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Documentation of Questionnaire
The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the largest and most ambitious national election study held so far in Germany. GLES started with the 2009 federal election as a long-term project funded by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) and was continued with the 2013 and 2017 federal elections. Since 2018, the GLES is held by GESIS as institutionalized election study in close cooperation with the German Society for Electoral Studies (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung).

Five principal investigators directed GLES 2017: Prof. Dr. Sigrid Roßteutscher (University of Frankfurt), Prof. Dr. Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck (University of Mannheim), Prof. Dr. Harald Schoen (University of Mannheim), Prof. Dr. Bernhard Weßels (Social Science Research Center Berlin), and Prof. Dr. Christof Wolf (GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences), in close cooperation with the German Society for Electoral Studies (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung).

The following documentation refers to the nine waves of the Short-term Campaign Panel of the GLES 2017 and will give you some general information about the dataset.
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Basic information
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Date of Collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>06.10.2016 – 10.11.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.02.2017 – 03.03.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.05.2017 – 23.05.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>06.07.2017 – 17.07.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>20.07.2017 – 09.08.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.08.2017 – 28.08.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.09.2017 – 23.09.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.09.2017 – 09.10.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.03.2018 – 26.03.2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Content

The Campaign Panel allows for an analysis of changes in individuals’ political attitudes and political behaviour over the course of an election campaign. To this end, a panel of citizens is interviewed up to nine times at short intervals – seven times before the election and twice afterwards.

In addition to the panellists, three independent samples are drawn which are then interviewed with the same questionnaire and at the same time as the panel waves four (ZA6805), six (ZA6806), and eight (ZA6807). By
comparing the panel and cross-section respondents, it is possible to analyse if and to what extent the repeated interview of the same respondent influences the measurement of political attitudes and political behaviour.

Methodology

Geographic Coverage

Universe
The target population of the Campaign Panel comprises all German citizens who were eligible to vote in the 2017 election to the German Bundestag. Due to the decision to collect the data of the Campaign Panel online, the frame population is restricted to members of the nonprobability online panel of the Respondi AG and GapFish GmbH who were eligible to vote. The Respondi panel comprised about 65,000-70,000 active panelists in Germany in 2016. The members of the Access-Panel are mainly recruited online. A smaller proportion is recruited by telephone.

Selection Method
Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online-Access-Panel by age, gender and education. In addition, respondents of the 2013 Campaign Panel were invited if they had participated in at least three waves and are still part of the Online-Access-Panel.

Mode of Data Collection
Online Panel Survey with a standardized questionnaire

Survey software
QuestBack, EFS Survey.

Field work
In the first wave, a total of 18,079 complete and 49 incomplete interviews were conducted. Of these, 2,326 respondents had already participated in the 2013 Campaign Panel and in the intermediate inquiries in 2014 and 2015. Irrespective of their participation in the first wave, participants of the 2013 Campaign Panel were also invited in the following waves so that 451 additional respondents were added by wave 9.

Between the fourth and fifth waves, a separate wave was conducted in order to recruit a refreshment sample. Here 3,960 complete interviews could be carried out. From the fifth wave onwards, the refreshment sample participated on a regular basis in the Campaign Panel.

Response rate (main sample 2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wave</th>
<th>Number of invitations</th>
<th>Completed interviews</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>77,457</td>
<td>18,079</td>
<td>25.1 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>13,114</td>
<td>72.3 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>11,271</td>
<td>62.2 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>10,782</td>
<td>59.5 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>10,570</td>
<td>58.3 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 6</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>10,145</td>
<td>56.0 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 7</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>9,359</td>
<td>51.6 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 8</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>10,192</td>
<td>56.2 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave 9</td>
<td>18,128</td>
<td>9,491</td>
<td>52.4 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6,778 respondents of the 2016 main sample took part in all nine waves.

Incentive
Participants from the Respondi panel received €1.50 for the 20-minute survey and participants from the GapFish panel received a slightly higher incentive of €2.00. All respondents who took part in more than five waves

---

1 In the Respondi panel, those participants are considered active who took part in a master data questionnaire after the double opt-in registration and have participated in at least one survey in the last three months. GapFish pursues a different definition of “active participants”: Applying otherwise identical criteria, their respondents have participated in at least one survey in the last 12 months. This definition is met by 113,000 GapFish online panelists.

2 Only respondents considered who participated in the first wave.
received an additional incentive (maximum of €3.50 if respondents took part in all nine waves).

Weights
This study comprises weights which adjust socio-demographical characteristics of all participants in the first wave to two different known distributions. First to the microcensus 2016 which is representative for the German electorate and second to the (N)Onliner-Atlas 2016 for the German online population.

These cross-sectional weights were calculated using the iterative proportional fitting (IPF). The iteration process ends when the difference between the weighted marginal distribution and the aimed distribution becomes smaller than 0.05. To prevent large factors, the weights were trimmed (after each iteration) so that no weight is more than 5 times larger than the average weight.

The weights were constructed on the basis of gender, age (4 groups: 18 to 29, 30 to 44, 45 to 59 and 60 years and older), education (three groups: low, middle, high), and East-West (for the weighting, all respondents living in Berlin received the East German weighting factor). The dataset includes weights for five different groups in the sample:

- 2016 main sample (wei_mz; wei_on)
- 2016 main sample + 2013 re-contact sample (wei2_mz; wei2_on)
- 2017 refreshment sample (wei3_mz; wei3_on)
- respondents who participated in all nine waves (wei4_mz; wei4_on)
- respondents who participated in wave five to nine (wei5_mz; wei5_on)

Data access
Usage regulations
Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: access category A

Anonymized data
According to German privacy, only anonymized data can be made accessible for public download. Thus, some variables had to be deleted from the publicly available dataset or answers were summarized in larger categories.

As a matter of course, no information is lost: All variables can be used by interested researchers in a Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). Some variables are also available by signing a user contract. If you are interested in those variables, please send an e-mail to gles@gesis.org. An overview of those variables can be found on our homepage (www.gesis.org/gles).

Errata
The most recent errata list is provided by the GESIS Data Catalogue (www.gesis.org/dbk). There you can also find a list of all changes made between the different versions of the dataset.

You can find more information about GLES at www.gesis.org/gles and http://www.gles.eu.

Citation in publications
If these GLES data are used in publications, please quote them as follows:

Roßteutscher, Sigrid; Schmitt-Beck, Rüdiger; Schoen, Harald; Weßels, Bernhard; Wolf, Christof; Gärtner, Lea; Preißinger, Maria; Kratz, Agatha; Wuttke, Alexander (2019): Short-term Campaign Panel (GLES 2017). GESIS Data Archive, Cologne: ZA6804 Data file Version 7.0.0, doi: 10.4232/1.13323.
Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact
GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Postfach 12 21 55
68072 Mannheim
E-mail: gles@gesis.org
Notes to the presentation in the questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>kpX_010</td>
<td>“X” is a placeholder in the variable name for the respective wave. For example, the variable “political interest” was collected in all waves and is stored in the dataset under the variable names kp1_010, kp2_010, ..., kp9_010. In this complete questionnaire, a separate listing of all wave-specific variable names is omitted. Instead, kpX_010 is written in the title of the question. Please note: Within filter conditions, the “X” always refers to the number of the current wave in which the filter was used. If filters refer to variables from previous waves, the concrete wave number is specified in the variable name.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[X]</td>
<td>Waves: [5]-[7],8</td>
<td>For each question, the waves in which it was asked are displayed above the wording. If a wave is enclosed in square brackets, this means that not all participants of the corresponding wave received the question. There can be two reasons for this. First, the postal voters were asked questions about their voting behaviour immediately after their postal vote (in waves 5 to 7), while the &quot;regular&quot; voters received these questions only in the post-election wave 8. Second, certain questions from previous waves were taken up if the respondents had not participated in those waves. One example is the question about the use of Wahl-O-Mat, which was put to all participating respondents in the sixth wave. In the seventh wave, only those people who had not taken part in the sixth wave or had stated at the time that they had not used the Wahl-O-Mat were asked this question. In the eighth wave, only people who had not participated in the sixth and seventh waves, or who had indicated in those waves that they had not used the Wahl-O-Mat, received this question. The concrete meaning of the square brackets can be seen from the design of the filters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w variables</td>
<td>w2a, w2b</td>
<td>Certain filter specifications refer to wave variables. These have the following meanings: wXa=0: respondent did not participate in wave X wXa=1: respondent has started the interview in wave X (after verification) and either terminated or completed it wXb=0: Respondent did not participate in wave X or terminated the interview before/during verification wXb=1: Respondent ended interview in wave X For example, the filter statement &quot;w1=0&quot; was frequently used in wave 2. This means that this question was asked only to those who had not participated in the previous wave 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further explanations

The questions are not listed in the order of the questionnaire sequence but are sorted according to topic categories. The sequence can only be taken from the wave-specific questionnaires or the documented screen views, which are also available at GESIS.

The complex filtering procedures within the subject areas verification and voting decision are illustrated at the beginning of the respective module by progress diagrams. The exact filter conditions are given with each question.

In the 2017 Campaign Panel, numerous measures were taken to assess the quality of the survey data obtained. In this context, a control question was presented to the respondents in some waves. Such control questions were built into standard matrices and are always marked with the abbreviation "q" at the end of the variable name (e.g. kp1_050q). Example: In the matrix battery for the "Efficacy" concept, the control question was: "Please choose 'agree' for testing the functioning of the questionnaire".
# Cumulative questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>kpX_screen1</td>
<td>German nationality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification</td>
<td>kpX_2280</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2281</td>
<td>Month of birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2290</td>
<td>Year of birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2293s</td>
<td>Location of birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_4280</td>
<td>Reason for false verification code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General attitudes</td>
<td>kpX_010</td>
<td>Interest in politics, in general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>towards politics</td>
<td>kpX_011a-c</td>
<td>Interest in politics, election levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_020</td>
<td>Satisfaction with democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_050c,g,j</td>
<td>Principles of democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_060e-g,i-k</td>
<td>Extremism/Authoritarianism I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_060b,d,h</td>
<td>Extremism/Authoritarianism II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_070a,b,g</td>
<td>Other forms of participation, retrospective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_160a,b,j,k,o,p,q</td>
<td>Confidence in institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_5021</td>
<td>Difference parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_5020</td>
<td>Difference government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_050a,e,h,k,l,q</td>
<td>Efficacy and duty to vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_040a-c,e,f,h,j,l,q</td>
<td>Attitudes to parties in general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_3103a-h</td>
<td>Populism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting decision</td>
<td>kpX_170</td>
<td>Intention to vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_190a,b</td>
<td>Vote intention: first/second vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_192a</td>
<td>Vote intention: first vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_192b</td>
<td>Vote intention: second vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_191a,b</td>
<td>Vote postal voter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_193a,b</td>
<td>Vote postal voter: first vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_193b</td>
<td>Vote postal voter: second vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_180</td>
<td>Turnout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_200a,b</td>
<td>Actual vote: first/second vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_201a</td>
<td>Actual vote: first vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_201b</td>
<td>Actual vote: second vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_252</td>
<td>Reasons for decision not to vote, closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_260s</td>
<td>Reasons for voting decision, open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_211a-c,g,i</td>
<td>Consideration Set, hypothetical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_221a-c,g,i</td>
<td>Consideration Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2751a-c,g,i</td>
<td>Consideration Set on the day of the postal vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_320</td>
<td>Time of final voting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_321</td>
<td>Time of decision not to vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_330</td>
<td>Difficulty of voting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_340</td>
<td>Satisfaction with election outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_341a-c,f,i</td>
<td>Election outcome: winner and loser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_280</td>
<td>Hypothetical voting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_290a,b</td>
<td>Hypothetical voting decision after federal election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_291a</td>
<td>Hypothetical first vote after federal election, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_291b</td>
<td>Hypothetical second vote after federal election, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2770a,b</td>
<td>Hypothetical vote after postal vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2771a</td>
<td>Hypothetical first vote after postal vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2771b</td>
<td>Hypothetical second vote after postal vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_342a,b</td>
<td>Fair election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_2780</td>
<td>Turnout federal election 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_350a,b</td>
<td>Recall previous federal election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_353a</td>
<td>Recall previous federal election first vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_353b</td>
<td>Recall previous federal election second vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party ratings in general</td>
<td>kpX_430a-f,i</td>
<td>Scalameter parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_730</td>
<td>Scalameter government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_731a-c</td>
<td>Scalameter governing parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>kpX_650a,t,z1,c,s,w,v1,y,p,j1,k1,l1,m1,n1,o1</td>
<td>Scalameter politicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kpX_671</td>
<td>Preferred chancellor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
kpX_661a-d,t  Attributes of chancellor candidates, Merkel
kpX_661e-h,u  Attributes of chancellor candidates, Schulz
kpX_662a,b  Ambivalence politicians, negative feelings
kpX_663a,b  Ambivalence politicians, positive feelings
kpX_680a,b  Left-right assessment, chancellor candidates
kpX_1080a,b  Socio-economic dimension, chancellor candidates
kpX_1120a,b  Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, chancellor candidates
kpX_1392a,b  Security and privacy, chancellor candidates
kpX_1240a,b  European integration, chancellor candidates

Valence issues
kpX_780  Personal economic situation, current
kpX_770  Responsibility for personal economic situation
kpX_820  General economic situation, current
kpX_810  Responsibility for general economic situation
kpX_840s  Most important problem
kpX_850  Ability to solve the most important problem
kpX_851  Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
kpX_860s  Second most important problem
kpX_870  Ability to solve the second most important problem
kpX_871  Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party

Coalition talks
kpX_918a-e  Behaviour parties, overall
kpX_919a-e  Behaviour parties, willingness to compromise
kpX_921a-e  Behaviour parties, assertiveness
kpX_920a-e  Behaviour parties, faithfulness to principles
kpX_917a-d,j,k  Exploratory talks Jamaica coalition, responsibility
kpX_916  Exploratory talks Jamaica coalition, attitude

Political positions
kpX_2880a-af  Ego positions
kpX_4033  House cleaner affair, exposure
kpX_4034  House cleaner affair, credibility
kpX_4035  House cleaner affair, opinion
kpX_1490a-f,i  Left-right assessment, parties
kpX_1500  Left-right self-assessment
kpX_1070a-f,i  Socio-economic dimension, parties
kpX_1090  Socio-economic dimension, ego
kpX_1110a-f,i  Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, parties
kpX_1130  Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego
kpX_1270a-f,i  Climate change, parties
kpX_1290  Climate change, ego
kpX_1391a-f,i  Security and privacy, parties
kpX_1411  Security and privacy, ego
kpX_1210  Integration, ego
kpX_1100  Socio-economic dimension, importance
kpX_1140  Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, importance
kpX_1220  Integration foreigners, importance
kpX_1300  Climate change, importance
kpX_1421  Security and privacy, importance
kpX_1230a-f,i  European integration, parties
kpX_1250  European integration, ego
kpX_1260  Globalisation, ego

Predispositions
kpX_2090  Party identification
kpX_2091  Party identification, other party
kpX_2100  Party identification, strength
kpX_2095  Multiple party identification
kpX_2096  Multiple party identification, other party
kpX_2101  Multiple party identification, strength
kpX_5000a-c  National identity as social identity
kpX_5010a-j  Form of national identity
kpX_2200a-e  Attachment battery
kpX_1483a-h  Foreign policy orientations
kpX_2250  Fairness social order
kpX_2270  Fairness, ego
kpX_1555a-e  Political motivation

Personality
kpX_1572  Willingness to take risks
kpX_1570g,h,i  Need for Cognitive Closure
kpX_2180n  Big 5: I am considerate and friendly with others.
Big 5:

- I tend to be somewhat shy and reserved.  
- I work effective and efficiently.  
- I have an active imagination and am inventive.  
- I easily get nervous and uneasy.  
- I am extroverted and sociable.  
- I am lazy.  
- I tend to criticize others.  
- I have little artistic interest.  
- I am relaxed. Stress does not upset me.  
- I am extroverted and sociable.  
- I am lazy.  
- I tend to criticize others.  
- I have little artistic interest.  
- I am relaxed. Stress does not upset me.  

Schwartz values:

- to think new ideas and being creative  
- to be rich, have money and expensive things  
- that people are treated equally and have equal opportunities  
- to show abilities and be admired  
- to live in secure and safe surroundings  
- to try new and different things in life  
- to have a good time  
- to understand different people  
- to make own decisions and be free  
- to be humble and modest, not draw attention  
- to help people and care for others well-being  
- to be successful and that people recognize achievements  
- that government is vigilant and ensures safety  
- to behave properly  
- to get respect from others  
- to be loyal to friends and devote to people close  
- to do what is told and follow rules  
- to seek fun and things that give pleasure  
- to seek adventures and have an exciting life  
- to preserve customs  
- to care for nature and environment

Political knowledge:

- 5%-threshold  
- First/second vote  
- Electoral law  
- Matching politicians/parties

Media use:

- Most important source of information, usually  
  - Internet use, on average  
  - Use of social media, party-related  
  - Use of social media, politically current  
  - Online participation, politically current, follow-up question  
  - Use of TV, news, current  
  - Use of TV, news, current, follow-up question  
  - Use of print media, politically current  
  - Use of print media, politically current, follow-up question  
  - News magazines, current  
  - News magazines, SPIEGEL Online
### Networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1933</td>
<td>Conversations about politics, in general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1933_c2,p</td>
<td>Conversations about politics, follow-up question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1937a-c</td>
<td>Name generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1941a-c</td>
<td>Discussion partner, relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1945a-c</td>
<td>Discussion partner, frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1945a_c2,p</td>
<td>Discussion partner, frequency, follow-up question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1971a-c</td>
<td>Discussion partner, vote intention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1972a-c</td>
<td>Discussion partner, vote intention, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1973a-c</td>
<td>Discussion partner, voting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1974a-c</td>
<td>Discussion partner, voting decision, other party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Involvement in the election campaign

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_380</td>
<td>Interest in the outcome of the election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_390</td>
<td>Interest in election campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kp4_421ka-(e_i,y)</td>
<td>Contact with parties I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kp4_421ka-(c_2,p)</td>
<td>Contact with parties I, follow-up question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kp4_421ha-(i,z)</td>
<td>Contact with parties II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kp4_421ha-(c_2,p^2)</td>
<td>Contact with parties II, follow-up question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3490</td>
<td>Wahl-O-Mat, usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3500a-c</td>
<td>Wahl-O-Mat, reason for use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3510</td>
<td>Wahl-O-Mat, congruence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strategic voting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_910a-c,e-h</td>
<td>Scalometer coalitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_962a-c,e-h</td>
<td>Expected coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_912</td>
<td>SPD in opposition, exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_914</td>
<td>SPD in opposition, knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_913</td>
<td>SPD in opposition, opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_911</td>
<td>Participation of AfD in a coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_922</td>
<td>Duration of negotiations, attitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_923</td>
<td>Results of negotiations, attitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1050</td>
<td>Perception opinion polls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1051</td>
<td>Credibility opinion polls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3010d,e,f,i</td>
<td>Probability of smaller parties entering parliament</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Televised debate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1800</td>
<td>Televised debate: Reception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1810; kpX_1820</td>
<td>Televised debate: Perception of performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1870</td>
<td>Televised debate: Reception of media response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1880, kpX_1890</td>
<td>Televised debate: Perceived media response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1900</td>
<td>Televised debate: Reception of response of social environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_1910, kpX_1920</td>
<td>Televised debate: Perceived response of social environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State election in Schleswig-Holstein

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3185</td>
<td>Turnout, SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3186</td>
<td>Turnout, SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3186a,b</td>
<td>Voting decision, SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3198a,b</td>
<td>Voting decision, SH, first vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3198b</td>
<td>Voting decision, SH, second vote, other party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State election in North Rhine-Westphalia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3185</td>
<td>Turnout, NRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3195a,b</td>
<td>Voting decision, NRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3199a</td>
<td>Voting decision, NRW, first vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3199b</td>
<td>Voting decision, NRW, second vote, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3141</td>
<td>Satisfaction government, NRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3242a,b</td>
<td>Satisfaction governing parties, NRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_650a1,b1,c1</td>
<td>Scalometer politicians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State election in Lower Saxony

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_393</td>
<td>Interest in election campaign, Lower Saxony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3187</td>
<td>Intention to vote, Lower Saxony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3197a,b</td>
<td>Vote intention, Lower Saxony: first/second vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3142</td>
<td>Satisfaction government, Lower Saxony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3243a,b</td>
<td>Satisfaction governing parties, Lower Saxony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3244a,b</td>
<td>Satisfaction opposition parties, Lower Saxony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_650d1,e1,f1,g1,h1</td>
<td>Scalometer politicians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sociodemography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2320</td>
<td>School leaving certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2360</td>
<td>Federal state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2441</td>
<td>Household size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2450</td>
<td>Number members of the household under 18 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2591</td>
<td>Net household income, with categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3910</td>
<td>Religiousness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2480</td>
<td>Religious denomination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2491</td>
<td>Church attendance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2580</td>
<td>Subjective perception of class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2600</td>
<td>Residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2602</td>
<td>Postal code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2330</td>
<td>Vocational and professional training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2340</td>
<td>Gainful employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2350</td>
<td>Former gainful employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2371</td>
<td>Duration of unemployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2380</td>
<td>Profession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3610</td>
<td>Employee - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3620</td>
<td>Worker - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3630</td>
<td>Independent professional - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3640</td>
<td>Civil servant - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3650</td>
<td>Employment sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3660</td>
<td>Sector of the economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3670</td>
<td>Temporary/agency work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3680</td>
<td>Fear of job loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3690</td>
<td>Fear of losing professional career</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2390</td>
<td>Former profession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3710</td>
<td>Former profession, employee - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3720</td>
<td>Former profession, worker - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3730</td>
<td>Former profession, independent professional - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3740</td>
<td>Former profession, civil servant - differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3750</td>
<td>Former profession, employment sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3760</td>
<td>Former profession, sector of the economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2301</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2311</td>
<td>Respondent has a partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2312</td>
<td>Partner living in the same household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2391</td>
<td>School leaving certificate, partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2400</td>
<td>Gainful employment, partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2410</td>
<td>Former gainful employment, partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2420</td>
<td>Profession, partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2430</td>
<td>Former profession, partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2461</td>
<td>Membership of organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2470</td>
<td>Membership of trade unions, household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_4000</td>
<td>Membership party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_4110</td>
<td>Membership party, other party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2520</td>
<td>German citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3920</td>
<td>Country of birth, federal state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3930</td>
<td>Country of birth, other country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2551</td>
<td>Age at immigration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3940</td>
<td>Age at immigration, East Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3950</td>
<td>Age at immigration, West Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_3960</td>
<td>Age moving to Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2571</td>
<td>Country of birth, mother; country of birth, father</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_2572</td>
<td>Country of birth, mother, other country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_4131</td>
<td>Language spoken in household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_4240</td>
<td>Rating of survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_4250</td>
<td>Participation in surveys, number of online panels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_4260</td>
<td>Participation in surveys, number of surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpX_4270</td>
<td>Comment, open</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Screening**

**Variable:** kpX_screen1  
**Waves:** 1,a1  
**Topic:** Screening  
**Item:** German nationality

**Filter Wave 1:** gruppe=1 (only panellists recruited in 2016)  
**Filter Wave a1:** gruppe=3

**Presentation:**  
- Single response list (vertical); Plausibility check: If no answer was given, then the following text will be displayed: “Answering this question is important for the rest of the survey. Please state whether you have German citizenship.”

**Question:**  
Do you have German citizenship?

- yes
- no
- don’t know

**Transfer from filter:** kpX_screen1=2 or -98 >> survey finished.

**Coding:**  
(1) yes  
(2) no

(-98) don’t know

-95 not participated
-97 not applicable

---

**Verification**

**Filtering process of verification**

Wave 1 or wave A1 for refreshment sample:  
first specification of verification code  
 inconsisitng of gender, month of birth, year of birth, first letter of the location of birth)

Wave 2-8 or wave 5-8 for refreshment sample:  
reentry of verification code

false  
Second chance of entering the verification code on the respective wave

false  
Reason for false verification code

---

Survey participation  
No survey participation in the respective wave
NOTE: For respondents who had already participated in the 2013 Campaign Panel, a comparison with their 2013 verification code was already made in wave 1. These respondents were only allowed to participate in the survey if there was a match.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables: kpX_2280; kpX_2291; kpX_2290; kpX_2293s</th>
<th>Waves: 1-9,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Verification</th>
<th>Items: Gender; Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Presentation:**

**must answer:** the following four questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions". In the first wave, there should be a highly visible direction on the left hand side above the "forward"-button. "Please check carefully whether all of your answers are correct. You can continue the following surveys only if you give the exact same answers!"

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question kpX_2280:**

Please state your gender.

- male
- female

**Coding:**

(1) male
(2) female

---------------------------------------------------------------------

(-95) not participated

**Presentation:**

Closed response list

**Question kpX_2291:**

In what month were you born?

- Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

**Coding:**

(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

---------------------------------------------------------------------

(-95) not participated
**Presentation:**
Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1900 and 2000 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

**Question kpX_2290:**
Please enter the year you were born in.

**Instructions:**
Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

---

**Coding:**

- (-95) not participated

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlautes) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

**Question kpX_2293s:**
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

**Instructions:**
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

---

**Coding:**

- (-95) not participated

**Transfer from filter wave 1:** Screen out people born later than May 1999 or who did not participate in 2013.

**Transfer from filter wave a1:** Screen out people born later than September 1999.

[NOTE: The variables were renamed into kpx_2280, kpx_2291 and kpx_2290, because of being invariant in time. The variables kpx_2280_flag, kpx_2291_flag and kpx_2290flag can be used to trace the time of the first query. For data protection reasons, kpX_2293s is not included in the published dataset.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Waves: 1-9</th>
<th>Topic: Verification</th>
<th>Items: Gender; Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter Wave 1: grupe=2 &amp; (kp1_2280!=kp1_2280_c1</td>
<td>kp1_2291!=kp1_2291_c1</td>
<td>kp1_2290!=kp1_2290_c1</td>
<td>kp1_2293s!=kp1_2293s_c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Wave 2-9: kpx_2280!=kpx_2280</td>
<td>kpx_2291!=kpx_2291</td>
<td>kpx_2290!=kpx_2290</td>
<td>kpx_2293s!=kpx_2293s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presentation:**
**must answer**; the following four questions are displayed on one screen.
Plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing. Please answer all questions." Display instruction text in red.

**Instructions, left-aligned and bold:**
"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please note that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question kpX_2280_c2:**
Please state your gender.

- male
- female
German Longitudinal Election Study 2017: Short-term Campaign Panel

Coding:
(1) male
(2) female

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable

Presentation:
Closed response list

Question kpX_2291_c2:
What month were you born in?

Instructions:
Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:
(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row) with two numerical digits

Question kpX_2290_c2:
Please enter the year you were born in.

19_ _

Coding:
(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."
**Question kpX_2293s_c2:**
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

**Instructions:**
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

---

**Coding:**

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-97) not applicable

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

| Variable: | kpX_4280 |
| Waves: | 1-9 |
| Topic: | Verification |
| Item: | Reason for false verification code |

**Filter Wave 1:** (kp1_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2280!=kp1_2280_c2 | kp1_2291!=kp1_2291_c2 | kp1_2290!=kp1_2290_c2)

**Filter Wave 2-7:** (kpX_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2280!=kpX_2280_c2 | kp1_2291!=kpX_2291_c2 | kp1_2290!=kpX_2290_c2)

**Filter Wave 8:** (kp8_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2280!=kp8_2280_c2 | kp1_2291!=kp8_2291_c2 | kp1_2290!=kp8_2290_c2)

**Filter Wave 9:** (kp9_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp9_2280!=kp9_2280_c2 | kp9_2291!=kp9_2291_c2 | kp9_2290!=kp9_2290_c2)

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
The answers concerning your person do not match the answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. There are several possible reasons. Please select the reason that you think is most likely.

- I did not participate in the first part of the GLES-study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same answers as I did in the first part of the GLES-study.

**Forwarding:** Closing screen >> survey completed

**Coding:**

(1) not participated
(2) typing error
(3) same answer

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-97) not applicable

[NOTE: These verification variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]
**General attitudes towards politics**

**Variable:** kpX_010  
**Waves:** 1-9,a1  
**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics  
**Item:** Interest in politics, in general

**Filter:**  
**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)  
**Question:** Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?  
- very interested  
- somewhat interested  
- in between  
- not very interested  
- not interested at all

**Coding:**  
(1) very interested  
(2) somewhat interested  
(3) in between  
(4) not very interested  
(5) not at all interested

----------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_011a-c  
**Wave:** 9  
**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics  
**Item:** Interest in politics, election levels

**Filter:**  
**Presentation:** Matrix  
**Question:** And how interested are you in politics at federal, state, and European level?  
(A) Federal level  
(B) State level: `display federal state from kp9_2601`  
(C) European level  
- very interested  
- somewhat interested  
- in between  
- not very interested  
- not interested at all

**Coding:**  
(1) very interested  
(2) somewhat interested  
(3) in between  
(4) not very interested  
(5) not at all interested

----------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_020  
**Waves:** 1,5,8,9  
**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics  
**Item:** Satisfaction with democracy

**Filter:**  
**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)
**Question:**
On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

**Coding:**
(1) very satisfied
(2) fairly satisfied
(3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) not very satisfied
(5) not at all satisfied

-93 not asked, terminated
-95 not participated
-99 no answer

**Variable:** kpX_050c,g,j

**Waves:** 2,a1,9

**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics

**Item:** Principles of democracy

**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Standard matrix

**Intro:**
Here are some common statements on politics and society.

**Question:**
Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(C) In general, each democratic party should have the chance to assume government responsibilities.

(G) Everybody should have the right to defend his own view even if the majority dissects on that aspect.

(J) A democracy will not work without a political opposition.

**Coding:**
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

-93 not asked, terminated
-95 not participated
-99 no answer

**Variable:** kpX_060e-g,i-k

**Waves:** 2,a1,9

**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics

**Item:** Extremism/Authoritarianism I

**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Standard matrix

**Question on screen 1:**
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

(E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.

(F) The GDR had more good than bad aspects.

(G) Socialism is a good idea which has just been poorly implemented in the past.

**Question on screen 2:**
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

(J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.
Traditions should definitely be fostered and preserved.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_060b,d,h
Waves: 2,a1,9
Topic: General attitudes towards politics
Item: Extremism/Authoritarianism II

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

(B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
(D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.
(H) The world would be a better place if people in other countries were more like Germans.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_070a,b,g
Wave: 2
Topic: General attitudes towards politics
Item: Other forms of participation, retrospective

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
If you think back over the last twelve months, did you do any of the following to exercise political influence and to assert your point of view?

(A) Took part in a community action group.
(B) Took part in a demonstration.
(G) Supported the election campaign of a political party or candidate.

Coding:
(1) yes
(2) no

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_160a,b,j,k,o,p,q
Waves: 2,a1,8,9
Topic: General attitudes towards politics
Item: Confidence in institutions
Filter:
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix

**Intro:**
Let’s talk about the following public institutions.

**Question:**
Please state if you trust these institutions or not.

How about …

(A) the Bundestag?
(B) the Federal Constitutional Court?
(J) the German armed forces?
(K) the trade unions?
(O) the banks?
(P) the media?
(Q) the police?

**Coding:**
(1) I do not trust at all
(2) I rather do not trust
(3) neither trust nor distrust
(4) I rather trust
(5) I fully trust

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_5021  
**Waves:** 1,5,7,8,9  
**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics  
**Item:** Difference parties

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix

**Question:**
Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

**Coding:**
(1) 1 no differences at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 major differences

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_5020  
**Waves:** 1,5,7,8,9  
**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics  
**Item:** Difference government

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix

**Question:**
Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn’t make any difference who is in power. How about you?

**Coding:**
(1) 1 It doesn’t make any difference who is in power.
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

---------------------------------------------------------------------
**Variable:** kpX_050a,e,h,k,l,q  
**Waves:** 1,5,8,9  
**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics  
**Item:** Efficacy and duty to vote

**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
Standard matrix  
**Intro:**  
Here are some common statements on politics and society.  
**Question:**  
Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.  
(E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.  
(H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.  
(K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.  
(Q) Please choose "agree" for testing the functioning of the questionnaire.  
(L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

**Coding:**  
(1) strongly disagree  
(2) disagree  
(3) neither agree nor disagree  
(4) agree  
(5) strongly agree

-------------------------------------------------------------------

**Variable:** kpX_040a-c,e,f,h,j,l,q  
**Waves:** 1,5,8,9  
**Topic:** General attitudes towards politics  
**Item:** Attitudes to parties in general

**Filter:**  
**Presentation:**  
Standard matrix  
**Question on screen 1:**  
Here you can find some statements on parties in Germany.  
Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) The parties are only interested in people’s votes, not in what voters think.  
(C) Most politicians are trustworthy and honest people.  
(E) Even ordinary party members are able to exert influence on their parties.  
(J) Our country would be governed worse with parties having no professional politicians.  
(L) Citizens barely have any possibilities to influence politics.

**Question on screen 2:**  
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(F) The parties’ only concern is their power.  
(Q) Please choose "disagree" for testing the functioning of the questionnaire.  
(H) The parties take too much influence in the society.  
(B) The parties consider the state to be a self-service store.

**Coding:**  
(1) strongly disagree  
(2) disagree  
(3) neither agree nor disagree  
(4) agree  
(5) strongly agree

-------------------------------------------------------------------
Variable: kpX_3103a-h
Waves: 5,8,9
Item: Populism

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix

Intro on screen 1:
Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question on screen 1:
How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.
(B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.
(C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.
(D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Question on screen 2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(E) Differences between the elite and the people are larger than the differences among the people.
(F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
(G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.
(H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer
Voting decision

Filtering process of vote intention in wave 1-7,a1

Stated in one of the previous surveys to have already cast a postal vote (from wave 6 on)

no

Intention to vote

"already cast a postal vote" (from wave 5 on)

Voting decision, postal voter

"certain to vote"
"likely to vote"
"might vote"

Vote intention

"not likely to vote"
"certain not to vote"

"don't know"
"no answer"

Consideration Set on the day of the postal vote

Difficulty of voting decision

Reasons for voting decision, open

Hypothetical voting decision after postal vote

Consideration Set, hypothetical (wave 1,3-7, not a1)

Consideration Set (wave 1,3-7, not a1)
Filtering process of voting decision in wave 8

Stated in one of the previous surveys to have already cast a postal vote

"I had already cast a postal vote"

"I didn’t vote"

Turnout

"I did vote"

Actual vote

Vote postal voter

Consideration Set on the day of the postal vote

Reasons for voting decision, open

Consideration Set

Time of final voting decision

Difficulty of voting decision

Satisfaction with election outcome

Election outcome: winner and loser

Hypothetical voting decision after postal vote

Hypothetical voting decision after federal election

Reasons for decision not to vote

Consideration Set, hypothetical

Time of decision not to vote

"make a different Decision"
Variable: kpX_170  
Waves: 1-7,9,a1  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Intention to vote

Filter wave 6: kp5_170l=6  
Filter wave 7: kp5_170l=6 & kp6_170l=6  
Presentation:  
Single response list (vertical)  
Intro:  
When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.  

Question wave 1:  
How likely is it that you will vote in the next federal election in 2017?

Question wave 2-7,a1:  
How likely is it that you will vote in the federal election on 24 September 2017?

Question wave 9:  
If there was a federal election next Sunday, how likely is it that you would go to the polls?

Are you…
- certain to vote  
- likely to vote  
- might vote  
- not likely to vote  
- certain not to vote

Wave 5-8: I have already cast a postal vote

Coding:  
(1) certain to vote  
(2) likely to vote  
(3) might vote  
(4) not likely to vote  
(5) certain not to vote

Wave 5-8: (6) have already cast a postal vote

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-93 not asked, terminated  
-95 not participated  
-97 not applicable  
-99 no answer

Variable: kpX_190a,b  
Waves: 1-7,9,a1  
Topic: Vote decision  
Item: Vote intention: first/second vote

Filter: kpX_170l=1-3  
Presentation:  
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below “don’t know”-category for first and second vote  
Intro:  
You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

Question wave 1-6,a1:  
How will you mark your ballot?

Question wave 9:  
How would you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:  
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
- Die Linke  
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
- Other party

- Don’t know yet

(B) Second vote:  
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party
- Don’t know yet (in wave 9: Don’t know)

**Coding:**

(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-98) don’t know
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpX_192a,b were recoded into the variables kpX_190aa/kpX_190ab and kpX_190ba/kpX_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).

NOTE II: Display errors in some waves: respondents did not see the sample ballot paper. Their answers were recoded into -92 "error in data". This concerns one respondent in waves 1, 5, 6, and six respondents in wave 9.]

| Variable: | kpX_192a |
| Waves: | 1-7,9,a1 |
| **Filter:** | kpX_190a=801 |
| **Topic:** | Voting decision |
| **Item:** | Vote intention: first vote, other party |

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question wave 1-7,a1:**
And which candidate will you vote for with your FIRST vote?

**Question wave 9:**
And which candidate would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

**Wave 1:**
- ALFA (Allianz für Fortschritt und Aufbruch)
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

**From wave 2 onwards:**
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHEUTZ)
- other party

**Coding:**

**Wave 1:**

(331) ALFA  
(120) BIG  
(151) Die PARTEI  
(323) DIE RECHTE  
(171) FAMILIE  
(180) FREIE WÄHLER  
(206) NPD  
(209) ödp  
(214) PBC  
(215) PIRATEN  
(218) pro Deutschland  
(225) REP  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(801) other party

---

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

**From wave 2 onwards:**

(120) BIG  
(351) Bündnis C  
(151) Die PARTEI  
(323) DIE RECHTE  
(171) FAMILIE  
(180) FREIE WÄHLER  
(331) LKR³  
(206) NPD  
(209) ödp  
(215) PIRATEN  
(218) pro Deutschland  
(225) REP  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(801) other party

---

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_190a were recoded into the variables kpX_190aa and kpX_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_192b</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Vote intention: second vote, other party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 1-7,a1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter: kpX_190b−801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question wave 1-7,a1:**

And which party will you vote for with your SECOND vote?

**Question wave 9:**

And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

**Wave 1:**

- ALFA (Allianz für Fortschritt und Aufbruch)  
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)  
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)

---

³ The LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer) party was called “ALFA” until November 2016. Accordingly, the name was changed in the instrument for wave 2. However, since it is the same party, the code remains identical.
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

From wave 2 onwards:
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
Wave 1:
(331) ALFA
(120) BIG
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(214) PBC
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

From wave 2 onwards:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei

4 The LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer) party was called “ALFA” until November 2016. Accordingly, the name was changed in the instrument for wave 2. However, since it is the same party, the code remains identical.
Variable: kpX_191a
Waves: 5-9  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Vote postal voter
Filter wave 5: kp5_170=6
Filter wave 6: kp5_170!=6 & kp6_170=6
Filter wave 7: kp5_170!=6 & kp6_170!=6 & kp7_170=6
Filter wave 8: kp5_170!=6 & kp6_170!=6 & kp7_170!=6 & kp8_180=6
Filter wave 9: kp9_180=6
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote
Question:
You had two votes in the absentee ballot. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.
How did you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_190b were recoded into the variables kpX_190ba and kpX_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpX_193a
Waves: 5-9  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Vote postal voter: first vote, other party
Filter: kpX_191a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote?
Wave 5:
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

From wave 6 onwards:
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_191a were recoded into the variables kpX_191aa and kpX_191ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation). In wave 5, the same parties were presented in the question "Vote postal voter" as in the question "Vote intention". However, not all of these parties took part in the 2017 federal election, and hence not all could be voted for by postal voters. Therefore, from wave 6 onwards, the list was reduced to those parties actually running for election. Please note that some parties presented candidates only for one of the two votes, i.e. the first vote or the second vote.]
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reform
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

From wave 6 onwards:
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_191b were recoded into the variables kpX_191ba and kpX_191bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation). In wave 5, the same parties were presented in the question "Vote postal voter" as in the question "Vote intention". However, not all of these parties took part in the 2017 federal election, and hence not all could be voted for by postal voters. Therefore, from wave 6 onwards, the list was reduced to those parties actually running for election. Please note that some parties presented candidates only for one of the two votes, i.e. the first vote or the second vote.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_180</th>
<th>Waves: 8-9</th>
<th>Topic: Voting decision</th>
<th>Item: Turnout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter wave 8:</strong> dependent interviewing kp5_170!=6 &amp; kp6_170!=6 &amp; kp7_170!=6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter wave 9:</strong> dependent interviewing, kp5_170!=6 &amp; kp6_170!=6 &amp; kp7_170!=6 &amp; &amp; kp8_180!=1,2,6,-99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong> Single response (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong> At the federal election on 24 September a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- I did vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- I did not vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- I had already cast a postal vote

**Coding:**
(1) I did vote
(2) I did not vote
(6) already cast a postal vote

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_200a,b
**Waves:** 8-9
**Topic:** Voting decision
**Item:** Actual vote: first/second vote

**Filter:** kpX_180=1

**Presentation:**
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; settled out down below "I did not cast a first/second vote"-category

**Intro:**
You had two votes in the federal election. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.

**Question:**
How did you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- I did not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- I did not cast a second vote

**Coding:**
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNÉ
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-84) I didn't cast a first/second vote

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpX_201a,b were recoded into the variables kpX_200aa/kpX_200ab and kpX_200ba/kpX_200bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]
[NOTE II: Display error in wave 8: one respondent did not see the sample ballot paper and was recoded into -92 "error in data"]
**Variable:** kpX_201a  
**Waves:** 8-9  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Actual vote: first vote, other party

**Filter:** kpX_200a=801  
**Presentation:**  
Single response list (vertical)  
**Question:**  
And which party did you vote for with your FIRST vote in this federal election?  
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland  
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)  
- DIE RECHTE  
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
- Freie Wähler (FW)  
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)  
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)  
- other party  

**Coding:**  
(351) Bündnis C  
(151) Die PARTEI  
(323) DIE RECHTE  
(171) FAMILIE  
(180) FREIE WÄHLER  
(206) NPD  
(209) ödp  
(215) PIRATEN  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(801) other party  

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer  

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_200a were recoded into the variables kpX_200aa and kpX_200ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kpX_201b  
**Waves:** 8-9  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Actual vote: second vote, other party

**Filter:** kpX_200b=801  
**Presentation:**  
Single response list (vertical)  
**Question:**  
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in this federal election?  
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)  
- DIE RECHTE  
- Freie Wähler (FW)  
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)  
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)  
- other party  

**Coding:**  
(151) Die PARTEI  
(323) DIE RECHTE  
(180) FREIE WÄHLER  
(206) NPD  
(209) ödp  
(215) PIRATEN  
(237) Tierschutzpartei  
(801) other party  

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
Variable: kpX_252  
Waves: 8-9  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Reasons for decision not to vote, closed

Filter: kpX_180=2  
Presentation: Single response list (vertical); italicize "most important"  
Question: Please state the most important reason for why you did not vote.
- I didn't have time.  
- I wasn't at home on election day.  
- I couldn't participate for health reasons.  
- I am not interested in politics.  
- I didn't know who I should vote for.  
- Voting does not change anything.  
- I am dissatisfied with politics and/or politicians.  
- One single vote has too little influence.  
- other reason

Coding:  
(1) no time  
(2) absent on election day  
(3) for health reasons  
(4) not interested in politics  
(5) didn't know who I should vote for  
(6) voting does not change anything  
(7) dissatisfaction with politics/politicians  
(8) single vote has too little influence  
(9) other reason

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Variable: kpX_260s  
Waves: [5],[6],[7],8,9  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Reasons for voting decision, open

Filter wave 5: kp5_170=6 & kp5_191b!=99 & kp5_193b!=99  
Filter wave 7: kp7_170=6 & kp7_191b!=99 & kp7_193b!=99  
Filter wave 8-9: (kpX_180=1 | kpX_180=6) & kpX_200b!=99 & kpX_201b!=99 & kpX_191b!=99 & kpX_193b!=99 & kpX_200b!=84  
Presentation: Text field four rows with visually 50 characters per line; no maximum character limit; italicize "most important"  
Question: Why did you give your second vote to this party?  
Instructions: Please state the most important reason.

Coding:  
[Open answers have been coded by the coding pattern "Gründe für Wahlentscheidung"]

---------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer
Variable: kpX_211a,c,g,i  
Waves: 1,3-9  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Consideration Set, hypothetical

Filter wave 1,3-7,9:
kpX_170=4-5,99 >> Question 1  
kpX_190b= -98 | -99 >> Question 2

Filter wave 8:
kp8_180=2,99 >> Question 3

Presentation:
Standard matrix

Question 1:
Let’s assume you would take part in the election. Which party would you consider for your second vote?

Question 2:
Which parties would you consider for your second vote?

Question 3:
Let’s assume you would have taken part in the election. Which party would you have considered for your second vote?

(A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)  
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]  
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]  
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
(G) other party

Coding wave 1,3-7,9:
(1) would consider  
(2) would probably consider  
(3) would probably not consider  
(4) would not consider

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

Coding wave 8:
(1) would have considered  
(2) would probably have considered  
(3) would probably not have considered  
(4) would not have considered

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: filter error in wave 9: Four respondents did not see the question although they fulfilled the filter condition. The values for these respondents were recoded to -92 "error in data"].

Variable: kpX_221a,c,g,i  
Waves: 1,3-9  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Consideration Set

Filter wave 1,3-7,9:
(kpX_190b=1-322) | (kpX_192b=120-351) >> Receive intro 1 and question 1  
kpX_190b=801 & (kpX_192b= -99 | kpX_192b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question 1

Filter wave 8:
(kp8_201b=1-322) | (kp8_201b=120-351) >> Receive intro 2 and question 2  
kp8_201b=801 & (kp8_201b= -99 | kp8_201b=801) >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question 3  
kp8_201b=841-99 >> Do not receive any intro but ONLY question 3

Presentation wave 1,3-7,9:
Standard matrix, Column labels as coding labels  
The party that was chosen for the second vote in kpX_190b or kpX_192b, must not appear in the answering options.

Presentation wave 8:
Standard matrix, Column labels as coding labels
The party that was chosen for the second vote in kpX_200b or kpX_201b, must not appear in the answering options.

Intro 1:
You said that you want to cast your second vote for (insert party).

Intro 2:
You said that you cast your second vote for (insert party).

Question 1:
Are there any other parties you would consider for your second vote?

Question 2:
Did you consider any other parties for your second vote on federal election day?

Question 3:
Which parties did you consider for your second vote?

(A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(G) other party

Coding wave 1,3-7:
(1) would consider
(2) would rather consider
(3) would rather not consider
(4) would not consider

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Coding wave 8:
(1) considered
(2) rather considered
(3) rather did not consider
(4) did not consider

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable [either because the respondent was previously filtered out or he/she already selected the party under second vote]
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: Due to a programming error in the filter, this question was not displayed to respondents in wave 3-7 who indicated in kpX_192b that they would vote for the Liberal-Konservative Reformer (code 331) or Bündnis C (code 351). The values for these respondents were recoded into -92 "error in data." This concerns: seven respondents in wave 3, eight respondents in waves 4 and 5, three respondents in wave 6, and six respondents in wave 7.]

Variable: kpX_2751a,c-g,i
Waves: 5-8
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Consideration Set on the day of the postal vote

Filter wave 5-7:
kpX_170=6 & ((kpX_191b=1-322 | (kpX_193b=120-351)) >> Receive intro and question 1
kpX_170=6 & kpX_191b=801 & (kpX_193b=99 | kpX_193b=801) >> Do not receive intro but ONLY question 1
kpX_170=6 & kpX_191b=99 >> Do not receive intro but ONLY question 2

Filter wave 8:
kpX_180=6 & ((kpX_191b=1-322 | (kpX_193b=120-351)) >> Receive intro and question 1
kpX_180=6 & kpX_191b=801 & (kpX_193b=99 | kpX_193b=801) >> Do not receive intro but ONLY question 1
kpX_180=6 & kpX_191b=99 >> Do not receive intro but ONLY question 2

Presentation:
Standard matrix; Column labels like coding labels.
The party that was chosen for the second vote in kpX_191b or kpX_193b must not appear in the answering options.

Intro:
You said you cast your second vote for (insert party).

Question 1:
Did you consider any other parties for your second vote on the day of your postal vote?

**Question 2:**
Which parties did you consider for your second vote on the day of your postal vote?

(A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(G) other party

**Coding:**
(1) considered
(2) rather considered
(3) rather not considered
(4) not considered

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (97) not applicable [either because the respondent was previously filtered out or he/she already selected the party under second vote]
- (99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_320
**Wave:** 8
**Topic:** Voting decision
**Item:** Time of final voting decision

**Filter:** kp5_170=6 | kp6_170=6 | kp7_170=6 | kp8_180=6 | kp8_180=1

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
When did you decide how to vote in this federal election?

- That was decided a long time ago.
- That was decided a year ago.
- That was decided a few months ago.
- I decided in the final weeks before the election.
- I decided in the final days before the election.
- I didn’t decide until the day of the federal election.

**Coding:**
(1) long time ago
(6) year ago
(2) few months ago
(3) few weeks before the election
(4) few days before the election
(5) on election day

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (97) not applicable
- (99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_321
**Wave:** 8
**Topic:** Voting decision
**Item:** Time of decision not to vote

**Filter:** kpX_180=2

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
When did you decide not to vote in this federal election?

- That was decided a long time ago.
- That was decided a year ago.
- That was decided a few months ago.
- I decided in the final weeks before the election.
- I decided in the final days before the election.
- I didn’t decide until the day of the federal election.
**Coding:**
(1) long time ago
(6) year ago
(2) a few months ago
(3) few weeks before the election
(4) few days before the election
(5) on election day

-93 not asked, terminated
-95 not participated
-97 not applicable
-99 no answer

**Variable:** kpX_330
**Waves:** [5],[6],[7],8  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Difficulty of voting decision

**Filter wave 5-7:** kpX_170=6
**Filter wave 8:** kp8_180=1 | kp8_180=6

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
How difficult was it for you to decide how to vote in this federal election?
- very difficult
- fairly difficult
- moderately
- not very difficult
- not difficult at all

**Coding:**
(1) very difficult
(2) fairly difficult
(3) moderately
(4) not very difficult
(5) not difficult at all

-93 not asked, terminated
-95 not participated
-97 not applicable
-99 no answer

**Variable:** kpX_340
**Waves:** 8-9  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Satisfaction with election outcome

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the outcome of the federal election?
- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

**Coding:**
(1) very satisfied
(2) fairly satisfied
(3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) not very satisfied
(5) not at all satisfied

-93 not asked, terminated
-95 not participated
-97 not applicable
-99 no answer
Variable: kpX_341a,c-f,i  
Waves: 8-9  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Election outcome: winner and loser

Filter: 
Presentation: 
Standard matrix "don't-know"-category settled out a bit

Question: 
If you think about the outcome of the federal election, which parties do belong to the winners and which to the losers?

(A) CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)  
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]  
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]  
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding: 
(1) clearly to the losers  
(2) rather to the losers  
(3) neither to the losers nor to the winners  
(4) rather to the winner  
(5) clearly to the winners  
(-98) don't know  
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_280  
Wave: 8  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Hypothetical voting decision

Filter: kp5_170=!6 & kp6_170=!6 & kp7_170=!6

Presentation: 
Single response list (vertical)

Question: 
Let's assume you could vote again today. Would you make the same voting decision today as you did at the federal election, or would you decide differently?

I would…

- make the same decision  
- make a different decision

Coding: 
(1) make the same decision  
(2) make a different decision

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_290a,b  
Wave: 8  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Hypothetical voting decision after federal election

Filter: kpX_280=2 | -99

Presentation: 
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; "don't-know"-category and "I would not cast a first/second vote" below

Question: 
Let's assume you could vote again today: How would you decide today?

(A) First vote:  
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
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- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don’t know
- I would not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party

- Don’t know
- I would not cast a second vote

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party
(-98) don’t know
(-84) would not cast a first/second vote
----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_291a,b were recoded into the variables kpX_290aa/kpX_290ab and kpX_290ba/kpX_290bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

| Variable: | kpX_291a |
| Wave: | 8 |
| Topic: | Voting decision |
| Item: | Hypothetical first vote after federal election, other party |

Filter: kpX_290a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which one of these candidates would you vote for with your FIRST vote today?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reform
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
Variable: kpX_291b
Wave: 8  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Hypothetical second vote after federal election, other party
Filter: kpX_290b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which one of these parties would you vote for with your SECOND vote today?
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_290a were recoded into the variables kpX_290aa and kpX_290ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]
Waves: [6],[7],[8]  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Hypothetical vote after postal vote

Filter wave 6: dependent interviewing, kp5_170=6
Filter wave 7: dependent interviewing, kp5_170=6 | kp6_170=6
Filter wave 8: dependent interviewing, kp5_170=6 | kp6_170=6 | kp7_170=6

Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; below "don't know"-category and "I would not cast a first/second vote"

Question:
You had cast a postal vote.

Let's assume you could participate in the election again. Which party would you decide for today?

(A) First vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party
- Don't know
- I would not cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party
- Don't know
- I would not cast a second vote

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-98) don't know
(-84) would not cast a first/second vote
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_2771a,b were recoded into the variables kpX_2770aa/kpX_2770ab and kpX_2770ba/kpX_2770bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpX_2771a
Waves: [6],[7],[8]  Topic: Voting decision  Item: Hypothetical first vote after postal vote, other party

Filter: kpX_2770a=801

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which one of these parties would you vote for with your FIRST vote today?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

**Coding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>BIG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351</td>
<td>Bündnis C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Die PARTEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>DIE RECHTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>FAMILIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>FREIE WÄHLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>NPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>ödp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>PIRATEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>pro Deutschland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Tierschutzpartei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801</td>
<td>other party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_2770a were recoded into the variables kpX_2770aa and kpX_2770ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kpX_2771b  
**Waves:** [6],[7],8  
**Topic:** Voting decision  
**Item:** Hypothetical second voter after postal vote, other party

**Filter:** kpX_2770b=801

**Presentation:**  
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**  
And which one of these parties would you vote for with your SECOND vote today?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)  
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland  
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)  
- DIE RECHTE  
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)  
- Freie Wähler (FW)  
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer  
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)  
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)  
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)  
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)  
- other party

**Coding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>BIG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351</td>
<td>Bündnis C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Die PARTEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>DIE RECHTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>FAMILIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>FREIE WÄHLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>LKR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer
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(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_2770b were recoded into the variables kpX_2770ba and kpX_2770bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>kpX_342a,b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wave:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic:</td>
<td>Voting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item:</td>
<td>Fair election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intro:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) During the election campaign, all parties had a fair chance to present their views to the voter.
(B) The federal election was carried out correctly and fairly by the authorities.

------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>kpX_2780</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves:</td>
<td>1, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic:</td>
<td>Voting decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item:</td>
<td>Turnout federal election 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation wave 1:</td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation wave 8:</td>
<td>Single response list (vertical); display &quot;2013 federal election&quot; in bold and red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intro:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>What about the last federal election 2013: Did you vote or not?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Yes, I voted.
- No, I didn’t vote.
- I wasn’t entitled to vote.
- I don’t know anymore.

------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
Variable: kpX_350a,b  
Waves: 1,8  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Recall previous federal election

Filter: kpX_2780==1 | -99

Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first/second vote; "don't know"-category below

Question:
Which parties did you vote for at the last federal election in September 2013?

(A) First vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party
- I didn’t cast a first vote
- Don’t know anymore

(B) Second vote:
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party
- I didn’t cast a second vote
- Don’t know anymore

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-84) didn’t cast a first/second vote
(-98) don’t know

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpX_351a,b were recoded into the variables kpX_350aa/kpX_350ab and kpX_350ba/kpX_350bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

[NOTE II: Display errors in some waves: respondents did not see the sample ballot paper. Their answers were recoded into -92 "error in data". This concerns one respondent in waves 1 and 8.]

Variable: kpX_353a  
Waves: 1,8  
Topic: Voting decision  
Item: Recall previous federal election first vote, other party

Filter: kpX_350a = 801

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE (DIE RECHTE)
- FAMILIE (Familiener Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT Tierschutz)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(214) PBC
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_350a were recoded into the variables kpX_350aa and kpX_350ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpX_353b
Waves: 1, 8
Topic: Voting decision
Item: Recall previous federal election second vote, other party

Filter: kpX_350b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE (DIE RECHTE)
- FAMILIE (Familiener Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT Tierschutz)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(214) PBC
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_350b were recoded into the variables kpX_350ba and kpx_350bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]
Party ratings in general

Variable: kpX_430a-f,i
Waves: 1-9 Topic: Party ratings in general Item: Scalometer parties

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven’t heard of" settled out a bit
Question:
What do you think of the different parties in general?
Instructions:
Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven’t heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_730
Waves: 1-8,a1 Topic: Party ratings in general Item: Scalometer government

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question wave 1-7,a1:
Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and SPD?

Question wave 8:
Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of CDU/CSU and SPD in the last four years?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
Variable: kpX_731a-c
Waves: 1-8.a1  Topic: Party ratings in general  Item: Scalarmeter governing parties

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question wave 1-7.a1:
And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

Question wave 8:
And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance over the last four years? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer
Candidates

| Variable: kpX_650a,t,z1,c,s,w,v1,y,p,j1,l1,m1,n1,o1 |
| Waves: 1-9,a1 | Topic: Candidates | Item: Scalometer politicians |

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the politician at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the politician"; "haven't heard of"-category is settled out a bit

Question:
Please state what you think of some leading politicians.

Wave 1:
(A) Angela Merkel
(T) Sigmar Gabriel
(C) Horst Seehofer
(S) Christian Lindner
(W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
(V) Katja Kipping
(P) Frauke Petry

Wave 2-4:
(A) Angela Merkel
(Z) Martin Schulz
(C) Horst Seehofer
(S) Christian Lindner
(W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
(Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
(P) Frauke Petry

Wave a1:
(A) Angela Merkel
(Z) Martin Schulz
(C) Horst Seehofer
(S) Christian Lindner
(W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
(Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
(P) Frauke Petry

Wave 5-7:
(A) Angela Merkel
(Z) Martin Schulz
(T) Sigmar Gabriel
(C) Horst Seehofer
(S) Christian Lindner
(W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
(Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
(P) Frauke Petry

Wave 8:
(A) Angela Merkel
(Z) Martin Schulz
(T) Sigmar Gabriel
(C) Horst Seehofer
(S) Christian Lindner
(W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
(Y) Sahra Wagenknecht
(P) Frauke Petry
(J) Alexander Gauland

Wave 9:
(A) Angela Merkel
(Z) Martin Schulz
(T) Sigmar Gabriel
(C) Horst Seehofer
(S) Christian Lindner
(W) Katrin Göring-Eckardt
Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Variable: kpX_671
Waves: 2-8
Topic: Candidates
Item: Preferred chancellor

Filter: kpX_650a!= -71
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)
Intro: Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz are the candidates for chancellor of the two major parties.

Question wave 2-7:
Who would you prefer to see as chancellor after the federal election?

Question wave 8:
Who would you prefer to see as chancellor?

- Angela Merkel
- Martin Schulz
- none of them

Coding:
(1) Angela Merkel
(2) Martin Schulz
(3) none of them

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Variable: kpX_661a-d,t
Waves: 3,5
Topic: Candidates
Item: Attributes of chancellor candidates, Merkel

Filter: kpX_650a!= -71
Presentation: Standard matrix
Question: To what extent do the following statements apply to Angela Merkel?

(A) She is assertive.
(B) She is trustworthy.
(C) She is a likeable person.
(D) She has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.
(T) She represents the values and political views of the CDU/CSU.

Coding:
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_661e-h.u
Waves: 3,5
Topic: Candidates
Item: Attributes of chancellor candidates, Schulz

Filter: kpX_650z!= -71

Presentation:
Standard matrix

Question:
To what extent do the following statements apply to Martin Schulz?

(E) He is assertive.
(F) He is trustworthy.
(G) He is a likeable person.
(H) He has reasonable ideas about how to boost the economy.
(U) He represents the values and political views of the SPD.

Coding:
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely

(-98) don't know

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_662a,b
Waves: 4,6
Topic: Candidates
Item: Ambivalence politicians, negative feelings

Filter kpX_650a ≠ -71; kpX_650z1 ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective politician or who did not answer this question)

Presentation:
Standard matrix; italicize "negative"

Question:
Some people have only positive or negative feelings towards certain politicians. Others have both positive and negative feelings. How about you? How strong are your negative feelings towards…?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Martin Schulz

Coding:
(1) no negative feelings at all
(2) not very strong
(3) moderate
(4) strong
(5) very strong

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
**German Longitudinal Election Study 2017: Short-term Campaign Panel**

**Variable:** kpX_663a,b  
**Waves:** 4, 6  
**Topic:** Candidates  
**Item:** Ambivalence politicians, positive feelings  
**Filter:** kpX_650a ≠ -71; kpX_650z1 ≠ -71 (only respondents who state that they know the respective politician or who did not answer this question)  
**Presentation:**  
Standard matrix; italicize "positive"  
**Question:**  
And how strong are your positive feelings towards…?

(A) Angela Merkel  
(B) Martin Schulz  

**Coding:**  
(1) no positive feelings at all  
(2) not very strong  
(3) moderate  
(4) strong  
(5) very strong  

------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_680a,b  
**Waves:** 3, 6  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Left-right assessment, chancellor candidates  
**Filter:**  
kpX_650a!=-71 & kpX_650z1=-71 >> Receive intro, question 1 and only item (A)  
kpX_650a=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 2 and only item (B)  
kpX_650a!=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 3 and both items  
**Presentation:**  
Standard matrix with numerical label; additionally, label of the endpoints "1 left" and "11 right"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit  
**Intro:**  
In politics people often talk about "left" and "right".  
**Question 1:**  
Where would you rank Angela Merkel?  
**Question 2:**  
Where would you rank Martin Schulz?  
**Question 3:**  
Where would you rank the two chancellor candidates?

(A) Angela Merkel  
(B) Martin Schulz  

**Coding:**  
(1) 1 left  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7  
(8) 8  
(9) 9  
(10) 10  
(11) 11 right  

(-98) don't know  
------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable
variable: kpX_1080a,b  
waves: 3,6  
topic: Political positions  
item: Socio-economic dimension, chancellor candidates

filter:  
kpX_650a!=71 & kpX_650z1=-71 >> Receive intro, question 1 and only item (A)  
kpX_650a=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 2 and only item (B)  
kpX_650a!=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 3 and both items

presentation:  
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

intro:  
Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

question 1:  
What is Angela Merkel's position on taxes and social services?

question 2:  
What is Martin Schulz's position on taxes and social services?

question 3:  
What are the positions of the two chancellor candidates on taxes and social services?

(a) Angela Merkel  
(b) Martin Schulz

coding:  
(1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services  
(2) 2  
(3) 3  
(4) 4  
(5) 5  
(6) 6  
(7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-98) don't know

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

variable: kpX_1120a,b  
waves: 3,6  
topic: Political positions  
item: Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, chancellor candidates

filter:  
kpX_650a!=-71 & kpX_650z1=-71 >> Receive intro, question 1 and only item (A)  
kpX_650a=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 2 and only item (B)  
kpX_650a!=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 3 and both items

presentation:  
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints "immigration for foreigners should be easier" and "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

intro:  
Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

question 1:  
What is Angela Merkel's position on immigration of foreigners?

question 2:  
What is Martin Schulz's position on immigration of foreigners?

question 3:  
What are the positions of the two chancellor candidates on immigration of foreigners?

(a) Angela Merkel  
(b) Martin Schulz

coding:  
(1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier  
(2) 2  
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-98) don't know

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1392a,b
Wave: 6  Topic: Political positions  Item: Security and privacy, chancellor candidates

Filter:
kpX_650a!=71 & kpX_650z1=-71 >> Receive intro, question 1 and only item (A)
kpX_650a=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 2 and only item (B)
kpX_650a!=71 & kpX_650z1!=71 >> Receive intro, question 3 and both items

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "in favour of strong state interference", "against strong state interference"; "don't know" - category is settled out a bit

Intro:
Some people think that the state should interfere without restrictions with the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens in order to combat terrorism. Others think that the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens should always be protected even if it hampers the fight against terrorism.

Question 1:
What is Angela Merkel’s position on state interference in order to combat terrorism?

Question 2:
What is Martin Schulz’s position on state interference in order to combat terrorism?

Question 3:
And what are the positions of the two chancellor candidates on state interference in order to combat terrorism?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Martin Schulz

- in favour of strong state interference
  -
  -
  -
- against strong state interference
  -
  -

Coding:
(1) in favour of strong state interference
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) against strong state interference

(-98) don't know

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1240a,b
Wave: 6  Topic: Political positions  Item: European integration, chancellor candidates

Filter:
kpX_650a!=-71 & kpX_650z1=-71 >> Receive intro, question 1 and only item (A)
kpX_650a=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 2 and only item (B)
kpX_650a!=-71 & kpX_650z1!=-71 >> Receive intro, question 3 and both items

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints "European unification should be pushed further" and "European unification has already gone too far"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

**Question 1:**
What is Angela Merkel’s position on European unification?

**Question 2:**
What is Martin Schulz’s position on European unification?

**Question 3:**
And what are the positions of the two chancellor candidates on European unification?

(A) Angela Merkel
(B) Martin Schulz

- European unification should be pushed further
- -
- -
- -
- -
- European unification has already gone too far
- don’t know

**Coding:**

(1) European unification should be pushed further
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) European unification has already gone too far

(-98) don’t know

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer
Valence issues

Variable: kpX_780
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8
Topic: Valence issues
Item: Personal economic situation, current

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".
Intro:
Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.
Question:
How would you evaluate your own current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

----------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_770
Waves: 5,6,8
Topic: Valence issues
Item: Responsibility for personal economic situation

Filter: kpX_780=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
To what extent do you think that the government’s policies are responsible for this development?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don’t know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don’t know

----------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_820
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8
Topic: Valence issues
Item: General economic situation, current

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?
- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

----------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_810
Waves: 5,6,8
Topic: Valence issues
Item: Responsibility for general economic situation

Filter: kpX_820=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
To what extent do you think that the government’s policies are responsible for this development?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don’t know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don’t know

----------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_840s
Waves: 1-9,a1
Topic: Valence issues
Item: Most important problem

Filter:
Presentation:
Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize “only one”

Question:
In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:
Please mention only one problem.

Coding:
[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern "Agendafragen"]

----------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer
Variable: kpX_850  
Waves: 1-9,a1  
Topic: Valence issues  
Item: Ability to solve the most important problem

Filter: kpX_840s≠-99  
Presentation:  
And which party is best able to handle this problem?  

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
- Die Linke  
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
- other party  
- all parties equally good  
- no party

Coding:  
(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) GRÜNE  
(7) DIE LINKE  
(322) AfD  
(801) other party  
(809) all parties equally good  
(808) no party

------------------------------------------------------------------
(93) not asked, terminated  
(95) not participated  
(97) not applicable  
(99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_851 were recoded into the variables kpX_850a and kpX_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kpX_851  
Waves: 1-9,a1  
Topic: Valence issues  
Item: Ability to solve the most important problem, other party

Filter: kpX_850=801  
Presentation:  
And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?  

Wave 1:  
- ALFA (Allianz für Fortschritt und Aufbruch)  
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)  
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)  
- DIE RECHTE  
- FAMILIE (Familiend-Partei Deutschlands)  
- Freie Wähler (FW)  
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)  
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)  
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)  
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)  
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)  
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)  
- other party

From wave 2 onwards:
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIER SCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
Wave 1:
(331) ALFA
(120) BIG
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(214) PBC
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

From wave 2 onwards:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR
(331) LKR
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_850 were recoded into the variables kpX_850a and kpX_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

5 The LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer) party was called “ALFA” until November 2016. Accordingly, the name was changed in the instrument for wave 2. However, since it is the same party, the code remains identical.
### Variable: kpX_860s
#### Waves: 1-9,a1
#### Topic: Valence issues
#### Item: Second most important problem

**Filter:** kpX_840s != -99

**Presentation:**
Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"

**Question:**
In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

**Instructions:**
Please mention *only one* problem.

---

**Coding:**
[Open answers have been coded by coding pattern "Agendafragen"]

- (-93) not asked, terminated
- (-95) not participated
- (-97) not applicable
- (-99) no answer

---

### Variable: kpX_870
#### Waves: 1-9,a1
#### Topic: Valence issues
#### Item: Ability to solve the second most important problem

**Filter:** kpX_860s != -97 | kpX_860s != -99

**Presentation:**

**Question:**
And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party
- all parties equally good
- no party

**Coding:**
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party
(809) all parties equally good
(808) no party

---

**NOTE:** This variable and the variables kpX_871 were recoded into the variables kpX_870a and kpX_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

**Wave 1:**
- ALFA (Allianz für Fortschritt und Aufbruch)
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKÄNER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIER-SCHUTZ)
- other party

**From wave 2 onwards:**
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformen
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKÄNER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIER-SCHUTZ)
- other party

**Coding:**

**Wave 1:**
(331) ALFA
(120) BIG
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(214) PBC
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

**From wave 2 onwards:**
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR\(^6\)
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_870 were recoded into the variables kpX_870a and kpX_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_918a-e</td>
<td>Coalition talks</td>
<td>Behaviour parties, overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave: 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix, 11-point scale

**Question:**
How would you rate the overall behaviour of the following parties in the negotiations to form a new federal government after the 2017 federal election?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

**Coding:**
(1) -5 very bad
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 very good

(-98) don’t know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kpX_919a-e</td>
<td>Coalition talks</td>
<td>Behaviour parties, willingness to compromise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave: 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix

**Question:**
Thinking about the coalition negotiations after the 2017 federal election, how would you rate the willingness of the following parties to compromise?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

\(^6\) The LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer) party was called "ALFA" until November 2016. Accordingly, the name was changed in the instrument for wave 2. However, since it is the same party, the code remains identical.
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

**Coding:**
(1) very willing to compromise
(2) willing to compromise
(3) partly willing/partly unwilling to compromise
(4) not so willing to compromise
(5) not at all willing to compromise

(-98) don't know
-------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_921a-e
**Wave:** 9  
**Topic:** Coalition talks  
**Item:** Behaviour parties, assertiveness

**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Standard matrix

**Question:** Thinking about the coalition negotiations after the 2017 federal election, how would you rate the assertiveness of the following parties?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

**Coding:**
(1) very assertive
(2) assertive
(3) partly assertive/partly unassertive
(4) not so assertive
(5) not at all assertive

(-98) don't know
-------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_920a-e
**Wave:** 9  
**Topic:** Coalition talks  
**Item:** Behaviour parties, faithfulness to principles

**Filter:**
**Presentation:** Standard matrix

**Question:** Thinking about the coalition negotiations after the 2017 federal election, how principled do you rate the following parties to be?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

**Coding:**
(1) very principled
(2) principled
(3) partly principled/partly unprincipled
(4) not so principled
(5) not at all principled

(-98) don't know
-------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
Who do you think is responsible for the failure of the exploratory talks on forming a Jamaica coalition?

Instructions: Multiple answers are possible.

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(D) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(J) No one
(K) I don’t know [labelled in dataset as "don’t know”]

Coding:
(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned

How do you rate the decision of the FDP to break off the exploratory talks on forming a Jamaica coalition?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(J) I don’t know [labelled in dataset as "don’t know”]

Coding:
(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned

How do you rate the decision of the FDP to break off the exploratory talks on forming a Jamaica coalition?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(J) I don’t know [labelled in dataset as "don’t know”]

Coding:
(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned
Political positions

Variable: kpX_2880a-af
Waves: 1-9  Topic: Political positions  Item: Ego positions

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.

Question:
How about you? Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) Homosexual civil partnerships should also have the right to adopt children as well.
(B) Refugees who come to Germany for economic reasons should be deported.
(C) Islamic communities should be subject to surveillance by the state.
(D) The state should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.
(E) Citizens should be able to induce a binding referendum at federal level.
(F) The exercise of the Islamic faith should be restricted in Germany.
(G) The state should stay out of the economy.
(H) State powers in the fight against crime should be extended, even though this will lead to increased surveillance of citizens.
(I) Islam fits in German society.
(J) Rich citizens should pay more taxes in the future than they do now.
(K) The federal government should seek to maintain a good relationship with Donald Trump.
(L) Germany should provide financial support for EU member states experiencing great economic and financial difficulties.
(M) The federal government should seek to maintain a good relationship with Vladimir Putin.
(N) Politics should introduce a limit for salaries of managers.
(O) The world is so complicated that it's impossible to know how things can carry on.
(P) Today's problems are so complex that they can no longer be solved by politics.
(U) The power supply should be secured even by the use of nuclear power.
(V) All things considered, globalisation is a good thing.
(W) From 2030 onwards, no new cars with petrol or diesel engines should be registered in Germany.
(X) Germany needs an annual upper limit ("Obergrenze") for refugees.
(Y) Germany's defence expenditure should be increased over the next few years.
(Z) Germany should accept the annexation of Crimea by Russia for the time being.
(AA) The European Union should reduce funding for member states that refuse to take in refugees.
(AB) The federal government reacted appropriately to the contamination of eggs with pesticides.
(AC) The federal government reacted appropriately to manipulations of exhaust emission by German car manufacturers.
(AD) A road toll for foreign car drivers should be implemented.
(AE) EU accession negotiations with Turkey should be broken off.
(AF) All EU member states should adopt the euro as a common currency.
(AG) The global integration of markets should be pushed forward further.
(AH) Germany should restrict the import of goods from other countries.
(Al) Foreign companies should be allowed to invest in Germany.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

---

Variable: kpX_4033
Wave: 7  Topic: Current topics  Item: House cleaner affair, exposure

Filter:
**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
Have you heard or read media reports about Alice Weidel and her house cleaner?

- yes
- no
- don’t know

**Coding:**
(1) yes
(2) no
(-98) don’t know

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_4034
**Wave:** 7  
**Topic:** Current topics  
**Item:** House cleaner affair, credibility

**Filter:** kpX_4033=1

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
How credible do you think the reports are that Alice Weidel employed an asylum seeker illegally as a house cleaner?

- very credible
- fairly credible
- partly credible/partly non-credible
- not so credible
- not credible at all

**Coding:**
(1) very credible
(2) fairly credible
(3) partly credible/partly non-credible
(4) not so credible
(5) not credible at all

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (97) not applicable
- (99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_4035
**Wave:** 7  
**Topic:** Current topics  
**Item:** House cleaner affair, opinion

**Filter:** kpX_4034=1-3

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
What do you think about Alice Weidel illegally employing an asylum seeker as a house cleaner?

- very problematic
- quite problematic
- neither problematic nor unproblematic
- not so problematic
- not problematic at all

**Coding:**
(1) very problematic
(2) quite problematic
(3) neither problematic nor unproblematic
(4) not so problematic
(5) not problematic at all
Variable: kpX_1490a-f,i
Waves: 2,4,7
Topic: Political positions
Item: Left-right assessment, parties

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix with numerical label; additionally, label of the endpoints "1 left" and "11 right"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit
Intro:
In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:
Where would you place the following parties on this scale?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:
(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

Variable: kpX_1500
Waves: 1-4, a1, 6, 7
Topic: Political positions
Item: Left-right self-assessment

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix with numerical label from 1 to 11; label of endpoints from "1 left" to "11 right"
Intro in wave 1.a1; in wave 3, 6 only if kp3_650a=-71 & kp3_650z=-71
In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".

Question:
And where would you place yourself?

- 1 left
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don't know
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**Coding:**

(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

(-98) don’t know

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(99) no answer

**NOTE:** wave 1: Due to a programming error, all "don't know"-values have been saved as "no answer".

**Variable:** kpX_1070a-f,i

**Waves:** 2,4,7

**Topic:** Political positions

**Item:** Socio-economic dimension, parties

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**

Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

**Intro:**

Now, let us shift attention to several political issues.

**Question:**

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

**Coding:**

(1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

(-98) don’t know

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(99) no answer

**NOTE:** ATTENTION regarding cumulation:

In the 2013 Campaign Panel: (A) CDU/CSU, (B) was not assigned.
In the 2009 Campaign Panel: (A) CDU and (B) CSU]

**Variable:** kpX_1090

**Waves:** 1-4,a1,6-8

**Topic:** Political positions

**Item:** Socio-economic dimension, ego

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Intro:
Now, let us shift attention to several political issues.

Question:
Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
- 
- 
- 
- 
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:
(1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1110a-f,i
Waves: 2,4,7
Topic: Political positions
Item: Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, parties

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier" and "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit

Question:
Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

Coding:
(1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-98) don't know
----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: ATTENTION regarding cumulation:
In the 2013 Campaign Panel: (A) CDU/CSU, (B) was not assigned.
In the 2009 Campaign Panel: (A) CDU and (B) CSU.

**Variable:** kpX_1130  
**Waves:** 1-4,a1,6-8  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"

**Question in wave 1,2,4,a1,7,8:**
Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your personal view on immigration of foreigners?  
**in wave 3 and 6:**
Intro (display only if kpX_650a=-71 & kpX_650z1=-71):
Let's turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

**Question:**
What is your personal view on immigration of foreigners?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

**Coding:**
(1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_1270a-f,i  
**Waves:** 2,4,7  
**Topic:** Political positions  
**Item:** Climate change, parties

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; only labels at the endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"; "don’t know" category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**
Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)  
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)  
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]  
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]  
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

**Coding:**
(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

(-98) don't know

-------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1290
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7,8  Topic: Political positions  Item: Climate change, ego

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"

Question wave 1,a1,8:
Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What is your personal view on this issue?

Question wave 2, 4, 7:
What is your personal view on climate change and economic growth?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
  -
  -
  -
- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

Coding:
(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

-------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1391a-f,i
Waves: 2,4,7  Topics: Political positions  Item: Security and privacy, parties

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "in favour of strong state interference", "against strong state interference"; "don't know"- category is settled a bit

Question:
Some people think that the state should interfere without restrictions with the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens in order to combat terrorism. Others think that the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens should always be protected even if it hampers the fight against terrorism.

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
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Variable: kpX_1411  
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,6-8  
Topics: Political positions  
Item: Security and privacy, ego

Filter:  
Presentation:  
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: “in favour of strong state interference”, “against strong state interference”

Question wave 1, a1, 6 (in wave 6, first section/intro: (display only if kp6_650a==−71 & kp6_650z==−71)):  
Some people think that the state should interfere without restrictions in the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens in order to combat terrorism. Others think that the privacy and freedom of movement of citizens should always be protected even if it hampers the fight against terrorism.

What is your personal view on state interference in order to combat terrorism?

Question wave 2, 4, 7:  
And what is your personal view on state interference in order to combat terrorism?

- in favour of strong state interference
- 
- 
- 
- against strong state interference

Coding:  
(1) in favour of strong state interference  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7) against strong state interference

(-98) don’t know

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1210  
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7-8  
Topics: Political positions  
Item: Integration, ego

Filter:  
Presentation:  
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: “foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture”, “foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture”

Question:  
There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your personal view on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:
(1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables:</th>
<th>kpX_1100; kpX_1140; kpX_1220; kpX_1300; kpX_1421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves:</td>
<td>2,4,7,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic:</td>
<td>Political positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item:</td>
<td>Socio-economic dimension, importance; Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, importance; Integration foreigners, importance; Climate change, importance; Security and privacy, importance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; label from left "not important at all" to right "very important"

Question:
And how important are these issues to you personally?

(1100) social services and taxes
(1140) immigration of foreigners
(1220) integration of foreigners
(1300) economic growth and fight against climate change
(1421) state interference in order to combat terrorism

Coding:
(1) very important
(2) somewhat important
(3) in between
(4) not very important
(5) not important at all

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable:</th>
<th>kpX_1230a-f.i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wave:</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic:</td>
<td>Political positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item:</td>
<td>European integration, parties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit

Intro:
Let's turn to the issue of European unification.

Question:
Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What do you think are the positions of the political parties on this issue?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
German Longitudinal Election Study 2017: Short-term Campaign Panel

(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)

- European unification should be pushed further
- -
- -
- -
- European unification has already gone too far
- don’t know

Coding:
(1) European unification should be pushed further
(2) 
(3) 
(4) 
(5) 
(6) 
(7) European unification has already gone too far

(-93) don’t know
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1250
Waves: 1, a, 6–8  Topics: Political positions  Item: European integration, ego

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: “European unification should be pushed further”, “European unification has already gone too far”

Intro (wave 1 & 8):
Let’s turn to the issue of European unification.

Question:
Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your personal view on European unification?

- European unification should be pushed further
- -
- -
- -
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:
(1) European unification should be pushed further
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) European unification has already gone too far

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1260
Wave: 9  Topic: Political positions  Item: Globalisation, ego

Filter:
Presentation:
Semantic differential; only labels at the endpoints: "loser", "winner".

Question:
Do you see yourself as a loser or a winner of globalisation?

- loser
- -
- -
- -
- -
- winner
- don’t know

Coding:
(1) loser
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) winner
(-98) don’t know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predispositions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable:</strong> kpX_2090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waves:</strong> 1-9,a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topic:</strong> Predispositions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item:</strong> Party identification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Intro:**
In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.

**Question:**
How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party
- no party

**Coding:**
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party
(808) no party

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_2091 were recoded into the variables kpX_2090a and kpX_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2091</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waves:</strong> 1-9,a1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topic:</strong> Predispositions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item:</strong> Party identification, other party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:** kpX_2090=801

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

**Wave 1:**
- ALFA (Allianz für Fortschritt und Aufbruch)
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- DIE PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSSCHUTZ)
From wave 2 onwards:
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
Wave 1:
(331) ALFA
(120) BIG
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(214) PBC
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

From wave 2 onwards:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR\(^1\)
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_2090 were recoded into the variables kpX_2090a and kpX_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

\(^1\) The LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer) party was called “ALFA” until November 2016. Accordingly, the name was changed in the instrument for wave 2. However, since it is the same party, the code remains identical.
### Variable: kpX_2100
**Waves:** 1-9,a1  
**Topic:** Predispositions  
**Item:** Party identification, strength

**Filter:** kpX_2090>0 & <=801  
**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)  
**Question:** All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly  
- fairly strongly  
- moderately  
- fairly weakly  
- very weakly

**Coding:**  
(1) very strongly  
(2) fairly strongly  
(3) moderately  
(4) fairly weakly  
(5) very weakly

---

### Variable: kpX_2095
**Waves:** 1-9,a1  
**Topic:** Predispositions  
**Item:** Multiple party identification

**Filter:** kpX_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kpX_2090 anymore. If kpX_2090=1 (CDU/CSU), then show neither response option 2 (CDU) nor response option 3 (CSU). If kpX_2090=2 | 3, then do not display response option 1 at kpX_2150 anymore.  
**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)  
**Question:** Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don’t. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/ Christlich-Soziale Union)  
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)  
- CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
- Die Linke  
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
- other party  
- no other party

**Coding:**  
(1) CDU/CSU  
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) GRÜNE  
(7) DIE LINKE  
(322) AfD  
(808) other party  
(801) no other party

---

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kpX_2096 were recoded into the variables kpX_2095a and kpX_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

[NOTE II: There was a filter error here in waves 4 and 7: The respondents could name the same party mentioned in kpX_2090a and kpX_2090b again. If this was the case, the variable for the corresponding cases was recoded into -92 "error in data". This concerns 18 respondents in wave 4 and 19 respondents in wave 7].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2096</th>
<th>Waves: 1-9.a1</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Multiple party identification, other party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:** kpX_2095=801

**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)

**Question:** And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

### Wave 1:
- ALFA (Allianz für Fortschritt und Aufbruch)
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Parlamentary Party of Germany)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (Die Republikaner)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

### From wave 2 onwards:
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Parlamentary Party of Germany)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformers
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (Die Republikaner)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

**Coding:**

### Wave 1:
- (331) ALFA
- (120) BIG
- (151) Die PARTEI
- (323) DIE RECHTE
- (171) FAMILIE
- (180) FREIE WÄHLER
- (206) NPD
- (209) ödp
- (214) PBC
- (215) PIRATEN
- (218) pro Deutschland
- (225) REP
- (237) Tierschutzpartei
- (801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
From wave 2 onwards:

(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:
(1) very strongly
(2) fairly strongly
(3) moderately
(4) fairly weakly
(5) very weakly

Variable: kpX_2101
Waves: 1-9,a1
Topic: Predispositions
Item: Multiple party identification, strength

Variable: kpX_5000a-c
Waves: 1,[2],a1,9
Topic: Predispositions
Item: National identity as social identity

Filter wave 2: only respondents from the 2013 Campaign Panel who did not participate in the October 2016 wave

Presentation:
Standard matrix

Question:
Please state whether the statements apply to you or not.

The LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer) party was called "ALFA" until November 2016. Accordingly, the name was changed in the instrument for wave 2. However, since it is the same party, the code remains identical.
(A) Being German is very important to me.
(B) When talking about the Germans, I say "we" more often than "they".
(C) The adjective "German" suits me very well.

Coding:
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely

-------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

NOTE: This is a dependent-interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_5000 and kpa1_5000 has been summarized in kp1_5000 and kp2_5000, and kpa1_5000 were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kp1_5000flag.

Variable: kpX_5010a-j
Waves: 1,a1,9  Topic: Predispositions  Item: Form of national identity

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; A-E screen 1, F-J screen 2

Question screen 1:
Some people say that the following things are important for being truly German. Others say they are not important. How important do you think each of the following is…

(A) …to have been born in Germany.
(B) …to have German ancestry.
(C) …to have lived in Germany for all of one’s life.
(D) …to share German manners and norms.
(E) …to speak accent-free German.

Question screen 2:
And how important are the following things to be truly German? How important do you think each of the following is…

(F) … to have a Christian worldview.
(G) … to respect Germany’s political institutions and laws.
(H) … to have democratic convictions.
(I) … to attend to one’s civic duties.
(J) … to treat people of all backgrounds equally.

Coding:
(1) not important at all
(2) not very important
(3) in between
(4) somewhat important
(5) very important

-------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: A to D should capture the existence of an ethnic definition, E to G the existence of a cultural definition, and H to J the existence of a civic definition of national identity].

Variable: kpX_2200a-e
Waves: 3,a1,9  Topic: Predispositions  Item: Attachment battery

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
People feel attached to Germany, Europe, their state and their community to a different degree.
**Question:**
How about you? How strongly do you feel attached to…?

(A) the community you live in?
(B) the state you live in?
(C) Germany?
(D) the European Union?
(E) Europe?

**Coding:**
(1) not attached at all
(2) less attached
(3) moderately
(4) rather attached
(5) strongly attached

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_1483a-h</th>
<th>Waves: 2,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Foreign policy orientations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard matrix; items are split on two screens; column labels according to coding labels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intro:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question screen 1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) The use of military force is never justified.
(B) Germany shouldn’t deal with global issues but should focus on its domestic problems.
(C) On foreign policy issues, Germany should act in harmony with the USA.
(D) In international crises, Germany and its allies should agree on a common position.

**Question screen 2:**
Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

(E) Germany should play a more active role in global politics.
(F) War is sometimes necessary to protect a country’s interests.
(G) Germany should take care of its security primarily on its own.
(H) Germany should pursue its interests vis-à-vis the USA with more self-confidence.

**Coding:**
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2250</th>
<th>Waves: 2,a1,6,9</th>
<th>Topic: Predispositions</th>
<th>Item: Fairness social order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Let’s talk about the state of society in Germany as a whole. How just or unjust would you say things are generally going?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- very just
- just
- neither just nor unjust
- unjust
Variable: kpX_2270  
Waves: 2,a1,6,9  
Topic: Predispositions  
Item: Fairness, ego

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
In comparison to how other people live here in Germany, do you think that you get a fair share or not?

- a lot less than the fair share
- a bit less than the fair share
- the fair share
- a bit more than the fair share
- a lot more than the fair share

Coding:
(1) a lot more than the fair share
(2) a bit more than the fair share
(3) the fair share
(4) a bit less than the fair share
(5) a lot less than the fair share

Variable: kpX_1555a-e  
Waves: 3,6  
Topic: Predispositions  
Item: Political motivation

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix

Intro:
People have different reasons for the content that they consume on television, the Internet or in newspapers. Please state whether and to what extent the following statements apply to you or not.

Question:
When I watch a political TV programme or read an article about politics, I do so because...

(A) ... I find politics exciting.
(B) ... others would look down on me otherwise.
(C) ... following political events is part of what makes me a person.
(D) ... I'm looking for information to make a voting decision.
(E) ... one should follow politics even if one doesn't feel like it.

Coding:
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely
Personality

**Variable:** kpX_1572  
**Waves:** 1,a1  
**Topic:** Personality  
**Item:** Willingness to take risks

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "risk averse", "very willing to take risks"

**Question:**
How do you see yourself: Are you generally a person who is fully prepared to take risks or do you try to avoid taking risks?

**Coding:**
(1) risk averse  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11) very willing to take risks

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_1570g,h,i  
**Waves:** 3,a1  
**Topic:** Personality  
**Item:** Need for Cognitive Closure

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix on two screens; label of columns like coding labels

**Intro:**
Here are several statements for describing your own personality.

**Question:**
Please state whether the statements apply to you or not.

(G) I don't like unpredictable situations.  
(H) I prefer tasks where it's clear what and how it has to be done.  
(I) I favour things that I am used to over those that I don't know yet and can't predict.

**Coding:**
(1) does not apply at all  
(2) rather does not apply  
(3) neither applies nor does not apply  
(4) rather applies  
(5) applies completely

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_2180a-o  
**Waves:** 1,a1  
**Topic:** Personality  
**Item:** Big 5

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; distribution of items on three screens

**Intro wave 1:**
Here are again some questions about you.  
**Intro wave a1:**
Coming back to you.
**Question screen 1:**
To what extent do the following statements apply to you?

(N) I am considerate and friendly with others.
(A) I tend to be somewhat shy and reserved.
(F) I work effective and efficiently.
(G) I have an active imagination and am inventive.
(K) I easily get nervous and uneasy.

**Question screen 2:**
To what extent do the following statements apply to you?

(B) I am extroverted and sociable.
(D) I am lazy.
(O) I tend to criticize others.
(H) I have little artistic interest.
(L) I am relaxed. Stress does not upset me.

**Question screen 3:**
And to what extent do the following statements apply to you?

(C) I am communicative and talkative.
(J) I worry a lot.
(E) I perform tasks very thoroughly.
(I) I am inventive and propose new ideas.
(M) Sometimes I am a little rough with others.

**Coding:**
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_3320a-k
**Waves:** 1.a1 **Topic:** Personality **Item:** Schwartz values

**Intro:**
You've almost reached the end of the survey and you're about to get your 200 mingle points. Finally, we have four screens with questions about your personality. We are aware that these questions often sound very similar. We would be very happy if you could take the time to answer these questions. By doing so, you will help us a lot! In the upcoming surveys of the GLES study, we will not ask you these questions again.

At the end, you can tell us how you liked our survey and what we can do better in the future.

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix (type 311) on two screens; six-point scale without numerical label; only label at the endpoints "not like me at all" and "very much like me"

**Intro:**
In what follows, several people are described on the basis of what is important to them.

**Question screen 1:**
Please indicate how much each person is or is not like you.

(A) Thinking up new ideas and being creative is important to him/her. He/she likes to do things in his/her own original way.
(B) It is important to him/her to be rich. He/she wants to have a lot of money and expensive things.
(C) He/she thinks it is important that every person in the world should be treated equally. He/she believes everyone should have equal opportunities in life.
(D) It is important to him/her to show his/her abilities. He/she wants people to admire what he/she does.
(E) It is important to him/her to live in secure surroundings. He/she avoids anything that might endanger his/her safety.

**Question screen 2:**
And how much is this person like or not like you?

(F) He/she likes surprises and is always looking for new things to do. He/she thinks it is important to do lots of different things in life.

(G) Having a good time is important to him/her. He/she likes to "spoil" himself/herself.

(H) It is important to him/her to listen to people who are different from him/her. Even when he/she disagrees with them, he/she still wants to understand them.

(I) It is important to him/her to make his/her own decisions about what he/she does. He/she likes to be free and not depend on others.

(J) It is important to him/her to be humble and modest. He/she tries not to draw attention to himself/herself.

(K) It is very important to him/her to help the people around him/her. He/she wants to care for their well-being.

**Coding:**
(1) 1 not like me at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6 very much like me

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

---

**Variable:** kpX_3320l-u  
**Waves:** 1,a1  
**Topic:** Personality  
**Item:** Schwartz values II

**Filter:**
Presentation:
Standard matrix (type 311) on two screens; six-point scale without numerical label; only label at the endpoints "not like me at all" and "very much like me"

**Intro:**
Here you find again a description of several people on the basis of what is important to them.

**Question screen 1:**
Please indicate how much each person is or is not like you.

(L) Being very successful is important to him/her. He/she hopes people will recognise his/her achievements.

(M) It is important to him/her that the government ensures his/her safety against all threats. He/she wants the state to be strong so it can defend its citizens.

(N) It is important to him/her always to behave properly. He/she wants to avoid doing anything people would say is wrong.

(O) It is important to him/her to get respect from others. He/she wants people to do what he/she says.

(P) It is important to him/her to be loyal to his/her friends. He/she wants to devote himself/herself to people close to him/her.

**Question screen 2:**
And how much is this person like or not like you?

(Q) He/she thinks people should follow rules at all times. He/she believes that people should do what they're told.

(R) He/she seeks every chance he/she can to have fun. It is important to him/her to do things that give him/her pleasure.

(S) He/she looks for adventures and likes to take risks. He/she wants to have an exciting life.

(T) It is important to the person to preserve the customs that he/she has learned. He/she thinks it is best to do things the traditional way.

(U) He/she strongly believes that people should care for nature. Looking after the environment is important to him/her.

**Coding:**
(1) 1 not like me at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6 very much like me
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer
### Political knowledge

#### Variable: kpX_090
**Waves**: 1, a, 1, 6  
**Topic**: Political Knowledge  
**Item**: Political knowledge: 5%-threshold

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Text field (single row) with three characters; in front of the text field is written "at least" and afterwards "percent"; numbers with decimal places may be entered; letters may not be entered. Plausibility check if no numbers were entered: "Please enter a number."; with "don't-know-category" as answering option; programming so that no respondent is able to make inconsistent answers (e.g. ticking a checkbox and entering a percentage).

**Intro wave a1:**
Now we have three questions about elections in Germany for you.

**Question:**
Which percentage of votes does a party definitely need to send representatives to the Bundestag?
- at least ___ percent
- don't know

**Coding:**

(-98) don't know

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: For additional information see variable kpX_090_v1 in the dataset which only differentiates between correct and false answers to the question about the 5%-threshold.]

#### Variable: kpX_110
**Waves**: 1, a, 1, 6  
**Topic**: Political Knowledge  
**Item**: Political knowledge: 5%-threshold

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
What do you think: Which vote decides how many seats each party will have in parliament?
- the first vote
- the second vote
- both are equally important
- don't know

**Coding:**

(1) the first vote
(2) the second vote
(3) both are equally important
(-98) don't know

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: The correct answer (2 – the second vote) has been marked with an asterisk (*).]

#### Variable: kpX_130
**Waves**: 1, a, 1, 6  
**Topic**: Political Knowledge  
**Item**: Political knowledge: Electoral law

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
Who elects the Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany?
- the Federal Council (Bundesrat)
- the Federal Assembly (Bundesversammlung)
- the Federal Parliament (Bundestag)
- the people
don’t know

Coding:
(1) the Federal Council (Bundesrat)
(2) the Federal Assembly (Bundesversammlung)
(3) the Federal Parliament (Bundestag)
(4) the people
don’t know

(98) don’t know

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(99) no answer

[NOTE: The correct answer (3 – the Federal Parliament) has been marked with an asterisk (*).]

Variable: kpX_3430q,c,l,p,m,r
Waves: 2,4,6 Topic: Political Knowledge Item: Political knowledge: Matching politicians/parties

Filter:
Presentation:
User-defined matrix; in the rows, the pictures of the different politicians should be shown for answering the question. The names of the parties are in the columns; from wave 4 onwards: “don’t know” category is settled out a bit.

Question screen 1:
Which party do the following politicians belong to?
Instructions in wave 2:
If you are not sure, please guess.

(Q) Picture of Frauke Petry
(C) Picture of Horst Seehofer
(L) Picture of Katrin Göring-Eckardt

Question screen 2:
Which party do the following politicians belong to?
Instructions in wave 2:
If you are not sure, please guess.

(P) Picture of Christian Lindner
(M) Picture of Sahra Wagenknecht
(R) Picture of Martin Schulz

Coding:
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(7) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(322) AfD

From wave 4 onwards:
(98) don’t know

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(99) no answer

[NOTE I: The correct answers (Q322, C3, L6, P5, M7, R4) have been marked with an asterisk (\*).]

[NOTE II: Display errors in some waves: respondents did not see the pictures of the politicians. Their answers were recoded into -92 “error in data”. This concerns two respondents in wave 2, five respondents in waves 4 and 5, and six respondents (for q,c,l) in wave 6.]

Variable: kpX_3430j,a,s,t,u,v
Waves: 3,5,7 Topic: Political Knowledge Item: Political knowledge: Matching politicians/parties

Filter:
**Presentation:**
User-defined matrix; in the rows, the pictures of the different politicians should be shown for answering the question. The names of the parties are in the columns; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit.

**Question screen 1:**
Which party do the following politicians belong to?

(J) Picture of Sigmar Gabriel  
(A) Picture of Angela Merkel  
(S) Picture of Winfried Kretschmann

**Question screen 2:**
Which party do the following politicians belong to?

(T) Picture of Wolfgang Schäuble  
(U) Picture of Katja Kipping  
(V) Picture of Björn Höcke

**Coding:**
(2) CDU  
(3) CSU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]  
(7) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]  
(322) AfD

(-98) don't know  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: The correct answers (J4, A2, S6, T2, U7, V322) have been marked with an asterisk (*).]  
[NOTE II: Display errors in some waves: respondents did not see the pictures of the politicians. Their answers were recoded into -92 "error in data". This concerns eight respondents and 10 respondents, respectively (in the case of t, u, v) in wave 3; eight respondents and seven respondents, respectively (in the case of t, u, v), in wave 5; one respondent and two respondents respectively (in the case of t, u, v) and one respondent (in the case of u, v) in wave 7.]

**Variable:** kpX_3440  
**Waves:** 2, 7  
**Topic:** Political knowledge  
**Item:** Political knowledge: Unemployment rate

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical); "don't know"-category is settled out a bit.  
**Question:**
What is the current unemployment rate in Germany?

- 4 percent  
- 6 percent  
- 8 percent  
- 10 percent  
- don't know

**Coding:**
(1) 4 percent  
(2) 6 percent  
(3) 8 percent  
(4) 10 percent

(-98) don't know  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: The correct answer "6 percent" (status as of August 2017: 5.7 percent) has been marked with an asterisk (*).]
## Media use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_1621a-f</th>
<th>Waves: 1,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Media use</th>
<th>Item: Most important source of information, usually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical), italicize "in general"

**Intro:**
There are several ways to stay updated on politics.

**Question:**
Which is *in general* your main source of information on politics and parties?

**Instructions:**
Multiple answers are possible.

(A) television  
(B) newspaper  
(C) radio  
(D) Internet  
(E) personal conversations  
(F) another source

**Coding:**
(1) mentioned  
(2) not mentioned

-93 not asked, terminated  
-95 not participated  
-99 no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_1631</th>
<th>Waves: 1,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Media use</th>
<th>Item: Internet use, on average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
On how many days per week, on average, do you use the Internet?

- less than 1 day per week  
- 1 day  
- 2 days  
- 3 days  
- 4 days  
- 5 days  
- 6 days  
- 7 days

**Coding:**
(1) less than 1 day per week  
(2) 1 day  
(3) 2 days  
(4) 3 days  
(5) 4 days  
(6) 5 days  
(7) 6 days  
(8) 7 days

-93 not asked, terminated  
-95 not participated  
-99 no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_1600</th>
<th>Waves: 1,3-8</th>
<th>Topic: Media use</th>
<th>Item: Internet use, politically current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation waves 1,3-7:**
Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"
**Presentation wave 8:**
Single response list (vertical), italicize "week before the federal election"

**Question waves 1,3-7:**
On how many days during the past week did you use the Internet to inform yourself about politics?

**Question wave 8:**
On how many days during the week before the federal election did you use the Internet to inform yourself about politics?

- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

**Coding:**
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX\_1615a,c-f,i,y
**Waves:** 4,5,7
**Topic:** Media use
**Item:** Use of social media, party-related

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
must answer = at least one checkbox must be ticked, checkbox matrix; rows = parties, columns = follow/not follow. Please program kpX\_1615y above the matrix and program in such a way that no inconsistent answers are possible. However, a person is allowed to choose "I don’t use any social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram" and to click "no" for every party. Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible; please check your response." Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."

**Question:**
Do you follow parties or certain politicians on social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram?

(Y) I don’t use any social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram.

(A) CDU/CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD

- yes, I follow the party
- yes, I follow a politician from this party
- no

**Coding kpX\_1615a, c-f,i:**
(1) yes, I follow the party
(2) yes, I follow a politician from this party
(3) yes, I follow the party and a politician from that party
(4) no, I don’t follow [party/politician]
(5) no, I don’t use any social networks

**Coding kpX\_1615y:**
(1) not mentioned
(2) mentioned
Documentation of Questionnaire, wave 1-9

Variable: kpX_1610  
Waves: 4, 5, 7  
Topic: Media use  
Item: Use of social media, politically current

Filter: kpX_1615y==1

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "past week"

Question:
On how many days during the past week did you see or read posts about politics on social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram?
- not at all
- on one day
- on 2 days
- on 3 days
- on 4 days
- on 5 days
- on 6 days
- on 7 days

Coding:
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

Variable: kpX_1616a-c,y  
Waves: 4, 5, 7  
Topic: Media use  
Item: Online participation, politically current

Filter: kpX_1615y==1

Presentation:
Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Below the sliders: check box with label "I didn’t comment on, share, or write any political content." Italicize "past week"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider’s position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

Question:
On how many days during the past week did you comment on, share, or write political content on a social network such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram?
(A) liked or commented on other users’ political posts
(B) forwarded or shared other users’ political posts
(C) wrote and posted political content on my own

(Y) I didn’t comment on, share or write any political content.

Coding kpX_1616a-c:
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Coding kpX_1616y:
(1) mentioned
(2) not mentioned

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_1616a-c_c2,p
**Waves:** 4,5,7
**Topic:** Media use
**Item:** Online participation, politically current, follow-up question

**Filter:** kpX_1616a=1 & kpX_1616b=1 & kpX_1616c=1 & kpX_1616y=2

**Presentation:**
**must answer:** Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days" Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn’t comment on, share, or write any political content." and "I didn’t want to answer this question"; italicize "past week"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible. Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."

**Intro:**
You did not answer the last question.

**Question:**
Does this mean that you did not comment on, share, or write any political content on a social network such as Facebook, Twitter, or Instagram in the past week or that you did not want to answer this question?

**Instructions:**
If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders.

- I didn’t comment on, share, or write any political content.
- I didn’t want to answer this question.

(A) liked or commented on other users’ political posts
(B) forwarded or shared other users’ political posts
(C) wrote and posted political content on my own

**Coding kpX_1616a-c_c2:**
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

**Coding plausibility check variable kpX_1616p:**
(1) I didn’t comment on, share, or write any political content
(2) I didn’t want to answer this question

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kpX_1616a-c. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kpX_16161flag.

NOTE II: wave 4: Some respondents were not shown the follow-up question even though they did not give a valid answer in the previous question. Their answers were recoded to -92 "error in data".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_1681a-f</th>
<th>Waves: 1,3-8</th>
<th>Topic: Media use</th>
<th>Item: Use of TV, news, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was &quot;0 days&quot;; Below the sliders: check box with label &quot;I didn’t watch any news programmes on TV or read political reports on their websites.&quot;; Italicize &quot;past week&quot;/&quot;week before the federal election&quot;. Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider’s position). Text for plausibility check: &quot;The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question wave 1,3-7:**
On how many days during the past week did you watch one of the following news programmes or read political reports on their websites?

**Question wave 8:**
On how many days during the week before the federal election did you watch one of the following news programmes or read political reports on their websites?

**Instructions:**
It is irrelevant whether you watched the news programmes on television or online or read political reports on the news programme’s website.

(A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
(B) Heute/Heute Journal (ZDF)
(C) RTL Aktuell
(D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
(E) news programme of other channel (e.g. n-tv, Pro7)

(F) I didn’t watch any news programmes on TV or read any political reports on their websites.

**Coding kpX_1681a-e:**
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kpX_1681_a-e</th>
<th>(93) not asked, terminated</th>
<th>(95) not participated</th>
<th>(99) no answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Coding kpX_1681f:**
(1) mentioned
(2) not mentioned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kpX_1681f</th>
<th>(93) not asked, terminated</th>
<th>(95) not participated</th>
<th>(99) no answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Variable: kpX_1681p, a-e_c2**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves: 1,3-8</th>
<th>Topic: Media use</th>
<th>Item: Use of TV, news, current, follow-up question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td>kpX_1681a=1 &amp; kpX_1681b=1 &amp; kpX_1681c=1 &amp; kpX_1681d=1 &amp; kpX_1681e=1 &amp; kpX_1681f=2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Presentation:| must answer: Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days". Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn’t watch any news programmes on TV or read political reports on their websites" and "I didn’t want to answer this question"; Italicize "past week"/"the week before the federal election". Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. tick a check box and...
changing the slider’s position). Text for plausibility check: “The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response.”

Intro:
You did not answer the last question.

Question wave 1,3-7:
Does this mean that you did not watch any news programmes in the past week or that you did not want to answer this question?

Question wave 8:
Does this mean that you did not watch any news programmes in the week before the federal election or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:
If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders. Please move the slider even if you only read political reports on the websites of the news programmes.

- I didn’t watch any news programmes on TV or read any political reports on their websites.
- I didn’t want to answer this question.

(A) Tagesschau/Tagesthemen (ARD)
(B) Heute/Heute Journal (ZDF)
(C) RTL Aktuell
(D) Sat.1 Nachrichten
(E) news programme of other channel (e.g. n-tv, Pro7)

Coding kpX_1681a-e_c2:
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(93) not asked, terminated  
(95) not participated  
(97) not applicable  
(99) no answer  

Coding plausibility check variable kpX_1681p:
(1) didn’t watch any news programmes on TV/online  
(2) didn’t want to answer this question  
(3) not mentioned

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(93) not asked, terminated  
(95) not participated  
(97) not applicable  
(99) no answer  

[NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kpX_1681a-f. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kpX_1681flag.]  
[NOTE II: Some respondents were not shown the follow-up question even though they had not moved the sliders. Their answers were recoded into -92 "error in data". This concerns 17 respondents in wave 1; six respondents in waves 3 and 4; five respondents in waves 5 and 6; three respondents in wave 8.]

Variable: kpX_1661a-h
Waves: 1,3-8
Topic: Media use
Item: Use of print media, politically current
Filter:
Presentation:
Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was “0 days”; Below the sliders: check box with label “I didn’t read any articles on politics in the newspapers.”; Italicize “past week”/“week before the federal election”; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider’s position). Text for plausibility check: “The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response.”

Question wave 1,3-7:
On how many days during the past week did you read political reports in the following newspapers or on their websites?
Question wave 8:
On how many days during the week before the federal election did you read political reports in the following newspapers or on their websites?

**Instructions:**
It is irrelevant whether you read the print or the online edition of the newspaper or read political reports on the newspaper's website.

(A) Bild-Zeitung  
(B) Frankfurter Rundschau  
(C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung  
(D) Süddeutsche Zeitung  
(E) Die tageszeitung (taz)  
(F) Die Welt  
(G) other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt,...)  
(H) I didn’t read any articles on politics in the newspapers.

**Coding kpX_1661a-g:**

(1) 0 days  
(2) 1 day  
(3) 2 days  
(4) 3 days  
(5) 4 days  
(6) 5 days  
(7) 6 days  
(8) 7 days

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

**Coding kpX_1661h:**

(1) mentioned  
(2) not mentioned

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_1661a-g_c2, p  
**Waves:** 1,3-8  
**Topic:** Media use  
**Item:** Use of print media, politically current, follow-up question

**Filter:** kpX_1661a=1 & kpX_1661b=1 & kpX_1661c=1 & kpX_1661d=1 & kpX_1661e=1 & kpX_1661f=1 & kpX_1661g=1 & kpX_1661h=2

**Presentation:**
**must answer:** Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."; Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn’t read any articles on politics in the newspapers." and "I didn’t want to answer this question"

**Intro:**
You did not answer the last question.

**Question wave 1,3-7:**
Does this mean that you did not read any articles in the newspapers on politics in the past week or that you did not want to answer this question?

**Question wave 8:**
Does this mean that you did not read any articles in the newspapers on politics in the week before the federal election or that you did not want to answer this question?

**Instructions:**
If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders. Please move the slider even if you only read political reports on the websites of the newspapers.

- I didn’t read any articles on politics in the newspapers  
- I didn’t want to answer this question
(A) Bild-Zeitung  
(B) Frankfurter Rundschau  
(C) Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung  
(D) Süddeutsche Zeitung  
(E) Die tageszeitung (taz)  
(F) Die Welt  
(G) other daily newspaper (local newspaper or regional newspaper, Handelsblatt,...)  

Coding kpX_1661a-g_c2:  
(1) 0 days  
(2) 1 day  
(3) 2 days  
(4) 3 days  
(5) 4 days  
(6) 5 days  
(7) 6 days  
(8) 7 days  

-------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer  

Coding plausibility check variable kpX_1661p:  
(1) didn’t read any articles on politics in the newspapers  
(2) didn’t want to answer this question  
(3) not mentioned  

-------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer  

[NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kpX_1661a-h. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kpX_1661flag.]  
[NOTE II: Some respondents were not shown the follow-up question even though they had not moved the sliders. Their answers were recoded into -92 “error in data.” This concerns two respondents in waves 1, 4, 6 and 8, as well as three respondents in waves 3 and 5.]  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_1701aa-dc</th>
<th>Waves: 1.3-8</th>
<th>Topic: Media use</th>
<th>Item: News magazines, current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkbox matrix; italicize &quot;past week&quot;; Rows: News magazines and weekly newspapers; Columns: Response options: (A) &quot;website&quot;, (B) &quot;print edition/online edition&quot;, (C) &quot;didn’t read&quot;. Please program so that respondents cannot give any inconsistent answers, i.e. respondents cannot tick the boxes &quot;website&quot; and &quot;didn’t read&quot; at the same time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question wave 1.3-7:**  
Did you read any political articles in the following news magazines or weekly newspapers in the *past week*?  

**Question wave 8:**  
Did you read any political articles in the following news magazines or weekly newspapers in the *week before the federal election*?  

**Instructions:**  
If you read both the magazine/weekly newspaper (printed or online edition) and political reports on its website, please click both.  

(A) Der Spiegel  
(B) Focus  
(C) Die Zeit  
(D) Stern  

a) website  
b) print edition/online edition  
c) didn’t read
Coding:
(1) mentioned
(2) not mentioned

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1702
Waves: 1,3-8
Topic: Media use
Item: News magazines, SPIEGEL Online

Filter: kpX_1701aa=1

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical); scale starts at 1 day because we already know that the respondent read Spiegel

Question wave 1,3-7:
On how many days during the past week did you read political reports on the website of Der Spiegel (SPIEGEL ONLINE)?

Question wave 8:
On how many days during the week before the federal election did you read political reports on the website of Der Spiegel (SPIEGEL ONLINE)?

Coding:
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer
Networks

Variable: kpX_1933
Waves: 1-8

Topic: Networks
Item: Conversations about politics, in general

Filter:
Presentation:
Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election")

Question wave 1-7:
On how many days during the past week did you talk with other people about politics?

Question wave 8:
On how many days in the week before the federal election did you talk with other people about politics?

Instructions:
Please move the slider.

Coding:
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1933_c2.p
Waves: 1-8

Topic: Networks
Item: Conversations about politics, follow-up question

Filter: kpX_1933=1

Presentation:
must answer: Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey." Horizontal sliders; the initial position of the pointer was "0 days"; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I didn't talk about politics" and "I didn't want to answer this question"; Italicize "usually"; Please program, so that no inconsistent answers are possible (e.g. ticking a check box and changing the slider's position). Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response."; Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election")

Intro:
You did not answer the last question.

Question wave 1-7:
Does this mean that you didn't talk about politics in the past week or that you did not want to answer this question?

Question wave 8:
Does this mean that you didn't talk about politics in the week before the federal election or that you did not want to answer this question?

Instructions:
If you want to respond to this question now, you can also move the sliders.

- I didn't talk about politics
- I didn't want to answer this question

Coding:
(1) 0 days
(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
Please click on the option that describes your relationship to your discussion partner.

Question if only one discussion partner:
And what is your relationship to your discussion partner?

Question if several discussion partners:
And what is your relationship to your discussion partners?

Instructions:
Please click on the option that best describes your relationship.

---

**Coding plausibility check variable kpX_1933p:**

(1) I didn’t talk about politics
(2) I didn’t want to answer this question
(3) not mentioned

---

**Variable:** kpX_1937a-c  
**Waves:** 1-8  
**Topic:** Networks  
**Item:** Name generator

**Filter:** kpX_1933=2-8 kpX_1933_c2=2-8

**Presentation:**
Three rows with two text fields each (bordered in blue and green, see published screenshots); plausibility check: allow only letters (also with umlauts), no numbers or special characters. Instruction text: "Please enter letters only." Program in such a way that only one letter can be entered in a box. Show no instruction text if no box is filled; treat as -99 = "no answer" (i.e. not a "must answer")! Instruction text if either only the blue or only the green box is filled in a row: "Please enter both the first letter of the first name and the first letter of the last name." Show this instruction text only once; even if respondent still refuses to give a complete answer, forward to kpX_1941a-c and display incomplete initials. Italicize "past week" ("week before the federal election").

**Intro wave 1-7:**
We would now like to ask you a few questions about the people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the past week. These questions will be easier to answer if you think of specific people.

**Intro wave 8:**
We would now like to ask you a few questions about the people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the week before the federal election. These questions will be easier to answer if you think of specific people.

**Question wave 1-7:**
Please enter the initials of up to three people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the past week.

**Question wave 8:**
Please enter the initials of up to three people with whom you talked about politics most frequently in the week before the federal election.

**Instructions:**
Enter the first letter of the first name of your discussion partner in the blue box and the first letter of the last name in the green box.

---

**Variable:** kpX_1941a-c  
**Waves:** 1-8  
**Topic:** Networks  
**Item:** Discussion partner, relationship

**Filter:** kpX_1937a!=99 kpX_1937b!=99 kpX_1937c!=99

**Presentation:**
Matrix, columns = initials of the discussion partner (see published screenshots); radio buttons; show as many columns as discussion partners named in kpX_1937

**Question if only one discussion partner:**
And what is your relationship to your discussion partner?

**Question if several discussion partners:**
And what is your relationship to your discussion partners?

**Instructions:**
Please click on the option that best describes your relationship.

---

(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer
Coding:
(1) spouse/partner
(2) friend
(3) relative
(4) colleague
(5) acquaintance/neighbour
(6) other contact

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1945a-c
Waves: 1-8
Topic: Networks
Item: Discussion partner, frequency

Filter: If more than one discussion partner, kpX_1937a!=-99 | kpX_1937b!=-99 | kpX_1937c!=-99

Presentation:
Display social relationship and initials of the discussion partners in rows (see published screenshots); horizontal sliders from 1 to 7 days; default set to 1 day (respondents have already indicated that they spoke to these people in the past week (in the week before the federal election), so at least one day); only in wave 8: italicize "week before the federal election".

Question wave 1-7:
On how many days during the past week did you talk about politics with your individual discussion partners?

Question wave 8:
On how many days during the week before the federal election did you talk about politics with your individual discussion partners?

Instructions:
Please move the slider.

Coding:
kpX_1945a – First discussion partner
kpX_1945b – Second discussion partner
kpX_1945c – Third discussion partner

(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1945a-c_c2.p
Waves: 1-8
Topic: Networks
Item: Discussion partner, frequency, follow-up question

Filter: kpX_1945a=1 & kpX_1945b=1 & kpX_1945c==1 (Filter = if the slider was moved in kpX_1945a-c; number of sliders depends on the number of people named in kpX_1937a-c)

Presentation:
Must answer; radio box at the top, display in rows below it the social relationship and initials of the discussion partners (see published screenshots); horizontal sliders from 1 to 7 days; default set to 1 day (respondents have already indicated that they spoke to these people in the past week (in the week before the federal election), so at least one day). Text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note that a response to this question is required in order to continue the survey."; Above the sliders: 2 radio buttons with the labels "I talked to these people on one day in each case" and "I didn't want to answer this question." Please program in such a way so that no inconsistent answers are possible, i.e. slider position indicates conversation with person(s) but check box "I didn't want to answer this question." was ticked. Text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your response." Only in wave 8: italicize "week before the federal election".

Intro:
You did not answer the last question.

Question wave 1-7:
Does this mean that you talked with each of these people on one day in the *past week* or that you did not want to answer the question?

**Question wave 8:**
Does this mean that you talked to each of these people one day at a time in the *week before the federal election* or that you did not want to answer the question?

**Instructions:**
If you want to respond to the question now, you can also move the positions of the sliders.

- I talked to these people on one day in each case
- I didn't want to answer this question

**Screen sketch:**

- I talked to these people on one day in each case.
- I didn't want to answer this question.

[Social relationship] [ ]
[Initials person 1]

[Social relationship] [ ]
[Initials person 2]

[Social relationship] [ ]
[Initials person 3]

**Coding:**
kpx_1945a_c2 – First discussion partner
kpx_1945b_c2 – Second discussion partner
kpx_1945c_c2 – Third discussion partner

(2) 1 day
(3) 2 days
(4) 3 days
(5) 4 days
(6) 5 days
(7) 6 days
(8) 7 days

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

**Coding plausibility check variable kpx_1945p:**
(1) I talked to these people on one day in each case
(2) didn’t want to answer this question
(3) not mentioned

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kpx_1945a-c. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kpx_1945flag.]

[NOTE II: Some respondents were not shown the follow-up question even though they had not moved the sliders. Their answers were recoded to -92 “error in data”. This concerns one respondent each in waves 1, 3, 6 and 7.]

**Variable:** kpx_1971a-c  
**Waves:** 1-7  
**Topic:** Networks  
**Item:** Discussion partner, vote intention

Presentation:
Matrix, rows=parties, columns = display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners named (see published screenshots)

Question if only one discussion partner:
Which party do you think your discussion partner would vote for if there was a federal election next Sunday?

Question if several discussion partners:
Which party do you think your discussion partners would vote for if there was a federal election next Sunday?

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD
- other party
- wouldn’t vote

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-85) wouldn’t vote
(-98) don’t know

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_1972a-c were recoded into the variables kpX_1971aa/ kpX_1971ba/ kpX_1971ca and kpX_1971ab/ kpX_1971bb/ kpX_1971cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]

Variable: kpX_1972a-c
Waves: 1-7
Topic: Networks
Item: Discussion partner, vote intention, other party

Filter: kpX_1971a=801 | kpX_1971b=801 | kpX_1971c=801

Presentation:
Matrix, rows = parties, columns = display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners with the intention to vote for an "other party" named in kpX_1971a-c (see published screenshots).

Intro if several discussion partners intended to vote for an "other party":
You have just indicated that your discussion partners would vote for an "other party".

Intro if only one discussion partner intended to vote for an "other party":
You have just indicated that your discussion partner would vote for an "other party".

Question:
Which party is that?

Wave 1:
- ALFA (Allianz für Fortschritt und Aufbruch)
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PBC (Partei Bibeltreuer Christen)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party
From wave 2 onwards:
- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- pro Deutschland (Bürgerbewegung pro Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHEZT)
- other party

Coding:
Wave 1:
(331) ALFA
(120) BIG
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(214) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

From wave 2 onwards:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR\(^9\)
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

\(^9\) The LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer) party was called “ALFA” until November 2016. Accordingly, the name was changed in the instrument for wave 2. However, since it is the same party, the code remains identical.

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_1971a-c were recoded into the variables kpX_1971aa/ kpX_1971ba/ kpX_1971ca and kpX_1971ab/ kpX_1971bb/ kpX_1971cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]
Variable: kpX_1973a-c
Wave: 8  Topic: Networks  Item: Discussion partner, voting decision


Presentation:
Matrix, rows=parties, columns=display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners named (see published screenshots)

Question if only one discussion partner:
Which party do you think your discussion partner probably voted for in the federal election on 24 September?

Question if several discussion partners:
Which party do you think your discussion partners probably voted for in the federal election on 24 September?

- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party
- didn’t vote
- don’t know

Coding:
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) DIE GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party
(-85) didn’t vote
(-98) don’t know

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_1974a-c were recoded into the variables kpX_1973aa/ kpX_1973ba/ kpX_1973ca and kpX_1973ab/ kpX_1973bb/ kpX_1973cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]

Variable: kpX_1974a-c
Wave: 8  Topic: Networks  Item: Discussion partner, voting decision, other party

Filter: kpX_1973?==801

Presentation:
Matrix, rows=parties, columns=display initials of discussion partners and social relationship; show as many columns as discussion partners with a voting decision for an "other party" named in kpX_1973.

Intro if several discussion partners voted for an "other party":
You have just indicated that your discussion partners voted for an "other party".

Intro if only one discussion partner voted for an "other party":
You have just indicated that your discussion partner voted for an "other party".

Question:
Which party is that?

- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- Freie Wähler (FW)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHEZT)
- other party

Coding:
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

-----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_1973a-c were recoded into the variables kpX_1973aa/ kpX_1973ba/ kpX_1973ca and kpX_1973ab/ kpX_1973bb/ kpX_1973cb in order to create party versions A and B (see study description.)]
## Involvement in the election campaign

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_380</th>
<th>Topic: Involvement in election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Interest in the outcome of the election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 3-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)

**Question:** How important is the outcome of the upcoming federal election to you personally?

- very important
- somewhat important
- in between
- not very important
- not important at all

**Coding:**

(1) very important
(2) somewhat important
(3) in between
(4) not very important
(5) not important at all

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_390</th>
<th>Topic: Involvement in election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Interest in election campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 4-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)

**Question:** How interested are you in particular in this federal election campaign?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not at all interested

**Coding:**

(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kp4_421ka-ei,y</th>
<th>Topic: Involvement in election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Contact with parties I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 4-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:** Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata: kpX_421[Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox "None of the above applies."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox "None of the above applies."); italicize "past week"/"week before the election"

**Intro wave 4-7:** During the election campaign, there are different ways of acquiring information about politics in Germany.

**Intro wave 8:** During the election campaign, there were different ways of acquiring information about politics in Germany.
**Question wave 4-7:**
From which parties did you receive information during the past week?

**Question wave 8:**
From which parties did you receive information during the week before the federal election?

**Instructions:**
Please checkmark all the parties to which the following statements apply.

I...

(K) visited websites of a party or a candidate
(G) saw campaign posters
(A) attended election meetings or rallies
(D) saw party political broadcasts on TV
(E) listened to party political broadcasts on the radio

(Y) none of the above applies

**Coding parties:**
(A) CDU/CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRÜNE
(F) DIE LINKE
(I) AfD

**Coding checkboxes wave:**
(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kp4_421ka-ei_c2_p1

**Waves:** 4-8

**Topic:** Involvement in Election Campaign

**Item:** Contact with parties I, follow-up question

**Filter:** kpX_421ka-kf=0 & kpX_421ga-gf=0 & kpX_421aa-af=0 & kpX_421da-df=0 & kpX_421ea-ef=0 & kpX_421y=0

**Presentation:**
must answer; text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note, that an answers is necessary for continuing the survey.";
Above the checkbox matrix, there are two radio buttons with the answer options "None of the above applies" and "I didn’t want to answer this question.";
Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and one of the radio buttons."); text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your answers."

**Intro:**
You did not answer the last question.

**Question:**
Does this mean that none of the above applies or did you not want to answer this question?

**Instructions:**
If you want to answer the question, you can checkmark the statements that apply.

- None of the above applies.
- I didn’t want to answer this question.

I...

(K) visited websites of a party or a candidate
(G) saw campaign posters
(A) attended election meetings or rallies
(D) saw party political broadcasts on TV
(E) listened to party political broadcasts on the radio

**Coding parties:**
(A) CDU/CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRÜNE
German Longitudinal Election Study 2017: Short-term Campaign Panel

(F) DIE LINKE
(I) AfD

**Coding checkboxes:**
(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated

**Coding kpX_421_p1:**
(1) none of the above applies
(2) didn’t want to answer this question
(3) not mentioned

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-97) not applicable

[NOTE I: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kpX_421aa-kf,y. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kpX_421flag1.]

[NOTE II: wave 4: One respondent was not asked the follow-up question, although he or she had not answered the first question. The answer was recoded to -92 "error in data".]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kp4_421ha-ii_z</th>
<th>Waves: 4-8</th>
<th>Topic: Involvement in election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Contact with parties II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checkbox matrix; possibilities of contact in rows; parties in columns. The variable names should follow the following schemata. kpX_421 [Item-Code][Party-Code]; Below the matrix, there is a checkbox &quot;None of the above applies.&quot;; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and the checkbox &quot;None of the above applies.&quot;); Text for plausibility check: &quot;The combination of your answers is not possible. Please check your answers&quot;; italicize &quot;past week&quot;/&quot;week before the election&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question wave 4-7:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>And which of the following information did you receive during the past week?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question wave 8:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>And which of the following information did you receive during the week before the federal election?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instructions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tick the boxes of all the parties to which the following statements apply.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I...

(H) had conversations at an election campaign booth
(B) received campaign flyers, e-mails, text messages
(L) donated money for a candidate or a party
(I) received information material via a social network like for example Facebook or others.

(Z) none of the above applies

**Coding parties:**
(A) CDU/CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRÜNE
(F) DIE LINKE
(I) AfD

**Coding checkboxes:**
(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kp4_421ha-ii_z_c2,p2</th>
<th>Waves: 4-8</th>
<th>Topic: Involvement in election campaign</th>
<th>Item: Contact with parties II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Documentation of Questionnaire, wave 1-9

**Follow-up question**

Filter: kpX_421ha-hf=0 & kpX_421ba-bf=0 & kpX_421la-if=0 & kpX_421la-if=0 & kpX_421z=0

**Presentation:**

*must answer;* text for plausibility check if no answer was given: "Please note, that an answers is necessary for continuing the survey."; Above the checkbox matrix, there are two radio buttons with the answer options "None of the above applies" and "I didn't want to answer this question."; Please program so that the respondent cannot make inconsistent answers (such as marking a party and one of the radio buttons.); text for plausibility check: "The combination of your answers is not possible, please check your answers."

**Intro:**

You did not answer the last question.

**Question:**

Does this mean that none of the above applies or did you not want to answer this question?

**Instructions:**

If you want to answer the question, you can checkmark the statements that apply.

- None of the above applies.
- I didn't want to answer this question.

I ...

(H) had conversations at an election campaign booth
(B) received campaign flyers, e-mails, text messages
(L) donated money for a candidate or a party
(I) received information material via a social network like, for example, Facebook or others.

**Coding parties:**

(A) CDU/CSU
(C) SPD
(D) FDP
(E) GRÜNE
(F) DIE LINKE
(I) AfD

**Coding checkboxes:**

(0) not mentioned
(1) mentioned

---------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated

**Coding kpX_421p2:**

(1) None of the above applies
(2) Didn't want to answer this question
(3) Not mentioned

---------------------------------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: The answers that were given upon inquiry were integrated into the variables kpX_421ha-ii, z. The point of time when the answer was given can be looked up by using the flag variable kpX_421flag2.]

**Variable:** kpX_3490

**Waves:** 6, 7, 8

**Topic:** Involvement in election campaign

**Item:** Wahl-O-Mat, usage

Filter wave 7: kp6_3490!=1

Filter wave 8: kp6_3490!=1 & kp7_3490!=1

**Presentation:**

Single response list (vertical)

**Question wave 6,7:**

Did you use the "Wahl-O-Mat", the voting advice application of the Federal Agency for Civic Education [Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung], for the upcoming federal election?

**Question wave 8:**

Did you use the "Wahl-O-Mat", the voting advice application of the Federal Agency for Civic Education [Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung], for the federal election?

- yes
- no
havent't heard of “Wahl-O-Mat”

**Coding:**
(1) yes
(2) no

(-71) haven’t heard of

-----------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_3500a-c
**Waves:** 6,[7],[8]  **Topic:** Involvement in election campaign  **Item:** Wahl-O-Mat, reason for use
**Filter:** kpX_3490=1
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix

**Question:**
Why did you use the "Wahl-O-Mat"? To what extent do these statements apply to you?

(A) I wanted to check whether the party I wanted to vote for actually suited me best.
(B) I didn't know which party to vote for, and I wanted to find that out with the Wahl-O-Mat.
(C) I wanted to read the positions of the parties because I enjoy doing that.

**Coding:**
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely

-----------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_3510
**Waves:** 6,[7],[8]  **Topic:** Involvement in election campaign  **Item:** Wahl-O-Mat, congruence
**Filter:** kpX_3490=1
**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
With which party did you have the best match using the "Wahl-O-Mat"?

- CDU/CSU
- SPD
- FDP
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- other party
- I don’t remember anymore

**Coding:**
(1) CDU/CSU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-98) don’t know

-----------------------------------------------

(-93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) no applicable
(99) no answer
**Strategic Voting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_910a-c.e-h</th>
<th>Waves: 3.5-9</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: Scalometer coalitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

**Intro wave 3.5-7:**
Now we would like to ask a question about the possible composition of the federal government after the 2017 federal election.

**Question wave 3.5-7:**
Regardless of how likely the respective coalition is, how desirable are the following coalition governments for you personally?

**Question wave 8:**
Regardless of the outcome of the federal election, how desirable are the following coalition governments for you personally?

**Question wave 9:**
Regardless of which coalition governs and how likely the following coalitions are at the moment, how desirable are these coalition governments at federal level for you personally?

(A) Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
(B) Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
(C) Red-green coalition (SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(E) Black-green coalition (CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(F) Traffic-light-coalition (SPD, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(G) Jamaica coalition (CDU/CSU, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(H) Red-red-green coalition (SPD, Die Linke and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

**Coding:**

(1) -5 not desirable at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 very desirable

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable: kpX_962a-c.e-h**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves: 3.5-7</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: Expected coalition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Imagine that the following parties had a majority in the Bundestag after the 2017 federal election. Do you think that these parties would then be willing to enter into a coalition with each other?

(A) Grand coalition (CDU/CSU and SPD)
(B) Black-yellow coalition (CDU/CSU and FDP)
(C) Red-green coalition (SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(E) Black-green coalition (CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(F) Traffic-light-coalition (SPD, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(G) Jamaica coalition (CDU/CSU, FDP and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
(H) Red-red-green coalition (SPD, Die Linke and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)

**Coding:**

(1) definitely not
(2) probably not
(3) maybe

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(4) probably
(5) definitely

(-98) don't know

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_912</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: SPD in opposition, exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
Have you heard or read what the SPD said about continuing the grand coalition after the federal election?

- yes
- no

**Coding:**
(1) yes
(2) no

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_914</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: SPD in opposition, knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**
kp9_912==1

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; "don't know" - category is settled out a bit.

**Question:**
And immediately after the federal election in September, what did the SPD say about continuing the grand coalition?

- It did not want a grand coalition.
- It wanted to continue the grand coalition.
- It did not want to commit itself.

- don't know

**Coding:**
(1) did not want a grand coalition
(2) wanted to continue the grand coalition
(3) did not want to commit itself

- (98) don't know

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (97) no applicable
- (99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_913</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: SPD in opposition, opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question wave 8:**
How do you rate the SPD's intention not to continue the grand coalition and to go into opposition instead?

**Question wave 9:**
How do you rate the SPD's decision to continue the grand coalition with the CDU/CSU?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

**Coding:**
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_911
**Waves:** 6-8  
**Topic:** Strategic voting  
**Item:** Participation of AfD in a coalition

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"

**Question:**
Regardless of how likely a coalition with the AfD is, how desirable would you find AfD's participation in the federal government?

**Coding:**
(1) -5 not desirable at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 very desirable

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_922
**Wave:** 9  
**Topic:** Coalition talks  
**Item:** Duration of negotiations, attitude

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
How do you rate the fact that around six months elapsed between the 2017 federal election and the formation of a government?

- very problematic
- quite problematic
- neither problematic nor unproblematic
- not so problematic
- not problematic at all

**Coding:**
(1) very problematic
(2) quite problematic
(3) neither problematic nor unproblematic
(4) not so problematic
(5) not problematic at all

------------------------------------------------------------------------
Variable: kpX_923
Wave: 9
Topic: Coalition talks
Item: Results of negotiations, attitude

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Are you more satisfied or dissatisfied with the fact that a grand coalition composed of the CDU/CSU and the SPD will govern at the federal level?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Variable: kpX_1050
Waves: 5-7
Topic: Strategic voting
Item: Perception opinion polls

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical); italicize "past week"

Question:
Did you read or see any reports about opinion polls on the expected outcome of the federal election in the past week?

- yes
- no

Coding:
(1) yes
(2) no

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Variable: kpX_1051
Waves: 5-7
Topic: Strategic voting
Item: Credibility opinion polls

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
How credible do you think are the results of opinion polls on the expected outcome of the federal election?

- very credible
- fairly credible
- partly credible/partly non-credible
- not so credible
- not credible at all

Coding:
(1) very credible
(2) fairly credible
(3) partly credible/partly non-credible
(4) not so credible
(5) not credible at all

- - - - - - - - - -
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_3010d,e,f,i</th>
<th>Waves: 5-7</th>
<th>Topic: Strategic voting</th>
<th>Item: Probability of smaller parties entering parliament</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix

Question:
How likely do you think it is for the following parties to be represented in the Bundestag after the federal election?

(D) FDP
(E) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]
(F) Die Linke [labelled in dataset as "DIE LINKE"]
(I) AfD

Coding:
(1) definitely not
(2) probably not
(3) maybe
(4) probably
(5) definitely

- - - - - - - - - -
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer
Televised debate

**Variable:** kpX_1800  
**Waves:** 6,[7]  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Reception

**Filter wave 7:** w6a=0

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
Did you watch the TV debate between Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz on 3 September?

- Yes, I watched the debate completely.
- Yes, I watched the debate partly.
- No, I didn’t watch the debate.

**Coding:**
(1) yes, I watched the debate completely  
(2) yes, I watched the debate partly  
(3) no

-93 not asked, terminated  
-95 not participated  
-97 not applicable (only in wave 7)  
-99 no answer

**NOTE:** This is a dependent interviewing variable. The information from the variable kp7_1800 has been integrated into kp6_1800. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kp6_1800flag.

**Variable:** kpX_1810; kpX_1820  
**Waves:** 6,[7]  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Perception of performance Merkel/Schulz

**Filter wave 6:**
kp6_1800=1 | 2 >> Receive only question

**Filter wave 7:**
kp6_1800=1 | 2 >> Receive intro and question  
(w6b==0 & (kp7_1800=1 | 2)) >> Receive only question

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; the two candidates in the rows; in the columns the response options from "very badly" to "very well"

**Intro:**
Now some more questions concerning the TV debate of Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz on 3 September.

**Question:**
How well do you think the candidates for chancellor did in this debate?

(kpX_1810) Angela Merkel  
(kpX_1820) Martin Schulz

**Coding:**
(1) very well  
(2) well  
(3) neither well nor badly  
(4) badly  
(5) very badly

-93 not asked, terminated  
-95 not participated  
-97 not applicable  
-99 no answer

**Variable:** kpX_1870  
**Waves:** 6,[7]  
**Topic:** Televised debate  
**Item:** Televised debate: Reception of media response

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Did you hear or read media reports on the outcome of the TV debate?
- yes
- no

Coding:
(1) yes
(2) no

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1880, kpX_1890

Filter: kpX_1870=1
Presentation:
Standard matrix; the two candidates in the rows; in the columns the response options from "very badly" to "very well"

Question:
According to what you heard or read on the TV debate of Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz in the media, how well did the candidates?

(kpX_1880) Angela Merkel
(kpX_1890) Martin Schulz

Coding:
(1) very well
(2) well
(3) neither well nor badly
(4) badly
(5) very badly

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1900

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Did you discuss the outcome of the debate of Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz with other people?
- yes, in depth
- yes, but just in passing
- no

Coding:
(1) yes, in depth
(2) yes, but just in passing
(3) no

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_1910, kpX_1920
Filter: kpX_1900=1 | 2

Presentation:
Standard matrix; the two candidates in the rows; in the columns the response options from "very badly" to "very well"

Question:
How well did the people you talked to think Angela Merkel and Martin Schulz did in this debate?

(kpX_1910) Angela Merkel
(kpX_1920) Martin Schulz

Coding:
(1) very well
(2) well
(3) neither well nor badly
(4) badly
(5) very badly

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer
State election in Schleswig-Holstein

**Variable:** kpX_3186  
**Wave:** 3  
**Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein  
**Item:** Turnout, SH

**Filter:** dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=1 | kp2_2601=1  
**Presentation:**  
Single response list (vertical)  
**Intro:**  
On 7 May, state election took place in Schleswig-Holstein.  
**Question:**  
In the state election, a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?  
- I did vote.  
- I did not vote.  
- I wasn’t eligible to vote.  

**Coding:**  
(1) did vote  
(2) did not vote  
(-86) not eligible to vote  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

---

**Variable:** kpX_3196a,b  
**Wave:** 3  
**Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein  
**Item:** Voting decision, SH

**Filter:** kpX_3186=1  
**Presentation:**  
Display sample ballot paper for the state election in Schleswig-Holstein with first and second vote  
**Intro:**  
You had two votes in the state election in Schleswig-Holstein. The first vote was for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote was for a candidate in your local constituency.  
**Question:**  
How did you mark your ballot in this state election?  

(A) First vote:  
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
- Die Linke  
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
- Other party  
- Did not cast a first vote  

(B) Second vote:  
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
- Die Linke  
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
- Other party  
- Did not cast a second vote

**Coding:**  
(2) CDU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) GRÜNE  
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-84) didn't cast a first/second vote

------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_3198a,b were recoded into the variables kpX_3196aa/kpX_3196ab and kpX_3196ba/kpX_3196bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kpX_3198a  
**Wave:** 3  
**Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein  
**Item:** Voting decision, SH, first vote, other party

**Filter:** kpX_3196a=801

**Presentation:**  
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**  
And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote in the state election in Schleswig-Holstein?

- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- Familiен-Partei Deutschlands (Familie)
- Freie Wähler Schleswig-Holstein (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Südschleswigscher Wählerverband (SSW)
- Zukunft.Schleswig-Holstein (Z.SH)
- other party

**Coding:**
(151) Die PARTEI  
(171) Familie  
(180) FREIE WÄHLER  
(331) LKR  
(215) PIRATEN  
(234) SSW  
(334) Z.SH  
(801) other party

------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_3196a were recoded into the variables kpX_3196aa and kpX_3196ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

**Variable:** kpX_3198b  
**Wave:** 3  
**Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein  
**Item:** Voting decision, SH, second vote, other party

**Filter:** kpX_3196b=801

**Presentation:**  
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**  
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in the state election in Schleswig-Holstein?

- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- Familiен-Partei Deutschlands (Familie)
- Freie Wähler Schleswig-Holstein (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Südschleswigscher Wählerverband (SSW)
- Zukunft.Schleswig-Holstein (Z.SH)
- other party

**Coding:**
State election in North Rhine-Westphalia

Variable: kpX_3185
Wave: 3  Topic: State election North Rhine-Westphalia  Item: Turnout, NRW

Filter: dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=5 | kp2_2601=5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
On 14 May, the state election took place in North Rhine-Westphalia.

Question:
In the state election a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons.
How about you?

- I did vote.
- I didn’t vote.
- I wasn’t eligible to vote.

Coding:
(1) did vote
(2) did not vote
(-86) not eligible to vote

Variable: kpX_3195a,b
Wave: 3  Topic: State election North Rhine-Westphalia  Item: Voting decision, NRW

Filter: kpX_3185=1

Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper for the state election in North Rhine-Westphalia with first and second vote

Intro:
You had two votes in the state election in Nordrhein-Westfalen. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.

Question:
How did you mark your ballot in this state election?

(A) First vote:
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party
- Didn’t cast a first vote
(B) Second vote:
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- Die Linke
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Other party
- Didn’t cast a second vote

Coding:
First vote:
(2) CDU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(7) DIE LINKE
(322) AfD
(801) other party

(-84) I didn’t cast a first/second vote

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_3199a,b were recoded into the variables kpX_3195aa/kpX_3195ab and kpX_3195ba/kpX_3195bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>kpX_3199a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wave</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>State election North Rhine-Westphalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Voting decision, NRW, first vote, other party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filter: kpX_3195a=801

Presentation: Single response list (vertical)

Question: And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote in the state election in North Rhine-Westphalia?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- Freie Wähler Nordrhein-Westfalen (FW)
- FBI/Freie Wähler (Freie Bürger-Initiative/Freie Wähler)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(173) FBI/Freie Wähler
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_3195a were recoded into the variables kpX_3195aa und kpX_3195ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_3199b</th>
<th>Wave: 3</th>
<th>Topic: State election North Rhine-Westphalia</th>
<th>Item: Voting decision, NRW, second vote, other party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:** kpX_3195b=801

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in the state election in North Rhine-Westphalia?

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- Freie Wähler Nordrhein-Westfalen (FW)
- FBI/Freie Wähler (Freie Bürger-Initiative/Freie Wähler)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- other party

**Coding:**
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(173) FBI/Freie Wähler
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_3195a were recoded into the variables kpX_3195aa und kpX_3195ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_3141</th>
<th>Wave: 3</th>
<th>Topic: State election North Rhine-Westphalia</th>
<th>Item: Satisfaction government, NRW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:** dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=5 | kp2_2601=5

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; with numerical label reaching from -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

**Question:**
Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the state government of SPD and the Green Party in North Rhine-Westphalia?
Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied
----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3242a,b
Wave: 3  Topic: State election North Rhine-Westphalia  Item: Satisfaction governing parties, NRW
Filter: dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=5 | kp2_2601=5
Presentation: Standard matrix; with numerical label reaching from -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

Question:
And considering each of the parties in the state government in North Rhine-Westphalia separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(A) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(B) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied
----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_650a1,b1,c1
Wave: 3  Topic: State election North Rhine-Westphalia  Item: Scalometer politicians
Filter: dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=5 | kp2_2601=5 | kpa1_2601=5
Presentation: Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the politician at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the politician"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:
And what do you think of the following politicians?

(A1) Hannelore Kraft
(B1) Armin Laschet
(C1) Sylvia Löhrmann

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
I think a great deal of the politician (71)

haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

- not asked, terminated
- not participated
- not applicable
- no answer

State election in Lower Saxony

[NOTE: Immediately after the state election in Lower Saxony on 15 October 2017, all members of the Campaign Panel from Lower Saxony were invited to participate in a post-election wave. That survey is published separately at GESIS and is not part of this questionnaire cumulation.]

Variable: kpX_393
Waves: 5-8
Topic: State election Lower Saxony
Item: Interest in election campaign, Lower Saxony

Filter: dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=3 | kp2_2601=3 | kpa1_2601=3
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical); display "state election in Lower Saxony" in bold and red
Intro waves 5:
Now we have a few questions about the state election in Lower Saxony.
Intro from wave 6 onwards:
On 15 October, an early state election will take place in Lower Saxony. We have a few questions for you about that.

Question:
How interested are you in the current election campaign for the upcoming state election in Lower Saxony?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not at all interested

Coding:
(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

- not asked, terminated
- not participated
- not applicable
- no answer

Variable: kpX_3187
Waves: 5-8
Topic: State election Lower Saxony
Item: Intention to vote, Lower Saxony

Filter: dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=3 | kp2_2601=3 | kpa1_2601=3
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
When state elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.

Question:
How likely is it that you will vote in the state election in Lower Saxony on 15 October?

Are you...
- certain to vote  
- likely to vote  
- might vote  
- not likely to vote  
- certain not to vote  

**Coding:**  
(1) certain to vote  
(2) likely to vote  
(3) might vote  
(4) not likely to vote  
(5) certain not to vote  

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_3197a,b</th>
<th>Topic: State election Lower Saxony</th>
<th>Item: Vote intention, Lower Saxony: first/second vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waves: 5-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Filter:** kpX_3187==1 | kpX_3187==2 | kpX_3187==3  

**Presentation:**  
Display sample ballot paper for the state election in Lower Saxony with first and second vote; display "state election in Lower Saxony" in bold and red  

**Intro:**  
You have two votes in the state election in Lower Saxony. The first vote is for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote is for a candidate in your local constituency.  

**Question:**  
How will you mark your ballot in this state election?  

(A) First vote:  
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
- Die Linke  
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
- Other party  
- don’t know yet  

(B) Second vote:  
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)  
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)  
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen  
- Die Linke  
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)  
- Other party  
- don’t know yet  

**Coding:**  
(1) CDU  
(4) SPD  
(5) FDP  
(6) GRÜNE  
(7) DIE LINKE  
(322) AfD  
(801) other party  

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer
### Variable: kpX_3142
**Waves:** 5-8  
**Topic:** State election Lower Saxony  
**Item:** Satisfaction government, Lower Saxony

**Filter:** dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=3 | kp2_2601=3 | kpa1_2601=3

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; with numerical label reaching from -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

**Question:**
Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the state government of SPD and the Green Party in Lower Saxony?

**Coding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>-5 not at all satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>+5 completely satisfied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

---

### Variable: kpX_3243a,b
**Waves:** 5-8  
**Topic:** State election Lower Saxony  
**Item:** Satisfaction governing parties, Lower Saxony

**Filter:** dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=3 | kp2_2601=3 | kpa1_2601=3

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; with numerical label reaching from -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

**Question:**
And considering each of the parties in the state government in Lower Saxony separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...

(A) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)  
(B) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen [labelled in dataset as "GRÜNE"]

**Coding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>-5 not at all satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>+5 completely satisfied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

---

### Variable: kpX_3244a,b
**Waves:** 5-8  
**Topic:** State election Lower Saxony  
**Item:** Satisfaction opposition parties, Lower Saxony

**Filter:** dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=3 | kp2_2601=3 | kpa1_2601=3

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; with numerical label reaching from -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"

**Question:**
And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the performance of the individual opposition parties in the state parliament in Lower Saxony?

(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)

**Coding:**
1. -5 not at all satisfied
2. -4
3. -3
4. -2
5. -1
6. 0
7. +1
8. +2
9. +3
10. +4
11. +5 completely satisfied

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_650d1,e1,f1,g1,h1
**Waves:** 5-8
**Topic:** State election Lower Saxony
**Item:** Scalarmeter politicians

**Filter:** dependent interviewing, kp1_2601=3 | kp2_2601=3 | kpa1_2601=3

**Presentation:**
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the politician at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the politician"; "haven't heard of" category is settled out a bit

**Question:**
And what do you think of the following politicians?

(D1) Stephan Weil
(E1) Bernd Althusmann
(F1) Stefan Birkner
(G1) Anja Piel
(H1) Anja Stoeck

**Coding:**
1. -5 I do not think much of the politician at all
2. -4
3. -3
4. -2
5. -1
6. 0
7. +1
8. +2
9. +3
10. +4
11. +5 I think a great deal of the politician

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer
**Sociodemography**

**Variable:** kpX_2320  
**Waves:** 1, 9, a1  
**Topic:** Sociodemography  
**Item:** School leaving certificate

**Filter:**
**Presentation:** must answer; single response list (vertical); plausibility check: Please note that an answer to this question is mandatory for continuing the survey. If you have acquired your school leaving certificate outside of Germany, please state the respective German certificate.

**Question:**
What's your highest level of general education?

- Finished school without school leaving certificate  
- Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")  
- Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss, or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")  
- Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")  
- Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")  
- Still at school

**Coding:**
(1) Finished school without school leaving certificate  
(2) Lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")  
(3) Intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")  
(4) Certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.)")  
(5) Higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")  
(9) Still at school

-95 not participated

**Variable:** kpX_2601  
**Waves:** 1, 2, a1, 9  
**Topic:** Sociodemography  
**Item:** Federal state

**Filter wave 2:** w1a==0 & gruppe==2 (participation in the 2013 Campaign Panel)

**Presentation:** must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."

**Question:**
And in which federal state do you live?

**Instructions:** If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg  
- Bavaria  
- Berlin  
- Brandenburg  
- Bremen  
- Hamburg  
- Hesse  
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommern  
- Lower Saxony  
- North Rhine-Westphalia  
- Rhineland-Palatinate  
- Saarland  
- Saxony  
- Saxony-Anhalt  
- Schleswig-Holstein
Thuringia

Coding:
(1) Schleswig-Holstein
(2) Hamburg
(3) Lower Saxony
(4) Bremen
(5) North Rhine-Westphalia
(6) Hesse
(7) Rhineland-Palatinate
(8) Baden-Württemberg
(9) Bavaria
(10) Saarland
(11) Berlin
(12) Brandenburg
(13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
(14) Saxony
(15) Saxony-Anhalt
(16) Thuringia

-----------

Not participated (-95)

[NOTE: This is a dependent-interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_2601 and kpa1_2601 has been summarized in kp1_2601 and kp2_2601, and kpa1_2601 were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kp1_2601flag.]

Variable: kpX_2441
Waves: 1,a1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Household size

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
Finally, some questions concerning you personally.
Question:
How many people live in your household permanently, including yourself and all children?
- one person
- two people
- three people
- four people
- five people
- six people
- seven people
- eight people and more

Coding:
(1) one person
(2) two people
(3) three people
(4) four people
(5) five people
(6) six people
(7) seven people
(8) eight people and more

-----------

Not asked, terminated (-93)
Not participated (-95)
No answer (-99)

Variable: kpX_2450
Waves: 1,a1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Number members of the household under 18 years

Filter: kpX_2441!=1 & kpX_2441!=-99
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical); Answer options are dependent on the question before. If n household members, the answer categories from "nobody" to "(n-1) people" will be shown.
**Question:**
How many people living in your household are younger than 18 years?
- nobody
- 1 person
- 2 people
- 3 people
- 4 people
- 5 people
- 6 people
- 7 people and more

**Coding:**
(1) nobody
(2) 1 person
(3) 2 people
(4) 3 people
(5) 4 people
(6) 5 people
(7) 6 people
(8) 7 people and more

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable
(99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_2591  
**Waves:** 1.a1  
**Topic:** Sociodemography  
**Item:** Net household income, with categories

**Filter:**

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical); italicize "your household’s total"; plausibility check: If no answer was given show text: "We assure that your answers will be used anonymously and for scientific purposes only.", within the plausibility check there are two buttons "correct" and "forward".

**Question:**
Taken all together, would you please indicate what the monthly net income of your household is? By net income, I mean the amount that you have left after taxes and social security.

- below 500€
- 500 up to 750€
- 750 up to 1,000€
- 1,000 up to 1,250€
- 1,250 up to 1,500€
- 1,500 up to 2,000€
- 2,000 up to 2,500€
- 2,500 up to 3,000€
- 3,000 up to 4,000€
- 4,000 up to 5,000€
- 5,000 up to 7,500€
- 7,500 up to 10,000€
- 10,000€ and more

**Coding:**
(1) below 500€
(2) 500 up to 750€
(3) 750 up to 1,000€
(4) 1,000 up to 1,250€
(5) 1,250 up to 1,500€
(6) 1,500 up to 2,000€
(7) 2,000 up to 2,500€
(8) 2,500 up to 3,000€
(9) 3,000 up to 4,000€
(10) 4,000 up to 5,000€
(11) 5,000 up to 7,500€
(12) 7,500 up to 10,000€
(13) 10,000€ and more
Variable: kpX_3910  
Waves: 1, a1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Religiousness  

Filter:
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)

Question:
What would you say about yourself: how religious are you?

- very religious
- somewhat religious
- moderately
- not very religious
- not religious at all

Coding:
(1) very religious
(2) somewhat religious
(3) moderately
(4) not very religious
(5) not religious at all

Variable: kpX_2480  
Waves: 1, a1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Religious denomination  

Filter:
Presentation: Single response list

Question:
What is your religious denomination?

- Protestant
- independent Protestant Church
- Roman Catholic
- other Christian denomination
- Muslim community/Islam
- other non-Christian denomination
- undenominational

Coding:
(1) Protestant
(2) independent Protestant Church
(3) Roman Catholic
(4) other Christian confession
(6) Muslim community/Islam
(5) other non-Christian denomination
(9) undenominational

Variable: kpX_2491  
Waves: 1, a1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Church attendance  

Filter: kpX_2480=1-6  
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)

Question:
How frequently do you attend religious services?
- never
- once a year
- several times a year
- once a month
- two to three times a month
- once a week
- more often

Coding:
(1) never
(2) once a year
(3) several times a year
(4) once a month
(5) two to three times a month
(6) once a week
(7) more often

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2580
Waves: 1,a1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Subjective perception of class

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
People talk a lot about social classes these days.
Question:
Which of these classes do you consider yourself a part of?
- lower class
- working class
- lower middle class
- middle class
- upper middle class
- upper class

Coding:
(1) lower class
(2) working class
(3) lower middle class
(4) middle class
(5) upper middle class
(6) upper class

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2600
Waves: 1,a1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Residence

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Please characterize the place you live in. Do you live in a…?
- large city
- small-town or medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
- small-town or medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
- rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
- rural area close to smaller towns
Coding:
(1) large City
(2) small-town, medium-sized town in a metropolitan area
(3) small-town, medium-sized town in less densely populated areas
(4) rural area close to a medium-sized town or a large city
(5) rural area close to smaller towns

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2602  
Waves: 1,a1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Postal code

Filter:
Presentation:
Text field (single row); maximum number of digits = 5; plausibility check, whether 5 numbers and no letters were entered with the instruction "Please enter your postal code."; When no answer was given, show the following text: "We assure that we will treat your information confidentially. We need your complete postal code in order to identify the candidate in your constituency."; Within the plausibility check there are to buttons "correct" or "forward".

Question:
What is your postal code?

Coding:

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2330  
Waves: 1,a1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Vocational and professional training

Filter wave 1: kpX_2320=1-5 | -99
Filter wave a1: kpX_2320!=9
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
What kind of vocational training did you complete?

- on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
- compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
- completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
- completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
- work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
- specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
- technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
- master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
- polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
- university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")

- other vocational training certificate
- still training/studying
- no completed vocational training

Coding:
(1) on-the-job vocational training with final certificate, but not within a traineeship or apprenticeship scheme ("Beruflich-betriebliche Anlernzeit mit Abschlusszeugnis, aber keine Lehre")
(2) compact vocational training course ("Teilfacharbeiterabschluss")
(3) completed trades/crafts or agricultural traineeship ("Abgeschlossene gewerbliche oder landwirtschaftliche Lehre")
(4) completed commercial traineeship ("Abgeschlossene kaufmännische Lehre")
(5) work placement/internship ("Berufliches Praktikum, Volontariat")
(6) specialized vocational college certificate ("Berufsfachschulabschluss")
(7) technical or vocational college certificate ("Fachschulabschluss")
(8) master (craftsman), technician or equivalent college certificate ("Meister, Technikerabschluss")
(9) polytechnic/University of applied sciences degree ("Fachhochschulabschluss")
(10) university degree ("Hochschulabschluss")
(12) other vocational training certificate
(13) still training/studying
(14) no completed vocational training

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (97) not applicable
- (99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2340</th>
<th>Waves: 1.a1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Gainful employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter: kpX_2320!9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intro:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Let’s move on to your gainful employment and profession.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Which of the categories from this list applies to you?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) in full-time employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) in part-time employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) in a traineeship or apprenticeship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) high school student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) college student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) retraining course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(7) unemployed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(8) short-time work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(9) alternative community service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10) in early retirement, retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(11) maternal/parental leave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(12) no employment (housewife/househusband)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (97) not applicable
- (99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2350</th>
<th>Waves: 1.a1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Former gainful employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter: kpX_2340=3-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Have you worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) in full-time employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) in part-time employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) in a traineeship or apprenticeship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) high school student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) college student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) retraining course</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(7) unemployed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(8) short-time work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(9) alternative community service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10) in early retirement, retirement, on a pension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(11) maternal/parental leave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(12) no employment (housewife/househusband)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- (93) not asked, terminated
- (95) not participated
- (97) not applicable
- (99) no answer

- yes
- no

**Coding:**
(1) yes
(2) no

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent-interviewing variable. This variable was renamed into kpx_2350, because of being invariant in time. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_2350flag]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2371</th>
<th>Wave: 1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Duration of unemployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever been unemployed for more than two consecutive years in the last 10 years?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2380</th>
<th>Wave: 1,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td>kpX_2340=1-3,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intro:</strong></td>
<td>Let's move on to your profession.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td>Which of the categories from this list applies best to you?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- independent farmer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- officials/judges/professional soldier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- employee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- in vocational training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- family member assisting in family business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong></td>
<td>(1) independent farmer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) officials/judges/professional soldier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) employee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) in vocational training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) family member assisting in family business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
German Longitudinal Election Study 2017: Short-term Campaign Panel

Variable: kpX_3610
Waves: 1.a1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Employee - differentiation
Filter: kpX_2380=5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which one of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- foreman and head workman in employment
- employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)
- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)
- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)
- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)

Coding:
(1) foreman
(2) simple duties
(3) complex tasks under loose supervision
(4) tasks with responsibility
(5) wide managerial responsibilities

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Variable: kpX_3620
Waves: 1.a1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Worker - differentiation
Filter: kpX_2380=6
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which of the following descriptions matches your profession best?

- unskilled and semiskilled worker
- skilled worker and crafter
- foreman, master, site foreman

Coding:
(1) unskilled and semiskilled worker
(2) skilled worker and crafter
(3) foreman, master, site foreman

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Variable: kpX_3630
Waves: 1.a1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Independent professional - differentiation
Filter: kpX_2380=2 | 3
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
How many employees does your business have?

- no employees
- 1-9 employees
- 10 employees and more

Coding:
(1) no employees
(2) 1-9 employees
(3) 10 employees and more

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable
(99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3640  
Waves: 1,a1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Civil servant - differentiation

Filter: kpX_2380=4
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)
Question: In what service class do you work?

- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistant" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")
- higher grade of the civil service, judges ("Regierungsrat" and higher)

Coding:
(1) lower grade
(2) middle grade
(3) upper grade
(4) higher grade of civil service, judge

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable
(99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3650  
Wave: 1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Employment sector

Filter: kpX_2380 =5-7
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)
Question: Which type of company do you work for?

- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

Coding:
(1) public sector
(2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
(3) non-profit company
(4) private sector company

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable
(99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3660  
Wave: 1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Sector of the economy

Filter: kpX_2340 =1-3,8
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)
Question: What kind of business/industry are you working in?
- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade
- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development
- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

Coding:
(1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
(2) energy industry, water sector, mining
(3) manufacturing
(4) construction and real estate
(5) trade
(6) hotel and catering
(7) transport
(8) banking, insurances
(9) information technology, data processing
(10) other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
(11) administration
(12) education, research and development
(13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
(14) other services

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3670
Wave: 1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Temporary/agency work

Filter: kpX_2380 =4-6
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
In what kind of employment contract are you working?
- normal, fixed term
- normal, permanent
- temporary/agency, fixed term
- temporary/agency, permanent

Coding:
(1) normal, fixed term
(2) normal, permanent
(3) temporary/agency, fixed term
(4) temporary/agency, permanent

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3680
Wave: 1  Topic: Sociodemography  Item: Fear of job loss

Filter: kpX_2380 =4-7
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Are you worried that you might lose your job or have to change your job in the next two years?

- no
- yes, I am worried about becoming unemployed
- yes, I am worried about having to change my job
- yes, I am worried about both

Coding:
(1) no
(2) yes, I am worried about becoming unemployed
(3) yes, I am worried about having to change my job
(4) yes, I am worried about both

Variable: kpX_3690
Wave: 1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Fear of losing professional career
Filter: kpX_2380 =1-3,8
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)
Question: Are you worried that you might lose your present professional career in the next two years or have to change your profession?

- no
- yes, I am worried that I might lose my present professional career
- yes, I am worried that I have to change my profession
- yes, I am worried about both

Coding:
(1) no
(2) yes, I am worried that I might lose present professional career
(3) yes, I am worried that I have to change profession
(4) yes, I am worried about both

Variable: kpX_2390
Waves: 1, a1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Former profession
Filter: kpX_2350=1
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)
Question: What was your former profession? Please select the category that applies best to you.

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business

Coding:
(1) independent farmer
(2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) officials /judges / professional soldier
(5) employee
(6) worker  
(7) in vocational training  
(8) family member assisting in family business

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent-interviewing variable. This variable was renamed into kpx_2390, because of being invariant in time. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_2390flag.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_3710</th>
<th>Waves: 1,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Former profession, employee - differentiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong> kpX_2390=5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td>And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- foreman and head workman in employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- employee with simple duties (e.g. salesperson, clerk, typist)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- employee under loose supervision carrying out complex tasks independently (e.g. senior clerical staff, bookkeeper, draughtsman)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- employee carrying out responsible tasks independently or with limited responsibility for others (e.g. researcher, manager, head of department)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- employee with wide managerial responsibilities and decision-making powers (e.g. head of organisation/association, managing director, executive of large firm or organisation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong></td>
<td>(1) foreman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- simple duties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- complex tasks under loose supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- tasks with responsibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- wide managerial responsibilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent-interviewing variable. This variable was renamed into kpx_3710, because of being invariant in time. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_3710flag.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_3720</th>
<th>Waves: 1,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Former profession, worker - differentiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong> kpX_2390=6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td>And which of the following descriptions matches your former profession best?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- unskilled and semiskilled worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- skilled worker and crafter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- foreman, master, site foreman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coding:</strong></td>
<td>(1) unskilled and semiskilled worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- skilled worker and crafter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- foreman, master, site foreman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer
Variable: kpX_3730  
Waves: 1,a1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Former profession, independent professional differentiation

Filter: kpX_2390=2 | 3  
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)  
Question: How many employees did the business have you worked in last?  
- no employees  
- 1-9 employees  
- 10 employees and more  

Coding:  
(1) no employees  
(2) 1-9 employees  
(3) 10 employees and more  

------------------------------------------------------------------  
(93) not asked, terminated  
(95) not participated  
(97) not applicable  
(99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3740  
Waves: 1,a1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Former profession, civil servant differentiation

Filter: kpX_2390=4  
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)  
Question: In what service class did you work?  
- lower grade of civil service (up to and including "Oberamtsleiter")  
- middle grade of civil service (from "Assistent" to "Hauptsekretär/Amtsinspektor")  
- upper grade of civil service (from "Inspektor" to "Oberamtsmann/Oberamtsrat")  
- higher grade of the civil service, judge ("Regierungsrat" and higher)  

Coding:  
(1) lower grade  
(2) middle grade  
(3) upper grade  
(4) higher grade, judge  

------------------------------------------------------------------  
(93) not asked, terminated  
(95) not participated  
(97) not applicable  
(99) no answer

Variable: kpX_3750  
Wave: 1  
Topic: Sociodemography  
Item: Former profession, employment sector

Filter: kpX_2390=5-7  
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)  
Question: Which type of company did you work for?
- public sector
- company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
- non-profit company
- private sector company

**Coding:**
(1) public sector
(2) company owned wholly or partly by the Federal Government or Federal States
(3) non-profit company
(4) private sector company

---------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_3750, because of being invariant in time.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_3760</th>
<th>Wave: 1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Former profession, sector of the economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Filter:** kpX_2350=1  
**Presentation:**  
Single response list (vertical)  
**Question:**  
What kind of business/industry were you working in?

- agriculture, forestry, fishery
- energy industry, water sector, mining
- manufacturing
- construction and real estate
- trade
- hotel and catering
- transport
- banking, insurances
- information technology, data processing
- other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
- administration
- education, research and development
- social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
- other services

**Coding:**
(1) agriculture, forestry, fishery
(2) energy industry, water sector, mining
(3) manufacturing
(4) construction and real estate
(5) trade
(6) hotel and catering
(7) transport
(8) banking, insurances
(9) information technology, data processing
(10) other commercial services (e.g. legal or economic counselling, legal services)
(11) administration
(12) education, research and development
(13) social services (nursing, support, therapy, etc.)
(14) other services

---------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_3760, because of being invariant in time.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2301</th>
<th>Waves: 1,a1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Marital status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Filter: 
Presentation: 
Single response list (vertical) 
Question: 
What is your marital status? 
- married and living in the same household as husband or wife 
- same-sex union 
- have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household 
- never married 
- divorced 
- widowed

Coding: 
(1) married and living in the same household as husband or wife 
(2) same-sex union 
(3) have a husband or wife but don't live in the same household 
(4) never married 
(5) divorced 
(6) widowed

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated 
(-95) not participated 
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2311
Waves: 1,a1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Respondent has a partner
Filter: kpX_2301=3-6 | -99
Presentation: 
Single response list (vertical) 
Question: 
Do you have a partner? 
- yes 
- no

Coding: 
(1) yes 
(2) no

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated 
(-95) not participated 
(-97) not applicable 
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2312
Waves: 1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Partner living in the same household
Filter: kpX_2311=1 | kpX_2301=2
Presentation: 
Single response list (vertical) 
Question: 
Do you live with your partner together in one household? 
- yes 
- no

Coding: 
(1) yes 
(2) no

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated 
(-95) not participated 
(-97) not applicable 
(-99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2391
### Wave: 1  
**Topic:** Sociodemography  
**Item:** School leaving certificate, partner

**Filter:** kpX_2301=1 | kpX_2312=1  
**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)  
**Intro:** No we will turn to questions about your partner’s school leaving certificate and profession.  
**Question:** What is the highest level of general education of your partner?

- finished school without school leaving certificate  
- lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")  
- intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")  
- certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc."))  
- higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")  
- other school leaving certificate  
- still at school  
- I don’t know

**Coding:**

1. finished school without school leaving certificate  
2. lowest formal qualification of Germany’s tripartite secondary school system, after 8 or 9 years of schooling ("Hauptschulabschluss, Volksschulabschluss")  
3. intermediary secondary qualification, after 10 years of schooling ("Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss or Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse")  
4. certificate fulfilling entrance requirements to study at a polytechnical college/university of applied sciences ("Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc."))  
5. higher qualification, entitling holders to study at a university ("Abitur or Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife)")  
6. other school leaving certificate  
(9) still at school  
(-98) don’t know  
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-97) not applicable  
(-99) no answer

### Variable: kpX_2400  
**Wave:** 1  
**Topic:** Sociodemography  
**Item:** Gainful employment, partner

**Filter:** kpX_2391!=9 & kpX_2391!= -97  
**Presentation:** Single response list (vertical)

**Question:** Which of the following categories applies best to your partner?

- in full-time employment (more than 30 hours/week)  
- in part-time employment (up to 30 hours/week)  
- in a traineeship or apprenticeship  
- school student  
- studying at a polytechnic or university  
- currently on a retraining course  
- currently unemployed  
- currently on short-time work  
- community service (Bundesfreiwilligendienst, Freiwilliges Soziales Jahr (FSJ), Freiwilliges Oekologisches Jahr (FOEJ))  
- retirement, on a pension (formerly employed)  
- on maternity leave, parental leave  
- not in full or part-time employment (Housewife/househusband)  
- don’t know

**Coding:**
(1) in full-time employment
(2) in part-time employment
(3) in a traineeship or apprenticeship
(4) school student
(5) studying at a polytechnic or university
(6) retraining course
(7) unemployed
(8) short-time work
(9) community service (Bundesfreiwilligendienst, Freiwilliges Soziales Jahr (FSJ), Freiwilliges Ökologisches Jahr (FOEJ))
(10) retirement, on a pension
(11) maternal/parental leave
(12) no employment (housewife/househusband)

(-98) don't know

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2410</th>
<th>Wave: 1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Former gainful employment, partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter: kpX_2400=3-7</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Has your partner worked in a full-time or part-time job in the past?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coding: | (1) yes
|  | (2) no
|  | (-98) don't know |

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2420</th>
<th>Wave: 1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Profession, partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter: kpX_2400=1-3, 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation:</td>
<td>Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question:</td>
<td>Which of the categories from the following list describes your partner’s profession best?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Coding: | (1) independent farmer
|  | (2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
|  | (3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
|  | (4) officials /judges / professional soldier
|  | (5) employee
|  | (6) worker
|  | (7) in vocational training
|  | (8) family member assisting in family business
|  | (-98) don't know
(6) worker
(7) in vocational training
(8) family member assisting in family business

(98) don't know

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable
(99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2430
Wave: 1  Topic: Sociodemography Item: Former profession, partner

Filter: kpX_2410=1
Presentation: Single response list (vertical)

Question:
What was your partner’s former profession? Please select the category that applies best to her/him.

- independent farmer
- independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
- self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
- officials /judges / professional soldier
- employee
- worker
- in vocational training
- family member assisting in family business
- don't know

Coding:
(1) independent farmer
(2) independent professional (e.g. doctor in private practice, lawyer)
(3) self-employed in trade or craft, industry, service sector, etc.
(4) officials /judges / professional soldier
(5) employee
(6) worker
(7) in vocational training
(8) family member assisting in family business

(98) don't know

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(97) not applicable
(99) no answer

Variable: kpX_2461a-c-e-g,i
Wave: 3  Topic: Sociodemography Item: Membership of organisations

Filter:
Presentation: Standard matrix

Question:
Are you a member of one or more of the following organisations?

(A) trade union
(B) entrepreneurs’/employer association
(C) professional associations
(E) religious/church groups
(F) sports/hobby club
(G) environmental groups
(I) party

Coding:
(1) yes
(2) no

(93) not asked, terminated
(95) not participated
(99) no answer

[NOTE: Due to a programming error, one respondent could not answer this question. The values for this person were recoded into -92 "error in data"].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_2470</th>
<th>Wave: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Membership of trade unions, household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter: dependent interviewing, kp1_2441i=1 &amp; kpX_2461a=2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: Is anyone else in your household a member of a trade union?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding: (1) yes (2) no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(93) not asked, terminated (95) not participated (97) not applicable (99) no answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_4000a,b</th>
<th>Wave: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Membership party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter: kpX_2461i==1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: Which party are you a member of?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding: (1) CDU/CSU (4) SPD (5) FDP (6) GRÜNE (7) DIE LINKE (322) AfD (801) Other party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(93) not asked, terminated (95) not participated (97) not applicable (99) no answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[NOTE: This variable and the variable kpX_4110 were recoded into the variables kpX_4000a and kpX_4000b in order to create the party versions A and B.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpX_4110</th>
<th>Wave: 3</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Membership party, other party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter: kpX_4000=801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation: Single response list (vertical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question: And which of these parties are you a member of?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
German Longitudinal Election Study 2017: Short-term Campaign Panel

- BIG (Partei Bündnis für Innovation & Gerechtigkeit)
- Bündnis C – Christen für Deutschland
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- DIE RECHTE
- Freie Wähler Nordrhein-Westfalen (FW)
- Liberal-Konservative Reformer
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ödp (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- REP (DIE REPUBLIKANER)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIER SCHUTZ)
- other party

Coding:
(120) BIG
(351) Bündnis C
(151) Die PARTEI
(323) DIE RECHTE
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WÄHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(218) pro Deutschland
(225) REP
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(801) other party

--------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kpX_4000 were recoded into the variables kpX_4000a and kpX_4000b in order to create the party versions A and B.]

Variable: kpX_2520
Waves: 2,3
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: German citizenship

Filter wave 3: w2a==0
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
You almost reached the end of the survey. In the following, there will be just some short questions about you.
Question:
Have you been a German citizen since birth?
- yes
- no

Coding:
(1) yes
(2) no

--------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable (in wave 3)
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. This variable was renamed into kpX_2520, because of being invariant in time. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kp2_2520flag.]

Variable: kpX_3920
Wave: 1
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Country of birth, federal state

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
In which federal state, or in the territory of which present-day federal state, were you born?

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

- not born in the territory of the present-day Federal Republic of Germany

Coding:
(8) Baden-Wuerttemberg
(9) Bavaria
(11) Berlin
(12) Brandenburg
(4) Bremen
(2) Hamburg
(6) Hesse
(13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
(3) Lower Saxony
(5) North Rhine-Westphalia
(7) Rhineland-Palatinate
(10) Saarland
(14) Saxony
(15) Saxony-Anhalt
(1) Schleswig-Holstein
(16) Thuringia
(17) not born in the territory of present-day Federal Republic of Germany

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_3920, because of being invariant in time.]

| Variable: kpX_3930 | Wave: 1 | Topic: Sociodemography | Item: Country of birth, other country |

Filter: kpX_3920=17

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Where were you born?

- former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
- Turkey
- Italy
- Poland
- Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- Greece
- Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- Austria
- Slovakia, Czech Republic
- Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- Netherlands
- Belgium
- France
- Switzerland
- USA
- other country

**Coding:**
(1) former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
(2) Turkey
(3) Italy
(4) Poland
(5) Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
(6) Greece
(7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
(8) Austria
(9) Slovakia, Czech Republic
(10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
(11) Netherlands
(12) Belgium
(13) France
(14) Switzerland
(15) USA
(16) other country

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_3930, because of being invariant in time.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpx_2551</th>
<th>Wave: 1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Age at immigration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kpx_3930=1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kpx_3930=2-16</td>
<td>-99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;&gt; Receive Question 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kpx_3930=2-16</td>
<td>-99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;&gt; Receive Question 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text field (single row) with four digits, maximum numbers of characters = 4; plausibility check if answer &lt;kp1_2290: &quot;This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 1:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since when do you live on current German territory?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 2:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When did you move to Germany?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instructions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Coding:**

----------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_2551, because of being invariant in time.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable: kpx_3940</th>
<th>Wave: 1</th>
<th>Topic: Sociodemography</th>
<th>Item: Age at immigration, East Germany</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kp1_3920=1-10 &amp; kp1_2601=12-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text field (single row) with four digits; maximum number of digits = 4; plausibility check, whether complete numbers and no letters; &quot;Please enter the year.&quot;; plausibility check if answer &lt;kp1_2290: &quot;This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Since when have you been living in East Germany?

**Instructions:**
Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.

---

**Coding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>not asked, terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>not participated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>no answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_3940, because of being invariant in time.]

**Variable:** kpX_3950

**Wave:** 1

**Topic:** Sociodemography

**Item:** Age at immigration, West Germany

**Filter:** kp1_3920=12-16 & kp1_2601=1-10

**Presentation:**
Text field (single row) with four digits; maximum number of digits = 4; plausibility check, whether complete numbers and no letters; "Please enter the year."; plausibility check if answer <kp1_2290: "This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer."

**Question:**
Since when have you been living in West Germany?

**Instructions:**
Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.

---

**Coding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>not asked, terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>not participated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>no answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_3950, because of being invariant in time.]

**Variable:** kpX_3960

**Wave:** 1

**Topic:** Sociodemography

**Item:** Age moving to Berlin

**Filter:** kp1_3920!=11 & kp1_2601=11

**Presentation:**
Text field (single row) with four digits; maximum number of digits = 4; plausibility check, whether complete numbers and no letters; "Please enter the year."; plausibility check if answer <kp1_2290: "This answer does not match your year of birth. Please check your answer."

**Question:**
Since when have you been living in Berlin?

**Instructions:**
Please enter the complete year with four digits, e.g. 1990 or 2005.

---

**Coding:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>not asked, terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>not participated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>no answer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[NOTE: This variable was renamed into kpx_3960, because of being invariant in time.]

**Variable:** kpX_2571a,b

**Waves:** 2,3

**Topic:** Sociodemography

**Item:** Country of birth, mother/father

**Filter wave 3:** w2a==0
**Presentation:**

**Question:**
Were your parents born in the territory of present-day Germany?

A) mother  
B) father  
- yes  
- no  
- don't know

**Coding:**
(1) yes  
(2) no  
(-98) don't know  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
(-93) not asked, terminated  
(-95) not participated  
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: These are dependent interviewing variables. The information from the variables kp2_2571a and kp3_2571a has been summarized in kpx_2571a and kp2_2571a, and kp3_2571a were deleted afterwards. Similarly, the information from the variables kp2_2571b and kp3_2571b has been summarized in kpx_2571b and kp2_2571b, and kp3_2571b were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variables kpx_2571aflag and kpx_2571bflag.]

**Variable:** kpx_2572a  
**Waves:** 2,[3]  
**Topic:** Sociodemography  
**Item:** Country of birth, mother, other country

**Filter:** kpx_2571a=2

**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
Where was your mother born?

- former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)  
- Turkey  
- Italy  
- Poland  
- Russian Federation, former Soviet Union  
- Greece  
- Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia  
- Austria  
- Slovakia, Czech Republic  
- Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland  
- Netherlands  
- Belgium  
- France  
- Switzerland  
- USA  
- other country  
- don't know

**Coding:**
(1) former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)  
(2) Turkey  
(3) Italy  
(4) Poland  
(5) Russian Federation, former Soviet Union  
(6) Greece  
(7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia  
(8) Austria  
(9) Slovakia, Czech Republic  
(10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland  
(11) Netherlands
Variable: kpX_2572b
Waves: 2, [3]
Topic: Sociodemography
Item: Country of birth, father, other country

Filter: kpX_2571b = 2

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Where was your father born?

- former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
- Turkey
- Italy
- Poland
- Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
- Greece
- Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
- Austria
- Slovakia, Czech Republic
- Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
- Netherlands
- Belgium
- France
- Switzerland
- USA
- other country
- don’t know

Coding:
(1) former German territories in Eastern Europe (e.g. East Prussia, Silesia)
(2) Turkey
(3) Italy
(4) Poland
(5) Russian Federation, former Soviet Union
(6) Greece
(7) Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, former Yugoslavia
(8) Austria
(9) Slovakia, Czech Republic
(10) Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland
(11) Netherlands
(12) Belgium
(13) France
(14) Switzerland
(15) USA
(16) other country

(-98) don’t know

------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_2572a and kp3_2572a has been summarized in kpx_2572a and kp2_2572a, and kp3_2572a were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_2572aflag.]
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Variable: kpX_4131a-q
Waves: 2,[3] Topic: Sociodemography Item: Language spoken in household

Filter: kpX_2571a=2 | kpX_2571b=2 | kpX_2520=2
Presentation:
Multiple answers are possible.

Question:
What language do you usually speak in your household?

Instructions:
If you usually speak more than one language in your household, click on all applicable languages.

- German
- Turkish
- Italian
- Polish
- Serbian
- Greek
- Croatian
- Bosnian
- Danish
- Dutch
- French
- Czech
- Vietnamese
- English
- Russian
- Arabic
- other language

Coding:
(A) German
(B) Turkish
(C) Italian
(D) Polish
(E) Serbian
(F) Greek
(G) Croatian
(H) Bosnian
(I) Danish
(J) Dutch
(K) French
(L) Czech
(M) Vietnamese
(N) English
(O) Russian
(P) Arabic
(Q) other language

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_2572b and kp3_2572b has been summarized in kpx_2572b and kp2_2572b, and kp3_2572b were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_2572bflag.]

Quality of Data

Variable: kpX_4240
Waves: 1-9,a1 Topic: Quality of Data Item: Rating of survey
Filter:

---------------------------------------------------------------------
[NOTE: This is a dependent interviewing variable. The information from the variables kp2_4131 and kp3_4131 has been summarized in kpx_4131 and kp2_4131 and kp3_4131 were deleted afterwards. The point of time of each response can be looked at by using the variable kpx_4131flag.]
**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

**Coding:**
1. very good
2. good
3. neither good nor bad
4. bad
5. very bad

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) Not asked, terminated
(-95) Not participated
(-99) No answer

**Variable:** kpX_4250
**Wave:** 1
**Topic:** Quality of Data
**Item:** Participation in surveys, number of online panels

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
On how many online survey websites – aside from mingle – are you a member?

- none
- one
- two
- three
- four
- five or more

**Coding:**
1. none
2. one
3. two
4. three
5. four
6. five or more

------------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_4260
**Wave:** 1
**Topic:** Quality of Data
**Item:** Participation in surveys, number of surveys

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Single response list (vertical)

**Question:**
In how many online surveys did you take part during the last month?

- none
- 1 to 4 surveys
- 5 to 10 surveys
- 11 to 15 surveys
- 16 to 20 surveys
- more than 20 surveys

**Coding:**
(1) none
(2) 1 to 4 surveys
(3) 5 to 10 surveys
(4) 11 to 15 surveys
(5) 16 to 20 surveys
(6) more than 20 surveys

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

**Variable:** kpX_4270s  
**Waves:** 1-9,a1  
**Topic:** Quality of Data  
**Item:** Comment, open

**Filter:**
**Presentation:**
Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, now maximum limit of characters

**Intro:**
Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

**Question:**
Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

**Coding:**

---------------------------------------------------------------------
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer