

für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal **Election Study**



GLES 2013

Rolling Cross-Section Campaign Survey with Post-election Panel Wave

ZA5703, Version 2.0.2

Documentation of Questionnaire









The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is a long-term project funded by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) which started with the 2009 federal election and is continued with the federal election 2013. GLES is the largest and most ambitious election study held so far in Germany.

GLES 2013 was directed by five principal investigators: Prof. Dr. Hans Rattinger (University of Mannheim), Prof. Dr. Sigrid Roßteutscher (University of Frankfurt), Prof. Dr. Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck (University of Mannheim) Prof. Dr. Bernhard Weßels (Social Science Research Center Berlin) and Prof. Dr. Christof Wolf (GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences) in close cooperation with the German Society for Electoral Studies (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung).

The following documentation refers to the Rolling Cross-Section Campaign Survey with Post-election Panel Wave (ZA5703) of the GLES 2013 and will give you some general information about the dataset.

Bibliographic description of the dataset

Study No. ZA5703

Title Rolling Cross-Section Campaign Survey with Post-election Panel Wave

(GLES 2013)

Current Version 2.0.2, 05/02/2019 Doi 10.4232/1.13214

Citation Rattinger, Hans; Roßteutscher, Sigrid; Schmitt-Beck, Rüdiger; Weßels,

Bernhard; Wolf, Christof; Partheymüller, Julia (2019): Rolling Cross-Section Campaign Survey with Post-election Panel Wave (GLES 2013). GESIS Data Archive, Cologne. ZA5703 Data file Version 2.0.2, doi: 10.4232/1.13214.

Basic information

Funding Agency German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)

Data Collector Ipsos, Hamburg

Date of collection 07/08/2013 – 09/21/2013 (pre-election)

09/23/2013 - 11/03/2013 (post-election)

Content The RCS design (telephone sample) allows the analysis of election

campaign dynamics on a daily basis so that short-term effects become

visible.

Methodology

Geographic Coverage Germany (DE)

Universe The population comprises all German-speaking people, whose residence is

in the Federal Republic of Germany and who are eligible to vote in the federal election 2013 as well as living in private households with a landline.

Selection Method Multistage random sampling based on the ADM-design (Gabler-Haeder-

model for telephone samples). The pre-election wave is a Rolling Cross-Section (RCS) survey with the aim to realize 100 interviews per day based on random sampling. These daily interviews were conducted in such a way that not only the entire sample, but also the interviews collected on each day constitute random samples from the universe of German citizens aged 18 and above. Besides, the sample is stratified by region. Due to the complicated sampling design of RCS surveys interviews collected on the first days do not yet constitute proper random samples. The target person in

the household was determined by last-birthday-method.

Mode of Data Collection Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI), with an average duration of

approximately 30 minutes (pre-election) and 21 minutes (post-election).

Field Work

A random sample of 7,882 voters was interviewed over a period of 76 days before the election, with an average of about 100 interviews conducted on each day of the period of observation. The post-election panel wave started directly after the Bundetag election and ended at the 11/03/2013.

Response Rate

7,882 people were surveyed in the pre-election wave. Thus, the response rate concerning the pre-election wave is 15.8 percent. 5,353 or 67.9 percent of them also participated in the post-election wave.

Weights

This study comprises transformation weights and post-stratification weights (also known as population or calibration weights) which are calculated on the respondents of the RCS pre-election wave. Due to the RCS design there are total, weekly and where possible also daily weights.

Transformation weights (wei_tran) are included in the dataset because the design of the study was based on landline numbers. They were calculated by dividing the number of all people who are eligible to vote in the household with the number of telephone extensions in the household. Afterwards the weight factors were standardized resulting in a mean of 1. The transformation weight enables us to transform the data into a personal sample.

The post-stratification weights namely "education weights", "sociodemographic weights" and "sociodemographic and regional weights" with (and without) transformation weight create the second type of weights provided in the dataset. Those weights were calculated by the iterative proportional fitting (IPF) procedure. The iteration process ends when the difference between the weighted marginal distribution and the aimed distribution becomes smaller than 0.0001. To prevent large weights, the weights were trimmed (after each iteration) so that no weight is more than 5 times larger than the average weight. The weights are constructed on the basis of gender, age (four groups: 18 to 29, 30 to 44, 45 to 59 and 60 years and older), education (three groups: low, middle, high), BIK-regions (three groups) and East (incl. Berlin) / West German federal states. Missing cases are replaced with the mode.

To adjust for drop-out in the post-election panel component of the RCS panel weights were added. These weights were calculated by employing propensity score weighting. Therefore, attrition was estimated using a logistic regression model. Based on the results of the regression, individual participation probabilities were predicted. The inverse of the participation probability was used as a weighting factor.

Data access

Usage regulations

Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: access category A.

Anonymized data

According to German data protection laws, only anonymized data can be made accessible for public download. Based on this, some variables had to be deleted from the publicly available dataset. As a matter of course no information is lost: All variables can be used by interested researchers in a Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). Some variables are also available by signing a user contract. If you are interested in those variables please send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org. An overview of those variables can be found on our homepage (www.gesis.org/gles).

Errata

The most recent errata list is provided by the GESIS Data Catalogue (www.gesis.org/dbk). There you can also find a list of all changes made between the different versions of the dataset.

Further Remarks

A Rolling Cross-Section Campaign Survey with Post-election Panel Wave was also conducted in 2005 (ZA4991) and within the GLES in 2009 (ZA5303).

You can find more information about GLES at www.gesis.org/gles and www.gles.eu.

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences Post Box 122155 68072 Mannheim

E-mail: gles@gesis.org

Please note:

As a public service to the international academic community, we provide English translations of GLES datasets, questionnaires, and related important documents. Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the principal investigators or their collaborators. For an overview of the whole research team please have a look at the GLES website http://gles.eu/team. You can also send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org.

Documentation of Questionnaire

Pre-election

(07/08/2013 - 09/21/2013)

Interest in politics

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics: very interested, somewhat interested, in between, not very interested, or, not at all interested?

- Very interested
- (2) Somewhat interested
- (3) In between
- (4) Not very interested
- (5) Not at all interested
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre002

Interest, election campaign

And how interested are you in particular in this federal election campaign - very interested, somewhat interested, in between, not very interested, or not at all interested?

- (1) Very interested
- (2) Somewhat interested
- (3) In between
- (4) Not very interested
- (5) Not at all interested
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre003

Vote intention

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons. The next federal election will be held on 22nd September. What will you do? Are you certain to vote, likely to vote, might you vote, or are you not likely or certain not to vote?

- (1) Certain to vote
- (2) Likely to vote
- (3) Might vote
- (4) Not likely to vote
- (5) Certain not to vote
- (6) Have already cast a postal vote
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre004

Vote intention, first vote

[FILTER: If the respondent's vote intention is at least "might" (1-3):]

You have two votes in the federal election on 22nd September. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party. How will you mark your ballot? I will vote for the candidate of the ...

[INT: Do not read out the list of political parties!]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU

(206) (225) (126) (134) (171) (209) (214) (224) (226) (237)	
	I will cast an invalid first vote I will not cast a first vote
	Not applicable Don't know No answer

Vote intention, second vote

[FILTER: If the respondent's vote intention is at least "might" (1-3):]

And which party will you vote for with your second vote in the federal election?

[INT: Do not read out the list of political parties!]

- (1)CDU/CSU (2) CDU (3)CSU (4) SPD (5) **FDP** (7) DIE LINKE (6) DIE GRÜNEN (215)PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland) (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) (206)NPD [auch DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion]) (225)REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER) BP (Bayernpartei) (126)(134)BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität) (171)FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands) (209)ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei) (214)PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen) (224)RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland) (226)RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ) (237)(152)**DIE VIOLETTEN** (801) Other political party _ (-83)I will cast an invalid second vote (-84)I will not cast a second vote
- (-97) Not applicable (-98) Don't know (-99) No answer

First vote, absentee ballot

[FILTER: If pre003 (vote intention) = postal vote (6):]

You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party. How did you mark your ballot?

[INT: Do not read out the list of political parties!]

I voted for the candidate of the...

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [auch DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152) DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801) Other political party _____
- (-83) I cast an invalid first vote
- (-84) I didn't cast my first vote for anyone
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre007

Second vote, absentee ballot

[FILTER: If pre003 (vote intention) = postal vote (6):]

And which party did you vote for with your second vote?

[INT: Do not read out the list of political parties!]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [auch DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)

(171)	FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)		
(209)	ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)		
(214)	PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)		
(224)	RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)		
(226)	RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)		
(237)	Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)		
(152)	DIE VIOLETTEN		
(801)	Other political party		
(-83)	I cast an invalid second vote		
(-84)	I didn't cast my second vote for anyone		
(07)	Al a series and a		
(-97)	Not applicable		
(-98)	Don't know		
(-99)	No answer		
n=0000			
pre008			
Possible other party			
[FILTER: If pre003 (vote intention) is at least "might" (1-3) AND pre005 (vote intention,			
vote) political party referred to (1-801):]			
VOLO, PC			

second

Are you considering possibly voting for a different political party than the [enter the party from pre005, if "other political party", "this party"]?

[INT: If so:] Which party is it?

[INT: Do not read out the list of political parties!]

- CDU/CSU (2)CDU (3)CSU
- SPD (4)(5)**FDP**
- (7)DIE LINKE
- (6)DIE GRÜNEN
- (215)PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322)AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- NPD [auch DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion]) (206)
- (225)REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126)BP (Bayernpartei)
- BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität) (134)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands) (171)
- (209)ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214)PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226)RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237)Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152)DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801)Other political party _
- (-82)No other party
- (-84)I wouldn't vote
- (-97)Not applicable
- (-98)Don't know
- (-99)No answer

pre009

Interest in the outcome of the election

How important is the outcome of the upcoming federal election to you personally? Very important, somewhat important, in between, not very important, or not important at all?

- (1) Very important
- (2) Somewhat important
- (3) In between
- (4) Not very important
- (5) Not important at all
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Probability of smaller parties entering parliament

How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the federal election?

- A What about the FDP? Is it absolutely certain, fairly certain, neither certain nor improbable, fairly uncertain or completely improbable that the FDP will be a part of the Bundestag?
- B What about Die Linke?
- C And what about Alternative für Deutschland?

Scale:

- (1) Absolutely certain
- (2) Fairly certain
- (3) Neither certain nor improbable
- (4) Fairly uncertain
- (5) Completely improbable
- (-71) I don't know enough about these parties
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre011

Scalometer, coalitions

Now, let's look at a question concerning the possible composition of the federal government after the next federal election. Imagine a thermometer which only measures from +5 to -5 with a zero point in the middle. Now using the thermometer, tell me what you think about the following coalitions – regardless of how likely the respective coalition is in your opinion. +5 means that you would find the coalition a very desirable outcome; -5 means that you would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely. If you are not sure about a coalition, you do not have to rate it of course.

[INT: If respondent asks for details. Read out the explanation of the thermometer again.]

- A How desirable would you find a black-yellow coalition of the CDU/CSU and FPD?
- B And what about a red-green coalition of the SPD and Die Grünen?
- C And a grand coalition of the CDU/CSU and SPD?
- D And a black-green of the CDU/CSU and Die Grünen?
- E And a "traffic-light" coalition of the SPD, FDP and Die Grünen?
- F And a red-red-green coalition of the SPD, Die Grünen and Die Linke?

Scale:

- (1) -5 I would not find this coalition a desirable outcome at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3

- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I would find this coalition a very desirable outcome
- (-71) I don't know enough about this coalition
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Perception of coalition signals

Coalitions can only be formed if the corresponding political parties are willing to form them. Regardless of the actual majorities after the federal election, how likely do you think it is that the following political parties would be willing to join a coalition with each other after the federal election?

- A Do you think it is absolutely certain, fairly certain, neither certain nor improbable, fairly uncertain or completely improbable that CDU/CSU and FPD would be willing to form a coalition together?
- B And what about the SPD and Die Grünen?
- C And the CDU/CSU and SPD?
- D And the CDU/CSU, and Die Grünen?
- E And the SPD, FDP and Die Grünen?
- F And the SPD, Die Grünen and Die Linke?

Scale:

- (1) Absolutely certain
- (2) Fairly certain
- (3) Neither certain nor improbable
- (4) Fairly uncertain
- (5) Completely improbable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre013

Expected government

The coalitions which can actually be formed also depend on the outcome of the election. Which parties do you think will actually form the government together after the federal election?

[INT: Multiple response, check all the parties which belong to the coalition]

[INT: Do not read out response options]

- 1 Expected government: CDU/CSU
- 2 Expected government: SPD
- 3 Expected government: FDP
- 4 Expected government: DIE LINKE
- 5 Expected government: DIE GRÜNEN
- 6 Expected government: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 7 Expected government: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 8 Expected government: other political party
- 9 Expected government: don't know
- 10 Expected government: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned

(if respondents use "Labels" for coalitions) "Große Koalition" = CDU/CSU, SPD = CDU/CSU, FDP "Schwarz-gelb" = CDU/CSU, FDP
[INT: Ask, if a) CDU/CSU government or b) CDU/CSU, FDP was meant] "Buergerliche Koalition" "Buergerliche Regierung" "Jamaika" = CDU/CSU, FDP, Gruene "Schwampel" "Schwarze Ampel" = CDU/CSU, FDP, Gruene "Rot-gruen" = SPD, Gruene "Sozial-liberale Koalition" = SPD, FDP = SPD, FDP "Rot-gelb" "Ampel" = SPD, FDP, Gruene "Rot-rot-gruen" = SPD, Gruene, Die Linke "Linksbuendnis/Linkskoalition" [INT: Ask, if a) SPD, Gruene, Die Linke or b) SPD-Die Linke or c) SPD-Gruene was meant] = SPD, Die Linke "Rot-rot"

pre014

Most important problem

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today? [Open answer]

(-98) Don't know

(-99) No answer

pre015

Ability to solve the most important problem

[FILTER: Only ask the question if problem referred to in pre014 (most important problem):]

Which party is best able to handle this problem?

[INT: Do not read out response options. Only one party]

- CDU/CSU (2)CDU (3)CSU (4)SPD (5)**FDP** DIE LINKE (7) (6) DIE GRÜNEN (215)PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland) (322)AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) (206)NPD [auch DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225)REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- BP (Bayernpartei) (126)
- (134)BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171)FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209)ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214)PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland) (224)
- (226)RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ) (237)
- (152)**DIE VIOLETTEN**
- (801)Other political party _
- (808)No party
- (809)All parties equally good
- (-97)Not applicable
- (-98)Don't know
- (-99)No answer

Second most important problem

[FILTER: Only ask the question if problem referred to in pre014 (most important problem):]

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today? [Open answer]

- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre017

Ability to solve the second most important problem

[FILTER: Only ask the question if problem referred to in pre016 (Second most important problem):]

Which party is best able to handle this problem?

[INT: Do not read out response options. Only one party]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [auch DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152) DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801) Other political party _____
- (808) No party
- (809) All parties equally good
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre018

Left-right self-assessment

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right? Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely.

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3

(4)	4
(5)	5
(6)	6
(7)	7
(8)	8
(9)	9
(10)	10
(11)	11 right
(-71)	I don't know these terms
(-98)	Don't know
(-99)	No answer

Party positions, socioeconomic dimension

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11. 1 means that the party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services, and 11 means that the party prefers to raise taxes and spend more. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely.

```
Α
         In your view, what is the position of the CDU?
В
         And what is the position of the CSU?
С
         And the SPD?
D
         And the FDP?
Ε
         And Die Grünen?
         And Die Linke?
Scale:
         1 Lower taxes, although this results in less social services
(2)
         2
(3)
         3
(4)
         4
(5)
         5
(6)
         6
(7)
         7
         8
(8)
(9)
         9
(10)
         10
         11 More social services, although this results in raising taxes
(11)
```

pre020

(-98)

(-99)

Own position, socioeconomic dimension

Don't know

No answer

And what position do you take on this issue?

```
1 Lower taxes, although this results in less social services
         2
(2)
(3)
         3
(4)
         4
(5)
         5
(6)
         6
(7)
         7
         8
(8)
         9
(9)
(10)
         10
         11 More social services, although this results in raising taxes
(11)
```

- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Issue battery

And what is your opinion about the following statements? Please tell me for each of the statements whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree or strongly disagree.

[PROG: randomization]

- A Immigrants should be obliged to assimilate into the German culture.
- B The government should take measures to reduce the discrepancies in income.
- C In times of the European debt crisis, Germany should provide financial support for EU member states experiencing financial and economic difficulties.

Scale:

- (1) Strongly agree
- (2) Agree
- (3) Neither agree nor disagree
- (4) Disagree
- (5) Strongly disagree
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre022

Performance of the federal government

As to the present federal government in Berlin - are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and FDP in the last four years? Please tell me by using this scale from +5 to -5 again. + 5 means that you are completely satisfied with the performance of the government so far, -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with the performance of the government. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely.

- (-5) -5 Not at all satisfied
- (-4) -4
- (-3) -3
- (-2) -2
- (-1) -1
- (0) 0
- (+1) +1
- (+2) +2 (+3) +3
- (+4) +4
- (+5) +5 Completely satisfied
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre023

Performance of the governing parties

And when you consider each of the governing parties separately, could you please tell me how satisfied or dissatisfied you are with their performance of the last four years? Please tell me by using the scale from +5 to -5 again.

- A How satisfied are you with the performance of the CDU?
- B And how satisfied are you with the performance of the CSU?

C And how satisfied are you with the performance of the FDP?

Scale:

- (-5) -5 Not at all satisfied
- (-4) -4
- (-3) -3
- (-2) -2
- (-1) -1
- (0) 0
- (+1) +1
- (+2) +2
- (+3) +3 (+4) +4
- (+5) +5 Completely satisfied
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre024

Personal economic situation, current

Now, let us shift attention to the economic situation. How would you evaluate your own current economic situation: very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad, or very bad?

- (1) Very good
- (2) Good
- (3) Netiher good nor bad
- (4) Bad
- (5) Very bad
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre025

General economic situation, current

And how would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany: very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad, or very bad?

- (1) Very good
- (2) Good
- (3) Neither good nor bad
- (4) Bad
- (5) Very bad
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre026

General economic situation, retrospective

Has the general economic situation in Germany improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably in the last one to two years?

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same,
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably

- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Responsibility for general economic situation

[FILTER: If pre026 = 1-5 (General economic situation, retrospective):]

To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for this development - a great deal, a fair amount, moderately, not very much, or not at all?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) A fair amount
- (3) Moderately
- (4) Not very much
- (5) Not at all
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre028

General economic situation, future

What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now? Do you expect that the general economic situation in Germany will have improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably?

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre029

Worries, economic crisis

Now let's look at the current debt crisis in Europe. How worried are you about the current debt crisis? Are you very worried, fairly worried, moderately worried, a little worried or not worried at all?

- (1) Very worried
- (2) Fairly worried
- (3) Moderately worried
- (4) A little worried
- (5) Not worried at all
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre030

Scalometer, political parties

Looking now at the political parties in detail, what do you think of the different parties in general? Please use the thermometer from +5 to -5 again. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party and -5 that you do not think much of the party at all. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely.

Let's begin with the CDU. What do you think of the CDU? Α В And what do you think of the CSU? С And the SPD? D And the FDP? Ε And Die Grünen? F And Die Linke? G And the Piratenpartei? Н And the Alternative für Deutschland? Scale: (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all (2)-4 (3)-3 -2 (4)-1 (5) (6)0 (7)+1 (8)+2 (9)+3 (10)+4 +5 I think a great deal of the party (11)

I don't have sufficient knowledge about this party

pre031

(-71)

(-98)

(-99)

Scalometer, political leaders

Don't know

No answer

And what do you think of the political leaders? Please use the thermometer from +5 to -5 again. +5 means that you think a great deal of the politician and -5 that you do not think much of the politician at all. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely. If I come to a political leader you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.

I'll start with Angela Merkel. What do you think of Angela Merkel?

В And of Peer Steinbrück? С And of Rainer Brüderle? D And of Jürgen Trittin? Ε And of Gregor Gysi? Scale: -5 I do not think much of the politician at all (1) (2)-3 (3)(4) -2 (5)-1 (6)0 (7)+1 (8) +2 (9)+3 (10)+4 +5 I think a great deal of the politician (11)(-71)I don't know enough about this politician (-98)Don't know (-99)No answer

pre032

Preferred chancellor

And who would you prefer as chancellor after the federal election: Angela Merkel or Peer Steinbrück?

- (1) Angela Merkel
- (2) Peer Steinbrück
- (3) None of them [INT: Only if mentioned spontaneously]
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre033

Political knowledge: first vote/second vote

In the federal elections you have two votes, the first vote and the second vote. What do you think: Which vote decides how many seats each party will have in parliament?

[INT: Do not read out response options.]

- (1) The first vote
- (2) The second vote
- (3) Both are equally important
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre034

Use of BILD

There are different ways of following news about politics during the election campaign in Germany. Starting with daily newspapers, do you occasionally or regularly read the BILD newspaper?

[INT: If so:] On how many days did you read political reports in the "BILD newspaper" last week?

[INT: Only print version!]

- (1-7) On __ days
- (8) I didn't read this newspaper last week
- (9) I don't read any political reports in the BILD newspaper
- (10) I never read the BILD newspaper
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre035

BILD use, previous day

[FILTER: If pre034 (Use of BILD) = 1-7:]

[PROG: If field day = Tuesday-Sunday]

[If BILD was read on up to 5 days in the previous week, pre034 = 1-5:] And what about yesterday? Did you read the "BILD newspaper"?

[If the newspaper was read on 6 or 7 days, then pre034 = 6-7:]

Does that mean that you read the "BILD newspaper" yesterday as well?

[PROG: If field day = Monday]

[If BILD was read on up to 5 days in the previous week, then pre035 = 1-5:]

And what about yesterday or the day before yesterday? Did you read the "BILD newspaper"?

[If the newspaper was read on 6 or 7 days, then pre034 = 6-7:

Does that mean that you read the "BILD newspaper" yesterday or the day before yesterday as well?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre036

Party political bias, BILD

[FILTER: If BILD is read on at least one day (pre034 = 1-7):]

When you think in particular about the reports in "BILD" on political parties or the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

[INT.: If so:] Which parties were they more favourable to?

[INT: Do not read out the parties]

[INT:Multiple response, record up to three political parties in the order they are mentioned, but do not ask about any more parties if one is mentioned]

- 1 Party political bias BILD: CDU/CSU
- 2 Party political bias BILD: CDU
- 3 Party political bias BILD: CSU
- 4 Party political bias BILD: SPD
- 5 Party political bias BILD: FDP
- 6 Party political bias BILD: DIE LINKE
- 7 Party political bias BILD: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Party political bias BILD: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Party political bias BILD: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Party political bias BILD: other political party
- 11 Party political bias BILD: none of the parties
- 12 Party political bias BILD: don't know
- 13 Party political bias BILD: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

pre037

Use of daily newspapers

[FILTER: If respondent does not read BILD, doesn't know or no answer, pre034 = 10, -98, -99:]

Do you occasionally or regularly read another daily newspaper? Which one?

[FILTER: If BILD was read on 1-7 days of the previous week, was not read in the previous week or no political articles were read at all, thus pre034 = 1-9:]

Do you occasionally or regularly read another daily newspaper as well?

[INT: If so:] Which one?

[INT: Only print. Do not read out the list]

[INT: If several are mentioned:] Which one is read most?

- (1) Frankfurter Rundschau (FR)
- (2) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (FAZ)

- (3) Süddeutsche Zeitung (SZ)
- (4) Die tageszeitung (taz)
- (5) Die Welt [INT: "Welt kompakt", too, if mentioned spontaneously.]
- (6) Local newspaper or other daily newspaper
- (97) No, none of the newspapers
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Pre038

Use of political reports in daily newspapers

[FILTER: If a daily newspaper is mentioned (pre037 = 1-6):]

On how many days in the last week did you read political reports in this newspaper?

- (1-7) On __ days
- (8) I didn't read this newspaper last week
- (9) I don't read any political reports in this newspaper [only when mentioned spontaneously]
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre039

Use of daily newspaper, day before

[FILTER: If use of daily newspaper (pre038) = 1-7 AND daily newspaper mentioned (pre037) = 1-5:]

[PROG: If field day = Tuesday-Sunday]

[If the daily newspaper was read on up to 5 days in the previous week, thus pre038 = 1-5:] And what about yesterday? Did you read [enter codes 1-5 for use of daily newspaper (pre037)]?

[If the newspaper was read on 6 or 7 days, thus pre038 = 6-7:]

Does that mean that you read [enter codes 1-5 for use of daily newspaper (pre037)] yesterday as well?

[PROG: If field day = Monday]

[If the daily newspaper was read on up to 5 days in the previous week, pre038 = 1-5:]

Did you read [enter codes 1-5 for use of daily newspaper (pre037)] yesterday or the day before yesterday?

[If the newspaper was read on 6 or 7 days, thus pre038 = 6-7:]

Does that mean that you read [enter codes 1-5 from use of daily newspaper (pre037)] yesterday or the day before yesterday as well?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre040

Party political bias of daily newspaper

[FILTER: If reports on political issues were read in a daily newspaper on at least one day (pre038=1-7):]

When you think in particular about the reports in this newspaper on the political parties or the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

[INT: If so:], Which parties were they more favourable to?

[INT: Do not read out the parties]

[INT: Multiple response, record up to three political parties in the order they are mentioned, but do not ask about any more parties if one is mentioned]

- 1 Party political bias of daily newspaper: CDU/CSU
- 2 Party political bias of daily newspaper: CDU
- 3 Party political bias of daily newspaper: CSU
- 4 Party political bias of daily newspaper: SPD
- 5 Party political bias of daily newspaper: FDP
- Party political bias of daily newspaper: DIE LINKE
 Party political bias of daily newspaper: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Party political bias of daily newspaper: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Party political bias of daily newspaper: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Party political bias of daily newspaper: other political party
- 11 Party political bias of daily newspaper: none of the parties
- 12 Party political bias of daily newspaper: don't know
- 13 Party political bias of daily newspaper: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

pre041

Use of other daily newspapers

[FILTER: Referred to as a daily newspaper (pre037 = 1-6):]

Do you occasionally or regularly read another daily newspaper?

[INT: If so:] Which one?

[INT: Do not read out the list, only print versions.]

[INT: If several are mentioned:] Which one is read most?

- (1) Frankfurter Rundschau (FR)
- (2) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (FAZ)
- (3) Sueddeutsche Zeitung (SZ)
- (4) Die tageszeitung (taz)
- (5) Die Welt [INT: Including "Welt kompakt", if referred to spontaneously]
- (6) Local newspaper or other daily newspaper
- (97) No, none of the newspapers
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre042

Use of political reports in other daily newspapers

IFILTER: If other daily newspaper is mentioned (pre041 = 1-6):1

On how many days in the last week did you read political reports in this newspaper?

- (1-7) On __ days
- (8) I didn't read this newspaper last week
- (9) I don't read any political reports in this newspaper
- (-97) Not applicable

- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Use of daily newspaper, day before

[FILTER: If use of other daily newspaper (pre042) = 1-7 AND other daily newspaper referred to (pre041) = 1-5):]

[PROG: If field day = Tuesday-Sunday]

[If other daily newspaper was read on up to 5 days in the previous week, thus pre042 = 1-5:] And what about yesterday? Did you read [enter codes 1-5 for use of other daily newspaper (pre041)]?

[If other daily newspaper was read on 6 or 7 days, thus pre042 = 6-7:] Does that mean that you read [enter codes 1-5 for use of other daily newspaper (pre041)] yesterday as well?

[PROG: If field day = Monday]

[If other daily newspaper was read on up to 5 days in the previous week, thus pre042 = 1-5:] Did you read [enter codes 1-5 for use of other daily newspaper (pre041)] yesterday or the day before yesterday?

[If other daily newspaper was read on 6 or 7 days, thus pre042 = 6-7:] Does that mean that you read [enter codes 1-5 for use of other daily newspaper (pre041)] yesterday or the day before yesterday as well?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre044

Party political bias of other daily newspapers

[FILTER: If reports on political issues were read in another daily newspaper on at least one day (pre042 = 1-7):]

When you think in particular about the reports in this newspaper on the political parties or the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

[INT: If so:] Which parties were they more favourable to?

[INT: Multiple response (record up to three political parties in the order they are mentioned).]

- 1 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: CDU/CSU
- 2 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: CDU
- 3 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: CSU
- 4 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: SPD
- 5 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: FDP
- 6 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: DIE LINKE
- 7 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: other political party
- 11 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: none of the parties
- 12 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: don't know
- 13 Party political bias of other daily newspaper: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned

- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

Attention to reports on the parties

[FILTER: If person read political reports at least one day, thus at least one in pre034, pre038 OR pre42=1-7:]

On the whole how much attention did you pay to reports on the parties and the election campaign – a great deal, quite a bit, a little, or none?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) Quite a bit
- (3) A little
- (4) None
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre046

Weekly press, previous week

And did you read "SPIEGEL", "Focus" or "DIE ZEIT" in the last week?

[INT : Only print versions.] [INT: Multiple response]

- 1 Weekly press, previous week SPIEGEL
- Weekly press, previous week Focus
- 3 Weekly press, previous week ZEIT
- Weekly press, previous week, none of them
- 5 Weekly press, previous week, don't know
- Weekly press, previous week, no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned

pre047

Use of TV news programmes, ARD (public-service television network)

Now, let us shift attention to news programmes on television, beginning with the news programmes broadcast on ARD, namely "Tagesschau" and "Tagesthemen". On how many days in the last week did you watch "Tagesschau" or "Tagesthemen" on "ARD"?

[INT: Including on other channels (such as on regional public-service stations or on the public-service station Phoenix) or on the Internet.

- (1-7) On __ days
- (8) Not in the last week
- (9) I never watch the news on this channel [INT: Only if mentioned spontaneously.]
- (96) I never watch the news
- (97) I never watch television
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Party political bias, TV news on ARD

[FILTER: If news was watched on the ARD channel on at least one day, then pre047 = 1-7:]

When you think in particular about the reports in these programmes on the political parties or the election campaign, which impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

[INT: If so] Which parties were they more favourable to?

[INT: Multiple response (record up to three political parties in the order they are mentioned).]

- 1 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: CDU/CSU
- 2 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: CDU
- 3 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: CSU
- 4 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: SPD
- 5 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: FDP
- 6 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: DIE LINKE
- 7 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: other political party
- 11 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: none of the parties
- 12 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: don't know
- 13 Party political bias, TV news on ARD: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

pre049

Use of TV news programmes, ZDF

[FILTER: If the respondent does NOT say that she/he never watches TV or never watches news on television, thus pre047 NOT= 96-97:]

And on how many days in the last week did you watch "Heute" or "Heute Journal", the newscasts of "ZDF"?

[INT: Including on other channels (such as on 3sat or on the public-service station Phoenix) or on the Internet.]

- (1-7) On __ days
- (8) Not in the last week
- (9) I never watch the news on this channel
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre050

Party political bias, TV news on ZDF

[FILTER: If news was watched on the ZDF channel on at least one day, thus pre049 = 1-7:]

When you think in particular about the reports in these programmes on the political parties or the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

[INT: If so:] Which parties were they more favourable to?

[INT: Multiple response (record up to three political parties in the order they are mentioned).]

- 1 Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: CDU/CSU Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: CDU 2 Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: CSU 3 4 Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: SPD 5 Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: FDP Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: DIE LINKE Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: DIE GRÜNEN 6 Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland) R Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) q Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: other political party 10 Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: none of the parties 11 Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: don't know Party political bias, TV news on ZDF: no answer 13 (0)Not mentioned (1) Mentioned
- pre051

(-97)

Use of TV, RTL news

Not applicable

[FILTER: If the respondent does NOT say that she/he never watches television or never watches the news on television, thus pre047 NOT= 96-97:]

And what about "RTL aktuell", the newscast of "RTL" - on how many days in the last week did you watch this newscast?

- (1-7) On __ days
- (8) Not in the last week
- (9) I never watch the news on this channel
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre052

Party political bias, TV news on RTL

[FILTER: If news was watched on the RTL channel on at least one day, thus pre051 = 1-7:]

When you think in particular about the reports in this programme on the political parties or the election campaign, what impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

[INT: If so:] Which parties were they more favourable to?

[INT: Multiple response (record up to three political parties in the order they are mentioned).]

- 1 Party political bias RTL: CDU/CSU
- 2 Party political bias RTL: CDU
- 3 Party political bias RTL: CSU
- 4 Party political bias RTL: SPD
- 5 Party political bias RTL: FDP
- 6 Party political bias RTL: DIE LINKE
- 7 Party political bias RTL: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Party political bias RTL: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Party political bias RTL: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Party political bias RTL: other political party
- 11 Party political bias RTL: none of the parties
- 12 Party political bias RTL: don't know

- 13 Party political bias RTL: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

Use of TV, Sat.1 news

[FILTER: If the respondent does NOT say that she/he never watches television or never watches the news on television, thus pre047 NOT= 96-97:]

And what about "Sat.1 Nachrichten", the newscast of "Sat.1" - on how many days in the last week did you watch this newscast?

- (1-7) On __ days
- (8) Not in the last week
- (9) I never watch the news on this channel
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre054

Party political bias, TV news on Sat.1

[FILTER: If news was watched on the Sat.1 channel on at least one day, thus pre053 = 1-7]

When you think in particular about the reports in these programmes on the political parties or the election campaign, which impression did you have? Were these reports more favourable to some parties than to others?

[INT: If so:] Which parties were they more favourable to?

[INT: Multiple response (record up to three political parties in the order they are mentioned).]

- 1 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: CDU/CSU
- 2 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: CDU
- 3 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: CSU
- 4 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: SPD
- 5 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: FDP
- Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: DIE LINKE
 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: other political party
- 11 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: none of the parties
- 12 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: don't know
- 13 Party political bias TV news on Sat.1: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

pre055

Attention to TV news programmes

[FILTER: If television news was watched on at least one day, then at least one in pre047, pre049, pre051, OR pre053 = 1-7:]

When you were watching the news on television, how much attention did you pay to reports on the political parties and the federal election campaign – a great deal of attention, quite a bit of attention, a little attention, no attention?

- A great deal
- (2) Quite a bit
- (3) A little
- (4) None
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre056

TV news on the day before

[FILTER: If TV news watched on 1-7 days in the last week, then at least one in pre047, pre049, pre051, OR pre053 = 1-7:]

Did you watch a TV news programme yesterday?

[INT: If so:] On which TV channel was it?

[INT: MULTIPLE RESPONSE]

[INT: If only one channel is mentioned:] Did you watch another news programme yesterday?

- 1 TV news on the day before: ARD
- 2 TV news on the day before: ZDF
- 3 TV news on the day before: RTL
- 4 TV news on the day before: SAT.1
- 5 TV news on the day before: other channel
- 7 TV news on the day before: didn't watch
- 8 TV news on the day before: don't know
- 9 TV news on the day before: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

pre057

Internet usage, in general

On how many days per week, on average, do you use the Internet?

- (1-7) On _____ days
- (8) Less than one day a week
- (9) I never use the Internet
- (10) I haven't got an internet connection [INT: Only if mentioned spontaneously.]
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre058

Internet usage, news

[FILTER: If pre057 (Internet usage, in general) = 1-8]

On how many days in the last week did you use political news websites, e.g. of newspapers, sites from TV channels or other providers?

[INT: E.g. news websites (Spiegel online, t-online, ...), use of ePaper, app.]

- (1-7) On _____ days
- (8) Not used in the previous week
- (9) I never use the internet to inform myself about politics [INT: only if mentioned spontaneously.]
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre059

Internet usage, social networks

[FILTER: If pre057 (Internet usage, in general) = 1-8]

What about online social networks, such as Facebook or Twitter. On how many days in the last week did you see or read postings about political parties or the federal election?

- (1-7) On days
- (8) I didn't see or read postings about political parties or the federal election in the previous week
- (9) I never use online social networks on the Internet [INT: Only if mentioned spontaneously.]
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre060

Internet usage, websites of parties and candidates

[FILTER: If pre057 (Internet usage, in general) = 1-8]

What about websites of political parties and candidates? Did you use these websites during this election campaign? From which parties?

[INT: If so:] Which parties were they about?

[INT: Multiple response, if respondent mentions candidate, write down the party he belongs to, check with respondent if necessary]

- 1 Websites: CDU/CSU
- 2 Websites: CDU
- 3 Websites: CSU
- 4 Websites: SPD
- 5 Websites: FDP
- 6 Websites: DIE LINKE
- 7 Websites: DIE GRÜNEN8 Websites: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Websites: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Websites: NPD [DVU]
- 11 Websites: other political party
- 12 Didn't use any websites of parties or candidates
- 13 Don't know
- 14 No answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

Internet usage, special information offerings

[PROG: active from 25.8.13 onwards]

[FILTER: If pre057 (Internet usage, in general) = 1-8]

And what about special information offerings, such as the "Wahl-o-mat" or "Kandidatenwatch": Did you use any of them during this election campaign?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre062

Perception, opinion polls

Did you read or see any results of current opinion polls on the federal election in the last week?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre063

Discussions about politics

On how many days during the last week did you talk with other people, such as members of your family, friends or acquaintances, about politics and political parties?

- (0) Not at all
- (1-7) On ___ days
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre064

Network size

[FILTER: pre063 (Discussions about politics) = 1-7 days]

How many people in total would you say you have talked to?

- (1-20) _____persons
- (21) More than 20 persons
- (-71) Several people, but exact number unknown
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre065

First discussion partner: relationship

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size)= 1:]

What is your relationship to this person - is he/she your spouse/partner, a relative, a friend, a work colleague or a neighbour?

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size)> 1 or =-71, -98, -99:]

If you now think of the person you talked most about political parties or the federal election with during the last week, what is your relationship to this person - is he/she your spouse/partner, a relative, a friend, a work colleague or a neighbour?

- (1) Spouse/partner
- (2) A relative
- (3) A friend [INT: In case of duplicate responses, friend has higher priority than work colleagues and neighbours]
- (4) A work colleague
- (5) A neighbour
- (6) Other contact
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre066

First discussion partner: frequency of discussions

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size)>= 1 or -71:]

On how many days of the previous week did you talk with <u>this</u> person about parties or the federal election?

- (0) Not at all
- (1-7) On ____days
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre067

First discussion partner: knowledge of politics

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size)>= 1 or =-71:]

How much do you think this person knows about politics? A great deal, a fair amount, not so much, or nothing at all?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) A fair amount
- (3) Not so much
- (4) Nothing at all
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre068

First discussion partner: differences of opinion

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) >= 1 or = -71, -98, -99:]

When you talk with this person about political questions, how often would you say you are of different opinion: often, sometimes, rarely or never?

- (1) Often
- (2) Sometimes
- (3) Rarely

- (4) Never
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

First discussion partner: voting decision

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) >= 1 or = -71, -98, -99:]

Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the federal election on 22nd September, or do you think she/he will not go to vote at all?

[INT: If respondent gives a clear answer (including "Don't know" and "Hasn't decided yet"), please note this as first mentioned. If respondent gives an ambiguous answer (e.g. mentions more than one party or mentions party and possibility of not voting) please write it down in order mentioned (max. three).]

[INT: Don't read out the answers!]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) SPD
- (3) FDP
- (4) DIE LINKE
- (5) DIE GRÜNEN
- (6) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (7) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (8) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (9) Other political party
- (-81) Hasn't decided yet [INT: Only if mentioned spontaneously]
- (-85) Will not vote
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre070

Second discussion partner

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) >1 or =-71:]

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) = 2 AND pre065 (First discussion partner: relationship) NOT= spouse/partner:]

If you now think of the other person you talked most about political parties or the federal election with, what is your relationship to this person - is he/she your spouse/partner, a relative, a friend, a work colleague or a neighbour?

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) >2 or =-71 AND pre065 (First discussion partner: relationship) NOT= spouse/partner:]

If you now think of the person amongst these other people you talked most about political parties or the federal election with, what is your relationship to this person - is he/she your spouse/partner, a relative, a friend, a work colleague or a neighbour?

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) =2 AND pre065 (First discussion partner: relationship)= spouse/partner:

If you now think of the other person you talked most about political parties or the federal election with, what is your relationship to this person - is he/she a relative, a friend, a work colleague or a neighbour?

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) >2 or =-71 AND pre065 (First discussion partner: relationship)= spouse/partner:

If you now think of the person amongst these other people you talked most about political parties or the federal election with, what is your relationship to this person - is he/she a relative, a friend, a work colleague or a neighbour?

- (1) Spouse/partner
- (2) A relative
- (3) A friend [INT: In case of duplicate responses, friend has higher priority than work colleagues and neighbours]
- (4) A work colleague
- (5) A neighbour
- (6) Other contact
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre071

Second discussion partner: frequency of discussions

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size)> 1 or -71:]

On how many days of the previous week did you talk with <u>this</u> person about parties or the federal election?

- (0) Not at all
- (1-7) On ___ days
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre072

Second discussion partner: knowledge of politics

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) > 1 oder = -71:]

How much do you think this person knows about politics? A great deal, a fair amount, not so much, or nothing at all?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) A fair amount
- (3) Not so much
- (4) Nothing at all
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre073

Second discussion partner: differences of opinion

[FILTER: If pre064 (network size) > 1 oder = -71:]

When you talk with this person about political questions, how often would you say you are of different opinion: often, sometimes, rarely or never?

- (1) Often
- (2) Sometimes
- (3) Rarely
- (4) Never
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know

(-99) No answer

pre074

Second discussion partner: voting decision

[FILTER: if pre064 (network size) > 1 oder = -71:]

Which party do you think this person will probably vote for in the federal election on 22nd September, or do you think she/he will not go to vote at all?

[INT: If respondent gives a clear answer (including "Don't know" and "Hasn't decided yet"), please note this as first mentioned. If respondent gives an ambiguous answer (e.g. mentions more than one party or mentions party and possibility of not voting) please write it down in order mentioned (max. three).]

[INT: Don't read out the answers!]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) SPD
- (3) FDP
- (4) DIE LINKE
- (5) DIE GRÜNEN
- (6) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (7) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (8) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (9) Other political party
- (-81) Hasn't decided yet [INT: Only if mentioned spontaneously]
- (-85) Will not vote
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre075

Televised debate: reception

[PROG: active from 2nd September 2013 onwards]

Did you watch the TV debate of Angela Merkel and Peer Steinbrück on 1st September?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre076

Televised debate: perceptions of Merkel's performance

[PROG: active from 2nd September 2013 onwards]

[FILTER: If pre075 (Televised debate: reception) = 1:]

How well do you think Angela Merkel did in this debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

[FILTER: If pre075 (Televised debate: reception)= 2, -98, -99]

Did you perhaps read or hear about how well Angela Merkel did in this debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

(1) Very well

- (2) Well
- (3) Neither well nor badly
- (4) Badly
- (5) Very badly
- (-71) I haven't heard
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Televised debate: perceptions of Steinbrück's performance

[PROG: active from 2nd September 2013 onwards]

[FILTER: If pre075 (Televised debate: reception)= 1]

How well do you think Peer Steinbrück did in this debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

[FILTER: If pre075 (Televised debate: reception)= 2, -98, -99]

And did you perhaps read or hear about how well Peer Steinbrück did in this debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

- (1) Very well
- (2) Well
- (3) Neither well nor badly
- (4) Badly
- (5) Very badly
- (-71) I haven't heard
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre078

Election campaign contacts: party advertising in newspapers, TV, radio

[PROG: active from 25th August 2013 onwards]

When you think about the election campaign of the political parties, did you see or hear party advertisements in newspapers, TV or radio in the last week?

[INT: If so:] From which parties was that?

[INT: Don't read out parties.]
[INT: Multiple response]

- 1 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: CDU/CSU
- 2 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: CDU
- 3 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: CSU
- 4 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: SPD
- 5 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: FDP
- 6 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: DIE LINKE
- 7 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: NPD [DVU]
- 11 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: other party/parties
- 12 Election campaign: advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: no party advertisements

- 13 Election campaign: party advertising in newspapers, TV, radio: don't know
- 14 Election campaign: party advertising in newspapers, TV, radio: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

Election campaign contacts: direct election campaign contact

[PROG: active from 25th August 2013 onwards]

And has a candidate or anyone from one of the political parties called you up or come around and talked to you lately, e.g. at an election campaign booth, during rallies or canvassing?

[INT: If so:] Which party were they from?

[INT: Don't read out parties.]
[INT: Multiple response]

- 1 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: CDU/CSU
- 2 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: CDU
- 3 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: CSU
- 4 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: SPD
- 5 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: FDP
- Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: DIE LINKE
 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: NPD [DVU]
- 11 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: other party/parties
- 12 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: didn't talk to anyone from any political party
- 13 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: don't know
- 14 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-97) Not applicable

pre080

Recall BTW 2009

In the last federal election on 27th September 2009 a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you? Did you vote, did you not vote, or were you not yet eligible to vote?

- (1) I did vote
- (2) I did not vote
- (3) I was not yet eligible to vote
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre081

Recall BTW 2009: voting decision

[FILTER: If pre080 (Recall Wahlbeteiligung BTW 2009) = 1] Which party did you vote for?

[INT: If respondent asks for more details: We are talking about your second vote, the vote which decides on how strongly the political parties are represented in the Bundestag] [INT: Don't read out the parties.] CDU/CSU (1)(2)CDU (3)CSU SPD (4)(5) **FDP** (7)DIE LINKE DIE GRÜNEN (6)(215)PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland) NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschland) (206)(168)DVU (DEUTSCHE VOLKSUNION) (225)REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER) (126)BP (Bayernpartei) (134)BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität) (171)FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands) (209)ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei) (214)PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen) (224)RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland) (226)RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ) (237)(152)**DIE VIOLETTEN** (801)other political party: _ (-83)I cast an invalid second vote (-97)Not applicable (-98)Don't know (-99)No answer pre101 Year of birth Finally, I would like to ask you a few questions about you personally: What year were you born in? Year of birth: (-98)Don't know (-99)No answer pre102 Gender

[INT: Do not read out, but select without respondent; only ask again if in doubt]

- Male (1)
- (2) Female

pre103

School leaving certificate

What's your highest level of general education?

- (1) Finished school without school leaving certificate
- (2) Lowest formal qualification in Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, (3)Realschulabschluß bzw. Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluß 10. Klasse")

- (4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochulreife (Abschluß einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- (5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur bzw. erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
- (6) Other school leaving certificate
- (7) Still at school
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Gainful employment

[FILTER: If pre103 (school leaving certificate) NOT still at school (7):]

Do you currently work in a paid job? By this I mean any salaried occupation or one connected with an income time, regardless of the number of working hours. Do you currently work full-time or part-time, in vocational training or studies or do you not work in a paid job at all?

[INT: Full-time: more than 30 hours/week; part-time: up to 30 hours/week.]

- (1) Full-time
- (2) Part-time
- (3) In vocational training or studies
- (4) I do not work in a paid job at all
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre105

Profession

[FILTER: If pre104 (gainful employment) = Full-time (1) or part-time (2):]

Are you a manual worker, a salaried employee, a civil servant, a farmer or self-employed?

[INT: The main activity is decisive]

- (1) A manual worker
- (2) A salaried employee
- (3) A civil servant [Int: including judges and soldiers]
- (4) A farmer
- (5) Self-employed
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre106

Professional group in detail, manual worker

[FILTER: If pre105 (profession) = Manual worker (1):]

Are you a semi-skilled or unskilled worker, a skilled worker or head-workman or a master craftsman?

- (1) Semi-skilled, unskilled or farm worker
- (2) A skilled worker
- (3) A head-workman or master craftsman
- (-97) Not applicable

- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Professional group in detail, service/white-collar worker

[FILTER: If pre105 (profession) = Service/White-collar worker (2):]

Are you a salaried white collar employee in a lower level, a medium level or in a managerial position?

- (1) In a lower level
- (2) In a medium level
- (3) In a managerial position
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre108

Professional group in detail, civil servant

[FILTER: If pre105 (profession) = Civil servant (3):]

Are you a low, medium, high or highest level civil servant?

- (1) A low level civil servant
- (2) A medium level civil servant
- (3) A high level civil servant
- (4) A highest level civil servant
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre109

Reason for lack of gainful employment

[FILTER: If pre104 (employment) = Unemployed (4):]

Are you retired, receiving a pension or in early retirement, maternity/parental leave, unemployed or not employed for any other reasons?

- (1) Retired, receiving a pension or in early retirement
- (2) Maternity/parental leave
- (3) Unemployed
- (4) Not employed for any other reasons
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre110

Previous gainful employment

[FILTER: If pre104 (employment) = /"unemployed" (4):]

Have you worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No

- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Former profession

[FILTER: If pre110 (previous gainful employment) = Yes:]

Were you a manual worker, a salaried employee, a civil servant, a farmer or self-employed? [INT: The previous main activity is decisive]

- (1) A manual worker
- (2) A salaried employee
- (3) A civil servant (including judges and soldiers)
- (4) A farmer
- (5) Self-employed
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre112

Professional group in detail, manual worker

[FILTER: If pre111 (former profession) = Manual worker (1):]

Were you a semi-skilled or unskilled worker, a skilled worker or head-workman or a master craftsman?

- (1) A semi-skilled, unskilled or farm worker
- (2) A skilled worker
- (3) A head-workman or master craftsman
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre113

Previous professional group in detail, service/white-collar worker

[FILTER: If pre111 (former profession) = Service/wWhite-collar worker (2):]

Were you a salaried white collar employee in a lower level, a medium level or in a managerial position?

- (1) In a lower level
- (2) In a medium level
- (3) In a managerial position
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre114

Previous professional group in detail, civil servant

[FILTER: If pre111 (former profession) = Civil servant (3):]

Were you a low, medium, high or highest level civil servant?

- A low level civil servant (1)
- (2) A medium level civil servant
- A high level civil servant (3)
- (4) A highest level civil servant
- (-97)Not applicable
- (-98)Don't know
- (-99)No answer

pre115

Household size

How many people live in your household permanently, including yourself and all children?

- (1-x)People in the household: _
- (-98)Don't know
- (-99)No answer

pre116

People aged 18+ who are eligible to vote

[FILTER: If pre115 (household size) > 1 AND NOT=-98, -99:]

How many people in this household are eligible to vote in the federal election?

- Eligible voters in the household: _____ (1-x)
- (-97)Not applicable
- (-98)Don't know
- (-99)No answer

pre117

Husband/wife/partner

[FILTER: If pre115 (household size) > 1 AND NOT=-98, -99:]

In your household, are you living with your husband/wife or partner?

- (1) (2) Yes
- No
- (-97)Not applicable
- (-98)Don't know
- (-99)No answer

pre118

Partner's gainful employment

[FILTER: If partner exists, thus pre117 = 1:]

Does your partner currently work full-time or part-time, in vocational training or studies or does she/he not work in a paid job at all?

- (1)Full-time
- (2)Part-time
- (3)In vocational training or studies
- She/he does not work in a paid job at all

- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Reason for partner's lack of gainful employment

[FILTER: If pre118 (partner's employment) = Unemployed (4):]

Is your partner retired, receiving a pension or in early retirement, maternity/parental leave, unemployed or not employed for any other reasons?

- (1) Retired, receiving a pension or in early retirement
- (2) Maternity/parental leave
- (3) Unemployed
- (4) Not employed for any other reasons
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre120

Religious denomination

What is your religious denomination?

- (1) Roman Catholic
- (2) Protestant
- (5) Other
- (8) None
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre121

Church attendance

Generally, how frequently do you attend religious services - never, only once a year, more than once a year, at least once a month, two to three times a month, at least once a week, more than once a week?

- (1) Never
- (2) Once a year
- (3) Several times a year
- (4) Once a month
- (5) Two to three times a month
- (6) Once a week
- (7) More often
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre122

Trade union membership

Are you or is someone else in your household a member of a trade union?

- 1) Yes, I am
- (2) Yes, only others
- (3) Yes, I am and others

- (4) No
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Party identification

In Germany, many people lean toward a particular political party for a long time, although occasionally, they vote for another party. How about you, do you lean toward a particular political party? If yes, which party is that?

[INT: Do not read out the parties!]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152) DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801) Other political party _____
- (808) No, do not lean toward any party
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre124

Strength of party identification

[FILTER: If pre123 (Party identification) = 1-801:]

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this party: very strongly, fairly strongly, moderately, fairly weakly or very weakly?

- (1) Very strongly
- (2) Fairly strongly
- (3) Moderately
- (4) Fairly weakly
- (5) Very weakly
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre125

Landline phone

How many landline telephone numbers are there in your household which are used privately by you or another member of your household? This means telephone numbers with area code which are used to take calls. Answerphones, fax or telephone numbers which exist but which are not connected up to a terminal device do not count.

[INT.: If respondent aks for details: A landline phone is a normal telephone for which Telekom or another telephone company lays a cable to your home. Landline numbers for mobile phones in "home zones" may also be given.]

- (1-x) Number: ____
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pre126

Willingness to take part again

Our last interview question is also a request. This survey you have just participated in is being carried out under the scientific direction of the University of Mannheim. The study will be continued after the federal election. We would like to do a further interview with you then and keep your telephone number for this purpose. May we contact you again then?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No, definitely not

Record of identifying details

[FILTER: If willingness to take part again (pre126) = Yes (1)]

Would you please tell me your first name or the first letter of your first name and the first letter of your last name?

INT: If respondent wants to know details:] We need this information to be sure that we are actually talking to you when we contact you again.

Documentation of Questionnaire

Post-election

(09/23/2013 - 11/03/2013)

Contact/identification of respondent

Good day, my name is [PROG: Name of Interviewer] from Ipsos, one of the major polling organisations in Germany.

Some time ago, we have conducted an interview with someone in your household, on behalf of the University of Mannheim. I would like to ask this person for a second interview. Thus, I would like to speak to the person

- whose first name is [PROG: insert first name]
- whose initials are [PROG: insert initials]
- who is [PROG: male/female] and [PROG: insert age] years old.

[if you are already talking to target person:] May we ask you to take part in the interview?

- (1) yes [START INTERVIEW]
- (2) no [IF RESPONDENT DOESN'T WANT TO PARTICIPATE, TRY TO CONVINCE HIM/HER BY ALL MEANS!]

[if you are talking to contact person:] Would you please get this person on the phone?

[IF CONTACT PERSON HAS ANY QUESTIONS:]

We are currently conducting a scientific survey about the federal election on behalf of the University of Mannheim.

This person has already participated in a survey in this study some time ago and agreed to participate in the post election survey, too.

[IF RESPONDENT HAS ANY QUESTIONS:]

We are currently conducting a scientific survey about the federal election on behalf of the University of Mannheim.

Some time ago, you have agreed to participate in the post election survey, too. That's what I would like you to do now. We would be very grateful if you participated again. Your participation is of great importance for the success of this scientific study.

This interview won't take as long as the first one, it takes about 15 minutes. (There won't be another interview.) The participation in the interview is voluntary. All your answers will be handled anonymously, viz. we won't connect your answers to your name or address. The study is obliged to adhere to the Data Protection Act. It is absolutely certain that your data will not be linked to your person.

Are you willing to participate in the study?

Interesting election campaign

How interesting did you find the campaign for the federal election on 22nd September - very interesting, rather interesting, not very interesting, or not at all interesting?

- (1) Very interesting
- (2) Rather interesting
- (3) Not very interesting
- (4) Not at all interesting
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos002

Recall BTW 2013: turnout

In the federal election on 22nd September a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you? Did you vote or did you not vote?

- (1) I did vote
- (2) I did not vote
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos003

Recall BTW 2013: first vote

[FILTER: If turnout (pos002) = 1 OR -98, -99:]

In the federal election you had two votes: the first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party. Which party did the candidate you cast your first vote for belong to?

[INT.: On no account read out the parties.]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152) DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801) other political party _____
- (-83) I cast an invalid first vote
- (-84) I didn't cast a first vote

(-85) I didn't vote [INT: in case of inconsistent statement in pos002]

(-95) Not participated(-97) Not applicable(-98) Don't know

(-99) No answer

pos004

Recall BTW 2013: second vote

[FILTER: If respondent doesn't negate turnout, thus if turnout (pos002) = 1, -98, -99 AND pos003 dissimilar -85]

And which party did you vote for with your second vote in the federal election?

[INT.: On no account read out the parties.]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152) DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801) other political party _____
- (-83) I cast an invalid second vote
- (-84) I didn't cast a second vote
- (-85) I didn't vote [INT: in case of inconsistent statement in pos002]
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos005

Recall BTW 2013: time of final voting decision

[FILTER: If respondent doesn't negate turnout, thus if turnout (pos002) = 1, -98, -99 AND pos003 dissimilar -85 AND pos004 dissimilar -85]

When did you decide how to vote in this federal election? A long time ago, a few months ago, a few weeks or days before the election or on election day?

- (1) A long time ago
- (2) A few months ago
- (3) A few weeks before the election
- (4) A few days before the election
- (5) On election day

- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Recall BTW 2013: time of decision not to vote

[FILTER: If respondent didn't vote, thus if pos002=2 OR pos003= -85 OR pos004= -85:]

When did you decide not to vote in this federal election? A long time ago, a few months ago, a few weeks or days before the election or on election day?

- (1) A long time ago
- (2) A few months ago
- (3) A few weeks before the election
- (4) A few days before the election
- (5) On election day
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos007

Recall BTW 2013: difficulty of voting decision (voter)

[FILTER: If respondent doesn't negate turnout, thus if turnout (pos002) = 1, -98, -99 AND pos003 dissimilar -85 AND pos004 dissimilar -85]

Was it very difficult, fairly difficult, fairly easy or very easy for you to decide whom to vote for in this federal election?

- (1) Very difficult
- (2) Fairly difficult
- (3) Fairly easy
- (4) Very easy
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos008

Recall BTW 2013: difficulty of voting decision (non-voter)

[FILTER: If respondent didn't vote, thus if pos002=2 OR pos003=-85 OR pos004=-85:]

Was it very difficult, fairly difficult, fairly easy or very easy for you to decide not to vote in this federal election?

- (1) Very difficult
- (2) Fairly difficult
- (3) Fairly easy
- (4) Very easy
- (5) I was unable to vote [INT: only if mentioned spontaneously by the non-voter]
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Recall BTW 2013: possible other party

[FILTER: If a party is mentioned in Recall BTW 2013: second vote, thus pos004=1-801]

Was there any time in the run-up to the federal election when you seriously thought you might vote for another party than [insert party] with your second vote?

[INT: If so:] Which party was it?

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152) DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801) other political party _____
- (-82) No other party
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos010

Coalition preference (post election)

Regardless of the outcome of the federal election, which parties do you personally think should govern Germany over the next four years?

[INT: Multiple response, check all the parties which belong to the coalition]

- 1 Coalition preference (post-election): CDU/CSU
- 2 Coalition preference (post-election): SPD
- 3 Coalition preference (post-election): FDP
- 4 Coalition preference (post-election): DIE LINKE
- 5 Coalition preference (post-election): DIE GRÜNEN
- 6 Coalition preference (post-election): PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 7 Coalition preference (post-election): AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 8 Coalition preference (post-election): other political party
- 9 Coalition preference (post-election): don't know
- 10 Coalition preference (post-election): no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned

(-95) Not participated

```
IINT:
(if respondents use labels for coalitions)
"Große Koalition"
                            = CDU/CSU, SPD
"Schwarz-gelb"
                            = CDU/CSU, FDP
"Buergerliche Koalition"
                            = CDU/CSU, FDP
"Buergerliche Regierung"
                            [INT: Ask, if a) CDU/CSU government or b) CDU/CSU,
FDP1
"Jamaika"
                            = CDU/CSU, FDP, Gruene
"Schwampel"
                            = CDU/CSU, FDP, Gruene
"Schwarze Ampel"
                            = CDU/CSU, FDP, Gruene
"Rot-gruen"
                            = SPD, Gruene
"Sozial-liberale Koalition"
                            = SPD, FDP
"Rot-gelb"
                            = SPD, FDP
"Ampel"
                            = SPD, FDP, Gruene
"Rot-rot-gruen"
                            = SPD, Gruene, Die Linke
"Linksbuendnis/Linkskoalition"
[INT: Ask, if
a) SPD, Gruene, Die Linke or
b) SPD-Die Linke or
c) SPD-Gruene]
"Rot-rot"
                            = SPD, Die Linke
```

pos011

Most important problem

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

[open]

- (-95) Not participated (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos012

Ability to solve the most important problem

[FILTER: Only ask the question if problem referred to in pos011:]

Which party is best able to handle this problem?

[INT: Do not read out response options. One response only]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)

(152) (801)	DIE VIOLETTEN other political party
(808)	No party
(809)	All parties equally good
(-95)	Not participated
(-97)	Not applicable
(-98)	Don't know
(-99)	No answer

Second most important problem

[FILTER: If problem mentioned in pos011 (most important problem)]

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

[open]

- (-95) Not participated(-97) Not applicable(-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos014

Ability to solve second most important problem

[FILTER: Only ask the question if problem referred to in pos013:]

Which party is best able to handle this problem?

[INT: Do not read out response options. One response only]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- (152) DIE VIOLETTEN
- (801) other political party _____
- (808) No party
- (809) All parties equally good
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Left-right self- assessment

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 1 to 11 where 1 means the left and 11 means the right? Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely.

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 right
- (-71) I don't know these terms
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos016

Party positions, socioeconomic dimension

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues. Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes. What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue? Please tell me by using a scale from 1 to 11. 1 means that the party prefers to cut taxes and spend less on social services, and 11 means that the party prefers to raise taxes and spend more. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely.

- A In your view, what is the position of the CDU?
- B And what is the position of the CSU?
- C And the SPD?
- D And the FDP?
- E And Die Grünen?
- F And Die Linke?

Scale:

- (1) 1 Lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8 (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 More social services, although this results in raising taxes
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Own position, socioeconomic dimension

And what position do you take on this issue?

Scale:

- (1) 1 Lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 More social services, although this results in raising taxes
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos018

Issue battery

And what is your opinion about the following statements? Please tell me for each of the statements whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree or strongly disagree.

[PROG: randomization: A-C; D-E]

- A Immigrants should be obliged to assimilate into the German culture.
- B The government should take measures to reduce the discrepancies in income.
- C In times of the European debt crisis, Germany should provide financial support for EU member states experiencing financial and economical difficulties.
- D The leadership of the government should be entrusted to someone who is above the party bickering.
- E It would be better for the country if the politicans simply acted and solved the problems instead of talking.

Scale:

- (1) Strongly agree
- (2) Agree
- (3) Neither agree nor disagree
- (4) Disagree
- (5) Strongly disagree
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos019

Personal economic situation, current

Now, let us shift attention to the economic situation. How would you evaluate your own current economic situation: very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad, or very bad?

- Very good
- (2) Good
- (3) Netiher good nor bad
- (4) Bad
- (5) Very bad

- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

General economic situation, current

And how would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany: very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad, or very bad?

- (1) Very good
- (2) Good
- (3) Neither good nor bad
- (4) Bad
- (5) Very bad
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos021

General economic situation, retrospective

Has the general economic situation in Germany improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably in the last one to two years?

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos022

Responsibility for general economic situation

[FILTER: If valid answer in pos021 (general economic situation, retrospective), thus pos021=1-5:]

To what extent do you think that the last government's policies are responsible for this development - a great deal, a fair amount, moderately, not very much, or not at all?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) A fair amount
- (3) Moderately
- (4) Not very much
- (5) Not at all
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos023

General economic situation, future

And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in Germany will have improved considerably, improved somewhat, remained the same, deteriorated somewhat or deteriorated considerably?

- (1) Improved considerably
- (2) Improved somewhat
- (3) Remained the same
- (4) Deteriorated somewhat
- (5) Deteriorated considerably
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos024

Worries, economic crisis

Now let's look at the current debt crisis in Europe. How worried are you about the current debt crisis? Are you very worried, fairly worried, moderately worried, a little worried or not worried at all?

- (1) Very worried
- (2) Fairly worried
- (3) Moderately worried
- (4) A little worried
- (5) Not worried at all
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos025

Scalometer, political parties

Looking now at the political parties in detail, what do you think of the different parties in general? Please use the thermometer from +5 to -5 again. +5 means that you think a great deal of the party and -5 that you do not think much of the party at all. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely.

- A Let's begin with the CDU. What do you think of the CDU?
- B And what do you think of the CSU?
- C And the SPD?
- D And the FDP?
- E And Die Grünen?
- F And Die Linken?
- G And the Piratenpartei?
- H And the Alternative für Deutschland?

Scale

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2 (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the party
- (-71) I don't have sufficient knowledge about this party

- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer
- (-95) Not participated

Scalometer, political leaders

And what do you think of the political leaders? Please use the thermometer from +5 to -5 again. +5 means that you think a great deal of the politician and -5 that you do not think much of the politician at all. Use the values in between to express your opinion more precisely. If I come to a political leader you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.

- A I'll start with Angela Merkel. What do you think of Angela Merkel?
- B And of Peer Steinbrück?
- C And of Rainer Brüderle?
- D And of Jürgen Trittin?
- E And of Gregor Gysi?

Scale:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician
- (-71) I don't know enough about this politician
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos027

Attention to election campaign

How much attention did you pay to the federal election campaign? A great deal, quite a bit, a little, or none?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) Quite a bit
- (3) A little
- (4) None
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos028

Helpfulness election campaign

[FILTER: If pos027 (attention to election campaign)=1-3:]

To what extent did the election campaign help you to reach your voting decision: Was the election campaign very helpful, fairly helpful, not so helpful or not helpful at all in helping you decide who to vote for?

(1) Very helpful

- (2)Fairly helpful
- (3)Not so helpful
- (4) Not helpful at all
- (-95)Not participated
- (-97)Not applicable
- (-98)Don't know (-99)No answer

Election campaign contacts: electoral events

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies or speeches organised by the political parties?

[INT: Falls ja:] From which party exactly?

[INT: Multiple response]

- Election campaign: political meetings: CDU/CSU
- Election campaign: political meetings: CDU
- 3 Election campaign: political meetings: CSU
- Election campaign: political meetings: SPD 4
- Election campaign: political meetings: FDP 5
- 6 Election campaign: political meetings: DIE LINKE
- Election campaign: political meetings: DIE GRÜNEN
- Election campaign: political meetings: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland) 8
- Election campaign: political meetings: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Election campaign: political meetings: NPD [DVU]
- 11 Election campaign: political meetings: other party/parties
- 12 Election campaign: political meetings: didn't go to any political meetings
- 13 Election campaign: political meetings: don't know
- 14 Election campaign: political meetings: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- Mentioned (1)
- (-95)Not participated
- Don't know (-98)
- (-99)No answer

pos030

Election campaign contacts: direct election campaign contact

And did a candidate or anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you during the election campaign, e.g. at an election campaign booth, during rallies or canvassing?

[INT: If so:] Which party were they from?

[INT: Don't read out parties.] [INT: Multiple response]

- Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: CDU/CSU
- 2 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: CDU
- 3 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: CSU
- Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: SPD
- 5 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: FDP
- 6 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: DIE LINKE 7 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei
 - Deutschland)
- Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: NPD [DVU] 10
- Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: other party/parties 11

- 12 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: didn't talk to anyone from any political party
- 13 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: don't know
- 14 Election campaign: direct election campaign contact: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Election campaign contacts: party advertising in newspapers, TV, radio

When you think about the election campaign of the political parties, did you see or hear party advertisements in newspapers, TV or radio?

[INT: If so:] From which parties was that?

[INT: Multiple response]

- 1 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: CDU/CSU
- 2 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: CDU
- 3 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: CSU
- 4 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: SPD
- 5 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: FDP
- 6 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: DIE LINKE
- 7 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: NPD [DVU]
- 11 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: other party/parties
- 12 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: no party advertisements
- 13 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: don't know
- 14 Election campaign: party advertisements in newspapers, TV, radio: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos032

Election campaign contacts: information material

Did you read any information material produced by political parties, such as campaign flyers, handbills, leaflets or posted material?

[INT: If so:] From which political party?

[INT: Multiple response]

- Election campaign: information material: CDU/CSU
- 2 Election campaign: information material: CDU
- 3 Election campaign: information material: CSU
- 4 Election campaign: information material: SPD
- Election campaign: information material: FDP
 Election campaign: information material: DIE LINKE

- 7 Election campaign: information material: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Election campaign: information material: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Election campaign: information material: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Election campaign: information material: NPD [DVU]
- 11 Election campaign: information material: other party/parties
- 12 Election campaign: information material: no information material read
- Election campaign: information material: don't know
 Election campaign: information material: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Election campaign contacts: websites of parties and candidates

What about websites of political parties and candidates? Did you use these websites during the election campaign?

[INT: If so:] From which parties?

[INT: Multiple response; if respondent mentions candidate, note the party he/she belongs to, if necessary check with respondent]

- 1 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: CDU/CSU
- 2 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: CDU
- 3 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: CSU
- 4 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: SPD
- 5 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: FDP
- 6 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: DIE LINKE
- 7 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: DIE GRÜNEN
- 8 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- 9 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- 10 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: NPD [DVU]
- 11 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: other party/parties
- 12 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: didn't use any websites of political parties or candidates
- 13 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: don't know
- 14 Election campaign: websites of parties and candidates: no answer
- (0) Not mentioned
- (1) Mentioned
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos034

Election campaign contacts: party support

Did you support any party personally?

[INT: If so:] Which party did you support?

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU

SPD (4)(5) FDP (7)DIE LINKE (6)DIE GRÜNEN PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland) (215)(322)AfD (Alternative für Deutschland) (206)NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion]) (225)REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER) (126)BP (Bayernpartei) (134)BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität) (171)FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands) (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei) (214)PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen) (224)RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland) (226)RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei) (237)Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ) (152)DIE VIOLETTEN (801) Other political party ___ (808)None of the parties (-95)Not participated (-98)Don't know

pos035

(-99)

Televised debate: reception

No answer

Did you watch the TV debate of Angela Merkel and Peer Steinbrück on 1st September?

- (1) Yes
- (2) No
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos036

Televised debate: attention

[FILTER: If pos035 (reception) = 1:]

How much attention did you pay to the debate? A great deal, quite a bit, a little, or none?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) Quite a bit
- (3) A little
- (4) None
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos037

Televised debate: perceptions of Merkel's performance

[FILTER: If pos035 (Televised debate: reception) = 1:]

How well do you think Angela Merkel did in this debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

[FILTER: If pos035 (Televised debate: reception) = 2, -98, -99]

Did you perhaps read or hear about how Angela Merkel came out of the debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

- (1) Very well
- (2) Well
- (3) Neither well nor badly
- (4) Badly
- (5) Very badly
- (-71) I haven't heard
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos038

Televised debate: perception of Steinbrück's performance

[FILTER: If pos035 (Televised debate: reception) = 1:]

How well do you think Peer Steinbrück did in this debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

[FILTER: If pos035 (Televised debate: reception) = 2, -98, -99]

And did you perhaps read or hear about how Peer Steinbrück came out of the debate - very well, well, neither well nor badly, badly or very badly?

- (1) Very well
- (2) Well
- (3) Neither well nor badly
- (4) Badly
- (5) Very badly
- (-71) I haven't heard
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos039

Discussions about politics

On how many days during the last week did you talk with other people, such as members of your family, friends or acquaintances, about political parties or the federal election?

- (0) Not at all
- (1-7) On ___ days
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos040

First discussion partner: relationship

If you now think of the person you talked most about political parties and the federal election with during the election campaign for the federal election on 22^{nd} September, what is your relationship to this person - is he/she your spouse/partner, a relative, a friend, a work colleague or a neighbour?

[INT: In case of duplicate responses, friend has priority ahead of work colleague and neighbours]

- Spouse/partner
- (2) A relative

- (3) A friend [INT: In case of duplicate responses, friend has higher priority than work colleagues and neighbours]
- (4) A work colleague
- (5) A neighbour
- (6) Other contact
- (7) I didn't talk with anyone
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

First discussion partner: knowledge of politics

[FILTER: If pos040 (First discussion partner: relationship) =1-6:]

How much do you think this person knows about politics? A great deal, a fair amount, not so much, or nothing at all?

- (1) A great deal
- (2) A fair amount
- (3) Not so much
- (4) Nothing at all
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos042

First discussion partner: differences of opinion

[FILTER: If pos040 (First discussion partner: relationship) =1-6:]

When you talked with this person about political questions during the election campaign, how often would you say you were of different opinion: often, sometimes, rarely or never?

- (1) Often
- (2) Sometimes
- (3) Rarely
- (4) Never
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos043

First discussion partner: voting decision

[FILTER: If pos040 (First discussion partner) =1-6:]

Which party do you think this person voted for in the federal election on 22nd September? Or did he/she not vote at all?

[INT: If respondent gives clear answer (including "Don't know"), write down as first answer. If respondent gives ambiguous answer (e.g. mentions more than one party or mentions a party and the possibility of not voting), please write down answers in given order (max. three)]

[INT: Do not read out answers!]

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) SPD
- (3) FDP
- (4) DIE LINKE
- (5) DIE GRÜNEN
- (6) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (7) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (8) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (9) Other political party
- (-85) Did not vote
- (-95) Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Media Reliance

Of all the ways that you can follow the parties and their policies during the election campaign, what would you say: which of the following sources of information did you find really helpful in making up your mind about how to vote - the campaign advertising of the political parties, news programs on television, articles in newspapers and magazines, information provided on the Internet, statements issued by groups and associations or conversations with relatives, friends and acquaintances?

[INT: Only ONE response allowed.]

- (1) The campaign advertising of the political parties
- (2) News programs on television
- (3) Articles in newspapers and magazines
- (4) Information provided on the Internet
- (5) Statements issued by groups and associations
- (6) Conversations with relatives, friends and acquaintances
- (7) Several or all the same helpful [INT: ONLY if respondent can't decide at all]
- Other information source was most helpful [INT: ONLY if mentioned spontaneously]
- (9) No information source was helpful [INT: ONLY if mentioned spontaneously]
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos045

Need to Evaluate, Need for Cognition and Need for Cognitive Closure

Please tell me for each of the following statements to what extent they apply to you. 1 means that you strongly agree with the statement. 5 means that you strongly disagree with the statement. You can use the numbers in between to state your opinion more precisely. The first statement is:

[PROG: randomization]

- A "I make up my own mind about everything."
- B "I don't find it satisfying to think deeply about things for hours."
- C "I usually make important decisions quickly and confidently."
- D "I don't feel comfortable about getting involved in arguments about politics."
- E "I often keep my views to myself because I fear the negative consequences of saying what I think."

Scale:

- 1 Strongly agree
- (2) 2

- (3) 3
- (4)
- (5) 5 Strongly disagree
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

Strategic and expressive motives

Different motives can underly voting decisions. What about you? Please tell me for each of the following statements to what extent they apply to you.

[PROG: randomization]

- A With my voting decision, I especially want to accomplish that a certain policy is made in specific problem areas.
- B I want to show my political point of view with my voting decision, regardless of who is governing after the election.
- C With my voting decision, I especially want to influence who is becoming chancellor.
- D I like it when people can tell what I'm standing for by my voting decision.
- E With my voting decision, I especially want to influence which coalitions can be formed after the election.

Scale:

- (1) Strongly agree
- (2) Agree
- (3) Neither agree nor disagree
- (4) Disagree
- (5) Strongly disagree
- (-95) Not participated
- (-98) Don't know
- (-99) No answer

pos047

Party identification

In Germany, many people lean toward a particular political party for a long time, although occasionally, they vote for another party. How about you, do you lean toward a particular political party? If yes, which party is that?

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (6) DIE GRÜNEN
- (215) PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- (322) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- (206) NPD [DVU] (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands [Deutsche Volksunion])
- (225) REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- (126) BP (Bayernpartei)
- (134) BüSo (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität)
- (171) FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- (209) ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- (214) PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- (224) RENTNER (Rentner Partei Deutschland)
- (226) RRP (Rentnerinnen und Rentner Partei)
- (237) Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)

(152) (801)	DIE VIOLETTEN other political party
(808)	No, do not lean toward any party
(-95) (-98) (-99)	Not participated Don't know No answer

Strength of party identification

[FILTER: If pos047 (party identification) = 1-801:]

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this party: very strongly, fairly strongly, moderately, fairly weakly or very weakly?

- Very strongly
- Fairly strongly
- (2) (3) Moderately
- Fairly weakly (4)
- (5) Very weakly
- (-95)Not participated
- (-97) Not applicable
- (-98)Don't know
- (-99)No answer