

gesis

Leibniz-Institut
für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal
Election Study



GLEES 2017

Short-term Campaign Panel

Control Group I

(to Panel Wave 4)

ZA6805, Version 2.0.0

Documentation of Questionnaire

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific infrastructure in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of the GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviours of voters and candidates. The GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences.

The following documentation refers to the Short-term Campaign Panel, Control Group I of the GLES 2017 and will give you some general information about the dataset.

Bibliographic description of the dataset

Study No.	ZA6805
Title	Short-term Campaign Panel 2017, Control Group I (GLES 2017)
Current Version	2.0.0, 11.12.2019
doi	10.4232/1.13421
Citation	Roßteutscher, Sigrid; Schmitt-Beck, Rüdiger; Schoen, Harald; Weißels, Bernhard; Wolf, Chris-tof; Preißinger, Maria; Kratz, Agatha; Wuttke, Alexander; Manger, Lea (2019): Short-term Campaign Panel 2017 – Control Group I (to Panel Wave 4) (GLES). GESIS Data Archive, Cologne: ZA6805 Data file Version 2.0.0, doi: 10.4232/1.13421.
Number of observations	1181
Number of variables	279 substantial variables

Basic information

Funding Agency	German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)	
Data Collector	University of Mannheim, Chair of Political Science, Political Psychology	
Date of Collection	Short-term Campaign Panel	(06.10.2016 – 09.10.2017)
	Control Group I	(06.07.2017 – 17.07.2017)
Content	<p>In addition to the panellists of the Short-term Campaign Panel 2017 (ZA6804), three independent samples were drawn which are then interviewed with the same questionnaire and at the same time as the panel waves four, six, and eight. By comparing the panel and cross-section respondents, it is possible to analyse if and to what extent the repeated interview of the same respondent influences the measurement of political attitudes and political behaviour.</p> <p>Each control group contains questions that were raised in each panel wave, such as voting behaviour, media use or the evaluation of the election campaign. The control group to the fourth wave also includes questions on the political positions and the left-right ranking of the political parties as well as on the use of political information on the Internet.</p>	

Methodology

Geographic Coverage Germany (DE)

Universe	The target population of the Campaign Panel and the Control Group I comprises all German citizens who were eligible to vote in the 2017 election to the German Bundestag. Due to the decision to collect the data of the Campaign Panel online, the frame population is restricted to members of the nonprobability online panel of the Respondi AG and GapFish GmbH who were eligible to vote. The members of the access panels are mainly recruited online. A smaller proportion is recruited by telephone. For the first control group, only GapFish panellists were interviewed.
Selection Method	Quota sampling of the frame population of the online access panel by age, gender, and education
Mode of Data Collection	Online Panel Survey with a standardized questionnaire
Survey software	QuestBack, EFS Survey Version 10.7
Field work	On the first two days, 52.3% of the interviews were conducted. In order to meet predefined quotas, groups with a low probability to participate were contacted first (i.e. younger persons, people with lower educational status); the invitations for the other groups were sent out a few days later.
Response rate	GapFish has sent 9,597 survey invitations, resulting in 1,181 complete or partially complete interviews (response rate: 12.3%)
Incentive	Participants of the Control Group received €2.50 for the 25-minute survey
Weights	The post-stratification weights were calculated according to the marginal distributions of the (N)Onliner Atlas 2014 and the distributions of the Microcensus 2013. Only persons entitled to vote in private households situated in their main residence were included in the calculation of the target distribution of Microcensus 2013. It was attuned to the socio-demographic and the regional structural features: Gender, age, education, and the old West German states or the states of former East Germany (Berlin included). The weights were calculated using the IPF method (iterative proportional fitting).

Data access

Usage regulations	Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: access category A
Anonymized data	<p>According to German privacy, only anonymized data can be made accessible for public download. Thus, some variables had to be deleted from the publicly available dataset, or answers were summarized in larger categories.</p> <p>As a matter of course, no information is lost: All variables can be used by interested researchers in a Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). Some variables are also available by signing a user contract. If you are interested in those variables, please send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org. An overview of those variables can be found on our homepage (www.gesis.org/gles).</p>

Errata

The most recent errata list is provided by the GESIS Data Catalogue (www.gesis.org/dbk). There you can also find a list of all changes made between the different versions of the dataset.

You can find more information about GLES at www.gesis.org/gles and <http://www.gles.eu>.

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

Postfach 12 21 55

68072 Mannheim

E-mail: gles@gesis.org

Overview of the variables in the first Control Group to the Short-term Campaign Panel 2017

Variable	Label
kp4_screen1	German nationality
kpx_2280	Gender
kpx_2291	Month of birth
kpx_2290	Year of birth
kpx_2320	School leaving certificate
kpx_2601	Federal state
kp4_010	Interest in politics, in general
kp4_170	Intention to vote
kp4_190a,b	Vote intention: first/second vote
kp4_192a	Vote intention: first vote, other party
kp4_192b	Vote intention: second vote, other party
kp4_221a,c-g,i	Consideration Set
kp4_211 a,c-g,i	Consideration Set, hypothetical
kp4_380	Interest in the outcome of the election
kp4_730	Scalometer government
kp4_731a-c	Scalometer governing parties
kp4_840_c1-c5	Most important problem (1st – 5th coding)
kp4_840s	Most important problem
kp4_850	Ability to solve the most important problem
kp4_851	Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
kp4_860_c1-c5	Second most important problem (1st – 5th coding)
kp4_860s	Second most important problem
kp4_870	Ability to solve the second most important problem
kp4_871	Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
kp4_2880j,x,e	Ego positions
kp4_430a-f, i	Scalometer parties
kp4_3430p,m,r	Political knowledge: Matching politicians/parties
kp4_650a,z,c,s,w,y,p	Scalometer politicians
kp4_671	Preferred chancellor
kp4_662a,b	Ambivalence politicians, negative feelings
kp4_663a,b	Ambivalence politicians, positive feelings
kp4_2880w,a,y	Ego positions
kp4_1490a-f,i	Left-right assessment, parties
kp4_1500	Left-right self-assessment
kp4_390	Interest in, election campaign
kp4_421ka-ei,y	Contact with parties I
kp4_421ka-ei_c2,p1	Contact with parties I, follow-up questioninquiry
kp4_421ha-ii,z	Contact with parties II
kp4_421ha-ii_c2,p2	Contact with parties II, follow-up questioninquiry
kp4_3430q,c,l	Political knowledge: Matching politicians/parties
kp4_1070a-f,i	Socio-economic dimension, parties
kp4_1090	Socio-economic dimension, ego

kp4_1110a-f,i	Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, parties
kp4_1130	Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego
kp4_1210	Integration, ego
kp4_1270a-f,i	Climate change, parties
kp4_1290	Climate change, ego
kp4_1391a-f,i	Security and privacy, parties
kp4_1411	Security and privacy, ego
kp4_1100;	Socio-economic dimension, importance
kp4_1140	Libertarian- authoritarian dimension, importance
kp4_1220	Integration foreigners, importance
kp4_1300	Climate change, importance
kp4_1421	Security and privacy, importance
kp4_1600	Internet use, politically current
kp4_1615a,c-f,i,y	Use of social media, party-related
kp4_1610	Use of social media, politically current
kp4_1616a-c,y	Online participation, politically current
kp4_1616a-c_c2,p	Online participation, politically current, follow-up question
kp4_1681a-f	Use of TV, news, current
kp4_1681a-e_c2,p	Use of TV, news, current, follow-up question
kp4_1661a-h	Use of print media, politically current
kp4_1661a-g_c2,p	Use of print media, politically current, follow-up question
kp4_1701aa-dc	News magazines, current
kp4_1702	News magazines, SPIEGEL Online
kp4_1933	Conversations about politics, in general
kp4_1933_c2,p	Conversations about politics, follow-up question
kp4_1937a-c	Name generator
kp4_1941a-c	Discussion partner, relationship
kp4_1945a-c	Discussion partner, frequency
kp4_1945a-c_c2,p	Discussion partner, frequency, follow-up question
kp4_1971a-c	Discussion partner, vote intention
kp4_1972a-c	Discussion partner, vote intention, other party
kp4_2090	Party identification
kp4_2091	Party identification, other party
kp4_2100	Party identification, strength
kp4_2095	Multiple party identification
kp4_2096	Multiple party identification, other party
kp4_2101	Multiple party identification, strength
kpx_2441	Household size
kpx_2450	Number members of the household under 18 years
kpx_2301	Marital status
kpx_2311	Respondent has a partner
kpx_2312	Partner living in the same household
kpx_2330	Vocational and professional training
kpx_2340	Gainful employment
kpx_2350	Former gainful employment

kpx_2380	Profession
kpx_3610	Employee - differentiation
kpx_3620	Worker - differentiation
kpx_3630	Independent professional - differentiation
kpx_3640	Civil servant - differentiation
kpx_3650	Employment sector
kpx_3660	Sector of the economy
kpx_2390	Former profession
kpx_3710	Former profession, employee - differentiation
kpx_3720	Former profession, worker - differentiation
kpx_3730	Former profession, independent professional - differentiation
kpx_3740	Former profession, civil servant - differentiation
kpx_3750	Former profession, employment sector
kpx_3760	Former profession, sector of the economy
kpx_2590	Net household income, with categories
kpx_2580	Subjective perception of class
kpx_2481	Religious denomination
kpx_2491	Church attendance
kpx_3910	Religiousness
kpx_2600	Residence
kp4_2602	Postal code
kp4_4240	Rating of survey
kp4_4270s	Comment, open

Variable: kp4_screen1**Topic:** Screening**Item:** German nationality**Filter:****Presentation:**

must answer; Single response list (vertical); Plausibility check: If no answer was given, then the following text will be displayed: "Answering this question is important for the rest of the survey. Please state whether you have German citizenship."

Question:

Do you have German citizenship?

- yes

- no

- don't know

Transfer from filter: kp4_screen1= 2 or -98 >> survey finished.**Coding:**

(1) yes

(2) no

(-98) don't know

Variables: kpx_2280; kpx_2291; kpx_2290**Topic:** Sociodemography**Items:** Gender; Month of birth; Year of birth**Presentation:**

must answer; the following four questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions".

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question kpx_2280:

Please state your gender.

- male

- female

Coding:

(1) male

(2) female

Filter:**Presentation:**

Closed response list

Question kpx_2291:

In what month were you born?

- Please select

- January

- February

- March

- April

- May

- June

- July

- August

- September

- October

- November

- December

Coding:

(0) Please select

(1) January

(2) February

(3) March

(4) April

- (5) May
- (6) June
- (7) July
- (8) August
- (9) September
- (10) October
- (11) November
- (12) December

Filter:**Presentation:**

Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1900 and 2000 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits."

Question kpx_2290:

Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:

Use four digits, e.g., 1978.

Transfer from filter: Screen out people born later than May 1999.

Variable: kpx_2320

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: School leaving certificate

Filter:**Presentation:**

must answer; single response list (vertical); plausibility check: Please note that an answer to this question is mandatory for continuing the survey. If you have acquired your school leaving certificate outside of Germany, please state the respective German certificate.

Question:

What's your highest level of general education?

- Finished school without school leaving certificate
- Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss, or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")
- Still at school

Coding:

- (1) Finished school without school leaving certificate
- (2) Lowest formal qualification of Germany's tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")
- (3) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")
- (4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")
- (5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")

- (9) Still at school

Variable: kpx_2601

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Federal state

Filter:**Presentation:**

must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."

Question:

And in which federal state do you live?

Instructions:

If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

Coding:

- (8) Baden-Württemberg
- (9) Bavaria
- (11) Berlin
- (12) Brandenburg
- (4) Bremen
- (2) Hamburg
- (6) Hesse
- (13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- (3) Lower Saxony
- (5) North Rhine-Westphalia
- (7) Rhineland-Palatinate
- (10) Saarland
- (14) Saxony
- (15) Saxony-Anhalt
- (1) Schleswig-Holstein
- (16) Thuringia

Variable: kp4_010

Topic: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Interest in politics, in general

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_170

Topic: Voting decision **Item:** Intention to vote

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:

How likely is it that you will vote in the federal election on 24 September 2017?

Are you...

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:

- (1) certain to vote
- (2) likely to vote
- (3) might vote
- (4) not likely to vote
- (5) certain not to vote

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_190a,b

Topic: Vote decision

Item: Vote intention: first/second vote

Filter: kp4_170=1-3

Presentation:

Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote

Intro:

You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

Question:

How will you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- Other party

- Don't know yet

(B) Second vote

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- Andere Partei

- Other party

Coding:

First vote:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) Other party

(-98) don't know

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Second vote:

(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRUENE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) Other party

(-98) don't know

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_192a,b were recoded into the variables kp4_190aa/kp4_190ab and kp4_190ba/kp4_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation)]

Variable: kp4_192a

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Vote intention: first vote, other party

Filter: kp4_190a=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which candidate will you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

(120) BIG
(351) BUENDNIS C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) oedp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_190a were recoded into the variables kp4_190aa and kp4_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_192b	Item: Vote intention: second vote, other party
---------------------------	---

Topic: Voting decision

Filter: kp4_190b=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party will you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) BUENDNIS C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_190b were recoded into the variables kp4_190ba and kp4_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_221a,c-g,i	Item: Consideration Set
---------------------------------	--------------------------------

Topic: Voting decision

Filter: (kp4_190b=1-322) | (kp4_192b=120-351) >> Receive intro and question

kp4_190b=801 & (kp4_192b= -99 | kp4_192b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question

Presentation:

Standard matrix, Column labels as coding labels

The party that was chosen for the second vote in kp4_190b must not appear in the answering options.

Intro:

You said that you want to cast your second vote for (insert party).

Question:

Are there any other parties you would consider for your second vote?

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
- (I) AfD
- (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) would consider

- (2) would rather consider
 (3) would rather not consider
 (4) would not consider

 (-97) not applicable [either because the respondent was previously filtered out or he/she already selected the party under second vote]

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_211a,c-g,i

Topic: Voting decision

Item: Consideration Set, hypothetical

Filter: kp4_170=4-5,-99 >> Question 1
 (kp4_190b= -98 | -99) >> Question 2

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Question 1:

Let's assume you would take part in the election. Which party would you consider for your second vote?

Question 2:

Which parties would you consider for your second vote?

- (A) CDU/CSU
 (C) SPD
 (D) FDP
 (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
 (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
 (I) AfD
 (G) other party

Coding:

- (1) would consider
 (2) would probably consider
 (3) would probably not consider
 (4) would not consider

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_380

Topic: Involvement in election campaign

Item: Interest in the outcome of the election

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How important is the outcome of the upcoming federal election to you personally?

- very important
 - somewhat important
 - in between
 - not very important
 - not important at all

Coding:

- (1) very important
 (2) somewhat important
 (3) in between
 (4) not very important
 (5) not important at all

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_730

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer government

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and SPD?

- 5 not at all satisfied
- 4
- 3
- 2
- 1
- 0
- +1
- +2
- +3
- +4
- +5 completely satisfied

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_731a-c

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer governing parties

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:

And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD

Coding:

- (1) -5 not at all satisfied
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 completely satisfied

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_840s

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Most important problem

Filter:**Presentation:**

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Question:

In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention only one problem.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern "Agendafragen"]

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_850

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem

Filter: kp4_840s!=-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

- (809) all parties equally good
- (808) no party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_851 were recoded into the variables kp4_850a and kp4_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_851

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the most important problem, other party

Filter: kp4_850=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler

- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) BUENDNIS C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_850 were recoded into the variables kp4_850a and kp4_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_860s

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Second most important problem
--

Filter: kp4_840s != -99

Presentation:

Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

Question:

In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:

Please mention only one problem.

Coding:

[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern "Agendafragen"]

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_870

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem

Filter: kp4_860s != -97 & kp4_860s != -99
--

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke

- AfD
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

- (809) all parties equally good
- (808) no party

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_871 were recoded into the variables kp4_870a and kp4_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_871

Topic: Valence issues

Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
--

Filter: kp4_870=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) BUENDNIS C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

-
- (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_870 were recoded into the variables kp4_870a and kp4_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_2880j,x,e

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

How about you? Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.

(X) Germany needs an annual upper limit ("Obergrenze") for refugees.

(E) Citizens should be able to induce a binding referendum at federal level.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_430a-f, i

Topic: Party ratings in general

Item: Scalometer parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven't heard of" settled out a bit

Question:

What do you think of the different parties in general?

Instructions:

Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

(A) CDU

(B) CSU

(C) SPD

(D) FDP

(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]

(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]

(I) AfD

Coding:

(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all

(2) -4

(3) -3

(4) -2

(5) -1

(6) 0

(7) +1

(8) +2

(9) +3

(10) +4

(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven't heard of

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_3430p,m,r

Topic: Political Knowledge

Item: Political knowledge: Matching politicians/parties

Filter:

Presentation:

User-defined matrix; in the rows, the pictures of the different politicians should be shown for answering the question. The names of the parties are in the columns; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Which party do the following politicians belong to?

- (P) Picture of Christian Lindner
- (M) Picture of Sahra Wagenknecht
- (R) Picture of Martin Schulz

Coding:

- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD

(-98) don't know

 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: The correct answers (P5, M7, R4) have been marked with an asterisk. (*).]

Variable: kp4_650a,z,c,s,w,y,p

Topic: Candidates

Item: Scalometer politicians

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints -5 "I do not think much of the politician at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the politician"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

And what do you think of the following politicians?

- (A) Angela Merkel
- (Z) Martin Schulz
- (C) Horst Seehofer
- (S) Christian Lindner
- (W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
- (Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
- (P) Frauke Petry

Coding:

- (1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
- (2) -4
- (3) -3
- (4) -2
- (5) -1
- (6) 0
- (7) +1
- (8) +2
- (9) +3
- (10) +4
- (11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(-71) haven't heard of

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_671

Topic: Candidates

Item: Preferred chancellor

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz are the candidates for chancellor of the two major parties.

Question:

Who would you prefer to see as chancellor after the federal election?

- Angela Merkel
- Martin Schulz

- none of them

Coding:

- (1) Angela Merkel
- (2) Martin Schulz

- (3) none of them

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_662a,b

Topic: Candidates

Item: Ambivalence politicians, negative feelings

Filter: kp4_650a ≠ -71; kp4_650z ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective politician or who did not answer this question)

Presentation:

Standard matrix; italicize "negative"

Question:

Some people have only positive or negative feelings towards certain politicians. Others have both positive and negative feelings. How about you?

How strong are your negative feelings towards...?

- (A) Angela Merkel
- (B) Martin Schulz

Coding:

- (1) no negative feelings at all
- (2) not very strong
- (3) moderate
- (4) strong
- (5) very strong

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_663a,b

Topic: Candidates

Item: Ambivalence politicians, positive feelings

Filter: kp4_650a ≠ -71; kp4_650z ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective politician or who did not answer this question)

Presentation:

Standard matrix; italicize "positive"

Question:

And how strong are your positive feelings towards...?

- (A) Angela Merkel
- (B) Martin Schulz

Coding:

- (1) no positive feelings at all
- (2) not very strong
- (3) moderate
- (4) strong
- (5) very strong

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_2880w,a,y

Topic: Political positions

Item: Ego positions

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix

Intro:

Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:

How about you? Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(W) From 2030 onwards, no new cars with petrol or diesel engines should be registered in Germany.

(A) Homosexual civil partnerships should also have the right to adopt children as well.

(Y) Germany's defence expenditure should be increased over the next few years.

Coding:

(1) strongly disagree

(2) disagree

(3) neither agree nor disagree

(4) agree

(5) strongly agree

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1490a-f,i

Topic: Political positions

Item: Left-right assessment, parties

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix with numerical label; additionally, label of the endpoints "1 left" and "11 right"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Intro:

In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:

Where would you place the following parties on this scale?

(A) CDU

(B) CSU

(C) SPD

(D) FDP

(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]

(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]

(I) AfD

Coding:

(1) 1 left

(2) 2

(3) 3

(4) 4

(5) 5

(6) 6

(7) 7

(8) 8

(9) 9

(10) 10

(11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1500

Topic: Political positions

Item: Left-right self-assessment

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix with numerical label from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"

Question:

And where would you place yourself?

- 1 left

- 2

- 3

- 4

- 5

- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don't know

Coding:

- (1) 1 left
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7
- (8) 8
- (9) 9
- (10) 10
- (11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_390

Topic: Involvement in election campaign **Item:** Interest in election campaign

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How interested are you in particular in this federal election campaign?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not at all interested

Coding:

- (1) very interested
- (2) somewhat interested
- (3) in between
- (4) not very interested
- (5) not at all interested

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_421ka-ei,y

Topic: Involvement in election campaign **Item:** Contact with parties I

Filter:

Presentation:

Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata. Kp4_421[Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox "None of the above applies."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox "None of the above applies."); italicize "past week"/" week before the election"

Intro:

During the election campaign, there are different ways of acquiring information about politics in Germany.

Question:

From which parties did you receive information during the past week?

Instruction:

Please checkmark all the parties to which the following statements apply.

I...

(K) visited websites of a party or a candidate

- (G) saw campaign posters
- (A) attended election meetings or rallies
- (D) saw party political broadcasts on TV
- (E) listened to party political broadcasts on the radio

(Y) none of the above applies

Coding parties:

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (F) Die Linke
- (I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

Variable: kp4_421ka-ei_c2, p1

Topic: Involvement in Election Campaign **Item:** Contact with parties I, follow-up question

Filter: kp4_421aa-ai=0 & kp4_421da-di=0 & kp4_421ea-ei=0 & kp4_421ga-gi=0 & kp4_421ka-ki=0 & kp4_421y=0

Presentation:

must answer; text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note, that an answers is necessary for continuing the survey."; Above the checkbox matrix, there are two radio buttons with the answer options "None of the above applies" and "I didn't want to answer this question."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and one of the radio buttons.); text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your answers."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that none of the above applies or did you not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to answer the question, you can checkmark the statements that apply.

- None of the above applies.
- I didn't want to answer this question.

I...

- (K) visited websites of a party or a candidate
- (G) saw campaign posters
- (A) attended election meetings or rallies
- (D) saw party political broadcasts on TV
- (E) listened to party political broadcasts on the radio

Coding parties:

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as „GRUENE“]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as „DIE LINKE“]
- (I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

Coding kp4_421p1:

- (1) none of the above applies
- (2) didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp4_421aa-ki, y. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp4_421flag1.]

Variable: kp4_421ha-ii,z

Topic: Involvement in election campaign **Item:** Contact with parties II

Filter:

Presentation:

Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata. Kp4_421 [Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox "None of the above applies."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox "None of the above applies."); Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible. Please check your answers"; italicize "past week"/"week before the election"

Question:

And which of the following information did you receive during the past week?

Instructions:

Please tick the boxes of all the parties to which the following statements apply.

I...

(H) had conversations at an election campaign booth

(B) received campaign flyers, e-mails, text messages

(L) donated money for a candidate or a party

(I) received information material via a social network like, for example, Facebook or others.

(Z) none of the above applies

Coding parties:

(A) CDU/CSU

(C) SPD

(D) FDP

(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen

(F) Die Linke

(I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

(0) not mentioned

(1) mentioned

Variable: kp4_421ha-ii_c2,p2

Topic: Involvement in election campaign **Item:** Contact with parties II, follow-up question

Filter: kp4_421ba-bi=0 & kp4_421la-li=0 & kp4_421ha-hi=0 & kp4_421ia-ii=0 & kp4_421z=0

Presentation:

must answer; text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note, that an answers is necessary for continuing the survey."; Above the checkbox matrix, there are two radio buttons with the answer options "None of the above applies" and "I didn't want to answer this question."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and one of the radio buttons.); text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your answers."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that none of the above applies or did you not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to answer the question, you can checkmark the statements that apply.

- None of the above applies.

- I didn't want to answer this question.

I...

(H) had conversations at an election campaign booth

(B) received campaign flyers, e-mails, text messages

(L) donated money for a candidate or a party

(I) received information material via a social network like, for example, Facebook or others.

Coding parties:

(A) CDU/CSU

(C) SPD

(D) FDP

- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (F) Die Linke
- (I) AfD

Coding checkboxes:

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

Coding kp4_421p2:

- (1) None of the above applies
- (2) Didn't want to answer this question
- (3) Not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp4_421ha-ii, z. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp4_421flag2.]

Variable: kp4_3430q,c,l

Topic: Political Knowledge

Item: Political knowledge: Matching politicians/parties

Filter:**Presentation:**

User-defined matrix; in the rows, the pictures of the different politicians should be shown for answering the question. The names of the parties are in the columns; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Which party do the following politicians belong to?

- (Q) Picture of Frauke Petry
- (C) Picture of Horst Seehofer
- (L) Picture of Katrin Göring-Eckardt

Coding:

- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- (7) Die Linke
- (322) AfD

(-98) don't know

 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: The correct answers (Q322, C3, L6, P5, M7, R4) have been marked with an asterisk. (*).]

Variable: kp4_1070a-f,i

Topic: Political positions

Item: Socio-economic dimension, parties

Filter:**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]

(I) AfD

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-98) don't know

(-99) no answer**Variable:** kp4_1090**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** Socio-economic dimension, ego**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
-
-
-
-
-
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:

- (1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-99) no answer**Variable:** kp4_1110a-f,i**Topic:** Political positions**Item:** Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, parties**Filter:****Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier" and "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-98) don't know

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1130

Topic: Political positions

Item: Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

Question:

Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your personal view on immigration of foreigners?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
-
- Zuzug von Ausländern einschränken

Coding:

- (1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1210

Topic: Political positions

Item: Integration, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture"

Question:

There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
-
-
-
-
- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:

- (1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
- (2)
- (3)

- (4)
 (5)
 (6)
 (7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1270a-f,i

Topic: Political positions

Item: Climate change, parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; only labels at the endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit.

Question:

Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU
 (B) CSU
 (C) SPD
 (D) FDP
 (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
 (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
 (I) AfD

Coding:

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
 (2) 2
 (3) 3
 (4) 4
 (5) 5
 (6) 6
 (7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-98) don't know

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1290

Topic: Political positions

Item: Climate change, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question:

What is your personal view on climate change and economic growth?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
 -
 -
 -
 -
 - economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:

- (1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
 (2) 2
 (3) 3
 (4) 4
 (5) 5
 (6) 6

(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1391a-f,i

Topic: Political positions

Item: Security and privacy, parties

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "in favour of strong state interference", "against strong state interference"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Question:

Some people think that the state should interfere without restrictions with the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens in order to combat terrorism. Others think that the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens should always be protected even if it hampers the fight against terrorism.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

- (A) CDU
- (B) CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD

Coding:

- (1) 1 in favour of strong state interference
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 against strong state interference

(-98) don't know

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1411

Topic: Political positions

Item: Security and privacy, ego

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "in favour of strong state interference", "against strong state interference"

Question:

And what is your personal view on state interference in order to combat terrorism?

- in favour of strong state interference
-
-
-
-
-
- against strong state interference

Coding:

- (1) 1 in favour of strong state interference
- (2) 2
- (3) 3
- (4) 4
- (5) 5
- (6) 6
- (7) 7 against strong state interference

(-99) no answer

Variables: kp4_1100; kp4_1140; kp4_1220; kp4_1300; kp4_1421

Topic: Political positions

Item: Socio-economic dimension, importance;
Libertarian- authoritarian dimension, importance;
Integration foreigners, importance;
Climate change, importance;
Security and privacy, importance

Filter:

Presentation:

Standard matrix; label from left "not important at all" to right "very important"

Question:

And how important are these issues to you personally?

(1100) social services and taxes

(1140) immigration of foreigners

(1220) integration of foreigners

(1300) economic growth and fight against climate change

(1421) state interference in order to combat terrorism

Coding:

(1) very important

(2) somewhat important

(3) in between

(4) not very important

(5) not important at all

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1600

Topic: Media use

Item: Internet use, politically current

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

Question:

On how many days during the past week did you use the Internet to inform yourself about politics?

- not at all

- on one day

- on 2 days

- on 3 days

- on 4 days

- on 5 days

- on 6 days

- on 7 days

Coding:

(1) 0 days

(2) 1 day

(3) 2 days

(4) 3 days

(5) 4 days

(6) 5 days

(7) 6 days

(8) 7 days

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1615a,c-f,i,y

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of social media, party-related

Filter:

Presentation:

Must answer = at least one checkbox must be ticked, checkbox matrix; rows = parties, columns = follow/not follow. Please program kp4_1615y above the matrix and program in such a way that no inconsistent answers are possible. However, a person is allowed to choose "I don't use any social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram" and to click "no" for every party. Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible; please check your response." Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."

Question:

Do you follow parties or certain politicians on social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram?

(Y) I don't use any social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram.

- (A) CDU/CSU
- (C) SPD
- (D) FDP
- (E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
- (F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
- (I) AfD

- yes, I follow the party
- yes, I follow a politician from this party
- no

Coding kp4_1615a-f,i:

- (1) yes, I follow the party
- (2) yes, I follow a politician from this party
- (3) yes, I follow the party and a politician from that party
- (4) no, I don't follow [party/politician]
- (5) no, I don't use any social networks

Coding kp4_1615y:

- (0) not mentioned
- (1) mentioned

[NOTE: The answers for each party were summarised in one variable with the values under "Coding kpX_1615a, c-f,i."]

Variable: kp4_1610

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of social media, politically current

Filter: kp4_1615y==1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

Question:

On how many days during the past week did you see or read posts about politics on social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1616a-c,y

Topic: Media use

Item: Online participation, politically current

Filter: kp4_1615y==1

Presentation: Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Below the sliders: check box with label "I didn't comment on, share, or write any political content." Italicize "past week"; Please program, so that no

inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Question:

On how many days during the *past week* did you comment on, share, or write political content on a social network such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram?

- (A) liked or commented on other users' political posts
- (B) forwarded or shared other users' political posts
- (C) wrote and posted political content on my own

(Y) I didn't comment on, share or write any political content.

Coding kp4_1616a-c:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-99) no answer

Coding kp4_1616y:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1616a-c_c2.p

Topic: Media use

Item: Online participation, politically current, follow-up question

Filter: kp4_1616a=1 & kp4_1616b=1 & kp4_1616c=1 & kp4_1616y=2

Presentation:

must answer: Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days".

Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't comment on, share, or write any political content." and "I didn't want to answer this question"; Italicize "past week"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible. Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you did not comment on, share, or write any political content on a social network such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram in the *past week* or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders.

- I didn't comment on, share, or write any political content.
- I didn't want to answer this question.

- (A) liked or commented on other users' political posts
- (B) forwarded or shared other users' political posts
- (C) wrote and posted political content on my own

Coding kp4_1616a-c_c2:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Coding Plausicheck kp4_1616p:

- (1) I didn't comment on, share, or write any political content
 (2) I didn't want to answer this question

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp4_1616a-c. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp4_16161flag.]

Variable: kp4_1681a-f

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of TV, news, current

Filter:**Presentation:**

Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Below the sliders: check box with label "I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read political reports on their websites."; Italicize "past week"/"week before the federal election". Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Question:

On how many days during the *past week* did you watch one of the following news programmes or read political reports on their websites?

Instructions:

It is irrelevant whether you watched the news programmes on television or online or read political reports on the news programme's website.

- (A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
 (B) Heute/Heute Journal (ZDF)
 (C) RTL Aktuell
 (D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
 (E) news programme of other channel (e.g. n-tv, Pro7)

(F) I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read any political reports on their websites.

Coding kp4_1681a-e:

- (1) 0 days
 (2) 1 day
 (3) 2 days
 (4) 3 days
 (5) 4 days
 (6) 5 days
 (7) 6 days
 (8) 7 days

 (-99) no answer

Coding kp4_1681f:

- (1) mentioned
 (2) not mentioned

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1681a-e_c2,p

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of TV, news, current, follow-up question

Filter: kp4_1681a=1 & kp4_1681b=1 & kp4_1681c=1 & kp4_1681d=1 & kp4_1681e=1 & kp4_1681f =2

Presentation:

must answer: Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days". Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read political reports on their websites" and "I didn't want to answer this question"; Italicize "past week"/"the week before the federal election". Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and

changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you did not watch any news programmes in the *past week* or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders. Please move the slider even if you only read political reports on the websites of the news programmes.

- I didn't watch any news programmes on TV or read any political reports on their websites.
- I didn't want to answer this question.

- (A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
- (B) Heute/Heute Journal (ZDF)
- (C) RTL Aktuell
- (D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
- (E) news programme of other channel (e.g., n-tv, Pro7)

Coding kp4_1681a-e_c2:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Coding plausibility check variable kp4_1681p:

- (1) didn't watch any news programmes on TV/online
- (2) didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp4_1681a-f. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp4_1681flag.]

Variable: kp4_1661a-h

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of print media, politically current
--

Filter:**Presentation:**

Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Below the sliders: check box with label "I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers."; Italicize "past week"/"week before the federal election"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g., ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Question:

On how many days during the *past week* did you read political reports in the following newspapers or on their websites?

Instructions:

It is irrelevant whether you read the print or the online edition of the newspaper or read political reports on the newspaper's website.

- (A) Bild-Zeitung
- (B) Frankfurter Rundschau
- (C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung
- (D) Süddeutsche Zeitung
- (E) Die tageszeitung (taz)
- (F) Die Welt

(G) other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt,...)

(H) I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers.

Coding kp4_1661a-g:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-99) no answer

Coding kp4_1661h:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1661a-g_c2,p

Topic: Media use

Item: Use of print media, politically current, follow-up question

Filter: kp4_1661a=1 & kp4_1661b=1 & kp4_1661c=1 & kp4_1661d=1 & kp4_1661e=1 & kp4_1661f=1 & kp4_1661g=1 & kp4_1661h=2

Presentation:

must answer; Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."; Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers." and "I didn't want to answer this question"

Italicize "past week"/"week before the federal election"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g., ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you did not read any articles in the newspapers on politics in the *past week* or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders. Please move the slider even if you only read political reports on the websites of the newspapers.

- I didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers
- I didn't want to answer this question

- (A) Bild-Zeitung
- (B) Frankfurter Rundschau
- (C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung
- (D) Süddeutsche Zeitung
- (E) Die tageszeitung (taz)
- (F) Die Welt
- (G) other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt,...)

Coding kp4_1661a-g_c2:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Coding plausibility check variable kp4_1661p:

- (1) didn't read any articles on politics in the newspapers
- (2) didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp4_1661a-h. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp4_1661flag.]

Variable: kp4_1701aa-dc

Topic: Media use

Item: News magazines, current

Filter:**Presentation:**

Checkbox matrix; italicize "past week"; Rows: News magazines and weekly newspapers; Columns: Response options: (A) "website", (B) "print edition/online edition", (C) "didn't read".

Please program so that respondents cannot give any inconsistent answers, i.e. respondents cannot tick the boxes "website" and "didn't read" at the same time.

Question:

Did you read any political articles in the following news magazines or weekly newspapers in the *past week*?

Instructions:

If you read both the magazine/weekly newspaper (printed or online edition) and political reports on its website, please click both.

- (A) Der Spiegel
- (B) Focus
- (C) Die Zeit
- (D) Stern

- a) website
- b) print edition/online edition
- c) didn't read

Coding:

- (1) mentioned
- (2) not mentioned

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1702

Topic: Media use

Item: News magazines, SPIEGEL Online

Filter: kp4_1701aa=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); scale starts at 1 day because we already know that the respondent read Spiegel

Question:

On how many days during the past week did you read political reports on the website of Der Spiegel (SPIEGEL ONLINE)?

- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

Coding:

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1933**Topic:** Networks**Item:** Conversations about politics, in general**Filter:****Presentation:**

Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election")

Question:

On how many days during the *past week* did you talk with other people about politics?

Instructions:

Please move the slider.

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1933_c2,p**Topic:** Networks**Item:** Conversations about politics, follow-up question**Filter:** kp4_1933=1**Presentation:**

must answer: Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't talk about politics" and "I didn't want to answer this question"; Italicize "usually"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g., ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you didn't talk about politics in the *past week* or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders.

- I didn't talk about politics
- I didn't want to answer this question

Coding:

- (1) 0 days
- (2) 1 day
- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Coding plausibility check variable kp4_1933p:

- (1) I didn't talk about politics
- (2) I didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp4_1933. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp4_1933flag.]

Variable: kp4_1937a-c

Topic: Networks

Item: Name generator

Filter: kp4_1933=2-8 | kp4_1933_c2=2-8

Presentation:

Three rows with two text fields each (bordered in blue and green, see published screenshots); plausibility check: allow only letters (also with umlauts), no numbers or special characters. Instruction text: "Please enter letters only." Program in such a way that only one letter can be entered in a box. Show no instruction text if no box is filled; treat as -99 = "no answer" (i.e. not a "must answer!"). Instruction text if either only the blue or only the green box is filled in a row: "Please enter both the first letter of the first name and the first letter of the last name." Show this instruction text only once; even if respondent still refuses to give a complete answer, forward to kp4_1941a-c and display incomplete initials. Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election").

Intro:

We would now like to ask you a few questions about the people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the *past week*. These questions will be easier to answer if you think of specific people.

Question:

Please enter the initials of up to three people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the *past week*.

Instructions:

Enter the first letter of the first name of your discussion partner in the blue box and the first letter of the last name in the green box.

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: As additional information, the dataset contains the variable kp4_137 ("number of discussion partners"), which summarizes these answers.]

Variable: kp4_1941a-c

Topic: Networks

Item: Discussion partner, relationship

Filter: kp4_1937a!= -99 | kp4_1937b!= -99 | kp4_1937c!= -99

Presentation:

Matrix, columns = initials of the discussion partner (see published screenshots); radio buttons; show as many columns as discussion partners named in kp4_1937

Question if only one discussion partner:

And what is your relationship to your discussion partner?

Question if several discussion partners:

And what is your relationship to your discussion partners?

Instructions:

Please click on the option that best describes your relationship.

- spouse/partner
- friend
- relative
- colleague
- acquaintance/neighbour
- other contact

Coding:

- (1) spouse/partner
- (2) friend
- (3) relative
- (4) colleague
- (5) acquaintance/neighbour
- (6) other contact

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1945a-c**Topic:** Networks**Item:** Discussion partner, frequency**Filter:** If more than one discussion partner, kp4_1937a!=-99 | kp4_1937b!=-99 | kp4_1937c!=-99**Presentation:**

Display social relationship and initials of the discussion partners in rows (see published screenshots); horizontal sliders from 1 to 7 days; default set to 1 day (respondents have already indicated that they spoke to these people in the past week (in the week before the federal election), so at least one day)

Question:

On how many days during the *past week* did you talk about politics with your individual discussion partners?

Instructions:

Please move the slider.

[Social relationship]	
[Initials person 1]	
[Social relationship]	
[Initials person 2]	
[Social relationship]	
[Initials person 3]	

Coding:

kpX_1945a – First discussion partner

kpX_1945b – Second discussion partner

kpX_1945c – Third discussion partner

(2) 1 day

(3) 2 days

(4) 3 days

(5) 4 days

(6) 5 days

(7) 6 days

(8) 7 days

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_1945a-c_c2.p**Topic:** Networks**Item:** Discussion partner, frequency, follow-up question**Filter:** kp4_1945a=1 & kp4_1945b=1 & kp4_1945c==1 (Filter = if the slider was moved in kp4_1945a-c; number of sliders depends on the number of people named in kp4_1937a-c)**Presentation:**

must answer; radio box at the top, display in rows below it the social relationship and initials of the discussion partners (see published screenshots); horizontal sliders from 1 to 7 days; default set to 1 day (respondents have already indicated that they spoke to these people in the past week (in the week before the federal election), so at least one day). Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I talked to these people on one day in each case" and "I didn't want to answer this question." Please program in such a way so that no inconsistent answers are possible, i.e. slider position indicates conversation with person(s) but check box "I didn't want to answer this question." was ticked. Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Intro:

You did not answer the last question.

Question:

Does this mean that you talked with each of these people on one day in the *past week* or that you did not want to answer the question?

Instructions:

If you want to respond to the question now, you can also move the positions of the sliders.

- I talked to these people on one day in each case

- I didn't want to answer this question.

Coding:

kp4_1945a_c2 – First discussion partner

kp4_1945b_c2 – Second discussion partner

kp4_1945c_c2 – Third discussion partner

(2) 1 day

- (3) 2 days
- (4) 3 days
- (5) 4 days
- (6) 5 days
- (7) 6 days
- (8) 7 days

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Coding plausibility check variable kp4_1945p:

- (1) I talked to these people on one day in each case
- (2) didn't want to answer this question
- (3) not mentioned

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kp4_1945a-c. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kp4_1945flag.]

Variable: kp4_1971a-c

Topic: Networks

Item: Discussion partner, vote intention

Filter: wenn kp4_1937a,b,c != "-97" & kp4_1937a,b,c= "-99"

Presentation:

Matrix, rows=parties, columns = display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners named (see published screenshots)

Question if only one discussion partner:

Which party do you think your discussion partner would vote for if there was a federal election next Sunday?

Question if several discussion partners:

Which party do you think your discussion partners would vote for if there was a federal election next Sunday?

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party
- wouldn't vote

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(-85) wouldn't vote
 (-98) don't know

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_1972a-c were recoded into the variables kp4_1971aa/ kp4_1971ba/ kp4_1971ca and kp4_1971ab/ kp4_1971bb/ kp4_1971cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]

Variable: kp4_1972a-c

Topic: Networks

Item: Discussion partner, vote intention, other party

Filter: kp4_1891?==801

Presentation: Matrix, rows = parties, columns = display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners with the intention to vote for an "other party" named in kpX_1971a-c (see published screenshots).

Intro if several discussion partners intended to vote for an "other party":

You have just indicated that your discussion partners would vote for an "other party".

Intro if only one discussion partner intended to vote for an "other party":

You have just indicated that your discussion partner would vote for an "other party".

Question:

Which party is that?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) BUENDNIS C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_1971a-c were recoded into the variables kp4_1971aa/ kp4_1971ba/ kp4_1971ca and kp4_1971ab/ kp4_1971bb/ kp4_1971cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]

Variable: kp4_2090

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Party identification

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

Question:

How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party
- no party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(808) no party

 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_2091 were recoded into the variables kp4_2090a and kp4_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_2091

Topic: Predispositions

Item: Party identification, other party

Filter: kp4_2090=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) BUENDNIS C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_2090 were recoded into the variables kpX_2090a and kpX_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_2100**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Party identification, strength**Filter:** kp4_2090>0 & <=801**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_2095**Topic:** Predispositions**Item:** Multiple party identification**Filter:** kp4_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp4_2090 anymore. If kp4_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kp4_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 at kp4_2150 anymore.**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party

- no other party

Coding:

- (1) CDU/CSU
- (2) CDU
- (3) CSU
- (4) SPD
- (5) FDP
- (6) GRUENE
- (7) DIE LINKE
- (322) AfD
- (801) other party

(808) no other party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_2096 were recoded into the variables kp4_2095a and kp4_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_2096	Item: Multiple party identification, other party
---------------------------	---

Topic: Predispositions

Filter: kp4_2095=801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BIG
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE
- Freie Wähler
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD
- ödp
- Piratenpartei
- pro Deutschland
- DIE REPUBLIKANER
- Tierschutzpartei
- other party

Coding:

- (120) BIG
- (351) BUENDNIS C
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WAEHLER
- (331) LKR
- (206) NPD
- (209) oedp
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp4_2095 were recoded into the variables kp4_2095a and kp4_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp4_2101	Item: Multiple party identification, strength
---------------------------	--

Topic: Predispositions

Filter: kp4_2095=1-801

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:

- (1) very strongly
- (2) fairly strongly
- (3) moderately
- (4) fairly weakly
- (5) very weakly

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2441

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Household size

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Finally, some questions concerning you personally.

Question:

How many people live in your household permanently, including yourself and all children?

- one person
- two people
- three people
- four people
- five people
- six people
- seven people
- eight people and more

Coding:

- (1) one person
- (2) two people
- (3) three people
- (4) four people
- (5) five people
- (6) six people
- (7) seven people
- (8) eight people and more

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2450

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Number members of the household under 18 years

Filter: kpx_2441!=1 & kpx_2441!=-99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); Answer options are dependent on the question before. If n household members, the answer categories from "nobody" to "(n-1) people" will be shown.

Question:

How many people living in your household are younger than 18 years?

- nobody
- 1 person
- 2 people
- 3 people
- 4 people
- 5 people
- 6 people
- 7 people and more

Coding:

- (1) nobody
- (2) 1 person
- (3) 2 people
- (4) 3 people
- (5) 4 people
- (6) 5 people
- (7) 6 people
- (8) 7 people and more

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2301	Item: Marital status
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What is your marital status?

- married and living in the same household as husband or wife
- same-sex union
- have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household
- never married
- divorced
- widowed

Coding:

- (1) married and living in the same household as husband or wife
- (2) same-sex union
- (3) have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household
- (4) never married
- (5) divorced
- (6) widowed

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2311	Item: Respondent has a partner
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2301=3-6 | -99**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Do you have a partner?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2312	Item: Partner living in the same household
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2311=1 | kpx_2301=2**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Do you live with your partner together in one household?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2330	Item: Vocational and professional training
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2320=1-5 | -99**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of vocational training did you complete?

- on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
- compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
- completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
- completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
- work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
- specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
- technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
- master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
- polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
- university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")

- other vocational training certificate
- still training/studying
- no completed vocational training

Coding:

- (1) on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
- (2) compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
- (3) completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
- (4) completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
- (5) work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
- (6) specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
- (7) technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
- (8) master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
- (9) polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
- (10) university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")
- (12) other vocational training certificate
- (13) still training/studying
- (14) no completed vocational training

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2340

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Gainful employment

Filter: kpx_2320!=9

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Let's move on to your gainful employment and profession.

Question:

Which of the categories from this list applies to you?

- in full-time employment (more than 30 hours/week)
- in part-time employment (up to 30 hours/week)
- in a traineeship or apprenticeship
- high school student
- college student
- currently on a retraining course
- currently unemployed
- currently on short-time work
- alternative community service
- in early retirement, retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)
- on maternity leave, parental leave
- not in full or part-time employment (Housewife/househusband)

Coding:

- (1) in full-time employment
- (2) in part-time employment

- (3) in a traineeship or apprenticeship
- (4) high school student
- (5) college student
- (6) retraining course
- (7) unemployed
- (8) short-time work
- (9) alternative community service
- (10) in early retirement, retirement, on a pension
- (11) maternal/parental leave
- (12) no employment (housewife/househusband)

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2350	Item: Former gainful employment
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2340=3-7 | 9-12 | -99

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Have you worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?

- yes
- no

Coding:

- (1) yes
- (2) no

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2380	Item: Profession
Topic: Sociodemography	

Filter: kpx_2340=1-3, 8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

Let's move on to your profession.

Question:

Which of the categories from this list applies best to you?

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g., doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g., doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges/professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker
- (7) in vocational training
- (8) family member assisting in family business

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3610**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Employee - differentiation**Filter:** kpx_2380=5**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which one of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- foreman and head workman in employment
- employee with simple duties (e.g., salesperson, clerk, typist)
- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g., senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g., researcher, manager, head of department)
- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g., head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

Coding:

- (1) foreman
- (2) simple duties
- (3) complex tasks under loose supervision
- (4) tasks with responsibility
- (5) wide managerial responsibilities

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3620**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Worker - differentiation**Filter:** kpx_2380=6**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- unskilled and semiskilled worker
- skilled worker and crafter
- foreman, master, site foreman

Coding:

- (1) unskilled and semiskilled worker
- (2) skilled worker and crafter
- (3) foreman, master, site foreman

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3630**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Independent professional - differentiation**Filter:** kpx_2380=2 | 3**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How many employees does your business have?

- no employees
- 1-9 employees
- 10 employees and more

Coding:

- (1) no employees
- (2) 1-9 employees
- (3) 10 employees and more

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3640

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Civil servant - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2380=4

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In what service class do you work?

- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistant" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")
- higher grade of the civil service, judges ("Regierungsrat" and higher)

Coding:

- (1) lower grade
- (2) middle grade
- (3) upper grade
- (4) higher grade of civil service, judge

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3650

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Employment sector

Filter: kpx_2380 =5-7

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which type of company do you work for?

- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

Coding:

- (1) public sector
- (2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- (3) non-profit company
- (4) private sector company

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3660

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Sector of the economy

Filter: kpx_2340 =1-3,8

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of business/industry are you working in?

- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade
- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g., legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development

- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

Coding:

- (1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
- (2) energy industry, water sector, mining
- (3) manufacturing
- (4) construction and real estate
- (5) trade
- (6) hotel and catering
- (7) transport
- (8) banking, insurances
- (9) information technology, data processing
- (10) other commercial services (e.g., legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- (11) administration
- (12) education, research and development
- (13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- (14) other services

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2390

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession

Filter: kpx_2350=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What was your former profession? Please select the category that applies best to you.

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

Coding:

- (1) independent farmer
- (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- (4) officials /judges / professional soldier
- (5) employee
- (6) worker
- (7) in vocational training
- (8) family member assisting in family business

-
- (-97) not applicable
 - (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3710

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, employee - differentiation
--

Filter: kpx_2390=5

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?

- foreman and head workman in employment
- employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)

- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

Coding:

- (1) foreman
- (2) simple duties
- (3) complex tasks under loose supervision
- (4) tasks with responsibility
- (5) wide managerial responsibilities

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3720

Themenk: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, worker - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2390=6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?

- unskilled and semiskilled worker
- skilled worker and crafter
- foreman, master, site foreman

Coding:

- (1) unskilled and semiskilled worker
- (2) skilled worker and crafter
- (3) foreman, master, site foreman

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3730

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, independent professional - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2390=2 | 3

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How many employees did the business have you worked in last?

- no employees
- 1-9 employees
- 10 employees and more

Coding:

- (1) no employees
- (2) 1-9 employees
- (3) 10 employees and more

(-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3740

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, civil servant - differentiation

Filter: kpx_2390=4

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

In what service class did you work?

- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistant" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")
- higher grade of the civil service, judge ("Regierungsrat" and higher)

Coding:

- (1) lower grade
- (2) middle grade
- (3) upper grade
- (4) higher grade, judge

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3750

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, employment sector

Filter: kpx_2390=5-7

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Which type of company did you work for?

- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

Coding:

- (1) public sector
- (2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- (3) non-profit company
- (4) private sector company

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3760

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Former profession, sector of the economy

Filter: kpx_2350=1

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What kind of business/industry were you working in?

- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade
- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g., legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development
- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

Coding:

- (1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
- (2) energy industry, water sector, mining
- (3) manufacturing
- (4) construction and real estate
- (5) trade
- (6) hotel and catering
- (7) transport
- (8) banking, insurances
- (9) information technology, data processing
- (10) other commercial services (e.g., legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- (11) administration

- (12) education, research and development
 (13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
 (14) other services

 (-97) not applicable

(-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2590

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Net household income, with categories

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical); italicize "your household's total"; plausibility check: If no answer was given show text: "We assure that your answers will be used anonymously and for scientific purposes only.", within the plausibility check there are two buttons "correct" and "forward".

Question:

Taken all together, would you please indicate what the monthly net income of your household is? By net income, I mean the amount that you have left after taxes and social security.

- below 500€
- 500 up to 750€
- 750 up to 1,000€
- 1,000 up to 1,250€
- 1,250 up to 1,500€
- 1,500 up to 2,000€
- 2,000 up to 2,500€
- 2,500 up to 3,000€
- 3,000 up to 4,000€
- 4,000 up to 5,000€
- 5,000 up to 7,500€
- 7,500 up to 10,000€
- 10,000€ and more

Coding:

- (1) below 500€
- (2) 500 up to 750€
- (3) 750 up to 1,000€
- (4) 1,000 up to 1,250€
- (5) 1,250 up to 1,500€
- (6) 1,500 up to 2,000€
- (7) 2,000 up to 2,500€
- (8) 2,500 up to 3,000€
- (9) 3,000 up to 4,000€
- (10) 4,000 up to 5,000€
- (11) 5,000 up to 7,500€
- (12) 7,500 up to 10,000€
- (13) 10,000€ and more

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2580

Topic: Sociodemography

Item: Subjective perception of class

Filter:

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Intro:

People talk a lot about social classes these days.

Question:

Which of these classes do you consider yourself a part of?

- lower class
- working class
- lower middle class
- middle class
- upper middle class
- upper class

Coding:

- (1) lower class
- (2) working class
- (3) lower middle class
- (4) middle class
- (5) upper middle class
- (6) upper class

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2481	Item: Religious denomination
---------------------------	-------------------------------------

Topic: Sociodemography	Item: Religious denomination
-------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Filter:**Presentation:**

Single response list

Question:

What is your religious denomination?

- Protestant
- independent Protestant Church
- Roman Catholic
- other Christian denomination
- Muslim community/Islam
- other non-Christian denomination
- undenominational

Coding:

- (1) Protestant
- (2) independent Protestant Church
- (3) Roman Catholic
- (4) other Christian confession
- (6) Muslim community/Islam
- (5) other non-Christian denomination
- (9) undenominational

 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_2491	Item: Church attendance
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Topic: Sociodemography	Item: Church attendance
-------------------------------	--------------------------------

Filter: kpx_2481=1-6

Presentation:

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How frequently do you attend religious services?

- never
- once a year
- several times a year
- once a month
- two to three times a month
- once a week
- more often

Coding:

- (1) never
- (2) once a year
- (3) several times a year
- (4) once a month
- (5) two to three times a month
- (6) once a week
- (7) more often

 (-97) not applicable
 (-99) no answer

Variable: kpx_3910**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Religiousness**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

What would you say about yourself: how religious are you?

- very religious
- somewhat religious
- moderately
- not very religious
- not religious at all

Coding:

- (1) very religious
- (2) somewhat religious
- (3) moderately
- (4) not very religious
- (5) not religious at all

(-99) no answer**Variable:** kpx_2600**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Residence**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

Please characterize the place you live in. Do you live in a...?

- large city
- small-town or medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
- small-town or medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
- rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
- rural area close to smaller towns

Coding:

- (1) large City
- (2) small-town, medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
- (3) small-town, medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
- (4) rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
- (5) rural area close to smaller towns

(-99) no answer**Variable:** kp4_2602**Topic:** Sociodemography**Item:** Postal code**Filter:****Presentation:**

Text field (single row); maximum number of digits = 5; plausibility check, whether 5 numbers and no letters were entered with the instruction "Please enter your postal code."; When no answer was given, show the following text: "We assure that we will treat your information confidentially. We need your complete postal code in order to identify the candidate in your constituency."; Within the plausibility check there are to buttons "correct" or "forward".

Question:

What is your postal code?

Coding:-----
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_4240**Topic:** Quality of Data**Item:** Rating of survey**Filter:****Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

Question:

How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:

- (1) very good
- (2) good
- (3) neither good nor bad
- (4) bad
- (5) very bad

(-99) no answer

Variable: kp4_4270s**Topic:** Quality of Data**Item:** Comment, open**Filter:****Presentation:** Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limit of characters**Intro:**

Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:

Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

Coding:-----
(-99) no answer