation: blockade traffic
f39

<int: hand beige list 20 to respondent>
if you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? please tell me by using this
scale. -2 means that you would definetly not do that, +2 means that you would definetly do that. using the values in between, you can express your
opinion more precisely.
<int: encircle particular scale value for each statement.>
<int: take note of signs>

q39_e blockade road traffic

1 -2 i wouldn't definitely do that
2 -1 i wouldn't probably do that
3 0 i would possibly do that
4 +1 i would probably do that
5 +2 i would definitely do that
8 don't know
9 refused

za3066, v240e: political participation: blockade traffic (n=3337)

v240e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>value</th>
<th>label</th>
<th>missing</th>
<th>count</th>
<th>percent</th>
<th>valid percent</th>
<th>cumul. percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 definitely wouldn't do that</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>59,7</td>
<td>59,7</td>
<td>59,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 probably wouldn't do that</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>75,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 would possibly do that</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>86,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 would probably do that</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 would definitely do that</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>97,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

valid cases 3337
### v240f - Political participation: get support from party

**Q39**

If you would like to exert your influence for an important political issue, which of the following things would you do? Please tell me by using this scale. -2 means that you would definitely not do that, +2 means that you would definitely do that. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

-2 definitely wouldn’t do that
-1 probably wouldn’t do that
0 would possibly do that
+1 would probably do that
+2 would definitely do that
don’t know
refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 definitely wouldn't do that</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 probably wouldn't do that</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 would possibly do that</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 would probably do that</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 would definitely do that</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**: 3337
v250 - Left-right self-placement

Q37

<int: Hand beige list 18 to respondent>

In politics people often use the terms 'left' and 'right'. Using this scale from 1 to 11, where would you place yourself, 1 meaning left and 11 right?

<int: Encircle scale value.>

1 1 left
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7
8 8
9 9
10 10
11 11 right
98 don't know <int: don't read>
99 refused <int: don't read>

ZA3066, v250: Left-right self-placement (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>432</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>459</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>561</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>235</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td>253</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v260a - Interests: trade unions
Q43

<int.: Hand white list 23 to the respondent>
I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.
<int.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>
<int.: Take note of signs>

Q43_A How about the unions?

1  -2 completely opposed to my interests
2  -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
3  0 neither... nor
4  +1 somewhat represent my interests
5  +2 completely represent my interests
8  don't know <int.: don't read>
9  refused <int.: don't read>
Q43

How about the trade and employers' associations?

1  -2 completely opposed to my interests
2  -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
3  0 neither... nor
4  +1 somewhat represent my interests
5  +2 completely represent my interests
8  don't know <Int.: don't read>
9  refused <Int.: don't read>

**ZA3066, v260b: Interests: trade and employers' associations (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't represent my interests at all</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>22,6</td>
<td>40,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither... nor</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>30,9</td>
<td>30,9</td>
<td>71,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>86,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>88,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v260c - Interests: ecological groups
Q43

<INT.: Hand white list 23 to the respondent>
I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.
<INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>
<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q43_C How about ecological groups?

1   -2 completely opposed to my interests
2   -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
3   0 neither... nor
4   +1 somewhat represents my interests
5   +2 completely represents my interests
8   don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v260c: Interests: ecological groups  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't represent my interests at all</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither... nor</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>28,0</td>
<td>28,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v260f - Interests: churches
Q43
</INT.: Hand white list 23 to the respondent>
I read out a list with some groups, organisations and parties. Please tell me for each of these, using this list, if it represents your interests or if it is opposed to your interests, no matter if you are a member or not.
</INT.: Encircle particular scale value for each statement.>
</INT.: Take note of signs>
</INT.: don't read>
Q43_D How about the church?

1   -2 completely opposed to my interests
2   -1 somewhat opposed to my interests
3   0 neither... nor
4   +1 somewhat represent my interests
5   +2 completely represent my interests
8   don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9   refused <INT.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v260f: Interests: churches  (N=3337)
v260f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't represent my interests at all</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>15,3</td>
<td>33,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither... nor</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>33,3</td>
<td>33,3</td>
<td>67,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>86,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>92,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

-2 doesn’t represent my interests at all
-1 partially opposed to my interests
0 neither nor
+1 partially represents my interests
+2 fully represents my interests
don’t know
refused

ZA3066, v260g: Interests: CDU/CSU  (N=3337)
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v260h - Interests: SPD

Q44
(Full question text, see Q43 [v260a - v260f])

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>
And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?
<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>
<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_F what about the SPD?

1  -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
2  -1 partially opposed to my interests
3  0 neither nor
4  +1 partially represents my interests
5  +2 fully represents my interests
8  don’t know
9  refused

ZA3066, v260h: Interests: SPD  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn't represent my interests at all</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>17,6</td>
<td>17,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither nor</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>24,9</td>
<td>24,9</td>
<td>42,4</td>
<td>42,4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>40,6</td>
<td>40,6</td>
<td>83,1</td>
<td>83,1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>95,7</td>
<td>95,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Variable, Label**

*Question Text (English Language)*

**v260i - Interests: FDP**

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 [v260a - v260f])

*<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>*

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

*<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>*

*<INT.: Take note of signs.>*

Q44_G what about the FDP?

1  -2 doesn’t represent my interests at all
2  -1 partially opposed to my interests
3  0 neither nor
4  +1 partially represents my interests
5  +2 fully represents my interests
8  don’t know
9  refused

**ZA3066, v260i: Interests: FDP  [N=3337]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t represent my interests at all</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>19,7</td>
<td>19,7</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>19,7</td>
<td>19,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>24,5</td>
<td>24,5</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>24,5</td>
<td>44,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither nor</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>32,6</td>
<td>32,6</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>76,8</td>
<td>76,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>90,4</td>
<td>90,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>92,3</td>
<td>92,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td>98,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
 Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v260j - Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen
Q44
(Full question text, see Q43 [v260a - v260f])

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>
And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?
<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>
<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_H what about Bündnis 90/ Den Grünen?

1   -2 doesn’t represent my interests at all
2   -1 partially opposed to my interests
3   0 neither nor
4   +1 partially represents my interests
5   +2 fully represents my interests
8   don’t know
9   refused

ZA3066, v260j: Interests: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t represent my interests at all</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>32,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither nor</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>29,4</td>
<td>29,4</td>
<td>61,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>26,6</td>
<td>26,6</td>
<td>88,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>94,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Q44**

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

*<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.*>

*<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.*>

*<INT.: Take note of signs.*>

**Q44_J what about the Republikaner?**

1. -2 doesn’t represent my interests at all
2. -1 partially opposed to my interests
3. 0 neither nor
4. +1 partially represents my interests
5. +2 fully represents my interests
8. don’t know
9. refused

### ZA3066, v260k: Interests: Republikaner (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t represent my interests at all</td>
<td>2258</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither nor</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v260l - Interests: DVU
Q44
(Full question text, see Q43 [v260a - v260f])

<int: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>
And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?
<int: Encircle one scale value per list item.>
<int: Take note of signs.>

Q44_K What about the DVU?

1 -2 doesn't represent my interests at all
2 -1 partially opposed to my interests
3 0 neither nor
4 +1 partially represents my interests
5 +2 fully represents my interests
8 don't know
9 refused

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t represent my interests at all</td>
<td>2292</td>
<td>68,7</td>
<td>68,7</td>
<td>68,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>76,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither nor</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>84,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>86,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>88,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**v260m - Interests: PDS**

Q44

(Full question text, see Q43 [v260a - v260f])

<INT.: Hand beige list 24 to respondent.>

And what about the following parties which I read out to you now?

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item.>

<INT.: Take note of signs.>

Q44_L what about the PDS?

1  -2 doesn’t represent my interests at all
2  -1 partially opposed to my interests
3  0 neither nor
4  +1 partially represents my interests
5  +2 fully represents my interests
8  don’t know
9  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 doesn’t represent my interests at all</td>
<td>1385</td>
<td>41,5</td>
<td>41,5</td>
<td>41,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 partially opposed to my interests</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>52,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 neither nor</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>19,7</td>
<td>19,7</td>
<td>72,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 partially represents my interests</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>84,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 fully represents my interests</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>88,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v260m: Interests: PDS  (N=3337)
v280a – Close to party: trade unions

Q45

<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>
Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?

<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_A The trade unions

1  CDU/CSU
2  SPD
3  FDP
4  Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5  Republikaner/DVU
6  PDS
7  no party
8  don’t know
9  refused

### v280a Close to party: trade unions (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>2365</td>
<td>70,9</td>
<td>70,9</td>
<td>76,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>77,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>78,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>79,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>no party</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>85,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v280b - Close to party: Trade and employers' associations
Q45
<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>
Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?
<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_B trade and employers' associations

1 CDU/CSU  
2 SPD  
3 FDP  
4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
5 Republikaner/DVU  
6 PDS  
7 no party  
8 don’t know  
9 refused

ZA3066, v280b: Close to party: Trade and employers' associations  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>59,4</td>
<td>59,4</td>
<td>59,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>66,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>81,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>81,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rep./DVU</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>81,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>81,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>no party</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>85,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>14,2</td>
<td>14,2</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v280c - Close to party: ecological groups
Q45

<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>
Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?

<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_C ecological groups

1   CDU/CSU
2   SPD
3   FDP
4   Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5   Republikaner/DVU
6   PDS
7   no party
8   don’t know
9   refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>83,6</td>
<td>83,6</td>
<td>87,7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rep./DVU</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>87,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>88,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>no party</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>89,9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v280c: Close to party: ecological groups (N=3337)
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v280f - Close to party: churches
Q45

<INT.: Hand white list 25 to respondent.>
Here is another list of some of these groups and organisations. What do you think: to which political party do these organisation have the closest connections?
<INT.: Encircle only one answer per group A-D.>

Q45_F the churches

1 CDU/CSU
2 SPD
3 FDP
4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5 Republikaner/DVU
6 PDS
7 no party
8 don’t know
9 refused

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU/CSU</td>
<td>2058</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rep./DVU</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>no party</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v280f: Close to party: churches  (N=3337)
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v281a - Society: respects established

Q46

<int: shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e., what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<int: let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_A I want to live in a society which values and respects established things

1 1 most important
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6 least important
8 don't know <int: don't read>
9 refused <int: don't read>

ZA3066, v281a: Society: respects established  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 most important</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td>14,1</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>51,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td>68,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 least important</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>25,3</td>
<td>25,3</td>
<td>93,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**v281b - Society: citizens participation**

**Q46**

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

**Q46_B I want to live in a society in which the citizens participate in all decisions**

1 1 most important
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6 least important
8 don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9 refused <INT.: don’t read>

---

**ZA3066, v281b: Society: citizens participation (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 most important</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>488</td>
<td>14,6</td>
<td>14,6</td>
<td>27,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td>43,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>17,7</td>
<td>61,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>78,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 least important</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>15,1</td>
<td>15,1</td>
<td>93,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases** 3337
Q46

<int.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<int.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_C I want to live in a society in which one can achieve something through merit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 most important</td>
<td></td>
<td>464</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td>13,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>513</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>15,4</td>
<td>29,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>649</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>48,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>597</td>
<td>17,9</td>
<td>17,9</td>
<td>66,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>541</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>16,2</td>
<td>82,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 least important</td>
<td></td>
<td>374</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>94,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>98,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v281c: Society: achieve through merit (N=3337)
V281d - Society: respect law and order

Q46

<int: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<int: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_D I want to live in a society which respects law and order

1 1 most important
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6 least important
8 don’t know <int.: don’t read>
9 refused <int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v281d: Society: respect law and order (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 most important</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td>21,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>22,2</td>
<td>22,2</td>
<td>43,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>17,9</td>
<td>17,9</td>
<td>61,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>14,5</td>
<td>14,5</td>
<td>75,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>88,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 least important</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v281e - Society: open to new ideas

Q46

<INT.: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>

Here are a some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.

<INT.: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_E I want to live in a society which is open to new ideas and mental change

1 1 most important
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6 least important
8 don't know <INT.: don't read>
9 refused <INT.: don't read>

ZA3066, v281e: Society: open to new ideas  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 most important</td>
<td></td>
<td>249</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>415</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>19,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>426</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>32,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>581</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>50,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>670</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>20,1</td>
<td>70,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 least important</td>
<td></td>
<td>790</td>
<td>23,7</td>
<td>23,7</td>
<td>93,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>98,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v281f - Society: man more important than money

Q46

<int: Shuffle the pink deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.>
Here are some cards about things which one may consider important in society. Please rank these cards according to how important these things are to you personally. I.e. what is most important to you should lie on top, the second most important thing underneath and so forth. The card with the thing least important to you should come last.
<int: Let respondent determine a rank ordering and note rank numbers from 1 (most important) to 6 (least important).>

Q46_F I want to live in a society in which man is more important than money.

1 1 most important
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6 least important
8 don’t know <int.: don’t read>
9 refused <int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v281f: Society: man more important than money [N=3337]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 most important</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30,0</td>
<td>30,0</td>
<td>30,0</td>
<td>30,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>17,1</td>
<td>17,1</td>
<td>47,1</td>
<td>47,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>60,6</td>
<td>60,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>70,9</td>
<td>70,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>81,5</td>
<td>81,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 least important</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td>94,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v282 - Satisfied CDU/CSU-FDP government
Q47

<INT.: Hand beige list 26 to respondent.>
Are you more satisfied or more dissatisfied with what the government (CDU/CSU-FDP) in Bonn has accomplished so far? Please tell me with the aid of this scale. +5 means that you are completely satisfied with the present government in Bonn. -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished so far. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle scale value - take note of signs.>

1   -5 not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished
2   -4
3   -3
4   -2
5   -1
6   0 undecided
7   +1
8   +2
9   +3
10  +4
11  +5 completely satisfied with what the present government has accomplished
98  don’t know
99  refused

**ZA3066, v282: Satisfied CDU/CSU-FDP government (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5 not at all satisfied</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>31,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>42,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>49,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 undecided</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>58,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>68,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>79,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>10,9</td>
<td>10,9</td>
<td>90,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>95,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>+5 completely satisfied</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>97,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community. Please use this list for your answer.

Q48b A Are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your community (city)?

1 strongly connected
2 somewhat connected
3 sparsely connected
4 not at all connected
8 don’t know
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>strongly connected</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>32,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>somewhat connected</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>78,6</td>
<td>78,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sparsely connected</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td>96,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not at all connected</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td>99,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v283b - Connected to: region

Q48b

*<int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>*

And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community *<int.: where applicable 'city'>.* Please use this list for your answer.

*<int.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>*

Q48b_B And when you think about the region here: are you strongly, somewhat, sparsely or not at all emotionally connected to your region?

1   strongly connected
2   somewhat connected
3   sparsely connected
4   not at all connected
8   don’t know
9   refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>strongly connected</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>29,7</td>
<td>29,7</td>
<td>29,7</td>
<td>29,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>somewhat connected</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>49,7</td>
<td>49,7</td>
<td>79,4</td>
<td>29,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sparsely connected</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>17,4</td>
<td>96,8</td>
<td>29,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not at all connected</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td>29,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>29,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>29,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Valid Cases | 3337 |
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v283c - Connected to: federal state
Q48b
<Int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>
And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.
<Int.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>

Q48b_C And what about your federal state?

1 strongly connected
2 somewhat connected
3 sparsely connected
4 not at all connected
8 don’t know
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>strongly connected</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>somewhat connected</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sparsely connected</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not at all connected</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community. Please use this list for your answer. <br><br>And what about the old FRG (Split East: with the former GDR) as it existed before the unification with the GDR (Split East: with the FRG)?

1. strongly connected  
2. somewhat connected  
3. sparsely connected  
4. not at all connected  
8. don’t know  
9. refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>strongly connected</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>somewhat connected</td>
<td>1486</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sparsely connected</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not at all connected</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v283e - Connected to: Germany
Q48b
<Int.: Hand white list 27 B to respondent.>
And now I would like to know, how strongly you feel connected to your community <Int.: where applicable 'city'>. Please use this list for your answer.
<Int.: Read list items A to F and encircle one answer code each.>

Q48b_E And what about Germany as a whole?

1 strongly connected
2 somewhat connected
3 sparsely connected
4 not at all connected
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, v283e: Connected to: Germany (N=3337)
v283e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>strongly connected</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td>22,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>somewhat connected</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>52,3</td>
<td>52,3</td>
<td>74,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>sparsely connected</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>21,4</td>
<td>96,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>not at all connected</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v284a - Left-right: CDU
Q49

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>
Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<i>INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49. A the CDU?

1 1 left
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7
8 8
9 9
10 10
11 11 right
98 don't know
99 refused

ZA3066, v284a: Left-right: CDU  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td>25,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>19,3</td>
<td>44,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>67,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>80,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>85,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>89,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v284b - Left-right: SPD

Q49

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<i>INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_B the SPD?

1  1 left
2  2
3  3
4  4
5  5
6  6
7  7
8  8
9  9
10  10
11  11 right
98  don't know
99  refused

ZA3066, v284b: Left-right: SPD  (N=3337)
**Variable, Label**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v284c - Left-right: FDP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q49**

*<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>*

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

*<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>*

Q49_C the FDP?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>3,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>7,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>18,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td>45,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>61,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>74,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>82,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>85,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>86,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, v284c: Left-right: FDP (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>3,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>7,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>375</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>18,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>912</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td>27,3</td>
<td>45,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>536</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>61,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>412</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td>74,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>288</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>82,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>85,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>86,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td>384</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
variable, label

Question Text (English Language)

v284d - Left-right: CSU

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_D CSU?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>4,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>6,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>9,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>16,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>8,9</td>
<td>25,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>40,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>64,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>81,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>88,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v284e - Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen

Q49

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<i>INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_E Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td>23,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>45,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>62,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>73,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>82,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>85,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>86,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>87,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>87,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>87,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v284e: Left-right: Buendnis 90/Die Gruenen  (N=3337)

v284e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td>23,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>45,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>17,2</td>
<td>62,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>73,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td>82,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>85,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>86,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>87,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>87,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>87,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Valid Cases | 3337 |
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v284f - Left-right: Republikaner

Q49

<i>INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<i>INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_F the Republikaner?

1  1 left
2  2
3  3
4  4
5  5
6  6
7  7
8  8
9  9
10 10
11 11 right
98  don’t know
99  refused

Note to panel 1994–2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>6,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>8,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>12,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>10,6</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td>22,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>65,3</td>
<td>65,3</td>
<td>88,0</td>
<td>88,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>10,1</td>
<td>10,1</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td>98,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable, Label
### Question Text (English Language)

**v284g - Left-right: PDS**

Q49

*<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>*

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

*<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>*

Q49. G the PDS?

1  1 left
2  2
3  3
4  4
5  5
6  6
7  7
8  8
9  9
10 10
11 11 right
98 don’t know
99 refused

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 left</td>
<td></td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>37,3</td>
<td>37,3</td>
<td>37,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td></td>
<td>183</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>85,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td>433</td>
<td>13,0</td>
<td>13,0</td>
<td>98,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, v284g: Left-right: PDS (N=3337)**
**Variable, Label**
**Question Text (English Language)**

v284h - Left-right: DVU

Q49

<INT.: Hand beige list 28 to respondent.>

Political parties are often classified as 'left' or 'right'. Please tell me using this list where you would place the following parties. I'm now going to read the parties to you individually.

<INT.: Read parties and encircle a scale value each.>

Q49_H the DVU?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>9,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>257</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 right</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>61,0</td>
<td>61,0</td>
<td>82,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>16,1</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):

This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).
Q50

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<Int.: Read questions A to C and note an answer each.>

<Int.: no period of time but days per week>

Q50_A On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in the BILD-Zeitung?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td></td>
<td>2436</td>
<td>73,0</td>
<td>73,0</td>
<td>73,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>one</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>77,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two</td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>82,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>86,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>88,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five</td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>91,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>six</td>
<td></td>
<td>187</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>96,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>seven</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>99,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Q50

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read questions A to C and note an answer each.>

<INT.: no period of time but days per week>

Q50_B On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in one of these national newspapers?

(FAZ, Die Welt, Süddeutsche, Frankfurter Rundschau, taz)

0  not at all
1  on one day
2  on two days
3  on three days
4  on four days
5  on five days
6  on six days
7  on seven days
8  don't know
9  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>2407</td>
<td>72,1</td>
<td>72,1</td>
<td>72,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>one</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>79,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>84,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>87,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>89,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>90,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>six</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>96,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>seven</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>98,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v285b: How often: national newspaper  (N=3337)

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

v285c - How often: local or regional newspaper

Q50

Here is an exemplary list of different newspapers respectively groups of newspapers.

<INT.: Read questions A to C and note an answer each.>

<INT.: no period of time but days per week>

Q50_C On how many days per week, on average, do you read reports about the political events in Germany in a local or regional newspapers?

0  not at all
1  on one day
2  on two days
3  on three days
4  on four days
5  on five days
6  on six days
7  on seven days
8  don’t know
9  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>16,6</td>
<td>16,6</td>
<td>16,6</td>
<td>16,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>one</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>21,3</td>
<td>21,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>28,3</td>
<td>28,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>35,3</td>
<td>35,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td>40,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>45,9</td>
<td>45,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>six</td>
<td>1461</td>
<td>43,8</td>
<td>43,8</td>
<td>89,7</td>
<td>89,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>seven</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td>99,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, v285c: How often: local or regional newspaper  (N=3337)**
v286a - How often: news ARD, ZDF

Q51

How often per week do you watch newscasts on the first or second television network? In this context only the newscasts of 'ARD' and 'ZDF' are meant, i.e. 'Tagesschau', 'Tagesthemen', 'Heute' and 'Heute-Journal'.

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch these newscasts?

0   not at all
1   on one day
2   on two days
3   on three days
4   on four days
5   on five days
6   on six days
7   on seven days
8   don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v286a: How often: news ARD, ZDF  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>one</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>21,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>18,6</td>
<td>37,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>8,1</td>
<td>8,1</td>
<td>26,6</td>
<td>63,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>44,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>six</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>15,7</td>
<td>15,7</td>
<td>60,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>seven</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>38,5</td>
<td>38,5</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
v286b - How often: news private TV

And how about the newscasts of the private TV networks, e.g. "SAT1-Nachrichten" or "RTL-Aktuell"?

On how many days per week, on average, do you watch these newscasts?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>not at all</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>23,8</td>
<td>23,8</td>
<td>23,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>one</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>30,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>45,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>58,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>67,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td>74,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>six</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>81,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>seven</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>98,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases: 3337**
v310 - Social order fair or unfair

Q53

What do you think of our social order? Is it, by and large, rather fair or rather unfair?

1   rather fair
2   part/part
3   rather unfair
8   don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v310: Social order fair or unfair (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>rather fair</td>
<td></td>
<td>490</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>14,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td></td>
<td>1759</td>
<td>52,7</td>
<td>52,7</td>
<td>67,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>rather unfair</td>
<td></td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>98,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>99,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v320 - Belonging to underprivileged/privileged section of population

Q54
Irrespective of how fair a society is, there are privileged and underprivileged people or sections of the population.

Do you think that you rather belong to the people or section of the population in our society which is rather underprivileged, neither
underprivileged nor privileged, or privileged?
I belong to a section of the population, which is:

1   rather underprivileged
2   neither/nor
3   rather privileged
8   don't know <Int.: don't read>
9   refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v320: Belonging to underprivileged/privileged section of population  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>rather underprivileged</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>neither/nor</td>
<td>2222</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>rather privileged</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
VARIABLE, LABEL

Question Text (English Language)

v330 - Recall: party vote 1994

VW Q55

<INT.: Hand beige list 30 to respondent.>

How about the last elections for the Bundestag in October 1994:
which party did you vote for?

Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: Only one naming possible. In case of queries: the second vote is important.>

NW Q55

<INT.: Hand beige list 30 to respondent.>

How about the elections before last for the Bundestag in October 1994:
which party did you vote for?

Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: Only one naming possible. In case of queries: the second vote is important.>

1 CDU (or CSU)
2 SPD
3 FDP
4 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
5 Republikaner
6 PDS
12 other party
96 didn’t vote
97 wasn’t eligible to vote
98 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
99 refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v330: Recall: party vote 1994  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU (or CSU)</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>34,7</td>
<td>34,7</td>
<td>34,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>28,4</td>
<td>28,4</td>
<td>63,1</td>
<td>71,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>65,5</td>
<td>71,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>71,2</td>
<td>71,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>71,7</td>
<td>75,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>75,8</td>
<td>82,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>other party</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>76,7</td>
<td>88,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>didn’t vote</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>82,3</td>
<td>92,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>wasn’t eligible to vote</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td>88,6</td>
<td>92,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>92,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

v350a – Proud to be German

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_A I am proud to be German.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v350a: Proud to be German  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>14,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>22,5</td>
<td>22,5</td>
<td>36,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>29,8</td>
<td>29,8</td>
<td>66,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>31,2</td>
<td>31,2</td>
<td>97,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v350b - Nationalise important companies

040

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_B The most important companies have to be nationalised.

1   completely disagree
2   tend to disagree
3   part/part
4   tend to agree
5   agree completely
8   don't know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v350b: Nationalise important companies  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td>36,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>19,6</td>
<td>19,6</td>
<td>55,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>18,9</td>
<td>18,9</td>
<td>74,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>12,8</td>
<td>87,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>92,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
040

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

We should have the courage to have a strong sense of nationality again.

1 Completely disagree
2 Tend to disagree
3 Part/part
4 Tend to agree
5 Agree completely
8 Don't know (Int.: don't read)
9 Refused (Int.: don't read)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Completely disagree</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tend to disagree</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>10,8</td>
<td>20,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Part/part</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>24,5</td>
<td>24,5</td>
<td>45,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tend to agree</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>30,4</td>
<td>30,4</td>
<td>75,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Agree completely</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td>21,0</td>
<td>96,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337

ZA3066, v350c: Courage to sense of nationality (N=3337)
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v350d - Group interests subordinate to public welfare

O40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_D Interests of groups and associations should be unconditionally subordinate to public welfare.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <INT.: don’t read>
9 refused <INT.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v350d: Group interests subordinate to public welfare  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>14,8</td>
<td>14,8</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>29,6</td>
<td>29,6</td>
<td>52,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>24,9</td>
<td>24,9</td>
<td>77,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>92,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>7,3</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

v350f - Dictatorship better type of state

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q40_F Under certain circumstances a dictatorship is the best type of state.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>54,1</td>
<td>54,1</td>
<td>54,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>14,8</td>
<td>14,8</td>
<td>68,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td>13,9</td>
<td>82,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>8,6</td>
<td>91,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>93,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, v350f: Dictatorship better type of state  (N=3337)
Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from −2 to +2 again. −2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

Before answering, you should circled one scale value per list item.

Q56 H National Socialism also had its positive aspects.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v350g: National Socialism also positive  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>1823</td>
<td>54,6</td>
<td>54,6</td>
<td>54,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>70,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>11,2</td>
<td>81,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>9,9</td>
<td>91,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don’t read&gt;</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sum   |                             | 3337   | 100,0 | 100,0   |               |                |
Q56

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q56_J Without the extermination of the Jews Hitler would today be seen as a great statesman.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, v350k: Without extermination of Jews, Hitler great statesman (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>57,7</td>
<td>57,7</td>
<td>57,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>71,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>81,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>88,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>92,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>98,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q56_K Because of the many foreigners the FRG is foreign-controlled up to a dangerous degree.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Q40: The GDR had more good than bad aspects.**

1. completely disagree  
2. tend to disagree  
3. part/part  
4. tend to agree  
5. agree completely  
8. don’t know  
9. refused  

**ZA3066, v350m: GDR: more good than bad aspects (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>19,2</td>
<td>19,2</td>
<td>55,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td>78,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>11,4</td>
<td>89,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from −2 to +2 again. −2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q56_L Foreigners should choose their spouse amongst their own countrymen.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>
* Variable, Label

** Question Text (English Language) **

v350o - Influence of Jews still too big

Q56

<INT.: Hand white set of templates to respondent!>

Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q56_M The influence of the Jews is still too big nowadays.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, v350o: Influence of Jews still too big (N=3337)

v350o

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Pcent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>43,1</td>
<td>43,1</td>
<td>43,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>58,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>73,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>83,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>87,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>98,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question Text (English Language)**

**v350p - Socialism good concept, badly executed**

Q40

<INT.: Hand beige set of templates to respondent!>

In this set you find a number of statements which some people agree with, but others reject. How about you? Please use the scale from -2 to +2 again. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

<INT.: Encircle one scale value per list item. >

<INT.: Take note of signs>

Q40_P Socialism is a good concept, which up to now was just badly executed.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don't know <INT.: don't read>
9 refused <INT.: don't read>

**ZA3066, v350p: Socialism good concept, badly executed  (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>21,1</td>
<td>21,1</td>
<td>21,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>58,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>20,3</td>
<td>79,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>14,7</td>
<td>93,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Before I will to ask you some questions for statistical purposes at the end of this interview, I am going to read a number of statements to you again, which some people agree with, but others reject.

How about you? Please use the scale from −2 to +2 again. −2 means that you completely disagree with this statement, +2 means that you agree completely. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>
Q56 Q I sympathise with attacks on homes for people seeking political asylum.

1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 agree completely
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td>2436</td>
<td>73,0</td>
<td>73,0</td>
<td>73,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>82,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>90,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>94,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>agree completely</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>96,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

vsex - Sex of respondent

S1

<i>INT.: determine without asking the respondent.> The interview is conducted with:

1  a man
2  a woman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>47,2</td>
<td>47,2</td>
<td>47,2</td>
<td>47,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>1762</td>
<td>52,8</td>
<td>52,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Ca 3337
Finally some statistical questions: would you please tell me in which month and year you were born?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S2_M month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable, Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vjahr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vrelig - Respondent's religious denomination

53

<INT.: hand white list S1 to respondent>
What is or was your religious denomination? Please tell me using this list.
<INT.: allow only one response>

I have a religious denomination:
1 Protestant/Lutheran church
2 Catholic church
3 other

I don't have a religious denomination:
4 left the Protestant/Lutheran church
5 left the Catholic church
6 never was a member of a church/religious denomination

8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vrelig: Respondent's religious denomination (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Protestant/Lutheran church</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>37,0</td>
<td>37,0</td>
<td>37,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Catholic church</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>28,1</td>
<td>28,1</td>
<td>65,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>other</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>66,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>left the Protestant/Lutheran church</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>74,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>left the Catholic church</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>77,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>never was a member of a church/religious denomination</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>20,9</td>
<td>20,9</td>
<td>98,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused &lt;Int.: don't read&gt;</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

vkirchg - Frequency attend church

<INT.: hand white list S2 to respondent>

Generally, how frequently do you attend church? Please tell me using this list.

<INT.: allow only one response>

1. several times a week
2. once a week
3. at least once a month
4. several times a year
5. once a year
6. less than once a year
7. never
8. don't know <INT.: don't read>
9. refused <INT.: don't read>

---

**ZA3066, vkirchg: Frequency attend church (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>several times a week</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>once a week</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>8,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>at least once a month</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>16,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>several times a year</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>20,7</td>
<td>20,7</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>once a year</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>12,5</td>
<td>12,5</td>
<td>50,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>less than once a year</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>13,2</td>
<td>63,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>never</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum: 3337 100,0 100,0

Valid Cases: 3337
**vbildga - Education, kind of school**

55

<INT.: hand white list S3 to respondent.>

In which kind of school did you complete your education? Please tell me using this list.

<INT.: Allow only one response, let respondent only specify highest level of education>

<If code 2 to 9, go to question S6.>

0 finished school without certificate
1 'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' or 'Polytechnische Oberschule' with 8 grades
2 'Mittlere Reife' or secondary school without 'Abitur' or polytechnical school with 10 grades
3 'Abitur', 'EOS' with 12 grades
4 completed studies
7 still in school
8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

**ZA3066, vbildga: Education, kind of school (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>still in school</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>finished school without certificate</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule'</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>42,0</td>
<td>42,0</td>
<td>45,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'Mittlere Reife'</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>31,3</td>
<td>31,3</td>
<td>77,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'Abitur'</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>87,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>completed studies</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

vbildgb - Aimed form of graduation

55a

Wich form of graduation or certificate are you aiming at?

0  INAP: no pupil anymore  
3  'Hauptschule' leaving certificate  
4  'Mittlere Reife'  
5  'Abitur', 'Fachabitur'  
7  other graduation/leaving certificate  
8  don't know  
9  refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>3261</td>
<td>97,7</td>
<td>97,7</td>
<td>97,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'Hauptschule' leaving certificate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>97,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'Mittlere Reife'</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>98,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'Abitur'</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>other graduation/leaving certificate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td><strong>3337</strong></td>
<td><strong>100,0</strong></td>
<td><strong>100,0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, vbildgb: Aimed form of graduation  (N=3337)**

vbildgb
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vbildgc - Completed apprenticeship
56
Did you complete an occupational apprenticeship?

1   yes
2   no
9   refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2473</td>
<td>74,1</td>
<td>74,1</td>
<td>74,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td>25,1</td>
<td></td>
<td>99,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

vberuftg - Present employment

**<INT.: hand white list S4 to respondent>**

Are you presently a full-time or part-time employee? Which of the categories on this list is appropriate to you?

**<INT.: Only one answer possible>**

*If Code 1, 2, or 3, go to question S10.*

1. full-time employee
2. part-time employee
3. occupational training, apprenticeship
4. working hourly
5. presently unemployed
6. presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'
7. reschooling or educational measure financed by the employment centre
8. on maternity leave, parental leave
9. housewife/househusband
10. early retirement, retired, receiving pension
11. draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service
12. school education
13. studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university
99. refused

### ZA3066, vberuftg: Present employment (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>full-time employee</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>part-time employee</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>43,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>occ. training, apprenticeship</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>45,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>working hourly</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>46,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>presently unemployed</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>55,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>presently working reduced hours</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>55,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>reschooling financed by employm. centre</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>55,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>on maternity leave, parental leave</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>58,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>housewife/househusband</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>66,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>early retirement, retired, pension</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>93,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>draftee, comm. alt. service</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>school education</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>96,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>studies at university</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sum**                                                                 **3337**

**Valid Cases** 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vfrbertg - Previous employment
S8
Did you previously have a full-time or part-time job, working at least 15 hours per week?

<If Code 2 or 9, go to question S13.>

0   INAP.: presently employed
1   yes
2   no
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>45,4</td>
<td>45,4</td>
<td>45,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>45,8</td>
<td>45,8</td>
<td>91,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>99,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

yfrbejah - Previous employment: until when
S9

When did you finish this employment?

<For all years before 1994, carry on with question S11.>

0 INAP: presently employed, never before employed
9999 refused
vwechs94 - Involuntary job change 1994

S10
Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1994, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?
<br/>&lt;INT.: read list.&gt;

S10_A Did you change your job involuntarily since 1994?

0   INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/employed until 1993
1   yes
2   no
8   don’t know
9   refused

ZA3066, vwechs94: Involuntary job change 1994  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP.</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>7,4</td>
<td>42,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>55,4</td>
<td>55,4</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>varlos94 - Unemployed since 1994</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1994, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

#### S10_B Did you become unemployed since 1994?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/employed until 1993</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>yes</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>no</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>don’t know</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>refused</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ZA3066, varlos94: Unemployed since 1994 [N=3337] (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>varlos94</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP.</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td>48,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>49,1</td>
<td>49,1</td>
<td>97,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>97,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Valid Cases | 3337 |
vbausg94 - Withdraw from working life 1994

S10

Have you changed your job involuntarily since 1994, did you become unemployed or did you withdraw from working life?

<INT.: read list.>

S10_C Did you withdraw from working life since 1994?

0   INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question/employed until 1993
1   yes
2   no
8   don’t know
9   refused

ZA3066, vbausg94: Withdraw from working life 1994 (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>35,4</td>
<td>35,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>337</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>45,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td>1763</td>
<td>52,8</td>
<td>52,8</td>
<td>98,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>98,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

voeff - Employed: public servant
S11
Are you <INT.: where applicable 'were you'> employed in the public service?

0 INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question
1 yes
2 no
8 don’t know
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>17,5</td>
<td>17,5</td>
<td>26,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2411</td>
<td>72,3</td>
<td>72,3</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

Vberuf - Employment status

1. <INT.: hand white list S5 to respondent.>

   Please take a look at this list. What is your present occupational position or in case you aren't employed anymore, what was your last occupational position?

   <INT.: Allow only one response>

   <INT.: Note value.>

   **Self-employed**
   1. self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)
   2. self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of large store, medium craftsman)
   3. self-employed - higher level (e.g., owner of large business or factory)

   **Employee (also in "LPG" and "PGH")**
   4. salaried employee - lower level (e.g., messenger)
   5. salaried employee - medium level (e.g., secretary)
   6. salaried employee - professional level (e.g., accountant, cashier)
   7. salaried employee - in a managerial position (e.g., department head, director)

   **Civil servants**
   8. civil servant - low level (e.g., up to assistant)
   9. civil servant - medium level (up to "Hauptsekretär")
   10. civil servant - high level (up to "Amtsrat")
   11. civil servant - highest level (beginning at "Regierungsrat")

   **Worker (also farm workers, also in "LPG" and "PGH")**
   12. unskilled worker
   13. semi-skilled worker
   14. skilled worker
   15. highly trained worker

   **Self-employed farmers**
   16. farmer, small farm (up to 5 ha/ 20 morgen)
   17. farmer, medium-sized farm
   18. farmer, large farm

   **Other**
   19. professional

0. INAP.: was never employed, refused in 'profession' question

20. in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies

98. don't know

99. refused

Note:
"Morgen" is a former measure of land used e.g. in Germany.

ZA3066, vberuf: Employment status  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>self-employed - lower level</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>self-employed - medium level</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>14,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>self-employed - higher level</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>14,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>salaried employee - lower level</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>23,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>salaried employee - medium level</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>14,8</td>
<td>14,8</td>
<td>37,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>salaried employee - professional level</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>14,3</td>
<td>14,3</td>
<td>52,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>salaried employee - in a managerial position</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>57,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>civil servant - low level</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>58,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>civil servant - medium level</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>60,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>civil servant - high level</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>62,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>civil servant - highest level</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>63,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>unskilled worker</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>65,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>semi-skilled worker</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>71,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>skilled worker</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>90,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>highly-trained worker</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>92,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>farmer, small farm</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>93,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>farmer, medium-sized farm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>93,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>farmer, large farm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>93,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>professional</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>95,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>in occ. training, apprenticeship, studies</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>97,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>97,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Would you please tell me your marital status: which of the categories on this list is appropriate to you? Please just tell me the respective letter.

<int.: hand white list S6 to respondent>

<if code 1, go to question S14.>

1 married, living together with spouse
2 married, living separated, getting a divorce
3 divorced
4 widowed
5 single
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>married, living together with spouse</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>married (separated, getting a divorce)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>divorced</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>widowed</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>single</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpartner - Live together with partner
S13a
Do you live together with a partner?

<If code 2, go to question S22.>

0   INAP: married, living together with spouse
1   yes
2   no
9   refused

ZA3066, vpartner: Live together with partner (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>57,4</td>
<td>57,4</td>
<td>57,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>235</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>64,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td>1185</td>
<td>35,5</td>
<td>35,5</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
### Variable, Label

**vpbildga - Partner: kind of school**

**S14**

\(<\text{INT.: hand white list S3 to respondent again}>\)

What is the highest level of general education of your spouse/partner?

\(<\text{If code 2 to 9, go to question S15.}>\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: no partner</td>
<td></td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td>35,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>still pupil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>35,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>finished school without leaving certificate</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>27,9</td>
<td>27,9</td>
<td>64,5</td>
<td>64,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'Mittlere Reife', 'Realschule' leaving certificate</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>86,0</td>
<td>86,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'Abitur'</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>91,2</td>
<td>91,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>completed university, 'Fachhochschule' or polytechnic degree</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td>99,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know (&lt;\text{INT.: don't read}&gt;)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused (&lt;\text{INT.: don't read}&gt;)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZA3066, vpbildga: Partner: kind of school (N=3337)**

### vpbildga

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: no partner</td>
<td></td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td>35,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>still pupil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>35,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>finished school without leaving certificate</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>36,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'Volksschule', 'Hauptschule' leaving certificate</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>27,9</td>
<td>27,9</td>
<td>64,5</td>
<td>64,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'Mittlere Reife', 'Realschule' leaving certificate</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>86,0</td>
<td>86,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'Abitur'</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>91,2</td>
<td>91,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>completed university, 'Fachhochschule' or polytechnic degree</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>99,4</td>
<td>99,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know (&lt;\text{INT.: don't read}&gt;)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused (&lt;\text{INT.: don't read}&gt;)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Variable, Label**  
Question Text (English Language)

vpbildgb - Partner: aimed graduation  
S14a  
Which form of graduation or certificate is he/she aiming at?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: no partner; code 2 bis 9 in vpbildga</td>
<td>2289</td>
<td>68,6</td>
<td>68,6</td>
<td>68,6</td>
<td>68,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'Hauptschule' leaving certificate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>68,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'Mittlere Reife'</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>68,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'Abitur'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>68,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>other graduation/leaving certificate</td>
<td>1038</td>
<td>31,1</td>
<td>31,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, vpbildgb: Partner: aimed graduation  (N=3337)
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpbildgc - Partner: completed apprenticeship
S15
Did he/she complete an occupational apprenticeship?

0   INAP: no partner
1   yes
2   no
8   don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, vpbildgc: Partner: completed apprenticeship  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1699</td>
<td>50,9</td>
<td>50,9</td>
<td>86,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>12,7</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
### Variable, Label

**vpberuf - Partner: present employment**

S16

*<INT.: hand white list S4 to respondent again.>*

What does presently apply to your spouse/partner?

*<INT.: Allow only one response>*

*If Code 1, 2, or 3, go to question S19.>*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: no partner</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>full-time employee</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>part-time employee</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>occupational training, apprenticeship</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>working hourly</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>presently unemployed</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>presently working reduced hours, 'Nullarbeit'</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>reschooling or educational measure financed by employment centre</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>on maternity leave, parental leave</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>housewife/househusband (not full- or part-time employed)</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>early retirement, retired, receiving pension</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>draftee (Bundeswehr), doing community alternative service</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>school education</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>studies at 'Fachhochschule', 'Hochschule' or university</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Valid Cases** | 3337 |
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpfbertg - Partner: previous employment
S17
Did your spouse/partner previously have a full-time or part-time job, working at least 15 hours per week?

<If code 0, 2, 8, or 9, go to question S22.>

0   INAP: no partner; code 1, 2, 3 in vpberuft
1   yes
2   no
8   don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9   refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, vpfbertg: Partner: previous employment  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>2477</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>21,8</td>
<td>21,8</td>
<td>96,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vpfrbeja - Partner: previous employment: until when

S18

When did your spouse/partner finish this employment?

<For all years before 1994, carry on with question S20.>

0   INAP: no partner; code 0, 2, 8, 9 in vpbertg

9999   refused
vpwech94 - Partner: involuntary job change 1994

S19
Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

<Int.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_A Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Vali d Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: no partner; partner occupied</td>
<td></td>
<td>1768</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td>53,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>58,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td>1354</td>
<td>40,6</td>
<td>40,6</td>
<td>99,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cas 3337
Variable, Label

Field: variable, Label

**vparlo94 – Partner: unemployed since 1994**

**S19**

Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?

*<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>*

**S19_B Did he/she become unemployed since 1994?**

0 INAP: no partner; partner occupied

1 yes

2 no

9 refused

### ZA3066, vparlo94: Partner: unemployed since 1994 (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1768</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td>53,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>60,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>38,3</td>
<td>38,3</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases:** 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpbaus94 - Partner: withdraw from working life 1994
S19
Has your spouse/partner changed his/her job involuntarily since 1994, did he/she become unemployed or did he/she withdraw from working life?
<INT.: read list and encircle one answer code per question>

S19_C Did he/she withdraw from working life since 1994?

0   INAP: no partner; partner occupied
1   yes
2   no
9   refused

ZA3066, vpbaus94: Partner: withdraw from working life 1994  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1768</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td>53,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>57,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>40,9</td>
<td>40,9</td>
<td>98,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cas 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpoeff - Partner: employed: public servant
S20
Is your spouse/partner <INT.: where applicable 'Was your spouse/partner'> employed in the public service?

0 INAP: no partner; partner not occupied
1 yes
2 no
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, vpoeff: Partner: employed: public servant (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1319</td>
<td>39,5</td>
<td>39,5</td>
<td>39,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>49,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1645</td>
<td>49,3</td>
<td>49,3</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>98,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
### Variable, Label

**vpberuf - Partner: employment status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S21</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please take a look at this list. What is the present occupational position of your spouse/partner or in case your spouse/partner isn't employed anymore, what was the last occupational position of your spouse/partner?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;INT.: Allow only one response&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;INT.: Note value.&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Self-employed**

1. **self-employed - lower level** (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)
2. **self-employed - medium level** (e.g., proprietor of large store, medium craftsman)
3. **self-employed - higher level** (e.g., owner of large business or factory)

**Employee (also in "LPG" and "PGH")**

4. **salaried employee - lower level** (e.g., messenger)
5. **salaried employee - medium level** (e.g., secretary)
6. **salaried employee - professional level** (e.g., accountant, cashier)
7. **salaried employee - in a managerial position** (e.g., department head, director)

**Civil servants**

8. **civil servant - low level** (e.g., up to assistant)
9. **civil servant - medium level** (up to "Hauptsekretär")
10. **civil servant - high level** (up to "Amtsrat")
11. **civil servant - highest level** (beginning at "Regierungsrat")

**Worker (also farm workers, also in "LPG" and "PGH")**

12. **unskilled worker**
13. **semi-skilled worker**
14. **skilled worker**
15. **highly trained worker**

**Self-employed farmers**

16. **farmer, small farm** (up to 5 ha/ 20 morgen)
17. **farmer, medium-sized farm**
18. **farmer, large farm**

**Other**

19. **professional**

| 0 INAP.: no partner; partner was never employed, refused in 'profession' question |
| 20 in occupational training, apprenticeship, studies |
| 98 don't know |
| 99 refused |

**Note:**
"Morgen" is a former measure of land used e.g. in Germany.

ZA3066, vberuf: Partner: employment status  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1319</td>
<td>39,5</td>
<td>39,5</td>
<td>39,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>self-employed – lower level</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>41,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>self-employed – medium level</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>42,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>self-employed – higher level</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>42,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>salaried employee – lower level</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>48,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>salaried employee – medium level</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>58,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>salaried employee – higher level</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>66,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>salaried employee – in a managerial position</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>70,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>civil servant – low level</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>70,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>civil servant – medium level</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>71,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>civil servant – high level</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>73,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>civil servant – highest level</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>73,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>unskilled worker</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>74,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>semi-skilled worker</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>78,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>skilled worker</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>93,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>highly trained worker</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>95,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>farmer, small farm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>farmer, medium-sized farm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>95,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>farmer, large farm</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>96,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>professional</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>97,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>in occ. training, apprenticeship, studies</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>97,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>98,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vinitmg - Member: citizens's initiative
S22
<INT.: hand white list S7 to respondent>
Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?
<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22: A Citizens' initiative

1  no, no member
2  yes, member, no office occupied
3  yes, member and occupy an office
9  refused

ZA3066, vinitmg: Member: citizens's initiative  (N=3337)
vinitmg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3224</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes, just a member</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>member and occupy an office</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpartmg - Member: party
S22
<INT.: hand white list S7 to respondent>
Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?
<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>

S22_B Political party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>no, no member</td>
<td>3131</td>
<td>93,8</td>
<td>93,8</td>
<td>93,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes, just a member</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>97,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>member and occupy an office</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

S22_C Trade association

1 no, no member
2 yes, member, no office occupied
3 yes, member and occupy an office
9 refused

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>no, no member</td>
<td>3135</td>
<td></td>
<td>93,9</td>
<td>93,9</td>
<td>93,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes, member, don't occupy an office</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>98,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>member and occupy an office</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

`vgewermg` - Member: trade union

S22

*<INT.: hand white list S7 to respondent>*

Are you a member of a citizens' initiative, political party or of a trade association or trade union? And if yes, do you also occupy an office?

*<INT.: for each statement encircle one code.>*

S22_D Trade union

1. no, no member
2. yes, member, no office occupied
3. yes, member and occupy an office
9. refused

---

**ZA3066, vgewermg: Member: trade union  [N=3337]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>no, no member</td>
<td>2890</td>
<td>86,6</td>
<td>86,6</td>
<td>86,6</td>
<td>86,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes, member, don't occupy an office</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>11,7</td>
<td>11,7</td>
<td>98,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>member and occupy an office</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**

3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpid - Party preference
S23

<INT.: hand white list S8 to respondent.>

Many people in the Federal Republic lean toward a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party. How about you? Do you in general lean toward a particular party? If so, which one? Please just tell me the respective letter from this list.

<INT.: Only one answer possible.>

<If Code 96, 98, 99, go to question S27.>

Yes, namely:
1 CDU
2 CSU
3 SPD
4 FDP
5 Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
6 Republikaner
7 PDS
9 other party

96 don’t lean toward a party <Int.: don’t read>
98 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
99 refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, vpid: Party preference  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDU</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>21,9</td>
<td>21,9</td>
<td>21,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CSU</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>50,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>53,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bündnis 90/Die Grünen</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>6,3</td>
<td>59,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Republikaner</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>60,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>64,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DVU</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>65,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>other party</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>65,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>don’t lean toward a party</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>28,2</td>
<td>28,2</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>95,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>4,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpidstrk - Strength of party preference
S24

Taken altogether, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this particular party: very strongly, strongly, moderately, weakly or very weakly?

0  INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID
1  very strongly
2  strongly
3  moderately
4  weakly
5  very weakly
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, vpidstrk: Strength of party preference  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very strongly</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>62,5</td>
<td>99,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>strongly</td>
<td>22,8</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>94,8</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>moderately</td>
<td>32,3</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>weakly</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>very weakly</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vpidzeit - Length of party preference
S25
And for how have you been leaning toward this party:
since you can remember, for many years, for a few years, for some months or for a few weeks?

0 INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID
1 since I can remember
2 for many years
3 for a few years
4 for some months
5 for a few weeks
8 don't know <Int.: don't read>
9 refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vpidzeit: Length of party preference  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>since I can remember</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>15,2</td>
<td>49,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>for many years</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>27,5</td>
<td>27,5</td>
<td>76,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>for a few years</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>95,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>for some months</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>98,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>for a few weeks</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>99,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party. Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26_A This party means a lot to me. I am not indifferent about its development. I... with this statement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZA3066, vpida: Party preference: party means a lot to me (N=3337)
We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party. Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26 This party doesn’t mean that much to me, but it’s presently got the better politicians. I... with this statement.

0 INAP: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID
1 completely disagree
2 tend to disagree
3 part/part
4 tend to agree
5 completely agree
8 don’t know
9 refused

ZA3066, vpidb: Party preference: better politicians (N=3337)
We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party. Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26_C I am not really connected to this party, but I often voted for it in the past. I... with this statement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID</td>
<td></td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>completely disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>516</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>49,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tend to disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>550</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>16,5</td>
<td>66,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>81,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tend to agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>384</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>92,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>completely agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>96,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>99,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vpidd - Party preference: better policy

S26

<INT.: hand white list S9 to respondent.>

We would like to find out in our study what people mean when they say that they lean toward a particular political party. Would you please tell me by referring to this list if you to what degree you agree or disagree with these statements. -2 means that you completely disagree with this statement; +2 on the other hand means that you completely agree with this statement. Using the values in between you can express your opinion more precisely.

S26_D This party itself is less important to me, but it presently pursues the better policy. I... with this statement.

0  INAP.: Code 96, 98, 99 for VPID
1  completely disagree
2  tend to disagree
3  part/part
4  tend to agree
5  completely agree
8  don't know
9  refused

ZA3066, vpidd: Party preference: better policy  [N=3337]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td>34,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2 completely disagree</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>10,3</td>
<td>44,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 tend to disagree</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>13,4</td>
<td>13,4</td>
<td>57,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>part/part</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>18,3</td>
<td>18,3</td>
<td>76,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1 tend to agree</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>15,5</td>
<td>91,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+2 completely agree</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>4,9</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>99,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases  3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vhhpeink – How many contribute to income of household
S27

Taken altogether, how many persons contribute to the income of this household?

1 one person
2 two persons
3 three persons
4 four persons
5 five or more persons
8 don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9 refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, vhhpeink: How many contribute to income of household (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>one person</td>
<td>1364</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two persons</td>
<td>1688</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three persons</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four persons</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five or more persons</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vhheinko - Household's net income

$28

<i>INT.: hand white list S10 to respondent.>

Using this list, please tell me the net income of your household, i.e. the amount you have left after taxes and social and health insurance premiums. Please just tell me the respective identification code from this list.

<i>INT.: For self-employed persons ask for the average monthly net income less the business expenses>

<i>INT.: Note identification code.>

1  up to 1,000 DM
2  1,000 - 1,500 DM
3  1,500 - 2,000 DM
4  2,000 - 2,500 DM
5  2,500 - 3,000 DM
6  3,000 - 3,500 DM
7  3,500 - 4,000 DM
8  4,000 - 5,000 DM
9  5,000 - 6,000 DM
10  6,000 - 7,000 DM
11  7,000- 10,000 DM
12  10,000 DM and above
98  don't know
99  refused

ZA3066, vhheinko: Household's net income  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>up to 1,000 DM</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,000 - 1,500 DM</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,500 - 2,000 DM</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>13,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,000 - 2,500 DM</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>9,8</td>
<td>23,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,500 - 3,000 DM</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>34,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3,000 - 3,500 DM</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>43,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,500 - 4,000 DM</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>52,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4,000 - 5,000 DM</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td>61,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5,000 - 6,000 DM</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>67,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6,000 - 7,000 DM</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>70,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7,000- 10,000 DM</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>74,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10,000 DM and above</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>75,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>don't know</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>4,6</td>
<td>80,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>19,9</td>
<td>19,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vschicht - Respondent's subjective strata

S29
There is much talk these days about the various population strata. Which of these strata do you consider yourself a part of, the working class, the middle class or the upper class?

<If code 8 or 9, go to question S32.>

1   working class
2   middle class
3   upper class
8   don't know <Int.: don't read>
9   refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vschicht: Respondent's subjective strata  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>39,8</td>
<td>39,8</td>
<td>39,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>52,4</td>
<td>52,4</td>
<td>93,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>95,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>98,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
**Question Text (English Language)**

**vwoschi - Which part of strata**

Would you rather place yourself in the lower part, the average or the upper part of this strata?

0  INAP.: Code 8 or 9 in VSCHICHT
1  lower part
2  average
3  upper part
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>lower part</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>19,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>average</td>
<td>2222</td>
<td>66,6</td>
<td>66,6</td>
<td>86,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>upper part</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>98,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>99,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label

Question Text (English Language)

vschielt – Strata compared to parents

S31

And when you compare that to your parents’ house, would you say you belong to a lower stratum, the same stratum or a higher stratum than your parents.

0  INAP.: Code 8 or 9 in VSCHICH
1  lower stratum
2  the same stratum
3  higher stratum
8  don’t know <Int.: don’t read>
9  refused <Int.: don’t read>

ZA3066, vschielt: Strata compared to parents  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>lower class</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>16,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>the same class</td>
<td>2162</td>
<td>64,8</td>
<td>64,8</td>
<td>81,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>higher class</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>16,0</td>
<td>16,0</td>
<td>97,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>don’t know</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>99,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vhhpers - Household size
S32
How many persons all together live in you household, you and children included? Please also add the persons who are temporarily absent, but who belong to the household.

<if code 1, go to question S34.>

1   I live alone
2   two persons
3   three persons
4   four persons
5   five persons
6   six persons
7   seven persons
8   eight persons
9   nine persons
10  ten persons
11  eleven persons
98   don't know <Int.: don't read>
99   refused <Int.: don't read>

ZA3066, vhhpers: Household size  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I live alone</td>
<td></td>
<td>820</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>24,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>38,3</td>
<td>38,3</td>
<td>62,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>594</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>80,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>467</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>94,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>98,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>six persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>99,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>seven persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>99,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>eight persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>eleven persons</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases: 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vhhper16 - Household size, Germans over 16
S33
How many persons in your household, yourself and your children included, have a German citizenship and are at least 16 years old?
<int.: please note amount>

1   one person
2   two persons
3   three persons
4   four persons
5   five persons
6   six persons
7   seven persons
8   eight persons
98  don't know <int.: don't read>
99  refused <int.: don’t read>

Note:
Even though, the persons who live alone, should be filtered out in question S33, they are recoded on code 1 in vhhper16.

ZA3066, vhhper16: Household size, Germans over 16  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>one person</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>27,8</td>
<td>27,8</td>
<td>27,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>two persons</td>
<td>1828</td>
<td>54,8</td>
<td>54,8</td>
<td>82,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>three persons</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>11,5</td>
<td>94,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>four persons</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>98,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>five persons</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>99,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>six persons</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>99,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>seven persons</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>eight persons</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vtelefon - Telephone household
S34
Do you have a telephone here in this household?

1 yes
2 no
9 refused

ZA3066, vtelefon: Telephone household (N=3337)
vtelefon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>3072</td>
<td>92,1</td>
<td>92,1</td>
<td>92,1</td>
<td>92,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>7,6</td>
<td>99,6</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>refused</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
Our last interview questions is a request to you at the same time. This survey you just participated in is done under the direction of three professors, working at the universities of Stuttgart, Mainz and Bamberg. In their name we would like to pass this letter to you. The professors would like to continue this study in the next Bundestag elections in 2002, i.e. in four years.

In this letter you are asked to agree to a further interview in the future. With your approval you don’t incur any liabilities, it is completely up to you whether you want to participate again in four years or not. We kindly ask you to do so - please read (evtl: the letter) yourself.

1 yes, respondent can be asked again in 2002, whether he/she wants to participate
2 no, respondent decidedly rejects that
9 refused
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vdatum</td>
<td>Date of interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date of interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>koding format: DDMYY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable, Label</td>
<td>Question Text (English Language)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vdauer - Length of interview</td>
<td>Length of interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

999 length of interview unascertainable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text [English Language]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vinternr</td>
<td>Interviewer identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of interviewer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### vortsgrp - Size of town - politically

(completed by the institute:)

**Size of town (politically)**

1. less than 1,999 inhabitants
2. 2,000 - 4,999
3. 5,000 - 19,999
4. 20,000 - 49,999
5. 50,000 - 99,999
6. 100,000 - 499,999
7. 500,000 inhabitants and more

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>less than 1,999 inhabitants</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000 - 4,999</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>18,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,000 - 19,999</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>18,3</td>
<td>18,3</td>
<td>36,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20,000 - 49,999</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>54,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50,000 - 99,999</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>8,2</td>
<td>62,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100,000 - 499,999</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>19,4</td>
<td>82,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>500,000 inhabitants and more</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>17,8</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Variable, Label

**Question Text (English Language)**

vortsgrb - Size of town BIK (Boustedt)

Size of town according to BIK (Boustedt)

1  less than 1,999 inhabitants
2  2,000 - 4,999
3  5,000 - 19,999
4  20,000 - 49,999
5  50,000 - 99,999
6  100,000 - 499,999
7  500,000 inhabitants and more

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

#### ZA3066, vortsgrb: Size of town BIK (Boustedt)  (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Count</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>less than 1,999 inhabitants</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000 - 4,999</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>14,2</td>
<td>21,6</td>
<td>28,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,000 - 19,999</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>11,8</td>
<td>26,0</td>
<td>44,8</td>
<td>74,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20,000 - 49,999</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>9,7</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>70,5</td>
<td>106,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50,000 - 99,999</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>41,4</td>
<td>118,0</td>
<td>159,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100,000 - 499,999</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>18,2</td>
<td>59,5</td>
<td>177,5</td>
<td>237,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>500,000 inhabitants and more</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>247,5</td>
<td>337,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Valid Cases**
3337
**Variable, Label**

**Question Text (English Language)**

vortsg98 - Size town BIK (Boustedt) differential version 1998

Size of town according to BIK (Boustedt), differential version 1998

1. less than 1,999 inhabitants
2. 2,000 - 4,999
3. 5,000 - 19,999
4. 20,000 - 49,999
5. 50,000 - 99,999, peripheral area
6. 50,000 - 99,999, centre zone
7. 100,000 - 499,999, peripheral area
8. 100,000 - 499,999, centre zone
9. 500,000 and more inhabitants, peripheral area
10. 500,000 and more inhabitants, centre zone

Note to panel 1994-2002 (ZA4301):
This variable has only been evaluated in 1998 (ZA3066).

---

**ZA3066, vortsg98: Size town BIK (Boustedt) differential version 1998 (N=3337)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul.Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>less than 1,999 inhabitants</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000 - 4,999</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,000 - 19,999</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20,000 - 49,999</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50,000 - 99,999, peripheral area</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50,000 - 99,999, centre zone</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100,000 - 499,999, peripheral area</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100,000 - 499,999, centre zone</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>500,000 and more inhabitants, peripheral area</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>500,000 and more inhabitants, centre zone</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Valid Cases 3337
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, Label</th>
<th>Question Text (English Language)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vregbez - District of the interview</td>
<td>District of the interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 10 | Sleswig-Holstein |
| 20 | Hamburg |
| 31 | Brunswick |
| 32 | Hanover |
| 33 | Lueneburg |
| 34 | Weser-Ems |
| 40 | Bremen |
| 51 | Dusseldorf |
| 53 | Cologne |
| 55 | Muenster |
| 57 | Detmold |
| 59 | Arnsberg |
| 64 | Darmstadt |
| 65 | Gießen |
| 66 | Kassel |
| 71 | Coblenz |
| 72 | Trier |
| 73 | Rhinehesse-Palatinate |
| 81 | Stuttgart |
| 82 | Karlsruhe |
| 83 | Fribourg |
| 84 | Tuebingen |
| 91 | Upper Bavaria ('Oberbayern') |
| 92 | Lower Bavaria ('Niederbayern') |
| 93 | Upper Palatinate ('Oberpfalz') |
| 94 | Upper Franconia ('Oberfranken') |
| 95 | Central Franconia ('Mittelfranken') |
| 96 | Lower Franconia ('Unterfranken') |
| 97 | Swabia ('Schwaben') |
| 100 | Saarland |
| 111 | West Berlin |
| 112 | East Berlin |
| 120 | Brandenburg |
| 130 | Mecklenburg-Vorpommern |
| 141 | Chemnitz |
| 142 | Dresden |
| 143 | Leipzig |
| 151 | Dessau |
| 152 | Halle |
| 153 | Magdeburg |
| 160 | Thuringia |
### Table: Vregbez District of the Interview (N=3337)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumul. Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sleswig-Holstein</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hamburg</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Brunswick</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>6,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Hanover</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Lueneburg</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Weser-Ems</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>12,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Bremen</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>13,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Dusseldorf</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>5,7</td>
<td>18,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cologne</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>4,1</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Muenster</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>25,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Detmold</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>28,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Arnsberg</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>32,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Darmstadt</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Giesien</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>37,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Kassel</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>39,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Coblenz</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>40,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Trier</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>40,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Rhinhesse-Palatinate</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>42,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Stuttgart</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td>45,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Karlsruhe</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>48,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Frieburg</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>50,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Tuebingen</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>51,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Upper Bavaria</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>55,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Lower Bavaria</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>56,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Upper Palatinate</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>57,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Upper Franconia</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>58,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Central Franconia</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>59,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Lower Franconia</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>60,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Swabia</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>62,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Saarland</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>63,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>West Berlin</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>66,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>East Berlin</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>70,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Brandenburg</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>75,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Mecklenburg-Vorpommern</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>79,2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Chemnitz</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>82,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Dresden</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>3,6</td>
<td>86,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>Leipzig</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>88,7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Dessau</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>90,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Halle</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>91,8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Magdeburg</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>94,6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Thuringia</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>100,0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sum   |                       | 3337    | 100,0 | 100,0   |               |                |

*Valid Cases: 3337*
For pre-election or post-election: vgwow1
For pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw
pre-election and post-election: vgges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vgwow2 - absolute East-West weight, pre-election + post-election
Sample weighting:
The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:
pre-election or post-election: vgwow1
pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw
pre-election and post-election: vgges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow
The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

**absolute East-West weight:**
- pre-election or post-election: vgwow1
- pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

**Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:**
- pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw
- pre-election and post-election: vgges

**Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):**
- pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vgges - Representative weights East pre- + post-election or West pre- + post

Sample weighting:
The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons’ sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons’ sample.

The transition to the persons’ sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:
pre-election or post-election: vgwow1
pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw
pre-election and post-election: vgges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow
Variable, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vgvwnwow - Representative weights all-German pre-election or post-election
Sample weighting:
The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons’ sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons’ sample.

The transition to the persons’ sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:
pre-election or post-election: vgwow1
pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw
pre-election and post-election: vgges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow
Varible, Label
Question Text (English Language)

vggesow - Representative weights all-German pre-election + post-election
Sample weighting:
The weighting is used to transfer the household sample into a persons' sample and to compensate slight statistical inaccuracies within the sample structure.

First of all, the ADM sample net is a household sample, in which every interviewed household has the same chance to be selected. However, only one person per household is interviewed, irrespective of the size of the household.

Therefore the chance for every person in the household to be selected as a respondent is inversely proportional to the size of the household. The same chance for single respondents to be selected for the sample is a result of the transformation of the household sample into the persons' sample.

The transition to the persons' sample is done by case-by-case multiplication with the number of target persons in the household and by a subsequent standardisation of the amount of cases.

To compensate the interview failures, which are - according to experience - not evenly spread throughout the population groups, there are various weights available:

absolute East-West weight:
pre-election or post-election: vgwow1
pre-election and post-election (total): vgwow2

Representative weights for separate East-West analyses:
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnw
pre-election and post-election: vgges

Representative weights for all-German analyses (with East-West weight):
pre-election or post-election: vgvwnwow
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